

Correspondence ID: 1 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirkpatrick, Robert J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Superintendent Murray,

Thanks for all you do to protect our natural resources and keeping free and open access on as much park land as you possibility can. I make an annual trip to CHNS at least once a year from Florida to enjoy the opportunity to drive and fish from the OBX beaches. It is doubtful I would do this if access to ORV is ever totally denied or a costly access fee was charged. I currently have a pass to Playalinda Beach and the Volusia County Beaches, which I can justify since they are only an hour away. But one needs to limit the amount spent on recreation. Beach driving on the OBX beaches are a way of life. Keep up your good work.
 Bob K.
 Robert J. Kirkpatrick

Correspondence ID: 2 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barnes, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: In response to an e-mail I received, I would like to relay to you the importance it is to have the privilege of beach driving on the Outer Banks. As a

property owner and a sport fisherman, I've been coming to Avon for the past 30 plus years. I see the growth and changes take place. The one thing that brought me to Avon was the fishing. I've chased the big Blue's from the Va. line to Hatteras inlet. Driven the beach most of the way. And I've watched the Beach closures along the way. I know you and your people are new for the most part. You walked into this mess. So now it's up to you to keep these communities going. Without the Beach driving, the Outer Beaches will no longer exist. Just looking at the number of surf fisherman and the money we spend down there each year should make an impression on someone. I doubt the people trying to close our beaches spend a fraction of what the fisherman put into the economy. I appreciate any help you give us (the ORV drivers) in keeping our beaches open.
 Thank you for your time
 Steve Barnes

Correspondence ID: 3 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sachau, B.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Dec,11,2006 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: doi nps outer banks orv plan for cape hatteras

i think there should be a total ban on all orv on any part of the outer banks or cape hatteras. it is time that people walk. it is time that these polluting machines stop polluting. their noise, the danger to those riding them and those who they hit, the air pollution - it is all enough to stop their use. they are an abomination. b. sachau 15 elm st florham park nj 07932

Correspondence ID: 4 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Greg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mr. Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to email you and I hope you have a few minutes to hear me out. I am neither a politician nor a person who normally writes down what I feel, but I felt this was necessary for me to do, and it comes from my heart. I am a 42 year old North Carolina native (reside near Pinehurst) who is very concerned about our beloved Outer Banks. I just read the article by the Audubon Society called "Beach Bums" and I was appalled to be grouped in and stereotyped by the writer. Not all fishermen are destroying our coast! I was introduced to fishing at the OBX as a young boy. My uncle took me and something special happened. I have definitely developed a passion for our coast line and am passing it on. It wasn't just about the fishing either. I feel in love with the beautiful surroundings and the Islanders way of life. I have fished for 11 consecutive seasons with a band of men and their boys and fishing has been very scarce. Still, we have enjoyed each and every trip down. In those years imagine 12 men and their offspring venturing around the Islands renting cottages, buying gas & groceries, paying ferry tolls, frequenting gifts shops and restaurants, and developing actual relationships with local bait and tackle shops. We stay one week each year and some go down as many as five times in one year! I would be afraid to say how much I have spent in bait shops alone! Multiply that times all the fishing addicts like me and our little group and you have an economy that can be relied on year after year. The fall and winter seasons are often the best times to go down for drum, trout, and strippers. This is a time when the Island really needs a little flow of folks like we fishermen. Maybe they don't need us, but I've been thanked countless times for coming down! Most of us have joined the NC Beach Buggy Assoc. and other fishing clubs that requires us to pledge to pick up trash on the beach and also monitor other fishermen and intervene and help coach newcomers and their habits if necessary. I can tell you this, real surf fishermen stand up for the protection of the Outer Banks, and I have seen it first hand when someone steps out of line. Just read some of the message boards at any of the Bait and Tackle shop forums and you will see how passionate fishermen are. I have seen a lot of good times at the Outer Banks. I have seen a few isolated incidents when groups of late night fishermen get out of hand with alcohol, but you can find that in any sector of society and most every sporting event. Just last weekend we were all checked by Park Service patrols for our new mandatory coastal fishing licenses in 2007. I saw not one fisherman out of compliance or out of line with those guys. Very few fish were being caught, but there we all were trying and supporting our coast in the process. I understand there will be nearly 15 million of these coastal licenses sold. That's a lot of money. I know it's not going to our Outer Banks. It is going to our schools if I read it right. Why can't our fishing fees go to protect our coast as they should? I really can't relay one bad experience with the Park Services or any of the locals that warrants repeating. In my humble opinion everyone has been able to get along in my eyes. I've seen tourists, surfers, local fisherman, kayakers, duck hunters, and many others all coexisting without these battles that others seem to point out so freely. How can this be? The local bait shop owners and other vendors are always cordial and very glad to see us each time we come down. I can appreciate how an islander must feel when the done the sticker that crosses out the piping plover, but I don't agree that we drive this little creature to extinction. I see a piping plover flock about every time I go. The little bird looks as if it is doing fine to me. But, the people of the Outer Banks are not. Not all of them I speak with. Commercial fishing is under attack. Their very way of existence is under attack. I know fisherman don't fund all of the Islands needs, but we do fund a majority of them. There is more than one thing at the Outer Banks that is fragile. Nature is one of them, but the human beings on the Island must also be considered fragile. Please know there are many concerned fisherman like myself that are willing to stand up and speak when we are personally attacked for the actions of a few rogue groups of men that might not have the love of our coast that we have developed. I for one respect our outdoors and have pledged to take care of it by introducing others to the sport of surf fishing (like my two sons), and being a good steward to our fragile coast line. What can we do? I wrote you just to let you know how I feel. I also know for a fact I represent most if not all in my group. Will my boys be able to take there boys fishing to the Outer Banks? I guess that's up to us. Thank you for your time and please use this letter in any way you see useful for our cause.
 Best regards,
 Greg Williams A Concerned NC Sportsman

0000385

Correspondence ID: 5 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Peace, Tommy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mr. Murray,
My name is Tommy Peace. I have been going to the Outer Banks for about 30 years. I can remember as a child how neat the beach there was how serene. I can also remember the first time I went "Surf Fishing" It was on the Outer Banks. I also recall what a privilege it was to first get to drive on the beach. It was on the "Outer Banks". It allowed me and my family the opportunity to see the Outer Banks from a different perspective. These are values and joys, I'd like to pass on to my children. It is not just about fishing. The access allows us to view many different aspects of the Outer Banks. We enjoy the wildlife, shelling, relaxing, viewing the Stars at night. We enjoy all the things God intended for us to enjoy and are as close as one can get to it due to being able to access the beach. I know a lot of "Surf Fisherman" ALL of them take pride in the beach, They are not there to destroy or disturb anything. Neither am I or my Family. We enjoy being able to take all our gear in the truck and spend days on the beach enjoying ourselves. Would I continue to come to the Outer Banks if there was no access to the beach? ORV access is an essential part of why were are there. We want to enjoy the privilege of driving on the beach and enjoy everything that comes with it. I hope Mr. Murray you will continue to work to improve and uphold this privilege. Get the facts, not just hear say. Hear say is just that "hear say". I trust your discussions regarding ORV access will contain much of the truth. This truth will help squelch all the negative talk regarding access
Sincerely Tommy Peace Tommy Peace Picture (Metafile) Performance And Tuning Team Phone: (919) 227-3015 Email: wtpeace@.att.com AIM: wtp5x5 Picture (Metafile)

Correspondence ID: 6 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Shelton , Kris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Good afternoon Mr Murray. My name is Kris Shelton and I am a longtime multiple times a year visitor to Hatteras Island (began going in 1978 as a teenager) My family and I currently spend two weeks per year on the island (1 in June, 1 in October) We just finished making reservations for June for our annual week in Frisco. I felt I must write you to voice my disgust with the obviously biased article published by Audubon concerning the "Beach Bums". I won't go into long drawn out details or a "rant" by any means. Please know that there are multitudes of people just like myself who are sickened by the outright lies told by this article. I look forward more than you can imagine each year to my 2 weeks of "paradise" on the island. Nothing beats being able to load up the 4 x 4 with all the days goods and heading to the beach. Driving out and admiring the beauty that is Hatteras. Picking your spot and unloading for the day. Setting up the fishing equipment for a fine days bounty from the sea. Watching the kids sun and swim. Having the dog out and watching him frolic in the surf.....You know as well as I do that there are a few "bad apples" in every walk of life. I would not hazard to say that probably 99.5% of all ORV users on Hatteras are responsible caring people. Please do not let our privilege of driving on the beach be taken away. I truly believe that it is critical to the economy of all the villages on Hatteras that ORV beach driving be allowed. I can tell you for sure that if this privilege is taken away, I will not longer spend my money at Hatteras. If I just want a week at the beach, I can go to Va Beach, Myrtle, etc. Please sir, hear my voice and thousands of others when we ask you to please help us keep the beaches open. Thank you for your time.
Kris Shelton Chesterfield, VA

Correspondence ID: 7 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Currie , Patrick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I wanted to drop a short note about fishing on the OBX. I have fished three since a young boy with my father na brother. I cannot imagine what my sportsmans life would be wiouth those fond memories of bonding with family, nature and the islands. I hope that surf fishing will always remain open and legal (including the untold joys of driving on the beaches in search of fish, from trout and flounder to stripers and reds. I am an attorney and a lifetime sportsman in North Carolina, so are my father, brother and son. Please consider the future of sportsmen, surffishing and quality family time.
Thanks for your time. Please do not hesitate to contact me. Patrick W. Currie pcurrie1@etc.net 704.983.6116

Correspondence ID: 8 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Carroll, Ayden
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Just a short note to tell you how much I enjoy this area. I live in Zebulon, NC and have recently purchased property in Salvo, NC. My wife and I enjoy this area so much that we may move down there someday. We are avid fisherpeople and enjoy the fact that we have pretty much open access to the beaches, whether it be by foot or truck. I would really hate to see this change by the closing of areas. I think that there has to be a compromise of sorts, with people and nature. People are part of nature. Please consider these thought as decisions come forward on this wonderful area.
Ayden Carroll Supervisor, A shift SD Mfg. Phone Internal 71087 Phone External 919-269-1087 Pager 857-0126

Correspondence ID: 9 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lovett, Cory
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: To whom it may Concern:
I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium.
The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the

0000386

beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

Sincerely, CLovett

Mr. Cory Lovett 42 Travis Road Natick, MA 01760 e-mail: TheSurfcaster@hotmail.com

Correspondence ID: 10 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Oswald, Leyla

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: To Whom it may Concern:

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms.

All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable.

Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium.

The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach.

In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years.

I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

Thank you,
Leyla Oswald
20357 White Oak Dr
Sterling, VA 20165
Vacation Home
Cape Woods
Buston Back Rd
Buxton, NC 27920

Correspondence ID: 11 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Allen, Glen B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: To Whom it may Concern:

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms.

All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable.

Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them.

The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive too fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach.

In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years.

I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

Sincerely,
Glen B. Allen 168 Fontana Drive Clayton, NC 27527

Correspondence ID: 12 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fonda, Andrew R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Andrew R. Fonda 12251 Canterbury Ct. King George, Va. 22485 703-966-3010

To: Mike Murray Superintendent CHNS 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the

most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing. Mr. Murray, Although others may have a letter similar to this one, it is unique because I sent it. I feel strongly that the NPS is being pushed around by leveraged environmentalists with deep pockets. They solicit money from the rich and the rich give so they can have a tax write-off. The federal gov't needs to tell them to go jump in a deep lake!!! Nature will prevail as it has for hundreds of thousands of years with out our help. Please feel free to call me if you need any support in any way. I am willing to assist in our quest to maintain ORV access at CAHA.

Sincerely, Andrew Fonda
 Andrew R. Fonda Owner America's Choice Mortgage Ph: 540-775-0701 Fax: 540-775-3261 Cell: 703-966-3010 email: amchoice@va.metrocast.net

Correspondence ID: 13 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wheless, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Sir,

I appreciate you taking the time to read this correspondence, I will be brief. I read the article "Beach Bums" on OBPA's website. It made me angry, yet they have a right to free speech. I would like to exercise mine. I have been coming to the Outer Banks to fish for 30 years, I grew up in North Carolina on a farm, I love and respect the earth, all wildlife, and wide open spaces. I have seen a few dummies on the beach, but probably no more than you would see at an Audubon outing. The majority of what you see is people who love and respect the beach, it's wildlife, and atmosphere, good people who will do anything to help you out, with a sense of community bonded by the love of this place and this sport. My sons grew up coming with Dad fishing, please help us keep this family tradition, I would like, God willing, to fish here with my grandchildren one day. Please help us keep the beaches accessible.

David Wheless Louisburg, NC

Correspondence ID: 14 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Tucker, Brian K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Good morning Mr. Murray. First, let me thank you for your work this past year on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I hope there are many more to come. Your cooperation with the issues on CHNS are recognized and I thank you. I appreciate your efforts in trying to satisfy everyone, which we know is an impossible task, but, none the less, that was the goal. Finding a happy medium is hard to do when both parties feel as deeply as they do about their concerns towards the history of CHNS. And history is what we are talking about. It is the history of CHNS to have free and open access to the beaches, primarily to harvest fish from the sea. It all began back when automobiles did not exist, yet it was the horse drawn wagons, guided by hand line fishermen, loaded with the days catch that worked the beaches. There is a cultural and natural resource at stake here that supports thousands who reside on the islands. It predominantly use to be locals who traversed the sands with their wagons, then autos, to support the village and families, now, over the past 500 years, it has evolved to the visitor, with his love of fishing, something as American as grandma and apple pie, that helps to support those who still reside there. From fishing to feed the family, fishing to feed the village, fishing to feed the next village, to feeding the region, feeding the state, feeding the nation, feeding the world! A heritage was passed down, generation to generation until the generations became limited to what could be harvested. Commercial captains became charter captains, people began to seek recreational fishing and as we grew as a nation, a new industry began. I know you probably don't need a history lesson; sometimes we all need to be reminded, but what about those across this wonderful country of ours that have never stepped foot or even plan on stepping foot on CHNS. These are the ones who need to know the true history of the outerbanks and the evolution to what it is today, because they are the ones who are fitting the bill for groups such as the Audubon society and defenders of wildlife and are the ones who are reading their propaganda. Many of DOW's own supporters haven't a clue to what the actual agenda is within the organization. The Audubon magazine is publishing articles of stretched truths and out right lies and disguising them as facts. We are made out to be ruthless hooligans without concern for anyone or anything but our selfish desires. How shallow it is to portray a society as uncivilized animals. Hopefully the richly intelligent readers can sniff out their lies.

Mr. Murray, I am a fisherman and a patron of the CHNS; have been for most of my 37 years on this earth. My dad first took me to Buxton for the first time when I was 7. My brothers, grandfather and dad made several trips a year and those are memories that are beyond description. Those days of family deeply embedded values such as trust, honor, faith, encouragement, respect, support, sacrifice, cherishment and love and to have FUN. We respected nature, harvesting only what was needed and cleaning up after ourselves and others. We always left with more than we came with, even if we didn't have the first fish in the coolers. Those days are what made me who I am today. I am proud to know that my father wanted to teach me these things and be such an important part of my life and instill in me these values and virtues to live by that make him a saint to me. I never went through a rebellious stage with my father, except when he wouldn't let me fish the pier alone as a young boy, and I respected his approach to solving immature, selfish antics by putting it to a vote and agreeing to go along with the majority. I couldn't argue if 3 or 4 out of the five wanted to get a shower and get a nap.

Now I am a father of two boys, one five the other two and half, who are already asking to go more and more with me to the outerbanks, and they will make more and more trips with me as they get a little older. I travel 375 miles to get there. I make 6 to 8 trips a year, sometimes with the family (especially with my father), some with friends and sometimes alone. I respect the boundaries posted and promote others to do the same. I pick up trash left by others and that which has washed ashore. I respect all the creatures that visit and reside on the islands, including humans, for we all are apart of this very important ecosystem. Man is not an isolated entity from any of God's wonderful creations. We are just as much apart and just as much important to the success of the ecology as any other thing. Our success, as a whole, depends on education, cooperation, communication and compromise. We, as creatures of God, are entitled to visit or reside among the other species on CHNS. Free and open access is a right as written in law, as Americans and by God. I assure you that I will do my part to maintain that right. Thank you for your time.

Yours truly and with respect, Brian K. Tucker
 Brian K. Tucker Product Engineer Hooker Furniture Corp. 850 Hooker Street Martinsville, VA 24112

Correspondence ID: 15 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: McCarsky, Drew R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

My name is Drew McCarsky from North Georgia. I have had the pleasure of visiting Cape Hatteras a few times over the years. I spent my Honeymoon with my wife Teri surf fishing with Mom in Law's Jeep eagle wagon in 1987 for starters. Since that time, I have been ORV on Island beach St. Park in NJ, Lavalette NJ and Cape Hatteras.

In all my years on these beaches did I EVER see anything remote as what the author has described. I will go as far as to say the WORSE act I ever seen was a bunch of Kids taking the Dad's 4 WD onto Island Beach acting like they were fishing and drove up and down the beach, looking for places to surf. they later had a picnic Lunch near the dunes and the girlfriends gathered shells- Hooligans! Awful teens!-Not hardly

I also have had P.E.T.A. and a few other "Animal Groups" tell members on the beaches on Pt. Pleasant, by Ocean city and there are documented cases of Freshwater contests where they target the family's Kids- telling them that Mom/Dad are committing murder, that we love to kill things and the fish we eat have mommies that are so sad now- the kids are left crying and terrorized by these sick-so.

I was brought up on the Jersey shore. We spent our days as youth learning conservation through Scouts, we learned sportsman ship by our parents and we learned how People can LIE to get the property they wish by saying the fisherman, Hunters and other outdoor enthusiast are beer swigging, nature haters who would kill puppies for kicks.

As a visitor to the National seashores of Sandy Hook, and Cape Hatteras, I have been quite careful with the ORV access never to disturb either hikers, Bathers or other fishermen. I also spend hard earned Monies to get my permits, licenses and gear.

Audubon magazine depict a scenario that is next to impossible to believe, I have NEVER seen any fisherman harm a bird, turtle or other creature on purpose. I have personally come to the aid of wildlife in NJ and now in GA. I even have stopped on the major highway to rescue box turtles, moving them across the roads to prevent injury- and allowing them to "move on" with the journey they may take.

I ask that you please consider and take heart that the fishermen, Surfers and other users of the beaches all over the east coast are 99% good people, and would not do harm to anyone or anything. We have tried to be as careful as possible and the few bad apples are educated quickly or proper authorities are called to remove them. We cherish what allowances are made for us and our vehicles. As a retired Law enforcement officer, I would never be able to walk onto the beaches of Island Beach or at Cape Hatteras with the injury to my legs while trying to prevent a riot at the jail I worked at.

Audubon 's actions are suspiciously those of P.E.T.A. Who are already bordering on the description of TERRORISTS.

Best regards

Drew R. McCarsky PO Box 463 Eton GA 30724

Correspondence ID: 16 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Evans, Ronald D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: THERE BI-MONTLY NEWS LETTER IS UNTRURE, INACCURATE,AND SELF SERVING. Ronald D Evans orv surf fisherman

Correspondence ID: 17 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: The first time I came to Hatteras Island was back in the early 70's with my grandfather. We camped and fished on the beach, and the place entered my mind where it resides today. I was born in Germany, and as an Air Force brat, I have lived in and seen more of the world than most. I regularly tell my friends that Hatteras Island is my favorite place in the world. I come to fish, relax, and unwind. I come four or five times a year for anywhere from a week to two days. Understand that I just said that I drive 408 miles one way to visit a place for less than 48 hours. I can be at any of the more commercial beaches, Carolina to Myrtle, in half that distance. Yet I daydream about coming to Hatteras all year long, and do not visit the others except when work forces me to go. The eyesore that is Myrtle Beach is my least favorite place on earth.
To me, the most attractive thing about Hatteras is the lack of development, and the presence of common people who share common loves. I have been distressed over the last few years by the destruction of the weathered cottages that used to make up the beach side rental properties. They are being demolished so that the land can be used for huge houses that are private, or available to only the wealthiest of people. The long line of huge steel single masted billboards that run for miles along Highway 64 heralds the coming of the same type of greedy unregulated development that has turned almost every other beach on the eastern seaboard into an ugly mess. The only real barrier to the total ruination of the Outer Banks is the common people who have always used vehicular access to overcome the long walks to the beach, and along the beach.
Those who are calling for an end to ORV use are claiming that we charge around killing birds and their chicks, that we crush turtle nests, that we are, to use the same broad brush that was applied to us in a recent article in Audubon Magazine "Beach Bums". While it is true that the beach has abusers, like any other resource, it is also true that the defenders of the law and common decorum far outnumber the abusers. In no other circumstance or setting have I seen people more willing to personally confront, or call authorities on a miscreant, than among the surf fisherman of Hatteras. I personally have confronted litterers (I have never left the beach without picking up garbage that has washed up), as well as calling the law on the occasional driver not observing the speed limits. I said occasional and mean occasional. I have seen bad driving on about three different instances, and keep the phone number for the Sheriffs Department on my cell phone as the first number, 252 473-3444, in the event of witnessing bad behavior. The ORV crowd using the Outer Banks beaches in North Carolina are true guardians who are desperately trying to save the last pristine beach in the Carolinas that they can afford to utilize.
Should the wealthy be allowed to buy up all of the access that God granted us, and then complain that we are ruining their vacation homes? Should the environmentalists be allowed to claim that we use the only method of travel along the beaches that they use to get around? Shouldn't the vast majority of us who use this public resource as it was intended to be used be allowed to continue to use that resource as long as we adhere to the laws that are in place? Did the plover chicks that were lost last summer have anything to do with our use of the beach? If the common man is barred from the only practical use of the beach that exists, and is disenfranchised, and is no longer a concern, the money behind developers will turn Hatteras into a new Hilton Head. The last truly wild beach in North Carolina will be closed to the commoners for all intents and purposes. Inaccessible to the people the park was created for.

Correspondence ID: 18 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I am retired handicapped person of 65 years. I have been coming to Hatteras Island for many years. If the right to drive on the beach is taken away I'll have no reason to make the trip as I am limited in the distance I can walk. As a citizen of North Carolina I am truly interested in the future of Cape Hatteras Nation Seashore Recreational Area. This was the designation given when the State of North Carolina transferred ownership. Please consider those who would not be able to fish without driving on the beach as you plan for the future of Cape Hatteras.

Correspondence ID: 19 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McBane, Jeffrey S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Superintendents,

I would like to express my support for continued ORV access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore as well as Cape Lookout. I understand the increased use puts a strain on the management of the parks. The current management of ORV's by NPS seems to be effective. Please continue to work with the local and national ORV access groups as you have in the past to determine the best possible management practices.

Thank you very much for your time.

Jeffrey S. McBane 1502 Bay St. Morehead City, NC 28557

Correspondence ID: 20 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Snell, Ron

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Dear Sir, I have for the last twenty five years enjoyed visiting the CHNS beginning as a young boy with my father and brother. This has grown over the years and now I'm lucky enough to begin taking my two sons every year, multiple times. We have a passion for surf fishing and now my two sons have inherited this trait as well. Our entire family has made a point to vacation together since 1980 and Cape Hatteras is the place chosen ever year. It has much to do with the fact that we enjoy fishing, walks on the beach, shell collecting etc? What makes this so enjoyable is the fact that we can cover much of the beach by having access to drive on the beaches. I believe fisherman such as myself are a very responsible group of individuals who respect and care for the CHNS, this is a right and privilege that can hopefully be enjoyed my sons and their families as well one day. A group of fisherman as a whole are very conservative minded and live by the rules and regulations that are in place for us to follow. On more than one occasion I have witnessed myself and other anglers simply taking the time to clean the beaches of debris and are respectful of bird closures which have been dealt to us over the years, some justly and some closures unjustly. In short, thank you for taking the time to read my above comments and I hope with assistance from all agencies the beaches can remain open for myself, friends and my sons to enjoy for the years to come.

Sincerely, Ron Snell

Correspondence ID: 21 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cooper, Ken

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Mike, I'm outraged that the Audubon Society would publish the recent article about Cape Hatteras. I'm sure there's laws against representing fiction as fact. I've been fishing out there for over 40 years, and have "policed the area" on each visit, along with the rest of our group. We've all worked hard to preserve the Outer Banks so all of our citizens can enjoy it. Sidney is a nut. And the author or this article is liable. Please take a close look at the picture they represent as a tern that was run over by a truck. A 3000 lb truck squashed that bird? Isn't it odd that the foot print of the tire tread shows something entirely different? Also odd is that they don't mention that Sidney rides up and down the beach himself. I hate to see you get into a political situation that may cause the denial of the OBX to the citizens of the State of North Carolina, and the United States of America. You're dealing with an obsessed and selfish bunch, which are actually only a few, but with loud voices. Obviously, it's easy to rally people from across the nation that have never been there, and really don't care, when you misrepresent the truth. If I thought the ORV users were abusing the OBX and the wildlife there, I'd be right there in the protest. Fact is-you're dealing with people that are working on their own personal agenda.

Ken Cooper

Correspondence ID: 22 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Walters, Sandra

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm

Dear Mr. Murray:

As a waterfront resident in Florida, I am quite aware of the relentless and unconscionable damage that is done by riders of off-road vehicles in sensitive and fragile wet areas. I have been to the Outer Banks of NC and I am familiar with the unbelievably beautiful, valuable and special surroundings and natural resources you have. It is completely unacceptable that a small minority of uncaring people seem to have the power to short-circuit our National Parks' goals and priorities for their own benefit. There is no constitutional nor God-given right for motorized vehicles to access places that were never meant for this kind of "recreation." Our Federal government should be a shining beacon with strong and consistent guidelines and policies to ENHANCE and PROTECT the lands in its care. This is not kindergarten and we don't have to worry about play space for adults when it conflicts with the life-sustaining needs of wildlife and plants. I am sick and tired of the fallacious "arguments" of those who tiredly whine about their "rights" to willy-nilly and capriciously tear around on noisy, smelly, and dangerous vehicles. It's even worse when our officials bow to pressure from them, rather than taking a stand in favor of doing the right thing. I hope that you, Mr. Murray, will be an example of a man who can look those people straight in the eye and tell them "these are the rules and they are made for all." Sandra Walters 480 Warrior Trail Enterprise, FL 32725

Correspondence ID: 23 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cottrill, Edmond

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

DEAR SIR: AS AN AVID FISHERMAN OF YOUR WONDERFUL STATE FROM VIRGINIA, I HOPE YOU WILL NOT CONSIDER MAKING A RULE CHANGE OF NOT ALLOWING OFF ROAD VEHICLES TO USE THE BEACHES IN NORTHERN NC WE HAVE BEEN COMING TO YOUR STATE FOR OVER 20 YEARS JUST TO GO ON THE BEACH AND ENJOY WHAT IT HAS TO OFFER OT FISH THE SURF AND ENJOY THE COMPANY OF OTHERS THAT JOIN US THERE. I HAVE SEEN HOW THE WILDLIFE SERVICE GUARDS THE TURTLE NESTING AREAS, THE BIRDS NESTING AREAS, AND THINK YOU DO A WONDERFUL JOB. I HAVE WATCHED AND HEARD OTHERS SPEAK OF THE CARE THEY TAKE AS NOT TO DISTURB THESE AREAS AS THEY MEAN MUCH TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND OTHER WILDLIFE. I HAVE WATCHED PEOPLE DRIVE AROUND COMPLETELY THESE AREAS AND TOOK THE CHANCE OF GETTING STUCK IN THE SOFT SAND JUST SO THAT THEY WOULD NOT BE HARMED AND I HAVE DONE THIS SO MYSELF. OF COURSE THEIR ARE SOME THAT DISRESPECT THESE THINGS, BUT THEY ARE FAR AND FEW. IT WOULD BE ASHAMED TO CHANGE THIS RULE AS IT HAS BECOME A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF PEOPLES LIVES TO BE ABLE TO ENJOY THE SURF, SAND, SUN THE PEACE IT HAS TO OFFER. I FOR ONE WOULD BE GREATLY AFFECTED BY THIS AS I STATED ABOVE OF COMING TO THE SHORE. IT GIVES ME A GREAT TIME TO FORGET ABOUT HOMELIFE FOR a while AND ENJOY THE OUTDOORS IN THE OPEN AREA AND SMELL THE AIR OF A GREAT PLACE. THERE IS NO OTHER PLACE THAT OFFERS ME THIS. AS FOR THE PEOPLE THAT WANT THIS RULE CHANGED, TO ME THEY ARE JUST ACTIVIST THAT KNOW NOTHING OF THE OUTDOOR LIFE, HAVE NOT EXPERIENCED THE FUN AND JOY OF REALLY TAKING IN A DAY AT THE OCEAN AND couldn't CARE LESS ABOUT WHAT THEY TRYING TO CHANGE. THEY WON'T EVER EXPERIENCE IT, KNOW THE JOY OR GOOD TIMES IT OFFERS AND WHAT IT MEANS TO FAMILY AND FRIENDS, THEY JUST WANT

0000390

TO HAVE SOMETHING TO ARGUE AND BITCH ABOUT BECAUSE THEY CAN'T STAND PEOPLE HAVING A GREAT TIME WHEN THEY HAVE NO LIFE AT ALL--SO THEY WANT TO MAKE IT BAD FOR OTHERS AND TAKE AWAY WHAT MEANS MOST TO THEM. SO PLEASE DON'T CONSIDER THIS RULE CHANGE AND LET PEOPLE LIVE A HAPPY LIFE BY THE OCEAN OF FUN. THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO READ THIS AND HOPE YOU DO THE RIGHT THING!
EDMOND COTTRILL 1850 OLD HANOVER RD. SANDSTON, VA. 23150 WILDHEART51@AOL.COM SECURITY OFFICER RICHMOND COLISEUM

Correspondence ID: 24 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Jean, Joseph A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Ms. Bomar, Mr. Murray,

This note is in support of the effort that is being made to continue the time honored ORV access at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The letter below by Mr. Justice and Mr. Lomnicki expresses my feelings on the subject and I hope that you will use this note as another sign of support of what I come to love in the over 40 years of visiting the Outer Banks and especially the free access.

Respectfully,
Joseph A Jean PO Box 695 Locust Grove, VA 22508 joe.jean@usa.net
To Whom it may Concern

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing. Bill Justice Vice President Billy Lomnicki President

Correspondence ID: 25 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Suhr, Henrik
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mr Murry My wife and I are planning our retirement to one of our cottages in the OBX. One is on Buxton Beach and severely threatened by erosion because the NPS won't allow the restoration of the jetties and the other is in Frisco on the sound because it is equidistant to the great ORV access at the point and Billy Mitchell Field. We have been coming to OBX since 1968 when were on our honeymoon and have realized our dream of owning the properties that will allow us to enjoy our favorite activities in retirement - body surfing and fishing. I often brag to my friends that one can "live off the land" and have a natural exercise program in the OBX!

But ORV restrictions would destroy all we have worked for and dreamed about for our later years.
Our cottages are rentals to be financially feasible until we retire. The folk who rent come because they love to fish and swim!! The jetties and Cape Point are known to be the best surfing and fishing areas on the whole Atlantic seaboard!! For a surf fisherman to visit Cape Point is like a tennis player to play at Wimbledon or a football player to go to the SuperBowl. If ORV is restricted and/or made impossible countless folk will stop coming to OBX. My rental income will be severely adversely affected and my retirement plans decimated. Never mind the remaining seasons of drum and striper fishing that I hope to enjoy in my later years.
If you ever personally visit the beaches at Cape Point or anywhere near, you will find everyone well behaved, driving at slow and reasonable speeds and respecting the wildlife and NPS restrictions and marked areas. We are there because we respect and enjoy nature as much as anyone.
The beaches here are the extreme edge of the Plover's range. They are much better adapted to their breeding grounds in the midwest where thousands upon thousands live. The 8 or 10 chicks that have successfully flown away from The Point in the last couple of years were more threatened by gulls, cats, racoons and other natural predators than fishermen's trucks. They are mostly parked by the water's edge, not racing around as some might imply. Spend some time in ORV territory and you will see and agree.
I welcome the salt-water fishing license because there will now be a \$ and # count for the impact my favorite activity has on the economic and spiritual quality of live in the OBX and the region. Never mind my wife's and my own vacation and retirement plans.
Thank you for reading this and including my concerns in you deliberations on this important quality of life issue. There may be more lawyers in the in the birders and Audoban camp, but there are many more fishermen out there. And they respect and support the environment as much as anyone.
Thanks for your help and consideration
Henrik Suhr Henrik_suhr@hotmail.com

Correspondence ID: 26 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Oakley , Helen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Life changes, and goes on, and some groups of people like to take control of all people. It should not be thusly, and everything being reported is not necessarily true. I have been a Cape Hatteras groupie for most of my adult life. I am 72 years old, and my Mom and Dad before me instilled the love of the islands. When my baby was 6 weeks old, I carried him to the Hatteras Camp Ground and we visited there with his Grandparents... he does not remember the first trip because he was mostly asleep on a pillow. From then on, his memory is quite good, from digging holes in the campground (all sand back then) on through carrying buckets of water from the community spigots. This was all in tents... going on to a larger tent, to a truck camper to a mobile home, to my son working his summers in Hatteras during his college years. Now he is an employee of our wonderful State, with children of his own, all sharing memories of trips to our National Seashore and the great times is has made possible to all of us. We also have a daughter, who has daughters, and Cape Hatteras is a common bond for all of us - from the youngest (now 8 years old) to the elder Grand (74 years old), that's my husband and me!!!
My children have memories of being in CH with parents and grandparents - now our grandchildren have memories of their parents and their

grandparents. What a wonderful history we have, with the camping, living, boating, fishing, making lifetime friends among the locals and going clamming, shrimping, working nets with them. Cape Hatteras is so entwined in my life, if I tried to remove it there would be huge holes that are simply empty. But now, we are older, no longer feel safe in going out in the boat alone and too crowded to take the family, so we now spend more time on the beach than ever. If we can't drive.... how do we get there? The man who THINKS he is so rich that he can control everything would not allow us to defile HIS property by parking near his home or going through HIS privately-owned property. We're no longer able to hike to the beach from the campground - might get there but couldn't get back - even carrying a bottle of water, a chair and an umbrella would be formidable for us - heaven help us if we wanted to take a bucket, rod/reel, bait and cooler, we'd never make that trip even one way.

Please help us maintain our privilege of seeing the ocean while in Hatteras, of enjoying the beach and watching our youngest members make memories like we have been able to make. We are just as much environmentalists as the bird-watching folks. The only difference is, we have been doing it much longer and with absolutely no horn-blowing, until now. We pick up trash - we help other people just as they help us when needed, and we are very good neighbors animals & people around us - our children and our grandchildren have been taught likewise. Much of the time, all weather conditions, we simply sit in our vehicle, watching the birds, crabs, porpoise, schools of fish, passing boats, sometimes a seal - we will sit there quietly for untold hours, loving every minute we are able to sit and observe. We go at night to watch the meteor showers (because there is so much light in the neighborhood now - and our neighbors have their rights as well.) Please do not take our rights from us by allowing an elite group of people to keep the whole deck of cards and to play from up their sleeve at every turn! They can afford to make this an issue at the turn of every season; we are not so lucky.

We spend our money, pay our taxes, know all the restaurant owners by first name, frequent the motels with our out-of-town guest, know those owners and their children. We buy groceries, pay light and phone bills, frequent local gas stations, shop the local hardware and gift shops, pay dock fees, buy bait and fishing equipment buy local crafts, contribute to the food pantry, make donations to local charity groups. But, we feel we are at the mercy of those who do not know us, do not know what we do - do not help keep the local economy healthy and do not hesitate to slander us at every opportunity. There are many older folks in the area that have lived their whole life in anticipation of being able to do those things I have described to you. They may not be aware of what is happening with those who would gladly take away every privilege we have on our very own soil. You are our only hope for the preservation of our God-given rights. I could never stand before a group of people and tell my story or ask for our age-group to be considered, but with "pen in hand" so to speak, I am asking that you do it for us.

Sincerely, Helen Oakley from Greensboro and Frisco, North Carolina

Correspondence ID: 27 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Erb, Jay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm

Superintendent Mike Murray,

As a park ranger in Chester County, PA, I have witnessed the damage that is possible through inappropriate ORV use. As we begin looking toward the spring season and the beginning of wildlife nesting season, I encourage you and the National Park Service to develop and enforce policies that will protect endangered species nesting habits through the temporary closings of important habitat areas. The inconvenience incurred by some park visitors today, is certainly worth while when compared to the possible loss of species for future park visitors. Respectfully submitted, Jay Erb 1153 Temple Rd. Pottstown, PA 19465

Correspondence ID: 28 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jessup, Frank
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: To Whom it may Concern:

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to

the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms.

All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable.

Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having

ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium.

The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach.

As an Audubon member I must say more endangered birds and turtles have been run over by scientist than fishermen.

In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years.

Peace and LOVE

Frank Jessup 23 Maple Street Westhampton Beach, NY 11978
 9826 Mariners Avenue Key Largo, FL 33037

Correspondence ID: 29 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berding, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: To whom it may Concern:

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess

themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.
Sincerely Mark Berding 11225 Groves Road New Kent, VA 23124 berding@att.net

Correspondence ID: 30 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Nuchols, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mike, My name is Barbara Nuchols and is received the above handicapped permit in 2006. I have been trying for almost a month now to get my permit for 2007 as the permit expires on Dec. 31 of each year. I sent the necessary forms which were exactly the same thing I had to fill out last year and have been calling Steve Thompson to no avail. He will only say he will send them when he gets around to it. Now just how long does it take for him to simply put the new permit for 2007 in the mail? This is just a stall and not called for showing no respect for the handicapped and senior citizens. Another thing.....why should I have to fill out new forms each year when all the information is still the same? If I had moved which I will not be doing as long as I am able to get around then I would certainly send him a new address. We got our CRFL fishing license on the very first day they became available but now the NPS is dragging their feet. I would appreciate it very much if you could look into this matter and have my 2007 permit mailed ASAP. Thank you for your time and keep up the good work. Have a nice day. MAY THE GOOD LORD BLESS AND KEEP YOU AND YOURS.
LEONARD & BARBARA LEE NUCHOLS

Correspondence ID: 31 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Horner, John R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: To whom it may Concern: I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing. Sincerely; John R Horner, Jr. PO Box 2262 Surf City, NC 28445

Correspondence ID: 32 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dempsey, Connor
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: My family have been fishing at CHNS for over 30 years. We visit at least 10 times a year, spending 400-600 dollars per trip for a weekend, and sometimes a week of fishing, eating and beach walking. We truly love this piece of heaven and relish every minute we spend here. The article published by the Audubon magazine proves to everyone that visits the CHNS regularly that they really are trying to turn the islands into a complete wilderness sanctuary without regard to the true facts, people that own them...the people of the United States of America, and especially to the people that have lived on these islands for centuries. When birds become more important than people, the world is out of focus. I refer you the the letters of Virginia Luzier, who has done more research on this matter than anyone that will be on the reg-neg committee. Her knowledge, attention to details and tenacity to report the facts as they exist will prove to be valuable to the cause of public access for ALL citizens of this country. The public is being persecuted by the rich, who don't use this area and most probably have never been here. You have done an outstanding job to date, You said 'If I do my job, neither side will be happy.' and keep up the good work. The beaches are for everyone to enjoy (like the original decree stated), not just the birds.
Connor & Pete (Anne) Dempsey 402 Mt. Vernon Dr. Wilson, N. C. 27893 252-237-8881 connordempsey@hotmail.com

Correspondence ID: 33 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cain, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike,
The opinions and misinformation contained in <http://audubonmagazine.org/features0701/incite.html> , give me considerable cause for concern. I visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore frequently to admire the beauty of the area, the animals and birdlife, and to fish the surf. I am more than aware of the risks surrounding human encroachment on wildlife domains, but believe that selected prohibition of access to such wonderful natural resources presents an unconscionable restriction on the rights of many who are very conservation minded. There will inevitably be a few whose careless trespass creates opportunity for the fanatical conservationist to lobby for additional restrictions, but the

fanatic is not alone in their abhorrence of the loss of wildlife of whatever form.

My point in writing is to ask that you help temper the radical demands of the ardent conservationist groups and, rather than support the imposition of draconian restrictions, require that the Parks services, who already have the authority and responsibility, actually ensure that the native floral and fauna receive adequate protection.

Many thanks for your attention to my request, Brian Cain
Direct 919.851.9932 Fax 919.851.1905 Cell 919.412.6649

Correspondence ID: 34 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Neil M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Hello Mr. Murray. I am dropping you this note to join my fellow Outer Banks beach users in stressing how important access to the CHNS beaches are to my family. For years we have fished and roamed the beaches at will and would be deeply saddened to see these traditions curtailed. Thanks for your time. Sincerely, Neil M Smith 486 Tom Absher Rd. Scottville NC

Correspondence ID: 35 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harper, Dennis C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I live in Roanoke, VA and drive to the outer banks of NC twice a year. I make the journey for one reason, and one reason only ? the surf fishing! If access to the beaches were denied ORV, I can assure you of one thing: I wouldn't continue to make this trip twice a year w/out having access to the surf, which we currently enjoy.
I would say this; however, you'd have a hell of a lot of less traffic on the point if ORV traffic were denied. As much as I enjoy surf fishing, I have a difficult time seeing myself walking the distance from the parking lot to the point in hopes of getting into a fish in the surf. I've met people from Canada, Michigan, Boston, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Indiana and Tennessee that have traveled much greater distances than me to enjoy the surf fishing at the point.
Let's face it, you've got the greatest show on the East Coast ? please, don't screw it up!
Respectfully, Dennis C. Harper

Correspondence ID: 36 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Akiyama, Jiro
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mike Murray, Superintendent CHNS 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954
Dear Mike, I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing. Jiro Akiyama,

Correspondence ID: 37 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm
To: Mike Murray, Superintendent
I have just finished reading the current Audubon magazine article "Beach Bums" concerning your current policy of letting off-road vehicles run amok, with the result of ravaging native birds and wildlife and their habitat. What about the common good don't you understand? Are you so intimidated by the local motorized recreation groups that you have given up your NPS mandate? I would really like a response by you to this article. I am truly disgusted, angry and saddened by the state of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 38 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kaine, Jean
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
We are writing to show our concern for the beaches of Cape Hatteras. Each year more and more ORVs are using the beaches as roadways destroying the natural habitat and beauty of the once pristine granduer that had existed for so long. Please do all that you can do to limit the usage of ORVs on the beaches. It would be greatly appreciated.
Sincerely, Mr. and Mrs. Brian Kaine

Correspondence ID: 39 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Silvey, Mary Jane

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,04,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
I was disappointed and saddened that we are not protecting our seabirds on Cape Hatteras, especially from off the road vehicles. Please put an end to this neglect and protect our seashore and our seabirds.
Thank you, Mary Jane Silvey

Correspondence ID: 40 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DeVane, Max
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
Please do your job and enforce the Endangered Species Act as written, not as a powerful lobby has persuaded you to not enforce it. NO vehicles, other than safety and patrol vehicles, belong on a National Seashore. Your failure to enforce the law seems as criminal as the acts that are taking the lives of species listed as threatened and endangered, i.e. against the law.

Correspondence ID: 41 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holstein, Lawrence
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,03,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir:
It is important for me to drive on the beach. I am disabled and can't take my wife surf fishing any other way. This is one of the best places to surf fish in the world, and it is part of our national heritage. President Roosevelt felt this way in 1937 as I am sure you know.
Thank you sir, Sincerely, Lawrence Holstein
P.S. As a child I was a member of the junior Audobon Society, I think the late Mr. Audobon would have found all these closures and the like a bit much himself

Correspondence ID: 42 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hanson, Eileen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Mike Murray,
As I read my copy of Audobon Magazine Jan and Feb 2007 issue I am greatly saddened at the state of all these birds. Due to the indecency, negligence, the uncaring carelessness of human beings who drive worthless vehicles, wasting precious gas, called ORVs. These stupid vehicles should be banned from the USA. Why are these vehicles in here. Put these people in jail or make them pay a huge amount which will discourage the stupid vehicles from using Cape Hatteras. Maybe we need a huge hurricane like Katrina to wipe out these developments and stupid vehicles from coming there. See the hurricane will be good for Cape Hatteras and all the birds and turtles but not the ORVs. So, I would suggest that you should start taking care of the birds and turtles and do your job correctly because after all I am sure you have grandchildren and coming great grandchildren and you should think about their future. Don't you want them to see what you see now with the birds and turtles? I remember growing up in the summers in Stone Harbor, New Jersey. There were 100 piping plovers in a flock or more. I just witnessed 30 Piping Plovers in a flock in Florida in Oct 15th 2006. After all you are getting a nice salary I am sure you aren't just in it for the money. So do something fast please, sincerely, Eileen Hanson A very concerned citizen for all the birds manatees, turtles, alligators, etc. Be a sport do the right thing, unload the useless ORVs they are not welcomed anywhere in America, not just Cape Hatteras.

Correspondence ID: 43 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Noyes, Art
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray, I know you have more than enough to do but I have to add a little to the pile. I am most upset at the misleading statements that are flying around from the Audubon Society. I certainly never expected that fine group to be making the types of charges that are going around. It has served no good for any one and now seems to have caused a great sense of polarization between the groups involved. The charges and counter charges are regrettable. I think both groups should be working together. It almost seems that Ted Williams and his group are trying to upset the Negotiated Rule Making process. I hope that these charges by both groups do not stop or slow the negotiations before they even get fully under way. That would be most unfortunate. I am a member of several surf fishing clubs and an officer in United Mobile Sportfishermen and I ask you to please continue to support ORV use in the Seashore. Thank you for your efforts. Sincerely, Art Noyes

Correspondence ID: 44 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hedgepeth, Alan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: To whom it may Concern:
I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms.
All user groups would like to think that their purpose and use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures is inexcusable.
Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashores throughout the country. Several whales, turtles and seals have beached themselves and due to the ORV users initial efforts to contact proper authorities, some of these sea dwellers have been able to be returned to the sea or taken to a facility for continued care of them like the Baltimore Aquarium and other appropriate facilities. Without the ORV users access, these sea dwellers may not have been found in time resulting in a higher mortality rate.
ORV user groups have come under much criticism and have been labeled the "bad guy" for enjoying physical close access to nature. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over piping plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority. Quite the contrary, ORV users routinely leave our beaches

cleaner than the condition we find them by cleaning up trash that others leave behind and that which is wash ashore by the ocean. ORV users also adhere to postings be it nests, speed limits or protected plants. Safety and environment are of the utmost importance to ORV user groups. These groups often work cooperatively with the NPS in these efforts. While it is recognized that there are some individuals that have a disregard for protection of any natural asset, it is encouraged that they be seen just as individuals and should be dealt with as such. Placing regulations and limitations on the majority for individual actions is extreme and punishes all.

In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations as a result of this technically inaccurate and propaganda article bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many years.

I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain access to our beaches so we can enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

With Regards,
Alan Hedgepeth
100 Tobacco Road
Henderson, N.C. 27537

Correspondence ID: 45 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lick, Robert E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Robert Lick tufdog@earthlink.net EarthLink Revolves Around You.
January 18, 2007

To: Mike Murray, Superintendent CHNS

I am certain you are receiving many messages pro and con regarding ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS). This is especially true after the very critical article toward the administration of CHNS that appeared in the Audubon magazine. I would like to offer some comments regarding that article.

With over 55 years of surf fishing along the east coast utilizing a beach vehicle, I never knew I was such a bad guy and despoiler of the environment until I read the article in the Audubon magazine. The author of the article, Ted Williams starts off by entitling the article "Beach Bums." I take offence to being called a "bum," and consider Williams an elitist when he does so. I can assure you I am not a "bum."

There are many statements made in the article that are of course false and assumptions made as facts. I could write at length about the inaccuracies, but I will only comment briefly to preserve reams of paper. I am certain saving a tree will make Audubon happy.

Vehicles have been utilized on the beaches of Cape Hatteras almost since they were first used as transportation, but Williams doesn't miss the chance to blame our relatively new President for the supposed problems.

Williams fails to mention that when first established National Seashores were to be managed as National Recreation Areas. All along the eastern coast fishermen worked very hard to establish National Seashores, this writer included, and now Williams wants us removed.

If true, I certainly do not condone the harassment of a Mr. Kayota in any way, shape, or form. It reminds me of ecoterrorism tactics which Audubon is familiar.

I find it interesting that Williams reports that there was only one successful piping plover nest at the seashore and it was at Cape Point. It sort of contradicts his entire anti access article when we know Cape Point is the most utilized area by fishermen.

Williams reports ORVs ran over two least tern chicks and the article contains a photo of what is supposed to be a chick ran over by a vehicle. I will not say it never happens, but to put it in perspective; in all my 55 years of beach travel and an activist for beach access, I have never heard of an incident like described by Williams other than one other occasion when a similar picture was published in another environmental group's publication. This incident was later proven to have been staged and a fraud.

Williams interprets lack of nesting and failed nesting at CHNS as a loss. It is highly possible that the birds simply left and nested elsewhere, thereby increasing nesting success at another location.

Yes, I agree with Williams, predation is a problem, and probably the biggest problem in nesting success. I am certain predation accounted for far more chick loss than the two that Williams attributes to ORV's last year. Should we not go after the major problem?

Mr. Williams states, "I walked the top of a high dune..." What is a finger pointing, environmentalist doing walking on top of a dune? Any surf fishermen that has been on the beach for even a short period of time knows this is a no-no for environmental reasons. Maybe if Williams spent time on the beach with an ORV fisherman he might learn more about the environment.

When discussing the CHNS negotiated rule making, Williams quotes a Derb Carter, of the Southern Environmental Law Center as saying, "But I really question whether the ORV crowd will accept any level of compromise..." One thing I have learned during my many years as a recreational access advocate is the environmentalist definition of compromise is when you cave in to their unrealistic demands.

As expected, nowhere in his article does Williams discuss the economics of ORV visitors to CHNS. ORV fishermen visit virtually the entire year and this is certainly a significant benefit to the adjoining communities.

Superintendent Murray, I wish you much success as the negotiated rule making process progresses. I am confident you have my organizations support.
Robert E. Lick

OBPA NCBBA NJBBA LIBBA UMS RFA

Correspondence ID: 46 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lick, Bob
Outside Organization: NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Dec,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

Your request for "What Hatteras Means To Me" that appeared in OBPA's Beach Keeper takes me all the way back to 1967 when I first visited the Outer Banks to surf fish. I have been a avid surf fisherman for fifty years. My fishing trips have often taken me anywhere from Maine to the Outer Banks. My first beach vehicle was a 1930 Model A Ford modified to ply the sand offland Beach State Park in New Jersey Since 1966 I have used a number of self-contained 4x4 camper type beach vehicles to access many beaches along the coast. I have experienced endless hours of enjoyment at Cape Hatteras National Seashore and caught many fine fish As I am now a senior citizen it is even more critical for me to be able to access the beaches via a 44 vehicle as I wind down a life of surf fishing. I urge you to do all in your power to insure 4x4 access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore continues. I wish you and yours a very Merry Christmas and a Happy and Healthy 2007. Bob Lick NCBBA, Life Member OBPA Member

Correspondence ID: 47 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gallo, Thomas S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Dec,22,2006 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

My Name is Thomas Gallo and I live in Goldsboro, NC. My family has been vacationing on the OBX for the past 33 years. As a matter of fact my wife and I spent our honeymoon on the OBX back in 1973 so it holds a very special place in our hearts. One of the main reasons we enjoy going there is the freedom we have of driving on the beaches.

My son and I are avid surf fishermen and if you know anything about the sport, one must often travel the beaches in search of "fishable holes". This is identical to boat fishermen who must move from place to place on the water in search of fish. Restricting vehicular beach access would have a deleterious impact on surf fishing and the commercial businesses which depend on the sport.

Traveling the beaches also enables my family to find some privacy on an otherwise crowded stretch of beach. We have many fond memories of simply getting away from the crowds and being alone with nothing but the sounds of surf and birds, not to mention the miraculous scenery. I can't count the number of times we have spent watching beautiful quiet sunsets by ourselves.

In addition to visiting the OBX with my family, I have made countless trips to the OBX with my fishing buddies. No matter whether or not we catch any fish, we enjoy each others friendship and company (some of us only get together once a year for these trips). I know for a fact that these trips would never have materialized if we could not take our vehicles on the beach.

I would like to conclude by saying that I am a proud member of both the OBPA and the NCBBA. These two organizations believe as I do regarding beach access, "Preserve & Protect Not Prohibit" and "Beach Respect".

Yours Truly,

Thomas S Gallo 206 Connie Circle Goldsboro, NC 27530

Correspondence ID: 48 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lewis, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Dec,29,2006 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm

Dear Mr. Murray,

I am writing to you concerning an article I just read in Audubon. I was appalled to learn of what is happening on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. There is so much wrong with that situation, I can't begin to describe how I feel about it. I cannot believe how these ORV's are running over chicks and eggs and they don't even care. It's amazing to me how people can care so little about their environment and the living creatures around them.

I just want to encourage you to stand firm in your job to protect this area. There is no reason for people to be driving their vehicles on the beach. Have we not taken enough of the natural areas that exist in the U.S.? We need to ensure that our species have protected areas in which to live. Nesting birds should not have to worry about their nests being destroyed or having their babies run over by vehicles.

Not only are ORV's bad for wildlife, but many people are not going to want to visit Cape Hatteras if they have to worry about vehicles running them over on the beach. The only reason I would want to go to Cape Hatteras at this point is to help Mr. Maddock protect the birds' habitat. If the NPS doesn't do something soon, there are going to be some angry people on the environmentalist side going down to Cape Hatteras some people a piece of their minds.

It is just ridiculous that we cannot keep a National Park protected from being destroyed. Politics should not play into this. There should be no question: the beach should be shut off from ANY offroad vehicle access. People are so selfish to think that the seashores are for their use only. These birds, like the piping plover, was using this long before any of them were ever thought of. How dare we go into their home and destroy it. Please encourage your director to take a stand and to not compromise with people like Dave Goodwin. We need to demonstrate to him that that kind of behavior is not tolerated.

Thanks for all you are doing to try to protect our National Parks.

Sincerely,

Donna Lewis

Correspondence ID: 49 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lewis, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I am writing to you today to encourage you to continue to work hard to protect the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I hope that you have also received my email on this matter. I just learned in the recent Audubon magazine that there are ORV's completely destroying the beach; running over bird eggs and chicks, crushing turtle eggs, and running birds out of the area. I was just so angry when I read that article. I could hardly finish it because I wanted to express to you how I feel about this matter.

I cannot believe that ORV owners, fisherman, beach-goers, etc. are so insistent on driving their ORVs on the beach and have such blatant disregard for the welfare of the birds and turtles that nest there. I am just sick of our "protected" parks, reserves, seashores, etc. being exploited for the sake of politics; so that we don't tick anyone off. I am ticked off. What about the people that think our nations seashores should actually be protected, that our wildlife should not have to worry about their nests being run over by some speed-hungry, ignorant, lazy idiot? Can't people walk to where they want to fish? I know that I am not being very professional right now. I am in the environment/wildlife profession. I am just sick of our wildlife being taken advantage of. People think that humans and what they want are the only things that matter. The National Park Service needs to stop compromising and close areas that need to be closed in our parks. I don't think that ORVs should have any access to the beach. If I were in your position, I would not be very popular. Not only are these people (like the Fish Mojo guy) driving all of the wildlife out of the area, save for predators, they are also threatening human lives. To have someone almost run over a 5-year-old child is appalling. I have a newborn, and if someone did that to him, they wouldn't have an ORV anymore. It is amazing to me that these people have almost run over even children and still act like they have every right to drive on that beach. Please continue your hard work for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. If I am ever able to visit that area in the near future, you may get called out onto the beach. I will be standing there with Mr. Maddock, waving people off. Thanks for all your hard work for wildlife.

Correspondence ID: 50 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burns, Susan C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: To whom it may Concern:

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms.

All user groups would like to think that their purpose and use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures is inexcusable.

Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashores throughout the country. Several whales, turtles and seals have beached themselves and due to the ORV users initial efforts to contact proper authorities, some of these sea dwellers have been able to be returned to the sea or taken to a facility for continued care of them like the Baltimore Aquarium and other appropriate facilities. Without the ORV users access, these sea dwellers may not have been found in time resulting in a higher mortality rate.

ORV user groups have come under much criticism and have been labeled the "bad guy" for enjoying physical close access to nature. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over piping plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of

0000397

trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority. Quite the contrary, ORV users routinely leave our beaches cleaner than the condition we find them by cleaning up trash that others leave behind and that which is wash ashore by the ocean. ORV users also adhere to postings be it nests, speed limits or protected plants. Safety and environment are of the utmost importance to ORV user groups. These groups often work cooperatively with the NPS in these efforts. While it is recognized that there are some individuals that have a disregard for protection of any natural asset, it is encouraged that they be seen just as individuals and should be dealt with as such. Placing regulations and limitations on the majority for individual actions is extreme and punishes all.

In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations as a result of this technically inaccurate and propaganda article bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many years.

I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain access to our beaches so we to can enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.
With Regards,
Susan C. Burns

Correspondence ID: 51 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Parker, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I just read, with great sadness, the article titled "Beach Bums" by Ted Williams in the most recent Audubon magazine. I am an Ecologist with the US Forest Service, so I do sympathize with the difficult position you are in. However, I must tell you how appalling it is for me to learn about the current use of ORVs at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. You see, Cape Hatteras was where I spent summers as a kid. My connection to that inspiring landscape helped lead me to a career in natural resources. Its been about 15 years since I've been back, and I've been planning a vacation at Hatteras with my husband and two young sons. I so wanted to introduce them to the place of my childhood. But no longer. I will not spend one single dollar in an area that is so hostile to native species and native landscapes. Not one dollar. As far as I'm concerned the place has been spoiled by ORVs and I will not return until the situation is remedied. I'm sure you hear every day from people who hold an opposing view, but consider how many silent voices are out there. How many are NOT visiting in silent protest? Mr. Murray, please stay awhile and get this mess straightened out. You may never win any local popularity contests, but you will be a hero to the "silent majority" of us.
Thank you, Linda Parker Phillips, Wisconsin

Correspondence ID: 52 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bick, Michael J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I am writing to express my feelings about beach driving privileges at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.

I will keep it short and to "the point".
I have been coming to Avon, NC since the early eighties, with my in-laws to vacation and fish. My father-in-law who had been coming to the outer banks since the 60's, showed us how to fish the outer banks, and how to respect the outer banks.
My fondest memories are riding on the beach in his old Jeep Wagoner.
Whether we were fishing at the point or looking for shipwrecks and seashells north of Avon, I can not imagine coming to Avon and not being able to enjoy this unique activity.
As I continue to come to the outer banks with my family (we visited three separate times last year), I have taught my boys how to fish, but more importantly, how to respect and protect nature in this little slice of heaven. Before my boys could walk, they enjoyed riding on the beach, and now they continue to enjoy it as we head to the point or south beach to wet our lines. When I told them of the possibility of no longer being able to do this, they asked "what is the point" and "how are we going to get to the point". I could not answer them.
I have read the numerous articles, post boards, etc. relating to beach driving privileges. I am aware that there are numerous protected/angered species that are on these beaches. However, if there is a ban on driving in this "Recreational" Area, the true sportsman/fisherman will be "endangered" in the outer banks.
I could go on and on, but I said I would keep it short. Please allow us to keep enjoying this Recreational Area as we have in the past.
Sincerely,
Michael J. Bick Caroline R. Bick Alex R. Bick Chris L. Bick 3 Fairwood Ave. Norwalk OH 44857 419-668-7002

Correspondence ID: 53 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Strode, DH
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Hey Mike,

Attached is an executed copy of a letter offering my support to ORV use at Hatteras. I thought a little color beyond the form letter might prove useful to you. I've been traveling to Hatteras to fish, surf and bird watch (yes bird watch) since I was growing up on the East Coast. I've now been in California for over 30 years; but for the past 25 consecutive years I have traveled annually to the Hatteras seashore with family to enjoy the pristine beauty, fish, surf and observe a myriad of wildlife including the birds.
During my fall visits I routinely see migrating snow geese, swans, canadians, as well as, my favorites; the raptors. I regularly spot osprey, many varieties of hawks (red-tailed, red-shouldered, american kestrel) and on rare occasions bald eagles. While bald eagle sitings are rare, I can assure you that I have had many more bald eagle sitings at Hatteras Seashore than I have encountered ORV users abusing the land or sea. Obviously, there are a few bad apples in the ORV crowd. However, most ORV folks understand the pressure that is being brought to bear in the political arena in an effort to limit fishing and beach access and we go out of our way to take care of the precious resources including making sure we speak out on the very few occasions we happen to witness abuse.
In closing, I'd like to mention that we face similar battles on the West coast where special interest groups work through the political process in an effort to curtail access to our fishing resource. In my humble opinion, the special interest folks are doing what they think is right but have little or no regard for the numbers of people affected or economic impact that will result from the restrictions they are seeking. Perhaps most importantly, it is very difficult to gather statistics to determine the condition of the species, whether it be snowy plovers or silver salmon. The experience to date out here is that decisions are often made hastily and based upon what turns out to be inaccurate statistics. The result is not just loss of access; but loss of careers.
Good luck
(See attached file: Hatteras ORV Issue.pdf)

Correspondence ID: 54 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Strode, DH
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter**Correspondence:**

To Whom it May Concern,

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms.

All usergroups would like to think that their purpose and use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore as the most important, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures is inexcusable.

Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashores throughout the country. Several whales, turtles, and seals have beached themselves and due to the ORV users initial efforts to contact proper authorities, some of these sea dwellers have been able to be returned to the sea or taken to a facility for continued care of them like the Baltimore Aquarium and other appropriate facilities. Without the ORV users access, these sea dwellers may not have been found in time resulting in higher mortality rate.

ORV user groups have come under much criticism and have been labeled the "bad guy" for enjoying physical close access to nature. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over piping plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive too fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority. Quite the contrary, ORV users routinely leave our beaches cleaner than the condition we find them by cleaning up trash that others leave behind and that which is wash ashore by the ocean. ORV users also adhere to posting be it nests, speed limits, or protected plants. Safety and environment are of the utmost importance to ORV user groups. These groups often work cooperatively with the NPS in these efforts. While it is recognized that there are some individuals that have a disregard for protection of any natural asset, it is encouraged that they be seen just as individuals and should be dealt with as such. Placing regulations and limitation on the majority for individual actions is extreme and punishes all. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations as a result of this technically inaccurate and propaganda article bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain access to our beaches so we too can enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

With Regards, DH Strode

Correspondence ID: 55 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Bob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Bob Davis PO Box 1224 Buxton, NC 27920 ackleybc@aol.com January 19, 2007

Mr. Michael Murray, Superintendent Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Dear Mike;

Thank you for this opportunity to explain how we came to love the Outer Banks.

My first experience was in 1970. I was part of a group of 8 men who worked for a glass container manufacturer in New Jersey. We had a common interest in surf fishing and formed a fishing club. That November we mounted our first week long expedition to the Outer Banks to explore the rumors of exceptional fishing for red drum and blue fish. I bought my first four wheel drive vehicle (an old jeep) for this trip. The necessity for a second vehicle in the family was a happy coincidence. I learned a lot about repair and maintenance of vehicles from that old jeep.

The club rented two cottages at the Rodanthe Pier with the idea that this was near the middle of Hatteras Island and would serve as a good base for our first trip. My baptism occurred the first evening as I wandered along the shoreline looking for shell. I was knocked over by a sudden wave and my waders filled with sand and water. This established a long lasting respect for the violence of the surf along these steep beaches.

With our four vehicles we spent the week roaming the beaches from Nags Head to Ocracoke village searching for fish and comparing notes at the end of each day. We survived our first jumbo blue fish blitz at Cape Point that ceased only after all of our tackle boxes were emptied of every metal lure which we possessed.

What a fantastic experience to drive all of these beaches with a variety of rods and tackle right at our fingertips ready to target the diverse species of fish offered by the rich Carolina waters. In New Jersey we were forced to park a block or two from the beach, pack our gear in surf bags and hike to the water with only one rod. Vehicle access was on just a few beaches and was limited by seasonal and permit restrictions. Basically the best areas were reached only by hiking. With my present old knees I could never hike to those places again.

Visitation to Cape Hatteras National Seashore grew with the passage of each year and increase in available vacation time. Along with the annual November pilgrimage with the fishing club there were family vacations each summer. Our family camped at Oregon Inlet, Cape Point, Frisco and Ocracoke park campgrounds. Whenever I had enough money and could put together a four day weekend, I would sneak down for a fishing trip and stay at a small trailer in Cape Woods Campground. In 1989 I purchased a piece of land in Buxton Woods. In 1994 I invested my life savings to build a modest home and retired here. If Pilkey's forecast is correct, my children should own a beach front home and most of the park will be under water. While planning for retirement I was comforted to think that no matter how the villages developed there would always be free access to the beaches of this National Seashore.

Travel along the Outer Banks beaches by ORV has produced some great memories: 1. Brilliant colored sunrises and sunsets with those fluffy clouds forming above the Gulf Stream. 2. Dolphins body surfing and somersaulting in the near waves. 3. Looking down between my boots to discover a big fossilized shark tooth. 4. Huge fish breaking water sometimes as close as the shell line. You wonder if you really saw that! It happened in the blink of an eye. 5. A night beach alive with ghost crabs. 6. Those spectacular views of the starry night skies when you drive far enough away from the village light pollution. 7. Watching a thin dark line on the horizon that grew thicker and longer then suddenly the air was full of thousands of birds diving to feed over water turned to froth by marauding jumbo blue fish. Those blues ran between your feet and drove croaker, sea mullet and trout right on to the sand desperate to escape those sharp choppers. 8. Spiking a couple of rods then eating lunch on the tailgate to watch semi-palmated and piping plovers scurry for their own dinner just two yards away. Most of the time the truck was surrounded by the birds, all of us living in harmony. Even that visiting snowy plover came within five yards to drive away a laughing gull who invaded his territory. He surely was a real feisty little guy! 9. Hearing a flurry of wings and looking to see a hawk make its kill against the face of a sand dune north of Avon.. 10. Once in a while catching a fish for dinner. 11. Enjoying Barbara's little dance on her first visit to Cape Point in 1991 when she was knocked down by her wave and had to extract the sand fleas from her bathing suit.

Every trip to the beach is another memory to be cherished.

This was an idyllic life in retirement until the Park Service began to change their management of the beaches. By the turn of the century Resource Protection was gaining ascendancy over the traditional recreation use of the beaches. The growth of massive bird enclosures and restrictions in beach travel have polarized the villages against the Park Service. There are a few supporters for the new policies including some beach front house owners who benefit by exclusive use of "their" beaches and a few vocal ecologists. The majority of villagers who once were a prime source of park volunteers have become disenchanting and even antagonistic toward the Park Service.

Much of the problem stems from those NPS actions which the public perceive as flying in the face of common sense: 1. Eviction of campground visitors into the perils of a hurricane. 2. Refusal to repair Pole Road. 3. Obstructions to the recover of Hatteras Village from Hurricane Isabel. 4. Destruction of the One Way Road parking area north of Buxton. 5. Refusal to drain standing storm waters near Cape Point. The road from the light house to ramp 43 and 44 used to be a pleasant drive for the public. Now this area is just downright ugly. The very least that needs to be done would be to cut down all the dead trees and brush that stand as a constant reminder of this foolishness. There are many other issues involved other than visual impact. I fully support Jim and Virginia Luizer's position on this drainage problem. 6. Construction of year round bird enclosures. Vegetation has encroached into these areas with subsequent loss of bird habitat. 7. Lack of timely adjustment of enclosure borders for tidal events which induce the public to drive within the enclosure line to access their normal recreational area. This inflates the "violation data" which becomes fuel for environmentalists to portray the Park Service as inadequate to cope with a "lawless public". 8. Adherence to a Natural Process method to manage sea turtle reproduction. Refusal to relocate

nests has resulted in the destruction of half the eggs laid within the Seashore.

These are part of the legacy which you have inherited. Regardless of the facts and background these are the perceptions of the islanders that you should come to grips with.

Speaking of perception, I have just finished reading the Ted Williams article about Sidney Maddacks and the Outer Banks. Some ecological spin would be expected in the Audubon magazine but the gross distortions are quite disturbing. It is deplorable to consider that this article represents the Audubon position entering the Negotiated Rulemaking Process. You should not be personally offended by the Audubon article. Consider that these folks are being paid for their efforts. This is their business. Sometimes it's just about money!

Your friend, Bob Davis

Correspondence ID: 56 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Birdsall, Liz

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Dec,26,2006 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I would like to state that the current management plan .of limited ORV access to the Hatteras National Seashore is fine. Please do not allow further vehicle traffic to the beach area, it would be most upsetting, for wildlife, and people enjoying the beach as well. Traffic if allowed on the beach would disrupt all that is treasured about the Hatteras National Seashore. Please I ask that you strongly consider limiting vehicle access to the beach and continue with the current management plan.

Thank you for your time,

Sincerely,

Liz Birdsall Berthoud, Colorado

I would be happy to comment further if you would like.

Correspondence ID: 57 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Meyer, Geoff

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Dec,28,2006 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

Though not a resident of the Outer Banks nor owner of a home / business, I felt the need to contact you about this very important issue.

First, let me say that I am nota big time fish-person (politically correct) nor do I visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore other than when my family and I come down for vacation.

However, I have been coming to the Outer Banks since 1967-1968 can't remember exactly and have seen many changes (both good and bad). I use to be under the foolish impression that everything can stay the same (this is obviously not the case). Maybe I'm being naive, but the need for negotiated rules for OR V usage should be based on the ability to access the area in question (can the beach area in question be traversed safely or is there a need to close certain areas for safety / environmental reasons (see plover, turtle etc.)

I understand the need to block off the beach areas in front of the villages during the tourist season (too many people to safely negotiate the beach; though this may be questioned by those with more experience than I in driving the beach (yes I do drive the beach) and yes I even understand the need to block off areas for environmentally sensitive reasons. I also understand the need to block off areas that due to climate conditions are not currently safe to traverse. What I don't understand is the reason for negotiated rules. Yes, rules / laws are important, but to what point. Enforcement of said rules / laws is hit or miss at best or complete miss on other occasions. The law against speeding for example is on the books for a reason (vehicular / personal safety), but enforced by radar traps etc. (this does not catch all violators).

Is there currently any written law (federal / state) prohibiting the use of OR V's on Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches? If there is, then obviously it is not being enforced (I don't mean this as an insult) just the way it is. Ifthere isn't, then NPS should implement usage policy(ies) that is (are) applicable (common sense) but also enforceable. Ifusage policy(ies) can't be enforced why have them in the first place. If it is determined that usage policy(ies) are necessary versus" good ole common sense" then it behooves the NPS to ensure applicable manpower is present so as to enforce said usage policy(ies). I have noted many occasions where people shoot fireworks on the beach. If I remember correctly, it is illegal to shoot fireworks off the beach anywhere along the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Here's the ironic part of this scenario, how many locations along Route 12 currently sell FIREWORKS. I don't blame NPS for this, but the law is the law and NPS does not have the manpower to enforce this law to extent necessary.

To be honest I was pretty much under the impression that most people who drive the beach are fairly intelligent and responsible people. Yes, there will always be the JERK, MORON, IDIOT (s) in the group, just like there will always be people who for whatever reason decide to speed. -, However, to implement usage policy(ies) just to implement usage policy(ies) is unproductive and can lead to unpleasant scenarios (see plover uproar of2005). Ifno current law exists prohibiting ORV usage on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, "COMMON SENSE" should dictate usage policy and not the whim of any special interest group. I freely admit that I am a member of NCBBA and OBP A for reasons solely attributable to preserving access / rights to drive the beaches, not as I see fit but within specific policy. Does Negotiated Rule Making imply making a "LAW" or to establish a USAGE POLICY?

My memories of the OBX / Cape Hatteras National Seashore are many; from the days when the only place to shop for food in Avon was Charles' Store or drive down to Connors; or when Dockside Hatteras next door to Frank and Fran's tackle shop in Avon was the local movie theater; when highway 12 had no traffic lights, better yet, when highway 12 was closer to the beach. I remember the Lighthouse before it was moved, before the jetties and the sand bags in the hope to prevent erosion from reaching the Lighthouse; I remember when there was no Canadian Hole; I remember going out to the beach and being amazed as to how the ocean was so quiet / calm one minute and then how ferocious the same ocean became with the onset of a Nor'easter or Hurricane. I remember being chased 2 consecutive years from vacation because of Hurricanes.

I also remember the uproar that grew as a result of the Plover under the previous Superintendent. I refuse to bash someone who for whatever reason saw fit to institute policy that was counter-productive to all persons (including NPS) affected by the Plover. I would rather commend you as the new Superintendent for establishing a much better rapport / line of communication with all persons (individuals as well as commercially) who need / want / desire / wish access to the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. ORV usage has and hopefully will be permissible long after you and I retire to whatever / wherever. Granted, you will not be able to please everyone all the time, but you at least are willing to listen and provide feedback; it may not always be positive to some but at least you communicate (for that I personally thank you).

As a long time tourist, my sons have grown quite fond of the OBX and would rather vacation on the OBX than go somewhere else. I apologize for the length of this email but as you can see I am quite fond of the area and would like to see "Common Sense" used when ORV usage is discussed / negotiated. I also realize that there are other concerns that you need to deal with (the ponds, drainage, potable water etc.) but I am comfortable in saying that I think you will tackle the issues to the best of your ability and will try and do your best to satisfy as many if not all persons who live and / or visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. For me I think Cape Hatteras has found someone who truly believes that having the communication skill-set is not necessarily a bad thing.

With respect,

Geoff Meyer - resident of New York (unfortunately)

Correspondence ID: 58 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mooney, Elizabeth V

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm
 Dear Superintendent Murray:
 My husband, Tom, two Blue State residents of New York City, rendez-vous in the Outer Banks every few years with our Red State family from Charlotte and Houston. I can tell you quite honestly that we all are agreed that endangered shorebirds can and should be protected from human harm, whether pedestrian or motorized.
 For ideas about how to win the necessary public relations war about this issue, I suggest you contact two places: the management of Gateway National Recreation Area, which waged a fierce but successful battle over this with the homeowners in the Breezy Point section of Queens (a NYC borough); and the town supervisor of East Hampton, a wealthy Long Island, NY, resort community where advertising mogul Jerry del Femina looked like a selfish pig in the eyes of his peers when he objected because nesting plovers forced him to relocate his July Fourth fireworks bash in 2005.
 Godspeed in your efforts to follow the Bible's exhortation that we provide stewardship, not just dominion, over His Creation.
 Sincerely, Elizabeth V. Mooney 100-10 Ascan Avenue Forest Hills, NY. 11375-6812 718-261-6385

Correspondence ID: 59 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Dec,31,2006 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:
 I hope you will work to restrict recreation vehicles on the park's beaches. It seems to me that the very survival of animals that have lived for tens of thousands of years is more important than ORVs racing up and down a beach.

Correspondence ID: 60 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sharaf, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mr. Murray,
 I am writing to ask you to do everything in your power to protect the shorebirds of Hatteras Island. Humans have taken over so much of the earth and we have left so little for the other creatures who share it with us. It doesn't seem like too much to ask to give them some space and protection on the National Seashore. I walked to the point a few weeks ago while in Hatteras (I live in RI) and was haunted by the sight of a cormorant who had become entangled in someone's discarded fishing line. The poor creature was bleeding and couldn't fly or swim and was left to die on the sand. Even our seemingly benign actions (leaving a piece of line on the sand) can have dire consequences for other creatures. I ask for your compassion on behalf of those who cannot speak for themselves.
 Thank you and happy new year,
 Erin Sharaf
 "True human goodness, in all its purity and freedom, can come to the fore only when its recipient has no power. Mankind's true moral test, its fundamental test (which lies deeply buried from view), consists of its attitude towards those who are at its mercy: *animals*. And in this respect mankind has suffered a fundamental debacle, a debacle so fundamental that all others stem from it." - Milan Kundera

Correspondence ID: 61 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kindinger, Jenny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 My family has vacationed on the Outer Banks for over 25 consecutive years, staying as far north as Corolla but mostly on Hatteras Island near Rodanthe. Last year was our first year staying in Buxton, and my first year to "The Point".
 I read the recent article in Audubon magazine in disbelief of what was being said. Going out to "The Point" was a terrific experience totally unlike any of our other trips to the Outer Banks. Surely there has got to be a way that the 4WD vehicles and nature can co-exist. The area that the fisherman want access to is practically inaccessible to pedestrians. You certainly know that a fisherman or just someone who wants to be on the beach all day can't walk from the ramp area with coolers, chairs, towels, fishing gear, etc. It is impractical to even try transporting all that stuff over the sand on foot. Not only do I want to continue having the right to this part of Hatteras, I wouldn't mind a few port-a-potties and trash receptacles :)
 That being said, I hope the powers that be realize the inaccuracies in the article. We don't want to drive down the beach in Rodanthe, Salvo or Avon. There are few places left on earth where we have the freedom to enjoy our beach to the fullest. The Point was a place that I observed people fishing on one side, and swimming on the other. It provided a protected area thanks to a sandbar, where little ones could play in the water without getting pounded by waves. People were fishing off the sandbar, too. Everyone shares this unique area and respects the wildlife. The fishermen realize that accessing this land cannot be taken for granted. If it gets closed off to ORVs, you're just going to be left with a bunch of sand out there and lots of disgruntled taxpaying tourists and locals.
 I want Cape Hatteras to be accessible to the fullest for my children and their children to come. My father is legally blind, and has difficulty getting to the beach even with walkovers. Driving him onto the beach makes his life so much easier. I've read great things about you, and appreciate your dedication to the park I love so much.
 Sincerely,
 Jenny Kindinger Logan, Ohio

Correspondence ID: 62 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kiser, Dan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray, Many of my friends and family have been going to Hatteras Island for decades, drawn to it for its wonderful clean beaches and quiet lifestyle. Some of us have taken that next step and purchased homes to visit each year, hoping to retire in those same homes someday soon.
 I'll be brief here, as I'm sure you're a very busy man. Please keep the beaches open as much as possible, without fees being charged. Those of us who have visited and poured our souls (and dollars!) into the island cherish the environments and the particular unique freedoms that come with Hatteras. It's tradition. It's what the islanders negotiated for years ago. It's what drives much of the economy. It's what's right.
 Of course most of us agree with the NPS continuing its monitoring of the beaches and wildlife. The NPS has been doing a great job at balancing the needs of the visitors and islanders vs. nature and there is no reason this shouldn't continue. Please keep things as they are.
 Regards, Dan Kiser (Avon, NC and Woodbridge, VA)

Correspondence ID: 63 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Storrs, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

I've been visiting the CHNS for about 8 years now. I am not a resident of the island, but do enjoy my 3-4 weeks there every year. I am an avid surf angler, and love my time on the beach. I have a lot of fishing buddies on the island, as well as those that don't live there but fish as often as possible on the beaches, including Pea Island, Cape Point, and Hatteras inlet. The vast majority of us are good guys, interested in the better good of the animals, including plovers and sea turtles, and not the slobbs many people would think of us as or label us. Most of us fishermen have learned to accept the limited beach space closed to the birds, such as last year's closure near the hook and even though we don't like it, we accept it and comply with the rules. I do understand that some people disobey the rules, driving in bird enclosures or throwing trash on the beach. I am unaware if you are a fisherman or not, but I think you would find that most of us are good people, a great bunch of guys and girls who obey the regs and understand a limited amount of beach closure. However, I don't believe the people who disobey should have ORV access restricted for everyone else. Stiff fines or ORV restriction for ONLY THOSE WHO BREAK the rules is a much better answer in my opinion than completely shutting down the beach to off road vehicles. Many of us are just there to fish, we clean up after ourselves, and respect the wildlife. I know some of the plovers die from being crushed by vehicles, and some say they can get stuck in the ruts of the tires, but a ORV restricted beach would have its own set of hazards and small number of plovers on CHNS now and historically compared with other areas is not enough to do something so radical as completely closing off the beach to all ORV's to me. Cape point and the rest of the island is sacred ground to drum fishing. It is many of our home away from home, a place we can go to get away from it all. A loss of access would be very bad for many of the local businesses, and beach fishing is not only a source of income for many residents but is a way of life. I am unsure of where you stand on this issue, of access or closing the beach, but please consider those of us who follow the law and look forward to everyday we can spend on the beaches of Hatteras island.
Chris Storrs

Correspondence ID: 64 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Monette, Daniel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Mr. Murray, My name is Daniel Monette I am concerned about all the talk about beach closures. I fish on the outer banks 85-95 days a year. So I spend a lot of money that goes to the local economy. I have talked to my fellow fisherman about how many would come down to the OBX if the beaches were permanently closed to ORV's, and I think it is a safe guess that 80-85 percent would go elsewhere. And I feel that the same goes for other beach users. If this were to happen the local economy would go downhill fast everyone is going to be affected by this matter. I respect the bird and turtle nesting sites and do all that I can to educate others of their importance. I am also a member of NCBBA and help with the beach clean ups I no that there are some bad seeds but please keep in mind there are more good than bad
Thanks for your time and the good job you have done since you took this office Daniel Monette

Correspondence ID: 65 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crumpton, Doug
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Mr. Murray, I wanted to drop an email to let you know how important off road access is to me and the rest of my family. I have been going to Cape Hatteras since the fall of 1975 and my father before me in the early 60's. He is the main reason that I still go there every fall to fish the beaches of Cape Hatteras, from the wonderful memories through out my life of some of the best fishing trips that anyone could ask for.
Now at 43, my son is following the same path going with me and his grandfather to fish the beaches of Hatteras as we have for so many years. With the upcoming ORV management plan and the propaganda being spread by the environmental extremists I felt that it was necessary to let you know how important this resource is to so many that have used it responsibly and respectfully for so long. I shutter to think that my son who is now 7 would miss out on all that I have enjoyed for the past 30 plus years.
I saw an article by the Audubon Society that painted an ugly picture of fishermen using the outer banks of NC, honestly I doubt that whoever wrote that article has ever been past Nags Head nor have they ever seen what a Nor Easter like the one this past Thanksgiving can do to the beaches at Hatteras. I have seen it many times and there is absolutely no way that off road usage could have a fraction of the impact that the normal weather does on the resource.
Thank you for trying to bring balance back to the issue of off road usage since the departure of Belli (thank god he's out of there). You seem to be willing to see both sides of the issues at hand here and realize how important it is to keep access free and open on the island.
Doug Crumpton

Correspondence ID: 66 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mack, Gene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Mr. Murray, I do not envy you. ORV users vs. tree huggers vs. federal law, what to do? Are your instructions from whomever or whatever federal agency you are directly accountable to clearly laid out? Yours has got to be a tough position to be in at times. My two cents worth now. My wife and I travel about 380 miles each way four to five times per year to visit CHNS. We have vacationed nowhere else the last 10 or 11 years. I am a responsible ORV user. I admit that as in any user group, that every OVR user is not always as responsible as they should be. I was appalled to read the article by Ted Williams. How many innocent trees had to die in order to provide material to print his tunnel vision, closed minded, environmental wacko views? The scary part is the fact that the article was published by a "respected" magazine and a large part of the readers may assume that the author is some kind of "Expert" or that the article was written from an objective viewpoint. I was overwhelmed at the author's view on natural predatory behavior. It sounded to me like he wants to re-write God's natural laws. "I, GOD, deem the plover worthy of existence, but not you fox. I don't know why I even created you". If I remember correctly in another letter I read on the Internet addressed to you from some attorney representing some environmental group, they pointed out how slack enforcement was for permitting "kite flying" on the beach as the kite might be confused with a predatory hawk, causing the birds to flee the unhatched eggs thus resulting in the failure of said egg. At what time does it become absurd? As far as endangered species go as a whole, I do not understand why the federal government thinks it has the wisdom to discern which species is intended by God to continue and which is to end. With all the major environmental horrors that mankind inflicts on this planet, driving on a beach seems mighty small to me. Mr. Murray, this whole mess is about access. As an example, if all beach roads were closed and beach driving prohibited as the tree huggers want, who would ever set foot on the southern most end of Ocracoke island? With the exception of OVR users, how many people do you see using the beach even one mile beyond an existing parking area? Enough of my ramblings. Good luck in the new year and trying to keep the peace. My wife and I hope the beaches at CHNS stay open to nearly unrestricted OVR use. If not, we will find another coastal park community at which to spend our vacation dollars. Cape Lookout or Portsmouth are closer anyway and do not seem to be suffering as much from restricted use and area closures. Even closer to home Fort Fisher State Park is an acceptable alternative September thru March when they have 24 hour access. Thank you, Gene Mack

0000402

Correspondence ID: 67 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Miller, Bonnie

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,03,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: RE: Protecting Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Dear Superintendent Murray and Director Bomar,

In August of 2006, our president announced that his administration would promote a new park policy that would favor the protection of natural and cultural resources over ~. recreation. Now I learn that Off Road Vehicle interests dominate the "stakeholders" group working supposedly for the goals of the National Park Service and to protect this precious resource forme and other visitors. This is a shame.

I would expect you both to protect this public land for all of us and for the future generations. Please do your jobs.

Correspondence ID: 68 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Luttich, S.N.

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

The term "beachbums" does not appropriately describe the character and behaviour of the Off-Road-Vehicle (ORV) users and Outer Bank Preservation members, who are bringing ruin to the seashores of Cape Hatteras' National Seashore and North Carolina's Outer Banks. The word is much too kind, and, fails to do justice to who they think they are. These are America's politically correct juvenile delinquents, whose psychological orientation more matches the insurgents who the Nation is doing battle with in Iraq. They are the adultized infants and infantilized adults, whose sense of self-worth and signifI cance are only achieved through unrestrained use in the size of their vehicles, and, the trash and tracks they leave behind in their passage. Their sensitivities seldom extend beyond themselves. They are selfish and greedy and, do not know how to 'express ' themselves, but, through belligerent ignorance. While this is sad; sadder still is the fact that they are our people. North Carolina Outer Banks is not the only place where they are found; although, the southern states have more than a fair proportion. But, they are found in California, Texas, and Nebraska and throughout the Nation and World. They do not care and want the World to know they do not care~ except to leave their marks, as only so much more graffiti, on the World, so they World can lay witness to their being or passage everywhere. We have a psychological issue, with escalating ecological and legal implications. In an increasingly congested World this behaviour can only lead to war and the trashing of not only the national parks, but, the globe in which we all live and have to depend to survive. However, they are not concerned with anyone's survival and pleasure, with the exception of their own. Only they and their like-spirited are important.

Unfortunately, while no easy solution is readily available, offering them a seat at the table in the absence of others who are more caring and whose perspectives are more comprehensive is not only no solution, but, is only capitulating to the lowest common denominator in our society. These people are aggressive, hostile and mean-spirited; and, only through the strength of the vehicle they drive and the changes they wrought upon the environment do they believe we will come to know them. Our jails are already full, and, adding more will only worsen the situation. They believe they do not need others; and, others believe they do not need them. The only solution will come when we all believe we really need each other; but, reaching that critical mass is far easier when numbers are fewer, rather than greater. The global demographic trends are not encouraging. Put to rhyme, "sweet green grass from east to west; lots of room to go and come; I love my fellow man the best; when he is scattered some".

The ultimate psychological culprit is the power of a person's ego. We have ourselves a growing mass of people, all of whom want to "be somebody important". They are unable to find pleasure in the quiet solitude of insignificance. These, people cause trouble; but, the solution to their trouble will not be found in acquiescing to their untamed desires. All . people need to understand, ITeedom in a civilized society is not without limits and restraint; and, one does spill their filth into the river ITom which we all have to drink. "Spare the rod and spoil the child!" - and some people never grow up!

Correspondence ID: 69 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Akers, Frederick E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

RE: Permitting Vehicles on the Beaches at Cape Hatteras National Seashore Dear Superintendent Murray: I have just read in Audubon Magazine about how Cape Hatteras National Seashore permits vehicles on the beaches despite obvious and appalling jeopardy to birds and other wildlife. This is a travesty.

I hope that you will have the courage to put an end to it.

Correspondence ID: 70 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Donald, Umstadter

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Mr. Murray,

We were shocked and distressed to learn from a recent Audubon magazine article that you are permitting ORVs to be used at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, which we had assumed was protected as habitat for shorebirds and other wildlife and as a venue for nature-watching enthusiasts. We realize that recreational vehicle enthusiasts also need a venue for their activities; however a National Seashore, which is a sensitive ecosystem, is a completely inappropriate location for such high impact activities. It is our understanding that several federal laws - the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Treaty Act, and the General Authorities Act - forbid ORV use unless it can be demonstrated that it won't compromise natural values. Furthermore the Seashore's enabling legislation requires that it be permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness. Allowing ORVs is hardly conducive to maintaining a primitive wilderness. Although one of us (Rachel Simpson) has visited several times in the past, we as tourists have no desire to visit Hatteras now, both because of the noise pollution and danger posed by ORVs and because our recreational activity of nature-watching is absolutely incompatible with use of the seashore by ORVs. We urge you to take whatever measures necessary to protect the Seashore from destructive uses.

Thank you very much for your time. We look forward to being able to visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore again when it is in a condition deserving of its reputation as a national treasure.

Correspondence ID: 71 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Warhol, Thomas A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Mr. Murray,

In this new year, we are writing to express our hope that changes will occur in the management of nesting bird habitat on Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and that the National Park Service will implement its long anticipated management plan. Given the remarkable drop in plover and other nesting shorebird populations, the mission, at least to our concerned citizens' eyes, seems clear: protection of this precious resource and implementation of the basic conditions outlined in the Draft Interim Protected Species Management Strategy plan. Additionally, we'd like to express our hope that the final plan will be completed with expedited efficiency. With populations as low as one successful nesting pair on the seashore in 2006, there could be no

clearer call to action.

We would also like to express our support for the plan to replace the Bonner Bridge that takes into account both protection of coastal habitat and the cheaper cost associated with the longer bridge plan that bypasses Pea Island.

As concerned citizens and supporters of sustainable alternatives to resource protection, we urge you to hold fast to the principles of the National Park Service to "protect unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the national park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations." Having worked in the field of natural resource management, we recognize the need for and difficulties of obtaining a balanced solution. However, clearly there can be room for a compromise that allows for at least the survival of these beach-nesting birds, and hopefully the recovery of their populations.

Correspondence ID: 72 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Frazer, Scott E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:

This letter is written to request your direct intervention to significantly reduce or eliminate Off Road Vehicle (ORV) access and use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The damage to natural resources, especially severe decline in successful bird nesting is directly a result of human activities, notably ORV use of Cape Hatteras. I have observed similar destruction to coastal areas near my home that required restrictions to recreational use to protect the public interest in natural resources. It is unfortunate that our increasing human population results in the need to regulate recreational activities. However, not to do so would be a violation of the public trust that you are legally mandated to uphold.

Please inform me the process and time frame that is going to be followed to bring public use on Cape Hatteras into conformance with applicable federal laws. I would like to be added to your mailing list for this issue and participate in public hearings or review of plans that are conducted. Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: 73 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sheibley, Kathryn

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

In the fall of 2005 while visiting my sister in Winston-Salem, I spent a week on the Outer Banks including Cape Hatteras. With my binoculars in hand I was hoping for a time of peace and relaxation in the presence of nature, but that was not to be. With what seemed to be unregulated development and a blank check for ORV's, the region was not the restful haven I had imagined, and I came away most disillusioned.

After reading the article in the January - February 2007 issue of Audubon, it would appear the National Park Service in charge of this North Carolina national seashore has lost its direction as a protector and restorer of native ecosystems and instead has acquiesced to the demands of other powerful forces.

I urge you to make the protection of natural and cultural resources not recreation the priority in your role as Superintendent of Cape Hatteras National Seashore before it is too late.

Correspondence ID: 74 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Swensen, Joy L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray: I have just finished reading Audubon's article about beach nesting birds in their Jan-Feb 2007 issue. It seems to me that the National Seashore is doing very little to save migrating and nesting birds.

ORVs do not belong on the beach in my opinion and it's about time that stewards of the beach stood up for what is right, not what is popular.

Correspondence ID: 75 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: McBride, Mary A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:

It is disturbing to learn that you open the beautiful North Carolina seashore to off-road vehicles, particularly during the time when birds are nesting. The use of off-road vehicles on any beach destroys life and the surroundings there and most particularly the lives of innocent wildlife that are simply trying to live their lives in a safe environment that God created for them. It is thoughtless and cruel to allow the use of off-road vehicles on your seashores.

Here are two quotes from great men from the past: We need to "widen our circle of compassion to embrace all living creatures and the whole of nature in its beauty." Alfred Einstein "If you have men who will exclude any of God's creatures from the shelter of compassion and pity, you will have men who will deal likewise with their fellow men." St. Francis of Assisi Please stop and do not allow the use of off-road vehicles along any of North Carolina's seashores or anywhere else where wildlife live and have sanctuary.

Correspondence ID: 76 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Davis, Steve

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Sir:

I am writing you about the management of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. My family and I have visited there for many years, but recently it has become a sad and dangerous experience because of off-road vehicles, unleashed dogs, jet skis and other environmentally destructive activities.

Professional park/land management policies should favor low-impact recreation over high-impact recreation for obvious reasons. When my family visits Cape Hatteras for swimming, photography, bird watching and family bonding, we do little if any damage to ecosystems, flora and fauna.

But off-road vehicles, jet skis and similar types of recreation absolutely destroy flora and fauna. They also create air, noise and water pollution, and endanger the lives of my family.

My family was almost run down by off-road drivers, many of whom are intoxicated on alcohol and other drugs. They have zero respect for ecosystems, flora, fauna, and even human life.

Motorized recreation should be banned from the Cape Hatteras land and sea corridor. Motorized recreationalists prevent my family from engaging in our low-impact recreation. These high impact folks harm birds, fish, people, and habitat.

I urge you to fight hard to protect flora, fauna, habitat, and those of us who want to hear the sound of wind and waves, not machines. Increase protected areas for birds and other life, and ban the machines. It's the moral thing to do.

Sincerely, Steve Davis Clearwater, Florida advancedliving@hotmail.com
 CC: Mary Bomar, Director, NPS

Correspondence ID: 77 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Morton III, Floyd T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: I am sickened by an article I read in the Audubon magazine about the ORV in Hatteras. I am a husband, a father, as well as a surf-fisherman. I consider myself to be a conservationist and preservationist teaching my family how to appreciate our natural resources. "Beach Bum" as described in the article, I am not.

I live in Delaware where I am a director in a prominent fishing club. I come to Hatteras to vacation with friends and family. I agree some, not all fishermen can be "Beach Bums", so why keep everyone off the beaches?

The article I read was inconsistent with itself. With the loss of 1;>each, ghost crabs, foxes, cats, raccoons, storms, and grackles how can ORV's be responsible for 99% of the loss of wildlife. I am a simple man, I don't have lots of money or a house in Hatteras or Wash. D.C., but what I do have is common sense. Why should these people with clout and backing with a chip on their shoulder who wants the beaches to themselves have it all. I don't have much, but I am not asking for much either.

PLEASE KEEP THE BEACHES OPEN FOR ORV USE.

Floyd T Morton III

Correspondence ID: 78 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hilty, James P

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: To Whom it May Concern:

I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a DRV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The DRV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive too fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact DRV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pick up the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations 'bashing' the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally DRV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping DRV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

Correspondence ID: 79 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Evelyn, Alan J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

As a fisherman who has vacationed at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I wish you to know that you and your staff have provided me, my friends, and family with many enjoyable and memorable experiences. One reason for our enjoyment is the access that ORV's have to the beach. In contrary to recent comments by Ted Williams in an Audubon publication, I believe that the current policies and regulations provide for the needs of all user groups while protecting the environment and enhancing the natural resources of the National Seashore. In his writing, Mr. Williams sites the alleged actions of a few and uses a "broad brush" to paint the ORV community as a danger to little children and wildlife. Nothing could be further from the truth. ORV communities are family oriented and conservation minded. It is unfortunate that the Audubon Society has chosen to publish an article that is one-sided, full of inaccuracies, states opinions as facts, and contains undue criticism of your department. Protecting piping plover is complicated like many other endangered species issues. It is all too easy to blame one user group as entirely responsible for the decline of the piping plover population. If Audubon was really serious about this issue at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, they would be calling for a comprehensive strategy that included reduction of all predation and increasing habitat. This would mean the trapping and removing all foxes, raccoons, cats, dogs, and gulls. This strategy would also advocate the use of eminent domain to remove home owners like Dr. Kayota (quoted in Audubon article), whose act of building a home in piping plover habitat has contributed to the piping plover's demise. I know and admire Ted Williams. He has a lot of important and productive things to say about fisherman being good stewards of our environment. However, calling me a "Beach Bum" is neither important nor productive. I encourage you to consider the needs of all user groups and all causes of piping plover decline in evaluating your conservation efforts. I would like to thank you for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors while protecting and enhancing our natural resources.

Correspondence ID: 80 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sharlow, Beth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: In response to a recent article that appeared in Audubon magazine, I was made aware of the ORV problem at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. There appears to be an appalling abuse of the seashore by ORVs with completely inadequate response by the Park Service to protect endangered wildlife. I travel frequently to visit National Parks and National Wildlife Refuges and have never witnessed such a flagrant disregard for the Endangered Species Act and Federal Migratory Bird Act. My family and I had considered traveling to the Cape Hatteras area and will not do so based on these conditions. My travels, as well as the travels of numerous birdwatchers in this country infuses the U.S. economy with millions, if not billions of dollars annually into local restaurants, motels, gift shops, gas stations, and other retailers. This income undoubtedly surpasses revenue from the ORV crowd. I mention this point so that if you do not care about the wildlife you are designed to protect, maybe you will care about money. I frequently visit my mother in Florida and go to Cape Canaveral National Seashore, where this behavior would never be tolerated. Why is it tolerated at Cape Hatteras? I urge you to enforce the existing laws for the benefit of wildlife and for future generations who have a right to appreciate the few natural areas remaining in this country.

Correspondence ID: 81 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mannino, Anna Maria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:

As a member of the National Audubon Society, I was appaled to read in the January-February 2007 issue of the Augubon magazine an article titled, "Beach Bums." The article focuses on off-road vehicles (ORVs) monopolizing barrier beaches on North Carolina's Outer Banks. The overuse of ORVs on your shorelines is disrupting and destroying th nesting attempts made by birds of your region. I am sure you agree that it is of utmost importance to preserve and protect the ecosystems of our country, ad that of your coastlines. If behooves you to take even more precautions to make sure nesting grounds are guarded and protected from the negligence of those who feel it si fun to run over a plover. oviously, these people don't have much regard for their environment. Would you please take this letter to heart and try to protect the nesting grounds of your shorebirds? Pr one day, the OUtter Banks may not have any birds to call their own.
Thank you for your consideration.
Sincerely,
Anna Maria Mannino

Correspondence ID: 82 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allan, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir, I just read a piece about Cape Hatteras National Seashore in the latest issue of Audubon magazine which prompted me to write. In April of 2006, we spent several days on the Outer Banks camping at a National Park campground. We liked the location of the campground and its easy access to the beach. It was a great surprise to us to find the beach always crowded with big trucks and SUVs. We could not imagine that such vehicles would be allowed on the beach; we had never seen that before. We noted the constant coming and going of vehicles and the deep ruts they made in the sand. We also noted that sitting on the beach could be dangerous. It was necessary to be aware of the trucks that gathered speed as they approached the incline that led out to the exit because they were not particularly careful about us. It was not our idea of a lovely day on the beach listening to the waves and the birds. Instead we listened to truck engines reving and breathed truck fumes. It seems that the owners of ORVs have bullied the Park Department into giving them preferential treatment over other users of the beaches and certainly over the wildlife. After visiting the Outer Banks, my husband and I agreed that we had no desire to return. We have stayed at the National Park campground at Assateague several times and prefer that area. It would appear, Mr. Murray, that you have your work cut out for you. I wish you luck, and I hope you get the support necessary to accomplish the mission of the National Park Service. Very truly yours,

Correspondence ID: 83 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allan, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir, I just read a piece about Cape Hatteras National Seashore in the latest issue of Audubon magazine which prompted me to write. In April of 2006, we spent several days on the Outer Banks camping at a National Park campground. We liked the location of the campground and its easy access to the beach. It was a great surprise to us to find the beach always crowded with big trucks and SUVs. We could not imagine that such vehicles would be allowed on the beach; we had never seen that before. We noted the constant coming and going of vehicles and the deep ruts they made in the sand. We also noted that sitting on the beach could be dangerous. It was necessary to be aware of the trucks that gathered speed as they approached the incline that led out to the exit because they were not particularly careful about us. It was not our idea of a lovely day on the beach listening to the waves and the birds. Instead we listened to truck engines reving and breathed truck fumes. It seems that the owners of ORVs have bullied the Park Department into giving them preferential treatment over other users of the beaches and certainly over the wildlife. After visiting the Outer Banks, my husband and I agreed that we had no desire to return. We have stayed at the National Park campground at Assateague several times and prefer that area. It would appear, Mr. Murray, that you have your work cut out for you. I wish you luck, and I hope you get the support necessary to accomplish the mission of the National Park Service. Very truly yours,

Correspondence ID: 84 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kilpatrick, Mary S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Ms. Bomar and Mr. Murray: I was appalled to learn that the National Park Service is failing to protect nesting shorebirds on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The picture of the least tern chick lying dead in an off-road vehicle track in the January-February issue of Audubon Magazine brought, tears to my eyes. Why aren't you doing your jobs? The Park Service has a duty to preserve and protect our National Parks and Seashores for future generations and for everyone in the country. Instead, the Park Service is placing the selfish desires of a well-organized group of off-road vehicle users ahead of interests of all other National Park uses. If all resources are managed in this way, there will nothing left for anyone. What sort of country do we want to leave our children? I want my daughter to be able to enjoy seeing shorebirds as she grows up. I also want to know that there are places in this country set aside for wildlife. We humans have enough places to drive cars and build houses. Let the shorebirds nest in peace. This is what National Parks are for -leaving "native ecosystems unimpaired for future generations."

Correspondence ID: 85 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bryant, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has

come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

Correspondence ID: 86 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Muebel, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 87 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 88 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Huneycutt, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 89 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DiRocco, Carl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 90 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fonda, Andrew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 91 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hamilton, Norma
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Oct,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Dear Mr. Murray,
I am writing about the urgency of a ORV management plan for the National Seashore. It is tenible that birds needing the nesting habitat of the seashore are not adequately protected from human interference and killing. Many bird species are in decline and they need help. Your seashore should be protected as as complete an ecosystem as possible and ORV users should not be allowed to destroy it It is an ethical, if not legal requirement, that we Americans respect the marvelous variety of lands that have been set aside for conservation. If they are allowed to be degraded and to lose their animals and/or plants we will all be losing a part of our heritage. ORV recreation should take a back seat to protection of the seashore and should use other sites. Thank you for your attention.

Correspondence ID: 92 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wooten, Les
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 93 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bradley, Randy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Please do what is needed to protect beach nesting birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We have already lost too much habitat areas in this country. The time is now to draw a line in the sand to hang on to what wildlife diversity we have left in this country. Recreational vehicle owners have no right to steal natural heritage from me or any other citizen of this country. If they want to enjoy the great outdoors they must do so without destroying the very outdoors that came to enjoy. I would certainly not allow anyone on to my property if the only intent was to trash and destroy it. The off-road vehicle owners must not be allowed to destroy the nations wilderness areas. I fully understand that the current administration in Washington treats with contempt the environment and all who support it but this will all end in two more years. I say this as a conservative and I wonder what has happened to the party I support. What has happened to the party of Theodore Roosevelt? Please fulfill the mission of the National Park Service and do whats right to protect beach nesting birds on Cape Hateras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 94 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lindner, Dan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I have learned with great dismay that Cape Hatteras National Seashore is failing to fulfill its mission, as mandated by the National Park System, to protect flora, fauna, land, water and the natural process with which they interact, unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. With breeding bird populations plummeting, while off-road vehicles run amok and their lobby pretty much calls the shots, the present situation will inevitably lead to a barren playground for noisy, polluting machines while nature once again comes in last. It's a scenario repeated again and again across the country, as the Bush administration pays lip service to environmental protection while failing to restrain those intent on motorized recreation to the detriment of all in their path. It is my understanding that the Endangered Species Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act call upon National agencies such as the Seashore to place a high premium upon the protection of wildlife, especially those species threatened by human activities and other menaces. I also believe that executive orders issued by Presidents Nixon and Carter forbade ORVs in parks and other protected areas except where it can be demonstrated that their use does not compromise natural values. Finally, I believe that Cape Hatteras National Seashore's enabling legislation required it to be "permanently reserved as a primitive area."

I remember visiting the Outer Banks back in the seventies, enjoying the peace and the abundant birdlife I and my family found there. We put up with some pickup trucks on the beach; they weren't particularly intrusive in those days. ORVs, however are something else. I honestly don't see how they can even be permitted on the beach. And if they are to be permitted, they should be very strictly monitored and controlled. In fact, as I understand it, a management plan for ORVs was to have been implemented in 1972, 35 years ago! What's the holdup? Until operators of these machines prove themselves amenable to limitations vital to the safety of breeding birds and turtles, in terms of permissible areas, speed and behavior, they should be outlawed.

Here in Vermont we had a similar problem with snowmobiles. Although there is still a small "outlaw" element, a self-governing body (Vermont Association of Snow Travelers) has proven a reasonably effective means of self-regulation. But then, Vermonters historically have revered and protected their natural environment and wildlife. One could only wish the same were true of the ORV operators on the Outer Banks.

Please see to it that the Cape Hatteras National Seashore immediately steps up efforts on all fronts to fulfill the mandates of federal acts protecting wildlife and natural areas. The birds have no time to waste. Thank you very much.

Sincerely, Dan Lindner

Correspondence ID: 95 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McGlasson, Douglas C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I am an avid surf fisherman who has enjoyed ORV use at CHNS for more than 15 years. I would like to thank you for your supportive approach in meeting the challenge that has been placed on your department. As a former volunteer in the NPS backcountry, and an active, well informed outdoorsman, I understand the pressures that different user groups can place on managing our public resources. I have read the recent comments that the Audubon Society has published in their bi-monthly book, and found it to be inaccurate. Your department is not due such unbalanced and inaccurate criticism.

User groups with a mission to limit open access such as the Audubon society like to think that their purpose and use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is the most important. However, their tactics to manipulate public opinion in an attempt to influence the NPS on policies and procedures are inexcusable.

The Audubon Society publication fails to note the many positive outcomes of having ORV use on CHNS beaches and other seashores in this country. I know of several instances where ocean mammals have beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help, resulting in the mammal being rescued and successfully returned to open waters. These instances have helped increase knowledge of the species

The ORV user group continues to be labeled as the bad guy for enjoying nature in our application. ORV users have been told that we deliberately choose to run over or disturb wildlife, that we destroy the beaches and dunes, that we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. I have been able to enjoy an average of 15 days over the past ten years on the CHNS beaches, and have yet to observe anyone using a vehicle, fishing gear, or anything else in a deliberate attempt to injure wildlife. (I do remind people to release unharmed fish they are not going to consume). I have yet to see anyone drive onto a dune or into a closed area. I and other ORV users cart off trash to leave the beaches we visit clean. I personally remind others who I observe littering to take their trash with them. My fellow sport fishermen do not hesitate to contact the appropriate agency when we see violators, unsafe acts, or unsafe conditions.

I would encourage you to discount and dismiss all letters that you receive from individuals or organizations that bash the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years.

I would like to thank you for your on going support in helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing.

Correspondence ID: 96 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Noyes, Arthur
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am writing to ask for your continued support for ORV access at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am very discouraged by the article published by the Audubon Society recently. I feel that they have greatly exaggerated the problems and are looking to make the beaches their own little elitist reserve. It seems that every time any well to do group buys property on or near the water they want to close every thing around them. Residents and visitors have been driving on these beaches since before the Park was opened, now the Audubon Society wants to change this heritage and they can't understand why there is resentment. I fall to see why there is not room for all user groups.

I think that the Park Service has done a good job with the insufficient funding that they receive. I feel that better enforcement is needed as well as user education. I know this is a difficult task. I am sure that you know of the many good things that the ORV groups have done in the Park.

I am worried that if this is the true feelings of the Audubon Society how can they honestly be a part of the Reg/Neg for any management plan.

I want to thank you for keeping the park open for ORV access for fishing and enjoying this beautiful resource.

Sincerely yours,

Correspondence ID: 97 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howell, David A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that

has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated and challenging, however the comments that

the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. . All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable.

The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately run over plovers and turtles and that we also destroy the beaches and dunes, leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as the majority of ORV users abide by any po stings be it nesting areas, protected dunes, speed limits, etc. Furthermore, they will contain their own trash and many pick up anonymous trash as well.

In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational purposes.

Sincerely,
David A. Howell

Correspondence ID: 98 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Diggs, Clayton
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 99 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cooper, Ken
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 100 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 101 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kliznkas, Rodger
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 102 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, William R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir & Madam: I just completed reading an article in the Jan-Feb 07 issue of Audubon, in regard of ORV use and practices on and in the Outer Banks. Such use, EVEN IF the article is greatly exaggerated (and we do NOT believe it is) as may be claimed by the OTV Industry and those few individuals who so claim - the industry driven primarily by profit and individuals by complete disregard of the environment, animal or plant life or other people's concerns. These people apparently feel only that their "fun" should take priority over all other things. My wife and I have visited the Outer Banks thrice in the past decade, the last time in May, 2006. We really enjoyed the area. The Sea, the Sand, the Birds and All. How greatly diminished all this would be without the turtles, various birds and the natural terrain. We do not enjoy OTV's speeding around, specially destroying nesting/breeding places and causing erosion (you cannot convince me otherwise, especially in such sensitive areas). They not only tear up the ground or sand, but the dunes, the grass, but even more, tragic, the nesting areas. We also visited the shops in the area, the lighthouse (all of them, some for a second time) and other points of interest, like the wright Bros. Nat'l Monument, Ft. Raleigh Nat'l Historical Site and from Orcaoke to Corolla. We greatly enjoyed walking the beaches and observing the wildlife. Such areas (parks, Wildlife Reserves, Refuges) are not meant for, nor should be open for such activities as this. It is, or should be, a place that both animal/plant life has a place to catch it's breath and raise their young and also where people (under certain restrictions) may go visit and enjoy. We do NOT agree with the current administration's decisions/practices on this. You have charge of something unique and very important to the people and the wildlife. DO it right.

Correspondence ID: 103 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Forrester, Oz
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir, We stayed in the Outer Banks enjoying Wright Brothers learning experience and the fine beaches with easy public access. We are really concerned by the ORV traffic on these beaches. Not only does this traffic destroy shore-nesting bird habitat, but we were surprised to find ourselves dodging an ORV traveling too fast for a crowded area. We volunteer with Michigan Department of Natural Resources to protect nesting Piping Plovers along the Lake Michigan shores. We watch for hikers and their dogs. The nesting sites are fenced, but we are there to inform beach walkers of the need to avoid any activity that could cause the adults to abandon either eggs or hatchlings. No ORV traffic is ever allowed on these beaches. Why are ORVs permitted on your beaches? Doesn't the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act along with your own General Authorities Act require you to protect shore-nesting birds? Please exercise your responsibility to give protection to these endangered species.

Correspondence ID: 104 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lamarche, Virginia
Outside Organization: Monmouth County Audubon Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Sirs: In Audubon magazine I read recently that ORV's have the right of way nearly everywhere on beaches at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and nothing is done to save nesting shore birds. I read that when nets were put up by the Park Service to protect nests, ORV drivers complained. Nets were taken down and ORV's at once came in, driving right over nests and killing young nestlings... I saw photos of dead young shorebirds... That means piping plovers, sandpipers, sanderlings and other shorebirds that are already threatened by humans.... I live on the Jersey Shore and am amazed that this cruelty and waste of precious wildlife is allowed. On Sandy Hook we also put up fencing and cordon off areas to keep pedestrians from disturbing nestlings. We have volunteers nearby who stay on the beach and warn people away during nesting season.. I see no reason why this cannot be done in North Carolina. I therefore urge you to please enforce the ruling to keep ORV's off the sand when shorebirds are nesting. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 105 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schomody, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 106 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hudnell, David L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: To the attention of Superintendent Mike Murray;

I am writing this letter in response to your request for input on what Hatteras Island means to me. I am a native North Carolinian, I grew up living in Belhaven N.C., I also spent a considerable amount of time living and visiting Ocracoke Island. My step-father was a general contractor who built on the island in the late seventies, so I remember what a great place the outer banks were before development took over. I have loved coming to the outer banks all of my life. My wife also has been visiting the outer banks all of her life just like me. Her father purchased a summer home in Avon in the late seventies that he still owns and rents out today. We love to fish and have a 6 year old daughter who still cries when we have to leave the beach, (no matter how long we have been on the beach). As you can tell I am no letter writer, but I hope this short little note is enough to let you know how valuable access to the beaches of the outer banks is to my family. My wife and I have so many memories of great times with friends and family on the beaches, our biggest wish is that our daughter will be allowed to have the opportunity to make her own memories to share with her children. While understanding the need for preservation and conservation of resources, I do not know what good the resources are if we are prevented from enjoying them. Thank you for your time. I look forward to another great year of fishing the outer banks.
Sincerely~ David L. Hudnell

Correspondence ID: 107 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Revere, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Why was the seashore opened to ORV's in 2004? Since when do civilians dictate to the national interest at Cape Hattaras or anyplace else! They run over nests- threaten you obviously- nesting shore birds have enough difficulty with weather and predation of eggs by birds- crab (ghost) attacks and loss of shelter and food. What kind of man lets orv's dominate his actions to do his JOB! The Outer Banks belong to us all not just ORV's - fine them keep their ORV's- Do Something for those without voices

Correspondence ID: 108 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Garvey, Lydia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear. Mr. Murray,

I strongly urge you to NIX ORV's! The destruction is needless, senseless and against the mission of Our Public Lands. Do your job- protect our waters, lands and wildlife. Your attention to this most urgent matter would be much appreciated by all present and future generations of all species.
Thank You.
The Outer Banks is not a thrills/chills land, but precious sacred wildlands where species (our relatives) are trying to survive- Such severe disrespect is intolerable.

Correspondence ID: 109 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent,

I am very concerned about the impact of uncontrolled ORV use. Shorebirds breed for a very brief period of time and are susceptible to disturbances. The National Park System was established to protect natural systems and wildlife. On National Parks there should be no accommodations for wildlife deaths. It is time to rein in the uncontrolled use of ORVs and the damage they are causing to wildlife and ecosystems. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 110 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peck, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sup. Murray,

Just a brief note to express my deep concern over how ORV users seem to be bullying the management of Cape Hatteras Nt'l Seashore. I believe the bird life is more important than the amusement of the motorized recreation crowd.
Sincerely, John Peck
p.s. No reply needed

Correspondence ID: 111 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Law, Patrick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 I would like to express my concerns for wildlife everywhere, especially at Cape Hatteras National Seashore where ORV and Human impact is resulting in negative consequences for wildlife. Especially waterbirds and shorebirds.
 Myself and other responsible people and organizations solicit your support of a science based management plan that adequately protects habitats for waterbirds and shorebirds. That removes non-native predators from nesting areas and provides appropriate buffer zones between people and nesting birds. Wildlife needs your help and support.
 Sincerely, Patrick Law

Correspondence ID: 112 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Durkee, Evelyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:
 It is appalling to me that ORVs are allowed in areas of beach nesting birds. I appeal to you to see that the practice is discontinued and that efforts toward this end be hastened to stop the slaughter presently occurring.
 Yours Sincerely, Evelyn Durkee

Correspondence ID: 113 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clark, B.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray;
 I am outraged by the willful destruction of birds by those damn drunken hicks! Since Bush and "Cheney" are at sale of spec. interests, they have to be punished! Keep up the good fight- I helped save doves here and am proud of it. Shame on ORV's scum- stop them however!
 Ms. B. Clark Michigan

Correspondence ID: 116 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bove, Clifford
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am writing to you because of a very disturbing article in Audubon Jan/ Feb 2007 that I read. In short, the article described a deterioration of the beaches at Cape Hatteras Nat'l seashore due to off road vehicle use. As a result, a severe drop in the population of various shore birds and a dangerous situation for casual beach walkers. I urge you to implement an entire closure of Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore to any off road vehicles. The very idea of drivign one's car or truck on the sand of some barrier island beach is bixarre and not romantic or macho. It is indicative of one's lack of imagination, appreciation and reverence for the value of natural places. It is also down right laziness. So, to prevent further destruction to Cape Hatteras, please protect this island from of road use so th ewildlife and the people that really appreciate the island's beach can be protected.

Correspondence ID: 117 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lytle, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am writing to express my concern for the wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The irresponsible use of ORVs, the illegal use of fireworkds, and massive development along the OUter Banks in the past 30 years, just to name a few, are areaking havoc on the animals, particularly the bird population. It also doesn't help that many ORV operators leave unwanted catch (such as sharks and skates) behind instead of releasing them bakk into the water, leave discarded bair and fish entrails behind, and litter which attracts predators such as cats, raccoons, and gulls. It's unfrotunate that many ORV operators have a blatant disregard for the rules, wildlife, and even humans. Many refust to follow the speed limit and let chicks cross and rejoin their parents when they wander into traffic (after all, it was th eanimals territory first). Not only do chicks and eggs (birds and sea turtles) get run over, but ORVs destroy wrack, create ruts chicks cannot climb out of, and prevent many birstds from nesting and reproducing. It is time to stop fearing ORV operators; politics should not even play a part in this. This is a matter of preservation, conservation, and safety. Stricter regulations much be instituted and enforced if the natural beauty of this area is to be protected. I thank you for your time and kind attention.

Correspondence ID: 118 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cater, MB
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray, I just sent the following email to my congressmen, Audubon, and everyone else I can contact on this issue. You should be fired.
 "I applaud Audubon for the recent article written by Ted Williams. It is about time that someone outside of North Carolina put a strong spotlight on the bullying, unprofessional, non-science-based management, and even illegal practices of the National Park Service at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Unless you live there and see on a regular basis what type of management is passed for as protecting our natural resources, it is difficult to comprehend. Mr. Williams' article was an accurate description of how the ORV group has bullied and lied their way in to the graces of the Park Superintendent and his office. I have lived on Hatteras Island for nineteen years and have observed many issues with the Park Service, but none amazes me more than this issue. No intelligent visitor can actually drive out on the beach and not be appalled at the lack of protection for the natural areas. Isn't that what the federal law that established the Seashore states? That it is to protect the natural resources- and NOT permit uses that interfere with good science? Why is it that when I visit so many other national parks that they are serene and natural? And at Hatteras it over run with ignorant, obnoxious, drinking bums that spoil the beauty of such a wonderful place? I too have been almost run over, on more than one occasion, because I was walking rather than in an ORV. Each time there was at least 200 years of drivable beach but the ORV driver chose to drive within inches of me on loose, sandy soil because I was walking near the bird closure and carrying binoculars.
 If anyone is suing NPS, please let me know so I can join the lawsuit. This is just as bad, if not worse, than the OLF situation in Mattamuskeet. Especially when you consider the small amount of area that needs to be closed- for the Park Service Superintendent to bow down to this vocal group of bullies whose main interest is self promotion for the mighty tourist dollar- should be illegal and most definitely is unethical. He should be fired.
 Again, thank you Audubon for bringing this to the attention of the nation. I hope everyone listens to your advice and emails the appropriate people before it is too late to protect our seashore.

MB Cater"

Correspondence ID: 119 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LaBanca, Donald E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.21,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Good morning Mr Murray,

My family and I have visited the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area for the past 14 years. We found our way here, by looking at a map one very cold winter day and seeing this fragile strand of land far out to sea, with one lone road connecting seven small villages. I looked at the "elbow" that holds the lighthouse and the town of Buxton and said, "that is where I would like to go". In late August of 1993 we loaded up the car and made our way here. Of course, as so many already have, we fell in love with the area, its isolation, its beauty and the constant battle between land and sea. For us, it is a two day trip to come here and worth every minute. The warm water and surf, the beaches, the unhurried pace (at least compared to Connecticut) and the people all combined to make this a destination that we would move heaven and earth to come to at least once every year. From one week, we've expanded to two weeks, plus at least one quick trip down when we can at various times of the year.

We have two children, my oldest had just turned 10 when we made our first visit. Gregory was a very uptight child at that age, unable to really relax and probably with some symptoms of obsessive/compulsive disorder to boot. Mr Murray, within a couple of day of our first visit, it was like watching another child! He learned to relax on Cape Hatteras' beaches, to enjoy nature, to not worry about getting dirty. We attended a lot of the NPS programs at the keepers quarters at the Cape Hatteras light with him and his little brother. One of the funniest things I ever saw was Mary Doll lifting him up and turning him around as a lighthouse, he loved it as well. We were privileged to listen to Rany Jenette as he talked about his experiences as a keeper. We watched our boys grow up on the beach, in so many ways. Forget "Disney", THIS is where they wanted to come back to!

All during our first years here, we'd watch folks who were lucky enough to have four wheel drive vehicles run the beaches out to sightsee, or fish, or just find a place for their own to enjoy. I remember climbing the Cape Hatteras Light and looking out over Cape point and seeing all of the trucks out there and wishing I was able to join them. Well not with a station wagon, or even the next evolution, a two wheel drive pickup. But I knew then, it would only be a matter of time.

In 04 I finally bought my first four wheel drive. Forget the snow, I was chomping at the bit to finally go out to all of those far away places on the island that others talked about. All I can say is WOW! Hatteras became a whole new place for us. Instead of being limited to where we could walk to, the whole island became open to us. To finally be able to drive to the point, to see Diamond shoals up close and personal, it was quite the experience! To find a beach where the surf would not be as rough, so that my wife could really enjoy herself in the water, was amazing to us. Everyone that I saw out there, that first year, was enjoying nature, It gave us added incentive to come down even more.

Unfortunately Mr Murray, the "over the top" restrictions starting the next year, with thousands of feet of beach closed to ALL use, due to birds followed by the implementation of a barrier across the beach in the Cape point area stopping everyone from going to one of the most famous places on the entire east coast, has me burning mad. No responsible person wishes to wantonly destroy any wildlife, certainly not the folks who call those beaches home for their vacation, as well as those who make their living on the Outerbanks. I'm all for reasonable accommodation to help birds and turtles survive and would never enter a closure. If I have to endure rises in my blood pressure, due to whole areas being off limits, because certain organizations of so called animal rights activists have an agenda, then what is the point of spending thousands of dollars and working hour after hour of overtime to afford to come here. I don't have to tell you that those beaches, the uplands, the historical areas that are part of the seashore are there for all of us to enjoy, not just a few who would be perfectly happy herding the rest of us into smaller and smaller areas.

I read all about the "negotiated rulemaking" the "stakeholders" and so on. The bottom line, is that I count as well, my family and I count. All of us, who visit, who work our butts off to enjoy our time on Hatteras count. Take away our access, either on foot or vehicle, and you become just another beach. If the radicals prevail, there is no sense in my mind of spending the thousands of dollars that we do, to come here. Why put up with whole areas of the seashore closed, for months at a time, with checkpoints asking where you're going, of feeling almost as if you have to "show your papers" to enjoy what was placed in the public trust. Bottom line Mr Murray, if this gets screwed up, we're gone! and others like us, those of us poor working stiff's who try to give our families a decent vacation, are gone too! I don't need the hassle.

Sincerely,

Donald E LaBanca Northford CT.

Correspondence ID: 120 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Best, Myra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.21,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I recently emailed National Audubon and thanked Ted Williams for his article accurately describing the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and the ORV

"Beach Bums." I live in Raleigh, North Carolina and am very disturbed by the power that a vocal group of child-like bullies has over a superintendent of one of our national parks! I have heard from many of my friends about this type of behavior by the ORV group and it is a shame that one of the most beautiful locations on the coast is run by a group of people who apparently never got past the 6th grade bully phase in their lives. I join the many readers of the National Audubon article and will help them continue their work on educating the rest of the country to this mis-use of power and management of our natural resources.

Myra Best

Correspondence ID: 121 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nelson, Bud
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.21,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Good day Superintendent Murray,

Please allow me to add my input at this historic time for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. I have been coming to Hatteras Island since being stationed in Norfolk Va. in the 60's. The very first time I saw HI I knew this was where I wanted to retire to. My wife and I continued to vacation here for many years and in 2004 we were lucky enough to buy a house in Buxton. This is not a rental, this is our home. We feel we are part of the community and due to this we want to make our voices heard. I haave made many good friends over the years. Most of these folks I met on the beach fishing. I continue to fish with two gentlemen who have retired and are nice enough to share their wisdom and fishing advice with me. At 57, I am the youngest fisherman in this crowd. One of these gentlemen is on disability from his service from the military. He walks with difficulty. The other gentlemen is in his 70's and has a history of heart trouble. I was hospitalized 7 years ago with a Neurological disorder that after paralyzation, left me with lingering pains and weakness in my feet and legs. When the three of us go fishing we drive. There are times when we walk to our secret Trout hole in the neighborhood but 75% of the time we need to haul a lot of equipment and so we drive. I can assure you, if access is denied to vehicular traffic our fishing is over. My Mother is 89 years old. Frequently she asks to go see the Ocean. At 89, with a Heart valve replacement, cancer and a steel hip I haven't the heart to make her walk from one of the parking areas, so we drive. We also respect nature. My wife is an avid bird-watcher as am I. I have no problems with closures for breeding, nesting or seasonal closures but given the area involved I can see no reason why FREE and OPEN ACCESS for ALL cannot be accommodated. I know full well how contentious this issue is. I see useless, devoid of content rambling on the Internet from BOTH sides. I see dis-satisfied parties suing, threatening to sue and generally playing politics while the public's right to use the facility suffers. I can say that in over 30 years of driving the beach that over 98% of users are responsible. I encourage the use of NPS enforcement of current regulations to control errant

beach behavior, not additional closures. Thank you for your time. I sincerely hope you and your family enjoy your new assignment. I hope to see you on the beach, I try to work most of the clannups.
Bud Nelson 46401 Diamond Shoals Drive Buxton, NC 27920

Correspondence ID: 122 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neveil, Leah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: This is a letter my husband sent to Audubon magazine - it is in response to an article by Ted Williams. My husband and I are both outraged by the fact that the superintendent of Cape Hatteras is NOT ENFORCING THE FEDERAL LAWS in his area. The National Seashore is to be protected for ALL citizens - not just so-called sportsmen and fishermen. Please DO THE JOB you are supposed to do.....
 Leah Neveil Chambersburg, Pennsylvania
 In regards to Ted Williams' article on Cape Hatteras and the ORV issue: My wife and I have been going to Cape Hatteras every year for about the last 20 years. Even though it's only a week at a time, it's become like a second home to us. We even subscribe to the local Hatteras newspaper, the "Island Breeze," so we can keep up to date with what's going on, like this current ORV "crisis", and the very scary issue of replacing the Bonner Bridge. One group in this fight that Mr. Williams did not mention in his article is SOME local business owners who see ORV restrictions as a direct threat to their livelihoods. The bumper stickers that say "Plover tastes like chicken" are made and sold by these people. You would think that this was Aspen and the Park Service was banning skiing in the winter-time. Visitors come to the Outer Banks for all sorts of activities besides driving up and down the beach. Yes, many visitors come to see the birds and wildlife, but a lot of folks come down to soak up the sun, let their kids build sand castles, paint pictures, read books, whatever. These people also patronize local eateries, bars, t-shirt shops, and super-markets. Another group I'm not wild about are the surfers and sail-boarders who think they the right to pull off the road anywhere they please(especially on Pea Island), and tromp over the dunes to get to the "perfect wave". They also tramp down the sea-oats and drag their boards through the nesting areas. Another interesting factor in this issue is to recognize that perhaps the majority of these ORVs are from outside North Carolina. Neighboring Virginia is just a little way up to road, so they're almost locals, but then there's all the folks from the D.C. area; you see a lot of OBX stickers on the Beltway. I would hazard a guess that the next largest groups of tags are from Pennsylvania, Maryland, and Delaware, respectively, with a sprinkling of Michigan, Ohio, and New York. My point to this is that there are a lot of outsiders trying to influence what they should be permitted to do to, and in, other people's neighborhoods. Yes, this National Park Service jurisdiction, but it's also Dare County, North Carolina, where kids go to school and people go to the super-market, just like they do in Reston, Virginia. I've been fishing the surf and piers for 20 years, but I've never felt "restricted" as a walk-on. I've only driven with someone on the beach twice for fishing, and if I recall, caught nothing on both trips. Anyway, the restricted areas are mostly way back from the surf, and there are usually designated pathways through. And besides, there's miles and miles of beach; if the fish aren't biting where you are, go somewhere else! There was a time when a few Jeeps on the beach had little or no impact. Sorry folks, those days are over. Cape Hatteras and the plovers belong to me, too, so get your Hummers and Grand Cherokees and selfish attitudes off my beach!
 Ya'll have a nice day...
 Tom Neveil Surf Fisherman AND Audubon Member Chambersburg, PA

Correspondence ID: 123 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Booth, Chip
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Having driven the beaches for over 50 years for pleasure & fishing, I firmly believe they should be kept open for this purpose. As a member of both the OBPA & NCBBA(#1538), I & others that ride with me have always treated this privilege with utmost respect.
 We participate frequently in NCBBA's Operation Beach Respect, & report any misuse of the beaches that we observe to NPS via the park rangers. Both of these organizations work diligently to keep the beaches open to Off Road Vehicle access.
 Please do everything in your power to maintain this privilege for all of our members.
 Thanks,
 Chip Booth

Correspondence ID: 124 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I just read a article about a proposed beach closure for off road vehicles.I and my family visit the outer banks twice each year for a week @ a time to either to go fishing or just relaxing on the beach with our orv,which we do with 90 percent of our time there.The closure would stop us from vacationing there and going somewhere else.We live in maryland and visit assateaque island in both virginia and in maryland and there is no problems there,it seems like a few people are trying to take away the enjoyment of the many who helps pay for the priviledge of the use of these great parks. Gary,middle river maryland.

Correspondence ID: 125 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kimble , Jeff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Please Keep the park open! I have been coming to the Outer Banks Surf Fishing for 10 years now, My wife and family (2 Beagles), I come every November with 20+ guys spend 5 days fishing and cleaning Operation Beach Respect in the surf, I carry a trash can with us so not to destroy the beach, in a world where we work 6 and 7 days a week just to make it to the outer banks with my wife, dogs and Big Red Truck! NCBBA#10446
 I respect the beach AND wild Life, Brush and off limits zone, I fish on the north beach's also and by far Cape Hatters is cleaner and nicer!
 Jeff
 Jeff Kimble

Correspondence ID: 126 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: White, E. Glenn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Having driven the beaches for a number of years for pleasure & fishing, I firmly believe they should be kept open for this purpose. I have always treated the beaches with the utmost respect.
 Certainly the dunes, wildlife and birds need protection. That does NOT require that the beaches should be closed to ORVs. Please do everything in your

power to maintain this privilege for all.
 Thank you,
 E. Glenn White
 110 Lake Herrin Ct.
 Yorktown, Va. 23693

Correspondence ID: 136 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jenkins, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 137 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greulich, Donald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,28,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent Murray,
 I am writing to you today in response to an article I read in The Fisherman Magazine. The article stated that a recent article in Audubon Magazine is calling for the closure of North Carolina's Beaches to off road vehicles. I am writing to ask you to not consider that proposal. I own a four wheel drive vehicle and have off roaded many times on the Outer Banks Beaches. I believe off roading affords the opportunity to see areas of the beach that we may miss by simply walking on it. My fondest memory of off roading was back in February 2001. A little before sunrise I woke my girlfriend up and drove her out onto Oregon Inlet. After getting her out there I proposed to her and she said yes. She has been my wife for 3 1/2 years now and we both fondly recall the beauty of being able to be out on the beach to get engaged. The next day we drove out there again and got to experience the beauty of the beach and she got to see all the sea shells which had been washed ashore. While vacationing on the Outer Banks a few friends and I were able to off road on Oregon Inlet. It was a great time for all of us. To me there is really no better way to spend the day then being able to drive on the beach. In conclusion I ask that you allow the beaches to remain open to off roading so that we may continue enjoying doing that. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.
 P.S. I am a Park Service Employee in Washington DC.

Correspondence ID: 138 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jenkins, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Dear Mr Murray,
 This letter is in regard to the upcoming ruling on Critical Habitat Designation. I understand the need to try to help the wildlife on the Outer Banks and the preservation of all wildlife. But i do not agree on the way it may be implemented by closure of all the areas that are accessible to orvs. I have been visiting Outer Banks for about 15 years and i cherish every time I get the chance to visit in my opinion one of the most spectacular fishing venues available on the Eastern seaboard. This venue would not be as spectacular if not for the accessibility afforded by Orvs. In my many years of enjoyment and fun experienced I have seen many, many instances of love and respect for the sands and the nature of this truly special place. I myself made a pack when i first came to hatteras to try and help keep this place as special as it was the first time i visited. I always take away more trash and sea debris than i came with in an effort to keep this beautiful place a place all can enjoy. I will agree that there are some who do not respect this wonderful place the way it should be. But in my many years of enjoyment, I have seen and witnessed many acts of respect and concern and stewardship by both locals and visitors alike. I hope and pray that this privilege to access the beach area via Orvs will not be taken away due to the past history of critical habitat designation. I hope that a solution that benefits both nature and visitors and residents can be found. I would also like to touch base on another piece of this puzzle that i know has not been touched on much. I know that if i lived on the Outer Bank and owned a business and property, I would be very concerned of the economic impact these closures would have. I know how many dollars i put into the local economy when i am there and i cant imagine many business owners that would be able to stay solvent if these closures would go into effect. Not to mention the overall effect to the local population. I Have met many fine people and locals on my numerous visits and look forward to seeing familiar faces and places on future trips. I hope for all involved that a plan for nature and humans alike can be worked out for the good of all.
 Sincerely, J.S. Jenkins, Jr. 175 Huck Finn Dr. Front Royal, Va. 22630. Phone# 540-635-3359

Correspondence ID: 142 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meador, Bennett N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Mr. Murray;
 I'd like to take a few moments and let you know what the CHNSRA means to me. My first trip to the CHNSRA was 37 years ago with my father, grandmother and grandfather. My grandfather had an International Scout and I had a great time riding on the beach and fishing. On that very first trip, I caught the largest flounder I have ever caught! An 8 pounder. Over the years, my grandmother taught me all about the birds along the beach and bought me a bird ID book. I still have that book and have the birds checked off that my grandmother and I identified. We also sat and watched the stars and began to ID the constellations and planets. I had never seen so many stars, as I grew up in the city. Some of my fondest memories of my grandmother and grandfather took place right there on the beaches of the CHNSRA. During my middle and high school years the male family members made November trips to Buxton to fish and if I had my assignments done beforehand, I was allowed to miss school and fish. These were trips that I will never forget! Over the years, I have lived far from the CHNSRA but I continued my annual trips. Not only am I a fisherman, but I am a birder, a waterfowler, and a lover of nature. There is no place in the world that comes close to the CHNSRA. I have lived all over the US - including Alaska and Arizona - but the Outer Banks of North Carolina and the experiences I have had there compare to nothing anywhere else! Currently, I spend over 75 days annually in the Buxton area. That is more than 71% of my "free time". I am a true "multi-use" individual and utilize all that the CHNSRA has to offer. From driving on the beach, to birding, to fishing and just pure and total relaxation! I am 47 years old now and hope to see the CHNSRA remain as it is today for the future generations of my family - and all families that utilize it. Thank you for your time.
 Bennett N. Meador 1100 Bragg St. Farmville, VA 23901 434-607-1761

0000414

Correspondence ID: 143 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Burleigh, Matt

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Re: "Beach Bums" (Audubon Magazine, January/February 2007)

The following quote is from the first paragraph of the article written by Ted Williams, titled "Beach Bums."

"off-road vehicles (ORVs) monopolizing barrier beaches on North Carolina's Outer Banks, aborting nesting attempts by colonial waterbirds, oystercatchers, threatened piping plovers, and threatened and endangered sea turtles; crushing eggs and young of all these species; and imperiling and/or intimidating the roughly 90 percent of visitors who travel by foot."

Please find below a collection of photographs taken over the many years I have been visiting Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. All I see is anglers and their families using the seashore as a place "where Americans find "recreation," or, as some have described it, "re-creation."" and as intended in the Park's enabling legislation. (Rethinking the Nations Parks for the 21 Century-a National Park System Advisory Board report, 1999) Take a look at the pictures and decide for yourself which characterization is more accurate.

<http://www.reddrumtackle.com/forums/showthread.php?s=&threadid=9444>

For your information the above quote came from the following link.

<http://www.nps.gov/policy/report.htm>

While much of the report involves environmental issues and the preservation of history, there is a whole section on recreation in which the authors of the report made the following observation.

"None of the early national park visionaries could have imagined how much time Americans of the 21st century would spend indoors, how much physical work would be done for them by machines, or how much stress could build up in the faster pace of contemporary American life. Outdoor recreation has become essential to the mental and physical health of Americans."

Matt Burleigh Enterprise Integration, Inc. Senior Systems Engineer Tel: 703-236-0800 www.eisolutions.net

Correspondence ID: 144 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Storrs, Chris

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,05,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

I've been visiting the CHNS for about 8 years now. I am not a resident of the island, but do enjoy my 3-4 weeks there every year. I am an avid surf angler, and love my time on the beach. I have a lot of fishing buddies on the island, as well as those that don't live there but fish as often as possible on the beaches, including Pea Island, Cape Point, and Hatteras Inlet. The vast majority of us are good guys, interested in the better good of the animals, including plovers and sea turtles, and not the slobbs many people would think of us as or label us. Most of us fishermen have learned to accept the limited beach space closed to the birds, such as last year's closure near the hook and even though we don't like it, we accept it and comply with the rules. I do understand that some people disobey the rules, driving in bird enclosures or throwing trash on the beach. I am unaware if you are a fisherman or not, but I think you would find that most of us are good people, a great bunch of guys and girls who obey the regs and understand a limited amount of beach closure. However, I don't believe the people who disobey should have ORV access restricted for everyone else. Stiff fines or ORV restriction for ONLY THOSE WHO BREAK the rules is a much better answer in my opinion than completely shutting down the beach to off-road vehicles. Many of us are just there to fish, we clean up after ourselves, and respect the wildlife. I know some of the plovers die from being crushed by vehicles, and some say they can get stuck in the ruts of the tires, but a ORV restricted beach would have its own set of hazards and small number of plovers on CHNS now and historically compared with other areas is not enough to do something so radical as completely closing off the beach to all ORVs to me. Cape Point and the rest of the island is sacred ground to drum fishing. It is many of our home away from home, a place we can go to get away from it all. A loss of access would be very bad for many of the local businesses, and beach fishing is not only a source of income for many residents but is a way of life. I am unsure of where you stand on this issue, of access or closing the beach, but please consider those of us who follow the law and look forward to everyday we can spend on the beaches of Hatteras Island.

Chris Storrs

Correspondence ID: 145 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pate, Ben C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,30,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

I've enjoyed fishing the Cape Hatteras National Seashore since the early '70's, and my Dad before me, since the '40's. What a tremendous resource and great gift we have available to us as ORV fishermen. I understand the concern that many of the animal right activist groups have regarding our current privilege to drive vehicles on the beach, however, there must be mediation where both groups can come to terms with the recent law suits generated to block ORV use. Please let me know how I may serve to expedite this mediation and reserve my privilege to use an ORV on Hatteras Island.

With Warmest Regards, Ben C. Pate pateb@nc.rr.com

Correspondence ID: 146 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Blessing, Wayne

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,31,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: WHY I LOVE THE BEACHES AT THE CAPE HATTERAS SEASHORE January 31, 2007

I am 83 years old and have been regularly visiting the Cape Hatteras National Recreation Area since 1960. At first my wife and I camped and then in 1964 we bought a small house in Frisco and have spent a good part of each year on the beaches of Hatteras and Ocracoke ever since.

Our passion and love was and still is fishing from the surf primarily using artificial lures and driving the beach looking for signs of fish to cast to. We first visited the north side of Oregon Inlet on the fourth of July 1959 but starting the next year we crossed on the ferry to Hatteras Island.

In those days the emphasis was on "Mission 66" the park service slogan for shaping the seashore through construction of artificial dunes, ramps for vehicular access, camp grounds and other infrastructure. I will always remember signs legible from rte. 12 labeled "fertilized" and "unfertilized." A Helicopter was used to spread fertilizer from the air on the newly planted beach grass.

As we lived in Greensboro it was quite a venture to get to Hatteras Island. Due to primitive roads by today's standards and two ferry crossings we would arrive in Buxton around 11:30 on Saturday morning. We could only spend 12-14 hours around the cape because we would have to leave around 1: pm Sunday to get in the Oregon Inlet ferry line. Sometimes the wait was three hours and as the ferries didn't run much after dark it meant going back to Buxton or Avon for a motel. If one couldn't get on the last one.

The first time I went to Cape Point I was using two crutches and had only one weight bearing leg. My super wife carried rods, and bait (we used bait in those early days. The point was lot closer in those days but the walk was tiring.

I don't remember how many trips we made in 1960 but our catching was not impressive. However on Thanksgiving Day in 1960 I caught my first big drum a nice yearling of 37 lbs. (Embedded image moved to file: <pic30231.jpg>) Due in part to my limited mobility and also because it became apparent that fast moving schools of bluefish and false albacore were impossible to keep up with I wanted a beach buggy. I found a 1941 business coupe with

37000 miles by the original owner and bought it for \$100. I towed it to Hatteras on Easter 1962 and left it with the late Rany Jennette the founder of the Red Drum Tackle shop. We would arrive around 11:30 or 12 unload our station wagon contents including rods,tackle bag, cooler etc into the beach buggy and take to the beach looking for fish. (Embedded image moved to file: pic12135.jpg) That started the love affair with mobile surf fishing and it has not lost its luster. For many people fishing means sitting with bait in the water and waiting for a fish to come by and bite it. To me the appeal of surf fishing was the same as that when I was trout fishing in the mountains and that involves hunting for your quarry and then finding a way to entice it to bite your bait. This generally means moving from pool to pool and covering a large amount of distance in a day. Mobile surf fishing was the closest thing to freshwater trout fishing I could find.

The fishing of his sort was heaven on earth. If we had just wanted to find a place to set up and fish all day long without the mobility that the Cape Hatteras Seashore and Recreational Area afforded us there were beaches much closer to Greensboro in miles and especially in time but they didn't offer the unique pleasure that Hatteras did.

It is absolutely amazing the awe and reverence that visiting friends and relatives reflect when I take them for a ride on the beach to see Cape Point or Hatteras inlet. It is an experience limited to very few places left in this country and I fear that future generations will never enjoy what we have. During the 46 years we have been driving on these beaches we have enjoyed and shared in many communions with nature from watching a mixed up female sea turtle laying her eggs in the day time and then crawling back to sea to studying unfledged terns skittering along in their fenced off area, ospreys fishing more successfully than we, blue fish herding schools of croakers or trout or menhaden right up on the beach, whales just off the beach, the proliferation of the Brown Pelican whereas in 1960's to see one was an event. . In those early days we could drive from Oregon Inlet to Hatteras inlet on the beach. Somewhat later as erosion occurred we lost access in front of the lighthouse and then as the years passed we lost Pea Island, a good bit of Ocracoke and various other parts of Hatteras Island. The summer closures in front of the villages made sense and there was always as I recall fenced off areas for nesting birds in season.. Of course even in those days we experienced some rule breaking but the fraction of one percent of users who were abusers were numerically much smaller than at present but they are a small minority of those who visit the beach.

The natives we got to know were a remarkable and independent but always helpful lot. I mentioned Rany Jennette whose father Unaka was the last keeper of the light. There was Fate Midgett, Capt Bernice Ballance,Wheeler and Lucy Ballance,Fatio Gray,Asa Gray, Charley Gray , Edgar Hooper, Odessa Scarborough Wasili, Lee Peel, Archie Scarborough, Horton Austin,Clarence Jennette, and of course the fishing deputy Raymond Basnett. On some slow court days the judge would adjourn early so he could accompany Raymond south to surf fish on Hatteras Island. They are all gone now but their legacy lives on.

In the early days one could never conceive that the local villages contained so much developable land when they were only located on the sound side. Development and the sheer numbers of people made seasonable closings a logical and reasonable compromise but then it became apparent that many areas closed because of erosion were never re-opened once the beach built back. This gave the investment owners of much of the beachfront property the belief they owned a private beach and some even advertised to that effect.

Now at 83 years of age I rely much more on the mobility of the beach vehicle to allow me to fish the way I love. No one knows how many days' months or years I have left to spend doing what I love to do in the manner in which I have always done it but I am concerned for its future. I am concerned, that extremely well heeled and generally well meaning conservation organizations do not understand the uniqueness of the surf fishing tradition at Cape Hatteras and that one sided "Heinrich Himmler-like propaganda" paints the entire group of us as ragamuffins and wildlife haters. We are not! despite some verbiage that may sound like it. We believe in sharing with nature, but not in allowing the naturalists to totally take away the recreational aspects of the seashore. It occurs to me that if plovers were to exhibit nesting behavior in Times Square according to their thinking they would seek to shut down that vital area?

Although catching a fish is not nearly as important to me as it once was it is still a challenge and a lot of fun to try. I have pretty much given up the night fishing and the pre-dawn trips to the Inlet or the Point and thus my catch rate has greatly diminished but even this old guy occasionally lucks into a fish. I just pray that future generations can share in this aspect of the Hatteras Island experience as those of us who have come before.

Sincerely,

Wayne Blessing 1905 Efland Dr. Greensboro, N.C. 27408

Correspondence ID: 147 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brozovic, Bob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Mr. Murray, I am writing to you to let you know what the Outer Banks means to us. We have been coming there since 1994, when my husband showed me the ocean for the first time. Since then we have been coming down at least 2 times per year, but more often 3-4 times a year. We have always had a wonderful time except when closures happen and we cannot fish at the point. This past summer(July) the point was closed in the evening to morn hours for fledging chicks (plover). The very next morning the chicks could not be found for predators have disturbed the nest or they were eaten. I truly think that the chicks would have benefitted from having orv's on the beach cause when we are there the predators stayed away. Probably the top of the food chain thing. I am asking for your support for continued ORV access. We love to come down there, see the many friends we have gotten to know who live there,and there is nothing better for the soul than to be on the beach, next to the beautiful ocean. I work very closely with people and when I get to vacation, sometimes you need to get away from people at first. By being able to stay away from the crowds by just moving down the beach it makes coming there a true regeneration paradise. I truly enjoy coming there and all it amenities. I hope you will fight to keep them. I have been so impressed with what you have been doing so far!!!! Thank you in advance for your fight!

Sincerely,

Bob & Lora Brozovic 58 Bark Rd. N.W. Dellroy, Ohio 44620 330-704-4942

Correspondence ID: 148 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Craddock, Herb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Dear Mike,
I write to you today as family man and a fisherman. My family and I have been coming to the Outer Banks for 40+ years. We have always by-passed the Nags Head area because we wanted our children to be raised to appreciate the tranquility, the openness, the appreciation for wildlife and to enjoy the peace and quite of fishing the Outer Banks. Our children are adults now, but still come to the Outer Banks with their families and us to enjoy the peace and quite you can find on the beaches and being able to drive on the sand to our favorite spots to play, sunbathe and fish. The weather takes away our beaches and replaces them, not people. I would hate to see the impact it would have on the residents from Rodanthe to Ocracoke if the beaches were closed to the public. The Outer Banks are a piece of history and should not be changed.

Thank you for your service to the Park Service and have a nice 2007.

Herb Craddock

Correspondence ID: 149 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Truslow, Brown
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,04,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

To: Superintendent Mike Murray

From: Brown Truslow

Recently I read the Jan.- Feb. issue of the Audubon magazine and it inspired me to contact you. The difficulties in formulating a balance between recreational users and protecting the environment of a fragile ecosystem must be enormous. Certainly the National Park Service must consider the rule of the law, specifically the Organic Act when determining how public land is to be used. I cannot conceive that ORV access can preside over the protection of a natural landscape that has long been a safe haven for many species of fish, birds, turtles and plant life but that seems to be the case in what I have read and seen on public lands along the Outer Banks in recent years. Those of us that have witnessed the growing number of people that drive ORV's on public lands can remember much more peace full and pristine moments spent on the Outer Banks in past decades. It is not uncommon to restrict access of motorized vehicles in many places throughout our National Parks and Seashores and I urge you to consider, implement and enforce access and laws in order to leave the Cape Hatteras National Seashore "unimpaired for the future enjoyment of future generations".

If ORV's were challenging access to beaches in front of the communities in Avon, Salvo and Nags Head we could see the very same people who demand access in areas designated off limits in order to protect fish and wildlife complain about safety for their own. Well I'm writing to complain about safety of the people, birds and turtles from ORV's and their irresponsible use of the natural environment along the outer banks. I have greatly enjoyed visits to the outer Banks with my parents and children spanning the last 35 years, it is one of the most beautiful shores on the east coast. But just because there are millions more ORV's visiting these shores does not mean the Park Service must accommodate their needs. Limiting the damage they do is critical for the survival of this once pristine shore. Please consider and help those of us that value the life of this ecosystem.

Sincerely, Brown Truslow

Exhibit Specialist, Historic Preservation Training Center, NPS

Correspondence ID: 150 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Heiser, Richard W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,31,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm

Superintendent RE: Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954

Dear Sir,

I am 54 years of age and have been a regular visitor to Hatteras and the Outer Banks from the time my Uncle was Choreographer at the Lost Colony in the early 1950's through today. My family traveled extensively to Hatteras in the 1930's and 40's from their home in Raleigh where my Grandfather was a Doctor of Botany at NCState.

I own a Jeep Cherokee and use it extensively to travel the sands of Hatteras to fish. I have been actively off-roading on the Outer Banks since the mid 1970's... And the Cherokee is my fifth Beach Buggy. I belong to the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association (number 1178) and the Outer Banks Preservation Association.

I raised my children to respect our environment. They have fished with me up and down the Outer Banks. They are now grown and on their own, and they still travel to Hatteras to fish and we use my Cherokee to this end.

I am sensitive to driving responsibly on the beaches (and the highways) and am adamant about following the rules of the strand. I know there are irresponsible off-roaders, just as there is irresponsibility in all other facets of our lives. Usually I am on Hatteras in the Spring, Fall and Winter months fishing and enjoying the peace that Hatteras provides, and yes I drive my Cherokee out to Cape Point, Avon, Rodanthe and Oregon Inlet. Suffice it to say that per my observations during these times of the year at least 95% of the people are RESPONSIBLE and careful with their ORV use.

Speaking of access, I would also like to see the south side of Oregon Inlet reopened to ORVs, at least to access the beach for 1/2 mile south starting at the rock groin.

I have been on the coast of NC the majority of my adult life. I have seen more destruction of habitat and shoreline due to CONSTRUCTION of Condominiums, and other hard buildings then I have seen caused by ORVs. Likewise, I have spent many an hour in meetings with local officials around beach access on Topsail Island, Emerald Isle, and Carolina Beach.

Our access to the beaches on the Outer Banks of North Carolina is a tradition that we as a family have maintained for generations. Keep the beaches open for ORV use.

Sincerely,

Richard W. Heiser 3820 Worthdale Dr. Castle Hayne, NC 28429 910-228-9704(m)

Correspondence ID: 151 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,03,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike, I have been coming to Buxton since 1972. I enjoy the open beaches. I hope you will keep the beaches open and free. Thank you for your time. See you soon.

Correspondence ID: 152 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kleto, Nick

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike Murray, Superintendent February 1, 2007 Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Superintendent Murray,

My brothers-in-law and I have been coming to the Cape Hatteras area for the last 12-15 years to fish and enjoy the Outer Banks. We usually bring other family members and/or friends who also enjoy fishing and the exciting experience of the area. We will make between 4 to 8 trips between mid-September and late May from our homes in Charlotte. We will rent one or two houses as needed, eat out a lot and support the local tackle shops. The improvements in Highway 64 between Raleigh and Highway 12 have made the trip much more enjoyable.

We enjoy the free and open access to the beaches. Like most fishermen, we are respectful of the beaches, dunes, birds and other wildlife. We would not like to see access to the beaches restricted or denied. We use the beaches to get to various fishing spots not to joyride.

The first time I drove out to the point and saw the collision of the waves and the silhouette of the lighthouse, I felt very humbled and awed within the majesty of Nature and the Outer Banks. Each trip to the area is different and I learn something new/different weather, shifting dunes, different people to meet, different things to see, etc.

Please make every effort within your purview to keep access to the beaches open to all of us. It would be a tragic shame to have such a tremendous natural resource be restricted to those of us who really appreciate it and to future generations who may never get the opportunity to experience the Outer Banks.

Sincerely,

Nick Kleto 3100 Wolf Trap Court Charlotte, North Carolina 28210

I am a member of OBPA and Trout Unlimited as I enjoy surf fishing and mountain trout fishing.

Correspondence ID: 153 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Visconti, Jim and Ginger

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Greetings Mike:
 It is out understanding you have a legal mandate to protect flora, fauna, land, water and the natural processes with which they interact unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.
 If the above is true, then why are you ignoring this mandate at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and allowing Off Road Vehicles to compromise these natural values.
 While Off Road Vehicles are not the only threat affecting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, they are certainly a major threat that can and should be more aggressively addressed. We ask that you please to more, starting now.
 With hope and anticipation for a much brighter natural future, we remain, Respectfully yours, Jim and Ginger Visconti

Correspondence ID: 154 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 155 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Donnell, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Mr. Mike Murray,
 The evidence seems pretty solid. ORVs are hurting our shore ecosystems!! Please, show us and our children and those to come that some of us were able to get above the influence of money and preserve our habitat for the future. In a gas starved world, ORV's are not a sustainable way of life. Attitudes about this need to be changed. You can help...do something! Please!
 Sincerely, Erin O'Donnell

Correspondence ID: 156 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Montapert, Anthony
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Mike Murray,
 I am concerned about the birds that are being killed on Cape Hatteras' National Seashore by ORV's. I urge you to do what you can to prevent ORV's from destroying the bird habitat along North Carolina's Coast.
 Sincerely, Anthony Montapert

Correspondence ID: 157 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gaulturi, M.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 The barrier beaches of N. Carolina's Outer Banks are home to many species of birds. These beaches also provide enjoyment to those who visit on foot. All this is being threatened by allowing off-road vehicles (ORVs) access to these beaches. Not only do they threaten bird habitats but they make it unpleasant for other people. Instead of views of nature, there are parked as well as moving vehicles. Instead of sea air there is the smell of gasoline. Instead of wildlife there is machinery. Please reconsider this policy and ban ORV's.
 Sincerely, M. Gaulturi

Correspondence ID: 158 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tipton, Betty D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Sir: Just read an article "Beach Bums" Audubon Mag Jan/Feb 07. We adore the Outer Banks' been renting houses for years to enjoy fishing (my husband) and for research and buying wood for my carvings of birds. The Off Road Vehicles (ORV's) have to be stopped before we loose the one pristine shoreline left for birders and nature lovers. We must protect the nesting birds and protect their habitat as they are trying to live on the beaches God gave them. As a woodcarver of these beautiful creatures, I am livid over this. Please do what you can to stop these selfish men whose only desire is their self-centered pleasures. Thank you for anything to help the birds.
 Sincerely, Betty Lipton

Correspondence ID: 159 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weinberger, Mary M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,21,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 I've just finished reading Ted Williams' article, "Beach Bums" in the Jan-Feb. 2007 issues of Audubon Magazine, and am very concerned about the undue influence the off-road vehicle bullies have had on Cape Hatteras National Seashore policy. We need to stand up to them and protect the birds and other native wildlife!
 Sincerely, Mary M. Weinberger

Correspondence ID: 160 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kiechle, Rick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,22,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Mike Murray:
 The behavior of ORV operators on Cape Hatteras National Seashore is unacceptable. The callous disregard for wildlife is causing destruction of the ecosystem so that the area can't be enjoyed by more reasonable people now or in the future.
 Sincerely, Rick Kiechle

Correspondence ID: 161 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robinson, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Mr. Murray,
 Please do your best to give these little "jewels" their best chance at a good life, and a good future for their, and other beach nesting species.
 Thank you. Mark Robinson

Correspondence ID: 162 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Seignlur, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 After reading about ORV's that are destroying barrier beaches on the N. Carolina's Outer Banks, I write to let you know that I am extremely concerned and strongly urge full and complete regulation of ORV's. My true desire is that ORV's be completely banned. Between the noise pollution, the air pollution and destruction of habitat the damage they cause far outweighs the few moments of idiotic pleasure.
 Sincerely, Judy Seignlur

Correspondence ID: 163 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir,
 I am writing concerning the water fowl at Cape Point and South Beach on Hatteras, North Carolina. Diminished as they are these birds are still an important part of the seashore's production. The seashore has been, when convenient, operated under the interim plan, a document full of deficiencies such as the closures for nesting birds. After predators destroyed many of the nests of least terns, common terns, and black skimmers in 2006 the seashore was opened back up to ORVs then when the remaining eggs hatched, it didn't put up fencing. Not only do ORV operators destroy habitat by eliminating wrack and also creating ruts chicks can't crawl out of, they are also a major obstacle to habitat restoration. Also the jetty at Oregon Inlet is preventing the inlet from migrating south when construction of the Bonner Bridge [UNCLEAR] access to Hatteras Island. With the bridge failing structurally a new one has to be built. The cost of a longer bridge would be \$425 million compared to the cost of replacing the short bridge and maintaining Route 12 through 2060 would be \$620 million. Although Interior Secretary Dirk Kempthorne announced the short bridge the best, he is under cutting the National Environmental Policy Act and also violating the National Wildlife Improvement Act which means the Fish and Wildlife Service must find it to be "compatible" with its mission. Because of these facts something must be done to protect the waterfowl of such a unique place in your state of North Carolina. Sincerely, Carol Wilson

Correspondence ID: 164 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burdynski, John F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 165 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hobbs, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 166 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cannon, Donna J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 167 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Westphal, Curt
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 168 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weaver, Curtis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID:	169	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Tyson, Scott				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Jan,29,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	170	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Cohen, N.				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Jan,29,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	171	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, Howard D				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Jan,29,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	172	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Wilson, Ken				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Jan,29,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	173	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hollard, Wayne W				
Outside Organization:	AMSA Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	Mr. Murray, The above is a form letter from United Mobile Sportsfishermen, but I do agree with its content. As a member of AMSA, I usually leave the beach with more than I brought, (trash) from other users and also washed in with the tide. I can't speak for everybody but the reason we go to the beach is to enjoy the nature and scenery. We do our best to leave the area clean and undisturbed. I hope the priviledges are not lost for all. Thanks.				

Correspondence ID:	174	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Noble, Brian				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	175	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Pake, Florence				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	176	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Pake, Jr., Justin C				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	177	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Brown, Nancy E				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Jan,21,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	178	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Birkett, Dylan				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Jan,22,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	179	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Meador, Bennett N				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				

Received: Feb,22,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: Letter
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 180 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hawthorne, Marianne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 181 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hollowman, Earl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 182 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hollowman, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 183 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Christopher M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 184 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Faatz, Jack and Jeannine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Mr. Murray, I have only recently been privileged to take advantage of the Outer Banks Islands. I, along with many other visitors to these islands are animal lovers and I personally will go out of my way to protect and help any of the little creature living on these beaches. In early October I spent several days at Cape Lookout. There were many fishermen present but I saw no evidence whatsoever of the claims made by the Audubon article, not did I in late October at Cap Hatteras. I saw many, many of the small sharks and quite a few of the skates caught during my visit there, but at no time did I see these animals left on the sand to die as claimed by the Audubon article. I am enclosing pictures of an immature Brown Pelican whose right foot was badly mangled. Though healed, this beautiful bird had much difficulty walking. It could fly very well and was taking advantage of the generosity of the fisherman present.
 I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain access to our beaches so we too can enjoy the outdoors for recreation.

Correspondence ID: 185 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm
 I would like to voice my support for limiting access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore by off-road vehicles. As everyone knows, these areas are nesting places of endangered birds and turtles. This is no place for these vehicles that, in addition, waste energy and cause pollution. A recent article highlighted the problems these vehicles cause. I urge you to do everything possible to restrict their access to this important part of our national seashore. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 186 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Montani, Ray
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: February 10, 2007
 Mike Murray, Superintendent Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954
 Dear Supt. Murray,
 I am writing to express my concerns over the Negotiated Rule Making for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. My family has been visiting CHNS for 28 years. Nine years ago, we purchased a home in Buxton, so we could spend more time in the place we love so much. CHNS holds a special place in our lives, and my children, who now live in California and New Hampshire, continue to come back to enjoy the beauty of CHNS. We enjoy fishing and driving on the beach to fish as well as for beach enjoyment. This is one of the most important enjoyments we have amongst many others offered in the Outer Banks. If denied this activity, my family and I will be deprived of the prime reason we come to CHNS. We understand the need to manage the resource, and want to see it protected for our family for generations to come. However, vehicular use on the beach should be managed for the continued enjoyment of all, and vehicular access should not be denied or overly restricted, so that responsible, tax paying citizens can take advantage of the fishing and natural beauty of the area.
 Sincerely,
 Ray Montani

Correspondence ID: 187 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bryant, Jim

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: To: Mike Murray, Superintendent Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area

From: Jim Bryant RE: Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS
 I am a lifelong resident of Caldwell County, North Carolina and have been a fisherman since I was old enough to hold a fishing pole. My fishing experience started in the Yadkin River that flows a few hundred feet from where I grew up. Over the years I gradually started bass fishing the lakes in the area and trout fishing the abundant mountain streams in and around my home county. My first experience visiting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area was in 1992. With an invitation from a coworker, I made a trip to Buxton to try my hand at saltwater fishing. Talk about a trip where everything went wrong, that was the trip. The day after we arrived a Nor'easter started blowing in. When we fished on the beach we had to park our truck against the wind and set our chairs in front of the tires to try and keep the sand from blasting our legs in the high winds. We tried fishing on a pier and the wind actually picked up a spare rod from the deck and blew it into the ocean. Then the rain came, nothing in our camp site escaped being soaked, after 2 nights of this we packed up early one morning and left. We drove to Nags Head before we found a restaurant that was open (no power on the island) to have breakfast. As we started west I remember thinking 'this is the last this place will ever see of me'. I had absolutely no intentions at that time of ever returning to the Outer Banks. The next year, after much prodding, I agreed to give the Outer Banks another try. I will be forever grateful and thankful that I did. On my second trip I found an area of NC that I fell completely in love with. Standing beside the lighthouses, driving up and down the beach searching for the good fish bite, Hatteras became for me, a home away from home. Being at Hatteras with good friends has given me so many good memories. I wouldn't even know where to start. I've made many, many trips to Cape Hatteras over the years. The fish doesn't always bite as well as I would like, the weather is not always that great, but one thing is for sure, I always have a great time being at my little slice of Heaven on earth, which is what Hatteras means to me. Over the years, I have seen a few 'bad apples' on the beach but for the most part the ORV users that I have been with or around have been very respectful of the beach and the wildlife that we share it with. I would encourage you to use whatever means you have to preserve access to the beaches. Access to Hatteras Beaches is the 'jewel in the crown' for North Carolina, please keep it going.

Thank you,
 Jim Bryant
 3848-4 Charles White Ln.
 Lenoir, NC 28645

Correspondence ID: 188 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Meyer, Geoffrey H
Outside Organization: NCBBA / OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,14,2007 08:33:41

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am a tourist. I am from NY (Hudson Valley) and have been coming to Cape Hatteras National Seashore since the late 1960's. I have no economic interest in the area. My interest lies in the ability to be able to navigate / negotiate driving the beach.
 I do not consider myself an expert on what's best for all parties involved. I do have an opinion on ORV use (as most here do). I firmly believe that Beach access whether by vehicle or foot (pedestrian) should be allowed. While arguments exist that ORV users are destroying the habitat (natural resource), can anyone tell me that a 3-day Nor'easter doesn't destroy the habitat (natural resource) or any other natural event (hurricane doesn't destroy the habitat (natural resource)). While agreeing that the above are natural events, ORV usage should not be disallowed due to misaligned perceptions of others.
 ORV as well as pedestrian access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be based on common sense and not emotion. If an area is deemed unsafe by NPS, then ORV (maybe even pedestrian usage) should be curtailed until such time as deemed safe by NPS personnel. The question may arise what is safe; and frankly that is a very good question to which I don't have the expertise to answer but would hope that NPS personnel are capable of providing this answer.
 I do not consider myself an extensive ORV user of the beach, I do however consider myself lucky / and to be honest privileged to experience driving the beach as well as having the ability to show my family the different areas of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore other than the areas in front of the villages.
 I have witnessed occurrences of ORV users driving in front of the villages during peak season and would not recommend this scenario if for no other reason than there are many visitors on the beach in front of the villages. The National Seashore designation implies SAFE use for all who want to use the resource.
 The most important need for Cape Hatteras National Seashore is the presence of NPS personnel. While understanding the issue of manpower (or lack thereof), it needs to be understood that without NPS presence, rules / regulations etc. are words and only words. Enforcement of the rules / regulations needs to be in the hands of personnel responsible for the care and maintenance of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore (NPS). The only way disrespectful visitors will ever abide by the rule is to enforce the rule.
 1) Ramps / turnouts etc. currently established appear to be adequate at least as far as I'm concerned. Additional ramps / turnouts as warranted / needed. However, additional ramps / turnouts must be deemed necessary based upon the need not because of surplus of annual funding that needs to be expended. At ramp locations the following should be made available: 1) RULES for using the beach for ORV or Pedestrian traffic; 2) dumpsters; 3) air stations (re-fill tires); 4) water; 5) ferry schedule; 6) emergency contact numbers (medical, law enforcement, NPS)
 2) Seasonal Closures ? should be mandated per rental season in front of the villages or when deemed necessary by NPS. However, re-opening of these areas should also occur once tourist season is over (especially in front of the villages). Typically, as I understand, the tourist season is from Memorial Day weekend ? Labor Day weekend. As for temporary closures for other reasons (turtle nesting, bird nesting, etc.), NPS should have the final say. That said common sense should be one of the primary factors. Closing an area that is not in close proximity to a sensitive area should remain open. Again, NPS needs to be the lead in this determination and I can't stress enough NPS needs to be the lead. Closed areas should be re-evaluated on a timely basis and if deemed safe should be re-opened.
 3) Safety closures should be based upon experienced NPS personnel determining that due to circumstances (wind, hurricane other natural event) the beach is not safe to traverse, (to close to water, to close to dunes, potential of harming resource because of the lack of room. However, re-evaluating the closed area(s) should be undertaken in a timely manner. Upon this re-evaluation, the area in question is opened or remains closed pending the next re-evaluation.
 In general, ORV users that I have witnessed using the beach are concerned about protecting the beach and it's resources. Unfortunately, it only takes a few inconsiderate individuals to cast aspersions in the eyes of those that for their own reason(s) have determined ORV usage should not be permitted on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. There are laws / rules etc. that are enacted to protect something / someone. However, the laws / rules do not punish the innocent. They are meant to punish the offender. There is always going to be the self-absorbed individual who for whatever reason feels the need to push the limit. There is nothing that can be done about this type of individual except punish when caught breaking the law / rule (i.e. Speeders, corporate / white-collar crime, etc.). Negotiated rule making is a democratic process. The process needs to hear input from all interested parties and take into consideration all aspects of the result. It is NOT and should never be a process that is controlled in structure, format or composition by any 1 interested party / group. The Cape Hatteras National Seashore should not become an Oligarchy (controlled by the rule of a few). Ultimately, NPS is the final authority in how the Cape Hatteras National Seashore will be governed based upon policy / rules / laws whatever that are currently on the book or to be enacted. That said no group should be barred from enjoying this wonderful natural resource just to placate a select few.
 America is still a democracy and as a taxpayer, I feel I have the right to voice my opinion. However, I will also respect the rule if ultimately it is determined that ORV shall not be allowed. I won't like it but.

Correspondence ID: 189 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dempsey, Charles C

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,14,2007 08:58:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

1. Open Pea Island to ORV traffic in order to enjoy all the pleasures of this part previously closed to all but the NPS. Provide at least 2 ramps in this area.
2. Provide at least 1 ramp north of Avon (30) with public toilets, fish cleaning station, dumpster and an air compressor.
3. Open pole road and keep it maintained.
4. Drain the pond and campground and keep it maintained. Drain Buxton Woods Aquifer of salt water and let nature be in charge (she does a much better job than us humans).
5. Open seasonal closures in front of towns promptly and have a 15 MPH speed limit.
6. Don't bow to the threat of DOW. Their leader gets paid to sue.
7. The official name is Cape Hatteras National Park and Recreational Area and should be adressed as such at all times.

Correspondence ID: 190 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,15,2007 05:37:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am a 60 year old, retired bank executive and native of NC. I have been coming to CHNS for the past 40 years and over the past 5 years, have spent an average of 5 weeks per year visiting CHNS. My son began coming with me at age 5. He is now a frequent visitor who has been taught to protect and conserve the resource while pursuing his passion of surf fishing.
I am an avid conservationist as well as surf fisherman using a 4WD for my fishing. Conserving the resource (including all fauna and flora) and 4WD surf fishing are NOT mutually exclusive. To close beach access to 4WD's would not be in the best balanced interest of those who recreate or live in the area.

Correspondence ID: 191 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Benson, John O

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,15,2007 07:09:33

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My wife and I live on Hatteras Island because we enjoy the beaches, particularly fishing on the beaches. We also enjoy dolphin and whale watching, shell collecting, and just watching the birds and water. We moved here about 6 years ago and intend to stay. Being able to drive our truck on the beach is a big concern to us. We access many parts of the beach in our truck that we could not access by walking. We use our truck to get away from the crowds that gather on many parts of the beach. We could not fish the beach without our truck. It would be very difficult to carry fishing rods, tackle, bait, and coolers across the dunes to the beach. The fish move up and down the beach. It would be impossible to follow them without a truck. I feel that the present NPS ORV plan is adequate. It includes designated access points to the beach, areas that are seasonally closed to traffic because of wildlife or people usage issues, speed limits, and vehicle and vehicle operator requirements. If anything could be improved, it would be the amount of law enforcement coverage on the beach and enforcement of existing regulations. I am on the beach daily and rarely see an NPS presence. Vehicles have driven on the beaches of Hatteras Island since long before we became a National Seashore. I have driven on these beaches since the early 1960's. I have seen no damage caused to the beaches by vehicles. Any signs of vechile usage are removed by wave and weather action. All problems caused by vehicles could easily be controlled by consistent enforcement of existings regulations. Environmental problems on Hatteras Island are not caused by the vehicles driving on the beach. They are caused by the horrible, uncontrolled growth of the weekly rental industry on the island. Control of that problem would be a much more valid direction for the NPS to take than to the restriction of 4wd access to the beaches.

Correspondence ID: 192 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hardham, Larry

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I wish that I had my camera with me today to document this but as usual I did not have it when desired. A 3:45 PM today 2/13/07 under sunny skies and at least 65 degree temperatures I walked up onto the Frisco Pier and in looking both directions I saw absolutely no one on the beach for as far as I could see which was to beyond the village limits.

Correspondence ID: 193 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Deane , Dale

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: To Whom it may Concern: I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive letter to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is full of inaccuracies and your department is not due such criticisms. All user groups would like to think that their purpose and for use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important and is in their opinion, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures are inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV use on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashore over this country. I know of several mammals that have strayed from the oceans and beached themselves and a ORV user has called for help and the mammals has been cared for and we have actually gained knowledge of the species, then returned it to complete a natural life in either the open waters or in a facility to care for them like the Baltimore Aquarium. The ORV user group has come under much criticism and has been labeled the bad guy for enjoying nature in their application. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy the beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive to fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority and as a matter of fact ORV user will abide any postings be it nests, speed limits and when an ORV user exits the beach will take all of the trash with them and even pickup the trash in the area where they enjoyed the day at the beach. In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many, many years. I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users maintain the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing. Dale Deane Firefighter/EMT Albemarle County Fire Rescue Earlysville Co. 4 283 Reas Ford Rd Earlysville Va. 22936

Correspondence ID: 194 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Holmes, Eric

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan.22,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: Letter
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 195 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knott, C.W.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 196 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knott, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 197 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Strode, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb.01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Ms. Bonner,
 I have enclosed the letter put together by friends of people like me, th Sport Fisherman. This situation, however, is more important to me, and others like me, that i felt a personal note to you was necessary. My brothers and I have been fishing on Hatteras Island for more than a a quarter century. We are sport fisherman and return almost all we catch to the ocean. We are also surf fishermen and have not taken a red dime, as long as we can remember. Our favorite time to fish, and usually the most productive is at night. We often fish through to dawn. Even if we do not get "the Big One" the beauty of the stars, shooting stars and the[UNCLEAR] of the moon is almost indiscrible. Star gazing at Hatteras is the best going. We began our trips when I was in my late 30's and I am now 65. Be Blunt, this wonderful time would all go away if I were unable to take my Tahoe onto the beach. The equipment and a place to take shelter in the event of a harsh thunder storm not to mention a 1 to 2 mile hike to reach the fishing area, are only some of the necessities a vehicle provides. I have read some of the misinformation presented by several of the groups who would take away the pleasure of thousands of people like me. Most of what they have to say is overstated at the least and most borders on just out and out distortions of the truth. As mentioned previously, we have been visiting Hatteras for years. I have never once seen anyone take a vehicle onto the Dunes or any other area known to house or protect an endangered species. We are [UNCLEAR] Protect our environment and anyone of use would "turn someone in" that did not follow the rules set up by the National Park Service. We police our own when it comes to meeting length requirements and we "dunk" ourselves regularly to insure that a big drum we have caught is resuscitated properly to live, wim and be caught again another day. And trust me, this is why we all carry clean, dry clothes in our vehicles. At 2 am in the morning it can be real chilly on the beach in Nov/ December. The beaches have been roped off for years to protect the nesting areas of various sea birds and we not only respect these areas we insure that others do, as well. Lastly, it is not only the pople like me who come to Hatteras to fish and enjoy nature, it is the thousands upon thousands of folks who live there an surrounding areas whose lively hood depends on the sports fishing industry that needs to be considered. These folks would be "out of business." Without access to the beach "sports fishing" would die out an mandy who now vacation there would also stop coming because beach access is also important to them. It is time to evaluate, closely, the remendoud economic loss to the Hatteras residents. No to mention those in Nags Head, Kitty Hawk, et al. The impact on poeple like me an dmy brothers=- I'm from S.C. and my brothers are from Colorado and California, would be unnecessary [UNCLEAR] Please do not take away from our sports fishing group the wonderful pleasure the beach affords. I would be pleased to talk to you further about this issue. Please call me at 803-648-9797 My Name is John Strode and I live in Aiken, SC. Thanking you in advance for your consideration in this matter. John Strode.
 P.S. Please understand that the paper was from the pad of my 3.5 year old granddaughter who I have adopted. It is an expectation of mine to introduce her to the wonders of surfing this spring.

Correspondence ID: 198 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Bonnie V
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: January 19, 2007
 I read recently in Audubon magazine about the concern in allowing ATVs and other vheicles on the sand dunes on the Outer Banks. I've been there twice and agree with the article. No vehicles should be allowed to disrupt the wildlife, particularly the nesting birds. The Outer Banks and the National Seashore are so beautiful as they are naturally..... it seems a shame to mar the landscape and destroy chicks and other wildlife.
 Sincerely,
 Bonnie V. Davis, BA, MLS

Correspondence ID: 199 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knapp, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan.22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 Barrier Island ecosystems not only protect human development from storms and floods and attract tourists but they are important habitat for many species that rely on this delicate fringe between land and sea.
 Off-road vehicles are a very serious danger to many of these species. The Outer Banks of North Carolina still provide areas where many of these at risk species may still find sanctuary. But even where development isn't encroaching on their habitat vehicles are.
 Please stand up to the fishermen and ORV enthusiasts who insist on driving in these sensitive habitats. We cannot ignore any longer the tragic consequences on terns, piping plovers, sea turtles, and other species that rely on these beaches. Please do what you can to eliminate the ORV threat to the wildlife of these barrier islands and leave them intact and healthy for the future. Thank you.
 Sincerely,
 Bill Knapp 667 Brunnerville Road Lititz, PA 17543-8858

Correspondence ID: 200 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Atkins, Sheryl

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Jan,22,2007 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Letter**Correspondence:**

Dear Mr. Murray: I have just read an article titled Beach Bums in the January-February 2007 issue of Audubon that describes the devastation wrought by ORV (off-road vehicles) and their owner/operators on wildlife in the North Carolina Outer Banks region, including Cape Hatteras National Seashore. One picture of a precious, fies~ innocent, entitled-to-live (in spite of mankind's selfish interests) least tern chick run over and left dead in the tracks of one of these vehicles made me sick and actually want to vomit. I do not know how so many people got the idea that man matters most in the whole picture of life? For instance, as someone who is old enough to remember hearing while I was very young the unbelievably erroneous notion that animal life doesn't feel or have emotion, maturity and eyes and heart wide open have shown me the REAL truth! Man is no more important in the whole scheme of things than that precious little least tern chick. Man is no more important than the adult birds trying to raise their young undisturbed. Man is just too arrogant to notice that all living creatures are an equally important, vital component of this 'Garden of Eden' we have in planet Earth. I am totally opposed to the ORV owner/operator attitudes, actions, activities, and government, wildlife, and park officials bowing to this self-centered destructive group. I am opposed to these people plundering the beach terrain and the creatures that dwell there in the name of their having 'fun' and believing they have a right to do so. I am not opposed to setting aside much smaller restricted areas where there will be no 'known' damage to wildlife. And let the ORV owner/operators wait in line however long it takes for their turn to use that space. I want to see very strict laws enacted and protections put in place that are enforced with the goal of preventing this wildlife and habitat disrespect and destruction. And I want to see the laws already in place enforced immediately and vigilantly with the supreme goal of permanent protection for our precious wildlife.

Sincerely,
Sheryl Atkins 5044 Allisonville Road Indianapolis, IN 46205

Correspondence ID: 201 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542**Name:** Paynter, Cary**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Jan,22,2007 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Letter**Correspondence:**

I am writing to urge you to put in place the protections needed to safeguard the nesting shorebirds and waterfowl in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore of North Carolina. The policies adopted need to reflect the best science practices rather than politics. Terns, skimmers, piping plovers, and oystercatchers are all in serious decline due in part to wholly preventable human disturbance. Non-native predators also need to be controlled. This is the kind of stewardship that should be your first priority. The situation has become urgent. Please let me know how your agency intends to address this problem.

Sincerely,
Cary Paynter 6242 Head Rd Wilmington, NC 28409

Correspondence ID: 202 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542**Name:** Craddock, Charles H**Outside Organization:** NCBBA,FFV,OBPA Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Feb,16,2007 10:27:03**Correspondence Type:** Web Form**Correspondence:**

Coming to the Outer Banks for 40+ years as a family and fishing with friends over that period of time has given me the opportunity and time to study the Outer Banks. I have seen people abuse the beach, but coming along right behind those abusers, have been myself and others that have cleaned up behind them. There have been times when suggestions have been made to abusers to help protect what they have here in the beautiful beach areas. Strong consideration and caring must be made towards the people that care about our beaches, they pick up trash, raise families, protect the environment, help the economy in those areas and fish (alot of times puting back what they catch) so there will be fish for the ones to follow. It is our WEATHER and STORMS that really effect the beaches. They take the sand and put the sand back. I cannot offer any strong argument that the PEOPLE tear up the beaches. The only thing we leave are tracks in the sand. We never took our children to the amusement areas when they were growing up. We wanted them to see and feel the beauty of the sand and the ocean and the freedom it offers. Please keep all our beaches and the Outer Banks open for all to enjoy.

Thank you,
Herb Craddock

Correspondence ID: 203 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542**Name:** Rende, Nik**Outside Organization:** Cape Lookout Mobile Sportfishermen Recreational Groups**Received:** Jan,22,2007 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Letter**Correspondence:**

It is with great dismay that I read of the latest attempts by yet another national organization to eliminate Off Road Vehicle (ORV) beach access along the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. This area has a great and rich tradition of coexistence between human, flora and fauna as well as a fishing history that dates back hundreds of years. Time and time again the citizens who use the beach have demonstrated good stewardship of the seashore and a desire and willingness to work with conservation groups to preserve critical habitats while ensuring continued access for all. Groups like the United Mobile Sportfishermen, the Carolina Beach Buggy Association, the Cape Hatteras Anglers Club and the Cape Lookout Mobile Sportfishermen have invested countless person hours and dollars in shore improvement, protection and education. Eliminating ORV access would ensure that only a small portion of the seashore would be accessible at planned points ensuring overuse, overcrowding and an overburdening of the ecosystems there.

My family and I spend several weeks a summer on the Outer Banks camping, fishing and vacationing and we eventually make our way to Portsmouth Island. ORV access there has allowed my wife and me to expose our son to things that we simply don't have the opportunity to in Maine whether that be surf casting for red or black drum, watching the sand fiddlers race to the water or exploring what the tide has washed ashore from the night before; all in the sincere hope that he gains a wider appreciation of what we have and where we live: Without ORV access we would be limited to whatever is within walking distance of the ferry dock or road where we could reasonably carry our gear leaving out dozens of miles of wonderful natural beauty. There is plenty of room for ALL users of the seashore.

Please do not support elimination of ORV access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
Nik Rende Member, Cape Lookout Mobile Sportfishermen Augusta, Maine

Correspondence ID: 204 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542**Name:** Faust, Nina**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Jan,22,2007 00:00:00**Correspondence Type:** Letter**Correspondence:**

Dear Mr. Murray: I have visited several national seashores over the years, including some off the coasts of Georgia and Florida. These important barrier islands protect mainland areas and provide critical nesting habitat for many birds, including some that are declining in population due to inadequate habitat protection. I was appalled that at one national seashore, ORV operators were permitted to drive as they pleased through the same area that people

were walking and some birds were attempting to find food. Many of the operators were speeding, ignoring regulations, and driving into prohibited areas, destroying fragile habitat and making the area unusable for wildlife. The highest function of our National Park System is the preservation of ecosystems, the protection of habitat for the betterment of the species that use them. Recreation is secondary. As such, NPS must stop catering to damaging recreational uses, such as the ORV lobby, and find the backbone to place our National Seashores off limits to damaging ORV use. It is not compatible with protection of bird habitat, nor is it compatible with all the other National Park values like natural quiet, clean air, and a natural environment that so many people come to Parks to enjoy. The past six years or so has seen a co-opting of the true reasons our National Park System was established and an emboldening of motorized groups through their vocal, bullying, scare tactics and often illegal harassment of citizens and NPS personnel who are working to protect these natural resources. It is time for the National Park Service to stand up for these resources and start managing these parks as the original Organic Act intended. Close the parks to ORV use, particularly in sensitive nesting areas. As a compromise for access, create limited transport with a well-regulated concession using quiet, nonpolluting transports that would take fishermen and their gear to fishing spots and stop the unregulated access that has so compromised these areas. Thanks for the opportunity to comment. I hope NPS will act before it is too late for some of the embattled species that are struggling to nest on our National Seashores, which should be providing them with protected habitat.
Sincerely, Nina Faust CC: Mary Bomar, Director, National Park Service

Correspondence ID: 205 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grigsby, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:

I just read the story called BEACH BUMS in the latest edition of "Audubon Magazine." I have to tell you it made me mad! That's because the ORV guys do the same kind of stuff here in Missouri in wild places where they often think they just have to wreck things if they so choose. It is time to stand-up to these guys and do what needs to be done to protect the ecosystem there.

Just a reminder. While I have never been to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, it does belong to me because I am an American. And if the material presented in the "Audubon" article is true, then you are not doing a very good job of protecting MY national park. Again, if the system is not carrying-out its duties, that is truly sad.

The first thing that should be done is to stop these ORV's from driving up and down the beach. What is that all about anyway? Of course you can't keep fragile wildlife safe when this is allowed in the first place.

Okay, I have vented a little. But my guess is the vast majority of Americans would agree with me and THAT is what should be driving policy in this area. Not the ORV driver who is apparently driving the policies into the ground, literally.

Sincerely, Gary Grigsby

Copy to: Mary Bomar Director, National Park Service

Correspondence ID: 206 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Little, Jr., Cecil
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,21,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mrs. Bowman,

I am concerned over the fact that I find out the Cape Hatteras Seashore is once again going to be closed to ORV traffic. I have lived in North Carolina all my life, some 52 years, I have been going to the Outer Banks for over 37 of those years. Cape Hatteras and Cape Lookout are as close to nature you could want. With more and more people going each year we must come to some agreement to keep what we have. But I do not agree to closing the beach to ORVs!! This is my park too!! In few years I will not be able to walk to the ocean if I can get to the island as well as many older fishermen we depend on transportation up and down the beach to fish. If this is not available to the public then how will or anyone else take their grand children and be with them showing them all the great things their park has to offer. I know it is a hard job trying to please all people all the time, but I don't care for all these other people who have not even these parks to tell me what I can and can't do!! What we need is enforcement of current laws. If this was done then you wouldn't have the few people who do harm to the wildlife. I have asked the park service many times why we are not receiving the enforcement that is needed and I am told the NPA does not have the funds to hire the manpower to enforce the law. How can we change this? As I am coming to the end, just remember you can't please all the people all the time, but if you please 51% you're on the right side. I believe we, the ones who used CHNS and CLNS are the 51% of the people.

Thank you for your time and hope this helps to keep our parks open to ORV traffic.

Cecil Little Jr

Correspondence ID: 207 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Swartz, Neil
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,16,2007 12:19:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I feel if ORV access is to be significantly curtailed serious consideration should be given to providing improved beach pedestrian access--more and larger parking areas near existing ramps--additional parking areas along Rt 12 with walkovers to beach will be needed--a very large area would be needed at Ramp 49 in Frisco to provide adequate access to the beach---Without these improvements many park visitors would not be able to enjoy the Park to its fullest.

Correspondence ID: 208 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harden, Aletha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I was appalled when reading the article in Jan/Feb 2007 Audubon, entitled "Beach Bums", Law Enforcement for that jurisdiction should back up to their pay checks. I do not know how to enforce what is already law, but certainly support any efforts to do so. We have property on the Suwannee River in Florida, and with numerous days of my life spent on . waterways, beaches, and swamps, I have seen my share of "unconcerned, don't bother me with that" people. A hobby of mine is to watch and protect, as much as I am capable of doing, wildlife that we are so graciously given. Thank you for all you do to assist the wildlife that constantly battle their worst enemy--humans.

Aletha Harden 418 Azalea Drive MacClenny; Florida 32063

Correspondence ID: 209 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hickey, F.F.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:
 It is disturbing to read that the superintendent of a national park does not enforce the mission of a national park--to preserve and protect the natural resources of the park, and the plants, birds and animals that inhabit it.
 Those resources should certainly take precedence over the recreation activities of visitors when such activities pose as serious a threat to the environment as off-the-road vehicles, unrestricted access to beaches where birds are nesting, unrestrained dogs--and unsupervised children.
 My hope is that you will review the presently existing policies and modify them to fulfill the park's mandate.

Correspondence ID: 210 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wagner, Ron & Kristy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: We're writing to express our dismay and disappointment with how poorly the state of North Carolina has responded to the threat endangered shorebirds face as a result of human activity on beaches. We were especially appalled while reading the latest edition of "Audubon" magazine at how completely local ORV lobbyists and sympathizers had hijacked and bullied a system designed to preserve both the rights of humans and rights of wildlife. It's a shameful display of insensitivity and moral cowardice. We urge you to stand up and enforce laws enacted to protect these animals. It's morally indefensible for selfish humans refuse to compromise even slightly when it comes to their leisure activities while sensitive shorebirds, with nowhere to run and no way to survive without the only habitat they've known for millions of years, are fighting simply to exist. Nobody is suggesting that people - including ORV riders - not be allowed to enjoy the land. Sharing, however, is hardly too much to ask - especially when death is involved. Please do your job and help these birds. Despite the braying of this group of mean, spirited jerks, a great many people feel as we do and want to see wildlife respected and preserved. Just because we're not as obnoxious about it doesn't mean we, too, shouldn't be considered. The laws exist for a reason.

Correspondence ID: 211 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McKoy, Pamela B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
 I am writing to express my concern for beach nesting birds on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support Audubon's position on this matter. I believe that all beach lovers, including OR V drivers, can co-exist with these birds that must nest on the beach. I am concerned for the safety of the chicks and feel that there must be rules in place to protect them. I would appeal to you to keep the welfare of all wildlife in mind as decisions are made in the future.
 It is my understanding that ORV drivers make up about 10% of those of us who use this valuable resource. I feel that I am definitely in the majority and want my voice added to the many others who are willing to abide by rules that are necessary for the protection of the area and it's unique wildlife that we have grown to love. Quite honestly, if it weren't for the birds that use the seashore I would not be a regular visitor several times a year. I only visit the area for the bird viewing opportunities it offers. I can see the beach here at home.
 Please continue to support the preservation efforts to give beach nesting birds a fighting chance. A chick can't protect itself against a vehicle or an unconcerned visitor. That's why they need all of us who are aware of their plight for survival to speak up on their behalf Please don't take your position on this lightly.
 Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.
 Sincerely, Pamela B. McKoy

Correspondence ID: 212 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bradley, Lucas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,31,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Mr. Murray: I am writing in regard to an article in the January-February 2007 edition of Audubon magazine, which described the lax enforcement of laws which were meant to protect shorebirds and other species on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It is vital for the National Park Service to uphold federal laws such as the Endangered Species Act and Migratory Bird Treaty Act in its parks to protect the sensitive species that use the parks' habitats. It is disheartening to see that, on Cape Hatteras, the interests of the recreation crowd such as off-road vehicle users are severely impairing the enforcement of federal laws that protect migratory birds and other species. This case seems to be yet another instance of the Bush administration's complete contempt for the environment. Please stand up and enforce existing environmental laws and return the National Park Service to the forefront of our nation's conservation efforts.

Correspondence ID: 213 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blackwell, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Sir:
 Enclosed are my comments on the National Seashore's Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan. I have been a frequent user of the beaches from Salvo to Buxton for more than thirty years and have partial ownership of a rental cottage at Salvo. I feel strongly that any new restrictions on beach driving will be very harmful to the economy of Hatteras Island. I have always been thankful that the majority of the beach on Hatteras Island was owned and controlled by the National Park Service because federal ownership "prevented private development. For decades, Hatteras has been famous for surf-fishing and four wheel-drive access to the beaches is essential for this sport to flourish on the Outer Banks. In addition, due to the overall popularity of four wheel-drive vehicles, this area has become popular with families who use the beaches for other activities also.
 For environmentalists and other naturalists, there are other public beaches such as Pea Island which do not allow vehicular traffic. This stretch of beach is approximately fifteen miles in length and provides ample natural areas for swimming, beach-combing, bird watching or other related activities. Furthermore, since vehicles are not authorized on Pea Island, would it be feasible to trap some of the endangered birds from the areas which have vehicular traffic and transfer them to Pea Island or other restricted beaches?
 My final point addresses people who violate National Park Service regulations such as driving on the dunes or in nesting areas. In my opinion, your policy for violators who willingly break the law by driving in restricted areas should be to confiscate their vehicles on the spot and keep them until the matter is settled in court. If you follow a "get tough" policy on beach violations, it will not take long for people to realize that driving violations will not be taken lightly. Strict enforcement will also make use of the beaches more enjoyable for the law-abiding citizen.
 Thank you for considering my comments.

Correspondence ID: 214 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gregory, Alan C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,31,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

It's been a few years since I set foot on the national seashore. I was a frequent visitor while serving Air Force Reserve duty at Langley AFB in Hampton, but I retired from the service three years ago and don't make it down your way much anymore.

In any case, I just read Ted Williams' insightful commentary about how the national seashore is being managed and I want to get my two cents in. It's clear from his reporting that the National Park Service is violating at least several laws, including the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, by allowing off-road vehicle traffic in areas that it shouldn't, especially terrain that should be inviolate and set aside specifically for the increasingly rare Piping Plover and other shorebirds.

Cape Hatteras National Seashore ought to be managed, first and foremost, with its natural resources at the top of the list. And that means keeping ORV's away from bird nesting terrain.

I urge you to stand up to the political pressure. Do the right thing. Keep the off-road cowboys off the beach at the right time and for the right length of time.

Correspondence ID: 215 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Keene, W. James

Outside Organization: North Carolina Beach Buggy Association, Inc. Recreational Groups

Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Mike. With Negotiated Rulemaking finally on the near horizon, I want to take this opportunity to reaffirm the support and dedication of the NCBBA toward Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. As I discussed with you during our first meeting, the NCBBA was founded in 1964 within CAHA and has existed for the past 43 years with the primary objective being the support and betterment of this park. Our actions within the park and in support of the programs managed by the NPS reflect the dedication of both the NCBBA leadership and our 4800 members. We dedicate ourselves and our organization to this park because we believe the uniqueness of this Recreational Area should be preserved. Aside from the lighthouses, the access to the ocean and sound side waters is the single most attracting feature of this park. The uniqueness of accessing these waters via ORV in lieu of commercialized trams or buses as would be found at Coney Island, is what brings people back year after year. Once someone climbs Hatteras Light they don't often come back for seconds, they come back to enjoy our beaches and the unique ability to access them. While attempting to incite the membership of Audubon, their attack in the Jan-Feb issue of "Audubon Magazine" has disrupted the work done by our Outer Banks Visitors Bureau and Chamber of Commerce who work extremely hard to bring the approximately 5 million visitors to the outer banks each year. These visitors are the backbone of our economy. They have targeted the NC Dept of Transportation, 12 other state and federal agencies including the Secretary of the Interior, for their efforts to get a bridge built before the existing Oregon Inlet bridge collapses, requires maintenance beyond value or requires weight restrictions. They attack the NPS for their sincere efforts in attempting to balance environmental requirements while maintaining a user friendly and accessible park. They have attacked USFWS whom we often feel are too restrictive in their interpretations of the Endangered Species and Migratory Bird Acts. Not a stone has remained unturned or unthrown during this attack and for this, perhaps, we should thank the writer and editor of this inflammatory article, for they have exposed themselves and their overzealous and radical viewpoints. While freedom of the press allows and invites organizations such as Audubon to incite their membership with articles based upon unfounded assertions such as Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area being overrun by "Beach Bums" and "Benighted Yahoo" the NCBBA will continue on its path toward Negotiated Rulemaking. We were asked to participate in this rule making process. When we agreed to do so we were asked to refrain from attacks such as those recently published in "Audubon Magazine" and we intend to do so. We have committed to our membership, the NPS and fellow members of the rulemaking committee to approach these negotiations in good faith. .

You and your staff have our commitment of continuing support. Most importantly, Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area has our continuing support.

W. James Keene, President North Carolina Beach Buggy Association

Cc: Mary Bomar, Director National Park Service 1849 C Street, NW Washington, DC 20240

Correspondence ID: 216 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Feldschuh, Stuart

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Supt. Murray,

For years my family and I have annually vacationed in your area in order to fish in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. This involves driving my four wheel drive vehicle on the beach and I am deeply concerned about the current talk of disallowing beach driving from our public lands. Scientific studies have shown over and over again that barrier beaches naturally are in a continual state of change. Building a permanent structure upon shifting sands runs contrary to good logic, but driving in a responsible manner on this same beach does in no manner erode its structure. Water, tides, wind do erode and rebuild sand beaches, properly equipped "beach buggys" leave tracks on the sand, just as walking on the beach does, no more, no less.

I, for one, would no longer make the trip from New York to CHNS were beach driving to no longer be allowed. As a small business owner myself, I can appreciate the economic consequences to the local area, the hotels, restaurants, small shops, the aquarium, and other places that we love to visit during my fishing season (the Outer Bank's tourist off-season.) But most of all, beach driving in the traditional and responsible manner, allows my family and many others access to our beautiful public beach and provides us with the ability to find and follow fish. Please allow this to be enjoyed by my children and their children too.

Correspondence ID: 217 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Solbert, Marion

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Mr. Murray,

I have just read an article in Audubon Jan -Feb 2007. I can't tell you how surprised (shocked) I was at the policy that has been set in NC to let ORV's rule. I guess I will first ask you if this article is totally accurate. OR V's are allowed to drive around where birds are breeding and fences are not put up? That was 30 years ago before we knew about maintaining National Park areas. First of all, if this is true, what is your educational program to reach these people and educate them as to the damage that they are creating? Are you going into schools and talking about dead baby birds? Education of children about the balance between humans and the environment is keen. The enforcement of the law is truly important. If the law concerning Endangered Species Act and the Migratory Bird Act are being broken, I don't understand why. We are a nation built on laws and these are truly important. Are they being enforced and if not, why? I hope that the laws are being enforced at Cape Hatteras Island and that the people are being educated. Otherwise we might as well think of NC the same way I think of backward nations. It can not be tolerated. Please let me know what is being done to improve the breeding of all the seashore birds.

Correspondence ID: 218 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kokoszka, John

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,18,2007 19:53:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Sirs; I urge you to work through the difficult process so people and birds can coexist on the beach for generations to come. John Kokoszka 463 Oliver st New Bedford,MA

Correspondence ID: 219 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hrycuna , Chuck and Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: We are writing to ask you to help preserve Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Too many offroad vehicles in too many places are eroding soil and thereby setting up for flooding, as well as destroying birding habitat and pleasure for birders who spend millions of dollars on this activity every year. It doesn't make sense I We must all plan for the future We believe that humans are intelligent enough to do this. Thanks very much for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: 220 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Godwin, Larry W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,29,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Just a note to express my personal feelings and to reiterate some hard facts concerning beach access by vehicle. The most enjoyable time spent in my life is playing with my grandchildren and surf fishing at the Outer Banks. There is no way, at my age, to fish the point or other beach areas with vehicular access. With rare exceptions, neither myself or others could do it. Vehicular access allows this wonderful recreational activity to continue. You have no doubt ridden the beaches and seen the fishermen and their family and friends enjoying the beaches and fishing. This is vital. A look at the license tags gives one a true picture of the vast level of enjoyment by those throughout the entire nation. At one time or another you will see every state tag on the shoreline. This activity is under constant attack by small but vigilant groups of environmental extremists who would deny this privilege to millions of people. They for the most part never utilize the beaches yet from afar wish to deny others the privilege. For every one of them that ever visit the Cape Hatteras area, there are literally hundreds of recreational fishermen and their family members that do so. Recreational fishing IS the lifeblood of the economy on bfatteras Island. There are not enough bird watchers and lighthouse visitors alone to sustain it. But these environmental vigilantes do not give one whit about that and the lives of people that would be affected. Their own agenda is all that matters to them and they want it all, not just designated non-driving areas to protect important habitat but to shut it down altogether. And they feel like that is their right and duty to do so. Unfortunately their voices, although few, are shrill and are music to the ears of many in government who are ensconced and also are not possessed of common sense and reasoning. Reasonable accommodations can be reached by reasonable people. As it is now, accommodations are made for both wildlife and people. But the attacks by the radicals are incessant. The fact is simple. If vehicular access is ever denied, the economy of Hatteras Island will be destroyed and the recreational opportunity for millions of human beings will go with it. Please print and enter my comments in the public comments section of the Management Plan that is being developed regarding CHNS.

Correspondence ID: 221 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thissen, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Pursuant to the federal Freedom of Information Act, 5 USC 552, as amended, I hereby request disclosure by postal mail of the following information, documents and records: I. The comments from the public on potential members of the 0 R V regulation negotiated rule-making committee 2. Any other information, documents' and records relating to potential members of the ORV regulation negotiated rule-making committee The phrase "information, documents and records," is used in a comprehensive sense and includes but is not lim ited to all hand-written, typed, printed, recorded, transcribed copies or reproductions of every kind and description. This includes letters, memoranda, telegrams, notes, reports, details of accounts, minutes, work sheets, computer printouts, contracts, proceedings, and any type or other compilation from which information can be obtained. If you regard any information, documents and records in the foregoing list as exempt from required disclosure under the Act, I hereby request that you exercise your discretion under the Act to disclose them nonetheless. I further request that you disclose the listed information, documents and records as they become available to you, without waiting until all information, documents and records have been assembled. As you know, the Freedom ofInformation Act provides that if portions of a document or record are exempt from release, the remainder must be segregated and disclosed. Therefore I will expect you to send me all nonexempt portions of the information, documents and records that I have requested, and ask that you justify any deletions by reference to specific exemptions of the Act. I reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information, documents and records. I am making this request in the capacity of a student journalist at the Medill School of Journalism at Northwestern University. As you know the FOI Act says search and copying fees "shall" be waived when the release of the requested information, documents and records would be "in the public interest." I believe this request plainly fits into this category. ... Accordingly,] request that pursuant to the FOI Act provisions in question, you waive all fees in the public interest because the furnishing of the information, documents and records requested will primarily benefit the public. If you deny this request for a fee waiver, however, please notify me of the charges before you fill the request so I may decide whether to pay the fees or appeal your denial of my request for a fee waiver. Please send the documents and any other correspondence to the address listed below.

Correspondence ID: 222 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nestor, Patrick J
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 223 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cherouski, Eugenia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 224 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parietlo, Mike
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 225 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Detivoius, Rick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 226 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pennino, Thomas R
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 227 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pennino, Thomas R
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 228 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pennino, Thomas R
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 229 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cohn, Bill
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 230 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Kay
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 231 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fratello, Matthew S
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club, Inc. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 232 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Densieski, Kenneth R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: P.S. Access for the elderly and handicapped

Correspondence ID: 233 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zingarelli, Bonnie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
How can you possibly sleep at night with the title "Superintendent, Cape Hatteras National Seashore" when there are RVs, obnoxious ignorant individuals destroying what you supposedly are paid to supervise by the tax payers? I am appalled and disgusted that such activities I read in the 01-02/07 Audubon magazine are going on right under your nose. Please tell me you are doing something about this? The poor gentleman, Dr. Maddock had/had every right to expect some authority figure to prevail over these selfish idiots- which I am quite sure, don't even vote.

Correspondence ID: 234 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moller, Caroline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
Upon perusing the article, "Beach Bums" in the January-February 2007 Audubon magazine of which we receive with our membership, I was very emotionally sad and deeply disturbed by the ignorance of the ORV owners and the lives of all wildlife of which they are destroying needlessly. Please

0000430

place total restrictions to their use on these lonely beaches of North Carolina to prevent further midless destruction. With sincere appreciation for your immediate actions, Sincerely, Mrs Caroline K Moller

Correspondence ID: 235 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Giannetti, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a wonderful place to live. My husband and I retired down here in 1984. He had to retire early (at a young age of 46) due to a serious heart problem. We chose Cape Hatteras as the place to retire because we had been coming here since 1965 and as we had lived in four different state this was always home to our two girls more than anywhere else they ever lived. Due to my husband's heart condition he was not able to walk a great distance so being able to drive on the beach allowed him to enjoy many good years fishing, playing with grandchildren and looking for shells. This made retirement an enjoyable time and his quality of health much better. He was told in 1971 that he had less than one year to live and lived until 1996. This was the only place that really brought happiness for him. Since his death, I have remained here due to my love of fishing, walking, and playing with grandchildren who live off the island and come each and every summer, many times spending the whole summer with me. Both of us also loved the light house and voluntered there since it opened in 1990 to visitors. Chuck worked on Fri showing at the base of the lighthouse, went to the hosiptal on Fri night and died on Sunday. Since then I have continued to work during the summer at the top and also do fish with a Ringer and have accumulated around 2000 hours. We are pleased with all you are doing to keep beaches open and hope you will continue in the future.

Correspondence ID: 236 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boone, James L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,
I'm writing because I'm deeply concerned that my National Seashore is being allowed to deteriorate because the Park Service is not protecting the birds that next on the beach. It seems clear that the off road vehicle community is calling the shots and that they get what they want! What they appear to want is all of the beach all of the time. Furthermore, it appears that anyone with a different viewpoint gets shut out. Just because the ORV people are bullies, doesn't mean they are right. The natural resource of our National Parks must be preserved. And there is nothing more natural to the National Seashore than the birds that have been nesting there for eons. Sincerely, James L Boone

Correspondence ID: 237 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pollack, Judith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
More has to be done to stop offroad vehicles from abusing waterbirds and turtles. Please take action to protect them.
Sincerely, Judith Pollack

Correspondence ID: 238 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Augustson, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,06,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
After reading the article in the recent article titled Is "There Hope For Cape Hatteras Seashore?" ffrom the Audubon North Carolina online magazine, I will say that it compelled me to write you this letter as a concerned Native of Hatteras Island. But first I will tell you that I am very glad that the National Park Service has been on Hatteras Island all these years! I tremble to think what it would belike at "HOME" without the Park Service. In all my entire 61 years on this earth I have never seen anything as it is now at "HOME". (I capitalize "home" because I want to emphasize the fact that it has always been my home) What has happened on Hatteras Island in the years since I was old enough to know my surrounds, beggars' description as far as the influx of so many people, over built beaches and furthermore there is no infrastructure to support it and it's all been done in the name of a dollar bill? It has got to stop! I don't know if you have ever seen pictures of how it use to be in the early 1950's when the Park Service first arrived or not but if you have seen early pictures of the Island, then you will truly be able to relate to all I'm saying. Our seashores were miles and miles of pristine beaches that were so wide that it would take me an hour to walk from the sound side to the seaside in Avon (the old Village ofKinnakeet)where my Grandparents lived! After reading that article I feel completely helpless and hopeless about our beaches being constantly tom up, the entire Island being ransacked and all the laws which have been set forth being disobeyed with attitudes that I cannot begin to describe! I now know how the original Indians felt when they were invaded by pirates! Believe me when I tell you this; all the original families feel as I do, completely invaded and robbed blind! Not to mention how appalled I am at the attitudes of the Sport Fishermen, hunters, tourists and so many more types that have flooded our Island. Yes, we all have the right to visit anywhere we choose but we don't have the right to disrupt and to destroy the homes, lifestyles and habitats of not only human beings but of what will soon be endangered wild life of too many species to begin to name. Page 2
I'm at a loss on what to do but I'm writing you this letter as only one very heartbroken native about all I see that's happened in the past years and continues to happen. If this present administration continues to have the same attitude as it does then we will no longer have any National Parks to enjoy.
Finally in closing, I will say that I greatly appreciate the fact that the Park Service is on Hatteras Island and for the work that is being done to preserve what is still there. I dread the mere thought of what it would be like on Hatteras Island without the Park Service! Thank you all very much for all you do!

Correspondence ID: 239 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hasty, Jr., John C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Re: Reasons we love the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Dear Mr. Murray, I am writing you in response to Larry Hardham's request in the Cape Hatteras Anglers Club newsletter. I enjoy my two to three trips per year to one or more of our barrier islands to fish. The draw for meis the fact that I can drive along our beaches in search of better fishing holes. I come for the camaraderie of my friends and the new friends that I will undoubtedly meet each time I go. I. go for the serenity of the beaches and the sunrises and the sunsets. I respect and love the people who make their living catering to us fishermen. Never once in the fifteen years I have been going have I witnessed any problems or degradation of our beaches. I, as most others I know, do all I can to remove any trash as I leave. Whether it is mine or anyone else's. Our motto is, and always has been, that wherever we go we leave the place

0000431

in better shape than we found it. We have the greatest resource available to us in the country which are our beaches and the right to enjoy them. We must not let that be taken away from us or anyone. It is revenue for our residents of the Outer Banks and it is a way to get out and see our natural beauty in a way most people can't. Fishing the Outer Banks is a fun and relaxing sport that I'm not sure I could do without. Please let us not make any rules that would jeopardize our beach freedom.

Correspondence ID: 240 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Knopf, R. Eric
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,06,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Re: Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Dear Mr. Murray,

As a long-time visitor to Cape Hatteras and member of the Outer Banks Preservation Association, I am writing to request that you consider my brief comments concerning use of the Seashore beaches.

I have been surf fishing annually--with little interruption--since the late 1970's, during both the spring and the fall. The senior member of our fishing group began to fish there in the 1950's. Over the years I have spent countless days on the beaches, from Oregon Inlet to Hatteras Inlet, with my father and brothers, with our friends, and with the new friends we make there each year. My son now travels with me during Spring Break.

While we are there, I feel confident that our presence has contributed to the economic vitality of the communities we visit: we lodge in a motel run by Outer Banks natives; we dine in the local restaurants; we purchase gasoline and buy bait and tackle and ice. And of course, this year we'll have the new North Carolina fishing licenses in our pockets.

I am proud to say I have never seen any member of our fishing troupe litter, drive out of bounds, or disturb wildlife habitat; indeed, our inclination has always been to pick up refuse and make a contribution to the pristine nature of the site. We are mindful of other guests on the beach, fishermen and non-fishermen, and pay great attention to fishing rules and regulations.

In conclusion, I write to you because beach access is very important to me and my fellow surf fishermen. I hope that our perspective will be represented during the development of an ORV Plan through the Negotiated Rule Making process. I wish you all the best and offer a hearty word of thanks for taking a moment to consider my perspective.

Sincerely, R. Eric Knopf 8233 Ellerson Green Pl. Mechanicsville, Va. 23116-1872 reknopf@earthlink.net

Correspondence ID: 241 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cullen, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Jan,02,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Mr. Murray, I just finished reading the article by Ted Williams entitled Beach Bums in the Jan-Feb 2007 issue of the Audubon magazine. Perhaps I am cynical, but it appears that environmental apathy is becoming increasingly pervasive in both public and private sectors. Given the present-day scientific evidence supporting the umpteen benefits of responsible wildlife, wilderness, ecological, and natural resource stewardship and conservation, it is saddening to see such examples of caustic recreational indulgence and blatant disregard for environmental well-being. While empathizing with the recreationists viewpoint, I also feel that supporting the indiscriminate use of ORV's in such a fragile habitat is myopic and the benefits derived ephemeral, at best. On the other hand, diligent environmental management will benefit those of generations to come. I therefore implore you to use your position of authority and become a steadfast advocate for the continued preservation of the Cape Hatteras Ntl. Seashore and the wildlife it supports.

Correspondence ID: 242 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Balogh, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

I am from Ohio and have visited Cape Hatteras several times on recreational vacations with my family. I implore you to do all in your power to protect nesting shorebirds in the jurisdictions under your management. When populations of piping plovers at Cape Hatteras National Seashore are reduced to 5 pairs and American Oystercatchers to 24 pairs we cannot ignore the causes any longer! And no nesting terns or skimmers are left!

The National Park Service is obligated by law to address the three responsible factors: non-native predators, human disturbance, and failure to adequately protect nesting habitats. Specifically, NPS must:

.Remove non-native predators from nesting areas, and .Provide appropriate buffer zones between people and nesting birds. This can be accomplished while allowing limited vehicle access to nearly all Seashore beaches that have traditionally been open to vehicles. Some sections of beach will have to be "closed to allow plover or oystercatcher chicks a place to forage and to prevent chicks from being run over by vehicles. These closures will only be for short periods while birds are using the habitats, which will be beneficial to all Seashore users.

When you lose one species, the ecosystem web has been broken. This is unacceptable. Please keep me informed of your plans for protecting shorebirds and other water birds.

Sincerely,

Kathy Balogh

7025 N. Amherst St, Portland, OR 97203

Correspondence ID: 243 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Smith, Garry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

There is not a more enjoyable place than the OBX for me. Y'all talk about all the riff raff that messin up the beach. It not the ones that enjoy this place the way I do! Where can you go and see the outdoor's like this. I am just a farm boy. I save my money all year just to come to the OBX so I can you can get away from all of the world's problem's. Sunrise on the beach, sunset on the beach not a soul in sight. Most of the people I meet down there love this place and would not do anything to endanger it. Bird's, turtle's and even seagull's. I want to enjoy this place. I watch where I drive. I pick up anything that is not suppose to be there! ! When I leave the beach, I have to stop at the dumpster's to throw out all the trash I picked up. Not all of the fishermen on the beach are thug's. Most of us want to enjoy this place a long, long time. I save my time off of work all year just to come to OBX. Where else can a body get away from all of the headache's of the world and sit down and fish. (ALONE) And not see a living soul in sight. Just joined the OBPA. Member of Fran and Fran's and RDT web site's. These are good people. HELP save our beach access.

Correspondence ID: 244 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Geary, Richard G
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Mr. Murray, I made my first trip to Hatteras Island in 1982 when my daughter was only one year old. I had heard from a friend of the excellent fishing opportunities that exist there. He was right, of course, but as good as the fishing is, it is only part of the overall Hatteras experience. After the initial visit, my family took our annual vacation on Hatteras Island for 22 summers in a row. In a few of those years, we were fortunate enough to visit on Thanksgiving and even once during Christmas. I watched my kids grow up with fishing rods, crab traps, kites, and the occasional sun burn. Over the years we caught lots of fish from the sound, from the piers, and from charter boats, but perhaps the most unique fishing experience on Hatteras Island is the beach fishing. And, of course, a necessary component of that segment is the open beach access which allows us to drive RESPONSIBLY on those beaches. Without this privilege, the Hatteras experience would be irreparably tarnished. As I write this letter, I am already anticipating this year's visit. A nasty divorce (are there any other kind?) has prevented me from my regular visits for the last couple of years, but my daughter, the chemical engineer, has booked a house for us in Frisco in mid August. Until she confirmed that, I hadn't realized how much I missed my happy place these last couple years. Now I am and will continue to anxiously anticipate this summer's trip. This year I will once again be enjoying Hatteras with my children, but I will also be introducing the Hatteras experience to the new love of my life. She and I have enjoyed the Pasadena area; and the beautiful beaches in Malibu. We have also enjoyed together the beaches of Florida's west coast. These are all fabulous to be sure, but Robin has not yet experienced Paradise, she will in August.

Correspondence ID: 245 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goodman, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

Over the past 30 years I have been a frequent visitor to Cape Hatteras National Seashore and 4 years ago I was able to fulfill my dream of owning a place of my own in Hatteras Village. I and my family have enjoyed many wonderful days of fishing and enjoying the beautiful beaches on Hatteras Island but I have serious concerns if this is going to last. I am deeply concerned about the increasing limited access to the fishing areas I have enjoyed over many years, namely Hatteras Inlet and the Buxton Point. I am sure you understand that the majority of visitors to Hatteras Island come here for fishing and the money that is spent by the fisherman contribute greatly to the economy on Hatteras Island. When the decisions are made regarding access I sincerely hope you take into consideration the implications these decisions will have on the local business owners and the visitors to Hatteras Island.

Correspondence ID: 246 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heward, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray: We are writing to express our disdain concerning the policy of allowing ORVs to run without any concern for the

endangered Piping Plovers nesting on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We understand the ORV lobby is vocal and are prone to using strong ann tactics, but there are other people who are concerned with the safety of the nesting birds on the Seashore. Why should the ORV crowd be able to override the rules and regulations of the Endangered Species Act? We hope that you would see fit to fence off as much beach as necessary to protect the nesting birds. All people need to learn to abide by the rules even if they are unable to appreciate what nature has given us. Thank you for your effort in caring for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 247 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heward, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray: We are writing to express our disdain concerning the policy of allowing ORVs to run without any concern for the

endangered Piping Plovers nesting on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We understand the ORV lobby is vocal and are prone to using strong ann tactics, but there are other people who are concerned with the safety of the nesting birds on the Seashore. Why should the ORV crowd be able to override the rules and regulations of the Endangered Species Act? We hope that you would see fit to fence off as much beach as necessary to protect the nesting birds. All people need to learn to abide by the rules even if they are unable to appreciate what nature has given us. Thank you for your effort in caring for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 248 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ballance, Vilma T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:

I would like to express my extreme concern regarding the use of Off road vehicles in the National Seashore Park on the outer banks area. I have read the article in the recent Audubon magazine regarding this problem. Birds and their nests, as well as other wildlife like turtles, are being destroyed or disturbed, and even humans walking the beach are being threatened.

I had a home on the beach at Nags Head for several years until about 1997, and spent my early years in the 1930's, 1940's and 1950's on the beaches in the area. I am familiar with the vicinity and the growth and changes that have taken place.

I would like to add my name to those who object strongly to the use of ORV s on the beach in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Park. National parks, by law, must provide Americans with ecosystem preserves. The use of ORVs in national parks flouts federal laws, such as its own organic act, Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the General Authorities Act, and the executive orders by Presidents Nixon and Carter, which forbid ORV use unless it can be demonstrated that it won't compromise natural values. The seashore's enabling legislation requires that it be permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness. As you know, ORVs are not part of a primitive wilderness.

Please do all you can to ensure the protection of our wildlife and our wilderness area at the Cape Hatteras Park.

Correspondence ID: 249 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heath, Jerome
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am writing this note in reference to various organizations fighting to have beaches along Cape Hatteras National Seashore closed to off-road vehicles. I

am asking for your assistance in stopping this movement. I started visiting the outer banks islands only a few years ago. In that short time my love for the islands and the surf fishing sport has grown tremendously. The surf fishing old timers have taught me a lot not only about the sport, but about treatment of the island. My parents raised me to respect others and their property, but what I've witnessed these guys do is to respect the land itself. These folks take the time to do things critics don't see. Things like picking up the trash that's blowing along the beach or helping their fellow fisherman

regardless if they actually know the person. These organizations that want to close the beaches ORV's want to imply that ORV operators don't respect the wildlife on the beaches. As you know, the park service runs a tight ship on overseeing the wildlife population and its care. They will close sections along the beach for nesting purposes. Sometimes these closures will include ones favorite fishing hole. These sections are closed to ORV's and the closures are respected by the fisherman. These islands have been for public use for years and I would like them to remain open for public use. I feel that there is plenty of room for everyone to enjoy the beaches. Please help us stop this act against the off-road vehicle operators. I would like to continue to use the beaches, but if these organizations continue with their attacks, I fear that our enjoyment of the beaches will be short lived.
Thank you, Jerome Heath

Correspondence ID: 250 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lambeth, Ron
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray: I've just read Beach Bums by Ted Williams, Audubon Jan-Feb 2007. You & I know about the sometimes contradictory mission of the NPS. You & I know about ESA Section 7 requirements upon federal agencies. You & I know there's pressure ITom OHV groups put on bureaucrats and politicians. What I don't know about is what makes that pressure so powerful. I assume it's a couple of things, OHV people pumping high energy into their interest and beholden public servants unable to say "No" to a client. We have the same thing in western Colorado. Now consider this. OHV people are interested in promoting their recreation. So are the people who profit ITom their activity. The rest of us are passive, annoyed, or outraged by their activity, depending on where and when they do it. Tell me how to help get the politicians off your back so you can do the job you are mandated by law to do. I love that Carolina beach and its wildlife and I am cc'ing this to my legislators as a first effort.

Correspondence ID: 251 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gibbins, Martin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray and Ms. Bomar: Our family has long enjoyed the beauty and peace found at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We now visit every few years to savor and reflect on the beach and natural attractions. Our entire family travels from all over the country (WA, AZ, MN, OH, and WV) to spend time there. Based on evidence and testimony, we believe that the National Park Service is not complying with the regulations and legal requirements to protect this Seashore. The Seashore is a national treasure as designated by Congress-not a playground for the indiscriminate destructive amusement of a few off-road vehicle (ORV) enthusiasts. What we destroy today may not be recoverable tomorrow and therefore may be lost forever-a senseless, preventable tragedy for our country. We believe that you understand the critical environmental and wildlife issues at stake. We urge you to begin taking the crucial steps needed to reduce the destruction caused by ORVs and to reduce the disproportionate influence exerted by the ORV lobby on policy. . Ensure that all stakeholders are equally represented in discussions. . Enforce all laws against encroaching on bird nesting sites. . Challenge the Fish and Wildlife Service's misguided, deadly interpretation of the "incidental take" of endangered species, specifically the Piping Plover. . Lead the way to revive the plan to build the long bridge recommended by the state of NC and several federal agencies-but axed by the Interior Dept. at the insistence of the ORV lobby. The long bridge would bypass Pea Island and preserve undeveloped barrier island and wildlife refuge. . Create designated areas for ORV use, away from critical nesting areas. Make it possible for us and future generations to walk safely along the beach and experience the glorious sensations of the ocean-the smooth, shiny expanses of sand at the water's edge; the long, winding ribbons of foam, seaweed, shells, and other treasures deposited by the tide; the birds soaring above, diving for fish, and probing the beach for food-undisturbed by the din of engines, the odor of gasoline fumes, or the danger of being killed or injured. To us, this is the essence of the National Seashore. In short, be courageous-begin immediately to reverse the destruction by the few, and restore the area to its status a National Seashore for the benefit of the entire country. Thank you. Martin Gibbins and Paula Crockett

Correspondence ID: 252 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Newbold, John P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mike, I am delighted the powers in Washington finally made a good decision for a change, the one that put you at the helm of the national park system in this area.
You have stepped in, assessed problems and are making changes to address them, and your task is not an easy one. I compare your style to that of a now retired superintendent who managed the park when I first became associated with the Outer Banks, a Mr. Thomas Hartman, and that is a good comparison. When folks had issues with decisions Tom made in the park, he would go to them publicly and clear the air, so to speak. He would negotiate on issues he could and held firm on others. He was respected I see you in the same light.
But times were less contentious when Tom was in charge. There were a few more plover nests and hatchlings, but not many. There were lots more fish to catch. There was lots more beach to drive on and there were fewer off-road vehicles using the beaches. There were almost no organized groups who opposed the use of ORV's on the beach. I personally have driven from Sandbridge in Virginia Beach to Hatteras Inlet, leaving the beach only once to cross the Bonner Bridge, drove the entire length of Pea Island, in front of the cottages in Buxton, passed the lighthouse and ended at the inlet. That was in the early nineteen seventies. The scenery was breath taking. My family decided to buy a second home here because we loved it so much and enjoyed the freedom and excitement of the area, especially the huge fall bluefish blitzes.
Today, I'm retired and a resident of Nags Head. Many of my old fishing buddies have passed on and I have aged. I have served as a member, director and officer of one of the largest ORV user groups in the world and I have seen great changes come about this area over the past thirty five years. This area used vehicles on all beaches in the twenties and thirties to haul fishing nets from the ocean. Today, I can access less than twenty percent of the beaches by ORV that I drove on thirty five years ago.
Today, I'm told by paid lobbyists and overzealous conservation groups that the use of my ORV causes harm to flora and fauna, and I drive with a greater awareness of nature now than I did long ago.
I am amazed by the need for year-round closures for birds that seldom show. I am more amazed that birds that are not threatened nor endangered cause vast areas of beach to be closed for excessive periods. And I am totally amazed how afraid this nation has become of lawsuits filed by folks who 'don't get their way' on a particular issue!
This area was a fishing and recreational area before the national park ever had the land turned over to them. I am appalled when I read that some feel that this area is a 'pristine wilderness' area. This area doesn't even fit the federal standards for a 'wilderness' area. If it did, we would have to close up a lot of homes, shut down a lot of businesses and move a lot of folks out! I speak for many when I tell you the best stewards of the park system you manage are the locals who live here, love it here and want to do things to maintain the beauty of the area. These are the folks who volunteer to work at the lighthouse, work on turtle patrols, and clean trash from the beaches. Next time you drive down Route 12 from the maintenance buildings to Bonner Bridge, notice an Adopt-A-Highway sign assigned to the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association and a 15 year award on the sign. This stretch of road lies within park land and NCBBA as assisted with trash pickup on this stretch of road for 15 years! I have yet to see any group of 'environmentalists' any more dedicated than NCBBA and yet we and other ORV users are seen as a major threat to the park system. I have never seen any effort to do this by any of the well know environmental groups - Bluewater. Defenders of Wildlife. Audubon and the like
And on the subject of the Audubon, I am positive you have read the recent article in their magazine by Ted Williams. I have never read such a paragraph-after-paragraph twist of the facts, and to tout Sydney Maddock as a negotiating asset is a joke! You and I saw his in-your-face approach last

year during the seasonal closing at Oregon Inlet. He is rude and will do whatever it takes to get his way. He was also given a speeding ticket did have an expired sticker, and that is a legal fact of record. But Mr. Williams and Sydney are paid to do what they do. It's a shame that more truth wasn't in the article so that their readers got the real picture, and not the 'spin' that was written to entice donations to fill their coffers.

It is indeed unfortunate that an ORV plan was not put in place years ago. In fact, a superintendent prior to Tom Hartman, a Bill Harris, enacted a quickly put-together plan that involved large beach closings. This caused so much furor at the time that pressure from higher up negated his plan and, if my old memory serves, removed him from his job. Maybe that's why no one else ever moved forward with another plan. I don't know. I do know that as more beach area is closed to ORV traffic, there seems to be more rules in effect to control ORV's! I also know that an ORV plan is coming.

Negotiated rule making with stake holders is a great way, in theory, to reach consensus and build an ORV management plan. All sides will agree and be happy with the outcome. Yet in the face of that, two environmental stake holders have instituted law suits. One group who did stepped away from the negotiating table. Yet, just last month another group, Defenders of Wildlife, decided to enter a suit. They, too, should step away from the table. How can you agree to negotiate in good faith and then enter into a lawsuit as you prepare to enter into negotiations. And all of this before the first negotiated rule making session is even held!

Your best friends and allies in what is to come will prove to be the ORV users themselves. I'll bet on it!

A few questions before I close.

1. Why were the dumpster and port-a-john taken from ramp four at Oregon Inlet? There are formal bathroom facilities at most every location except ramp four. Last summer they were placed there, and now they are gone! If there is money to build toilet facilities for wind surfers in Avon, how about a litUemoney for a plastic toilet and a dumpster at ramp four. How much can that cost based on all the other things going on in the park? The beach is your biggest drawing card, and the ramp at Oregon Inlet draws more traffic year-round than the ramps at Cape Point! Why not some simple facilities?

2. With ample presence of the sheriff's department and state highway patrol, why do park rangers enter into the practice of running radar and engaging in highway pursuit when their own vehicles have reduced tire pressure? It's a stupid practice at best and suicidal at worst. Why not rather have the rangers worry about infractions on the beach?

3. Why does the NCBBA have to go through the process of getting a 'permit' in order to pick up trash? I cannot for the life of me understand why paper work must be generated in order to clean up the beaches and highways. Seems to me your park rangers have better things to do than to ask us for a 'permit' to conduct a beach cleanup, or am I missing something? Best of luck to you sir, and if I can help in any way, please call upon me at any time.

Correspondence ID: 253 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mandulak, Bill
Outside Organization: Coastal Conservation Association Conservation/Preservation
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

I am writing you to voice the opinion of the Coastal Conservation Association North Carolina and its thousands of members who visit the Outer Banks and Cape Hatteras every year. Cape Hatteras is a unique place for all of us to visit and fish. It represents arguably the best surf fishing in the world. The prime fishing places would not be available to us without the ability to drive four wheel drive vehicles on the beach.

We also recognize the beauty and diversity of wildlife that exists in the park. Our members are always sensitive to the needs of the wildlife there. For more than 50 years the wildlife and those who use the area have shared the park in harmony. We only drive on the beach close to the high water line, stay off the dunes and respect the needs of the wildlife. We strongly value the marine environment and coastal access to it.

Cape Hatteras is a national park. As such, CCA NC members appreciate and value the access to the beach areas. Many of us take our families there to enjoy the beach and the fishing. Without access via four wheel drive vehicles, we would not be able to do that. We must remember that Pea Island was set aside as a wildlife refuge but the rest of the park is just that. It is a park for all of our citizens to enjoy.

If the park is closed to ORV traffic, how will we get our children or our senior citizens out to see the beauty of Cape Point? How will we enjoy the superb fishing at Hatteras Inlet? Will we forever miss the drum blitz on Ocracoke? I personally spend about 4 weeks a year visiting Cape Hatteras. If I could not drive my vehicle on the beach, I probably would not come.

Many of us have taught our families to fish there. We have valued the solitude of one of the most beautiful beaches in our country. For the many CCA NC members and their families, we ask that you retain the traditional access to our park. Yes, protect the wildlife but don't prohibit access.

Correspondence ID: 254 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Disher, David and Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Sir and Madam,

My wife and I are lifelong residents of the state of North Carolina and make multiple trips to the Outer Banks and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore each year. The main purpose for our visits is to enjoy the unspoiled beaches and view and photograph the wildlife that live here in the summer and visit in the winter. I am also a fisherman that understands (but does not condone) the desire by many to drive on the beaches with no boundaries. It is embarrassing to us as North Carolina-natives to know that our state is lagging behind in the protection of the beach nesting birds. We were down at the Outer Banks again a couple of weeks ago helping the local economy by spending our money on hotel rooms, food, gas, and other odds and ends. While we were there we observed far more people out walking the beaches, bird watching, taking photos, fishing (and not using a 4WD) than we did people with 4WD vehicles. We have a 4WD vehicle but still park in a parking area and walk to the beach. It would seem to us that beach driving could still be allowed as a compromise in small areas selected for the fisherman who are willing to purchase an off road driving permit and sign a legally binding document that they will stay in the designated areas. We feel that the vast majority of the seashore should be off limits to 4WD vehicles and should be home to the native wildlife. We also feel that this regulation needs to be enforced and that the National Park Service should not bend to the desires of the people who want to turn our beaches into rutted racetracks. Fishermen are resourceful people and will still go where they can catch the most fish even if they have to walk a hundred yards to get to the beach. It is -difficult and unsafe to walk on the beaches in these high traffic areas.

It is time to develop policy that is in the best interest of the endangered species and other wildlife that live in our National Seashore.

Sincerely, David and Susan Disher 4145 Chatham Hill Drive Winston-Salem, NC 27104

Correspondence ID: 255 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wisniewski, Thomas M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Superintendent Murray,

I hope I am not too late to offer my input for the ORV policy development plan. I am not a letter writer but this is an issue that is close to my heart. My family has been coming to the Outer Banks for 20 years, every September. It has been a great vacation and we have wonderful memories of all those times. We have passed the legacy on to our children and even our grandchildren and they are now making their own, group visits.

I learned all my surf fishing skills on the beaches from Oregon Inlet to Hatteras Inlet and most of those times were shared with my brother. We became enthralled with beach buggies and both of us soon acquired 4x4s and the plethora of equipment typical of mobile surf fisherman. We didn't "knock them dead" most of the time but we did catch our share of fish, some of particular memory. They were the best of times; unfortunately my brother has passed on (at age 52) but my memories are like yesterday. I remember our first tentative drives over the ramps, sand all over the frame, sand inside, rod tips flashing in the sun from out of our racks, the racks we at first made and then bought. Every year was a new adventure reading the surf, learning the sand,

buying and making equipment, and of course sharing it all with our wives and friends even if they weren't all that interested. I'm sure you can relate at least to part of this.

We always tried to be careful of restricted areas, we mourned the dead turtles and occasional whales on the beach, and we enjoyed the pelicans and seagulls that circled around us. I like to think we were careful and courteous around fellow beach goers, both drivers and pedestrian, even when they weren't too "polite" to us. On more than one instance we aided drivers in distress and in turn required aid ourselves. In short, we loved all parts of driving on the beach.

Currently our country is in a war in Iraq with the intention of establishing freedom there but at the same time we are losing some of our freedoms at home, one of which would be the ability to drive on one of the last open areas of beach sand in the US. I realize that there are some irresponsible drivers that use the beach just as there are irresponsible pedestrians that use the beach, I live on an island and I see it all the time. Every privilege requires responsible care but there will always be those who are ignorant and uncaring, however, we just can't let them be the criteria for establishing policies that affect the vast majority of people who do care. If some form of control becomes necessary at least don't sacrifice those of us who do care, who do enjoy and savor riding the beaches of the Outer Banks. I ask you to please develop an equitable policy for all of us so that I can continue to add to my memories while watching my children and grandchildren develop their own. The time will come when we all will be gone and all that will be left will be fading photos and those memories. I pray that you use the wisdom of Solomon and have the patience of Job in creating a plan that allows me to continue to drive out to Cape Point, rig up my big surf rod, dig my toes in the sand and put my heart into bringing a "big" one up onto the beach. Thank you for listening, for your concern, for your honest effort on behalf of all of us who love and want the best for all users of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Wisniewski 119 N E 220d Street Oak Island, NC 28465

Correspondence ID: 256 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Midgette, Barbara A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,20,2007 14:37:46
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: 1) In order to minimize damage to the seashore and soundside environments by ORVs, a permitting system could be developed that issues permits favoring locals to be followed by a limited, finite number of permits to be determined by the Park on a first come first serve basis. ORVs could be allowed only in areas proscribed by NPS. At this stage, enforcement problems can be expected to arise no matter what limitations are put on the number of vehicles allowed in the Park at any given time. Therefore, enforcement needs to be part of the package.
2) The NPS cannot be held responsible for the economic viability of areas in which its parks are located or their environs. Once usage has reached a critical mass, different management methods become necessary including restrictions on numbers of vehicles allowed (think Yellowstone).
3) We still need a provision for year round pedestrian only beach and soundside areas. Closing off beach traffic in front of villages in the summer is essential, but residents are here all year and deserve their own continuing quiet enjoyment.

Correspondence ID: 257 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: O'Neill, Tim J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,21,2007 08:41:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please do not restrict access to any NPS beaches for off road vehicles.
Background: I have spent one week every year for the past 15 years vacationing on Hatteras island. In 2005 I parked our Suburban at the point, got my wife and twin 3 year old children set up with toys, a pool, chairs and shade. I came to fish for Cobia. The guy parked next to me hooked a 60 pounder and brought it to the beach(I swear this to be fact). A park ranger walked up and told me (and everyone else within 1/2 a mile of the point) to pack up and leave . When I asked the NPS Ranger why his reply was " A biologist is watching a piping plover (a non-native sea bird) and it may want to come over here to feed so everybody must leave now ". I find it difficult to express in words how this Terminated a years worth of savings and preparation for our vacation. Last year (2006) I did not vacation in NC because I could not get a definite answer if the beach would be open for Off Road Vehicle access(Surf fishing) I have photo's of that ranger, other NPS employees and one of their vehicles on the beach at that time. (I'll send them to you upon request)
I have written to the Governor of Maryland and the Dept of the interior. Please re-establish and protect my family's use of our National Park beaches.
Sincerely, Tim O'Neill and family.

Correspondence ID: 258 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sloop, James H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,21,2007 14:27:08
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Sir:
I would like to provide input in developing the Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
I have been a visitor to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore since 1976. My primary purpose in visiting the Seashore is recreation. I love to surf fish and have found the beaches of Hatteras Island ideal for this sport. Typically, I spend 30 to 40 days and nights per year on Hatteras Island.
I fully understand the need for the development of a management plan and regulations for CHNS. I support your Department's endeavors to create a plan that is a working solution for all stakeholders.
My comments follow.
Communication:
Communication will be the most important element of a sound ORV management plan. No plan will work perfectly every day. There will always be a need for unexpected closures or limited restrictions of use based on constantly changing environmental conditions. Effective and timely communication will be integral to the plan's success.
I have been very impressed with recent efforts by your department to communicate the condition of the beaches, the need for beach closures, and related announcements when beaches are re-opened. I have received periodic e-mails that give me detailed information on the opening and closing of ramps and beaches. When a beach has been re-opened or closed, the reasons for action being taken has been clearly stated. Your communications in this respect have played a key role in quieting some of the fears and concerns of ORV beach users. In the not too distant past, potential ORV users have been "greeted" with signs posted "overnight". Beaches were closed without warning and with no communication of purpose. Your recent use of E-Mail and other communication procedures have provided an opportunity for all ORV users to plan their access to the beaches. And, this communication has allowed for a more complete understanding of conditions throughout the Park.
A sound ORV management plan will provide communication methods that:
7 Follows guidelines within the plan.
7 Gives a clear understanding for the purpose of temporary closure, limitation of use, or whatever the restriction may be.
Beach Closures, Re-Openings.
The ORV management plan should provide clear guidelines that will enable personnel (under the direct supervision of the Superintendent of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore) to determine when a beach should be closed and when it can be re-opened. Guidelines within the plan would include:

7 Weather conditions.

7 Safety concerns.

7 Foot traffic on the beach. (In front of villages, during season for example).

7 Protected species management.

The plan should be as specific as possible in respect to beach closings, why the closure is necessary, and when the beach can be re-opened.

Some examples:

7 Seasonal Closures: I believe all beaches within = mile of each Village (a boundary of = mile on the North end and South end of each Village) should be closed beginning the Monday immediately preceding Memorial Day and re-opened on the Monday following Labor Day. Since both holidays are now federal holidays that always fall on a Monday, this has the effect of opening and closing the beach 7 days before and after the respective holiday.

The obvious reason for seasonal closures is one of safety. 7 Weather Related Closures: Storms and unusually high tides can make ORV use hazardous.

When icing occurs in my part of the state, the highway patrol issues warnings and in some extreme cases the roads are closed. The same sense of reasoning and public awareness should be used for certain weather conditions within the Park. For example, if the Island were evacuated due to Hurricane warnings, the plan would call for a closing of all beaches to ORV use. If unusually high tides overcome a particular ramp, the ramp would be closed until safe access is possible. If unusual high tides are eroding the beach at the high dune, warnings will be posted, when possible.

7 Protected Species Management: This is a difficult issue. It is hard to understand why a few birds can cause an entire beach to be closed. I commend the Park Service for your recent efforts in communicating the need to protect certain species as well as developing alternative access methods where possible during breeding times. The ORV plan must identify which species are to be protected and how they will be protected. For example, turtle nesting areas have been identified by your staff and property roped off to prevent un-intended damage to the nest. It is my understanding that the same type of protection has been successfully done for the Piping Plover.

Predator Control Program:

The Threatened and Endangered species and species of special concern within the Park must be protected from predators. It has been my experience that man is not a chronic problem here. Significant adverse consequences to T & E species are typically caused by:

7 Weather (High tides, Storm surges from Hurricanes, etc.)

7 Other wildlife (Foxes, opossums, raccoons, etc.)

7 And, perhaps the worst? Feral cats? solve this problem on Hatteras Island and you may save some of the threatened and endangered species!

The ORV plan must:

7 Identify the T & E species.

7 Identify natural predators of the T & E species.

7 And, provide methods to control the predators.

Beaches Available for ORV Use:

I am opposed to any ORV management plan that provides for the permanent closure of any beach within the Park. All beaches (Sound Side and Ocean Side) of the Park should be opened for ORV use based upon the management plan as adopted. There will be times when certain beaches must be closed. (Weather, Seasonal, T & E species).

One exception that we will all have to live with: Pea Island. To overcome the environmental concerns of allowing ORV use within the refuge would be difficult to say the least. So, to be practical, the ORV plan would state that Pea Island was permanently closed to ORV use. Perhaps I'm "throwing in the towel" too fast. Perhaps I should "hold out", maintaining that Pea Island be opened to ORV use. But, I believe this is not realistic. As long as it is designated as a wildlife refuge, the ORV plan would permanently close Pea Island for ORV use. All other beaches, (Sound and ocean side) should be open for ORV use subject to the provisions of the plan.

I do not support a "Zoning System" that would provide or disallow different types of use in certain areas simply due to geography. I would oppose, for example, a plan that stated, "All beaches North of the Rodanthe pier are permanently closed to ORV use." This is an area that has had habitual problems with access, but the plan should allow for change in such conditions. One day, this area may be safe for ORV use more than it is today. The plan should be flexible and adaptive to such change.

The plan must address know problem areas. For example, Pole Road, the beach between South Avon and Buxton, and the beaches from Frisco to Hatteras Village would each have a "sub" plan that would speak to such areas that have proven to have chronic problems. A good management plan will:

7 Identify chronic problem areas.

7 Determine ways in which the areas may be used at certain times, given certain conditions.

7 Provide for a plan of implementation to return ORV use to such areas as allowed by the plan.

7 Provide a method whereby interested stakeholders can comment and seek change to implemented policy.

Miscellaneous Elements of ORV Management Plan

7 Vehicle Safety Requirements-Any state licensed four-wheel drive vehicle should be allowed access. Current postings (at ramps) of tire pressure are adequate notice for safety precautions. Requiring the use of certain off road equipment such as chains, shovels, etc should not be required.

7 The ORV plan should allow night driving.

7 Speed limits of 15 MPH should be enforced. A 20-25MPH speed limit is simply too much speed and is not needed.

7 Designated ORV routes should be provided from Highway 12 to the beach or sound. Once over the ramp, the ORV user should be directed away from existing dunes. Once on the beach, the ORV user should be free to use the entire beach with the obvious need of protecting the dunes as is now done by sign postings.

7 Specified corridor widths on the beach should not be a part of the plan.

7 The Park service must be present on our beaches with routine patrols and available for assistance when requested.

7 The ORV plan would have no vehicle permit requirement.

7 The ORV plan would not have vehicle unit limitations. Everyone is welcomed to enjoy the beach following a few simple rules.

7 Given the significant and constantly changing environment within the Park, the ORV management plan must be flexible to be successful.

7 Methods must be available to allow public comment regarding policy and plan implementation by the Park Service. The public must have methods outlined within the plan to request a change of policy or procedure.

7 The ramp system now in place within the Park is very good. I envision a plan that would build on the current system, using the access areas that are already in place.

7 Pets should be allowed on the beaches. The pets must be leashed, using a normal retractable leash or similar device.

Park Service Enforcement:

Unfortunately, there are citizens that refuse to follow the rules. Law enforcement within the park will be a critical part of a successful ORV plan. The plan must identify areas of abuse and regulation violation and provide for proper penalties. For example:

7 Speed enforcement. 7 Use of non-licensed vehicle. 7 Damage to dunes by vehicle or pedestrian. 7 Illegal access to beach (not by designated ramp). 7 Allowing pets off leash. 7 Obvious reckless driving. 7 Repeat offenders will be given more significant penalties.

A public access telephone number to the Park to report offenders should be implemented.

Correspondence ID: 259 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lewis III, Charles R

Outside Organization: NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,21,2007 14:52:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Supt Mike Murray,

I've been so fortunate throughout the years to be able to visit the outer banks of N.C. especially the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I'm an avid fisherman, beachcomber and wildlife lover. My family and I have been coming to the CAPE since the late 1960's and I got really involved with surf

fishing when I bought my first 4 X 4 back in 1975. The idea of driving the beach and fishing a favorite slough was something very special to me and this will always bring memories of years gone by.

I wish to voice my concerns about articles I've read concerning ORV restrictions at Cape Hatteras. I've read some articles about the evils of "beach bums" as described by an article from the Audubon Society and I've never read anything with so many outright lies such as the trash written by Ted Williams.

On the contrary I've found most surf fisherman, beach combers and nature lovers have the utmost respect for the Cape Hatteras National Sea Shore and the wildlife it contains. I'll never forget my cousin and I accidently snagging a sea turtle ensnared with fish netting at Cape Point, I'd never been that close to such a large sea turtle, it must have weighed in excess of 150 lbs. You could tell the sea turtle was struggling to stay alive so we hauled the big creature up on the beach, and started cutting the netting away from the turtle. The most exciting memory I'll ever have is of that big turtle crawling back through the surf and free of fish nets that would have undoubtedly killed the poor creature. I also remember a time at Cape Hatteras in September when I was fixing up the 4 X 4 for a venture on the beach when a baby sea turtle was wondering around in the parking lot of the Old Durant Station Motel. Obviously the little baby turtle was headed in the wrong direction so I picked him up, took a picture of him in the palm of my hand and walked out to the beach with the baby turtle. I bid him a fond and safe farewell and hopefully the little guy made it to his destination. My point on these stories I've listed is that it doesn't sound like "beach bums" described in the trash article from Ted Williams to me but of concerned people that treasure wildlife and the surroundings of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I hope that this country continues to serve in the interest of the people and not elitist such as some I've encountered that think only National Parks are to be seen from afar and not experienced such as Cape Hatteras. I also understand that ORV people need to clean their act up by obeying the laws and respecting the land. I'll do my part and hope to God that I can keep driving on Cape Hatteras.

Correspondence ID: 260 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Ken
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: reetings again Supt Murray,

It has been about a year since my last communique with you -and in the interim I've been keeping close tabs on the on-going issues at CHNS - and thank you very much for your continued efforts to balance the "use vs preservation" of the very special place that is Hatteras, and the efforts to implement the reg-neg process and seek public comments on the Off Road Vehicle Planning Process. The newsletter which was sent out today via e-mail is another valuable component of that process.

I continue to be convinced that responsible use (including ORV access) can be balanced with the preservation and protection of natural resources - including sensitive species. I will be submitting my comments to that effect, prior to March 16.

My current question to you is what - if anything has been done since my prior e-mails (see below) to address the issue of continued flooding at Cape Point Campground ??

Our extended family did not return to Hatteras in 2006 - electing instead to visit/recreate/camp in the New River Gorge National River Park, enjoying that part of West Virginia - due to the lack of any progress in addressing the flooding issue at Cape Point.

Has anything been accomplished to date which would resolve the flooding at the Cape Point Campground to alleviate the situation in 2007 ?? We are very hopeful of returning to camp at Cape Point in 2007 - but remain quite fearful that we will once again have to endure the unpleasanties, extreme inconvenience and possible health issues associated with the flooded campgrounds.

An excerpt from your earlier e-mail reads: "The >> drainage system worked until 2003 because until 2003, the NCWDQD had > raised >> no objections, and the NPS was not specifically prohibited, from >> discharging the runoff into the ocean as we are currently. We have >> contacted the state regarding the drainage issue and will continue to >> work with them and other stakeholders to arrive at an >> environmentally responsible solution. >>>> In my judgment, we need to study and understand the situation a >> little better in order to come up with an environmentally >> appropriate plan to > deal >> with the flooding. We are working on it, but don't know when we >> will be >> done."

Has anything been done - and is there reasonable hope for a dry Cape Point campground in 2007 ??

Thanks very much for your continued efforts at Hatteras - I am convinced that the place/park is much better off with your being there, and I look forward to continued progress.

An excerpt from my earlier e-mail remains valid: "Sounds as if you're making an effort at getting this issue figured out - and > hopefully resolved in the near future. The real fear I have is that > the Park Service will end up closing down Cape Point campground due to > lack of > use - which is a direct result of the flooding and poor maintenance of the > grounds. I hope that is not the intent - and not the end result. Properly > maintained and drained, Cape Point will continue to be enjoyed by the > extended Hill Family and many others. And again, let me know where I > can help please - and please have your staff maintain their efforts to > get this > resolved."

Thanks very much for your time and attention - and I remain willing to help wherever possible, Ken Hill

ps - I will again be a VIP at the Maple Syrup Time festival at the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore in March, where I will proudly provide "ranger doses" of maple syrup to my buddies at the park

----- Original Message ----- From: "Ken Hill" <kennethrhill@sbcglobal.net> To: <Mike_Murray@nps.gov> Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 12:09 PM Subject: Re: Welcome and Cape Point Campground - 2006

>> Supt. Murray, >> I've been out of town on work stuff - so not able to get back to you right > away - but thanks so much for the continued discussions. >> Sounds as if you're making an effort at getting this issue figured out > - and > hopefully resolved in the near future. The real fear I have is that > the Park Service will end up closing down Cape Point campground due to > lack of > use - which is a direct result of the flooding and poor maintenance of the > grounds. I hope that is not the intent - and not the end result. Properly > maintained and drained, Cape Point will continue to be enjoyed by the > extended Hill Family and many others. And again, let me know where I > can help please - and please have your staff maintain their efforts to > get this > resolved. >> Checking the CAHA webpage, I see that you are holding some public gatherings > there on the islands. Great to see and hope they turn out to be a > successful effort for you - and the folks there get to know you a > bit better and vice versa. I also saw a real nice interview with you > in the latest on-line issue of the Island Breeze. Thanks for making > the efforts to > get acquainted - a good investment of time. >> Continued best wishes and hope to get the chance to meet you, Ken >

Dear Supt Murray, >> Thank you very much for your reply to my earlier e-mail. >> It appears as if the draining of the flooded areas around the Cape Point > Campground following storms has developed into both a regulatory and a > technical issue. >> Any ideas as to what the source of bacteria is ?? I know here around the > Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore (I'm a VIP there along with the rest of my > family - even named > "Sap of the Season" at the Maple Syrup Festival several years ago !!!) there > are periodic closings of swimming beaches due to elevated levels of e coli - > and they are finding it quite a challenge to identify the source (deer > droppings, Canadian Geese, septic systems have all been identified as > possibilities). >> When we were camping at Cape Point last year there were a few wading birds > in the standing water and we see a few deer around - but I wouldn't think > the number of wildlife we saw could cause widespread elevated bacteria. If > it is from the septic fields of the Cape Point Campground - that would be > alleviated by stopping the flooding. >> I do not know the full hydrology of the immediate area - or the stormwater > runoff volumes, or the intimate details of NPS actions regarding drainage, > but it seems to me that the drainage system was working fine until 2003 - > and there have been many drainage problems since that time. I trust you > have the technical resources to find the appropriate regulatory and > technical answers - and I implore you to please get them implemented > sooner rather than later - and I also extend an offer to assist wherever I > can. I have been involved in the development of a number of Stormwater > Management Plans - and the firm I work for, Earth Tech Inc. has plenty of > expertise in this matter. So again, if there is anyway I can help in this > matter, please let me know. >> Thanks very much for your recommendations of the campgrounds either at > Frisco or Ocracoke. We have camped at both those locations many times > (including being moved to Frisco last year when we got flooded at Cape > Point). We have also camped at your Oregon Inlet campground - as well as > Salvo many years ago before it was closed. >> Unfortunately, none of those other campgrounds hold the "magic" for us that > Cape Point does. The large sites, the proximity to all the ranger programs > at the visitor's center, the other "regulars" that we camp with year after > year (we all return to the same campsites with our families, "J" row is > ours - it is pretty neat

0000438

and something that has been quite predictable), the > proximity to the Point and the South Beach and the great family recreation > opportunities there - and most of all - our extended family has somewhat > adopted the Cape Hatteras Lighthouse as our "family totem" - they may > make fun of me when I have to arrange our tents and pop-up trailer and > dining fly and chairs all to focus on the lighthouse - but they all know > when the light first comes on in the evening, like to see it's beam shining > through their tent doors, look forward to the trek to the top - and gripe > about the obligatory family picture - and they all want to be the first to > spot the lighthouse on our way south on Hatteras Island and then watch it > disappear as we depart northward - so Cape Point is definitely where we want > to continue camping. >> We are well into our 3rd generation of family camping there - and looking > forward to a bunch more. Your continued efforts to make that possible are > greatly appreciated. >> thanks kindly, > Ken Hill >> Greetings Supt. Murray,

May I be among the many folks to welcome you to your new position as the Superintendent of Cape Hatteras National Seashore - and sincere wishes for a lengthy, enjoyable and rewarding tenure there - for you and your family.

As you well know - Hatteras Island is a special place to many, and competing interests have been in a protracted struggle to balance the use vs preservation of the unique resources there. May you find the good judgment, wisdom and courage to successfully find an equitable resolution to this issue. If you should ever care to seek my input in this discussion - or if I can be of assistance in any fashion, kindly let me know at your earliest convenience.

My extended family has been vacationing at CHNS since the mid 1960's and we usually camp at Cape Point Campground. Our experience with extensive flooding at Cape Point during our annual stays the last two weeks of June for 2 of the past 3 years - and especially in 2005 when we were removed from the campground - have been extremely troubling and unacceptable.

I have attached my "Comments to Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment" dated November 16, 2005 to provide some background to my following question:

What is the expected status of the Cape Point Campground for the 2006 season ??

Our extended family is currently planning our 2006 Family Vacation - and our sincere hope is to return to CHNS and camp at Cape Point for the last 2 weeks of June. However, if the conditions which make the Cape Point Campground prone to flooding are not rectified - we will be heading either to Mount Desert Island and Acadia National Park in Maine or the New River Gorge National River in West Virginia.

I am hopeful that we will be able to return to Hatteras Island and enjoy our favorite spot in the whole world - and get to personally extend our well wishes to you.

Thank you very much for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely, Ken

Kenneth Hill 5504 Leisure Dr. Valparaiso, IN 46383 kennethrhill@sbcglobal.net home 219-462-8041 work 219-399-1349

Correspondence ID: 261 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allende, Ashe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

My name is Ashe Allende, and I am 11 years old. I have been coming to the Cape Hatteras National seashore since I was born. My mother has been coming since she was little too, because my grandfather brought her when he began to feel that the other beaches were getting too commercialized. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is so important to me because my Mom and Grandfather love this beach and have many memories of driving out on the beach to see sunsets on the water, surf fish and swim in the surf. We enjoy watching the old videos of Mom when she was a little girl and my Granddaddy playing out on the point. My grandparents have a beach cottage which we visit many times a year.

The reason I love Cape Hatteras is because it is not too crowded, and the waves are big. To get my surf board out onto the beach, I strap it to the top of the car, and my family drives out. My family and I respect the beach. My grandparents have been telling me for years about how fortunate we are to be able to drive on the beach, and have always taught me never to drive on the dunes, and to always be on a careful lookout for animals that live on and around the beach. Someday, I plan to teach my kids the same respect for the beach, dunes, and the creatures that live there. I think the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is the best seashore in the U.S., and I can only hope that I can pass down the many family traditions to my children and grandchildren one day.

Thank you for considering my feelings when you make decisions about the beach I love.

Respectfully,

Ashe

Correspondence ID: 262 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ford, Craig and Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray; My wife and I own property in both Frisco and Rodanthe are members of the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association and the Cape Hatteras Anglers and have enjoyed the Outer Banks for many years. The possibility that the ability to use motor vehicles on the beach may be restricted or even eliminated disturbs us greatly. Not only will this have a effect on our rental property, but more importantly, it would virtually eliminate our ability to use the beach. Both my wife and I have reached the time in our lives where physical limitations have affected our mobility. Even the present "walkovers" do not solve our problems. It is my understanding that the National Seashore was established as a recreation area and not a wildlife sanctuary as is Pea Island. To me a recreation area should be opened to the largest number of people possible, not just the physically fit. Please keep the concerns of those of us who are not able to walk the beaches, as we once did when you determine your final policy. Sincerely Craig and Anne Ford 609 Ridge Rd. Lewisberry Pa. 17339 717-938-6636

Correspondence ID: 263 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schliff, Henry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,23,2007 08:31:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To Whom It May Concern, I grew up on Hatteras and even though my wife and I no longer live there our parents still own property. The beach to us has always been a unique place which offers the most essential form of recreation, relaxation. This however is not possible under the constant barrage of ORVs which disrupt the harmony of the beach as well as its ecosystem. It is my strong opinion that all ORV usage must be kept to a minimum, several miles at the most. The rest should be restricted to pedestrian foot-traffic only. What is open to ORVs must also be open on a fee basis only. However, commercial fisherman should be able to continue their livelihood so an exception must be made for them. My greatest concern is that the beaches are preserved, all human worries about the entertainment of driving four wheel drives up and down the beach are insignificant next to the importance of preservation. Thank you. Sincerely, Henry Schliff

Correspondence ID: 268 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,24,2007 15:03:39

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: It seems odd that Ocracoke is not included in the list of public meetings. The affected area is 16 miles long and by my estimate there are about 5 miles of that open to ORV. If Ocracoke has not been included for public comment because NPS feels that there need be no changes to the already existing limits, then that is fair enough.

Ocracoke beach is closed for most of its northern length (about 9 miles) due to the width of the beach there. In addition it is closed (at least in summer when the majority of beach driving occurs) from the NPS campground through the "swimming beach", about 3 miles. Wherever there is a turtle or bird nest that area is closed too.

As a nearly lifelong resident I am in absolute agreement with the strictest enforcement of the current rules. I don't personally drive on the beach. I will notify the NPS of any violations I see, and most residents would do the same. Further constricting the available ORV routes would be unnecessary and cause economic hardship to a village that depends almost entirely on tourism for its livelihood.

Thank you for your time.

Correspondence ID: 269 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Benson, John O

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,26,2007 05:58:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My comments are from the perspective of a 63 year old male who has lived on Hatteras Island for the past 10 years, who has lived in eastern NC for most of his life, who has fished regularly on the outerbanks for most of his life, who has driven a 4wd vehicle on the outerbanks since the early 1960's, and who has worked as a seasonal NPS employee on Hatteras Island for the past 5 years. My experience has shown that 4wd vehicles operated responsibly cause no damage to our beaches. Merely comparing the Pea Island beaches to the rest of Hatteras Island proves this point. My ORV plan would provide fairly unrestricted year-round access to the beaches within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for properly registered and licensed offroad-capable vehicles operated by licensed drivers. Beach driving would be limited to the area between the water and the base of the dunes. A speed limit of 20 mph would be established and all forms of reckless driving would be prohibited. The present access ramps would be utilized as the only means of access to the beaches for off-road vehicles. Present beach access parking areas and walkover access would also be continued. The present plan of closing beaches in front of the established villages and public swimming areas during peak tourist seasons would be continued as would temporary closures to protect nesting wildlife. All temporary closures should be monitored and reopened as soon as no longer needed. In the past the park has been very quick to close portions of the beaches, but very slow to reopen them. Whenever possible, alternate interdunal driving routes should be provided to bypass these closures. The Pole Road, providing access to soundside areas and Hatteras Inlet should be maintained. Beach area open to vehicular traffic should be expanded, not reduced. Reduction of area open to travel will only concentrate vehicles and limit recreational opportunities. I have always, even during peak tourist seasons, been able to find areas of the beach where I could fish in an uncrowded area. All that is necessary is to stay away from Oregon Inlet, Ramp 23, Ramp 34, and Cape Point. I would support a free permit that would be required for access to the beaches. This permit (and future beach access) would be revoked from vehicles operating in an unsafe manner or violating any beach-driving regulations. Such a permit would also give the NPS a better understanding of how many vehicles are using the beaches. Our beaches need a greater NPS presence. Rangers, both law enforcement and interpretive, need to be seen on the beaches and need to have frequent positive interaction with beach-using park visitors. The vast majority of park visitors are here to use the beaches. The least amount of visitor contacts are made on the beach. The beaches need to be better identified as part of the National Seashore. A better system of signage on Hwy 12 and on beach access ramps needs to be in place. I will be happy to discuss these ideas further at any time. John Benson

Correspondence ID: 270 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Swartz, Neil R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,26,2007 17:52:43

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I've submitted a previous comment but after attending this afternoon's meeting at Fesseden Center I had another thought--A statement was made regarding ORV access vs pedestrian access--I live in Avon near ramp 38--I have noticed many times an "odd" situation--Nice Summer day will show few people on the beach in front of the village--Seems like lots of them have 4wd vehicles and drive out on the beach somewhere rather than walk out from their ocean front rental--I bike a lot and notice quite a few 4wd vehicles all loaded up and ready to go, even though they have a beach right in front of their cottage--even more prevalent with renters on the sound side--too long a walk--don't want to lug all their stuff--would rather drive out 43 or 44 to the Point or some other 4wd access spot--Obvious question--if they can't drive out to the beach where will they all go? Or will they still continue to visit CHAC? They come here because they can drive on the beach (Surveys might show different but it looks that way from what I see). Worth thinking about. As I covered in previous comment there's no where to put all the cars to allow pedestrian access--Memorial Day a few years ago I drove out ramp 49 in Frisco--Packed vehicles as far as I could see--nice folks all having a good day--If they couldn't drive out there's no way they could get to the beach--no reasonable access, no place to leave your vehicle.

Correspondence ID: 271 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gerwin, John A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,27,2007 07:01:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The NPS seems well aware of the various activities and resources it is supposed to regulate and care for. But it has abdicated its responsibility to really take care of the natural resource component.

When lands are purchased and set aside in the public trust, land use patterns will, and must, change. The vocal "ORV group" wants 24/7 access, at the expense of all other Park experiences, and this is incompatible with Park rules, regulations, and mandates. There must always be some compromise. The Outer Banks National Seashore hosts numerous plants and animals, that I and others are most interested in. Many of these species are of Special Concern in this region. A strongly mandated component of the NPS regulations is to PROTECT these plants and animals. Data from various projects on sea turtles, American Oystercatcher, Piping Plover, and nesting tern colonies consistently show the negative impacts of too much human-induced traffic, whether by foot, or by ORV.

The most critical breeding period for the animals is between April and September, and I ask you to curtail the ORV use during this time. I wish to remind you that "the fundamental purpose [of the NPS] is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein and to provide for the enjoyment for the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

Work by NC Audubon Society on Lea/Hutaff has shown that you can have both: allow limited and regulated beach access, and these limitations very much help the plants and animals survive and reproduce. It's a compromise that reasonable people accept.

Thus, I am asking the NPS to generate a plan that puts natural resource protection back at the top of the priority list, and work with the different constituencies to develop a way that brings forth a compromise that ensures the sustainability of the biota while allowing (limited) beach access.

Correspondence ID: 272 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Oates, Shawn T

Outside Organization: NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,27,2007 11:14:08

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The NPS has asked for comment on our concerns for the ORV management plan. Here's my concern- there won't be an ORV plan. In fact, if left up to the extremist groups like the Audubon Society the public would be denied access to all our National Parks. These groups will not be appeased until all ORV use is banned. These organizations do not understand things like compromise, equitable resolution, reasonable solution and working together. An elitists group who believe it has to be there way or the highway.

What happened to Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area being a National Public Land? Recreation by definition means leisure, exercise, play, amusement, sport. How can any of this take place if access is denied? Make no mistake-if ORV's are not permitted, much of the public would not be able to access the beach: families with small children, the disabled, the elderly, the fisherman, who by the way bring a substantial amount of revenue to our community and yes even the birdwatchers who use their ORV's to access areas to far or to hard to walk. It's people who are becoming the endangered species on our beaches. Year after year more & more beach access is being stripped away. Enough already! We can coexist with our flying, crawling & furry friends with REASONABLE beach closures. I am a volunteer for the Alligator Wildlife Refuge on Pea Island so I understand the need to protect our wildlife. What I don't understand is this passion to take away my rights as an American citizen to use and enjoy our National Parks. I am a member of the NCBBA which is committed to the preservation of our beaches as well as vehicular access to our beaches. I have a job, a home, I vote, I volunteer in my community, I clean the roadway & beaches, I report anyone who violates the rules & laws posted on our beaches. In all I am responsible, productive member of my community and I resent being referred to as a "Beach Bum".

Hats off to Mike Murray for the incredible job he has done thus far. He has managed the delicate balance between protecting our wildlife while maintaining access to the National Park Beaches. My hope is that that balance continues thru these negotiations. Negotiated Rule making needs to happen as soon as possible.

Correspondence ID: 273 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lawrence, Paul

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,27,2007 18:14:51

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I want to go on record as to why I love coming to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. I love coming there to fish and enjoy the beauty of the wide open beaches. I love being able to drive on the beach and I don't take that right lightly. I always obey the laws and call the Ranger when I see a violation. I don't want to see the ability to drive on the beach taken away from us Seashore users. I drive around down there and see what damage is done when people walk over the dunes to the beach. Over time it creates a big breach in the dune and I believe does more harm than driving on the beach.

If the ability to drive on the beach is taken away from us I will probably not visit much anymore and may just take up pier fishing. The increase in gas prices have already affected how many trips I make a year and with the move to make the beach very inaccessible then I most likely will find another place to go.

Correspondence ID: 274 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chartier, Neil A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,28,2007 08:01:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: ORVs appear to rule the beaches on the outer banks. As a result, our breeding shorebirds are severely declining due to ORV impact (i.e., chicks getting run over by ORVs) and other nesting disturbances (i.e., people feeding seagulls firecrackers and whatnot). Please regulate ORV usage! Thanks.

Correspondence ID: 275 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Swick, Nathan

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,28,2007 08:18:22

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: To whom it may concern,

As a resident of North Carolina I find myself using the Outer Banks fairly regularly. It's truly a special place, and as a amateur naturalist and avid birdwatcher, I appreciate being able to have a stretch of beach front that isn't packed with vacation homes and strip malls. It allows me to imagine what North Carolina's beaches are supposed to be like, which in my opinion, is exactly the point of setting this area aside.

I fully understand that people want to use the beaches for different reasons. I love to bird-watch, but I always see people there using the beaches in a reasonable and respectful way, whether for beach-combing or fishing or whatever. I think it would be unfortunate to allow those who refuse to show respect for the other users of this area, those who refuse to respect the birds and turtles and others who need this protected area. The way people can get closer to nature in all its forms is one of the reasons why the seashore is so attractive. It would not be too much to ask to restrict some use of ORVs and increase the penalties for ignoring the clearly posted and appropriate regulations to prevent the seashore and its inhabitants from becoming a memory.

To that end, the following regulations should be considered for the good of the National Seashore and those of birdwatchers, fishermen, and other visitors who enjoy it.

*Permanent year round sections of the seashore that are open to pedestrians but not ORVs *Changing the high use visitor season to March 15 through Jan 1. This would address the increase visitor use during the now longer shoulder seasons. *Fees for ORV driving permits (equitable to the associated cost of maintaining ORV use in the seashore). One possibility is a year round permit and week permit options. *Carrying capacity limits (quotas number for ORVs on the beach based on each location) *ORV driving rules that put a premium on insuring the safety of pedestrians, protecting the resources, adhering to the esthetic ideals of the NPS and minimizing visitor use conflicts (there are visitor use conflicts among ORV drivers themselves.)

ORV use is a recreational activity just like other types of recreational activities and can and often does restrict other users' access, safety and enjoyment of the Park. Please work to make the seashore a place where my children can enjoy nature as I have.

Thanks, Nathan Swick

Correspondence ID: 276 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Thomas, Erik R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,28,2007 11:04:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I urge the National Park Service to stand firm about limiting ORV access to sensitive parts of beaches and dunes in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore during seasons in which birds are nesting on the beaches and dunes. Disturbance has already caused Gull-billed Terns to abandon all nesting areas in North Carolina, and the Wilson's Plover has abandoned many of its North Carolina nesting areas, including those on Hatteras Island. The endangered Piping Plover, also suffering from disturbance of its nesting areas in North Carolina, should remain a top priority for the NPS in Cape Hatteras National Seashore and should be protected from ORV interests. ORV interests must be willing to compromise some sections of the national seashore during nesting times. I am not asking for a complete ban on ORV activities; only that they be prohibited from sensitive nesting areas during the nesting season and that the NPS retain the ability and resources to arrest and prosecute offenders.

Correspondence ID: 277 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bearden, Joe

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 13:05:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please develop a BIRD management plan to prior to any ORV management plan. Please provide a science-based management plan that follows the guidelines and recommendations put forth in published research and conservation plans.
 Thank You, Joe Bearden

Correspondence ID: 278 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jordan, Robert T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 13:38:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I value my privilege to travel on and fish from the NPS beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Many pleasurable days (and \$\$) are spent each year at Cape Hatteras by me and my friends and families. I support clean beaches and the protection of wildlife personally and thru my membership with NCBBA. I support the local economy by staying in cottages and motels, eating in restaurants, purchasing gas, fishing tackle, groceries and clothing. I am a positive influence on both the environment and the economy. Restricting my access to the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore would eliminate my desire to come to Cape Hatteras, and that of my friends and family, which would have a very definite negative impact on the economy and future of Cape Hatteras. I have been coming to Cape Hatteras since I was a young boy, and my father before me without negatively impacting the beach. I assure you that each time I visit the beach it is cleaner when I leave than when I arrived. I drive with respect to the beach and wildlife. My "fraternity" of fishing friends, my son and daughter and their children look forward to many more years of traveling to the Outer Banks beaches. Please, leave the beaches accessible to all for years to come.

Correspondence ID: 279 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kaminski, Robert J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 16:45:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am a resident of Ohio, but an annual (at least) visitor to the beaches of North Carolina's Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I visit mostly because these beaches are unmatched on the East Coast for their prestine and natural characteristics. My interests while visiting include bird-watching, hiking and simply sitting and soaking in the beauty of this unique landscape. Everytime that I visit these beaches, I bring with me 1-3 other visitors, some of whom have never visited this area before, but are so moved that they, like me, become repeat visitors. We spend money at local hotels, restuarants and attractions.
 I am writing to ask that you preserve the prestine landscape of this protected area, and do not allow motorized vehicles free access there. Along with the visual, audible and physical pollution they create, they also disturb nesting areas for many birds and sea turtles. I recommend that you listen to the sound scientific evidence that certain measures need to be taken to keep vehincles and other recreation activitiy a safe distance away from these nesting areas. Thank you for listening to my comments, I am visiting in a few weeks and again in June. Sincerely, Rob Kaminski

Correspondence ID: 280 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lankford, Herman T
Outside Organization: self Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 17:41:02
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: If it were up to me I would halt all beach access except by foot or boat. I've surf fished many times by walking the gear to the beach...and still had energy left to fish. I find that vehicles and their tracks degrade the quality of the shore experience, but far more important is the damage to critical habitat of shorebirds and other wildlife. I am a strong advocate of saving what remains for the wildlife; we can share the resource but must respect the needs of the original inhabitants. Let's allow the shore to be a place of peace and renewal.
 my sincere thanks, Herman Lankford, naturalist

Correspondence ID: 281 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: hough, royce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 18:55:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have surf fished on the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore since 1967. Before I owned a 4-wheel drive vehicle, I rented them from businesses in Buxton and Frisco. I have always willingly accepted limitations on beach access, whether for erosion control or to protect nesting and endangered bird species. We used to be able to fish Pea Island and south of Cape Point to Frisco before the beach was seriously eroded. Also, areas near Cape Point and the False Point are roped off to protect nesting terns and shore birds. While I love to fish, I am also a dedicated birder and recognize the need for reasonable limits on beach access, especially at certain times of the year. However, I am disturbed by characterizations made by some that most, if not all, fishermen and those driving ORVs are out of contol and have little, or no, respect for the fragile environment of the banks. There is also the issue of promises made or implied that residents would coninue to have open beach access at the time the Park was established. That aside, there is a middle ground which can be found to provide reasonable protection for the least tern and piping plover while continuing to allow widespread access to the beach for ORVs for fishermen, birders, and beachgoers. Neither extreme of unfettered access for ORVs nor their complete prohibition from the beaches is warranted or appropriate. Most persons on either side of this question will accept reasonable and well-founded guidelines on ORV use. Some likely will not. I do not possess enough knowledge to make meaningful specific recommendations. However, I do know that neither extreme position would or should be supported by most of the persons who have a knowledge of, and stake in, this issue.

Correspondence ID: 282 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morales, Marvin V
Outside Organization: Guilford College Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 19:00:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: It is with high priority that bird nesting sites need to be handle. It is absurd to think that Off Road Vehicles would be allowed to run over bird nests when we are facing the highest species extinction rate. Proper management of nesting sites will save money in the long run and will reduce the number of endangered species. Off Roads Vehicles are not necessary for moving along beaches. The prohibition of such vehicles in the beach side would not damage the local economy as that is not the main reason visitors frequent NC outer banks.

Correspondence ID: 283 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McClelland, Marilyn K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,28,2007 19:09:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am 53 years old and I remember going to the outer banks with my grandmother fishing when I was 6. I saw turtles hatching from a nest in the sand and making their way to the ocean. My first grandchild was born today and I would like for him to see turtles hatching on the outer banks. I fear he will not see the same wildlife I did as a child if cars continue to drive on the beach.
 I think Off Road Vehicles should either be severely limited or not allowed on the beach as they have a negative impact on turtles, crabs and nesting birds. There have gotten to be too many cars driving on the beach.

Correspondence ID: 284 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bearden, Karen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,28,2007 19:16:30

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As a person who is passionate about birds and protecting the environment, I'm writing to ask you to protect beach-nesting birds from disturbances by people and vehicles at Cape Hatteras NS. The numbers of birds that nest at Hatteras (like the beautiful American Oystercatcher) has declined sharply the past few years.

Please protect the habitats where birds are nesting and provide proven and science-based buffer distances to prevent disturbances. There also needs to be protection for the foraging habitats that are required by Piping Plover and oystercatcher adults and chicks during the nesting season.

I'd ask that you develop a BIRD management plan before the ORV management plan and a science-based management plan that follows the guidelines and recommendations put forth in published research and conservation plans.

Thank you so much and look forward to a positive outcome for our birds!!

Peace, Karen Bearden

Correspondence ID: 285 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Basilone, Joe

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 04:35:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I own a cottage in Avon. My family and I spend a lot of time on Hatteras Island every year. I am in favor of continued access for ORV use on the beaches of Hatteras Island. I would not object to a fee for an access permit provided the money was used for increased enforcement of park regs. I am in favor of protected areas for turtles and birds provided that reasonable access for ORV use is maintained near the high tide line. I have a moderate level of heart disease and am scheduled for my third surgery on my right knee. I would not be able to access most of the beaches on the island without ORV access. I am a recreational fisherman and I return 95% of what I catch. I believe in having a low impact on any natural area that I use. I have been coming to Hatteras Island multiple times a year since 1980. If I thought proper ORV use damaged the island environment, I would not participate in it. Please do not eliminate or unreasonably restrict ORV use on the beaches of Hatteras Island. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 286 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Northcutt, Bobby

Outside Organization: NC Beach Buggy Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 05:06:01

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Let us keep our beaches open to ORV use. I,m a 60 year old surf fisherman so is my wife my kids my grand kids. I would like to see everone get to use our beaches,after all the beaches belong to us all. Thanks Bobby

Correspondence ID: 287 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Buffaloe, Earl D

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 05:38:50

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: To whom it may concern. I am retired on a fixed income and a life long resident of North Carolina. With the wholesale closing of piers along the NC coast,I find it harder to find a place to fish. Since I don't own a boat, surf fishing is my only choice. I have a difficult time walking as I am in need of two Knee replacments plus a new hip joint. Without access to the beach via ORV, I am out of the only vice I have left. Without this access there is no reason to visit the outer banks I have loved and enjoyed since I was a small boy. Please keep the beaches available to people like me and the fishermen who contribute to the businesses and lively hood of the great people of the outer banks.

Thanks Earl D. Buffaloe

Correspondence ID: 288 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Caldwell, Kevin T

Outside Organization: Appalachian Ecological Consultants Business

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am commenting on the Cape Hatteras EIS for ORV managment plan on the National Seashore.

I hesitate to say that ORV usage should not be allowed at all - but ATV usage should be entirely excluded except by park staff.

I would like to ensure that the EIS addresses issues related to ORV usage such as appropriate (or no) usage during breeding bird season, T&E species of wildlife and vegetation, critical habitats and natural communities listed by the NC Natural Heritage Program as S1-S3 and G1-G3.

Other critical issues include the introduction and spread of invasive exotic plants, as well as damage to natural areas that allows for the easy introduction, establishment, and spread of these species. I am also concerned about the continual drip-drip-drip of oil from ORVs in designated or otherwise navigable areas - the cumulative effect of this oil deposition could be addressed. Is it a substantial impact in a given year or over years?

Lastly - I think that ORV traffic, if largely limited to automobile usage, can be concentrated in very small areas that allow the public to access the beach but not drive all over it. I am unaware of other public-use areas, but I would imagine that there are some that are part of townships or county ownerships. In relationship to public lands, I side with nature, natural communities, and native wildlife / vegetation with very limited automobile / ORV access. By concentrating vehicle usage in very tight, specific zones, you can allow access to the beach for a huge variety of recreational activities while preventing ORV damage to the interior of the park.

Correspondence ID: 289 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Nance, Randy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 05:46:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My family and I visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area at least once a year and enjoy this beautiful public area very much. Being able to access the beaches by truck, or ORV, is important to me and adds to the enjoyment of Cape Hatteras. I'm a responsible person who drives in a safe manner, stays well away from the NPS closure areas, and I don't leave any trash behind when I leave. I hope that this rule making process will produce a balanced compromise that protects the wildlife while still allowing ORV access. I believe that ORV's should be allowed access 24 hours a day for those of us who fish at night, or just want to look at the stars.
Randy

Correspondence ID: 290 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cameron, James B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 06:22:39

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I would like to voice my support for an ORV plan that will take into serious consideration the welfare of piping plovers and other beach-nesting birds. The National Park Service is duty-bound by law to follow practices already outlined in several management plans for beach-nesting species. I write this comment as a bird watcher who enjoys coming to the Outer Banks to observe the tremendous diversity of wildlife found there. Unregulated ORV use of the beaches is putting that diversity at serious risk.

Correspondence ID: 291 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sparks, Robert B

Outside Organization: National Audubon Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 08:30:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who has traveled to the Outer Banks of North Carolina and specifically to Cape Hatteras National Seashore to observe beach nesting birds, I just wanted to comment on the management plan for the area. When I was there, I was suprised by the access that ORVs had to the beaches and the little area that was protected for the birds. Also the flimsy barriers that were erected to protect the birds seemed pretty inadequate. I know that trying to manage an area for use by many different groups is difficult to do, but I think that protecting the natural resources of an area should be the priority for the national park service. If protecting these resources means entirely restricting access to an area (for both birdwatchers and ORV enthusiasts) then I think that it is a small price to pay for protecting this valuable resource. Plus, there is nothing wrong with having to walk into an area, not everyplace in the country has to be accessible by car.
Thanks, Robert Sparks

Correspondence ID: 292 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Saunders, Ronald I

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 09:07:52

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My wife and I are part time residents with a cottage at KDH. We have been associated with the OBX for almost 50 years and plan to retire there in the near future. The OBX has been and will be an important part of our lives as well as that of our children and grandchildren. Vehicular beach access has been a major factor in our love of the OBX as we are avid fishers and beach users for family and friends. There is something very special about being able to drive down to the surf. The kids especially love it. We are aware that there must be a respect for the environment and the various species with which we share this wonderful resource. We feel this can be managed to everyones benefit and satisfaction. Maintain regulations as necessary to insure safety, cleanliness, protection of dunes and all species (they were here first) and penalize those that do not adhere to those regulations. The people you want on the beach will appreciate it and those that don't, well, we don't really want them there anyway. We feel that we have been strong contributors to the economy of the OBX and as such would like to see as much as possible remain the same where the beaches are concerned. We feel we are probably representative of the majority of those that would be considered assets to the community. Simply put, let people do what ever they like as long as it does not hurt or offend anyone or anything. Make it totally undesirable to do otherwise. this can be done through proper regulations, enforcement and penalty. Thank you for what you do. Ron & Cecile

Correspondence ID: 293 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gracey, Harold F

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 09:25:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The beaches of Hatteras Island are one of the few places where ordinary people can experience the beauty of undeveloped, wild oceanfront. When they visit, they have a large number of recreation opportunities that range from the simplest: just sitting on the sand and watching the birds and waves, to more active pursuits such as surfing or fishing. The ability to participate in those activities is dependent to a very large extent on vehicular access to the beach, since the area is not easily accessible by foot, except for those who are very young and energetic. Therefore, in order to preserve the recreational opportunities for the largest percentage of the population, preservation of vehicular access is necessary to the maximum extent possible. Specifically, I believe that the maximum number of ramps be kept open, so that traffic, and beach visitor density can be spread out along the entire length of the beach. Parking should also be provided at the maximum number of ramps, so that those without off-road vehicles can still have widespread pedestrian access to the maximum percentage of the beach.

Correspondence ID: 294 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gorg, Roger J

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 10:07:38

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Sir or Madam,

I visit Hatteras Island about three or four times per year, usually in the summer for a week long family vacation and several other short weekend trips. The surf fishing available on the island is very good and very important to me. It is my favorite form of recreation because of the natural setting, the variety of species to be caught, and the comraderie with fellow fishermen. It is an activity my entire family can, and does, enjoy and is a wonderful way to teach my children about the outdoors, conservation, and animal life including aquatic species, birds, and mammals. Off Road Vehicle Access is essential to surf fishing on Hatteras Island because it enables anglers to carry the appropriate gear to the most productive locations and to provide a way to bring the young and elderly along. My experience has been that ORV users are courteous, safe and respectful of the rules concerning access. Fishermen are inherently conservation-minded and they pay attention not only to the rules regarding size and bag limits of fish, but to the entire environment as well. We want to catch fish today and in the future and we know that a healthy ecosystem is essential to that goal. I urge you to keep open access to all ORV users (anglers, birdwatchers, photographers, walkers and many others) in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Closures will deny citizens their right to our recreation areas and will adversely affect the fabric and well being of the citizens and business owners in the towns within the park boundaries.

Correspondence ID:	295	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Long, David				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,01,2007 10:27:20				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	I would just like to show my support for continuing to allow off road vehicles on the beaches of The Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have been driving out on the beach with family and friends for 27 years and I certainly hope and expect to continue this tradition with my children for many years to come. It would be quite tragic if you could no longer drive on the beach to fish, relax, or simply enjoy the peace and quiet. That is what makes The Outer Banks so special and the reason I visit several times a year. Without access to the beach for four wheel drive vehicles it would be just like any other beach. Thank you for your time.				
Correspondence ID:	296	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Irvin, Wayne				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,01,2007 10:30:06				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Since I began frequenting that Dare County, NC beach section commonly referred to as "The Point" back in the late 1950s, the combination of changes in the land mass, the amount of year-round vehicular traffic and the reduction in numbers of colonially-breeding birds has created turmoil. Recent winter storms have reduced the older dune area to a fraction of what it was 25 years ago. The vegetated dune community is virtually wiped out and the ephemeral ponds just west of The Point have disappeared.</p> <p>It's been several years since I visited this area during the bird breeding season and I get the impression from internet comments that many of the birds (both in numbers and species diversity) have disappeared as breeders. Miraculously, Piping Plover pairs continue to attempt to breed in this area! Summarized, I feel that the final 1.5-2.0 miles of each beach that converge at The Point need to be closed to all vehicular traffic for a period each year wherein any efforts by potentially breeding birds of any species may freely establish breeding sites and carryout, unimpeded, their breeding efforts. This period of closure should be established by academic investigators with no political/bureaucratic connections. Necessary enforcement of such restrictions could include the closure of appropriate ramp accesses to motorized vehicles as well as a strictly enforced "No Access" policy for the East and South Beaches approaching the sanctuary zone.</p> <p>We will soon face a point of no return for the bird species of concern at The Point. For Federal officials NOT to get serious about this matter can only be considered a dereliction of their responsibilities.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	297	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Mueller, Helmut				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,01,2007 13:51:20				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	I am getting old & feeble & as much as I would like to be able to drive to the point on Hatteras, I strongly feel that ORV use must be restricted to protect our environment & to encourage ecotourism. Many visitors to the outer banks do not have ORV's & object to their pollution of the beach with their presence & their tracks. Some find them even more offensive than offshore drilling platforms. The ORV's also disturb & destroy nesting birds. Surely some compromise can be achieved so that fishermen can have limited access & allow the environment to survive.				
Correspondence ID:	298	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Presnell, Larry				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,01,2007 18:08:25				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	My wife and I are both native North Carolinians and have been visiting Cape Hatteras National Seashore RECREATIONAL Area for a good portion of our lives. Our love for the area has steadily increased over the years. We once drove non-stop from St. Louis to get our fix of island life. Two years before we retired from the Environmental Protection Agency, we built a small second home in Avon and now spend half our time in Avon and half in Raleigh. We keep a vehicle in Avon dedicated to beach use. When there, we spend the majority of our time on the beach including nighttime. We fish, we walk, we play games, we watch the wildlife, we watch the ocean, we have a bonfire; if you can do it on the beach, we do it. We use the beach from Oregon Inlet to Hatteras Inlet. As we get older, ORV access becomes more and more important to us, especially considering the investment we have made in the area. From a selfish point of view, we would like to see the access returned to the way it was forty years ago. Realizing that is unlikely, we would hope to keep the access we have today.				
Correspondence ID:	299	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Rizzo, Charles				
Outside Organization:	OBPA NCBBA CCA Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,01,2007 19:04:56				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Superintendent Murray My family and I are recreational beach users. We grew up summering on Cape Cod. We have fished the beaches from Plymouth to Provincetown the canal from Sagamore to Onset. As a family we have spent many an hour [and dollar] enjoying different beach activities. Myself I enjoy surf fishing. I always liked the fact I could drive on the beach and have logged many miles doing so in our beach buggy. In the early 90's my wife and I relocated to Eastern North Carolina. It was not long before we rediscovered CHNSRA. I say rediscovered because one of my fishing buddies from Mass. in the late 70's moved to Kill Devil Hills and we had visited with him 3 or 4 times. What a beautiful area we should of stayed then. Today my wife and I visit the CHNSRA at least once a month for at least a long weekend and depending on the season sometimes a full week at the time. I still love to surf fish and the privilege to drive on the beach. Our family from New England comes to CHNSRA to enjoy their vacations with us at your park because we can still drive on the beach. As a group our beach activities are not just fishing we enjoy surfing, kite flying, shelling, swimming, wind surfing, bird watching, clamming, sun risers, sun sets, and just plain relaxing. Mr. Murray I have been out in your park with my mom and dad, with our children and our grandchildren. Four generations of Rizzos enjoying CHNSRA age 6 months to 80. I hope my grandchildren will be able to say the same. Once again we enjoy all types of beach activities but most importantly we can drive on the beach. Just ask my mother if she would go out to watch the sun set if she could not ride out on the beach. We as a family hope we can preserve our privilege to drive on the beach, protect the beach and not prohibit beach access. Thank you for your time in this matter Charlie Rizzo</p>				
Correspondence ID:	300	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Kania, Tim C				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,01,2007 20:36:00				

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the scope of issues and the significant issues related to ORV management at the CHNS.

Everyone's comments are shaped by their perspective, and here is mine: I have been employed as an environmental regulator in Pennsylvania for twenty-five years. The comments I am making are strictly my personal views and I do not speak in any way for my employer. I mention my profession, however, because throughout my career I have daily faced the challenge of implementing environmental regulations in the face of competing interests and have an understanding of how challenging, yet critically important, that effort is. CHNS happens to be my favorite vacation destination, and while on Hatteras Island I spend most of my time surf fishing. I access CHNS beaches by ORV each day I am lucky enough to be there. It should not be surprising then that I believe appropriate ORV access is not environmentally destructive, is not in conflict with other park uses, and is not inherently threatening to park ecological resources.

Based on my life-long commitment to environmental issues, my training as a geologist (including coastal geology), and my experience as an ORV user in the park, I believe the ORV management plan process must consider the following:

- 1) An appropriate starting place for the plan would be a clear and accurate statement of what percentage of CHNS shore line is currently open to ORV use. This simple fundamental statement of fact is critical to an accurate public understanding of the scope of this issue. Also, it is hard to get to where you are going if you do not first identify where you are, and a plan that is likely to change access must identify what it is changing. While there may need to be some explanation of seasonal and temporary closures, this statement must be as clear and factual as possible if the rest of the process is to have credibility. Even though Pea Island would not be directly affected by the plan, to be completely open and honest the statement of how much shoreline is currently open to ORV's must include the shoreline of Pea Island, as this is part of CHNS.
- 2) The plan must recognize the uniqueness of ORV use on a beach environment as opposed to ORV use on other lands. Because barrier island beaches are highly dynamic and are constantly recreating themselves, concerns over erosion-related issues such as soil loss and sediment pollution simply do not apply to ORV use on beaches. Ironically, it was concern over erosion issues and land damage that largely led to the executive orders that are now being used by some to attempt to end ORV access to an environment that is not subject to those types of damages. Adjacent fragile dune and marsh environments must be protected from vehicle incursions, but the beach itself is periodically completely re-worked by nature and does not sustain the wear-and-tear damage by vehicle use that other environments may. The plan must openly recognize this fact to accurately portray the issue to members of the public who may not be fully aware of beach dynamics and may be misled into believing that damages are occurring, which in fact are not.
- 3) The plan must adopt an approach that emphasizes allowing ORV access as widely as possible in ways that protect CHNS ecological resources, including endangered species, rather than an approach that views limiting access as the primary tool for protection. The former positive approach will encourage broad support and cooperation for respecting and protecting the park's valuable resources; the latter negative approach will only further deepen the divides over how the park is managed. Many who support the negative approach of denying or restricting as much access as possible do so under a philosophy that human access (other than their own) to natural areas is inherently damaging and to be avoided; this philosophy is in clear contradiction to the NPS mandate, and long-term public support for parks (and environmental issues in general) will only decline as access is unnecessarily limited or denied.
- 4) The plan must not buy into user conflicts that mostly do not exist. There currently is very little true competition and conflict between park visitors, aside from occasional incidents which will occur anywhere significant numbers of people gather. Much of the recent perceived conflict between groups such as bird enthusiasts and fisherman has largely been fed by groups and individuals who can then use an argument of reducing conflict to restrict human access to significant areas of the park. The plan should not include restrictions to correct manufactured or over-advertised conflicts.
- 5) The plan must clearly state and recognize the loss of human access to significant areas of the park that further restrictions on ORV access would create. To be accurate and honest the plan must fully assess what amount of shoreline would be effectively inaccessible without ORV access to families, children, the elderly, the physically challenged, and recreationists who require equipment. This assessment would need to recognize that most such individuals would realistically be limited to using only the areas within a few hundred yards of a handful of parking areas. This assessment also needs to recognize the impacts of concentrated parking, and the negative environmental and social consequences of crowding large numbers of people into smaller and smaller areas.

I know that the plan must and will take into account the requirements of the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Act, and must protect the ecological resources of CHNS. I hope the plan recognizes that ORV access is not inherently in conflict with these requirements.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 301 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Vaughan, Steve

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.01.2007 21:29:50

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

To Mr. Murray, I have been watching with interest all the messages that have been flying around concerning enclosures along Hatteras Island. I don't know all the personalities involved and I probably don't know all the issues involved. But I will share that I am for complete access onto the beach by driving. I enjoy Hatteras Island with my family 2 to 3 times a year for anywhere from a weekend to a week at a time.

I understand the need to close the areas that drive through the towns along Hatteras Island - that would make sense. I do not understand why so many seem to be bent on closing access to vehicles so much. I hear about the vehicles killing the birds, but I have never seen any hard evidence nor read the documentation to support that.

With all that has been done to protect the birds, it appears to me that using those resources in other areas might be more beneficial. Look at how much was spent on extra officers last year (I think) when they were all out on the beach with road blocks and such. Makes no sense, and for what benefit.

Has anyone given the weather, the storms, as much credit as they deserve as the reason why some populations of birds are lost? Has anyone done a study of how much is actually lost due to storms and high tides vs. vehicles?

I may not understand all the issues, but I can assure you this. If I lose free access to drive on the beach, I will no longer enjoy Hatteras Island...NONE of it. I simply will not come down there. I will spend my money elsewhere. I will never come back, as much as I enjoy the area, the sights, etc. But one can only see the lighthouse once or twice before it becomes just a beautiful landmark. You can only go through the Graveyard of the Atlantic museum once or twice before you've seen everything. That which brings me back over and over is the opportunity to drive out on the beach and fish, or swim, or surf, or just sit there and enjoy the ocean.

Has there been a good solid study as to the economical impact of not allowing driving on the beach? Has anyone taken into account the dollars that businesses will lose, even already lost, during those times when the beach is closed? Or what will that economical impact be if there are only certain seasons you can drive on the beach. What will the impact be if I have to purchase a permit to drive at certain times? I won't be back for that either, if I have to buy a permit. I already have to buy one for fishing now, so it's just out of the question.

I really do believe that you have allowed the bird folks to have a bit more attention than they deserve. I hear them lots about the birds on the beach, but they don't seem to bother about the ones that died, hit on highway 12. I believe that you've got quite a few towns, and quite a population there on the island that is dependent upon folks to come down and visit, stay and while and spend their money...which I don't mind doing if I have the opportunity to do what I enjoy doing at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.

Thanks, Steve Vaughan Chesterfield, VA

Correspondence ID: 302 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: lawson, christopher s

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.02.2007 05:49:52

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

i think you should leave it the way it is. people have been driving on that beach about as long as the car has been around. i really dont think trucks are bothering any of the wildlife on that beach. i have had birds land on the truck while i was out there. i have passed deer on the beach and they didnt

spook the just continued doing what they were doing, it is nice to be able to take a person with a disability you can show them parts of the beach they may not be able to see if you couldn't drive out there.

Correspondence ID:	303	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	wylie,jr., paul d				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 06:25:14				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Our family has enjoyed the orv access to the seashore for over 30 years, taking numerous vacations to the area. Open access has allowed children to experience the seashore in a unique way. I feel that setting aside specific areas for wildlife might be resonable but excluding humans and their vehicles is unresonable use of public land.				
Correspondence ID:	304	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Cavins, John F				
Outside Organization:	NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 08:48:48				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Sirs, My children and I visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area at least 12 times a year for fishing, swimming, sight-seeing and relaxation. We stay in Salvo near Rt. 12. If we did not have ORV access to the beach, we would certainly not be able to enjoy as much recreation as we do with access. On a normal trip to the beach we carry fishing supplies, picnic supplies, boogie boards and trash bags. If we had to carry these supplies over a mile, we certainly would not be able to make it to the surf. While at CHNSRA we eat out at local restaurants, buy groceries at local stores, buy gas at local gas stations, purchase bait and supplies at local tackle shops, buy souveneers at local shops and visit CHNSRA sites. We are but one family but every family that visits CHNSRA supports the local economy. If we were denied access to the beaches, we would have to find another place for recreation since we can't afford to buy or rent an ocean front home. If we were able to access the beaches on Pea Island, we would visit that area as well. Thank You, John F. Cavins				
Correspondence ID:	305	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Friedley, Noel				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,23,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Hello Sir, This is to speak in favor of open beach access on the Outer Banks of Cape Hatteras and why it is important to me. I have been working fourty three years since graduating from college and serving in the USMC in the early nineteen sixties. I fished as a young person but not often and certainly not enough. I was a bass and trout fisher in Michigan, Indiana, Wisconsin and Colorado. I now have reached retirement age and I continue to work in the Midwestern states. It is more and more difficult to walk into those areas mentioned above due to my having recently had open heart surgery and continued decreased mobility. I learned about fishing the outer banks from the www while looking for easier access to fish sites and I then started to accumulate the necessary equipment for surf casting. Believe me, walking to the beach is a challenge for my heart. I have a cronic condition and it will never be better. I find it difficult to walk to the beach alone and with the equipment it requires two trips. I cannot afford a guide and must trek the distance on foot and it is all becoming harder to accomplish. I want to fish the OBX because of the shear beauty of the area and the ability to be alone. Fishing is a contemplative event for me. Being alone is a cure for what ails me. I can drive to the waters edge and also be responsible and considerate of the environment as well. A change in the rules denying access via vehicles is an end to my fishing the OBX. I will not be able to afford the alternatives. Access is so important to me and so many other people, and also the future generations of those like us. There must be consideration for participation of all the member elements here, the people and all forms of life on the OBX. Please consider the impact for people like me. Concern for the environment should be demanded and expected and violaters should receive the maximum punishment available. The people that have bought property near the seashore on the OBX should have no more and no less but no advantage to say about events than all of the people that contribute from across all of the USA. It is our one country. We all can provide for the needed and wanted harmony necessary and we can all take care of the needs of all the animals as well. We are all visitors here on this earth and the OBX. I favor conservationism and openness to all visitors. Respectfully, Noel Friedley				
Correspondence ID:	306	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Gaskill, Herbert S				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,25,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Dear Sir - My wife and I spend considerable time each year using the beaches and environs of Hatteras Island. We want to go on record as supporting the general position of the Audubon Society in respect to the use of ORVs on the beaches. Specifically, we believe that the executive orders of Nixon and Carter should apply which require that the use of the vehicles will not compromise natural values. I would also note that the use of ORVs appears to have a negative effect on the long-term stability of the dunes. Thank you, Sincerely, Herbert S. Gaskill, Professor, ret. Department of Mathematics and Statistics Memorial University of Newfoundland St. John's, NL, A1C 5S7 Canada 15 Forest Ave. Mt. Pearl, NL, A1N 1P2				
Correspondence ID:	307	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hardham, Larry				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,24,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	February 24, 2007 Superintendent Mike Murray National Park Service 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27952 Dear Mike: An analysis of the widely quoted "Cape Hatteras National Seashore Visitor Use Study" by Dr. Hans Vogelsong done by Jim Luizer has been submitted to you as part of his comments on the Interim Protected Species Management Strategy. Attached is a copy of Jim's analysis. I understand that the National Park Service (NPS) is not obligated to respond to comments and that NPS has officially accepted the Vogelsong Study. If in fact, the analysis done by Jim is correct, then I believe that the NPS has a responsibility to publicly recant at least portions, of if not the complete Vogelsong Study. I respectfully request that you have Jim's analysis reviewed by DOI staff in this field, an outside firm or university. As you know, Jim is a retired Professor of Economics and presumably knows what he is talking about and I feel that his analysis is entitled to serious consideration.				

William D. Neal, Senior Executive Office of SDR Consulting has also expressed concerns about the "Vogelsong" survey to me privately. Mr. Neal has done survey work for OBPA and is a qualified expert in marketing and survey research.

The validity of certain conclusions made by Dr. Vogelsong must be ascertained before Negotiated Rulemaking (Neg. Reg.) meetings start. I hope that you can help to clear the air on this subject, as it will be a very serious problem at Neg. Reg. if it is not addressed now.

Sincerely,
Larry Hardham

Correspondence ID: 308 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ford , Craig and Anne

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,22,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray; My wife and I own property in both Frisco and Rodanthe are members of the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association and the Cape Hatteras Anglers and have enjoyed the Outer Banks for many years. The possibility that the ability to use motor vehicles on the beach may be restricted or even eliminated disturbs us greatly. Not only will this have a effect on our rental property, but more importantly, it would virtually eliminate our ability to use the beach. Both my wife and I have reached the time in our lives where physical limitations have affected our mobility. Even the present "walkovers" do not solve our problems. It is my understanding that the National Seashore was established as a recreation area and not a wildlife sanctuary as is Pea Island. To me a recreation area should be opened to the largest number of people possible, not just the physically fit. Please keep the concerns of those of us who are not able to walk the beaches, as we once did when you determine your final policy. Sincerely Craig and Anne Ford 609 Ridge Rd. Lewisberry Pa. 17339 717-938-6636

Correspondence ID: 309 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Doyle , Tom

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: This is just to add my voice to the debate. I am a recreational surf fisherman, an ecologically responsible one I hope, and have been coming to the Outer Banks since the mid 1960s. I and my large, growing (10 grandchildren) family treasure our days driving to the Point, or on the North Beach. Nothing in my life's experience has provided me with days more pleasurable and memorable than those. I hope the above is enough for you to consider and give weight to my views. There are economic considerations also. My family and I have easily contributed (spent) at least \$100,000, possibly much more, to the local economy during those years. I'm coming down again for a total of five full weeks this year. I wouldn't if I couldn't drive the beach. Keep the beaches open for responsible users! Sincerely, Tom Doyle (Cobbs Creek,Va.)

Correspondence ID: 310 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gholson, Adam

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mr. Murray,
Ive been visiting Hatteras Island for 20 years. Ive been fishing Hatteras Island for 20 years. Ive been riding/driving the beaches of Hatteras Island for 20 years. However, never in those 20 years have I had to submit a statement as to why I believe the beaches should remain open to ORV use! This fight has been ongoing for the last 5 years or so, and it has stressed out a good number of fine people from all over the United States. Personally I cant believe people in this country would even think of shutting down an ENTIRE local economy for the sake of a few birds. Studies have shown over the years that the Plovers have not been able to survive on the Island. Thats not the fault of ORV use. ORV's cannot be blamed for the birds that nest below the high-tide line. ORVs cannot be blamed for natural predation. Mother Nature is 10x more cruel than any human being could ever try to be. You fine people at the NPS know this stuff, if you didnt, you wouldnt have a job. Instead of spending the dollars on killing predators and closing the beaches, why dont you spend it on catching the birds and MOVING them to a safer place where they will flourish?
CHNSRA's economy is based mostly on tourism. Millions of people visit the Island each year, be it to swim, fish, collect shells, vacation, or windsurf, or what have you. I'd be willing to say that 95% of those people use the beaches to enjoy their hobbies, and of those 95%, Id bet close to 100% have ORV's to better enhance their trips to CHNSRA. If you people insist on closing down beaches and putting more and more retrictions on beach driving, what do you think if going to happen? Seriously? People will stop traveling there, and when they quit going, the local economy is going to grind to a halt. Local retaurants will close, surf and tackle shops won't be able to stay open only on local buisness. The realty companys will close their doors, and the local people won't be able to survive!
So, Mr Mike Murray, I suggest this. One, you listen to the locals and the people, like me, who visit the Island. You listen hard, and try to imagine what life would be like without ORV access. Two, you cowboy up to the USFWS and the NPS, Audubon, and whoever else, and MAKE them see what they are trying to do to this place. You make them see what we all know, that these birds are not worth an entire economy going under. Three, you do the right thing and keep the beaches open to all ORV use. You know it is the right thing as much as anybody. This problem should never have come to light, much less debate. I have many friends that are locals on the Island, and they tell me you are a fair and just man; so show me you are Mr. Murray. Make me a believer in the NPS once again.
Thank you for your time, and I would appreciate a response to fish_obx@hotmail.com .
Adam Gholson Louisa, VA OBPA Member NCBBA 11420

Correspondence ID: 311 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Starnes, John

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I am a property owner in Frisco, NC and the thought of closing the beaches is the most ridiculous thing I have ever heard of. The Outer Banks survives because of the fishing and beach goers, if you were to shut down the beaches the Financial satiable would fall apart and most people would stop coming to the outer banks. If we are going to shut down the beaches I think we should shut down all national parks to the public, shut down the park service for it would no longer be needed. Again I will sell my home in the outer banks and move to and area that has a more reasonable government. So if you shut down the beaches go ahead and shut down all the Island because it will not survive.
Stupid Move!!!!
Thank you Land Owner and Fisherman John Starnes

Correspondence ID: 312 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Blum, Joseph

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear sir:

I am a homeowner in Waves to which I plan to semi-retire shortly with the anticipation of being able to surf-fish with orv access essential to that goal. Not only would closing the beaches to orv access devastate the local economy on Hatteras island but any move in that direction would be a personal tragedy to those of us who have worked hard and paid our taxes all of our lives so that one day we could live here. Closing the beaches would be nothing short of a tragedy for me, wiping out my dreams and leaving me with a property egregiously overinflated, the sale of which could never retrieve what I've put into it. My vote and that of my wife is for reasonable open orv access.

Sincerely,

Joseph Blum PO Box 686 Rodanthe, NC 27968

Correspondence ID: 313 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gibeau, Stu

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Email submitted from: /caha/contacts.htm

As a fisherman and a birder I understand the desire to get close to the water however I feel the impact on shore bird populations would preclude the use of ORV's in nesting areas. I would ask that you stand firm in protecting nesting areas to the fullest extent. I personally do not mind having to walk or drive a longer route if need be. I think if the area were well marked off then all could enjoy the area to the fullest.

Thanks Stu Gibeau

Correspondence ID: 314 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Robertson, Ann B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

I'm writing to show support for conservation efforts directed toward protecting nesting birds during critical periods in their life cycles. I understand that a small but vocal group of OR Vers are very hostile to any restraint placed on their vehicular beach access. It is unfortunate that they cannot tolerate sharing even a portion of the beach for a small part of the year in order that beautiful birds such as terns and skimmers can successfully reproduce and continue to grace our shores and lift our spirits with their lovely, acrobatic maneuvers.

I am sure you are well aware that it is entirely possible to create win-win solutions so that people can enjoy the beach AND birds and other creatures can do what they need to do to survive and thrive. I urge you to follow the best practices that science, wildlife management and political acumen have to offer; confident that in so doing you will preserve what is worthwhile and leave a legacy reflecting vision, collaboration, prudence and compassion.

Respectfully submitted, Ann B. Robertson

Correspondence ID: 315 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Zeiger, John

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murrey,

I was very angry to learn in Audubon (Beach Bums, Jan.-Feb. 2007) that off road vehicles are given free rein in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Reckless drivers endanger the lives of endangered shorebirds-piping plovers and least terns-sea turtle

eggs, and innocent people walking along the beach. In fact, since many children play on the seashore, is it fair to allow ORVs to threaten them? Also, although most DR V drivers probably are responsible people, they don't all follow the rules. Many cross the string

fences protecting seabird-nesting areas, and disturb or kill the nestlings. Also, DRV drivers often leave garbage and fishing bycatch-sharks and skates-on the beach, which attracts predators like gull and raccoons. Our National Seashores were created support the pleasure of the majority of the people and to preserve wildlife, not to support

destructive interest groups. DRV use must be restrained in Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Sincerely, John Zeiger

Correspondence ID: 316 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lick, Robert E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

Fifty five years ago my passion for surf fishing began. It did not take long for me to recognize the many benefits owning a beach vehicle (beach buggy) would provide.

Today they are called ORVs, but I feel age allows me to call them what I have always known them to be called. By today's standards my first beach buggy was rather primitive, but nevertheless, I have very fond memories of fishing trips utilizing this buggy. After purchasing the buggy I quickly learned it provided greater mobility, access to remote areas, ability to carry more gear, comfort for my family, and a place to get out of bad weather, if only for a short while.

Through the years I and my family have enjoyed many surf fishing adventures from Maine to Portsmouth Island utilizing a beach_buggy. During the early years our concerns were fish and bait availability, weather, etc. During slow fishing periods I would take the time to instruct my children about the history of the area we were visiting, local plant and wildlife, and other issues of interest to them. Very early on my children learned about beach ecology. Those were very enjoyable times for me.

Now that I am in my older years, being able to access the beach with a beach buggy is even more important if I am to be able to continue to enjoy the sport I love so much.

When compared to my surf fishing beginnings things are very much different today. We have the so-called environmentalists, anti-access folks or "social engineers" that are attempting to make everyone conform to their way of thinking. They are working to end a past-time I have loved these many years by making beaches off limits. It is riot only surf fishermen being attacked, but boat fishermen are under attack as well, as these "engineers" try to make vast areas of the oceans off-limits to all by creating Marine Protected Areas or MP As. Fishermen are not the only outdoors people being affected as all across America these anti-access, anti-recreation people are attempting to make large areas of our forests and parks off-limits as well.

Superintendent Murray, I don't envy the position you and the National Park Service are in: trying to please everyone. However, I encourage you, when looking down a very crowded beach, to see what is really occurring there. See fishermen who are enjoying themselves, whether seasoned or a beginner catchinlghis or her very first fish; see children playing in the sand with their parents; see a child trying to understand the many mysteries of a sea shell;

and hear the happy squeals of a baby when the little waves rush in to touch his/her toes for the first time. I have participated in these memorable events and fully believe this is what a park should be about: folks enjoying themselves in their chosen form of recreation. More importantly, when all the fun is over and the folks leave, my many years of experience tell me the beach and its inhabitants are still fine. If there ever is any sort of negative impact, I suggest you look at the cost/benefit ratio. I ~ confident the cost will be very minimal when compared to the thousands of man hours of recreational opportunities provided. Is that not the intention of our parks?

Another very important point is the economy of the local communities. The summer time hoards are, for the most part, only at CHNS for three months, while beach buggies laden with rods and reels are seen through the entire year. The economic loss would be significant if beach buggy fishermen were to face additional restrictions at CHNS.

As the Negotiated Rule Making Process moves forward I hope my views, and those of many who are users of the resource as I am, are considered. In addition, I hope the Board remembers when our National Seashores were established they were originally to be managed as National Recreational Areas. Many beach buggy anglers, this writer included, worked to establish our Seashores for future generations to utilize and enjoy as we have.

Thank you for considering my views and I wish you much success during the Negotiated Rule Making Process. I am confident my organizations OBP A and NCBBA will work to achieve success along with you.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Lick (307) 778-4854 tufdog@earthlink.net

Correspondence ID: 317 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: French, Cavett

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:

Please restrict off road vehicles in our National Seashore so that birds and the fragile beach environment are not harmed by them. I actually do not see any need for them on a National Seashore, which I expect my government to manage for the protection and benefit of the wildlife and natural features. There are certainly plenty of other beaches in North Carolina where ORVs seem to have full access in the off season months.

Sincerely, Cavett French

Correspondence ID: 318 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dye, Celeste

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray.

I am a Californian resident, on the way to move into Asheville NC. I fell in love with Asheville, last year when we visited my mother, who lives in Tennessee.

I thought North Carolina, beautiful, decent, lovely, healthy and fairly progressive. My husband and I are deciding to call it home.

However, we became most alarmed and horrified after reading an article in last month issue of Audubon Magazine.

How dare North Carolina overlook and encourage piping plover endangerment by allowing Off Road Vehicles to drive up to the beach front?

This is appalling. Not only are ORVs noisy, they pollute the air and ruin Significant Ecological sites and now kill these beach birds? We are amazed at the ignorance displayed here. Please restrict ORVs from the beach front. ORVs are a ridiculous past time, burning fossil fuels and creating a noisy disturbance wherever they are ridden.

Deeply concerned, Celeste Dye 643 Maltman Ave #101 Los Angeles, CA 90026

Correspondence ID: 319 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hyde, Dave

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I have just finished reading Audubon Magazine's article "Beach Bums" which details the destruction of endangered and protected beach-nesting birds on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. From the article a main danger to these nesting birds is the rampant and inconsiderate use of Off-road vehicles on your seashore. The article depicts these ORV users as yahoos and troglodytes who care nothing about the environment. Bumper stickers like "I love Piping plovers, they taste like chicken!" are offensive to all decent people.

I don't know what you can do about this problem; perhaps you could start by obeying and enforcing the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and other government orders pertaining to your area of responsibility!

My family and ~ were going to visit North Carolina this Spring specifically to go bird-watching but from the impression I get from this Audubon article I imagine we would be accosted by gangs of roving ORV riders, hooting and hollering like some demented rednecks who would then shoot us where we stand and drag us behind their ORVs! Well, I won't be coming to North Carolina nor spending any of my money there until you get this problem solved according to the laws it is your responsibility to uphold.

And I will be telling everyone I know about this situation on our supposed National Seashore at Cape Hatteras!

Sincerely ,

Dave Hyde PO Box 293 Ward CO 80481

Correspondence ID: 320 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hammond, Susan S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,

I have read much about what has been happening at Cape Hatteras National Seashore concerning ORV's and nesting bird sites where the Park Service has not taken action to . keep ORV's from using nesting sites of endangered species of birds. When individuals were cited for violations they were not prosecuted.

Having lived near the Bogue Sound area a lot I have watched the decline of shore birds, Piping Plovers (endangered), Oyster Catchers, and Black Skimmers to such an extent that when I see one it gives me real pleasure. The way things are presently going I do not expect to see many of the above mentioned birds within the next decade.

Please take a stand. Do not let ORV's invade the nesting sites of colonial birds. Please let us set aside and protect sites for nesting birds.

Correspondence ID: 321 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hammond, Billy J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:
 This is to make a plea for a solution to be found considering the problem of ORV's running over nesting sites on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. While I don't surf fish much anymore I do enjoy driving to the "point" to see birds and wildlife. There must be a compromise in order for all to enjoy the greatness of Hatteras.
 Seeing pictures of a crushed bird nest and reading about a cafe offering fried Piping Plover on the menu do not bode well for a meeting of the minds. In reading the Management Plan it seems that appropriate language is used to address the conflict. Whatever the problem is it is now time to resolve the issue before more wildlife is lost.
 Sincerely Yours,
 Billy J. Hammond

Correspondence ID: 322 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Edwards, Deborah L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:
 I am writing to express my deep concerns regarding the safety of beach-nesting birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I and many of my friends are seriously concerned about the wellbeing of these waterbirds, some of which are on the endangered species list. We need to be compassionate, sensitive, and civilized in our management of earth's wildlife. And it is important to protect the animal kingdom for future generations to enjoy.
 In a short period of time, Cape Hatteras National Seashore has gone from one of the most important nesting sites to one of the most threatened. The number of birds that nest on the Seashore's beaches have dropped extremely in recent years. There is great need for adequate protection for the nesting birds.
 The current destructive situation can be reversed. One of the primary reasons for the decline is human disturbance due to off-road vehicle use. Many baby birds have been crushed to death under the tire of a "pleasure" vehicle. But it is possible to eliminate this danger and the loss of life for the birds. Nesting birds and off-road vehicles can co-exist on the shore provided that protection measures are implemented to keep vehicles away from the nesting areas during the mating and nesting season.
 Our sea-birds are threatened and in danger. You have the power to ensure the safety of the birds and other wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. By making the right decisions based upon the preservation of animal life you can make it happen. I sincerely ask you to make this an important issue to address and rectify.
 Yours truly, Deborah L. Edwards

Correspondence ID: 323 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 324 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chris, Linton
Outside Organization: OBPA, AMSA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 325 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moody, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I strongly support public access to the beaches of North Carolina by off road vehicles. My family and I live in Richmond, VA and have been coming to the beach twice a year since 1988. We fish, swim, enjoy the sun & sand. None of this would be possible without the ability to drive on the beach. It would not be practical to carry the fishing gear and supplies by hand. We, like the vast majority of visitors, respect the environment and take care to leave the area as we found it.
 We request that off road vehicle continue to be allowed.
 Thanks
 BM__MailAutoSigCharles Moody
 Transportation Manager

Correspondence ID: 326 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 13:55:01
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Cape Hatteras National Seashore,
 I want to express my concern about the potential over-use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore by ORV's. My concern is due to the fact that it in many ways ORV use can be detrimental to what the National Park Service stands for - conservation of our natural resources. ORV's cause undue pressures on colonial nesting waterbirds, shorebirds and other wildlife, including nesting sea turtles. I am glad that NC's seashore has so much that is protected from development. I would like to be ensured that these protected areas are managed for the benefit of the wildlife and those who enjoy the wildlife. It's the beauty of the scenery and the wildlife that continue to attract people to our National Seashores. Degrading the wildlife will certainly degrade our enjoyment of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
 Thank you for considering the request.

Correspondence ID: 327 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sloop, James H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 14:12:34

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent Murray:

I attended the public scope meeting in Raleigh on February 28, 2007. I want to personally thank you and your staff for your time and efforts to make the meeting available to the public. I was genuinely impressed with your ability to listen to each presentation. It was obvious to me that you are doing your very best to understand all issues involved.

I would like to offer the following:

1. Early in the meeting, a rhetorical question was asked: "What are the demographics of ORV users (within the Park) and do these demographics change with the seasons?" After hearing all the comments Wednesday evening, this question may be worthy of further investigation. Examples were presented that suggested:

7 Reckless driving on the beaches late at night (after bars are closed).

7 Some ORV users, particularly in the summer, do not have experience with driving in the sand.

7 Trespassing over private property to access the beach rather than using designated ramps. May be worse in summer.

For me, these comments provoke the following questions:

7 Would identification of ORV demographics be helpful in the development of the ORV management plan?

7 Would surveys of users during the 2007/2008 seasons be helpful?

2. I think a speed limit (on the beaches) of 15MPH is sufficient. If I am correct, the current suggested speed limit is 25MPH. This seems excessive and unnecessary to me.

3. Establishment of portable bathroom facilities, fish cleaning tables, and air compressors may be a good idea for certain ramps. However, I'm concerned that the placement of such facilities would be too disruptive to the natural habitat.

4. Given the comments I heard, abusers are a minority, not a majority, of the Park's ORV users. And, often the abuse is unintentional; meaning, the ORV user is inexperienced in driving on a beach or does not understand the environment of the Park. If this is true, the following may be helpful:

7 Organized citizen watch groups that can report violations.

7 Privacy protection for those making such reports.

7 Hotline number to Park Service to report abuse.

7 The management plan would have defined penalties that escalate in significance for re-peat offenders.

7 Park Service communications available to the public on safe ORV use and the protection of our Park's resources.

5. Communication by the Park Service. There were several public comments made that referred to "science" and "proven environmental impact". How can the Park Service, during this process, communicate proven (or indicated) scientific environmental impacts by ORV users?

Again, thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments.

Correspondence ID: 328 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Martof, A. B.

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Dear sir: I have been going to and fishing the outer banks since 1964. I own beach property in Hatteras village. I fish there almost year around. There have been major changes over the time I have enjoyed visiting CHNS. I do not mind sharing the unique island with all who value the area. I have seen major reductions in the amount of beach that is vehicle accessible. I have driven on the beach from Hatteras village to Oregon inlet. Please remember the whole Pea Island refuge beach used to have vehicle traffic, as well as many other areas that are now restricted. This is a very unique coastal fishery, and that is a very valuable resource that must be protected and vehicular access preserved to those few areas that remain open. Closing parts of the beach has only concentrated the vehicle traffic and further closures will do the same. Please remember that Pea Island and from Okracoke inlet to Shackleford banks has almost no vehicle traffic and should be ample area for nesting and pedestrian traffic only. Do not further restrict beach fishing at the CHNS beyond the current amount. All the fishermen that I know respect and preserve the valuable resource that we all share, Thank you for allowing me to share my thoughts.

A. B. Martof, DDS Associate Professor Medical Director UVA Health System, Department of Dentistry Box 800740, Charlottesville, VA 22908-0740
Ph: 434 924 8472 Fax: 434 243 6378

Correspondence ID: 329 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wojcik, Robert A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike Murray Hatteras Island National Park

What the national park means to mean ? FREEDOM.. It is a beach area where you can enjoy the out of doors along the seashore without a number of restrictions. I can fish, kayak, swim, boogie board, surf, go shelling and just relax. I do not have to contend with beach vendors, loud music, herds of people, and whistle tooting lifeguards. This is why I served during the Vietnam Conflict to preserve one of our freedoms

I can also drive my quadriplegic son onto the beach with as little complication as possible. A place that is enjoys very much. There are no handicap walkways available in the Salvo area, although there is one that should be handicap accessible.

I am a fisherman first and this is why I purchased a house in Salvo so I could fish almost year around. I am ready to retire and I am looking forward to the times of the beach. I could not think of this beach without the ability to drive and fish. Has anyone ever investigated, as I believe, that driving on the beach actually packs the beach and helps in its preservation? I believe there was such a study in Australia. The beach is there to use and enjoy and not to deny access. As a fisherman I am an environmentalist that does everything I can to make this a more beautiful place. . It was a gentleman's agreement between the Hatteras Island people and the U.S. Government that the National Park would never eliminate driving on the beach. Now we are being driven by fear of environmentalist who seldom visit the Outer Banks, but want to control its use.

Birds and fisherman can co-exist. There are plenty of measures that can ensure both. Bird fences do help protect the birds during hatching and the rearing of their young. We could incubate turtle eggs as do other facilities to ensure that more eggs hatch. Private groups could release the hatchlings' as is done at other North Carolina beaches but not practiced at the Hatteras Beaches.

Thank you

Robert A. Wojcik

Correspondence ID: 330 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Frame, Steve

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

I have fished for many years here but the last 5 or so the beach traffic is at a dangerous level.

It is time to regulate it in some way.

There is no reason if the ramps are in place trucks can hot rod up the beach for miles and miles to fish.

I suggest restricting traffic to with in a few miles of a ramp and beach driving permits for fisherman only.

(R) Steve Frame 315 E Maple Wood Est Scott Depot WV 25560

304 757 2553

Correspondence ID: 331 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dilworth, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Please leave the outer banks beaches' open to vehical traffic. Surf fishing is one of the only perfect things in my life. Thank You, Richard Dilworth.

Correspondence ID: 332 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Andrews , Jason
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mr. Murray,

My name is Jason Andrews and I live in south central Virginia. I am an avid outdoorsman. I enjoy fishing and I am a member of several sporting clubs. I am married with four children and one of our favorite, family vacation spots is the Outer Banks in NC. We try to plan a trip every summer and rent a house with other family members. One of the most exciting parts of our trip every year is the time we spend together on the beach via the off road access. When I read recently in the Richmond Times Dispatch that the beach access was under review and certain groups would like to see it closed it is very, very troubling. If we were to loose this access it would mean that families like mine would not be able to enjoy nature and see the outer banks as it truly is. My children are very young, ages 2 to 8, and the beach access allows us to take all the family out on the beach for picnics and surf fishing with their grandparents. My children get a tremendous amount of enjoyment from being able to hunt for shells and examine the small wildlife they find in the sand. My parents started bringing me to the outer banks when I was about 8 years old. I now bring my children, and will bring my grandchildren, but without the beach access a part of the outer banks will be lost. One of the main reasons we come to the Outer Banks is being able to enjoy the ocean in a way that only this access can provide. I strongly urge you not to close this access. From my perspective you would be loosing one of the Outer Banks most appealing assets. Please do not close the Beach Access.
Sincerely, concerned, Jason Andrews Gretna, VA

Correspondence ID: 333 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brady, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Gentlemen,

I am writing to urge a policy for continuing Beach access by off-road vehicles.
I have been a regular visitor to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area for the past 42 years. Vacationing originally with my parents and siblings, our visits continued over the years with my children, their friends, then spouses, and now our grandchildren. Friends, those we met at the Beach and those sharing vacation time have always been an important part. The Area has an important role in our life experiences and shared memories. Part of the allure is the Beach access. There is no place or experience like it, whether fishing or just enjoying family time on the Beach. Those using the facility do so with all due respect for the environment and wildlife present.
I am convinced the threat to wildlife and the environment comes from (over) development and the continued erosion of the environment by development on what has been natural areas. Vehicles using the Beach within literally yards of the waterline are absolutely no threat to the environment. Again, we urge a policy of continuing Beach access by off-road vehicles.
Richard Brady A resident of Virginia, concerned citizen, and regular visitor to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area

Correspondence ID: 334 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Daniel, Alton
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Dear Mike, I have been going to the Outer Banks for the last 35 years and one of the main attractions is being able to drive on the beaches. Early in my family life, I could not afford a 4 wheel drive vehicle and I had to make several trips over the sand dunes to carry everything that my family of 4 would need. Once I was able to afford a 4 wheel drive vehicle, I load everything into it and my wife and I enjoy our times on the beach. I belong to the NCBBA and I have been involved in several clean-ups of the beaches especially around ramp 23. I, as well as many other 4 wheel drivers respect the beaches and the animals and vegetation that habitat the area. I strongly agree that the beaches should remain open to all people and their 4 wheel drive vehicles. There is a small percentage of people that abuse the beaches and I do not have any reservations in notifying the Park Rangers of these infractions. My wife and I vote to keep the beaches open to the 4 wheel drive vehicles. Thanks, Alton Daniel Chester, Va. 23836

Correspondence ID: 335 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harris, Terry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

My first permanent position was at Cape Hatteras in the early 80's, I want a resource protection tech on Hatteras Island, specifically Buxton area and the lighthouse. A number of my co-workers from that era have contacted me expressing concern over ORV use on the island and the impacts on the resources there (i.e. nesting turtles and shore birds), though I share their concern I have not had an opportunity to visit Hatteras in a number of year and done feel I can add informed comments to the plan. I do remember my interactions with ORV's and trying to protect resources in the 80's and how difficult that was. It is my understanding ORV use has increased dramatically since then. If that is truly the case, I would think that our responsibility as an agency is to assure a balance in use that provides for resource protection and public use, with hopefully an emphasis on the resource, as the public will continue to return the resource may not. Thanks for listening to the thoughts of an old school ranger and good luck on the plan.
Terry Harris Chief Ranger/Chief of Interpretation Lava Beds National Monument (530)667-8110

Correspondence ID: 336 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Epling, Jeffrey W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 17:21:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I am an avid surf fisherman from southwest Virginia. I have an eight hour drive to the Outer Banks to enjoy the pleasure of the greatest place on earth. I spend every vacation day plus a few "sick" days on the Banks and alot of money to boot. If not for the beach access, I would find another place to spend my money. I love the beach and everthing about it including the birds and wildlife. I do not believe or have witnessed any damage to wildlife or habitat

caused by ORV's. What i have witnessed is that surf fisherman are very good stewards of the beach, clean up after others and love wildlife probably more than anyone. The Cape Hatteras National Recreation Seashore is a treasure that can be enjoyed by everyone (fisherman, families, bird watchers, ect...)without restricting ORV's and Beach Access

Correspondence ID: 337 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Currin, John G
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.02,2007 18:39:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am in favor of reasonable and responsible beach ORV access. My family and I have enjoyed surf fishing on the Outer Banks for many years. We have always respected the resource and have always left the beach as pristine as we found it. Please consider the needs of responsible sportsmen in the plan! Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 338 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCabe, Keith D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.02,2007 21:01:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am a homeowner in Buxton, NC (47133 Ridge Trl.) and also own other property there. I purchased my property in Cape Hatteras, NC so that my family can enjoy the numerous outdoor activities that this National Seashore has to offer. We travel 3000 miles twice a year to enjoy our investment. I was also born in NC and raised in NC.
The minimal impact of the off-road vehicles to the beaches and animal life surrounding these areas can be easy managed with no additional cost to the park service.
The current restrictions provide a solid benchmark for ensuring that future generation of wildlife will flourish and that humans will be able to enjoy it. I do not agree with restricting 100% access to the beach areas. Please consider some of the following suggestions;
* Resticted off-limit areas * Rotation of use areas * Preplanned Management Procedures for the Park Service * Access Fees that provide direct funding and support for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore
I have visited many other National Parks throughout the United States. In almost every other park, access has been provided via, river rafts, paved and non-paved roads, off-road two and four wheel vehicles, airborne craft, and walking/hiking trails. All of these have impact on the parks. Our challenge is to manage access and minimize impact. Please do not limit ORV access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
Keith McCabe

Correspondence ID: 339 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tune, Wayne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.03,2007 12:40:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I would like to see some boat ramps (Avon, Buxton area).
The Zoning could be beneficial, if it could be done to lessen the congested areas that are prime for fishing, to reduce injury risk. Cape Point for instance is where a lot of fishing is done and is not a safe place for a lot of swimming. My idea would be to require a fishing lisense in that area and have fishing only zones marked.
I think a "Beach Watch" program would helpful, in order to make it easy to report violations and for people like myself, have some sort of identification to make it meaningful to approach a violator.
Anything that would make it easier for people to deposit TRASH would be great.

Correspondence ID: 340 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hamilton, Ted A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.03,2007 14:07:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As best I have been able to determine, when the enabling legislation was passed by Congress in 1937 the Seashore was to be The Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Then in 1940 the enabling legislation was changed by Congress to have the Seashore be The Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. I have not uncovered any change dropping the words Recreational Area from the enabling legislation.
This seems to clearly indicate the intent of Congress in establishing primarily a recreational area. Further when the land acquisition history is reviewed, it seems clear the words "recreational area" were later used as an enticement for people to sell/donate their land to actually form the Seashore. Without these words, land acquisition appeared to be a problem. The enticement formed an indication the Seashore would bring tourists to the area for recreational purposes which in turn would bolster a faltering economy in the area even during the post World War II era boom.
Many people like to refer to the following from the enabling legislation to make a case for restricting recreational activities.
"Except for certain portions of the area, deemed to be especially adaptable for recreational uses, particularly swimming, boating, sailing, fishing, and other recreational activities of similar nature, which shall be developed for such uses as needed, the said area shall be permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development of the project or plan for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna or the physiographic conditions now prevailing in this area."
They like to quote the legislation out of context starting with "the said area shall be permanently reserved ?." They wish to ignore the first part of that sentence which refers to areas for recreation "which shall be developed for such uses as needed, ..."
Thus it seems to me that where the law allows (including the enabling legislation), recreational purposes should take precedence over other activities in those areas that are "deemed to be especially adaptable for recreational uses, ?" I say "where the law allows" so as to be clear that policy of Department of Interior/National Park Service (DOI/NPS) should not be a driving factor; only compliance with the law. While the law has opinion associated with it, the law is at least generally supported by court "opinion". Unfortunately policy is much more opinionated and can be based on questionable and controversial "facts/scientific research" that can have little basis in law. Policy is too easy to establish.
For example, there seems to be a NPS policy to close a narrow beach for "safety" purposes where a visitor with poor judgment might get stuck and possibly loose a vehicle to the sea but with the likelihood of injury or death to a visitor very remote. Yet US Forest Service (USFS) policy seems to let visitors climb Mt. Hood under conditions far more likely to result in visitor injury or death. Yes I know USFS is not under DOI but under US Department of Agriculture (USDA). However, both NPS and USFS are under cabinet levels within the Executive Branch.
Another example is the application of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). NPS seems driven away from recreation interests in protecting birds listed in this act. The American Robin is listed in the MBTA and I know of nothing in the act that would require me to afford protection similar to what NPS applies if a robin takes up residence in my yard. The NPS approach seems to be "policy" driven.
Regarding another policy differential: I visited the Oregon Dunes National Recreational Area (ODNRA) in the Fall of 2005. I asked the Ranger on duty what protection was afforded MBTA listed birds. I was told none. I further asked about protection of Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed birds. They have one, the Snowy Plover. I was told no closures were used in Off Road Vehicle (ORV) areas. Closures for these birds were limited to Pedestrian Only areas. Again, yes I know; two different cabinet level departments.
Another example is the controversy over wildlife buffer zones. Unless a larger zone, as some advocate, is required by law (not just scientific research or

expert opinion), then I see no valid reason the smaller zones, if within the law, should not be used if a larger zone would restrict access to recreational areas.

Thus policy is a problem as I see it. I say stick to the law and where there is leeway in the law apply it in favor of recreation since recreation seemed to be the intent of Congress for the Seashore.

I feel that a narrow beach should be closed only when it is clear that passage at low tide is impossible without traveling on the toe of the dune. Thus it would be a resource protection issue, not safety. Perhaps the signs at the ramps should be expanded to indicate that driving on the dunes is a serious offense that will be punished accordingly and such driving, even to save a vehicle from the water, will not be tolerated. Maybe posting such a larger sign at the resource closure, like is done for some wildlife/plant closures, is appropriate as a reminder.

And speaking of signs, I think the wildlife closure signs need to be a little more specific to indicate that in addition to vehicles, pedestrians and dogs, no domesticated animals are allowed. I have heard of a horse and rider within a closure because the horse was not prohibited by the sign and the rider was not a pedestrian.

Regarding safety I see little justification for closing the areas in front of the villages year round to ORVs. The Northern beaches, (Nags Head, etc.), have much more off season pedestrian traffic than the Seashore. Thus it is hard to imagine a safety problem that would justify such a year round closure within the Seashore when it does not seem to be a problem to the North where off season ORV use is allowed. It is my opinion that safety is a ruse being used in an effort to essentially privatize village beaches. Even though some "public" accesses exist in the villages (the privately funded walkways approved by NPS that cross NPS land), there is essentially no parking available at these accesses. Thus unless a person is staying in a house very near one of these accesses, there is no practical way to use the accesses. So if ORVs are limited in these beach areas, only those near the accesses will have practical access to these beaches in the off season.

I think the Pole Road should be restored to its very early condition (about mid 80s) where it went virtually to the end of Hatteras Island and there were several sound and ocean access spurs, in particular one near the Rip on the ocean side. This road would thus provide a built in bypass for sound/ocean resource closures and facilitate continued access to very popular recreational areas.

Further regarding such bypass use, any ORV plan should include the ability to rapidly bypass resource closures to maintain access to popular recreational areas. I cite the bypass used in 2006 just South of Ramp 44 to maintain access to the Point when resource closures (narrow beach to North and wildlife to South) cut off the Point.

Regarding a Zoning Alternative, you essentially have that now with the ORV seasonal closures at several NPS beaches near campgrounds and in front of the villages, plus year round closure of Pea Island. I have seen no user conflict that could justify further zoning.

Regarding some sort of Percentage System, the dynamics of the beach changing would make this very difficult if not impossible to keep current. The good waves would move down the beach and the surfers would want to move and the good fishing hole would close and the new one opened would be inaccessible because it is not in the percentage area. By the time areas are changed the beach will have changed again negating the area change. Again I have not seen user conflict that would justify such a system.

Regarding restricting night driving, unless that is required by law for wildlife it should not be done. And I reiterate any such restriction should be secondary to the recreational intent of the Seashore and required by law not "expert opinion" or policy.

Regarding a Permit System, I am in general against permits because I see them as a first step in limiting access by numbers. However, I struggle with how to effectively educate folks regarding beach driving. I might consider a permit system because of the experience I had at ODNRA. There the permit was \$10, was good for 2 years and the required equipment/vehicle inspection with a brief run thru of the rules takes about 20-30 minutes. So I might (repeat MIGHT) consider a permit if the permit is (1) readily available without the horror story waits we have heard about in other areas, (2) the number of permits is not limited, (3) any permit fee only includes cost to administer the program, (4) is valid for 2 years for a given vehicle/driver, (5) includes a requirement to have read a set of rules and tips on beach driving as well as other Seashore rules such as fires, fireworks, dead fish on the beach, etc. and (6) includes a requirement to have certain equipment in the vehicle like shovel, tow strap, board for jack, etc. And I emphasize again "might consider" in order to support beach driving education.

Regarding wildlife habitat, I think NPS should go back to developing habitat as they did in the past by removing vegetation from areas on the beach where driving has been prohibited and the area allowed to grow in vegetation thus reducing desirable bird habitat.

If Pea Island is to remain off the table as far as ORV use (a condition I happen to not agree with since the area lies within the Seashore) then that area should be used to develop desirable habitat for threatened species by removing vegetation where feasible. Maybe even haul in sand for the cleared areas if necessary. That area after all is a wildlife refuge, not a recreational area. Now some try to argue that such "man made" action is not allowed but I point out the "man made" ponds and burning for habitat enhancement.

Another area of concern is the pond area near Ramp 44. This area should be drained when necessary as in the past to prevent the standing water on the access roads we experience today. Such draining would also increase habitat for some wildlife. In addition this is a water quality issue potentially affecting the health of residents. This same philosophy should apply to all ramp accesses prone to flooding like Ramp 49.

Regarding parking areas at ramps, former ramps and pedestrian access areas; I feel those destroyed by storms should be restored where practical. I recognize that on Pea Island there is a problem with overwash in some areas. At these areas, in particular vehicle ramps, there should be a small dumpster (instead of the 2-3 trash cans) and a porta potty, at least during the on season. Lack of these two facilities is a continuing problem.

Further I want to restate some thoughts from an earlier e:mail because it is not clear that they met the criteria for entry into the record.

Both my wife and I are native Tar Heels and I have been a visitor to the Outer Banks for many years. We have been cottage owners in Salvo since 1971. One of the prime reasons we were attracted to this area was the easy access to the beaches by vehicle for recreational purposes.

When I first visited the area and even later built a cottage you could basically access the Seashore beach by vehicle from the Nags Head South boundary to Ocracoke unless there was a beach so narrow as to be impassable. Even in that case it was up to my judgment as to whether I could pass; there were no "safety closures".

I have always been very respectful of the areas resources including wildlife and plants. I carry in my vehicle books on the wildlife including fish, shellfish, birds and mammals as well as plant life for use in identification. My wife and I have enjoyed many hours on the beach and looked forward to the day when we fully retired and could spend many days enjoying the area. That day came in 2002 and we now spend about half our time in Salvo.

In the late 1970's when driving privileges were taken away on Pea Island I was not happy but apathetically (a bad mistake) considered at least we could walk over. Now that we are older (mid to late 60's) that option is getting harder. And thru the years since the late 1970's more and more of the beach has been closed or severely restricted to vehicle access as well as pedestrians. Much of that severe restriction started shortly after 2002 when we were finally able to reap the benefits of full retirement. Needless to say we are not happy campers.

What I see has happened over the years is a strategic move on the part of those who want the beach eventually closed to all human access and "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness?" This strategy is being accomplished by a short range tactical plan to take little bits at a time over a long period of time until it is all taken. COMPROMISE has been the operative word/method here. "Surely you can COMPROMISE and give up this little piece". However, nothing seems to ever come back (eg. Pea Island and area around Buxton/Frisco/Hatteras). Then they come back later for another little piece. I also see pushing for an ORV ban as just a step in the strategic direction and a push for further pedestrian ban will eventually come. The COMPROMISE as I've observed it over the years has basically been in one direction only. For example I'm not happy that Pea Island is "off the table". Being under US Fish & Wildlife Service (USF&WS) is no reason. Both NPS and USF&WS come under DOI and USF&WS has a seat at the Negotiated Rulemaking (REG-NEG) table. In fact the Seashore actually overlays the Refuge.

Now I fully realize there are laws to be considered but the recent application of these laws in most cases (particularly prior to 2006) has been to "fail to the most conservative access limiting position". I also recognize that REG-NEG is about COMPROMISE. But the COMPROMISE has to be in both directions. As I see it now structured those of us who advocate ORV use can only loose area and we have a very low probability of getting anything back in return, particularly with Pea Island off the table.

So my bottom line is that the folks at the table supporting ORV access should look very carefully at any COMPROMISE proposed from the other side. Evaluate it in terms of what is returned from the other side and where the result can lead 10 or more years down the road including potential pedestrian access limitations.

Ted A. Hamilton (aka Salvo Jimmy) 6 Jib Court Hampton VA 23664 757 850 2639 tedsalvo@earthlink.net

0000455

Correspondence ID: 341 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lay, Russell A
Outside Organization: Nature Conservancy Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,03,2007 20:36:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am a member of the Nature Conservancy, the NCBBA, a volunteer for NEST, and a member of the American Birding Association. I am against any total ban of ORV traffic in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am in favor of reasonable restrictions on ORV traffic during both the turtle and piping plover nesting seasons; if active turtle nests or active piping plover nests are found. I again emphasize the word "reasonable". In areas where there are no active turtle nests, and at times that are outside the breeding range of piping plovers, I am not in favor of total bans on ORV traffic. As many have noted, predation is the major cause of piping plover mortality relative to fledglings on this beach. There is an absence of convincing evidence that ORV traffic causes other damage to the beach, in particular erosion of the beaches and degradation of the shoreline. The multitude of users who have enjoyed ORV access to the beach, from surfers to bird watchers to surf fisherman have co-existed for decades in both using and caring for the beach and its wildlife. The only contention that exists has been fomented by outside environmental groups who do not use the beach, live in this area (for the most part) and who would oppose any ORV access to any wildlife area in any part of the country. They have been afforded a voice and a say in this process that far exceeds their actual value to the negotiation process. I sincerely hope the Park Service maintains the ORV policy as it is presently observed, enforced, and implemented.

Correspondence ID: 342 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mock, George F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 11:41:47
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreation Area is arguably the best destination for surf fishing in the United States and quite possibly, the world. Surf fishing by its very nature requires a lot of "stuff". The well equipped surf angler must carry very large rods, reels, coolers and tackle boxes to his chosen fishing spot and a four wheel drive vehicle is essential for transporting this gear. Please note that I said that the four wheel drive was used "for transporting gear". The vehicle is used for getting from point "A" to point "B", nothing more; the ride on the beach is purely incidental to the purpose of the trip and that is to go fishing. Around the turn of the 20th century, Theodore Roosevelt and Aldo Leopold defined "conservation" as the wise USE of our natural resources. Since the establishment of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreational Area, much of the Park has been deemed off-limits and unavailable for use by the general public. Closures for birds, turtles and safety concerns continually reduce the areas that are accessible to the surf fisherman. Beach front cottage owners complain when anyone other than themselves are on "their" beach, even though that beach belongs to all the taxpayers, not just those that can afford a very expensive vacation home! Now we are facing the prospect of additional and more extensive closures that some feel are necessary for the protection of the birds that frequent CHNSRA. Enough is enough! I see no room for compromise on this issue! There was a time when 75 miles of beach were open to the mobile surf fisherman. The available beach is now down to less than half that amount! Pea Island is closed and sound side access south of Hatteras Village is virtually non-existent! The NPS campgrounds are closed and Cape Woods is dead from an influx of saltwater. Not a very pretty picture. Personally, I feel that the trend toward additional closures has to end. All the beach ramps should be opened and maintained to make access and travel on the beach easier. Air stations should be added to the ramps to facilitate driving with the proper tire pressures both on the sand and on the highway. Correct air pressures leave shallower tracks in the sand and make for safer driving on the road. The pole road should be restored and parking areas should be installed for sound side access. Also, the campgrounds should be serviced, maintained and put back into operation. If that requires pulling the flood gates to drain the woods, then by all means, pull the plug! It's apparent to me that the restrictions associated with accessing the Cape Hatteras beaches, while purportedly designed to protect the birds, are really just an effort by some environmental extremists to close the beaches down completely. My feeling is that Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreational Area is not and never will be a wilderness area so the National Park Service should stop trying to treat it like one! Respectfully,
George F. Mock Wildlife Conservation Officer (Retired) Pennsylvania Game Commission 140 Sawmill Lane Spring Mills, PA 16875

Correspondence ID: 343 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirkpatrick, Robert J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 14:30:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I would like to see free access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational area for ORV and others continue. I would also like to see more porta potties and signs to make the ramps for exiting after dark. I understand there is a need to limit access from time to time for safety and environmental reasons

Correspondence ID: 344 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Kostan Jr, James A
Outside Organization: none Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 15:10:52
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To whom it may concern, I can not attend the meetings on the issue of ORV use on CHNS beaches so this is my only option. My family and I have been going to Cape Hatteras for the past 25 years. The first 4 or 5 years we did not have a vehicle capable of driving on the beach. That did not bother us because we would park and walk to the water. In that time we never had a problem with any of the ORV user's as far as speeding or littering on the beach. If we found litter we would pick it up and take it with us. After we got an ORV, we only had two incidents of misuse of ORV's and those were in isolated parts of the seashore with only a few other beach user's within sight. My wife got the license plate number and we called the Park office and were told the only officer available was in Nags Head. We never heard back from anyone. Mr. Ted Williams wrote an article on the supposed misuse and wanton destruction of ORV user's on the CHNS, and I took his comments as a personal attack on my use, and other responsible ORV user's of the CHNS. Mr. Williams use of the label MAFIA in calling the OBPA and others who want to drive the beach is as irresponsible as it gets. We are not a bunch of law breaking thugs that are out for personal gain. If we take anything onto the beach, 90% of us take it out with us. My family and I spend 50% of our day picking up other people's trash and disposing of it properly. Has anyone taken a survey of how much trash is thrown on the beach in the walk over access areas only. I'll be willing to bet that a lot of the litter on the ORV areas wash down from other areas or from offshore. The beach needs more rangers to enforce the law, not the removal of ORV's as a solution. The people that live and rely on the tourists to make an honest living on the island year round, should have the most say in this. It's their livelihoods at stake. If ORV use is taken away from everyone, then everyone loses. I have seen the loss of access to ORV's over the past, and the so called problem has not gotten any worse. Any responsible user of the seashore will take the privilege of use of the seashore as just that, a privilege, nothing else. But there are a few slob's that don't care that they are spoiling it for the rest of us. Slob's will be slob's no matter what, because that is the way they are. My father in law always said, "If you can take it in full, you can take it out empty". Words to live by, no matter where you are, no matter what you are doing. THANK YOU, JAMES A KOSTAN JR.

Correspondence ID: 345 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carr, Cindy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 14:35:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I suggest using a tiered-permit system that would require annual renewal. Tiers could include categories for commercial fishing interests, sport club members (anglers, beach buggy associations), local individuals (defined as either NC residents or County residents), and visitors (all others). Fees could be tiered such that each category paid different fees or could be priced for short duration use (someone visiting for a week's vacation) or for long duration (commercial fishing or sport club members who visit throughout the year). Permits could be issued as hangers for rear-view mirrors (allows moving to different vehicle) or as stickers for windshield. If permits are serially numbered then it would allow tracking of the vehicle should questions arise. Benefits of the permit system include generating revenue to fund additional NPS enforcement personnel or programs; maintains a database on vehicles being used on the beach; and provides an opportunity for NPS personnel to conduct rules and regulations awareness training as permits are issued. There is a precedence for charging an entrance fee or selling permits. Other NPS facilities charge entrance fees (Yellowstone for example). A park-wide entrance pass called "America the Beautiful ? National Parks and Federal Recreational Lands Pass" is sold through the NPS website.

Correspondence ID: 346 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 14:52:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I ask the NPS to limit the use of ORV in the Outer Banks. As an intern for the USFWS at Pea Island, I observed firsthand the damage caused by ORV's. My main concern is the negative impacts on breeding bird populations. Populations of Oystercatchers are already in peril from habitat loss due to development. In an area that is supposed to be "natural", these birds struggle to breed unharassed. If our parks do not provide safe havens for imperiled species, where else will do they have to go? I am realistic and know that ORV's will not be outlawed in the Outer Banks. However, is it too much to ask for limitations on this privilege? Let me stress the term privilege, because that it what it is not a right. Those who drive ORV's need to compromise with the interests of the rest of us, those taxpayers who enjoy the natural beauty of the OBX along with its animals. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 347 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Noyes, Arthur E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 14:58:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am asking that the ORV plan should most certainly include the continued use of ORV's. It is what has made the Park what it is today and there is certainly room for this activity to continue. I feel that there must be a greater emphasis put on the education of users and better enforcement of existing laws. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 348 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: HARVEY, WILLIAM A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 08:04:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To Whom it May Concern
As a NC resident and avid surf fisherman I would ask that any management plan that is settled upon not restrict ORV access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Not only will such a restriction hurt Outer Banks businesses, but it will affect the public's awareness and concern for the area. One reason people feel such a strong connection with the area is the very access that is in question. The strongest advocates of the CHNS are those responsible ORV users that enjoy fishing and sightseeing. If access is restricted, care and advocacy for the resource will diminish in this and future generations.
I also look forward to taking my sons fishing there one day and teaching them the importance of responsible resource use.
Sincerely,
William Harvey

Correspondence ID: 349 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Raymer, Edward
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 08:53:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I enjoy responsibly driving on the beaches of the Cape Hatteras Recreational National Seashore. I have been surf fishing since I was 3 years old and at all times a vehicle was used to reach our destination. I hope to be able to introduce my children to surf fishing as well. We spend a lot of money on hotel, food, and entertainment. I hope that I will still be able to access the beach with my ORV. I will not vote for any state senator or representative who does not take a stand on the side of access.

Correspondence ID: 350 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Creech, Frederick W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 10:14:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: PLease keep vehicle access open on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It is one of the highlights of my year to be able to go out there and fish and visit with distant buddies. I have a small child and want her to experience the same great times that i have had and hopefully will have. I am all for closing some areas for bird nesting, however, i do not see any harm in driving below the high tide line and fishing. thank you

Correspondence ID: 351 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: hawkins, greg a
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 10:43:02
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I'm in favor of continued ORV access at the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 352 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carraway, Chris A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,06,2007 11:24:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I want to state that I am in favor of ORV access to the National Seashore. My wife and our two son's used our jeep on the South Core portion of the Cape Lookout National Park last season and made memories that will last a life time. We camped, fished, bird watched, explored, studied the history of the island complex, climbed the lighthouse three times,...etc. Basically we made full use of the park. We shot hours of video and hundreds of pictures. I have lived in this area all my life as has my wife. We both have family trees that we can trace back to some of the towns folks of Portsmouth Island. Having access to the island(s) means a lot to our families. We have both visited the islands by boat with our respective families since early childhood. As a matter of fact I started scuba diving with my father off the rock jetty at Shackleford before I started the first grade. Last summer season was one of the best summers I can remember. Exploring the beaches as a family in our jeep was what made last season so special. I am environmentally sensitive and consider myself a "Greenie" and Eco Friendly. In my oppinion there is a wonderful blend of human access and wildlife on the Cape Lookout Seashore. Last year we visited The Cape almost every weekend and I can only remember seeing a half dozen times or so that someone was doing something that was against park rules. Maybe camping in the wrong area or parked in the wrong area too long. The park employees were alway helpful with wildlife information, directions and park rules. I have read blogs from those who would argue that ORV access is bad for the island and wildlife. I have used the islands resouces many more hours than most of the "nay sayers" to ORV access and disagree wholeheartedly with them on restricting access. Most of the island complex is wild and unaffected by human access. ORV pathways make up such a small percentage of the total complex. Everytime we visited Cape Lookout I saw Senior Citizens enjoying areas that they would not have been able to access without ORV access. Thank you for the National Park Service program and the access to these preserved natural areas.
 Dr. Chris Carraway

Correspondence ID: 353 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howell, David A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 11:28:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As a frequent visitor to Cape Lookout, I am in favor of continued ORV access at all of North Carolina's National Seashore and ask that you support this in your project. I have enjoyed sharing this area with my family over the past 20 years utilizing ORVs and want the same rights extended to my children's families as well. I am witness to the fact that previous management plans supporting ORV use are successful at both ends of the spectrum, allowing people to enjoy these areas to the fullest and proecting the natural resources as well. Thank you for your time and support.
 David Howell

Correspondence ID: 354 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Gray, Dick R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 11:35:50
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I would like to see the NPS keep all beaches open to vehicle traffic. Fishing and bird watching is a great form of recreation that would not be available to many older and handicap people were they not allowed to take vehicles onto the beach. We where using vehicles long before the NPS acquired our beaches and this privilage should never be taken away. It also generates income for the local islanders. As our beaches have gotten developed there are less and less places to access our beaches. Please don't take this beach access away from those of us who can't afford a beach front home.

Correspondence ID: 355 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snead, Jerry W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 12:38:34
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Cape Hatteras is a unique and wonderful park. I cannot imagine how the public can enjoy such a wonderful place without access via off-road vehicles. I fear that the big-money, highly organized groups that oppose off-road use will convince the decision makers to simply abolish off-road access to the beaches. With wise management from NPS, I am confident that the natural resources of the park can be protected while allowing vehicular access.

Correspondence ID: 356 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: copeland, william v
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 12:41:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Much of the livelihood of the southern outer banks depends on tourism and sportsmen endeavors. If access to the beaches are restricted or disallowed ORV's, this could negatively impact both the economy of the area and offset possibly gererations of conservation minded sportsmen who support the well-being of the economy and care about the eco-system. Please do not restrict beach access.

Correspondence ID: 357 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gery, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 13:22:47
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Comments to the National Park Service By Michael E.C. Gery March 2007 The only motorized vehicles that have a right to the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS) are National Park Service (NPS) and other authorized government vehicles, emergency rescue vehicles, vehicles carrying physically disabled persons and vehicles engaged in traditional work. At no time during the formation of the CHNS or since then were any other vehicles granted permission on the beach, either by right or by law. Allowing any other motorized vehicles on the CHNS beach clearly violates the law and management principles of the National Seashore, which require this seashore to be maintained as a primitive wilderness with clearly defined recreational access. The defined recreational access has never included motorized vehicles. I have been on the CHNS beaches most days since 1989, as a surfer, a Dare County resident, an editor of a magazine about North Carolina and as an active local citizen. I also have researched the founding of the CHNS in preparation for a documentary project. I have read the management documents and other National Park Service records pertaining to this park, as well as state, local and private documents held in the Outer Banks History Center. I have interviewed National Park Service personnel and local citizens. The proliferation of off-road vehicles among driving and riding Americans in recent years has ruined parts of the CHNS beach for birds, vegetation and beachgoers who reach the beach on foot. In all my days on the beach and on the surf, I have seen no more than 10 traditional working vehicles on the beach, and most of them have been engaged in haul-netting. Besides government and rescue vehicles, I have seen on the CHNS beaches,

on both the ocean and sound sides, thousands of thrill-seeking vehicles, and thousands of vehicles carrying fishing and other recreational equipment, none of which represent traditional, local working activities. Many of them bear out-of-state registration plates. I know that most ORV operators respect the beach, but on many occasions I have seen these vehicles in areas where they are strictly prohibited by CHNS management, and I have reported these violations as often as I could. In most cases after my reporting, NPS rangers have responded, and in most cases when they responded they were unable to apprehend the violators. From the surf and from the beach since 1989, we have seen the peaceful, natural surroundings of the CHNS beaches destroyed by motorized vehicles, both law-abiding and reckless. We see fewer shorebirds, fewer seabirds, less seaweed, fewer shells and casings, fewer nesting turtles. We see more dead, discarded fish. We see new wheel ruts every day. We see discarded engine oil containers, beer bottles, spent fireworks, cigarette butts, plastic cups, tackle rigs, toys. We meet an increasing number of beachgoers ? local and otherwise ? who have been harassed by drivers of vehicles on the beach. We wonder why the National Park Service does not protect the CHNS as a primitive wilderness. Instead of adding to the artificial infrastructure of the CHNS by encouraging more ORV access, opening wilderness trails to ORVs, adding trash cans, fish-cleaning tables, portable outhouses and concession stands, the NPS should adhere to the policy of "carry-in, carry-out" that it requires in other national parks, and should educate and require users to leave the beaches and other park places as they found them. If the CHNS were managed as a primitive wilderness, the Dare County economy would benefit. For one thing, there would be more space for people on the beach. Today, families shun the CHNS because they are afraid they will get run over by vehicles. Instead of relying on users to set management practices, the National Park Service should return to its responsibility for managing the CHNS, which includes setting rules for beach access that comply with its mandate for maintaining the national park as primitive wilderness. On the Outer Banks of North Carolina, there are plenty of other beaches where vehicles are permitted. They have no right ? legally or traditionally ? within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
Michael E.C. Gery Manteo, N.C.

Correspondence ID: 358 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:09:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I would be deeply saddened if you restrict the use of vehicles on the outer banks beaches. It is with great excitement I look forward to fishing each year on those fine beaches. My sons are 5, 3, and 1 years old and I truly hope that I will be able to take those boys fishing at our national park in the next couple of years!
I understand the need to protect the endangered birds and wildlife in the park as well. I believe Mr. Murray would be remiss if he did not consider implementing a plan that allows some small beach closures in areas directly related to hatchlings or other wildlife. The plan can also allow for vehicle traffic to be routed around those closures to allow all those people such as myself who only get to use the beach areas once or twice a year in the summer. This happens to be the time that the most beach closures are in place. Closing an entire section of beach does not seem reasonable. The economy should also be considered in the plan. The economy is very seasonal and would be greatly impacted as it was last year when the south point was closed. Every tackle shop in the area felt the impact of that closure! Those are people lives that are effected.
In my opinion, people who love nature and love the outdoors are the ones that want the vehicle access. A VERY high percentage of those on the beach at any given time are there to enjoy the wildlife and are law abiding people. Please do not ruin this gem of the east coast because of a few people who want to protect a few birds...
With better enforcement (NPS patrols and closure area monitoring) we can both protect the wildlife and protect the heritage that is fishing on the outer banks.

Correspondence ID: 359 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Doswell, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:28:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I've been visiting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for 40 years & a homeowner in Frisco for the last 10 years. During this time span I've enjoyed everything that the Seashore offers. Congress made this a recreational area I would very much like to see it kept that way. Beach access by ORV is important to thousands of vacationers & the economic base for Hatteras Island are these very visitors. Thanks for your consideration. Robert Doswell

Correspondence ID: 360 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crist, Joy E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:30:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep the beach open to ORVs, particularly keep the extended stretches of beaches open in the winter months when locals and frequent visitors enjoy them. I believe the current ORV accesses (ramps, restricted areas as need be, and certain areas open or closed seasonally) that we have now are absolutely fine- let's just put our current system of closures and accesses in writing.
If this is not a viable solution, perhaps a ORV permit may help? People would have to register and pay for a permit, which might reduce the number of vehicles on the beach, if this is your primary concern.
As a response to recent articles and editorials I have seen about the damage caused by irresponsible ORV drivers, I would like to say from first hand experience that this is exaggerated at best, and more accurately, completely untrue. I drive along the beach in Buxton and Frisco about 5 times a week, easily, just for shelling and picking up trash. I consider myself an animal rights activist, as I'm a vegetarian and contribute regularly to animal rights groups, specifically the ASPCA. I respect all closures, slow down around pedestrians, never exceed the 20 or 25 mph speed limit (and frankly think that would be very hard to do) and act as responsibly and respectfully to the beach environment as I possibly can. And I am certainly not the only one.
In my 8 years of driving along the beach on an almost daily basis, I have seen less than 5 incidences of what I would consider irresponsible driving, and I believe that on every occasion someone who knew the rules went out of their way to talk and explain them to the offender. (without violence or fighting, of course.)
I think the avid beach drivers here, who care about continued access the most, are responsible, care about the island, respect you for all your hard work(I certainly do) and should be recognized and not punished in favor of the extremely vocal voices of others who may not even live here or visit on a regular basis.
Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to put in my two cents.
Joy Crist Avon

Correspondence ID: 361 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rehnlund, Robert D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:30:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I'm a responsible person who abides by all the rules, regulations, and laws that govern fishing and driving on the beach. I respect nature and take care of how and where I drive. Surf fishing is a wonderful sport that many have enjoyed for years. Please don't take away this privilege of driving on the beach. Many of us will no longer come to the coast and contribute to the local economy if this is taking away.

Correspondence ID: 362 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Priddy, Jon A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:34:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: By closing the beach to ORV the NPS would be eliminating one of Eastern North Carolina's most loved traditions. Generations of families have enjoyed access to the Hatteras Outer Banks National seashore by the use of their ORV. Fisherman especially have annually trekked to the waters edge of Hatteras in hopes of catching one of the fish its so famous for. Without the ability to load up an ORV with all the equipment and essentials needed it would not be possible to maintain the same access. I personality come twice a year bringing with me 15 of the best friends and liars I know. We stay a week and most days arrive at the beach before sun up and leave well past sunset. We do this because our fathers did. For us fishing at Hatteras is not for fun. Its to quench our obsession that haunts us twelve months a year. Also we're nothing special, everyone that makes the pilgrimage to hatteras is a little obsessed. Why else would someone get up before dawn to go stand in the wind, cold, and punishing sands. If you are considering closing the beach to ORV because you figure "folks will still come and just figure out something else" you are wrong. You will be making the decision to put an end to one of the things Hatteras beach is most famous for, the fisherman and their pursuit.
Jon Priddy

Correspondence ID: 363 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hively, Larry A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:46:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have visited Cape Hatteras/Okracoke for two weeks during Nov-April for the past three years and probably will for the rest of my life. I have met kind people willing to share their knowledge and they have made my trips more enjoyable. I rent a house during my time in the OBX and frequent the local shops while I am there. Surf fishing is part of the heritage of the people of this area and they have a great respect for the natural environment. Is there really a reason to change an established system that allows everyone to utilize the natural resources of the area as their ancestors have for generations?

Correspondence ID: 364 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Breck L
Outside Organization: NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 14:58:45
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To whom it may concern: I visit Cape Hatteras 3-4 times per year.I would like the following to be considered.I would like to see some air fill stations along Hwy 12 from Avon to the South Point.When we visit the park the only way for us to transport all or fishing gear is by ORV access.When we come off the beach,we have to drive a few miles to the nearest service station to get air in our tires.Those would be nice to have some put at some of the ramps.Thank You

Correspondence ID: 365 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Prillaman, Warren D
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 15:00:13
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have fished in saltwater for 47 years. In the early 60's I mated on a boat out of Miami. I have seen a lot of changes in that time. But none on the scale of what is and has already happened on the east coast due to the environmentalists and other organizations putting in their opinion without any scientific data to back their assumptions. And the real tragedy is, that the professionals in charge and with the scientific data to support comprehensive management have been ignored in favor of the politions and magement of our National Parks at the expense of the people who use them. Meaning me. I'm an old man who has seen it all. Sometimes twice. And I know I won't be around to help carry the fight for public and free access a whole lot longer, a lot off you folks will. And also ask Supt. Murray if he likes to fish. If the ecos have their way, they can't keep him from driving on the beach, but if he stops to fish, then he would have to place himself under arrest. The whole beach closure isn't about the birds. It's about getting EVERYONE off the beaches so the McMANSIONS will have a private party place. The birds have clearly shown that they can't survive on the Outer Banks. Nature has clearly shown that the dunes aren't permanent. And at any given time the right hurricane will re-arrange the banks as it has done since they were formed. WHAT DO I WANT TO SEE? Simply this. Access to the beach in my truck, that I can't walk across the dunes to. A sound fisheries management plan put in place and run by experts, which I might add, doesn't seem the be the NPS. I haven't given a whole lot of thoughts to more ramps, dumpsters or cleaning stations. I would like to see more restroom facilities and handicap ramps and access. Isn't one of the governments prime directives access for all handicapped. persons? It certainly seems so when I submit a set of prints to the building department. In closing, I mainly would like to see some common sense used in the management of LAND, WE, THE PEOPLE OWN. Not some misbeguided bunch of clock punchers that can't manage their own affairs. Respectfully submitted by. W.D. Prillaman.

Correspondence ID: 366 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: eppard, elwood n
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 15:16:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep my beach open to orv.s.dad started taking me to hatteras in 1962.i lived there while i was stationed at the old oregon inlet coast guard station for 6 years.it is a way of life for me and my family and many friends.i spend about 4 months a year there now fishing and using our beach.s,i also spend alot of money with the local businesses there.so please help us in our fight to maitain an open beach.all of my friends and family observe all beach driving regulations and i cant say the same for the bird watchers.they are doing more harm to the birds than us fisherman.thank you for your time.
Elwood N. Eppard

Correspondence ID: 367 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: joyce, james s
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 15:31:13
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am a 65 year old fisherman who,along with 5 fishing buddies have been surf fishing at Portsmouth Island for 30 years.We have always been very conscientious stewards of the beaches and are very careful to obey speed and running area rules.Wildlife is very important to us and we are very careful to pay attention to turtle hatching areas and other wildlife such as Seabirds and Phesants. If ORV access is denied to the general public then a vast number of folks who first took an interest in the beaches will be denied access to parts of the area that provide the best fishing. I am not naive to the point of claiming everyone who uses the beach is as conscientious as we are,but in all my years down there I believe most surf fishermen are extremely

0000460

in tune with conservation issues and will do anything to abide by the rules. I realize that times change and there are people who would keep the beaches pristine to the point of not using them at all. Can't we compromise and at least please as many people as we can. Bluntly put there is no way we can walk to the good fishing holes on the north of the island and to deny access would be terrible as we look forward to this two week trip every Fall. Steve Joyce Mooresville N.C.

Correspondence ID: 368 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holland, Tony E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 15:43:08
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As a Native North Carolinian and avid fisherman, I am absolutely opposed to more closures of our beaches to ORV use in NC. I am also opposed to partial closures and requiring more permits for access. Educating people on responsible ways to use the beaches and enforcement of the guidelines already in the book have never been implemented to their potential it seems to me. I see it on the beaches in my area as well as the Outerbanks. People leave their trash, walk in the dunes, harass wildlife, and this is in the public beach areas with no ORV access. I want to see access remain for everyone. Tony E. Holland Wilmington, N.C.

Correspondence ID: 369 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: beeson, ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 16:22:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: i am in favor of continued orv access to the beaches. for many of us , this is the only way we can enjoy ocean front property. i think more consideration should be given to the effects of the boom in building along the islands. i can't see how orv use is any more destructive than the minuses that come with development or in most cases over development. thank you for letting me put in my 2 cents worth on this issue.

Correspondence ID: 370 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Semanchuk, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 16:26:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am asking the National Park Service to protect beach-nesting birds from disturbances by people and vehicles. I would like for you to:
1. Develop a *bird* management plan to protect the habitats where birds are nesting as well as provide proven and science-based buffer distances to prevent disturbances. Protection of the foraging habitats that are required by Piping Plover and American Oystercatcher adults and chicks during the nesting season should be included in this plan. The plan should be science-based management and follow guidelines and recommendations put forth in published research and conservation plans.
2. Develop a plan for the management of ORV's that will not only accommodate the bird management plan but that will also allow for reasonable recreational use of the Seashore. I am not asking for a ban on vehicles. I am asking for a plan that will allow birds, people and vehicles to safely coexist at Cape Hatteras. Appropriate, proven and science-based protection measures can be implemented to protect nesting birds and allow for the use of the Seashore by people and vehicles.
Thank you for your time and effort in this matter.

Correspondence ID: 371 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Seth J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 16:38:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am whole-heartedly in favor of continued ORV access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Vehicle access to the beach is truly a unique treasure of our state and nation, and one that should be open for everyone to enjoy. I have no doubt that ORVs change the landscape of the Seashore, as do hurricanes. I hope we can continue to enjoy ORVs on the Seashore while there is still a Seashore to enjoy.

Correspondence ID: 372 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: everette, cecil
Outside Organization: DIFF Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 18:46:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: The National Parks are for EVERYONE. Not just land owners. They were meant to be open to everyone ,including fishermen. Just because we drive on the beach doesn't make us bad people. We drive where we are allowed. Developers and individuals build homes anywhere and think they are above everyone else.

Correspondence ID: 373 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Browder III, Bannister
Outside Organization: Surf Fisherman Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 19:05:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please stop unreasonable beach closures for ORV traffic just to supposedly save a few piping plovers. We need to be able to get on the beaches and off the beaches without disturbing existing wildlife, but the wildlife should not keep us off the beaches! Bird watchers are not going to spend nearly as much money in our state as the fishermen do! We need more walk-over ramps and fish cleaning stations so that the non-Orv visitors can enjoy our natural resources even more! I'm sure this is a wasted effort at trying to get my single voice heard, but I just couldn't resist the temptation!!
Concerned, B.R. Browder III

Correspondence ID: 374 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Moody, Arthur J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 19:11:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear NPS, U.S. Department of the Interior,
Being a native North Carolinian, my family and I enjoy the relaxation and fun we share together fishing the barrier islands of NC. Our first trip to the CHNSRA was 1986 and we have made numerous trips there ever since. We have enjoyed our fishing trips together and fishing is my only hobby/sport that I enjoy like no other.

I don't get to come and fish as much as I would honestly like to but of the items I view most important to the barrier islands is to have fishing access not denied to us. I am also aware of the nesting shore birds/turtles issue that has been ongoing and feel that there can be a compromise reached by all who wish to go there.

Lastly, many businesses feel like they would be put in jeopardy by the reduction of fishing access if enforced too much.

On behalf of my family, your upmost concern pertaining to this matter is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Arthur J. Moody
YoLonda B. Moody
336-622-1995

Correspondence ID: 375 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dillard, Robert K

Outside Organization: O.B.P.A. Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,06,2007 19:49:23

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have been visiting Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area for the past seven years. I am aware of the issues regarding threatened species and am also aware that this park was established for human recreation. As such I believe that a more reasonable set of rules regarding access to the seashore should be adopted for the future. More ramps with more public amenities are required along with stations for the education of visitors to promote the proper use of the park are also needed. More ramps would mean increased access to beaches that are lost due to safety and wildlife closures. Closures should be smaller and removed in a more timely manner. The unnatural ponds should be drained and kept in a more natural state for the health of all visitors and residents. All park land should be available to ORV use as it was originally. Concessions made in the past should be reevaluated as they may no longer be relevant.

CHNSRA was founded for the enjoyment of all citizens. It was not intended to be used solely as a wildlife preserve. I believe that future management plans should hold to this and be as amenable to the visitors, ORV users and residents as possible. Conservation of wildlife is also important to me, but I believe that it is being used as a tool to cheat us of a very important part of our history, culture and a much needed place to build memories with our families and loved ones.

Thank you for your time. Ken Dillard

Correspondence ID: 376 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: MILLIGAN, CARL D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,06,2007 20:16:23

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I AM IN FAVOR FOR CONTINUED ORV ACCESS TO THE SEASHORE.THERE ARE NOT MANY PLACES TO GET OUT AND ENJOY THE NATURE LIKE THE WAY YOU CAN ON THE OUTER BANKS.WITHOUT ORV USE IT WOULD BE VERY HARD.MY WIFE, MY SON,AND MYSELF LOVE TO VISIT AS OFTEN AS POSSIBLE AND IT WOULD BREAK OUR HEARTS TO SEE ORV USE BAND FROM THE SEASHORE....PLEASSSSS DONT BREAK OUR HEARTS

Correspondence ID: 377 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Burns, Robert C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 05:48:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My daughter is two years old and I would love for her to be able to experience the same outer banks I have. This includes being able to drive on the beach. I was a junior curator at the N.C. museum of Natural Science in Raleigh and participated in research on Loggerheads on Bald Head, and even Cape Fear shiner up in Chatham and Lee counties. I'm well versed on the impacts and lack off that driving on a beach can cause. What I don't want to see is a knee jerk reaction and removal of a privilege that has brought lots of enjoyment in my life and prevent my kids from experiencing this. Not everyone will be happy with any decision moving forward from here, But, at the same time I think it is just as important for people to be able to access the beaches for what ever reason they enjoy it. I'll compare the issue to something I face constantly. I surf and I surf fish. Both groups contain subgroups who have dislike for the other because of the common turf with conflict of usage. What I've seen though is that generally speaking neither surfers or fisherman have tried to politically abolish the other ones rights and privileges. Instead most have learned to share and respect the resource and come to an understanding of respect and a common goal, preserve the beaches and oceans. I say all this hoping it will be read and considered. There are many more voices on both sides I'm sure and good luck with the tough decisions being made.

Correspondence ID: 378 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Crisman, George B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 05:52:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As a long time visitor (first time to Hatteras was 1956)and now property owner on the Outer Banks I have always enjoyed the ability to use my 4wheel drive vehicle on the beaches. My family has enjoyed surf fishing and swimming from Oregon Inlet to Ocracoke. One of my daughters was an intern with the NPS on Ocracoke doing loggerhead turtle studies. My son and his family lives at Manteo. My wish list is to: 1) Minimize or eliminate beach closures except for emergency 2) Maintain the beach access ramps to a standard 3) Have centralized access points with trash drops and air compressors with appropriate fees if needed. 4) Listen to the "locals" when it comes to policy, not the developers. These families have been on the Outer Banks for many generations and they are the real stewards of the beaches 5) Encourage a partnership with the local orv and fishing organizations, they can and will help maintain the beaches out of a sense of pride.

Thanks for listening.

Correspondence ID: 379 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 06:49:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: We spend all of our vacation time at the Outer Banks and find it very disturbing that our access is restricted when we spend \$1500 in a week to do what we really enjoy doing. We cannot eat birds and turtles. We only keep the fish we intend to eat and the rest are returned for others to enjoy catching. Keep the beaches open for the recreation of long time visitors and the next generation. Help keep our kids off the streets by providing them entertainment that we can pass on to them.

Correspondence ID: 380 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Stines, C. Kenneth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 06:54:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been traveling to OBX twice a year to surf fish my entire life of 37 years. its great to live in a state where one can enjoy both the mnts and the sea. We travel down to the sands and drive on the beach in a manner not to harm the enviornment and enjoy what it has to offer, sportman of NC are the ones who have preservred our parks and paid for the upkeep and keep pressure on the powers that be to purchase more land and resources. Sportman are the last ones that want to see these rescorces abused. I believe we should be able to continue to drive on the beach. It typicall of everything a few people who abuse our rights take it away from all. Its time the Park services stepd up hires more rangers to take care of those who abuse our resources. Taking the rescources away from those who love it the most is not the way to accomplish this. You say that park services cannot aford this, well i have a solution to that as well. The sportman of this state have been taking care of our resorces the entire time of there existance and where in most cases the reson the land was purchased. we have beeb the only one who pay extra to to use the resources by extra means that his the purchase of liscenece. Which over time has been used to supplement our broke education system. Now that the state and other have the lottery with the maj. of that money going into education its time to get the sportmans money back into taking care of the resource it was intended to protect. Its also time to start charging others who use our parks for other things tahn fishing and hunting. Hunters get to (in the Mnts) deer hunt for three weeks and have to pay a fee but hickers, campers, bicyclers, bird watchers etc. get the whole year iof the resource while the sportman pays every ones way. Its time to change to every one having to by a use liscence if you entire our parks for any reson you pay a yearly liscence fee same as the sportmans lisece its time for them to pay there way and help keep the resurces up untill now was the job of the sportman,. I one has to do is drive to sali on lake fontana on a saturday and try to find a place to put your boat in at the lower boat ramp. The parking lot, the edge of the road and any patch of grass is taken by mountain bikers. Mant the day have been with no parking avialable, so much so we did get a new very nice boat ramp. So what happened is the sportman now has built two boat ramps with our money while one is taken over by mtn bikers free to them. Its time for all to help pay there way. Let us keep our beqaches continue to allow us to drive on the beach, patrol, and take care of those who abuse dont punish those who protect, and do these with the fees have all who use our resources its time for some others to help carry the load.

Correspondence ID: 381 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Farmer, Dana T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 06:59:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Sirs,

I would like to submit my comments on the upcoming ORV Plan for Cape Hatteras National Recreation Area. I am a lifelong resident of North Carolina and have been fishing the beaches of NC for the past 20+ years. My family and I make multiple trips to Cape Hatteras each year. We lease vacation homes, eat in the local restaurants and spend our money in local shops. A considerable amount of our disposable income is spent vacationing on Hatteras Island. I fully support ORV access to the Recreation Area. I would like to see more miles of beach open to ORV use, more ramps, public restrooms, dumpsters and fish cleaning stations. I would also like to see stations for airing up ORV tires at the access ramps. I believe that responsible use of the Cape Hatteras National Recreation Area should be allowed and managed in a responsible manner by the NPS. Sincerely,
Dana Thomas Farmer 113 Briercrest drive Wilmington, NC 28405 910-392-4046 dfarmer@ec.rr.com

Correspondence ID: 382 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Norket, Jay S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 07:21:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Please note that I am in favor of continued ORV use at the state's seashores. For many years now, since the 60's, our men's church group has enjoyed fishing the seashores of North and South Carolina. The use of ORV's has been a crucial part of our access to otherwise unaccessible areas. I testify also that it's been our experience over the years, that our group as well as other ORV fishermen have been very conscientious about maintaining the areas we have assed, including not only observing posted areas of dunes and wildlife habitats, but also cleaning up behind ourselves and picking up any other unnatural debries. Once again, I assure you, the vast majority of ORV fishermen and sightseers are not unlike ourselves. Many a young man has been introduced to the wonders of the great outdoors and it majestic beauty by the use of ORV's. Please, don't take this means of access away from us and others who have a deep love of these prisine coastal areas. Thank you. Jay S. Norket

Correspondence ID: 383 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Birkett, Dylan P
Outside Organization: Cape Lookout Mobile Sportfishermen Recreational Groups
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I support continued and unrestricted ORV access at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 384 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: UMS Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 08:37:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I support responsible access by ORVs to the beach. I support expanding access rather than decreasing it. If nesting piping plover is a real concern then predation control should be the most important element of the Park's plan. The Park should incorporate the recommendations of the study "Impacts of Feral and Free-Ranging Cats on Bird Species of Conservation Concern" into their plan.

Correspondence ID: 385 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Trotter, Thomas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 08:52:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

To whom it may concern, I am writing in favor of keeping the CHNSS open to ORV use. The money for the local economy, the way of life, the concern fishermen have for wildlife, and the restrictions already in place are all good reasons for keeping what little ORV access we have open. I could go on forever about these reasons but I'm sure you have heard them in great detail and elaboration. I will say that I am a voter and my ballot will be filled out according to how poloticians feel on this issue. I can't afford a house on my coast. I am a NC native, so is my dad, and so is his. If I was a millionaire, I could afford a coastal property like all the rich people. But I deserve the right to fish the beach. Unlike many coastal property owners, I pay NC taxes year round. Fishermen are being constricted and enough is enough. If I loose anymore access, I will probably stop comming to the CHNSS. The North

0000463

Carolina coast should be enjoyed by anyone that wants to do so in a responsible manner, not just those that are fortunate enough to make the millions it takes to own coastal property. I am begging you not to make the mistake of snubbing out the little man to satisfy the agenda of so few. My dad fishes also. He fought in Vietnam and has the purple hearts to prove it. I think he should be allowed his access as well. In his old age, he can't just walk out onto the beach and fish. He needs the ORV access to do what he loves. Please don't take access away from a man that has served his country so bravely. In the future, I would like to see closed areas re-opened to ORV use. Places like Pea island for example were once opened to ORVs. It is my strong opinion that ORVs need more access and would like these areas to be reopened as soon as possible. I could go on forever about this as well but I'll spare you.

Thankyou for your time, Thomas Ward Trotter Jr.

PS I AM A VOTER AND THIS IS MY BIGGEST CONCERN!

Correspondence ID: 386 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Trotter, Thomas

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 08:54:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: To whom it may concern, I am writing in favor of keeping the CHNSS open to ORV use. The money for the local economy, the way of life, the concern fishermen have for wildlife, and the restrictions already in place are all good reasons for keeping what little ORV access we have open. I could go on forever about these reasons but I'm sure you have heard them in great detail and elaboration. I will say that I am a voter and my ballot will be filled out according to how politicians feel on this issue. I can't afford a house on my coast. I am a NC native, so is my dad, and so is his. If I was a millionaire, I could afford a coastal property like all the rich people. But I deserve the right to fish the beach. Unlike many coastal property owners, I pay NC taxes year round. Fishermen are being constricted and enough is enough. If I lose anymore access, I will probably stop coming to the CHNSS. The North Carolina coast should be enjoyed by anyone that wants to do so in a responsible manner, not just those that are fortunate enough to make the millions it takes to own coastal property. I am begging you not to make the mistake of snubbing out the little man to satisfy the agenda of so few. My dad fishes also. He fought in Vietnam and has the purple hearts to prove it. I think he should be allowed his access as well. In his old age, he can't just walk out onto the beach and fish. He needs the ORV access to do what he loves. Please don't take access away from a man that has served his country so bravely. In the future, I would like to see closed areas re-opened to ORV use. Places like Pea island for example were once opened to ORVs. It is my strong opinion that ORVs need more access and would like these areas to be reopened as soon as possible. I could go on forever about this as well but I'll spare you.

Thankyou for your time, Thomas Ward Trotter Jr.

PS I AM A VOTER AND THIS IS MY BIGGEST CONCERN!

Correspondence ID: 387 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mack, Gene

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 09:02:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My wife and I use CHNS 4 to 5 times per year. We have been coming down for 11 years. We spend into the local economy \$300. to \$1500. per trip depending on how long we stay and where we rent/camp. If I lose OVR use I will not be back. If my access is limited any more than it has already been, I will not be back. My wife and grandchildren play on the beach, build sandcastles, hunt sea shell, fly kites, etc. I fish. Typically the best fishing is at the point or on the inlets. I would suggest the following:

1. Spend more money on enforcing the current rules and less on sending personnel to study the plovers.
2. Relocate all turtle nest to a section of beach where driving is not permitted.
3. Quit trying to play God. Species have come and gone through out the times without mankind's intervention. It's called Nature. Why do some of the bird lovers think that a fox/raccoon/gull has any less right to exist than a plover? I wonder if a captive breeding program on the plovers would not be more cost effective than the current program of endless hours of observation, roping off of breeding areas, vehicular escorts to the point, report writing, etc, etc. And for what, to fledge 3 or 4 birds that are successfully breeding to the north of us.
4. More paved parking areas on highway 12, some with toilet facilities.
5. Re-open access roads as quickly as possible after a weather related closure.
6. Many more miles of beach opened to OVR use.
7. I have heard rumors of a weight limit proposed for ORVs. Many people have spent over \$20,000.00 for truck campers to use on the beach. A 3/4 or 1 ton, 4x4 pick up with a full size truck camper can exceed 10,000 pounds. Where is the evidence that a 10,000 lb. vehicle does more "damage" (?) than a 6,000 lb vehicle does? Please do not put a rule into effect which would stop these families from using their campers while on the beach.
8. I would be willing to pay a user fee for the privilege to drive on the beach. Perhaps a \$50.00 annual permit. These funds could only be used for beach traffic laws enforcement and new ramps on either end of newly opened to OVR use sections of the beach. I am willing to pay for what I use. Let others do the same.
9. Rules must exist to regulate a public, especially when you mix a fragile environment, hundreds of pedestrians, and dozens of vehicles into the same, sometimes narrow corridors. Unfortunately some people respond only to their wallets. Strictly enforce current rules. Write tickets.

Thank you, Gene Mack

Correspondence ID: 388 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cox, Bart

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 10:43:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I would love to see the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore kept open for vehicular access. It is how it was intended to be used when first protected. Me, my children, as well as many, many others enjoy the various locations provided by law, for recreating with family and friends. Whether it be fishing, swimming, sunbathing, birdwatching or many of the other possible activities that can be performed while at the wonderful recreation area, my family feels it would be a big mistake, and a huge loss, for all of America, to close the area for vehicular access. Thank you.
Sincerely, Bart Cox and Family

Correspondence ID: 389 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Carr, Tom

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 11:55:31

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As both an avid fisherman and outdoorsman, I oppose any plans to limit or close entirely the beaches of the Outer Banks. My family and I have been going to the Outer Banks for a little over 20 years and beach driving is a major reason for our consistent return. My wife enjoys bird watching and strolling the beach with the kids while I enjoy fishing. I have vacationed in other NC beach locations that do not have ORV access and it's not quite the same. The idea of being limited to a parking lot or public pedestrian dune crossing is both saddening and sickening. I can appreciate the concern of environmental groups but I cannot agree with all that they claim. Yes, there are a few bad apples in every group and I'm sure that some recklessness does occur on the beach but the majority of ORV owners are respectful of the environment and the privilege to drive on the beach. We cannot allow the stereotyping of a larger group based on a few disrespectful and inconsiderate individuals. Taking away or limiting beach access only goes to serve the agenda of the individuals or groups opposing the current access. Such restrictions will have a severe negative impact on the local economy around Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I myself will reconsider my vacation destination in the future. Please don't allow the proposed changes to become policy!

Sincerely, Tom Carr

Correspondence ID: 390 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomas, Jebson C
Outside Organization: None Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 12:20:38
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Cape Hatteras has been a vacation spot for my family since I was born over 28 years ago. We are ORV users at Cape Hatteras National Sea Shore and RECREATION AREA. This park was created as a Recreation Area. By limiting access, which you have already done, you are taking away a communities life blood. Cape Hatteras is not like many other National Parks in this great country. Most National Parks have be created and communties have come to them. CHNS was created around an existing community that has thrived on the Outer Banks for years. A community that survives now by tourist who come to CHNS, like ORV users like myself. Over the last 20 years I have seen access to our beaches decrease. Closures are everywhere, and it looks like more closures to come. It seems the decision making powers fear the other side because of the threat of law suits. I disagree with anymore closures and I am not happy with the existing closures. If we are negotiating closures I want the existing closures open to access. All the Northern Beaches that are closed, the areas around Cape Point, and all the Southern Beaches. You are dealing with a side that will not be happy until there is no access. At what point does your organization stand up to the group that wants our beaches closed to ORV access and say, we have given you enough. If you keep limiting access to our beaches it will be known that your organization is bias and has the same agenda as the other side. As you know that would be illegal. I trust that your organization will make fair decisions and keep access to our beaches for ORV users.

Correspondence ID: 391 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fenning, John M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 12:30:52
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Hello, 3/7/07
I have been enjoying Cape Hatteras Nation Seashore Recreation area for almost 10 years now. Beautiful place, relaxing and hard to leave once there. I have noticed a marked increase in use, both by pedestrians and vehicles. It has come to my attention that there is a process that is going to set the park rules for beach use. I would like to add some comments for review and possible use in this process. I am a fisherperson, conservationist, and North Carolina resident.

I recommend going back to square one when making the new rules for park use. Do not start with what the area has become and go from there. When considering what beach areas will be available for pedestrian and/or vehicle use please consider the whole island. Pea Island is part of Hatteras Island and should not be immediately exempted from these proceedings. Please also consider the beaches in front of the towns open for discussion. This process will be deciding the future of our entire Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational area, not just the small part that is still accessible to the general public.

Pea Island. This area has been pedestrian access only with no night access (except with special permit) for as long as I have been enjoying the park. I have never seen this part of the beach due to this lack of access. It is difficult for an older individual to carry the necessary supplies over the dunes and onto the beach. When my wife and friends join us, it is impossible for us to access this area. It would be nice to have parts of this area be considered for vehicle access so all would be able to enjoy.

There is no access, year round, to the beaches in the villages. Again, walk over only which puts anyone with more than a beach chair at a disadvantage. These areas have turned into private stretches of beach available only to those with enough money to afford the expensive homes there. We can not afford those and support the Island by renting from the more inexpensive motels and hotels. Please consider these as part of the entire park when considering 'beach miles' or beach area.

Closed areas due to nesting turtles and birds. I recognize and appreciate these areas set aside for animals to use the park also. In the past few years the Park Service had not done a very good job of opening areas after eggs hatched or birds fledged. Last year the park service did a very good job of informing the public about closures and excellent about opening them once the young left. I would like to commend your park manager for this. Some areas of the park are used more heavily than others. Please take this into consideration while forming the plan. Cape Point is one area that attracts many people and is heavily used while birds are nesting. The fact alone that birds would even consider this area to nest while this traffic is there lets us know that they are not affected by having people nearby.

Thank you for considering my comments.

John Fenning 3071 Willow Creek Drive Wake Forest, NC 27587 919-297-1224

Correspondence ID: 392 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: krisukas, judith a
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 13:38:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

My family has been visiting Cape Hatteras at least once yearly for over 20 years now, forsaking all other vacation meccas we could choose in order to enjoy the beach & fish at CHNSRA. With each passing year, the beach access seems to be more and more of an issue. We visit the area in order to surf fish, and in order to get to the surf, we use ORVs. I am very distressed at the prospect of yet again losing ORV access to the area. It would seem that access has been so very restricted, more every year and I, for one, in fact, would like to see more open access restored. It was suggested to me that I try to keep emotions out of a response, and offer only my answer for a doable access plan, but I am not a planning commissioner, or a transportation authority, and I won't presume to tell you how to go about this. However, since the area is a National Park & Recreation Area shouldn't the people of the nation be able to reach it? Was that not why the park was established -- for all to enjoy? I am not against protecting bird or turtle species, but think that a more workable approach might be considered in order to include human species. We love the area and all it has to offer. Some of our most memorable life moments were spent here--for one, my daughter, now 21, took her first steps at the beach on the "Point". A recent Audubon article titled "Beach Bums" painted a very disparaging broad stroke picture of ORV's, so let me also add, that we are not said "bums" nor have I ever seen any "bums" there. Rather, most of us, my family included, act as sentinels of the beach when there, driving responsibly, respecting laws on and off the beach & patrolling the area for litter when need be. I took extreme offense to this article and its insulting attitude. And I salute the NPS in its efforts to resolve this access issue & attempt to restore calm. Can't we all try to live together in harmony? When we visit, we support all the local businesses, especially the privately owned small businesses, some of whom have become dear friends, and we spend a good deal of money while we are there (no less than \$5,000 per trip, after all, its our vacation & we aim to enjoy it). It goes without saying that many local businesses would suffer and the economy of the entire area could be adversely affected by denying ORV access to the beach areas. I do not want to have to find another favorite vacation spot--but if beach access is not made more available, I'm afraid I will have to. On a final note, I apologize, I can not keep my emotions out of this--it is a cause about which I am very passionate. I beseech you to consider the "people" like me for whom this park should be available, more-so, instead of less-so. Let's keep this park open to the public as decreed so that my children and future generations to come can visit it and delight in its wonderful splendor.

Correspondence ID: 393 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hire, Melinda C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 13:56:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Please keep allowing ORV on our beaches. If there is a problem with dune damage, increase patrols. Don't let a few people ruin it for everyone else.

Correspondence ID: 394 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bieler, Daniel J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 15:08:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Closing the beaches to ORV is a bad idea! We clean more trash up and at times we could be the first line of defense if there is a problem because we have access to areas walkons dont have...Keep the beaches open!!!!

Correspondence ID: 395 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sparks, Casey W
Outside Organization: DIFF Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 15:40:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Being able to drive on the beaches at Hatteras and other seashores in North and South Carolina is very important to the local economy in those surrounding towns during the off-season when all the summertime tourists leave. Most of us who drive out to Hatteras to fish can't afford to buy beach houses. Renting a house and driving out on the beach to fish "The Point" is a family vacation for a lot of folks. I am 36 years old and when I see the older retired fishermen/women driving on the beach at Hatteras or Portsmouth or Cape Lookout I always see myself doing that when I am retired. How are we supposed to teach our children to take of our national parks/beaches if you deny ORV access to it? I was in the Air Force from 1989-1994 and I have seen plenty of beaches in the United States and Overseas. North Carolina has the best by far because we are able to drive on them. Thank you very much for reading my comments.
Casey Sparks

Correspondence ID: 396 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Venable, Donald w
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 15:54:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been coming to Hatteras for 20 yrs, at least 4 times per yr. The main reason I come to the island is to fish from the beach and to excersise my rights as an American citizen to take advantage this beautiful and awe inspiring place. I live to be in that place and one day I will live there. If these restrictions are put into force and people are not allowed to drive their vehicles onto the beaches or even walk there, there will be severe economic consequences to the good people of the Island. They need us there to live just like I live to be there. I have alot of good friends that live and work there that will most likely lose their jobs and homes if the bird people get their way. I understnd that as humans we must coexist with nature and I am all in favor of saving and preserving wildlife. I feel that restrictions that have been put in place are more than fair, even though we have lost much of our beach to "tempoary closings" that have turned into permanent closures. The birds have plenty of room! Please see fit to keep my beach open for ORV's so that my way of life, the way of life for thousands of my fellow surf fisherman and the well being of the people who live and work there have a place to recreate and live.
Thank you Wayne Venable

Correspondence ID: 397 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Densieski, Kenneth R
Outside Organization: East End Surf Fishing Club and United Mobile Sportfishermen Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 16:28:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I would like to see "responsible and legal" ORV use continued as I feel fishermen, beach users (especially children, the elderly, handicapped, and disabled), and other user groups would suffer if this privledge were taken away.

Correspondence ID: 398 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Oelschlegel, Betty Jane
Outside Organization: Ocracoke Civic and Business Association Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 17:17:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: 3/7/07 To whom it may concern,
As I read the material pertaining to this plan revision, I had a sense of having a life line cut by being denied access to the beach. Just as a break in Route #12, has everything to do with our livelihood, so does the question of access to the beach. Besides the quaint village atmosphere, the beach is the reason for our visitor's obsession with Ocracoke. Access to the beach is a way of life for the local Ocracokers. How can these traditions not have an effect on the Park Service decision? How can the loss of this access not have a financial effect on this community? Your plan will alter our livelihoods, our property values, and the growth of our community. Who will the Park be serving when the people cease making the long trek down the Banks to our little island? Our life on the island is hard enough; we are subject to the economy, the weather and the fragility of the thread of Route #12, running down the Outer Banks. Why does it feel like an even tighter strangle hold on the life blood of the island?
I question the direct effect of human beach use, and the drop in the wildlife numbers. Can there be any other causitive factors in the global environment that would effect the numbers? Could the pollution in the ocean and the air have as much significance as the traffic on the beach? I wonder if it's easier to attack the smaller scaled items rather than tackle the massive consequences of our corporate economy.
I hope to see that a compromise can be arrived at which would incorporate the concerns of the public on which the after effects of your plan will fall. It would warm my heart to believe that the public comment period was something more than a token gesture.

Correspondence ID: 399 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: taylor, brent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 17:21:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am for keeping the national seashore open to motor access. Not having access will not only hurt local economies, but will render this natural wonder inaccessible for our and future generations.
Thank You, Brent Taylor

Correspondence ID: 400 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 17:23:10

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: One of the main reasons to visit the outer banks is to drive on the beach and have direct access to the great fishing areas on the ocean and sound. Over the years these privileges have been eroding at an alarming rate. Where we were once allowed to drive out and launch boats in the sound, or access great surf fishing spots have been closed to the public usually because the piping plover was spotted landing nearby so no more access to the public. This is stupid... Abuse is another issue and yes there are a few, however by and large everyone who routinely visits and loves the outer banks also acts as private police and reports these idiots like they were defending their own back yard. This place will be destroyed if vehical access is no longer allowed. It is not fair to the local property owners and people who have adjusted their lives to routinely visit the OBX. I have lived on Hatteras Island and can tell you the beach is constantly changing. Everytime a storm rolls through everything changes again. I visit several times a year and have never seen the same thing twice with respect to beach real estate. Driving on the beach is not harming the beach in any way.

Correspondence ID: 401 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Osborne, Blaine

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 18:23:58

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who appreciates and utilizes beach access for a variety of recreation when visiting the Outer Banks, I would like for access to improve, ramps to better maintained, (Especially concerning drainage and being well marked). It would also be good if some of the historical access points of the past could be reopened. 24 hour access is important to those who fish, or enjoy seeing twilight from the beach, or astronomy in one of the few places left with very little light pollution, and allows more stars to be seen that though possible on clear, moonless nights. Some of the camping areas need to be improved as well, drainage being particularly poor around the light house area, in recent years. I understand from time to time closures are needed to protect wildlife, but I would like to see both the frequency and areas affected by this minimized to be both low impact economically and literally. Also, if the animals are being protected by zones, (Closures), during nesting season, why not protect them from intrusion by unauthorized observers, to give them the best possible chance of success at rearing their young?
Best Regards,
Blaine Osborne

Correspondence ID: 402 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Bello, John J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 18:39:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My first trip to the outer banks was in 1971. A bunch of guys that I worked with at C&P Telephone camped in the National Park Service Campground in Buxton. One of the guys had a 4wheel drive vehicle and he shuttled the rest of the group to and from "The Point." From that time on I have been hooked by the raw beauty, nature, and solitude of the Outer Banks. In 1974, I purchased my first 4 wheel drive vehicle specifically for driving in the sand; I have maintained a 4 wheel drive vehicle since that time. I have returned to the Outer Banks at least three or four times a year to fish and to enjoy the fishing and the solitude. It is my "get away." I have witnessed the continual closing of more and more of these beaches to vehicular traffic and the construction of more houses and retail outlets. Over the years I have contributed a considerable amount of money to the Outer Banks economy on house rentals, fishing equipment, camping equipment, groceries and other supplies. I have developed friendships with people living and working on the Outer Banks. It makes me feel at home and welcome when I walk into certain tackle shops and retail establishments and am greeted by name. At my current age of 58, I spend three to four full weeks and several more extended weekends each year going to the Outer Banks to Surf fish. I have introduced the wonders of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore to my wife, three children, three grandchildren, my nephews and numerous friends. I do not tear up the environment, nor do the people I associate with and / or bring with me. We value the fun we have, the fish we catch, and the memories. We are not stupid; we know what areas and what sand is safe to drive in. We respect the turtle and nesting closures. We respect the remaining dunes. I want to continue to enjoy all that the outer banks has to offer. I do not want to see more areas closed to Vehicular Traffic. Storms come and go, Nature will change the topography of the beaches; restricting vehicular traffic will not help or prevent beach erosion. In my judgment, restricting vehicular traffic will only hurt the local economies of all the towns on the Outer Banks by keeping me and many like me away.

Correspondence ID: 403 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cleary, Fran

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 18:50:04

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I firmly believe that Cape Hatteras beaches should remain open for off-road vehicles. My family and I have vacationed on Hatteras Island for over thirty years, both before and since owning a four wheel drive vehicle. We have owned a vacation home in Avon, NC for the past eighteen years and this has been a wonderful family experience, in large part due to off road access to the Cape Point beaches. We have shared these beaches with other families who in may cases could not afford this experience without having beach access due to high rental rates for Hatteras Island beach property. Why should these families be denied this experience? I am greatly troubled by the fact that, to my knowledge, no objective scientific (or otherwise) basis has been presented by those who wish to ban beach access by off-road vehicles. Finally, I believe that banning beach access for off-road vehicles will have unquestionably negative consequences for Hatteras Island property owners, businesses and tourists, as well as the tax paying and voting citizens of Dare County and the rest of North Carolina.

Correspondence ID: 404 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,07,2007 19:08:03

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My requests are fairly simple: Keep the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area open to the public 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This includes Off Road Vehicle traffic. Any vehicle that would be legal on a state or federal highway should have access to all the beaches in the CHNSRA. Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area is named as such with no mention of beaches for a reason. It was to be used as a recreational area to include boating, swimming, fishing, horse tails, and camping. Not the Park that it is today. Maintain the recreational properties as Congress named it and intended it. This wonderful Seashore belongs to the people of this country. We pay the taxes and should be able to enjoy the resource as we choose as long as we are not intentionally destroying it. We are Americans, we all own it equally. Some temporary, very short, very limited closures could be tolerated for nesting of turtles and birds. May threatened or endangered species nests could be moved to areas that are more viable for their survival, decreasing any chance that storm overwash or predation takes a toll on their survival and mitigating any potential conflict with identified uses of the recreational area, such as human disturbance. Birds and animals should not prevent humans

from enjoying the Recreational Area.

More public access walk over areas with parking lots should be added for those who choose not to drive on the beach. This could actually cut the number of vehicles on the beach.

More public boat ramps should be added.

If you really want to protect CHNSRA restrict any further commercial development. Limit the population density in the current villages especially mult-unit housing.

No permit systems should be implemented including fees.

At a very minimum: No more regulations, keep it same as the current plan. We already have too much government and special interest intervention in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. Look at Pea Island. If it is not broken, don't fix it.

Request adequate funding and staff to enforce regulations already in place.

Use education as a tool to protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area, NOT MORE RULES to keep people from fully enjoying what the area has to offer.

The Recent biological opinion was not a scientific paper, it was filled with personal opinion. This committee needs make sure they use scientific information not biased opinion or theory.

Thank you for allowing me to express my opinions.

W.E.J.

Correspondence ID:	405	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	Private:	Y
Name:	Thomashey, David						
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,07,2007 19:32:48						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	I vacation on the OBX and have done so for many years. Some years I spen all 4 weeks of my time down on the beaches enjoying the great fishing the OBX has to offer. Beach access is extremely important to surf fishermen. myself included. I would hate to think of the economic impact in a restriced beach would have on the island. summer season is a few months long. Fishing season is a year round boom to the residents of the island Do Not Take Away Thier Livleyhood. Thank You for your time and consideration Big Dave						
Correspondence ID:	406	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	O'Connell, Greg						
Outside Organization:	Merchantville Fishing Club Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,07,2007 20:32:16						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	The Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS)is the surf fishing mecca of the entire east coast. Always has been and always should be. The towns south of the Oregon Inlet bridge are essentially fishing villages and have survived thanks in large part to surf fisherman. Myself, and my family, make at least 2 week long jounneys to CHNS each year. My fishing club, based in southern NJ, sends three 6-man teams to three seperate tournaments each year. At least 1/3 of our 70 person membership make at least a one week long trip to CHNS each year to surf fish and many make several trips. We make the trips because we enjoy being in a fishing environment. Almost every place that sells a bite to eat is decorated w/ nautical decor, taxidermy fish, pictures of fish, etc. The 60 plus miles of fishable beach draw us down. Obviously, to access much of the good fishing areas a 4x4 is a necessity. No one can drag all there stuff out to Cape Point, false point, etc. Its simply not practical. Removing, or restricting, beach buggies will significantly effect our ability to fish CHNS. Thus, access is important for our continued visits. I ask that you please do everything feasible to ensure that beach buggy access is protected and guaranteed both today and in the years to come. The fisherman contribute enormously to the local economy, and more importantly, have defined what the CHNS is today. Greg O'Connell						
Correspondence ID:	407	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	Stevens, Glen d						
Outside Organization:	ncbba Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,08,2007 05:34:01						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	Our first trip was on our honeymoon 4 years ago. We have been back ever since. Just breath taking to drive the beach and dune lines between the ramps.						
Correspondence ID:	408	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	Getsy, Michael						
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,08,2007 06:36:51						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	Hi there I just wanted to take a few minute & give my opinion on beach access at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.I feel that keeping the beaches open & free (no seasonal license to access) to off road vehicle use is a very important issue.Being able to drive the beaches is one of the many things that make the outer banks unique.My wife & I have been coming there for almost 7 yrs now together & she has been visiting with her parents since the 1960's but if we loose the ability to drive the beaches then we most likely will find a new place to vacation. Since it will no longer be that special place where you can drive & find a private section of beach.So please do all you can to keep things as they are as they have been.Thank you Mike Getsy						
Correspondence ID:	409	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	Burke, Thomas P						
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,08,2007 10:08:54						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	Please continue to do everything possible to keep our beaches open to ORV use as you proceed with negotiated rulemaking. Also, consider removing the corridors that were put in place a couple of years ago. You are pushing ORV users and Piping Plovers into less shared space and permitting more vegetation to grow behing the corridors. This destroys habitat for the plovers and restricts ORV's from legitimate recreational use. Also, please consider opening up ramp 1 rom South Nags Head to Coquina Beach for ORV use. This would take pressure off of the ORV recreational area south of Coquina Beach to Oregon Inlet. Responcible ORV use allows our tax paying citizens to enjoy the beauty of our National Recreational Area and provides financial benefits to our community. With good management practices, there is room for all park users and wildlife to co-exist as it has since the inception of the park. Thank-you.						
Correspondence ID:	410	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	little,jr, cecil j						

Outside Organization: self Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 10:09:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I HAVE BEEN FISHING OUT ALL OF THE OUTERBANKS FOR SOME 37YRS.I HAVE SEEN MANY CHANGES, SOME FOR TYHE GOOD AND SOME FOR THE WORST. I UNDERSTAND HOW IT IS TO PLEASE ALL PARTIES CONCERNED OVER THIS ISSUE. HOWEVER BEING BORN AND RAISED IN N.C. I THINK THAT WHAT WE SAY SOULD BEAR MORE WEIGHT THAN OTHERS WHO MAY OR MAY NOT USE THIS AERA.I AM FOR ORV ACCESS AS IT IS MANAGED NOW.
 THANKS
 CECIL LITTLE

Correspondence ID: 411 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Flavin Jr, Stanley
Outside Organization: NCBBA/OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 11:38:25
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Sir/Ms I have been fishing the outer banks/ Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area for 30 years. This is where I go to get away I cannot imagine not being able to access the beach by 4wheel drive ,as I have a home on the outer banks, I use the beach 12 months of the year for fishing,utilizing the tackle shops and gas stations,grocery stores.I think access should have no further restrictions on ORVs as this area is designated a recreation area.

Correspondence ID: 412 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bevan, Alfred
Outside Organization: NCBBA/OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 11:50:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Due to the fact that the area being discussed has been designated as a full recreation area, it is my feeling that ORVs must be included in the final/interim plan. I have been a member of the NCCBA since 1980 and my ORV and have fished, surfed,and shelled the beaches from oregon inlet to Ocrakoke. Please implement a long range plan that will allow me and all other visitors to this area to continue our recreationa activities as they are now.

Correspondence ID: 413 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wiggins, Don L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 12:11:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been a visitor to the Outer Banks for over 30 years now. I most frequently visit the Core Banks of Portsmouth and Cape Lookout. During these 30 years, my family and I have visited most of the historic sites, gave our business to many of the concessionaire's, and have been rewarded with uncountable treasured memories. My first trip started with a fishing trip with a few buddies and has grown to a vacation with the entire family. We have met numerous people over the years and we all share the same visions of the preservation of this majestic place. Of course we have seen visitors that do not respect the opportunity to visit and preserve, but for the few problem visitors, we have also witnessed and been apart of the many groups of visitors that correct the incidents by cleaning up or instructing. There is no other place I have visited that has maintained the natural beauty of the land by restricting commercial growth than at the Outerbanks. No McDonalds-no problem, that is why we go! No electricity? Great, that is how it should be. Buy a fishing license-no problem-use the \$ wisely. Please protect our Outerbanks by continuing the laws in place. Allow us to ferry over to the islands, drive or ATV in designated areas, camp, explore, learn, fish, and just enjoy the natural beauty of the place. I do make 1 request: I used take 1 ATV for me and the wife, but the new law says we must each ride our own separate ATV. The new ATV rules have increased the number, or size, of vehicles needed on the barrier islands unnecessarily. Please use the farm and harvest rules as before. Thank You.

Correspondence ID: 414 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bryan, Chuck
Outside Organization: NCBB Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 12:40:24
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My visits to the Cape Hatters Nationsl Recreational Park are more frequent as I get older. At the present time thats 3 to 4 times annually for a week stay each time. Fishing is my sole source of relaxation and the ability to reach the beach via a motorized vehicle is the only way I am able to do that. I no longer have the ability to walk great distances. The prospects of the Park being made off limits or limited to the passage of ORV s is troubling. I realize that the traffic has greatly increased in recient years,but that is the same for all the National Recreational areas. The issue of closure for nesting is in my oppion useing a bomb to slay an ant. I do not think that we as a group threaten these anamials. Knowing the agressive nature of the Racocon , the Larger varity Gull,and the other criters such as cats,foxes etc would in my oppion put greater strain on the survival of the chicks than a 4x4 truck. I am past 60 years old and for almost 50 of thoes year have been fishing the surf. Never in all those years have I threatened any creature that calls the shore its home not gull,racocon,fox,cat,tern,etc and to have other parties say that I speed up and down the beach and go out of my way to inflict harm is not true. I want to share the beach with everyone and am a very tollerent person. I don't want to loose my ability to do the only thing that means a great deal to me at this time. I find the opposition to ORV access totally single minded to the closure of all public land to all human traffic and this I feel is maddeness. If I ramble I am sorry. Please allow us the access to Cape Hatteras National Recreational Park as we have enjoyed over these many years or as much as is possible in these times.

Correspondence ID: 415 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chotipatana, Grisada
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 13:37:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Before 1996, I never knew how much joy it was to be able to drive and fish on the sands of the outer banks. I was first introduced to CHNS then by my brother(went on a charter trip with his co-workers), and immediately fell in love with the area. I knew that someday I want to retire there.
 I enjoy meeting the friendly people in the local stores, the informative advice from the tackle shops, people at the hotels and beach rentals, and even the police department. I now make at least 5 trips per year to the CHNS just to get away from the DC area and relax.
 I personally don't see anything more relaxing than to just be on the sand fishing with friends and family. I currently own a 24' boat that I do not use because I prefer to drive on the beaches. To be able to drive on the beach to get from one location to another is crucial to a successful outing.
 I have also driven on the Delaware beaches, and had to pay an exorbant fee for a permit that I failed to see any enforcement of the "active fishing" rule. So I no longer fish (nor do anything else)in Delaware, have made my decision known to the people I fish with, as well as the fishing forums on the web. I just recently started to fish Assateague National Seashore, and now that is endanger of closures as well.
 With the closures (due to nesting or weather related) in certain areas, there are really not too many places to drive any more. That puts a serious burden

on the area(s) that is open to traffic that normally would not be crowded.

When organizing your management plans, please consider the impact it will have on the people who visit the seashores and its local businesses. My family and friends all enjoy coming to the outer banks because it is a place we also call home.

Thank you,

Grisada Chotipatana Silver Spring, MD

Correspondence ID: 416 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cleary, Stephen F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray, I am submitting my comments for ORV Management on Hatteras Island as follows:

I firmly believe that Cape Hatteras beaches should remain open for off-road vehicles. My family and I have vacationed on Hatteras Island for over thirty years, both before and since owning a four wheel drive vehicle. We have owned a vacation home in Avon, NC for the past eighteen years and this has been a wonderful family experience, in large part due to off road access to the Cape Point beaches. We have shared these beaches with other families who in many cases could not afford this experience without having beach access due to high rental rates for Hatteras Island beach property. Why should these families be denied this experience? I am greatly troubled by the fact that, to my knowledge, no objective scientific (or otherwise) basis has been presented by those who wish to ban beach access by off-road vehicles.

Finally, I believe that banning beach access for off-road vehicles will have unquestionably negative consequences for Hatteras Island property owners, businesses and tourists, as well as the tax paying and voting citizens of Dare County and the rest of North Carolina.

Thank you. Dr. Stephen F. Cleary

12001 Middlewood Circle

Midlothian, VA 23113

804-560-7445

Correspondence ID: 417 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Trivelpiece, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mike, My parents started taking us to the Outer Banks for our 2 week vacation back in the 60's. My wife and I kept the tradition going with our two daughters, now both teenagers. They both learned to fish and love beach combing. I have FSH muscular dystrophy and without a 4 wheel drive I wouldn't be able to join them on the beach. I have to drive to the edge of the ocean where the sand is nice and firm, and only then can I get out and walk a few steps to the edge of the ocean and cast my line. Then I walk back a few steps and sit and wait in my chair for the action to start. For all these years we have shared the beach with the birds ,deer, turtles, and other assorted wildlife. Why should we have to give up such fun? There are just as many if not more different kinds of birds that share the beach with us now as when I first started going . For example, pelicans didn't start showing up till some time in the 80's. What joy it is to watch them cruise the ocean in long single file lines. When my parents died, both their ashes were spread along the Cape Hatteras shores.If I can't drive to the ocean shore my family and I will no longer be able to visit them in the way they intended. We'll all be there this June in a beautiful ocean front home but I still can't walk to the beach, so I'll get in my Trooper and drive to the edge of the surf each day with my brother who also has muscular dystrophy so he too can walk on the beach. Closing the beach to ORV's would also send beach front rentals so sky high that only the very rich could afford them. Not to mention, all the fishermen and women would stop coming to stay in the motels and restaurants and grocery stores so the economy would suffer badly. Lets keep the beaches open for everyone. Chris Trivelpiece

Correspondence ID: 418 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mason, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mike, I have been going to Cape Hatteras since I was a very small child. I am currently 40. I used to call it Cake Patteras not realizing that I was pronouncing it wrong. That was what it sounded like my parents were saying. Hatteras is a piece of paradise that my husband and I are fortunate to visit a couple weeks of each year. Although we have witnessed many changes over the years the island is still so special and unlike any other beach. We are very outdoorsy people. We love and respect nature and feel Hatteras beaches should remain open to vehicular traffic. We love fishing there and of course relaxing on the beach listening to the waves. I feel there is plenty of beach for us and for the birds too. They can have the northern part of the island including Pea Island and let the people have the southern part to enjoy. Hatteras was turned into a National Park for people to enjoy. Please do not take that away. My husband took yearly vacations there as a child with his family. We are continuing the tradition and we also honeymooned there. We thoroughly enjoy the beaches and usually spend most daylight hours on the beach enjoying what Hatteras has to offer. Sometimes we enjoy fishing in the evenings too. The best part of coming to Hatteras is being able to drive onto the beach. It is so nice not having to lug items you might use during the day, while you walk to the beach. We stayed in Nags Head once with some friends and we hated lugging our belongings to the beach. Especially when it comes to fishing tackle because you just can't carry all you need. Of course we needed some different tackle because a school of blues came up the beach, but it was such a hassle to walk back to get the additional supplies that we needed, that we just didn't. We will never rent a cottage in Nags Head due to this inconvenience. The way we enjoy our day at the beach it would not be possible if we had to lug things to the beach. Items such as a pop up tent, cooler, beach towels, solar radio, tackle boxes, portable hammock, books, wood carving stuff, and extra clothes for the ever changing weather conditions. These are just a sample of the items we have with us in our truck while on the beach. It is so convenient to have what ever you may want with you, right there in your truck. We do not drive on the dunes, nor do we damage the beach with our vehicle. Please consider my thoughts with regards to this ruling and allow us to continue to use, enjoy, and drive on your beautiful beaches. Please also think of the native islanders in Hatteras. Their economy depends on tourism and recreational fisherpeople. If you close the beaches, even just to vehicular traffic it will destroy their bait and tackle stores, cottage rental companies, and restaurants. Thank you, Patty Mason Mechanicsville, VA

Correspondence ID: 419 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Floyd, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mr Murray,

I have been visiting and driving on Cape Hatteras beaches for 34 years. I have seen many changes during this time but none of these changes bother me near as much as the threat of losing the privilege of driving on these beaches that I have grown to love and cherish. The last few years have been trying for all parties involved and I would like to thank you for your efforts in keeping as much beach open as possible. The new access road created just north of the point last year was a very nice gesture that I'm sure you didn't have to make. This leads me to believe you are a fair man, and you have earned my respect. As of 3-5-07 my oldest son became a resident of Ocracoke Island and has accepted a job with the power company. He is an avid fisherman and loves the beaches as I do. I hope and pray that you will see fit to continue to keep the beaches open for people like myself and my son as well as the residents. I truly believe the economy of the islands would be adversely affected if beach closings occur.

Respectfully, Kenneth Floyd

Correspondence ID: 420 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moody, Wade L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Sir,

It is my understanding that certain "environmental" groups are looking to deny access to any vehicles on the beaches of the Outer Banks. In my opinion, that would be a monumental mistake!!! Not only would you lose the revenue of fisherman and sight-seers, I would imagine the damage to the local economy would be devastating. People would no longer want to come to the Outer Banks if they have to walk from a parking lot to see the ocean. I started going to the Outer Banks 21 years ago to go fishing and enjoy the seashore. In those 21 years, I have returned, AT LEAST twice a year and vacationed there. The times spent on the beach are priceless and I cherish those memories. I proposed to my wife at the base of the Hatteras lighthouse, I have won a fishing tournament that was held there, and had numerous great times with family and friends. If the decision is made to deny vehicle access to the beaches is made, the damage done to the local economy, not to mention the reputation of the state and state park agency, would be devastating. Those of us that enjoy the privilege we have fully understand how precious it is and deter those individuals that don't respect that right. My wife and I look forward to going to the Outer Banks all year long and we would hate to stop going there because we can't drive out on the beach to fish and enjoy the ocean. If I can be of any other help in this matter, please don't hesitate to contact me.
Wade L. Moody 10205 Molly Lane Glen Allen, VA 23060

Correspondence ID: 421 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Simpson, George
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mike

What are we doing? Are we only thinking BIRDS not peoples livelihood or recreation? Why is it every time you think we are not looking someone needs to close the Outer Banks? Remember you were not the first where you live now or ever, the BIRDS were there before you. So do we need to bulldoze your house so they can be there also? No, we have to live in harmony with nature, making room for both. I don't believe a truck to the Cape Hatteras shore line displaces BIRDS, it only moves them a little and we both enjoy what is there for both. Yes the abuse needs to be controlled, but I believe those of us that use the beach do a good job of policing a lot of this problem. George Simpson 919-663-2244 Engineering Manager fax 919-663-2172 Basic Machinery Co. Siler City, N.C.

Correspondence ID: 422 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferguson, Ed & Dianne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: To all,

My wife and I are almost retirement age. I want to say that we do enjoy driving our SUV on the beach just get near the wild life and fishing weather we catch anything or not. Please don't close the beaches to us. We do take care of the OBX like it is our home. We want to conserve the beaches and Wild Lige while we enjoy the fruits of the OBX. Ed. & Dianne Ferguson

Correspondence ID: 423 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Down, Buddy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I AM 53 YEARS OLD AND HAVE ENJOYED THESE BEACHES SINCE MY FATHER AND MOTHER CARRIED ME THERE WHEN I WAS 8YEARS OLD.I LIVE IN SILER CITY NC AND WE STILL FISH AND VACATION THERE 4-6 TIMES EACH YEAR.MY FATHER IS NOW 79 YEARS OLD AND ENJOYS THESE TRIPS WITH ME AND MY TWO SONS AS MUCH TODAY AS HE DID 45 YEARS AGO, IT WOULD BE ASHAMED TO DEPRIVE ALL THE MILLIONS OF PEOPLE LIKE US THAT HAVE IN THE PAST AND WILL IN FUTHER ENJOY THIS BEATIFUL PLACE.IN CLOSING I CAN PERSONALLY SAY I HAVE NEVER WITNESSED ANY ONE INTINALLY HARM ANY WILDLIFE ON THIS ISLAND. THANK YOU BUDDY DOWD

Correspondence ID: 424 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Barry & Marsha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Mike :

We have been vacationing on the Outer Banks (Buxton) since 1986. Over the years we have met many people - both locals and others on vacation. Many have become friends who we get together with every year. We are all concerned about the issues of access and driving on the beaches. When the people of the Outer Banks let the government take control they were promised they would always have the right to drive on and use the beaches as they had before. We believe that promise should be kept. Without that right the economy of the Outer Banks would crumble,not only from the lost tourist industry but the hardship it would create for the people who make their living off the water. The Outer Banks are one of the last places left in this country where our rights as Americans aren't so restricted. We pray it will stay that way. If we couldn't drive on the beaches to enjoy the ocean, the wildlife, and find a good spot to fish,surf,swim, or just relax with friends, we would not drive the 11+ hours to vacation in Buxton. As the 2 weeks we spend there in the fall is the only vacation we take each year, we would sorely miss it. Please don't let the extremist ruin a very unique area. Sincerely, Barry & Marsha Miller

Correspondence ID: 425 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mashinski, Stan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,06,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am writing you in support of keeping the beaches open for ORV's at Cape Hatteras. I have been going to Hatteras for over 20 years, multiple times each year with family and friends. I have on occasion seen some people abuse the beaches but this has been a very small minority. Cape Hatteras is one of the few places left where you can set up a little piece of heaven and sit back and relax not having to worry about the multitude of people that crowd other beaches.

0000471

The way you managed the access this past summer was outstanding. I believe it was a vast improvement over the past few years. I truly appreciate you working with all parties to resolve this issue.

One issue that I would like to see acted upon is some way to improve enforcement of the existing laws. I know most people would report violators if there was an avenue to contact the park service knowing that there would be an immediate response to the small percentage of ORV owners who for some reason or another violate the rules.

Even if the ranger did not witness the violation I think by a ranger addressing the individual who committed the violation this would have a dramatic impact on people behaving properly when on the beach. I know some people will immediately say "how can you identify the violator?" Simply give a description of the vehicle, with a license number and location. If the ranger did witness the violation they would be able to make an example of the individual by issuing tickets and publicize the event on the web and in local papers.

The park is there for all to use and enjoy, but they must respect it and the other individuals who are there, both pedestrians and ORV owners. Don't let the irresponsible actions of a few spoil the joy and livelihood of the vast majority who respect and love the moments they have on Hatteras Island.

Respectfully,

Stan Mashinski

4915 Kellywood Circle

Glen Allen, VA 23060

Home phone: 804-418-7924

E-mail address: smashinski@comcast.net

Correspondence ID: 426 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wells, Betty

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,06,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: My husband and I have enjoyed Nags Head for 23 years. We love surf fishing and driving on beach. Nothing anyone can do could be more destructive than Mother Nature. How do the USFWS plan to keep that from happening? Nags Head is a tourist haven. Most people respect the "protected species". We should not be denied the most beautiful place we have ever been, because of any organization. Not to mention the economy, we like the feeling of historic events happened right where we stand. On the beaches, and in the seas! Taking this away from humans is truly devastating to say the least. Do NOT take away OUR rights ! We love our peaceful, relaxing days on the beach. Let it be! Betty Wells, Chester Va. 804 748 7792

Correspondence ID: 427 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schliff, Henry

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,04,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Sir,

I have owned property on Hatteras Island for 20 years. When I first came to the island beach driving was not a big issue and though there were vehicles on the beach, I enjoyed long walks along the beach in safety and solitude. As years went by more and more people came to ride on the beaches (although there were not the majority of vacationers). These drivers organized into associations that became the most vocal users of our pristine beaches. Through political pressure the park was opened to almost unlimited vehicle access. Our beaches have become a veritable parking lot and I no longer feel safe walking where vehicles are constantly going back and forth and some of the drivers are intoxicated and reckless. Furthermore the atmosphere has become poisoned with websites full of slander and hatred for those who wish to protect the environment. Frankly I feel that our park representatives have become intimidated and have caved in to unreasonable demands for beach driving. I would like to see the park reassert its authority and do the job it was established to do. In the future I would like to see more beaches closed year round to vehicles, more walking trails, lifeguards at more of our beaches in the summer, a bike path the length of the island, and in general more promotion of non-vehicle recreational alternatives.

Sincerely,

Henry Schliff

Correspondence ID: 428 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Grover, Michael

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike

I have fished and enjoyed the outer banks for over 40 years.

I lived as a resident of Hatteras Island for seven years and

I would like to say that during this time I have witnessed

No incidents of responsible people injuring wildlife that also

Frequent the Banks.

It seems to me that the only people that seek to close the beaches

To ORV are located far from the ocean and never make use of the

National Seashore which belongs to the nation not the Audubon society.

I have fished the Point area and Hatteras Inlet areas since the 1970's

Time and have never seen a bird watcher "walk in" to either Area in 40 years.

Recreational and commercial fishing are part of the lifeblood of the people of

Hatteras, not the Plover watchers and Audubon Attorneys. If saving the plover is the issue, make a portion

Of saltwater licenses go to their restoration. Pea Island refuge has been set aside for the Birds.

The Birds need to share the rest of the Island with its residents and the Tourists that drive the economy.

Put a gate at Buxton and charge a fee if necessary but closure is a travesty.

Michael Grover

Correspondence ID: 429 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Boswell, James

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike,

I have been visiting the OBX, specifically Hatteras Island for over 25 years now. My family currently owns a home in Rodanthe and my wife's family previously owned one there as well. We have spent tens of thousands of dollars over the years in all of the communities of HI and will continue to do so. We are attracted to this area because of its beauty and open beach access.

We love having the freedom to enjoy the entire Cape Hatteras Seashore... or just our small part of it. By ourselves or with our friends and family. There is no better day than loading up the truck, heading out to a secluded part of the Seashore, cookout, fish and watch the sun set.

It has been a tradition of our family and others for generations... and hopefully will continue be for generations to come.

Wildlife management and off-road beach access can work hand in hand and has for many years now. In my view, there is no reason, and has never been any reason to restrict off-road recreational beach access. There are special seasonal circumstances from time to time. We all recognize, appreciate and work within these when need be.

Effective management techniques seem to be in place and have appeared to work well over the past 25 years. I see no reason why they won't continue to work.

Preserve and Protect - Not Prohibit. It's not just a slogan, it's a way of life for most folks who have a lot invested in HI.

Thank you for your time.

James Boswell Construction Coordinator CEC/Dominion Virginia Power 2701 Vepco St., Chesapeake, VA 23323 James.S.Boswell@dom.com (757) 615-6766

Correspondence ID: 430 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brooks, Lawrence

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mr. Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide in put concerning ORV access to the beaches at Cape Hatteras. My family and I have been driving down to the outer banks from northern Virginia for the past 17 years for either a one week or sometimes two week vacation each year. We rent a beach house for our time there and we enjoy fishing the beach and taking off shore charter fishing trips. We do not own a ORV but the constant carrying of all sorts of stuff from our van to the beach has taken it's toll on me so a few years ago we started renting an ORV just for the week that we are there. It has added a lot to our experience both for Hatteras beaches and expanded our ability to enjoy Ocracoke's beaches also. My experience as been so good that I now come down for a few days to do a drum fishing weekend, king mackerel trip and in December I come down with friends for stripers. Do I love the Cape Hatteras National Sea Shore, you bet I do and so does my family and friends.

When you love something you want to see it preserved not just for yourself but for your kids also. The things that are great about that environment isn't just having ORV access but having the birds, plants, clean sand, fresh air and no trash on the beaches or in the water. I think it's ashamed that the focus is not on just being good Stewards of this treasure. The beaches at the outer banks have been shared by all for many years but if we don't have clean water then we don't have good fishing and if we don't have clean sand and no trash on the beaches then families will not come there and all this will affect our wildlife. Aren't these the same issues that we now wrestle with about this planet?

I think that we can continue to share the resources of this very unique environment. I would hate to think that we may not be able to enjoy a star filled night at the point watching shooting stars go by.

Best regards,

Lawrence Brooks

Correspondence ID: 431 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kayota, Stephen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,26,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: NPS Negotiated Rulemaking Public Comment Statement Stephen Kayota, MD Hatteras Island Homeowners Coalition Feb 26, 2007

The following statement represents topics which I feel should be part of the Negotiated Rulemaking agenda. These items should be open to fair debate among a diverse group of stakeholders.

1. Ground Rules: As soon as possible, from the very beginning of the neg-reg process there needs to be binding and enforceable ground rules which govern the conduct of stakeholders toward other stakeholders. Committee members must agree not to verbally attack each other on the internet, web-forums, letters to the editor, and other media. This includes a ban on posting stakeholder's Dare County tax records, links to photos and location of our homes, home and business telephone numbers and calls for economic boycotts of stakeholder business interests. I ask that the committee agree that this represents harassment and intimidation rather than freedom of speech. I ask that as a show of good faith, members of the committee who own these web sites (specifically Bob Eakes?reddrumtackle.com) and act as moderators of these sites (specifically Dave Goodwin?fishmojo/free access dammit) immediately remove these harassing posts from their web sites. I will provide the links to these threads as requested.

2. Pedestrian-only beach areas There needs to be consideration of maintaining pedestrian-only areas of beach for the safety of pedestrians, aesthetics, and the minimization of user conflict. Traditional pedestrian areas, beaches which have been closed to motor vehicle traffic since 1978 (specifically the Frisco village and Hatteras village beaches) should remain year round pedestrian beaches. Additionally, consideration needs to be given to the establishment of year-round pedestrian-only access directly in front of all the villages of Hatteras Island and other areas which experience heavy pedestrian usage i.e. the lighthouse beach in Buxton. Development and the sheer number of year- round pedestrians have dramatically increased since 1978, especially during the Thanksgiving and Spring-break seasons when ORV village traffic is currently permitted. Thus the 1978 village closure rules need to be amended to reflect these modern demographics.. All available data/surveys indicate that a majority of the visitors and non-resident property owners support this concept

3 Unbiased Visitor Survey The NPS should consider conducting a visitor use survey performed by an unbiased 3rd party, similar to the Vogel song survey. Data needs to be obtained which gives a fair and accurate assessment of how the largest user group and largest contributor to the Hatteras Island tourist economy feels about beach driving issues. Specifically, data needs to be obtained regarding Park visitor opinion on year -round pedestrian-only beach areas as well as other the use of permits and quotas. This user group should not be ignored in the negotiated- rulemaking decision- making process . Vogel song found that 90% of National Seashore visitors access the beach on foot. Thus effort should be devoted to find out how this group feels.

4. Permits and ORV quotas Consideration should be given to mandatory ORV permits and quotas similar to the current management plan of Cape Cod National Seashore. Permits should be given only after the ORV driver has been educated about appropriate beach driving and safety. Permits should be revoked if a driver violates the rules. This would decrease the incidence of reckless beach driving and speeding. Our first National Seashore resembles a parking lot on many Summer weekends?with increased development, the numbers of ORVs has steadily increased. ORV Park traffic has reached a critical mass and thus the need for daily quotas. This would help ease the strain on the Park's wildlife resources and enhance pedestrian safety.

5 Appropriate enforcement of ORV traffic violations The speed limit and reckless driving rules are not enforced if there are no visible park rangers. This is clearly and readily observed with great frequency especially in the off-season when ranger presence is diminished. Consideration needs to be given how to enhance the frequency and duration of patrols especially near pedestrian areas. If the laws cannot be enforced, then ORVs should not be permitted in these areas.

6. Pedestrian corridors Consideration should be given to increased pedestrian corridors in the villages so that the closure signs are not posted at the site of the last property line. Pedestrians should be allowed to enjoy beachcombing areas adjacent to dunes and free of motor vehicle traffic to enjoy the solitude and natural beauty of the National Seashore. There thus needs to be pedestrian buffer zones of at least 1 mile adjacent to the villages.

7. Unbiased Science The committee should use only unbiased scientific data. The science of biology as it pertains to endangered species and the Park's wildlife resources should be obtained from actual scientists and trained biologists, not neg-reg committee members. The committee should then work from this scientific guideline as a starting point and how it pertains to current federal law.

8. 100 foot rule The Interim management plan held that if the distance from mean high tide to the dune line is less than 100 feet, than this area of beach is too narrow and constitutes a safety closure. Superintendent order #7 in 2006 as per Mr. Murray removed this provision. Consideration needs to be given to reinstatement of this rule in the interest of pedestrian safety. Pedestrians and ORVs in a narrow corridor threatens the safety of the 90% of park visitors who access the beach by foot.

9. A more balanced Neg-Reg committee Currently there are 13 members and alternates on the committee who represent ORV special interests. There are no representatives of the largest park user group, the visitor/vacationer. As per the Vogelsong NPS study, 90% of these visitors access the beach by foot, not by ORV. The Negotiated Rulemaking Act mandates balanced representation from all important stakeholder groups. I ask that the NPS address this problem as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration of these agenda item.

Correspondence ID: 432 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Butrym, Dave
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike, I'm writing to you regarding the upcoming Off-Road Vehicle Plan that the NPS is working on for beach access on the Outer Banks of North Carolina. I would like to express my concern as to any potential closing or further restrictions to any of the existing access to the area beaches. I have been visiting the Outer Banks since 1964 and around 1984 became a very frequent visitor to the area mainly due to my passion for surf fishing and my love of coastal life. My wife and I are so passionate about the area and the people who live in the villages that we have bought a home in Avon village and plan on retiring there within the next couple of years. We have been home owners there for about eight years now and do indeed consider Avon our home even though I am employed in Richmond, Va. We spend enough time on the island that we do not have our house in any rental program. I too admire the wide range of water fowl that live and visit the island and its beaches even though the majority of the migratory birds do not congregate on the beaches. Those birds that do live on and use the beach and surf line have many, many miles of beach where they never see a human being. Those that do inhabit the areas that are accessible to beach driving seem to have adapted very well and co exist with beach goers with minimal impact to either species with the single exception of the piping plover. That particular single bird has caused more aggravation, written and voiced verbiage than any other single inhabitant of the area to the point of assaulting basic common sense. Tourism is the mainstay of the resident population of the outer banks. I am sure that you understand that closing or further restricting access to the beaches would be disastrous to the local families and cause great hardships for the wonderful families that have called the area home for many generations. The free and open beaches do indeed mean everything to the local population as well as all of the visitors that flock to area because of this access to a natural and national treasure. Thank you for your time and I fervently hope that reason and common sense will prevail in this matter. Dave Butrym PS?I am also a member of the CCFW and CHAC clubs and fish all of the annual tournaments hosted on and by the outer banks. It should be noted that everyone who participates in these 600 person events and their families feel just as strongly about open beach access as I do?.

Correspondence ID: 433 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brady, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I am writing regarding the Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. I have been enjoying the Hatteras beach's since 1963. The last seven years my husband and I stay in Buxton on Buxton Back Road on and off throughout the year. We pay taxes in North Carolina on our place. My husband will retire in two years and we planned on living in Buxton. That dream, after waiting all our lives may be destroyed. If we cannot continue to access "the point" by ORV there will be no use to relocate. My work is sold at Indian Town Gallery and once the tourism falls so will my income. Our biggest joy in life is the experience of the Hatteras beach's with our children and grandchildren. We do not have a house on the beach but on the back road so the only way to go on the beach is by ORV. Beach closings will effect locals with no jobs, no visitors. To be fair, visitors renting cottages should be notified beaches are closed due to nesting birds and be allowed to cancel their rental without penalty. It ruins a long planned vacation. I feel the seashore has been functioning extremely well as is. Why change what is working? Lets face it, The Hatteras National Sea Shore has been out of compliance with federal law for so long because it has worked for locals and visitors. The answer is make this a North Carolina State Beach, not Federal. North Carolina cannot deny the income they have received from the area which is growing. I for one will never go back without orv beach access. I want my grandchildren to experience the wonders of nature as I did, without waiting in lines and being afraid to visit the beach. No more people with guns to keep you of the point! Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Patty Brady Patty Brady ocean444@earthlink.net

Correspondence ID: 434 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Barton , Douglas W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mr. Murray -
 What Hatteras Means To Me
 Excerpt: ORV beach access is woven into the fabric of Hatteras Island. People don't visit Hatteras to sit in their rental houses watching television or to drink in a bar. They come to enjoy a wide variety of outdoor activities, many of which are facilitated by ORVs.
 My Ideal ORV Plan
 Excerpt: The ORV plan must respect and value both human and wildlife use of CHNS. I am confident that ORV beach access and meaningful conservation of OBX wildlife can coexist because it's been happening for years. Better communication between CHNS staff and the citizens is critical. Make CHNS a model for how park staff and citizens can work together.
 Please help us preserve the traditions of CHNS.
 Regards, Douglas W. Barton

Correspondence ID: 435 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Knowles, Jeanelle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Just a note to let you know how very important it is to me and my very large extended family that we keep beach access in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area open. My family and I have vacationed every year on the Outer Banks since I was a young girl in the 1960s and the major reason we have chosen Hatteras Island for our vacations is the fact that we can drive on the beach and fish and shell. Occasionally, someone in the family (and this includes our cousins who come in from Chicago every year), will suggest that we try something new, but we've always come back to Hatteras. The main reason for that is the beach access. We are very careful about how we drive on the beach and always make it a point to clean up after ourselves. Did I mention that my cousins from Chicago are rich and spend a lot of money while on Hatteras? I spend a lot of money in Hatteras, my family spends a lot of money on Hatteras, and we don't mind, because we are supporting the local economy and because we can drive on the beach. I fear that if you restrict our access, we will spend our money elsewhere. This is patently unfair to the locals, who were guaranteed permanent access to the beaches when the National Park was formed. It is also unfair to us, as we pay taxes to the federal government and should not be denied access to a federal national park.

Thank you for listening. I hope my comments will help you understand just how important it is to me and the thousands and thousands of very quiet, very content tourists who like things the way they are and hope things will stay the same.

Sincerely
Jeanelle Knowles
Richmond VA

Correspondence ID:	436	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Wojtanowski, Ryan				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,05,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Sir:</p> <p>I am writing in support of open access to the beach. So long as the integrity of the dunes is protected and enforced, I think the benefits, both culturally and economically from allowing vehicles on the beach outweigh the harm.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Sincerely, Ryan Wojtanowski</p>				

Correspondence ID:	437	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Knudsen, Eric				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>I thought Nags head was a beautiful beach when I visited throughout the 80's. A friend I met in 1989 told me how great the Frisco, Buxton, Hatteras Village area was and asked me to go for a long weekend of fishing. I did not believe all his ranting but went any way. I was blown away by the beauty and the joy of having such access. I was very quickly convinced I would never stop in Nags Head again. Who needs the crowd? I have been back at least once per summer with my wife and children every year since. Driving along the beach and having everything you bring with you in the vehicle when you get to your own spot on the beach is wonderful. The wide open space is magical.</p> <p>If the pure joy of open beach access is taken away I would have no reason to return. Please DO NOT let this happen.</p> <p>Eric Knudsen cirenesdunk@earthlink.net Charlottesville VA 434-963-7746 home</p>				

Correspondence ID:	438	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Ertzner , Eric				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear National Park Service,</p> <p>I am writing in response to an article in the Richmond Times Dispatch where it mentions that there is possible talk of no longer allowing vehicles on the beaches of the Outer Banks of North Carolina. As a former full time resident of Southern Shores and a current home owner in Kill Devil Hills I would like to express my feelings on this subject. The beaches must remain open for vehicle travel.</p> <p>Fishing has a storied history on the Outer Banks and still draws a tremendous amount of people that utilize the beaches to accomplish this feat. Many of the first time vacationers to the Outer Banks may try their hand at surf fishing, and these same people go home to tell their friends that they were able to drive out on the beach and how exciting that is. This in turn leads to more fishing traffic or just folks trying to be near the ocean. In my opinion this is an important part of the economy of the Outer Banks and taking this privilege away, and I do consider it a privilege, would be devastating.</p> <p>Please do not let the feelings of a few who may simply have political ulterior motives decide the fate of the rest of us.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your time, Eric Ertzner</p>				

Correspondence ID:	439	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Flavin, Stanley F				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>Mr. Murry I have been enjoying the CHNSRA since the late 70s I think it is the most beautiful place on earth from Oregon Inlet to Ocrakoke. To be on the Atlantic ocean at daybreak is almost spiritual to me. I live in Virginia Beach but I bought a home in Kill Devil Hills so I would be closer to the Outer banks, I cannot imagine the CHNSRA with out beach access. Thank you Stanley F Flavin Jr 3716 Surry Road, Virginia Beach Val, 113 Saint Claire Street Kill Devil Hills North Carolina. NCBBA 5697, OBPA ,Singing the Blues Fishing Team.</p>				

Correspondence ID:	440	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Gallagher, David R				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>I wish to take this opportunity to comment on the Hatteras ORV Policy. I vacation at Hatteras at least twice a year for a week at a time and do very little but surf fish with an occasional charter boat trip. I , as are the 5 others in the group are getting older (all past 60 years) and rely on our 4 wheel drive vehicles to carry us everywhere. We do not act in a reckless manner and obey all of the signs as posted. But I do feel that MOST of the shore should be available to vehicles. It is the only way that we can, at our age, maneuver the beaches. Many thanks, David R. Gallagher 130 Cole Hill Lane Warsaw, Va 22572 804-333-4328</p>				

Correspondence ID:	441	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Coleman, George R				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>Sir,</p> <p>I just read, with much sadness, about the possibility of the beaches of Cape Hatteras being closed to vehicular and pedestrian traffic. If I may, I'd like to voice an opinion on this issue.</p> <p>The first question I'd like to answer is "Why should the beaches remain open?" The economy of Hatteras Island relies almost entirely on tourism...so much so that banning vehicular and pedestrian traffic on the beaches would likely cause the closure of many, if not most, of the businesses there. Most</p>				

vacationers go there to enjoy the beach in several ways, the most popular of which surf fishing. Cape Hatteras is widely known as the surf fishing capitol of the east coast. Why would people go to Cape Hatteras if they could not go out on the beach? Most of the beaches along the southern end of the island are much too far from paved roads to allow access on foot, even if that were still allowed. I would be a shame to keep so beautiful and relaxing a place from the people of this great country.

The second question I'd like to answer is "What the beaches mean to me?" My parents began taking me to Cape Hatteras when I was 12 years old (I'm 51 now). From that first visit, I knew I'd found Heaven on Earth. It is, without a doubt, the most beautiful, quiet, relaxing, and enjoyable place I've ever been. I have continued the traditional summer vacation on Hatteras to this day, never ready to leave and always looking forward to the next time I can go. I was taught as a child just how fragile the ecosystem is there and taught, also, to have the highest respect of that fact. I drive only where allowed to do so and at a slow rate of speed. I avoid bird and turtle nesting sites like the plague. I clean up EVERYTHING before I leave, including trash left behind by others. I keep only the (legal) fish I can use, and release all others, to include (skates, rays, undersized fish, etc.) unharmed. In other words, I follow the rules to the letter and beyond, both on and off the beach.

I realize a few bad apples can, unfortunately, be the bane of all of us. Perhaps seriously stiff penalties for infractions would curtail most of that, especially once word got out. Also, limiting surf fishing tournaments both in number and number of participants might ease traffic some. A beach vehicle "user fee" (kept reasonable, I hope) would generate considerable monies and would fund more frequent patrols. I'm sure you'll find most law abiding beach-goers agree.

I respectfully request, Sir, that you consider this my vote to keep the beaches open to law abiding users and that you work to that end result.

Thank you for listening,
George R. Coleman Richmond, VA

Correspondence ID:	442	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	
Name:	Hizer, Mary					
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual					
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type:	E-mail					
Correspondence:	The beaches should remain open for off-road vehicles and all the visitors who enjoy walking the beaches, swimming, shelling, fishing. Families have been going there for years to enjoy what the beaches have to offer and I firmly support open-beach access on the Outer Banks. Mary Hizer					
Correspondence ID:	443	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	
Name:	N/A, N/A					
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual					
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type:	E-mail					
Correspondence:	I am against restrictions to driving on the beaches I have driven on for over 30 years. Now in my mid 60's I would be restricted from enjoying fishing on the beach. I am too old to carry all my fishing gear, food, & chairs to the beach. This fact alone says you have to young to enjoy surf fishing. Thats age descrimination!!! Now I have thousands of dollars invested in 4 wheel drives, surf fishing gear, pole racks and time that will go to waste! This restriction would also adversely affect the economy of the outer banks. I feel sad for all the people on the the outerbanks have invested time and money and their livelihood to the popularity of fishing we have enjoyed for so many years. We have made many friends in our 30 to 40 visits to this place and it would be a shame to restrict the future generations the use of this beautiful place and fishing haven.					
Correspondence ID:	444	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	
Name:	Easter, Barton					
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual					
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type:	E-mail					
Correspondence:	I support open-beach access on the Outer Banks. thanks, Barton Easter Amelia, Virginia					
Correspondence ID:	445	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	Private: Y
Name:	Willard, Alan					
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual					
Received:	Mar,08,2007 21:09:14					
Correspondence Type:	Web Form					
Correspondence:	I would like to keep off road vehicles access at Cape Hatteras. It is the only place for people with limited mobility to enjoy the beach and fish.					
Correspondence ID:	446	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	
Name:	Dyches, Eric W					
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual					
Received:	Mar,09,2007 07:26:07					
Correspondence Type:	Web Form					
Correspondence:	Dear sir Please keep our beach access open to ORV use. My daughter and I are avid surf fishermen and enjoy being able to have free access to some of the last remaining undeveloped and unspoiled beaches in our state. I live in Mooresville NC. near Lake Norman and I can remember a time when you could just about go anywhere on the lake shore and simply fish, but now you cant due to overdevelopment. Now if you want to fish the lake you are limited to a few poorly managed public access points, or buying a boat and contributing to the overcrowding and over poluteing of the lake. My daughter and I are very responsible outdoorsmen and always leave as little an impact on the areas we visit as possible, even going so far as policeing areas for other peoples trash so that other people can enjoy them as we found them. Please keep our access open and free, after all, this is America. Thank You.					
Correspondence ID:	447	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	
Name:	Rollins, Glenn					
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual					
Received:	Mar,02,2007 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type:	E-mail					
Correspondence:	Please keep beach driving open for all law abiding citizens to use. Do not let the careless actions of the few have an effect on the majority.					
Correspondence ID:	448	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	

Name: Davis, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Please do not take away something that has been a way of life for many years. I started surf fishing when I moved to Elizabeth City in 1974. Over the years more and more of the beach has been restricted to off road driving. I realize that some people do not respect the right and privilege that they have to drive off road. I however do believe that a large majority of fisherman who do drive on the beach are good stewards and do comply with the rules and regulations. Please let us continue this to enjoy this peaceful way of fishing. Thanks, Jim Davis

Correspondence ID: 449 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lalli, Janet L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,05,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray, Please say that it isn't so!!!! Obviously this group has never driven onto the beach and set up to fish just before the sun comes up. What a beautiful and peaceful place to be. Why all of a sudden can't people, birds and wildlife share the beauty? To take this away would desperately hurt the island locals. Take away driving onto the beach and fishing and you take away the tourists which spend money there. It makes no sense to me. Very concerned, Janet L. Lalli

Correspondence ID: 450 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hofmaenner, Stefan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Hello Mr. Murray, Some limited sections of the NPS beaches on the Outer Banks should remain open to off-road vehicles, specifically for access to surf fishing. All beaches (but not the dunes) should remain accessible to pedestrians/beach walkers/surf fishers. The NPS beaches are the only natural beaches left on the entire East Coast and my family and I have enjoyed them, especially Ocracoke Island, for more than 25 years. It can not be that extreme groups, who apparently want to close all NPS beaches to the public, are allowed to dictate their one sided views on the majority of the people. Regards, Stefan Hofmaenner 4616 Laurel Spring Court Chester, VA 23831

Correspondence ID: 451 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rietveld, Christopher
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: The beaches on Hatteras Island should be kept open to the public and there vehicles. the beaches have been a great to all that visit them. without the legal use of vehicle most people would not be able to enjoy them. I do believe that most of the people using the beaches are careful and clean, but there can always be the one person who can mess it up for all. Maybe a new effort toward teaching people to respect the beaches before they use them

Correspondence ID: 452 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Winston, Ken
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray: I have been visiting the outer banks all my life. (I just turned 60) So it was with my elders before me! We all recognize the park as one of the last beach access areas on the east coast that fishermen can visit and use our off-road vehicles to chase the migrating schools of fish! Really important to those of us who can't afford a boat too! We also realize that the Outer Banks natural beaches provide just miles and miles of absolutely beautiful shoreline to bond with mother nature's largest gift to mankind, the ocean. Please don't close the park! Ken Winston Mechanicsville, VA

Correspondence ID: 453 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Winston, Ken
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,04,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray: I have been visiting the outer banks all my life. (I just turned 60) So it was with my elders before me! We all recognize the park as one of the last beach access areas on the east coast that fishermen can visit and use our off-road vehicles to chase the migrating schools of fish! Really important to those of us who can't afford a boat too! We also realize that the Outer Banks natural beaches provide just miles and miles of absolutely beautiful shoreline to bond with mother nature's largest gift to mankind, the ocean. Please don't close the park! Ken Winston Mechanicsville, VA

Correspondence ID: 454 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Zehender, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mike,
I am emailing you to let you know that for two weeks of every year I come to Cape Hatteras to drive on the beach and surf the best possible break that I can find.
When I am not in Hatteras I go to Island Beach State Park in New Jersey. I have no issues paying the fees at Island Beach.
Having fees restricts people from joy riding on the beach and dunes. In addition to drive on the beach there is a list of requirements that your car must have to be on the beach. I can not tell you how many times in Cape Hatteras I have seen car doing things that are so unnecessary and damaging to the beach and the environment.
Please see link below about driving on Island Beach. <http://www.islandbeachnj.org/Recreation/beachdriving.html>
I do not like to complain unless I can help provide a solution. Please regulate the use of vehicles on the beach and please make sure for the ones that take driving on the beach seriously to keep it open for their vehicles.
Best regards,
Bill Zehender Assistant Account Executive Dorland Global Health Communications One South Broad, 11th Floor Philadelphia , PA 19107 Voice: (215) 928-2462 Fax: (215) 625-9037 WZehender@Dorland.com www.Dorland.com (Embedded image moved to file: pic12181.jpg)

Correspondence ID: 455 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Freeman, Michael A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Dear NPS, I just want to let you know my thoughts on open beach access. I would like for the beaches to remain open for vehicle and pedestrians. As it is now there is very limited access for vehicle travel on the beaches. The Outer Banks is the only area we come to at the beach. It is great to be able to drive out to the beach and fish, hike, explore, etc. It would be a loss to many people ,the state, and the park if the beaches were closed to vehicle and/or pedestrian traffic.
 Thanks, Michael A. Freeman

Correspondence ID: 456 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neal, Polk M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: March 2, 2007

Dear Mr. Murray,

There are many reasons why the beaches of Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area should be left open to off-road vehicle access, not the least of which is the economic benefit to the residents of Hatteras Island, or the promise that the N.P.S. supposedly made when it took the area over to keep the beaches open, but my number one reason is that these beaches belong to US, the citizens and tax payers of this country, and as such, should remain open for us to enjoy.

All along the Atlantic Coast there are hundreds of miles of barrier islands that have little or no human intervention and more than enough territory for every type of bird and turtle to nest, raise its young, and have a peaceful life void of human involvement. Adding 60 or 70 more miles of beach, Hatteras Seashore, to this already abundant territory will do far more to harm tens of thousands of citizens of this country than it will to benefit the wildlife that some groups claim to want to help.

I have been visiting the Hatteras Seashore beaches for over 30 years now, surf fishing, swimming, and taking in all of the beauty of this wonderful and unique place, and I have done so without knowingly harming one bird or turtle. In a world that most of the time seems to have gone crazy this is one of a very few places that I can visit to help me maintain my sanity, and while it is not quite as serene what it was 30 years ago, it still works for me, and numerous others.

I urge the National Park Service to look out for the interests of what I am certain is the vast majority of the visitors to Hatteras National Seashore, and for the interests of the residents of the villages along the way, by implementing an off-road vehicle plan that will allow for liberal access to the beaches of Hatteras National Seashore with minimal restrictions.

Thank you for your time.

Regards,

Polk M. Neal, Jr. 750 Hermitage Road Manakin-Sabot, VA 23103 804-784-4040

Correspondence ID: 457 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Poole, Vincent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

It is important to keep the beach open to motor vehicles. Currently, very few spots are accessible for fishing because of limited parking. The vehicles are not going to affect the wildlife as they have coexisted for many years. Please keep open the beaches for motor vehicles, if not, tourism in the outer banks will be cut by 1/3 and businesses will suffer.

Correspondence ID: 458 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stover, Jeff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

I HAVE FISHED THE OUTER BANKS FOR 30 YEARS WITH BEACH ACCESS WHY WOULD THE GOVERMENT STOP OUR ENJOYMENT.
 I AM AGAINST BEACH VEHICLE RESTRICTIONS JEFF STOVER

Correspondence ID: 459 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duke, Michael A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Hello, Mr. Murray. I am a Virginia citizen who spends several weeks on the outer banks of North Carolina each year. I am 49 years old, and my parents began taking me to the Outer Banks when I was around 10 years old. My younger brother, and my two sisters and I still travel to the area regularly to take our children and grandchildren to the beaches. We all own 4-wheel drive vehicles specifically so that we can use the beaches, particularly the area between Salvo and Avon. My parent retired and built a home in Salvo, and we all think of it as our home away from home, as do thousands of other beach lovers from this area. We are very responsible in our treatment of the beach, and we regularly remove more trash than we create. I highly recommend this habit to people I associate with, as well as those I meet on the beaches. Being able to use those beaches has been one of the great joys of my life, and I ask that you do what is in your power to preserve our right to use the beaches in the park system, for as long as it exists. Thank You
 Michael A. Duke Richmond, Virginia

Correspondence ID: 460 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Earley, Mike
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

The only reason my family vacations on the outer banks is for the beaches. If we weren't able to access the beaches by off-road vehicles we might as well find somewhere else to vacation. That is the biggest attraction the outer banks has to many other beaches.
 Mike Earley Richmond Va.

Correspondence ID: 461 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Chip & Gina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.02,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: My family has vacationed on Cape Hatteras for more than 20 years. We prefer vacationing on Hatteras Island as opposed to other beach destinations because it takes us away from the "hustle and bustle" of day to day life and the commercialized development of other beach destinations. Our favorite feature of Cape Hatteras is the option of off road beach access. We are able to pick "our own chunk of heaven" and make a day of fishing, swimming, or relaxing without having other tourists within elbow distance. We also are given exposure to areas of the island that otherwise would never be seen. It is an awe inspiring sight, due to the protection of the National Park Service and those of us who treasure this gift from God. A family tradition would end if beach access were taken away. We consider ourselves part time caretakers of the beach and we would never consider doing anything to harm the environment or wildlife there. I am sure we are not alone.
Chip & Gina Martin Providence Forge, Virginia

Correspondence ID: 462 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hanner, Don

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 08:47:42

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Superintendent RE: Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954
As a frequent user of Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore, I would like to thank you for the many improvements in the way the park is being run since Mike Murray has become Superintendent.
I've been fishing Hatteras beaches for over 30 years and for all but a few of those years park service was a friendly and well respected group. Mr. Murray has certainly brought back that feeling.
At 54 years old, I still make 6-8 week long trips a year to the park. These trips started over 30 years ago when I first truly discovered the uniqueness of the Hatteras Seashore. For that reason, I fully support all efforts to keep Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore open to Off - Road Vehicles. In the 30+ years I have been using the park I have witnessed no damage due to ORV. usage.
So please do not fold to the pressures of so called environmental groups that have distorted views of ORV. usage at the park.
Sincerely,
Don Hanner
508 Cynthia Rd. Greensboro, N. C. 27406 ph. (336) 674-3373 e-mail dawgfish@aol.com.

Correspondence ID: 463 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dever, Jack

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 08:52:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am 52 year old male retired from a career in law enforcement. Most of my leisure time is spent fishing the east coast of these great United states from Brigantine New Jersey to Key West Florida. My earliest childhood memories are of fishing for Striped Bass from the beach in LBI New Jersey early 1960s. I have always had a great respect for all things in nature and I have shared this love with my parents, siblings, children, and friends. I spend up to 50 hours a week on the beaches spring and fall during the migration, while I fish, the sights and sounds of the shore birds along with the breaking surf bring me back day after day. My ORV is a part of this passion and without it I would not be able to fully enjoy the coastal waters to the extent I am now able to.
I first discovered Cape Hatteras National Seashore & Recreational area while stationed at camp LeJune in the early 1970s. I have returned there most years since and choose this jewel on earth for my honeymoon. My entire extended family has vacationed there together on numerous occasions and without the ORV the experience would surely have been diminished. It is my hope my children will continue to share this wonderful place with their children for generations to come.
I have never seen a fellow fisherman harass, molest or otherwise harm any shorebird or any other part of this eco system in all my time on the beach. I would also state that it has been my observation fisherman are among the most respectful of this eco system of any of the user groups. I feel ORVs on the beach are like boats on the water, they expand, enhance, and enable us to enjoy our experience at a level which is consistent with that of previous generations of American fisherman. We must preserve this experience for future generations or we will become extinct.
Currently those who would ban ORV use on the Outerbanks CHNS rally around the piping plover. It seems Nature has chosen this wonderful bird for extinction. I feel that any of our efforts to save this creature will end in its fate being determined by Nature. Locally, the powers to be trap out natural predators and place cages around eggs to protect them. They also restrict ORV access. Then Nature Decides to have a North Easter (storm) and all is lost. Like the beaches they nest on these creatures are in the hands of nature not man. Those with deep pockets think throwing money at it is going to fix the problem while those of us who are part of it see it as common sense, it is naive to think we can control any force in nature.
There are others who use ORVs for beach access besides fisherman and birders. Not everyone shares the same respect for the eco system. There will always be 10 percent who would harm these birds and other living things through total disregard for anyones concerns, this is where law enforcement plays a role. This is why we have enforcement. NPS must come up with a compromise acceptable by all and enforce it. To ban ORV use at CHNS would serve no purpose, in another generation give or take a few years the plover will have become extinct or have adapted to the dynamic eco system in which it now struggles and our great American pass time will be extinct.

Correspondence ID: 464 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Baker, Kip

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 11:43:28

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Having spent forty plus years of my life fishing along the North Carolina coast, I have always been one to respect the priviledge of being able to access some of the finest surf fishing in the world. It is a priviledge that I hold dear, and wish very much to see it continue. Throughout all of those years, I have never witnessed a fellow surf angler intentionally do anything that would be considered harmful to either flora or fauna, as most have a tremendous respect for nature. My grandfather took me fishing along the lower outer banks every year, and it wasn't too long before his death that we discovered together, the joys of the northern outer banks. While the beaches of the Crystal Coast have been developed to the point making access very limited, it was always nice to be able to fish in the areas of Avon, Buxton, and Hatteras Village, and enjoy miles of empty beaches. I hope to continue this tradition and take my grandchildren to the OBX and pass on the love and respect of nature to the following generations. My wife and I have averaged ten trips a year to this area, and I usually have a few more trips with our sons and to fish a couple of tournaments. In the early years, we camped at various campgrounds, but as we have gotten older, we either rent houses or stay in a B&B during the trips. Aside for a couple of trips to the lumberyard once in a while, my pick-up's sole duty is to act as a rolling tackle box, hauling us and an arsenal of rods, reels, coolers, lures, and my wife's favorite, the port-o-potty, along the beaches in a quest for fish. The irony here is that we catch and release nearly everything that we catch, except for speckled trout and a couple of striper per year. We'd rather purchase the fish that are already destined for the dinner table and support the commercial fisherman than take any more. It is my sincerest wish to be able to continue having access to this great resource in the future. I am confident that a plan can be developed that will preserve access to the beaches as well and provide protection to the species in question. Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: 465 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Paradise, Robert

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Mike Murray,
Please protect the Cape Hatteras National Seashore from ORV's. Give these people a small chunk of land away from the seashore and let them destroy it to their hearts content, but get them off the habitat for the turtles and plovers. This is a National Seashore, not just for abuse by a small group.
Thank you Robert Paradise

Correspondence ID: 466 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schatz, Joseph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: The article in the Jan.-Feb. Audubon magazine about Cape Hatteras Nat. Seashore both shocked and angered me. The flouting of other federal laws as well as the General Authorities Act and the executive orders of two past presidents which forbade ORV use unless it can be demonstrated that it won't compromise natural values is clear. But the seashore's own enabling legislation that it be permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness is quite apparently meaningless! To read that the Park Service is terrified of the ORV people and their lobby is disgusting! I am once again ashamed of our federal government and its bureaucrats for its cowardice and catering to big moneyed interests. What are you being paid for?? I am sickened and angry at the callous and uncivilized attitude of the nasty, adolescent behavior of the ORV owners. And am likewise disgusted with the NPS for your spineless disregard of the nation's laws, so typical of the Bush administration.
Sincerely, Mrs. Joseph Schatz

Correspondence ID: 467 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schulz, Rick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: As an avid fisherman who enjoys surf fishing I feel the right/ privilege to drive on the outerbanks beaches should be protected in our National Seashore and surrounding townships. I also feel that shore birds such as the piping plover should be protected and the it is the National Park Service's responsibility to insure this (within its jurisdiction). Sure, fishing at the point might be better than the north beach or ramp 34, but for the survival of a species I'll deal with temporary beach closure or convoy style guided passage thru nesting areas. If some kind of compromise can be reached to allow anglers to prime fishing spots I'm all for it - however I think the birds, turtles, etc. should be protected first and foremost.
Thanks for listening Rick Schulz

Correspondence ID: 468 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brooks, Barb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: 1. Someone has to steph forward and protect wildlife regardless of monetary press. It is the law 2 Break law - make fine reflect seriousness of crime - Large fine 1 or 2000\$ - revoke fishing license for a meaningful period of time - 1 + years 3. There is room for both - fishermen are proving the law is a scoff-law when it comes to them I just really don't see why the below can't work - fish = sea - birds = behind dunes/ponds - road in middle

Correspondence ID: 469 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lannon, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray,
I read with horror the Ted Williams article "Beach Bums" in the most recent Audubon magazine. The mandate of the Park Service is to preserve and protect for future generations - not to hand the park over to any special interest groups because they resort to bullying and violence. The Joni Mitchell song that warns "you pave paradise and put up a parking lot" could have been written for Hatteras Island.
Thank you, Ellen Lannon

Correspondence ID: 470 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bockus, Ronald A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Sir: I am saddened by what I have today read in Audubon. You know the contents of the article. It does correspond to what I have seen on occasional visits to the seashore. There are better examples of seashore management, including restriction of motor vehicle use, including on the Great lakes. I urge you to do what you can to return Cape Hatteras national Seashore to a quieter, less confrontational, and more prestine environment.

Correspondence ID: 471 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stempler, Mark N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 15:13:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I wish to thank the Park Service for holding the public comment meeting in Washington, D.C. I also wish to urge the adoption of a policy that will establish the minimum restrictions necessary on ORV use at Cape Hatteras, to meet valid environmental concerns. Your goal should be the maximum access consistent with regulatory and statutory obligations of the NPS, not the minimum access allowable without impermissible restrictions on use of ORV. As many of the speakers said at the meeting, ORV use for access to the beach (particulary by handicapped and aged individuals), fishing and general enjoyment of the remote natural environment is deeply engrained in the local residents and the many visitors, I have visited the Outer Banks at least once, almost every year I have been alive and many years visit from Northern Viginia four times. The Outer Banks is a unique experience and unduly restricting use of ORVs would cause many to visit elsewhere. The ability to use ORVs over such a large area with a great deal of freedom is what sets this park apart from almost every other location in the country.

Correspondence ID: 472 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, John W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
John Davis

Correspondence ID: 473 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Tostanoski, DeeDee

Outside Organization: citizen Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:42:39

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
DeeDee Tostanoski

Correspondence ID: 474 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Drozda, Donna J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:43:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Donna Drozda

Correspondence ID: 475 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: LANDAU, STUART A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000481

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Stuart A. Landau, MD

Correspondence ID: 476 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 477 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Clendenning, Cami B

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:44:20

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cami B. Clendenning Claymont, DE 19703

Correspondence ID: 478 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Langley, Mark

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Sirs

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Mark Langley

Correspondence ID: 479 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: O'Connor, Maura

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who treasures the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I urge you to create a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation, specifically:

- 1) Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from harm, and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses; and
- 2) During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna".

Moreover, a Presidential Order issued in 1972 directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values".

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's primitive wilderness values as well as species protection.

Sincerely, Maura O'Connor

Correspondence ID: 480 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Papas, Christine A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:47:43

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Christa McKenzie

Correspondence ID: 481 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Leigh Clark

Correspondence ID: 482 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Willis, Kristen S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:48:21

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Kristen Willis

Correspondence ID: 483 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peterson, Linda L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:49:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 484 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:50:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Julie Parcels

Correspondence ID: 485 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scarrow, Will & Nedra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:50:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

The Wilderness Society is a non-profit organization dedicated to conserving American wilderness. Our mission is to ensure that future generations will enjoy the clean air and water, wildlife, beauty, and opportunity for recreation and renewal provided by pristine forests, rivers, deserts, and mountains. As a subscriber to WildAlert, you join more than 300,000 Wilderness Society members and supporters in our efforts to protect and restore America's wild places.

Correspondence ID: 486 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bedrick

Correspondence ID: 487 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brady, Geraldine -
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:51:08
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 488 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
A private citizen

Correspondence ID: 489 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morrissey, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:51:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Janet Morrissey

Correspondence ID: 490 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mecking, Ursula
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:52:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Ursula Mecking

Correspondence ID: 491 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Carol A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:52:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Carol Thompson

Correspondence ID: 492 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Norris, Brenda J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:53:01

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Brenda J. Norris

Correspondence ID: 493 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bravehawk, Kenneth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:53:05

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I live in North Carolina and treasure all the natural places in the state; but mostly I love the outerbacks. My people came from there, they are the Coree Indian Trib. My Dad's people were originally from Ireland, and came here in 1557. We need to preserve thd outer banks and I support anything that would get this done.

Correspondence ID: 494 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schreiner, Steve P

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 16:53:05

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Steve Schreiner

Correspondence ID: 495 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Koepsell, Richard C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Richard C. Koepsell

Correspondence ID: 496 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Anderson, Terry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. I was at the Seashore this December looking for birds and was shocked at the beach traffic. I used to live in North Carolina and treasured its natural areas. Lets keep this place from being spoiled by unrestricted vehicle traffic.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Terry Anderson

Correspondence ID: 497 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Streeter, Marjorie M
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:55:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely yours,
Marjorie Streeter

Correspondence ID: 498 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hardman, PhD, Peg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:56:34
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Peg Hardman a wistful "once a resident of the area"

Correspondence ID: 499 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Turnbaugh, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 16:56:37
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
I spoke at a public hearing held in Washington DC last year concerning ORV use in Cape Hatteras. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Brian Turnbaugh

Correspondence ID: 500 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: St. John, Elizabeth F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:00:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Elizabeth St. John

Correspondence ID: 501 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hamilton, Bonnie L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:01:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Bonnie Hamilton

Correspondence ID: 502 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neuben, Rose F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:01:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras' National Seashore, there should be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection, and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Rose Neuben

Correspondence ID: 503 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schogel, David K

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 17:02:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
David K Schogel

Correspondence ID: 504 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ruckdeschel, Jenny R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 17:02:20

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jenny Ruckdeschel

Correspondence ID: 505 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bridy, Joseph

Outside Organization: AIA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Joseph & Donna Bridy

Correspondence ID: 506 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Copeland, MaryJane
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
As a taxpayer of NC, I am whole-heartedly AGAINST off-road vehicles being allowed on our National Sea Shores!
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 507 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scherl, Marvin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Marvin S. Scherl

Correspondence ID: 508 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kendall, Sarah A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. As a child and teenager, I visited Cape Hatteras yearly, and treasure my memories of the shore. Unfortunately, off-road vehicle use has completely destroyed my enjoyment of the park, and I have not visited there since 2000. I can only imagine what the effects on the species who cannot leave must be.
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from harm. Under the act, their critical habitat must protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. In addition to proving your commitment to environmental protection, this will increase human visitors' ability to enjoy this unique island.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Sarah Kendall

Correspondence ID: 509 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:05:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Aurora Smith

Correspondence ID: 510 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kalan, Susan A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:06:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely

Correspondence ID: 511 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Roth, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who frequently visits and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
David Roth

Correspondence ID: 512 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:07:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Christa Dailey

Correspondence ID: 513 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Andrew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 17:08:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Andrew Johnson

Correspondence ID: 514 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins Jr., Paul M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 17:08:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Paul M. Collins, Jr.

Correspondence ID: 515 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 17:09:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 516 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cordes, Emily S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Emily Cordes

Correspondence ID:	517	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Redding, Sherley				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.				

Correspondence ID:	518	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, N/A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 17:12:44				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for considering my comments. Sincerely, Carrie Dombeck				

Correspondence ID:	519	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, N/A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 17:13:25				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Rolf Radicke				

Correspondence ID:	520	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Gaal, Denise				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: * Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses * During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no				

0000493

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Maybe we should only use the off-road vehicles for emergency only, not pleasure riding. This is important to change.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Denise Gaal

Correspondence ID: 521 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Saccoccio, Rob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:14:35
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Rob Saccoccio

Correspondence ID: 522 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:16:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Alex Wright

Correspondence ID: 523 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kreh, Donald W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:19:20
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Donald Kreh

Correspondence ID: 524 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hebberger, Jo Anna

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:19:45
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Jo Anna Hebbberger

Correspondence ID: 525 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Zarazowski, Jeannine

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 17:20:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Jeannine Zarazowski

Correspondence ID: 526 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 17:20:40

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Alice Curtis

Correspondence ID: 527 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fahey, Nancy H

Outside Organization: Wrightsville Beach Sea Turtle Project Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 17:20:51

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000495

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 528 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Schuster, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:21:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please no off road vehiles on any National seashores, anywhere!!!!!!!!!!

Correspondence ID: 529 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stunja, Wendy K
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:23:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
W.K. Stunja

Correspondence ID: 530 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ritter, Melinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:24:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 531 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Semler, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Charles Semler

Correspondence ID: 532 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snyder, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 17:28:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
William L. Snyder

Correspondence ID: 533 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Wolf, Margaret
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 17:28:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have loved Cape Hatteras National Seashore for my entire life, and I feel strongly that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. It is virtually impossible to enjoy the seashore at the present time because of the massive use and destruction of it by off-road vehicles, and wildlife is in constant peril due to the use of off-road vehicles on the seashore.
Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act (such as the piping plover) and their critical habitat MUST be protected for THEIR use to the exclusion of other uses if those other uses are potentially harmful to the wildlife;
During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be ABSOLUTELY OFF-LIMITS to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds and turtles are able to nest and fledge without potential harm from off-road vehicles/
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" In a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, I ask that you give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values and protecting wildlife.

Correspondence ID: 534 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blacknight, Bruce H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Rev. Bruce H. Blacknight

Correspondence ID: 535 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hudson, Mihcael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Michael Hudson

Correspondence ID: 536 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ostrander, H.Marie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:43:02
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I feel it is extremely important to protect the natural treasures we still have. Allowing off-road vehicles in this area would be the beginning of the end of this beautiful area. These vehicles destroy the habitat and create erosion conditions. They are also very noisy and would disturb the natural biological inhabitants.
 Human's are the biggest destroyer of our natural beauty and environment. Please do NOT give access to our natural places to this kind of recreation. It would destroy something precious that cannot be replaced. Thank you for your attention.
 H.Marie Ostrander A concerned citizen of this beautiful state.

Correspondence ID: 537 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kennedy, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Erin Kennedy

Correspondence ID: 538 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 539 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000498

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 540 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Greene, Howard D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 541 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Gina Blanton

Correspondence ID: 542 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hall, Gimone

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 543 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 17:50:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Wayne Williams

Correspondence ID: 544 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fiorini, Mark T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 17:51:02

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Mark Fiorini

Correspondence ID: 545 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Nash, Barbara A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Barbara Nash

Correspondence ID: 546 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 17:52:13

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000500

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Madison 825 Madison Ave. Morgantown, WV 26501

Correspondence ID: 547 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fine, Michael
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:52:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Although I have never been to Cape Hatteras before, a friend and I will be visiting the area in April. We hope to see the flora and fauna while we are there and not off-road vehicles.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 548 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MARGIE JR., WALTER E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:55:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 549 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gkonos, Peter
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:56:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Peter Gkonos

Correspondence ID: 550 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Franklin, Sandra E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:57:55
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sandra E. Franklin

Correspondence ID: 551 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:
Dear Superintendent,
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Deborah Brown
"The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated." -Gandhi

Correspondence ID: 552 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 17:58:17
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 553 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cole, Ph.D., Merrill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:
Dear Superintendent:
The Wilderness Society has alerted me to the necessity of a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values that the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I urge you to consider species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Please be sure to make sure off-road vehicles do not critically damage the habitat of wildlife protected under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover. During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be completely off-limits to off-road vehicles in order to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna." In a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 554 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blackburn, Ronald M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:01:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:
Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Ronald M. Blackburn

Correspondence ID: 555 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:02:16

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ms.McToole

Correspondence ID: 556 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Howie, May Elizabeth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Mary Elizabeth Howie

Correspondence ID: 557 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wagner, Eric

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Eric Wagner

Correspondence ID: 558 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Osburn, Ruth D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or

scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ruth Osburn

Correspondence ID: 559 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dixon, Donald R

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 18:07:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Donald Ray Dixon

Correspondence ID: 560 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Holcombew, Norman T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 18:07:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Norman Holcombe

Correspondence ID: 561 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hollabaugh, Steven D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 18:09:31

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Steven D. Hollabaugh

Correspondence ID: 562 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Barnhardt, Becki

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-

road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 563 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Thomas, Sally M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 18:11:39

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

My husband and I visited Cape Hatteras for the first time in 1967 just after we were married. We fell in love with this beautiful, precious area and have visited it as often as possible through the years. We feel that it is a national treasure.

We have been distressed to note in more recent times that off-road vehicles seem to have taken over and have never understood why they are not controlled as in other areas of the U.S. and other parts of the world. I realize that some people enjoy using them, but a delicate natural system like Cape Hatteras suffers disproportionately. The many walkers and beachcombers have to give up the natural beauty and quietness of the area. Wildlife and plant species suffer. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sally M. Thomas

Correspondence ID: 564 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 18:13:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 565 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Springmann, Marcus

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Marcus Springmann

Correspondence ID: 566 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Varias, Stamatios H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Stamatios H Varias

Correspondence ID: 567 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Carol L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:15:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Carol L Collins 1935 Nault Road

Correspondence ID: 568 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Carol L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:16:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Carol L Collins 1935 Nault Road Dover, DE 19904

Correspondence ID: 569 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:17:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

0000506

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 570 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Ward, Shirley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:18:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Shirley Ward

Correspondence ID: 571 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Strowd, Richard E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:18:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Richard E. Strowd

Correspondence ID: 573 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McMullin, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:20:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a natural area that should be protected. Off-road vehicles are very damaging to the environment. Please completely ban the use of off-road vehicles in Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 574 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yarnell, Susan L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:22:02
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or

scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Susan Yarnell

Correspondence ID: 575 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Levitt, Lacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, should be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Lacey Levitt

Correspondence ID: 576 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fenn, Jim
Outside Organization: Citizen of US Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
All of the off road vehicles should be forbidden in this area since it is a refuge for wild animals. But to allow noisy, polluting off road vehicles during mating season threatens the wild life it was set aside to protect.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Jim Fenn

Correspondence ID: 577 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mirabella, August
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:27:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
August Mirabella

Correspondence ID: 578 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access

and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

Correspondence ID: 579 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Worley, Doyle L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:35:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sin Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Doyle L.Worley

Correspondence ID: 580 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Siemion, Bob
Outside Organization: Wildlife Federation Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 18:37:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Bob Siemion

Correspondence ID:	581	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Skloven, Lydia				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 18:39:28				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Lydia Skloven				

Correspondence ID:	582	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Selke, Nancy K				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 18:41:09				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Nancy Kay Selke				

Correspondence ID:	583	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Wagoner, Jennings L				
Outside Organization:	Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 18:43:38				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Thank you for the Wilderness Alert regarding cars, etc. on the beach at Hatteras Island. As a fisherman, I enjoy the convenience of driving close to the surf, but understand and appreciate the value of preserving the wildlife and sandy beaches in a primitive state. When there is a conflict between recreation values and wildlife protection, I throw my support behind protecting the environment and wildlife.				

Correspondence ID:	584	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Huber, Linda S				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 18:45:18				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Linda S. Huber				

Correspondence ID:	585	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
---------------------------	-----	-----------------	-------	------------------	-------

Name: Davis, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Susan Davis Virginia Beach, VA

Correspondence ID: 586 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shamblyn, Susan L D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 I am amazed that off-road vehicles are allowed in the National Seashore. In the past my family often enjoyed visits to the beach but in the last ten years the visits became so unpleasant due to off-road vehicles and jet ski vehicles that we stopped going to the NC beaches six years ago. As a NC native and someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 I hope that you will in determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. NC beaches are so built up, so destroyed, please stop the destruction of this place.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 587 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: FLengas, James P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, James P Flengas

Correspondence ID: 588 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: James, Stella A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:02:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000511

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Stella James

Correspondence ID: 589 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Phillips, Susan P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I live on a NC barrier island (Bogue Banks) and am saddened by the rapid increase in development we have allowed to take place on an already developed barrier island. Please do everything in your power to protect what remaining wild lands and wildlife we have left.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Susan Phillips Pine Knoll Shores, NC

Correspondence ID: 590 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:08:21
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 591 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: baker, kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Kelly Baker

Correspondence ID: 592 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Dang, Teo M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:13:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I love the Island and everything about it. I come to Hatteras about 10 weekends in a year, mostly for fishing. I like to be able to drive my truck on the beach, fish, picnic, spend the weekend there. The Island has brought me many memories that I'll cherish for a life time. I caught my biggest fish and have made some of the best of friends there. There isn't a day I don't think about going to Hatteras. I just takes all of the things that caught up in your everyday life away. It brings me peace and joyce. I hope to be able to come here and do the things that I like. And hope to teach my kids and grand kids to do these same thing. Keep the beach open for everyone for generations to come.

Correspondence ID: 593 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Jaffe, Lynne D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:18:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Lynne Jaffe

Correspondence ID: 594 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Capobianco, Anthony A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:18:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Anthony A. Capobianco

Correspondence ID: 595 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Purrell, Richard E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:19:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Rich and Sue Purrell

Correspondence ID: 596 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mychkovsky, Ihor
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:21:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Ihor Mychkovsky

Correspondence ID: 597 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Carter, Nancy & Howard

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 19:21:50

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 598 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Avarese, Katharine

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Katharine Avarese

Correspondence ID: 599 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Byra, Patti

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 19:27:50

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent, I would like to comment on the closing of the beaches to driving in Cape Hatteras National Seashore for periods of time to protect the turtles and birds. The protection of the wildlife of the area is important to the National Park programs as shown by the wildlife refuges that are present. I have been a visitor to the Outer Banks since 1966 and have always enjoyed and appreciated the wild nature of the undeveloped areas. Driving on the beach is a privilege, not a right. The beaches will still be open to the fisherman for many of the top fishing times. Please limit beach driving during the crucial times to protect the birds and turtles. Thank you for your time. Patti Byra

Correspondence ID: 600 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cherry, Rev. J. H

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access

and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Rev. J. Howard Cherry

Correspondence ID: 601 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Burian, Anthony

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 19:30:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Anthony Burian

Correspondence ID: 602 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Allen, Christina R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 19:30:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who visits and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Christina Allen

Correspondence ID: 603 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 19:31:08

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 604 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goetze, Karen M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:31:20
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Karen Goetze

Correspondence ID: 605 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Riley, Paul W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 606 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name Alicia Kunz

Correspondence ID: 607 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Jonathon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:39:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please protect this unique and stunning landscape, so little is left like this no hotel high rises sprwaling strip malls, tract mcmansions. And of course load smelly ATV's keep it simple and pure no more off road vehicals

0000516

Correspondence ID: 608 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grega, Meagan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:39:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Meagan Grega, MD

Correspondence ID: 609 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Mack
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:41:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Mack Smith

Correspondence ID: 610 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Nancy M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:44:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Nancy Alexander

Correspondence ID: 611 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Plunkett, Warren D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 19:46:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000517

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Dee Plunkett

Correspondence ID: 612 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rhea, Tina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:46:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My husband and I have been to Cape Hatteras National Seashore and hope to go again, but there needs to be some control on off-road vehicle access in order to protect the wildlife and the people who are not in vehicles.
The first priority must be to protect native species listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover. They and their habitat are crucial and must be protected before any other use of federal land is considered.
During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. The roar of the racetrack is not what we go to a national seashore to hear.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Tina Rhea

Correspondence ID: 613 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stanton, Sue A
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:51:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Sue A. Stanton

Correspondence ID: 614 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Michenzi, Matt L
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 19:55:37
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Matt Michenzi

Correspondence ID: 615 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bialeschki, Deb

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who has visited many times the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and treasures those visits, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Deb Bialeschki

Correspondence ID: 616 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: kerr, bob a
Outside Organization: self Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 20:02:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Your Name

Correspondence ID: 617 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: mays, blair
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 20:03:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 B. Mays

Correspondence ID: 618 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Luthy, D. Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000519

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 619 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cannon, John

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:06:44

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

John R. Cannon, Ph.D. Conservation Biologist

Correspondence ID: 620 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Carlson, James R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, James R. Carlson

Correspondence ID: 621 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mowbray, Robert N

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Robert N. Mowbray Forest Ecologist Natural Resource Management Specialist

Correspondence ID: 622 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mac Krell, Thomas

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:15:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have traveled through all fifty states and visited many of our National Parks, Forests and Wilderness areas. In every case where ATVs, Dune buggies and/or snowmobiles are allowed the condition of the area has deteriorated to a severe degree. Places that are intended to be safe havens for wildlife and flora should not be opened to the willful destruction caused by these type vehicles. Recreation does not include destruction. Please ban these vehicles from the Cape Hatteras area. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 623 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sutton, Brian K

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:16:13

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Brian K. Sutton 34 Kennedy Avenue Bardstown, KY 40004-9123

Correspondence ID: 624 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bourdon, Abbey

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Sincerely,

Abbey Bourdon

Correspondence ID: 625 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Deucher, Alex

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Alex Deucher

Correspondence ID: 626 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:20:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000521

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 627 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who has visited Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 628 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Turk, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 20:24:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

We often vacation in this area and relatives have a time-share condo. The noise, pollution, intrusion and unpleasantness of off-road vehicles makes this a much less desirable place to visit.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Pat Turk

Correspondence ID: 629 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: hebron, theresa c
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 20:27:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: dear sir, please protect all of the inhabitants of Cape Hatteras - animal and human. your actions will decide whether or not our children and our children's children's children will enjoy nature's bounty in the future. so, please take care of mother earth or you will experience the wrath of mother nature in the coming years.

Correspondence ID: 630 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hazzard, Norman D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Norm Hazzard

Correspondence ID: 631 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hemstreet, Steven

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and who visits about once a year to go diving off Moorehead City. I love the lighthouse in Cape Hatteras along with the beaches and I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Steven Hemstreet Glenn Dale, MD 20769

Correspondence ID: 632 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sloan, Jacqueline C

Outside Organization: Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:39:52

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Jacqueline C. Sloan

Correspondence ID: 633 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Minton, Mark A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:42:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Mark Minton

Correspondence ID: 634 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leghart, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 20:45:46
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
Please do not allow off-road vehicles to destroy the peace, quiet and natural wild life of this beautiful place. I want my children and grandchildren and generations to come to experience Cape Hatteras as I have.
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Linda C. Leghart

Correspondence ID: 635 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hammond, Stephanie N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 636 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Manheim, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 637 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Waxman, Edward R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 20:57:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Edward Waxman

Correspondence ID: 638 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dale, Emily R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 20:57:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Emily Dale

Correspondence ID: 639 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Griffith, Ralph G

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:02:49

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ralph G. Griffith

Correspondence ID: 640 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Webb, Eada J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:05:33

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 641 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reding, William L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 21:07:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Rev. William L. Reding, Jr.

Correspondence ID: 642 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Corkhill, Douglas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 21:12:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Douglas Corkhill

Correspondence ID: 643 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: McLaughlin, Lea
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 21:14:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I remember visiting Cape Hatteras seashore as a child. I remember the sense of wonder and happiness I felt. It was so beautiful. While I know that people have fun with off-road vehicles, they can have fun doing less destructive things. We don't have that choice when it comes to protecting our irreplaceable natural heritage and the species the natural environment supports. I would like to suggest the following:

- Any species listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- Nesting protection for birds and turtles is Critical. The beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles during nesting times. This protection is vital to the survival of the species.

I don't have to remind you that Cape Hatteras is a National Seashore, "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" In a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

I know you value this precious resource. Please do all that you can to ensure that our "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection, are the top priority.

Thank you for the chance to express my views. I appreciate all of your concern and good work.

Correspondence ID: 644 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000526

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Amy Knight

Correspondence ID: 645 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bannister, Susan

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:25:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Cape Hatteras National Seashore is home to nearly 400 species of birds and turtles, as well as historic lighthouses, old shipwrecks, and magnificently scenic wild beaches. Off-road vehicles on the beach at Cape Hatteras are virtually unregulated. The result is that every season the Seashore experiences numerous bird and sea turtle fatalities due to off-road vehicle use. Nesting attempts by birds and sea turtles are aborted, eggs are crushed, and the almost 90 percent of Seashore visitors who travel on foot, my family included, often find the beach monopolized by off-road vehicles.

As a visitor to Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I believe there is a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land & species values, and non-motorized recreation. Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act and their critical habitat, such as the piping plover, must be protected from harm, even to the exclusion of other uses Off-road vehicles must be prohibited from beaches during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, to ensure they are able to nest, and that young birds are able to fledge, without disturbance or harm.

Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, stating that it would be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and NO development . . . for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be INCOMPATIBLE with the PRESERVATION of the unique flora and fauna..." A Presidential Order issued in 1972 by President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...ONLY if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT their NATURAL, aesthetic, or scenic values." [caps, mine]

As is the mandate for Hatteras National Seashore, priority must be given to protecting and maintaing the Seashore's primitive wilderness values and species preservation, before and above any off-road vehicle use determinations. Please make retaining the Seashore's unique natural environment your first management priority.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 646 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bouchelle, Don

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:25:40

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The beach access is the only reason I visit The Outer banks, Without Beach ORV access I have no reason to return to the state. I will take my vacations elsewhere. I promise! Thank you, Don.....

Correspondence ID: 647 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Warfle, Jamee L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:31:34

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jamee Warfle

Correspondence ID: 648 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: hopkins, marcia l

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Marcia L. Hopkins

Correspondence ID: 649 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Johnson, Pat W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who has visited the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for more than 30 years, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

- There are a lot more off-road vehicles now than there were when I first went to Cape Hatteras some 30 yrs ago, when I was a kid. Times have changed, we need to strike a real balance here.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Pat Johnson

Correspondence ID: 650 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bailey, Ann M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:40:10

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Ann Bailey, long time vacationer at your beach!! Please consider these comments seriously!! Thank you!!

Correspondence ID: 651 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Beidel, Sandy S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 21:40:37

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sandy Beidel

Correspondence ID: 652 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clements, Patricia J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Patricia Clements

Correspondence ID: 653 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dickens, Phd, Charles D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Charles Dickens, Phd.

Correspondence ID: 654 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Klesh, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 21:48:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jennifer A. Klesh

Correspondence ID: 655 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000529

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Maryann Simpson

Correspondence ID: 656 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Nelson, Thomas W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Nelson

Correspondence ID: 657 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Dimick, Robert M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 21:58:52

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Bob Dimick

Correspondence ID: 658 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09,2007 21:59:03

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Russ Naranjo

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 659 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Berkheimer, Nicole
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Nicole berkheimer

Correspondence ID: 660 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Falcone, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Janet Falcone

Correspondence ID: 661 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Anna M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 22:21:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Anna Hill

Correspondence ID: 662 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Marks, Jeremy N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 22:21:47
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000531

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Nathan Marks

Correspondence ID: 663 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brownlee, Victoria R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and having camped there as a child in the '60's before the bridges were added(along with all the unnecessary and, in my opinion, illegal commercialization!)I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Victoria R Brownlee

Correspondence ID: 664 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chiavola, Kathy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Kathy Chiavola

Correspondence ID: 665 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: rickenbach, deborah j

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 666 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hubbert, Margaret F
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Margaret Hubbert

Correspondence ID: 667 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yorty, Christine A
Outside Organization: DAWN Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 22:50:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My husband and his friends have been "four wheeling" on Cape Hatteras since they were in their teens. They are now in their fifties, but still love driving their big, four wheel drive vehicles on the beach. Unfortunately, they see the beach as their own private playground, not as a National Seashore and national treasure to be treated tenderly and lovingly.
 Worse, they are not alone in their abusive treatment of the delicate environment on Cape Hatteras. There are others who spoil the environment by driving in inappropriate locations, littering, etc. Once a nest is run over, plants are up rooted or trash is washed out to sea, the damage is done. Please save the plants, animals and dunes for future generations. Other areas, both parks and beaches, close during nesting times; so should Hatteras. While it is nice to be able to sit alone on the beach, it is better for all of us, if we sit a bit closer and give nature some privacy.
 Please consider limiting the four wheel driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 668 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: GRIB, Dawn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who is concerned for our environment and who has fond memories of honeymooning at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Dawn Grib

Correspondence ID: 669 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Mary Reilly

Correspondence ID: 670 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allred, William A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 23:16:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I have kept a wary eye on the state of vehicle access along the Outer Banks beaches of North Carolina ever since I first became interested in the sport of surf fishing a few years back. As a recent environmental biology graduate with a good awareness of the importance of careful conservation and biodiversity maintenance, as well as a lifelong, extremely avid sportsman I can honestly lay claim to a clear view of both sides of the argument. It bothers me that such a divergence has been established between the environmentalists and the sportsmen as both of these groups share some very key, fundamental ideals. Though generally split politically as conservatives and liberals, these two groups both strive to maintain healthy ecosystems, and both live for the enjoyment that can only be found through time spent enjoying nature and making great use of everything she has to offer. Given this shared interest, I am baffled by the extent to which these two groups fail to collaborate for the best possible outcome. Extreme environmentalists, particularly the elitist groups which seem most capable of pouring money into the most important hands, want minimal beach access. Hardcore conservative surf fishermen want almost unrestricted beach access. Obviously neither of these approaches is conducive to the maintenance of a good environment in the general sense of the well being of the Outer Banks. Unrestricted driving access along the beaches would result in destruction of already imperiled bird populations. This is wholly unacceptable and, neatly enough, already out of the picture. Surf fishermen, especially the younger generations, know quite well the importance of each and every one of our biological resources. Many understand the importance of protecting populations of species which in years past would have been scoffed at as useless, and this is of the utmost importance in the long run. Mindsets are changing for the better over time, easing towards that all-important middle ground. The acceptance of ecological responsibilities along the Outer Banks by fishermen is very important, and very convenient as these people are key to the economic well-being of the Outer Banks. Many people along the islands from grocery store owners to lodging operators to bait shop owners make a living off of the large numbers of surf fishermen present there each year. Money spent on fishing items goes directly into conservation on a federal level, and now thanks to the implementation of the saltwater fishing license each and every fisherman makes a \$15 or greater contribution on the state level as well. Given the number of once-a-year trippers to the Outer Banks, especially those coming from out of state, this is a huge source of conservation resource money for the coastal ecosystems. A main draw of these beaches is not only their productiveness but also the easy access afforded by allowance of beach driving. This appeals to a huge range of people, as the combination of productiveness and easy access found at the Outer Banks is unparalleled in any reasonably nearby locations. This great degree of access, albeit shrunk over time, has no doubt killed some birds. The environmentalists' worries are not without some merit, as the nesting habits of shore birds makes their eggs highly vulnerable to passing vehicles. Hard work on the part of conservation workers has led to the protection of many birds, and indeed the populations of many struggling shorebird species are on the comeback. This simply is not enough to please everyone, hence the presence of many people pleading for the complete abolishment of beach driving. Less driven on beach would, over time, equate to more nesting areas, and thereby even healthier shorebird populations. This idea has huge appeal given the huge importance of biodiversity. Large scale recovery and stabilization of shorebird populations would be quickened greatly by such extreme measures, making life alot easier for Aves members and environmentalists involved. Unfortunately a nasty creature known as reality blocks the way a bit as far as unabashed environmentalism is concerned. This blocker appears as cost. Habitat maintenance and population monitoring cost money. While there are sources of income for conservation groups in the form of private contributors and environmental groups, this does not make up for the loss of income the island would face on the heels of a blockage of vehicular beach access. Sportsmen provide more money to conservation than any other type of outdoor enthusiasts, and as was previously stated they are a huge source of income to the Outer Banks as a whole. It follows quite logically therefore that sportsmen and environmentalists should work together to solve the problem of beach habitat loss, bird nesting ground problems, and maintenance of fiscal and human well being along the islands. There are simply much better options than closing excessive amounts of beach to fishermen. A popular source of income in locations away from the Outer Banks is a mandatory beach driving pass. Costs vary depending on the locale and no doubt an Outer Banks pass could command a pretty penny. A logical approach would be to offer a low priced, three to five day pass and a costlier annual pass. The money from these passes could go directly into research and personnel pay to aid in the maintenance of shore bird nesting sites and populations as a whole. This money and man power combined would allow for closing of important portions of the beach, maximized utilisation of allotted resources for the birds, and therefore a good, healthy population state in the long run. This middle-ground approach would also ensure the well-being of the oft-despised but absolutely important human population on the island. Having fished the islands a fair amount in the past year I can say I was pleased with the protection afforded to shore nesters. Additionally I was delighted to have so much beach to drive on, so many areas to fish, and I was glad to spend a good bit of money on bait, tackle, and lodging in the area. Although enjoyability of the Outer Banks extends well beyond the fishing, I can honestly say further barring of vehicular beach access would make future visits, a seven hour drive, a questionable proposition at best. Given the clear merit of the just-mentioned pass option, as well as the presence of other options made possible by the newly enstated saltwater fishing license, I personally request that no further beach access is lost to vehicles barring clear, easily available reasoning behind the loss.

Correspondence ID: 671 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Salsburg, Eric A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 23:30:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Eric Salsburg

Correspondence ID: 672 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

John L. Templer

Correspondence ID: 673 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Quick, Holly

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Holly Quick

Correspondence ID: 674 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wellman, Tennyson J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, TJ Wellman

Correspondence ID: 675 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:55:20

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Joseph Barnoski

Correspondence ID: 676 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whitcombe, Timothy V
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

--- Timothy Whitcombe Richmond, Virginia

Correspondence ID: 677 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Villaume, Mary Lyn R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 01:15:55
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mary Lyn Villaume

Correspondence ID: 678 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Claire Friedenber

Correspondence ID: 679 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Jo Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 02:05:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, JoAnne Martin

Correspondence ID: 680 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fullerton, Richard S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Though I am by birth, a native of the Western Mountains of our fair state, and a ridgerunner with little taste for sand, I STILL am a North Carolinian who has visited and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Richard Fullerton

Correspondence ID: 681 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Avery, Thomas A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 02:26:38

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Thomas A. Avery

Correspondence ID: 682 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Vaughan, Lisa

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Lisa Vaughan

ps: Maybe if we encouraged people to walk along the seashore, we could reap many other benefits: Better health--physically, spiritually, mentally, as

0000537

well as probable weight loss; less pollution (less need for ATVs, SUVs in general); oneness with the environment--has been known to lead to empathy instead of disconnect.

Correspondence ID: 683 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 03:27:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Siobhan Kaliher

Correspondence ID: 684 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rellihan, Kathleen A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 03:50:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Kathleen Rellihan

Correspondence ID: 685 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Botkin, Martin R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As a native North Carolinian and someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Dr. Martin R. Botkin

Correspondence ID: 686 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirkstadt, Jill
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 04:00:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

0000538

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jill Kirkstadt

Correspondence ID: 687 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Michael Bedford

Correspondence ID: 688 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Phoebe, Ruth A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 04:27:25

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ruth A. Phoebe

Correspondence ID: 689 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kosta, Rose

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 04:32:37

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Rose Costa

Correspondence ID: 690 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mistal, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 04:40:08
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

I have not visited this lovely place yet but my co-workers go every year. From their pictures and stories, I would like to visit Cape Hatteras in the future. However, if off-road vehicles are not regulated and it destroys the natural beauty, then not only would this hurt the environment, I would not want to vacation here. Please do the right thing and protect the area.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Amy Mistal

Correspondence ID: 691 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Winters, Robert W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 04:41:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Robert W. Winters

Correspondence ID: 692 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yarnell, Linda M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 04:47:35
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: In Memoriam: Alexander "Sandy" Sprunt IV 1928-2007

Dear Superintendent:

The letter below is a form letter provided by The Wilderness Society, but I have a personal reason for joining in this effort.

This week my cousin Alexander "Sandy" Sprunt IV died. He, and his father Alexander Sprunt were pioneer naturalists and conservationists. Both were active leaders in The Audubon Society. They did research, wrote books and articles, and devoted their lives to God's beautiful world and the creatures in it.

I hope that the Cape Hatteras National Seashore can remain a part of this natural world. Off-road vehicles would be destructive to this.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Linda Yarnell

Correspondence ID: 693 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pendleton, Lelia C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 04:55:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Lelia Pendleton

Correspondence ID: 694 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Henderson, Anita A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As a resident of North Carolina and someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I believe we need a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure these animals are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Anita Henderson

Correspondence ID: 695 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 05:11:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, pecies protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 K.Gill

Correspondence ID: 696 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: hunt, linda
Outside Organization: wilderness society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 05:12:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Linda Hunt

Correspondence ID: 697 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Berg, Howard W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:22:56

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Howard W.Berg

Correspondence ID: 698 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schubert, Susanne M

Outside Organization: reporter Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:23:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 699 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Barnes, Ben F

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:25:46

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ben Barnes

Correspondence ID: 700 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hoke, Harlie J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:26:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: When I visited Cape Hatteras National Seashore last summer I was appaled at the noise and destructiveness of the off road vehicles. There was no quiet or peace to be found there whatsoever.

I could only think back tothe time when I was there in 1970 and the beautiful solitude I found then.

All off road vehicles should be BANNED PERMANENTLY. It is not a racetrack.

Harlie J Hoke 424 Mirror Lake Rd Salisbury NC 28146

Correspondence ID: 701 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hester, Edward L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 702 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Morton, Orman

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:34:33

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Orman E. Morton III

Correspondence ID: 703 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Leinweber, Judy L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:43:31

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

I have spent time at Avon, and I found it to be absolutely beautiful and soul-cleansing. I had lots of fun with my friends on the beach, and they laughed as I kept throwing pieces of pretzels to a yellow crab who grabbed them right up. I felt like a kid again. Places like Cape Hatteras should always remain as they are -- pristine and beautiful.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Judy Leinweber

Correspondence ID: 704 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brown, Nancy K

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:44:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000543

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

I wish to share both the Seashore and the wildlife with my grandchildren. Tomorrow does come eventually, and when it does, it is too late.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Brown Black Mountain, NC 28711

Correspondence ID: 705 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Coulter, Kathryn

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 05:44:54

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Coulter

Correspondence ID: 706 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brandes, Karen M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 707 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Baskin, Martin

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Martin S. Baskin

Correspondence ID: 708 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cooper, Douglas E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 05:51:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Doug Cooper

Correspondence ID: 709 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 05:51:24
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep off-road vehicles off of Cape Hatteras. Thank you. Sincerely, Deb Friedman

Correspondence ID: 710 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bundy, John
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, John F. Bundy

Correspondence ID: 711 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Phillips, Brenda&Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 More over,off the road vechiles are not owned enmass by the general public,simply a small minority. It is not them that you are caving into but the manufactures of these off the road vechiles.
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Brenda & Robert Phillips

Correspondence ID: 712 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: McDonald, James M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:04:19
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: March 9, 2007
 Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, we vacation there often and I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 713 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Deibel, Carolyn R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Having enjoyed the beauty of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for about 25 years, we are very respectful of preserving it and think others should as well.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Carolyn R. Deibel

Correspondence ID: 714 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bair, Esq., Patrick H

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:10:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Patrick H. Bair, Esq.

Correspondence ID: 715 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lampman, Gary L

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:11:14

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000546

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Gary Lampman

Correspondence ID: 716 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hildenbrand, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:12:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jim Hildenbrand

Correspondence ID: 717 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Elmlinger, Lynne M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 718 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Levy, Noel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:14:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Noel Levy

Correspondence ID: 719 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Mary E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:14:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

To truly keep the Cape Hatteras Seashore a National Seashore, there has to be a balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land, species protection, and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Without this balance, species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, will lose their critical habitat.

During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Mary Alexander

Correspondence ID: 720 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dickerson, Gail L

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:17:28

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Gail Dickerson

Correspondence ID: 721 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Panella, Ruth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:17:33

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

Please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you if you are considering the animals and other life.

Sincerely,

Ruth Panella

Correspondence ID: 722 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:17:48

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Andrew

Correspondence ID: 723 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cowan, Eva D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:18:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Eva D. Cowan

Correspondence ID: 724 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name
joe shaw

Correspondence ID: 725 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: VanDruff, Joy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:22:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Joy VanDruff

Correspondence ID: 726 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rockwell, Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:23:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

0000549

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Beth Rockwell

Correspondence ID: 727 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Beavers, Nancy H

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:23:53

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Nancy Beavers

Correspondence ID: 728 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Dukovich, John

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:31:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The golden rule regarding human use of the environment (we no longer live in the environment -- we use it) is balance. We see this in everything from pesticide use, to over fishing, to development and construction on barrier islands.

We need balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values; species protection and non-motorized recreation.

For example, animal nesting periods are relatively brief, but highly vulnerable windows of opportunity. Disrupting them causes disproportionate damage to the continuation of species. During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

It's all about balance -- must humans have 24/7, 365-day access to drive on beaches? What is the necessity? For those of us who enjoy walking on beaches and watching wildlife, off-road vehicle users disrupt our right to do so. Let's give the little critters a grace period to allow them to nest, hatch, and continue their species.

Let me remind you that when Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Also, in 1972, President Nixon (Republic Party) directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." High priority must be given to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Correspondence ID: 729 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Henninger, M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 06:32:54

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I have visited and fished the area many times over a 30 year period, in later years using a 4WD vehicle for beach access. I have always been amazed at the fact that beach access is free and unsupervised. I think there needs to be more regulation of access at certain times and in certain areas, and I also think there should be a fee for use, as there is in most other 4WD beach areas, which could go a long way to support programs and maintenance of the area. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, M Henninger

Correspondence ID: 730 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ebersberger, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:35:53
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Wendy Ebersberger

Correspondence ID: 731 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Dr. Bonnie J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:43:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please, sirs, help protect this treasured area and its wildlife from the incredible damage done by off-road vehicles! There's just no need for them there.

The damage careless use of off-road vehicles do is plain for any and all to see. Please help look out for the welfare of the many folk who prefer a stable, healthy beach environment there year after year over the short-term, thrill-seeking pleasures of those who want just to go out and "tear up some sand." Get the off-road vehicles out.

Thank you for your time and consideration -
Bonnie J. Smith, D.V.M., Ph.D.

Correspondence ID: 732 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Castelli, Nicole
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Nicole Castelli

Correspondence ID: 733 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rupert, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:53:17
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jim Rupert

Correspondence ID: 734 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:53:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 735 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: GOOLSBY, VIRGINIA T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:56:22
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore AND ONE OF MY FAVORITE PLACES TO VISIT, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." WE HAVE LOST SIGHT OF THE ORIGINAL REASONS THE NATIONAL SEASHORE WAS ESTABLISHED.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. THERE ARE OTHER PLACES FOR OFF-ROAD VEHICLES - NOT ON THE NATIONAL SEASHORE!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Virginia Goolsby

Correspondence ID: 736 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: JOHNSON, DOUG & WIN
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 06:56:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: March 9, 2007

Cape Hatteras National Seashore stretches 70 miles down the coast of North Carolina and is home to historic lighthouses, old shipwrecks and nearly 400 species of birds and turtles. Remarkably, driving off-road vehicles on the beach not only thrives at Cape Hatteras, it is virtually unregulated.

The result? Every season, the Seashore experiences numerous bird and sea turtle fatalities due to off-road vehicle use. Nesting attempts by birds and sea turtles are aborted, eggs are crushed and the roughly 90 percent of Seashore visitors that travel on foot find the beach monopolized by off-road vehicles.

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
DOUG & WIN JOHNSON

The Wilderness Society is a non-profit organization dedicated to conserving American wilderness. Our mission is to ensure that future generations will enjoy the clean air and water, wildlife, beauty, and opportunity for recreation and renewal provided by pristine forests, rivers, deserts, and mountains. As a subscriber to WildAlert, you join more than 300,000 Wilderness Society members and supporters in our efforts to protect and restore America's

wild places.

To unsubscribe or update your address and account information, please visit your subscription management page for The WildAlert at:
<http://action.wilderness.org/wilderness/smp.tcl?nkey=ine7582atnwjlm&>

Correspondence ID: 737 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: adams, carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:00:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 738 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tice, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:01:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:
I live in North Carolina and I resent that off-road vehicles are taking over the sensitive and (previously) beautiful, quiet beaches along the coast. Cape Hatteras is a National Seashore--a protected area for wildlife, not 'the wild life' of driving all over the dunes and beach. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. And that baby turtles won't get run over on their way back to the ocean. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Janet Tice

Correspondence ID: 739 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mesler, Corey M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Corey Mesler

Correspondence ID: 740 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Appell, Ellen D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Ellen Appell

Correspondence ID: 741 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000553

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Shelley Frazier

Correspondence ID: 742 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gilbert, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Jane & Donald Gilbert

We have often vacationed on Cape Hatteras. It is beautiful and deserves to be protected from any and all disruptions.

Correspondence ID: 743 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shoemaker, David M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:12:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Many, many people and families come to the shores of North Carolina to enjoy the pleasures of Cape Hatteras and are unable to do so due to the vehicles that are racing up and down the beach endangering the lives of those that are stretched out of the sand. These vehicles are also destroying the wild life that live in the area and the turtles that lay their eggs on the beach. Please preserve this area for the pleasure of future generations.

Correspondence ID: 744 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ranieri, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:14:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

This summer will be my 27th year vacationing on the Outer Banks. During this time I have become an avid shore bird watcher. I have noticed with alarm the near disappearance of certain species of birds, most particularly the piping plover. As you well know, Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a critical habitat for this species and many others. One of the greatest hazards to endangered species is off-road vehicles disturbing or destroying nesting sites. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wildlife as well as opportunities for non-motorized recreation. During critical nesting periods, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. These restrictions should also apply during sea turtles' nesting periods to ensure the safety of their nests. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

I know that there will be vocal opposition to restricting off-road vehicle access to the beaches as this is a very popular activity; however, in determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for considering my views. I will look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 745 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Coombs, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:20:45
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As a North Carolinian who uses the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I think the user experience and endangered species such as Piping Plovers and sea turtles need to be protected from wide spread off-road vehicle access.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Stephen Coombs

Correspondence ID: 746 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Green, Carol A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Carol A. Green

Correspondence ID: 747 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tymkiw, Liz L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:25:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Liz Tymkiw

Correspondence ID: 748 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Honey, Ellen S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To the Superintendent:
As a new grandmother to two beautiful little girls, I am rediscovering the wonder of animals, snow, water and the earth itself. I ask you to protect our land so that my granddaughters can enjoy the birds and turtles of the Cape. There will always be those who want to race across virgin ground destroying whatever is in their path-those folks can hit the open road.
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you,
Ellen S. Honey

Correspondence ID: 749 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McKee, Sally J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:30:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
My family has vacationed on the Outer Banks for many years now, and I have become concerned about the amount of development on the island, which brings more and more visitors. While I certainly can understand the appeal, and I believe that it's a wonderful place for families to vacation, I think we need to be ever more vigilant on the impact to the beaches and wild areas and wildlife, or it will quickly disappear - in the same time it takes my children to bring their children for a wonderful Cape Hatteras vacation.
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access

and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

I understand how popular the area is for fishing - I've seen the beaches covered with the vehicles. I've also used the access myself, as my husband is disabled and the only way to get him on the beach is to drive. HOWEVER, I'd be more than happy with a restricted area, even smaller than the one now, if it would save and preserve the area and the wildlife that need it for survival - certainly a priority over the recreational needs of humans.

I've seen small areas roped off for turtles, and it's just not enough - and the roped off areas just draw people over, defeating the purpose of protection.

I've also had the horrible and sad experience of walking up on the body of a dead sea turtle, and seen many mangled bodies of bird that were struck by vehicles. This is a sad sight to encounter when strolling the beaches.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Sally McKee

Correspondence ID: 750 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: , E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:33:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Do not allow off road vehicles to destroy what it took God thousands of years to create. Cape Hatteras is such a beautiful area. Here, where I live they allowed off roaders in certain areas, but that wasn't good enough for them. They didn't keep to the trails, and started making their own trails on private landowners land without permission. This caused quite a stir and a some arrests. Eventually the township closed the trails because of all the damage that was done on its land and the private land. Another bad aspect was litter. It increased 100%. So please don't allow off roaders destroy what you have.

Correspondence ID: 751 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kramer, Lauren A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:36:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Lauren Kramer

Correspondence ID: 752 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clark, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:36:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please stop the off road traffic at Hatteras. As a child I have fond memories of walking the beaches looking for shells. I remember the calmness of the early morning and the screech of the birds. This should be continued for future generations. Off road vehicles only tear up the beach and destroy nature. Please see that it is stopped. Thank you very much.

Correspondence ID: 753 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Flygar, Rita F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:39:45
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I feel that off-road vehicles should not be allowed because it is a beautiful pristine area, Wildlife will be disturbed. In this time the animals don't have alot of options for wilderness. Please keep it vehicle free. Thank you

Correspondence ID: 754 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scanlan, Peter
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:43:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

0000556

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Peter Scanlan

Correspondence ID: 755 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Handler, Marianne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:43:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Not only are off road vehicles dangerous to animals, but to humans too. Too much noise, use precious gasoline, and all for the thrill of speed. What happened to quiet contemplation of nature while walking?

Sincerely, Marianne Handler

Correspondence ID: 756 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neiderhiser, Jenae
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

I have been to few places as beautiful and in need of careful protection. Please do not allow off-road vehicle use.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jenae Neiderhiser

Correspondence ID: 757 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kevany, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:51:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Michael & Kathryn Kevany

Correspondence ID: 758 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: das, sougato
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:51:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name
Sougato

Correspondence ID: 759 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: wigand, sunni
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 07:52:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Sunni Wigand Doylestown, PA

Correspondence ID: 760 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hubbard, Robert V
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 08:04:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Robert V. Hubbard

Correspondence ID: 761 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinnant, John F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 08:06:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As a lifetime resident of eastern North Carolina and someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized

recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
John F. Hinnant

Correspondence ID: 762 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Leghart, Robert

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 08:10:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Robert Leghart

Correspondence ID: 763 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hearne, Phil

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

I DO NOT OBJECT TO USE OF OFF-ROAD VEHICLES FOR WHAT THEY ARE INTENDED, TRANSPORTATION OF PEOPLE AND EQUIPMENT TO AREAS UNREACHABLE VIA ROADS. HOWEVER, I DO OBJECT TO PERMITTING THE VEHICLES TO BE USED FOR RACING AND SPEEDING WITHIN THE WILDERNESS AREAS JUST FOR THE EXHILARATION OF THE DRIVERS. LET THE OFF-ROADERS FIND A COMMERCIAL RURAL AREA TO GET THRILLS LIKE THAT. THEY SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO DRIVE LIKE THAT, OR BE GIVEN LICENSE TO,IN PARKS OR WILDERNESS AREAS. THAT GOES AGAINST THE SPIRIT OF THE PARK SYSTEM DESPITE THE REVENUE IMPLICATIONS.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Phil Hearne

Correspondence ID: 764 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Thomas, Barbara A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000559

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Barbara A. Thomas

Correspondence ID: 765 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Leanna Frankland

Correspondence ID: 766 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Orr, Maria e

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name maria e. orr

Correspondence ID: 767 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: DeFee Mendik, Natalie

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 08:26:30

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Natalie DeFee Mendik

Correspondence ID: 768 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Hayes, Amy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 08:35:04

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely Amy Hayes

Correspondence ID: 769 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Komishock, Paul

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 08:35:43

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Paul K Omishock Jr.

Correspondence ID: 770 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Vinett, William G

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Bill Vinett

Correspondence ID: 771 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Burrell, C. Colston

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 08:46:54

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000561

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
C. Colston Burrell

Correspondence ID: 772 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McHugh, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Melissa McHugh

Correspondence ID: 773 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Jo-Ann A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 08:57:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jo-Ann Moore

Correspondence ID: 774 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 08:58:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Carter Todd

Correspondence ID: 775 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Citizen, Concerned
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000562

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 776 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hill, Michael L

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Michael L. Hill

Correspondence ID: 777 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Chet Hepburn

Correspondence ID: 778 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brensinger, Elizabeth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:06:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I strongly urge a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Elizabeth A. Brensinger New Tripoli, PA

Correspondence ID: 779 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As a North Carolinian and someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Jeaneane Williams

Correspondence ID: 780 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adams, Margaret A
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Margaret Adams

Correspondence ID: 781 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fedorov, Karen L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses I am a frequent visitor to the outer banks and cherish the beauty of the beaches and dunes. I especially enjoy the peacefulness when we happen to be there "off season." One of the highlights of any visit is spying wildlife in the air or land or sea. This doesn't happen nearly as often as I would wish. Those areas which have been specifically set aside for the protection of the animals and plants should be permanently kept safe and secure.
 I live in a rural area and have the misfortune to live near neighbors who allow their children to drive around in noisy, polluting and dangerous off-road vehicles. While they do not drive on our own property, they do roar around in the fields and woods right next to us. It is loud and intrusive, but we, at least, can go inside and close our windows to lessen the noise (although we cannot shut it out completely!) The native plants and animals do not have that ability...that area IS their home! I really feel that the National Seashore should be off limits to off-road vehicles ALL the time, not just during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles. There are other places that these people and their vehicles can go. The birds and turtles cannot go elsewhere! However, if they can only be banned during the nesting periods, than that is better than nothing!
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a PRIMITIVE wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Karen Fedorov

Correspondence ID: 782 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Meisner, Lora D
Outside Organization: Real Rockville Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 09:29:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Lora Meisner

Correspondence ID: 783 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wurts, Teresa B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 09:32:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Teresa B. Wurts

Correspondence ID: 784 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Cofer, Rosalba
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 09:32:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Rosalba Cofer

Correspondence ID: 785 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Franklin, Jenny D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 09:33:46
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000565

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 786 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Deibel, Charlotte A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:34:10

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Charlotte

Correspondence ID: 787 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kistler, Robert J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:34:11

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As a frequent visitor to Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Hatteras is a wonderful, beautiful place...let's keep it that way.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Kistler

Correspondence ID: 788 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bentley, Kathy M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:38:56

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kathy Bentley

Correspondence ID: 789 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sterner, Elizabeth I

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:39:42

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Plesae protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore's fragile eco-system from overuse by off road vehicles. This area and its non-human inhabitants should be protected from the ravages of 4 wheelers, motorbikes etc. Also, the humans who wish to enjoy the area in peaceful quiet should be allowed to do so. There is already too much machine generated noise in our world. Studies have shown that people need quiet places and times to alleviate stress in their lives.

Certainly, the turtles, birds, insects, mammals etc that inhabit the Cape Hatteras National Sea Shore need protection from unscrupulous users of the area. Please do not let someones short term pleasure ruin an ecosystem for the long term.

Correspondence ID: 790 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gerlach, Mary

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:40:41

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I have visited the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, many times over the years. We have loved our time there, except for the EXCESSIVE ORV use. I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

In many of the National Seashore Parks along the East Coast of Maryland & Delaware, they have restricted ORV use to small areas, and gone to the effort to close off areas when there is nesting. Wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the Piping Plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for this birds use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses. During critical nesting periods for birds AND turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. Turtle populations are just as important for protectionn.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and NO development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be INcompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna."

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "PRIMITIVE" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Mary Gerlach

Correspondence ID: 791 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ryan-Downing, Christian N

Outside Organization: biology grad. student -Western Kentucky University Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:42:56

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Christian Ryan Downing

Correspondence ID: 792 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fisher Sr, Jack E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 09:44:37

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 793 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schaub, John P

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000567

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
John Schaub

Correspondence ID: 794 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Van Aken, Richard

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 795 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Band, MD, David M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 10:30:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

David M. Band, MD

Correspondence ID: 796 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Horton Jr., C.B.

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 10:31:49

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

C.B. Horton Jr.

Correspondence ID: 797 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Vresilovic, Kelly

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000568

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kelly F. Vresilovic 201 Kent Road Ardmore, PA 19003

Correspondence ID: 798 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Craig, Russell

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 10:37:23

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Wilderness means to me an area which is free, and protected, of usual and common access roads. It should not be regarded as an open range, free to be transversed by any and all forms of transport. I would like to see limitations placed on vehicle access to "wilderness" areas, such that people can be brought close to the edge of the area, to enter it on foot only. Hopefully, the people who access a wilderness area have in mind the protection of wildlife that calls it home, and respect that during their visit.

Correspondence ID: 799 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Palacky, Tami

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 10:39:56

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 800 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Barrigar, Gary and Nancy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 10:49:53

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Gary and Nancy Barrigar

Correspondence ID: 801 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rose, Rhonda

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 10:51:49

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Rose

Correspondence ID: 802 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bostick, Carol S.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 10:53:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Carol S. Bostick

Correspondence ID: 803 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stone, Edward A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 I am a Virginia native currently living in Oregon. I grew up making frequent visits to North Carolina's Outer Banks and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore. As you are no doubt aware, this is an area of exceptional natural beauty which benefits from its unusually remote location.
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Edward Stone

Correspondence ID: 804 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Stevens, Jenny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 10:55:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Jenny Stevens

Correspondence ID: 805 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Prevost, Mary E
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 10:59:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Mary Prevost

Correspondence ID: 806 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Apperson, Robert S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:02:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name
Robert S. Apperson

Correspondence ID: 807 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Collier, Kent

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:10:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Please continue to allow vehicles on the Cape Hatteras beaches. The NPS pendulum has swung to far in favor of limiting access. Public lands that aren't easily accessible by average Americans are of little value. Currently, public lands are managed for the rich, ruling elites.

Sincerely,
Kent Collier

Correspondence ID: 808 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hewitt, Laura S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Laura Hewitt

Correspondence ID: 809 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, nicole

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:13:33

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000571

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Nicole Dost

Correspondence ID: 810 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bertz, Joseph P

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:15:03

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 811 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pallas, Margaret

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Margaret Pallas

Correspondence ID: 812 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Shirley Pohl

Correspondence ID: 813 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Russak, Greg

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:27:13

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and who will be vacationing again there this year, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Greg Russak

Correspondence ID: 814 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: VILLEMUER, KATHY a

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:28:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kathy Villemuer

Correspondence ID: 815 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Samusick, Christine

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:48:04

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Christine Samusick

Correspondence ID: 816 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Nees, Alexander M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 11:48:14

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000573

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Alexander Nees

Correspondence ID: 817 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Baldwin, Dr. Robert E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 818 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Shomo, Frank T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Dr. Frank T. Shomo

Correspondence ID: 819 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Woods, Terry

Outside Organization: nature conservancy Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: I have visited the Cape Hatteras National Seashore many times throughout the past 45 yrs and the park and area are special to me. I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- It is vital during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." It is clear that their use will adversely affect the Park.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Mr Terry Woods

Correspondence ID: 820 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Engle, Eliza B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Eliza Beth Engle

Correspondence ID: 821 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pasch, Marjorie C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:05:16

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

We have only one world to leave our children, and we must act as its stewards.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 822 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: hakim, sy

Outside Organization: wilderness society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:20:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 823 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:23:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have spent many vacations with my family as child going to the outerbanks. I have always enjoyed the near pristine and wildness of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The expectations and my understanding is the National Park Service mission includes protecting the this land and it's wildlife. I don't like the changes I observed with the traffic and crowds of people. I can not believe all the new structures in Nags Head and beyond, they are unreal, I have prefered Ocracoke myself but since it has been awhile since I have visited I have a sense of dread going back there and seeing the changes. My commnet would be to keep all vehicles away from nesting birds and sea turtles. The distruction caused by off road vehicles is unbelievable. Thank you for this opportunity to submmit comments.

Correspondence ID: 824 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Yun, Diana

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:24:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Diana Yun

Correspondence ID: 825 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Deans, Daniel B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 12:29:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I have lived most of my life on the Atlantic seashore, on beaches that sea turtles use as nesting grounds. I understand the importance of keeping these areas safe for nature. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Daniel Deans Ashburn VA

Correspondence ID: 826 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Byrne, Brenda
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 12:32:22
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Brenda Byrne

Correspondence ID: 827 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: clotworthy, shawn m
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 12:35:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Shawn Clotworthy

Correspondence ID: 828 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Peter C. Foltz

Correspondence ID: 829 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:44:57

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Brenda Yu

Correspondence ID: 830 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Shuta, James M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:46:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who has visited and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

James M Shuta 210 Cavalier Drive Dickson City PA 18519

Correspondence ID: 831 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Wyant, Jean

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Every couple of years I manage to spend some quality vacation time on the North Carolina shore and I treasure my visits to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I try my best to get there at times when off-road vehicles are not allowed there, or when ORV traffic is down, but do not always succeed.

They are the one blight on my visits, and I HEARTILY wish they would cease altogether.

Nearly all of the other visitors I see are pedestrians, and it would be wonderful if we could enjoy the peace and beauty of this site without having to put up with the noise, violence and destruction of off-road vehicles. I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000577

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jean Wyant Crozet VA

Correspondence ID: 832 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Grasso, Dori C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dori Grasso

Correspondence ID: 833 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: MacLean, David

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 12:57:59

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, David MacLean Your Name

Correspondence ID: 834 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bogan, Judith A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 13:01:14

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who has visited and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore as a part of our "national nature heritage", I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Please have the vision and wisdom to protect this area. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Judith A. Bogan

0000578

Correspondence ID: 835 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burke, Dwight E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 13:03:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 836 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 13:04:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Karen Gana

Correspondence ID: 837 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Porter, Duane E
Outside Organization: US Citizen Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 13:29:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Mike Murray & National Park Service?.

I have visited many of our nation's parks. Your wonderful care of our parks have made my families visits most enjoyable. When we look at the Outer Banks of North Carolina, thank God people and organizations such as yourselves had foresight in protecting this region. If you hadn't, the sprawl of commercialization and communities would have destroyed the entire region. Because of you, we still have areas in the same or better condition than existed back before our time. Your efforts have made such an important impact in saving our pristine lands, endangered species and history. You are a direct reason why many once endangered species are now thriving and those currently endangered are coming back. It is your teachings to young and adults over the decades that have made a society that is aware of our responsibility to preserve and protect our lands and nature. This is an outstanding accomplishment and one that will continue for ever.

Many of our Federal and State agencies over the years have become so "Mighty Than Thou" in attitude. The individuals running these agencies try to bolster their authority/power through developing and implementing more and more regulations that have no real justification. These regulations seem to be there only to show they have the power to control people and push their own narrow agendas. You are much better than that and please do not become part of this horrible destructive group.

You do not need to put more restrictions on our access to our seashores. It will not have the positive effect as you may hope. It could very well reverse all the efforts you've made thus far. Let me explain. 99% of us that use Off Road Vehicles (ORV) to access our seashores are active in our responsibility to preserve and protect our lands and nature. We are the eyes and ears for the rangers. We stop abuses whenever we see them occurring. We are the front line deterrent to destruction and protector of nature. We know the beauty and pleasures these regions provide will never last if we don't. So, when you reduce our access and increase restrictions on ORVs, 99% of the people you are removing from certain areas and discouraging from others are your frontline eyes, ears, preservists and protectors. The only ones left will be those that do not care. They can never be regulated out of these areas and there are not enough rangers to stop them. Destruction of our regions will increase. Remember, it is your teachings over the decades that have made us such good Stewards. Do not throw away nor disregard your efforts for the sake of flexing power and authority of narrow agendas.

Resources to protect our lands and nature are limited. They must be used where it will have the most impact. If your serious and want to protect our shores then focus on stopping large netting and any type of dragging within a 1/2 mile of our shores by anyone. It is down right wrong for such activities taking place near our shores and in our tributaries.

Thank you for reading this ????? Duane Porter, 245 Sky Lane, Pittsboro, NC 27312

Correspondence ID: 838 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gormel, Mark R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 13:35:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access

and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Mark R Gormel

Correspondence ID: 839 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pendze, Irene W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 13:36:44

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Irene Pendze

Correspondence ID: 840 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Clark, Bernadette

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 13:45:56

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Bernadette Clark

Correspondence ID: 841 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bates, Peter V

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Dr. Peter Bates

Correspondence ID: 842 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Lellinger, J.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
J. Lellinger

Correspondence ID: 843 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morello, Phyl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 13:56:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My family & I love love love Cape Hatteras. Please do not allow any motorized vehicle, especially any ORV to destroy this place.
ORVs really can do some major damage. Please do not let any area of Cape Hatteras be destroyed by these polluting, loud, destructive machines that human carelessness causes.
Thank you for your time
Phyl Morello

Correspondence ID: 844 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reynolds, Ken G
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 13:57:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Ken Reynolds

Correspondence ID: 845 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Persun, J. Eric
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:02:50
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 846 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meyer, Sally C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Sally Meyer

Correspondence ID: 847 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Marsh, Ingrid M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:18:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 848 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Marsh, Ingrid M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:19:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Ingrid Marsh

Correspondence ID: 849 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leyser, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:28:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Barbara Leyser

Correspondence ID: 850 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DellaLoggia, Denis
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Denis DellaLoggia

Correspondence ID: 851 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ro Brendle

Correspondence ID: 852 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hammond, Robert L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:43:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Robert Hammond

Correspondence ID: 853 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brimm, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:43:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely

Correspondence ID: 854 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pendze, Stanley M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:50:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Stanley Pendze

Correspondence ID: 855 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:54:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
M. Wolfe

Correspondence ID: 856 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moumin, Adrienne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 14:54:47
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000584

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Moumin

Correspondence ID: 857 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Segal, Evalyn F.

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 15:00:10

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I lived in North Carolina for many years and I visited Cape Hatteras National Seashore more than once. The Outer Banks and Cape Hatteras are a precious national treasure. Wildlands should remain wild, and native wildlife must be protected. That's priority number 1.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

There needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 858 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Entley, Hilary

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 15:00:34

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Hilary Entley

Correspondence ID: 859 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Marsh, Gail R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 15:01:04

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I have walked the beach at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore many times. I see no reason for anyone to drive on the beach. There are many access points and cars and trucks can drive on the roads to reach an appropriate access point to be near the place on the beach where they wish to fish, picnic or walk.

Some off-road vehicle drivers are careful of wildlife and people, but too many are not. I have had several heart-stopping moments when a vehicle passed me closely coming from behind undetected until they were abreast of me.

Both nesting birds and nesting turtles need to be protected from both vehicle and foot traffic during some periods of the year. Keeping vehicles off the beaches during those periods would be especially useful.

It should be noted that when Cape Hatteras was established it was supposed to be kept as a primitive wilderness. There was not even to be areas for visitors unless it was compatible with the mission of preservation of the flora and fauna. The natural, aesthetic and scenic values were to be maintained when ever trails or other uses were being contemplated. Certainly seeing the deep ruts that off-road vehicles leave behind them when they travel the beach seems incompatible with maintaining those natural, aesthetic and scenic values.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, I hope you will largely restrict the vehicles to parking at access areas and keep them off the beach itself.

Sincerely, Gail R. Marsh

Correspondence ID: 860 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: S., Kim

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 15:09:41
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 861 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Judy McAuley

Correspondence ID: 862 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schaefer, Kerwin L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

In addition to all of the above, I feel that off-road vehicles do *not* in any way, shape, or form deserve the right to ruin sensitive environmental areas by their presence. They have become a threat to many national parks and nature preserves, to the point where their use should be entirely banned from such places.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 863 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dennis, Jakob H

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 15:55:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000586

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jake Dennis

Correspondence ID: 864 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Frank, Harriette M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 16:00:27

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 865 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sawdon, Rosemarie G

Outside Organization: Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 16:03:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

I love to visit the area for its natural beauty and serenity. No vehicles should EVER be allowed in this wilderness.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie G. Sawdon

Correspondence ID: 866 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Shaw, Kate

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 16:04:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I love Cape Hatteras. Please don't let this glorious national monument and reserve be destroyed. There are already too many vehicles there, and nothing is very far from the road.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kate Shaw Solebury, PA

Correspondence ID: 867 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hopkins, Lisa D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 16:12:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Your Name
 Lisa D. Hopkins

Correspondence ID: 868 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kendrick, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 Cape Hatteras National Seashore is one of our Nation's most valuable treasures - a remarkable resource. For the sake of tranquility for us visitors, preservation of wild land values, and species protection, virtually all off-road vehicles should be banned.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
 - At a bare minimum, during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without danger.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." Nearly any use of off-road vehicles on Cape Hatteras is incompatible with these principles.
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give utmost priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you.
 Sincerely,
 Cindy Kendrick

Correspondence ID: 869 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hawkins, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I believe that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
 During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for your time,
 Paul Hawkins

Correspondence ID: 870 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Paul, Christopher J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 16:28:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Sir/Madam,
 I'm a North Carolina native, and my whole life I have known and appreciated our national treasure here in my own state: Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I learned about it in elementary school, and have visited with friends and family. I believe that we, you, need to protect this amazing place for what it is, a natural sea shore.
 There are many places where individuals may do other recreational activities, but for places of extreme cultural and natural heritage, we need to take measures to sustainably protect them. This includes the general environment and setting, and the species there. I feel that there need to be necessary restrictions of off-road vehicle access as a default precautionary measure, with allowances for such access made only with careful deliberation and rule-making.
 This includes careful protection for endangered species (birds, turtles, and others) and their habitat, especially during vulnerable periods such as nesting.

0000588

Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore that should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
Please protect this National Treasure in North Carolina, and preserve its true nature.
Thank you, Chris

Correspondence ID: 871 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Patch, Frances
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 16:37:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As an annual visitor to the area and someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Frances Patch

Correspondence ID: 872 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fessick, Marlene
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 16:40:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I feel offroad vehicle use should be regulated so as not to interfere with wildlife breeding and welfare. We should take wildlife into consideration when making rules for use of our public lands.

Correspondence ID: 873 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buff, Evelyn A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Evelyn A. Buff, MPH

Correspondence ID: 874 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Massado, Karon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 16:52:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Karon Massado

Correspondence ID: 875 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Book, Joan E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 16:56:52
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Joan E. Book

Correspondence ID: 876 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Marjean W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 17:09:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 877 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nadle, Jon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 17:24:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

My family and I love visiting seashores, and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It's upsetting to see so much uncontrolled off-roading, which tends to trash the beach, disturb wildlife, and disturb visitors who wish to use the beach in other ways.

There needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Jon Nadle

Correspondence ID: 878 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Derr, Betty C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 17:34:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have camped for many years at Hatteras. It is a wonderful spot in the world and needs to be respected and cared for. Off road vehicles will quickly destroy a treasure. Thank you for your consideration

Correspondence ID: 879 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Abbott, Heather M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 17:47:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Heather Abbott

Correspondence ID: 880 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: PHELPS, JAMES E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:
As someone who grew-up treasuring Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that much needs to be done to limit off-road vehicle access to it and to protect wild species. More opportunities for non-motorized recreation should be considered and the use of destructive vehicles severally limited. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must absolutely be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat forever protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of all other uses
- Particularly during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be strictly off-limits to all off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
As you are aware, when Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...ONLY if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Ban the use of motorized vehicles in as many areas of this beautiful seashore as possible.
Thanks for this opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 881 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: thompson, dina t
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 18:13:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Dina Thompson

Correspondence ID: 882 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jensen, Pamela J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 18:23:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Off-road vehicles do not belong in a wilderness area such as Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I lived in North Carolina and visited the National Seashore many times. I enjoy the natural beauty of the area - and wish to continue to do so. Please protect nesting birds and other wildlife by banning off-road vehicles. Thankyou.

Correspondence ID: 883 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cardlin, Dorothy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 18:27:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Dorothy Cardlin

Correspondence ID: 884 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: stubbs, luann p

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that the protection of wild land values and habitat and species protection should be more important than opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
- Particularly during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Let the "off-roaders" find a road instead of tearing up a national treasure.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 885 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Tamara Friedler

Correspondence ID: 886 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bond, Melanie R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 18:55:06

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who vacations on the Outer Banks every year and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000592

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. I don't find sharing the seashore with environmental pollution from gas-guzzling SUVs and other off-road vehicles a pleasant experience. Should this area not be preserved I will be looking for a more natural, unspoiled location at which to vacation in the future.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Melanie R. Bond

Correspondence ID: 887 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Raymond, Debra

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 18:57:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Debra Raymond

Correspondence ID: 888 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Prutzman, Kaitlyn E

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kaitlyn Prutzman

Correspondence ID: 889 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Boyer, Rae L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 19:01:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Rae Lynn Boyer-Douberly

Correspondence ID: 890 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 19:12:51

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Susan Rennolds

Correspondence ID: 891 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Glover, Brian D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Though you may recognize the note below as a form letter, I'd like you to know that I endorse it personally. I grew up in Daytona Beach, FL, so I know a lot about cars on the beach, as well as turtle populations. I now live part-time in Greenville, NC, so I'm quite nearby. Please preserve one of our last wild coastlines.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Brian Glover

Correspondence ID: 892 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Jack Gegner

Correspondence ID: 893 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Levin, Jon L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Jon Levin

Correspondence ID: 894 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lima, Paul
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 19:28:21
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Keep redneck trash off my beach!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 895 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goodman, Robert M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Robert M Goodman

Correspondence ID: 896 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: peregrow, greg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 19:38:22
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please make note that I only get to vacation down to the outer banks once a year and greatly appreciate it too I might add. Beautiful place, beautiful people and with it's abundant natural resources, especially the beach! Don't know as to why vehicles would be not permitted to access this beach? Pause for amoment and think about the ramifications of not allowing vehicles to access the beaches. How much of an economic impact do I have as single visitor on the area when visiting from out of town? I'm sure that these numbers when accrued as a multiplier with all the other visitors do have a sizable impact on the area. So, please tkae time and think about restricting access to the beaches! Respecfully, Greg

Correspondence ID: 897 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Penczek, Alan
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Alan Penczek

Correspondence ID: 898 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Szabo, Frank W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 20:08:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 899 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schwartz, Donald R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 20:10:20
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name
GO HERE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm>

Correspondence ID: 900 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gibbons, Brian T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Brian T. Gibbons

Correspondence ID: 901 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duncan, Dave
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, appropriate portions of the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Dave Duncan

Correspondence ID: 902 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Abbott, Tonya L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 20:23:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Tonya Abbott

Correspondence ID: 903 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: skibinski, diane m

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 20:41:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Diane M Skibinski

Correspondence ID: 904 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kneiper, Sandra M

Outside Organization: A New Day Ent. Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 21:01:17

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Sandra M. Kneiper

Correspondence ID:	905	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Anderson, Constance				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,10,2007 21:03:59				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Constance Anderson				

Correspondence ID:	906	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Riggs, Roy				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,10,2007 21:13:07				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	I realize something should be done about the proliferation of vehicles on our seashores, but regulation should be the answer, not elimination.				

Correspondence ID:	907	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Kiger-Nelson, Martha J				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,10,2007 21:15:37				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Your Name				

Correspondence ID:	908	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	vosburg, cheryl				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,10,2007 21:18:28				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Cheryl Vosburg				

Correspondence ID:	909	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, N/A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				

Received: Mar,10,2007 21:20:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 910 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Davenport, Philip A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Philip A. Davenport

Correspondence ID: 911 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Eckert, Hugh

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Hugh Eckert

Correspondence ID: 912 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Swanson, Cindy L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000599

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Cindy Swanson

Correspondence ID: 913 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Kristi Katuran

Correspondence ID: 914 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Prichett, Danielle N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Danielle

Correspondence ID: 915 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: ozkan, dogan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
dogan ozkan

Correspondence ID: 916 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Serrano, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,10,2007 22:25:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Serrano

Correspondence ID: 917 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 23:49:07

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Greta

Correspondence ID: 918 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Peleg, Nir

Outside Organization: The Society for excellence in Education Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:14:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Nir Peleg

Correspondence ID: 919 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rosen, Char

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 03:09:34

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a beautiful treasure we must try our best to preserve. Sea shore is a limited entity, there is no creating more. We are loosing so much of our shores to development. The Species that live on our coast everywhere are struggling to exist with us as we hungrily gobble up their habitat. Noise pollution is a huge consideration as well. Off road vehicles are incredibly intrusive with there noise, pollution, and speed at which they travel. Please consider the importance of silence. You have a chance to make a difference. It crucial to it now rather than later. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you,
Sincerely, char rosen

Correspondence ID: 920 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Schone, Carlota A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Our family comes each year to the Outer Banks and we decry the changes and feel that protection is eroding. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Carlota Schone

Correspondence ID: 921 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cottle, Daniel L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 05:34:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 922 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ogden, Louis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 05:52:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Louis Ogden

Correspondence ID: 923 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is PRICELESS. Therefore, as someone who treasures this BEAUTIFUL and WONDEROUS PLACE, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Since this is a NATIONAL PROPERTY, I feel that -
#1 Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 #2 During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Judy Hildenbrand

Correspondence ID: 924 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rose, Robert L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 06:11:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Robert L. Rose

Correspondence ID: 925 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Arday, Susan L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Susan L. Arday

Correspondence ID: 926 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access

and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Todd Cairns

Correspondence ID: 927 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 928 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sprik, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Steve Sprik

Correspondence ID: 929 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bigelow, Valerie
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Val Bigelow

Correspondence ID: 930 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berger, Susan M
Outside Organization: Earthjustice, NWF, Sierra Club, Turkey Vulture Society, Defenders of Wildlife, Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 07:33:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000604

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Susan Berger

Correspondence ID: 931 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: conn, craig c
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 08:03:13
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Craig Conn

Correspondence ID: 932 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Carroll, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Susan Carroll

Correspondence ID: 933 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Townsend, Cherie A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 08:10:53
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 934 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whitten, Wendy L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 08:42:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Wendy L.Whitten

Correspondence ID: 935 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Summers, JR

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 08:47:52

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

JR Summers

Correspondence ID: 936 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Jones, Deanna

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 09:10:14

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Deanna Jones

Correspondence ID: 937 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park

system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Matt Picha NC State student and active voter

Correspondence ID: 938 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kievit, Bruce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 09:30:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My first visit to the outer banks (Avon) was for a weeklong fishing trip in 1991 with my two brothers. It was a terrific trip, and I've tried to get back as often as I can since. For me this is usually one or two weeklong trips per year. The primary reason for my visits is to surf fish, and a very important part of this is beach access with my four wheel drive vehicle. I think that if beach access to four wheel drive vehicles becomes much more restricted, the effect will be that many fishermen will not come to the outer banks, they will try to find somewhere else that is more fishermen friendly. I think many of the local businesses would be hurt by this. Certainly the local bait and tackle shops would be hurt badly, as would lodging businesses, restaurants, and the commercial fishermen who sell bait to the tackle shops. Sincerely Bruce Kievit

Correspondence ID: 939 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Patterson, Steven L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Steven Patterson

Correspondence ID: 940 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Cecelia McCorkle

Correspondence ID: 941 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Palmer, Sarah
Outside Organization: Environmental Defense Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000607

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Sarah L. Palmer

Correspondence ID: 942 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bureman, Andrea

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 10:22:19

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 943 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 10:32:59

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Tony Waggoner

Correspondence ID: 944 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cairns, Karen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 10:41:39

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to comment. And thanks for listening to my comment.

Sincerely,

Karen Cairns

Correspondence ID: 945 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fisher, Keith R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Keith R. Fisher

Correspondence ID: 946 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: McMurdy, Lori L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 11:01:19

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Lori McMurdy

Correspondence ID: 947 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Campbell, Michael K

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 11:07:17

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Keith Campbell

Correspondence ID: 948 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: smith, laura p

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 11:16:43

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or

scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Laura Smith

Correspondence ID: 949 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
MN

Correspondence ID: 950 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Snowden, Patricia

Outside Organization: Environment Committee, Episcopal Diocese of Washington (DC) Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 11:28:40

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Patricia Snowden

Correspondence ID: 951 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Weston, Charmaine

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 11:39:57

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Charmaine Weston

Correspondence ID: 952 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: LeClair, Carol

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 11:51:23

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Off-road vehicles should be prohibited from all public beaches, and should have been banned from Cape Hatteras long before this.

we must protect our treasurer for our grandchildren and at least 7 generations to follow.

Correspondence ID:	953	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Kitterman, Michael P				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,11,2007 12:07:40				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Superintendent:</p> <p>As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. <p>When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."</p> <p>In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p> <p>Sincerely, Michael Kitterman</p>				
Correspondence ID:	954	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Wojtalik, N/A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,11,2007 12:13:34				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Superintendent:</p> <p>As vacationers who treasure Cape Hatteras National Seashore, we feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. <p>When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."</p> <p>In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p> <p>Sincerely, Mr. and Mrs. Wojtalik Parkville, MD</p>				
Correspondence ID:	955	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Cowan, Charlotte L				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,11,2007 12:34:47				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>I attended the Raleigh Public Comment meeting and was impressed by one speaker who requested action to preserve the camping area. I would like to emphasize the need for campers only to have orv access to their areas. There should also be campsites for visitors to wake up to a non-orv area so to experience the "wilderness" of the Outer Banks.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Sincerely, Charlotte Cowan Clemmons NC 27012</p>				
Correspondence ID:	956	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Horner, Dan M				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,11,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Superintendent:</p> <p>As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. <p>When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."</p> <p>In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p>				

Correspondence ID: 957 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowne, Laura B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 12:38:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
I LOVE Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
AS IS DONE AT CHINCOTEAGUE ISLAND, VA -> Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
AS IS DONE AT CHINCOTEAGUE ISLAND, VA -> During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
ALL RECREATIONAL USES - ESP THAT WHICH INCLUDES OFF-ROAD VEHICLE OPERATION in sensitive areas must be secondary to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Laura Bowne

Correspondence ID: 958 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schatz, Robert E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 12:39:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Robert Schatz

Correspondence ID: 959 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Byman, David
Outside Organization: Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who has always wanted to visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. I hope to visit the Seashore this summer. I would be going for the wildlife, not to ride an ATV.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
David Byman

Correspondence ID: 960 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Herrman, Barbara L
Outside Organization: Member Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 12:53:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 961 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kinzer, Lee A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 13:30:10

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I am writing today to say how strongly I feel that there must be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation in Cape Hatteras.

* Native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

* During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Lee Ann Kinzer

Correspondence ID: 962 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Buckley, Kathleen C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 13:36:57

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who visits and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 963 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schultz, Barbara

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 13:39:58

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Barbara Schultz

Correspondence ID: 964 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ward, Carol J

Outside Organization: DAMS-Dental Amalgam Mercury Syndrome Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 14:15:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Years ago I visited Cape Hatteras and found it very beautiful. It should be protected as a wilderness site - if species are allowed to be overrun by off-road vehicles, this is a sad state of affairs. The time to take action on this is now!

Correspondence ID: 965 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: youngcourt, ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 14:34:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please stop motorists from ruining our beaches and watching when the time comes for the turtles to be hatching. there is nothing so beautiful as to see them moving across the roads to get to the water. Please protect what beauty we have left and for the animals and all to go with it,.....

Correspondence ID: 966 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 14:55:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
I am a lifelong resident of North Carolina and I treasure Cape Hatteras National Seashore, as many do. However, there MUST be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from harm and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary, to the exclusion of other uses.
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way, and the turtles will be unharmed.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a PRIMITIVE WILDERNESS and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
Please use your position to act in the interest of ALL of the thousands who come to this jewel, not just those who wish to drive upon it, and to protect the land itself and the wildlife who rely on it. Those in other states have already seen the need and wisdom of protecting these fragile places. When will NC become wise as well?
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
B. Shulman

Correspondence ID: 967 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnston, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 15:01:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Tamara K. Johnston

Correspondence ID: 968 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MacDonald, Keith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 15:04:50
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name
Keith MacDonald

Correspondence ID: 969 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Singleton, John
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 15:08:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 I have visited Cape Hatteras National Seashore on several occasions, and agree with the Wilderness Society's position that that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and environment protection.
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 Please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment. John Singleton

Correspondence ID: 970 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jaslow, Douglas A
Outside Organization: self Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Douglas Jaslow

Correspondence ID: 971 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kissam, David S
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 15:27:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 972 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Raymond B. Nosbaum

Correspondence ID: 973 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Finegan, Chance N
Outside Organization: CHS SPEAK (Students Promoting Environmental Action and Knowledge) Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As students who treasure Cape Hatteras National Seashore, CHS SPEAK (Students Promoting Environmental Action and Knowledge) feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Chance Finegan, Director, Wilderness Advocacy Team, CHS SPEAK

Correspondence ID: 974 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harrell, Margaret A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Margaret A. Harrell

Correspondence ID: 975 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, E
Outside Organization: none Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 16:38:25
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Mrs. E. Smith

Correspondence ID: 976 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lieberman, Laura P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 16:39:50
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

0000616

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 977 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jaccard, Wendy S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Wendy S. Jaccard

Correspondence ID: 978 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reid, Jacqueline V
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Reid

Correspondence ID: 979 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 16:51:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I'm sure that like myself, the majority of visitors to Cape Hatteras come to experience one of the few remaining bits of undeveloped oceanfront land,

which is also a crucial habitat for a variety of endangered or rare species. As such, off road vehicles of any sort should be banned from the area. Besides spoiling the piece and tranquility, they also are destroying, or otherwise negatively affecting this critical habitat, pushing sea turtles, birds and other fauna and flora closer to the brink of extinction. In addition, the degraded environment they are creating will eventually have a negative influence on the tourism industry, to say nothing of the contribution to global warming and higher gasoline prices caused by this needless driving.

Thank you!

Correspondence ID: 980 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Anderson, Harry W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 16:54:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep the beaches open for four wheel drive vehicles and fishermen. I have been coming to the Outerbanks for more than 30 years and fishing access to the beaches is important to me. It is the main reason I have spent so much time (and money) at the Outerbanks. The parks are for all people not just a few misinformed zealots.

Respectfully submitted, Harry Anderson

Correspondence ID: 981 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gleeson, Jill C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 17:33:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 JillGleeson

Correspondence ID: 982 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Manners, Penelope J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 17:33:35
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. In fact, I don't give a damn for off-road vehicles-- they have permanently damaged and degraded enough natural areas without letting them run roughshod over others. Let these "recreation" users speed their machines over landfills, not natural areas (they will never notice).

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 983 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dunn, Nancy D
Outside Organization: Friends of Wildlife Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 17:47:34
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 984 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 18:07:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 985 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Jeffrey, James D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 18:15:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 James D. Jeffrey

Correspondence ID: 986 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ruckdeschel, Katy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 18:17:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Katy Ruckdeschel

Correspondence ID: 987 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howard, Rhee M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 18:37:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, Rhee Howard

Correspondence ID: 988 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robinson, May
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 18:39:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely, May Robinson

system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
May Robinson

Correspondence ID: 989 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Davenport, Cynthia S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Cindy Davenport

Correspondence ID: 990 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Emily Bragonier

Correspondence ID: 991 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kozelka, Paul R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, to the exclusion of other uses, if necessary.

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Paul R. Kozelka

Correspondence ID: 992 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Morrow, Christopher

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000620

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 993 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Buckner, Carolyn M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 994 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Hodge, Kathryn M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 19:18:26

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

After having sea kayaked to Cumberland Island, hiking remote portions of the island by myself, enjoying the solitude, I cannot imagine the noise pollution, the visual insults of being overrun by 4 wheelers, not to mention the sad commentary on sea turtle and bird eggs being destroyed. These animals have a hard enough time finding remote seashores without humans adding to their problems caused by feral pigs and raccoons digging up nests. Please protect their rights on all National Seashores!

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely

Kathryn Hodge

Correspondence ID: 995 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bough, Joseph D

Outside Organization: CHAC Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 19:19:54

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Brief and to the point: Please keep the beaches free and open to all. Thank you Joseph Bough

Correspondence ID: 996 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 19:22:59

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access

0000621

and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Sheri Varner-Munt

Correspondence ID: 997 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Price, S. Gregory

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 19:27:30

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: I write as an American who owns Cape Hatteras National Seashore along with all our other citizens. The National Seashore does not belong only to those who live near it.

I have experienced off-road vehicle use on the beaches of Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge in Virginia. This use is quite restricted there because of wildlife concerns such as the piping plover nesting season. Even so, the part of the shore that is open to vehicles is effectively degraded for nonvehicle use, but tracks, sand displacement, oil, fumes, noise, etc. The tiny amount of undeveloped beach on the Eastern Seaboard is really no place for vehicles.

I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. I ask you to end the practice of off-road vehicle use of this Seashore, or highly restrict it to small areas at certain seasons when wildlife is less affected.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
S. Gregory Price

Correspondence ID: 998 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Philpott, Rebecca

Outside Organization: Cape Fear Garden Club Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Philpott

Correspondence ID: 999 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: kephart, shirley

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 19:50:52

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: please do not turn this into some kind of free for all --- by opening up road for people to come in and destroy it with their cycles and whatever. thank you.

Correspondence ID: 1000 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: De Bellis, Michael

Outside Organization: the wilderness society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Mike De Bellis MD

0000623

Correspondence ID: 1001 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Echevarria, Mari T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and reproduce without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna..."

Also, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

Please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection as you plan your off-road vehicle use management.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mari T. Echevarria

Correspondence ID: 1002 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Little, Ryan D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1003 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mabry, Larry

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 20:28:25

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Sir or Madam:

I respectfully urge you to do your best to protect the wildlife both seasonal and permanent on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have had the pleasure of visiting there a number of times and enjoyed it immensely. I am very concerned about the damage to the wildlife and the wildlife habitat there by ORV's. I am sure you are aware of the runaway development on remaining beaches and close by inland areas. This makes it critical for us to protect those few remaining areas and The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is truly a unique one. Please do whatever it takes to insure the future of wildlife there.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Larry G. Mabry

Correspondence ID: 1004 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 20:36:49

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Shayna Kohan

Correspondence ID: 1005 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Doll, Carl R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 20:46:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Carl R. Doll

Correspondence ID: 1006 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 20:49:53
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Gina Caldwell

Correspondence ID: 1007 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Suzanne N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 21:11:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Suzanne N. Smith

Correspondence ID: 1008 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gardner, Katherine F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 21:51:55
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Katherine Gardner

Correspondence ID: 1009 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wynn, Gareth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 22:00:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Gareth Wynn

Correspondence ID: 1010 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Fisher, Laurie

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Laurie Fisehr

Correspondence ID: 1011 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dedes, Matthew

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Matthew Dedes

Correspondence ID: 1012 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 22:31:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Mary Jo Brinker

Correspondence ID: 1013 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walker, Martha L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 22:49:52
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Martha Lorin Walker

Correspondence ID: 1014 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moeglich, Eric A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 22:51:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Eric Moeglich

Correspondence ID: 1015 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burleson, Ken W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 23:06:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I am a citizen of North Carolina, and I have visited the Outer Banks several times over the years. As a citizen who values Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000627

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1016 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kleshinski, Frank X

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 01:45:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Frank X. Kleshinski

Correspondence ID: 1017 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kinnaman, Gary

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 05:01:21

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Gary Kinnaman

Correspondence ID: 1018 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Weeks, Cynthia H

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 05:05:33

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1019 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Guichard, Oliver

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 05:12:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Oliver Guichard

Correspondence ID: 1020 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 05:28:22

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Susan Workman

Correspondence ID: 1021 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kucek, Peg

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 05:30:42

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Peg Kucek

Correspondence ID: 1022 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Tomberlin, Renee

Outside Organization: OBPA, NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 05:44:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

I will attempt to make this short and easily readable. I will not fill it full of facts and statistics because you will be receiving those from many other sources. I will simply tell you that I would like to see a reasonable balance between protection of wildlife and benefit to humans.

I would like to believe that any money spent on bird protection is actually useful and not just a blantant waste of tax dollars. I do not believe that it is reasonalbe in this day and age to spend \$200K or more per piping plover that manages to survive.

I would like to see the access that ORV's have at this point maintained and would like to have the seasonally closed beaches opened when they are supposed to be (Sept-April). I would love to see more trash receptacles of some kind near each of the beach ramps. I would like to see a greater presence from the rangers actually on the beach and not just giving speeding tickets on Hwy 12. There are other agencies to handle that duty. We need these guys on the beach. I would like to see the standing water in and around the Cape Point Campground allowed to drain so that the maritime forest along the lighthouse road can begin to come back to life. I would also like to see the pond at the point decreased in size somewhat so that more appropriate habitat for the plovers can be allowed to return. I would like to see more parking areas along Hwy 12 so that those who choose to park and walk on the beaches can find a safe and legal place to leave their vehicles. I would like to see the Pole Road reestablished and maintained and ramps maintained when they flood out.

I want to be able to introduce my grandchildren some day to the culture of the outer banks as it was and always has been with vehicles allowed to drive onto the point or the inlet to fish for those fish that I can not access anywhere else. I do not want to lose this treasure.

Correspondence ID: 1023 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pennington, Shirley R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: HOW TO TAKE ACTION ON THIS SPECIAL CAMPAIGN
1. Please highlight the letter below, then right click and choose "copy." 2. Click on the link below the letter to take you to the Park Service website. 3. There, place your cursor on the comment field halfway down the page, right click again, and choose "paste." 4. Be sure to fill in the few required fields on the Park Service's webform, then click on "Submit."
Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Shirley Pennington

Correspondence ID: 1024 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schmitt, David J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 05:54:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
David Schmitt

Correspondence ID: 1025 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rubino, Matthew
Outside Organization: Dept. of Zoology, NC State University Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Matthew Rubino

Correspondence ID: 1026 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 06:06:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and as a long-time resident of North Carolina, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Fishing access and other types of off-road access are available in other parts of North Carolina's barrier islands. It is important to give priority within the National Seashore to preservation of flora and fauna. Let the fishing experience there be more of a wilderness experience.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ann Prince

Correspondence ID: 1027 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Brown

Correspondence ID: 1028 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Prince, Ann M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:12:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and as a long-time North Carolina resident, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. There are numerous opportunities for off-road vehicles to access other parts of the barrier islands for fishing and recreation.

Portions designated as National Seashore should give highest priority to preservation of flora and fauna. Within these areas, fishing can be a unique experience in combination with the wilderness setting.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ann Prince

Correspondence ID: 1029 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mayew, John V

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:14:22

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000631

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
John Mayew

Correspondence ID: 1030 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Squire, G
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
We must protect the forests for our children, grandchildren and children yet to be born. We must protect the forests for those who can't speak for themselves such as the birds, animals, fish and trees. ~Qwatsinas
When all the trees have been cut down, when all the animals have been hunted, when all the waters are polluted, when all the air is unsafe to breathe, only then will you discover you cannot eat money. ~Cree Prophecy
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1031 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Huey, Terry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,
Your Name Terry Huey

Correspondence ID: 1032 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Raynor, Susan T
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Susan Raynor

Correspondence ID: 1033 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ostrander, Linda S

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1034 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:24:29

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1035 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cramer, Harlan C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:34:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and visits nearly every year, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Harlan Cramer

Correspondence ID: 1036 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Marshall, Linda

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:37:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park

0000633

system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Linda Marshall 818 Windsor Rd. Arnold, MD 21012 410-765-7543

Correspondence ID: 1037 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Arden, Brigitta

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Brigitta Arden

Correspondence ID: 1038 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Warren, James P

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:41:58

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

James P. Warren

Correspondence ID: 1039 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Vinegar, Jan B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:49:03

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jan Vinegar

Correspondence ID: 1040 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: warner, sue

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:49:05

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

GO HERE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/commentForm.cfm?parkID=358&projectID=10641&documentId=17542>

If you prefer to write your own letter, written comments may be mailed to: Superintendent Re: Off-road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS

Correspondence ID: 1041 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Neilsen, Nancy R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:49:31

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Our Parks and wild lands are under increasing pressures that profoundly affect the wildlife that inhabits them.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Nancy R. Neilsen 3703 Fox Creek Rd. Louisville, TN 37777

Correspondence ID: 1042 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lehr, Susan

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Susan Lehr

Correspondence ID: 1043 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wander, Wendy c

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 06:57:08

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park

system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Wendy and Mike Wander

Correspondence ID: 1044 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Smith, Liz

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:01:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Liz Smith

Correspondence ID: 1045 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Alexander, Allen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Like most areas, access by OVRs has a negative impact on wildlife and visitors traveling on foot. I have personally watched two wildlife areas disrupted by OVRs and witnessed the destruction first hand. In both cases, it took decades for the land to recover but the tracks remain. The noise, pollution and danger they pose is intolerable. They should be restricted to designated areas not given access to our parks and wildlife sanctuaries. This is all the more important when an area is designated as wilderness as is the Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Parks simply do not have the resources to police OVRs.

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1046 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:04:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Steven Cox

Correspondence ID: 1047 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duggan, Jessica L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name
Jessica Duggan

Correspondence ID: 1048 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Abashian, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 07:15:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Tamara Abashian

Correspondence ID: 1049 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sexton, Shannon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1050 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buchanan, Sharon D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

0000637

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sharon Buchanan

Correspondence ID: 1051 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Messing, Simon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I've been visiting Rodanthe for the last five years, and I'm always in awe of the natural beauty in the area. My favorite are and also the favorite of many of my friends who visit is the Pea Island National Wildlife refuge. This is a special place where there are no off-road vehicles, infact everyone looks forward to the day when highway 12 is diverted around the refuge. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs no off-road vehicle access and the greatest protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Simon Messing

Correspondence ID: 1052 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1053 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Camden-lee, Sue Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feelthat there needs to be a more appropriate balance betweenoff-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values,species protection and opportunities for non-motorizedrecreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protectionunder the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover,must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitatprotected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of otheruses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, thebeach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure thebirds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm'sway. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore,it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitivewilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitorsshall be undertaken which would be incompatible withthepreservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in aPresidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that"Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Parksystem...only if the respective agency head determines thatoff-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affecttheir natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in theSeashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's"primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1054 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinch, Judith C

Outside Organization: Izaak Walton League Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 07:23:02
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Judith Hinch

Correspondence ID: 1055 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Jeffery M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 07:23:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Jeffery Hill

Correspondence ID: 1056 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Southerland, Ada L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 07:28:38
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Ada Southerland

Correspondence ID: 1057 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Porter, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I grew up in coastal NC and Cape Hatteras National Seashore is near and dear to my heart. We need to restrict off-road vehicle use and protect wild land values, species protection and opportunities for QUIET non-motorized recreation.
 I am also a frequent visitor and provide tourism dollars to the area. My main concerns are:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000639

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Kim Porter

Correspondence ID: 1058 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Clark, Yvonne D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:31:51

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Clark

Correspondence ID: 1059 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Booth, Jeb

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:33:07

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jeb Booth

Correspondence ID: 1060 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Weaver, Curtis W

Outside Organization: CHAC Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:34:11

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My wife and I visit the CHNSRA each year as much as we can. We strongly support beach access and would like to request more of it. Also, we would like to see the camper dump station be open year round. There are many businesses on Hatteras Island that will be affected if beach access is limited or shut down. We have come to know some of these hard working friendly people during our visits there and it would be a shame to ruin these people's livelihoods with beach closures. Thousands of people use the CHNSRA each year and ORV access to relax, and form new friendships in a big family atmosphere. It is a shame that a few want to change that for their own selfish agenda. Thank you for your time and I hope you will see what detrimental effect it will have on the thousands that enjoy the CHNSRA as home, livelihood and a place to enjoy with ORV use as one of the major economic draws to the areas businesses. Curtis Weaver

Correspondence ID: 1061 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pechan, Jan

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:34:11

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000640

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Jan Pechan

Correspondence ID: 1062 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Baldwin, Wanda D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:39:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Wanda Baldwin

Correspondence ID: 1063 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mooore, James L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:39:09

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1064 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Derzon, James

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:43:51

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

Each year my family and I vacation at Hattras. We hike and kite on the beaches there. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jim & Regina Derzon

Correspondence ID: 1065 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Barry Kornstein

Correspondence ID: 1066 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reid, John E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 07:47:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
John E. Reid

Correspondence ID: 1067 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rosenzweig, Carolyn N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
C. Nicole Rosenzweig

Correspondence ID: 1068 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greer, Jr., Eugene B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 07:49:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Gene Greer

Correspondence ID: 1069 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morrison, Connell
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000642

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Connell Morrison

Correspondence ID: 1070 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Don Meserve Pluckemin, NJ

Correspondence ID: 1071 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cheney, Susan L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:56:54

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Susan L. Cheney

Correspondence ID: 1072 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wells, Donald E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 07:58:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000643

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Don Wells

Correspondence ID: 1073 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Spoonamore, Grady

Outside Organization: NC Conservation Network Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Grady Spoonamore

Correspondence ID: 1074 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bevilacqua, Elaine J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 08:08:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: It is very important to keep these beaches clean and relatively quiet for the enjoyment of people and for the protection of nesting birds and turtles. It is outrageous to allow motorized vehicle to drive on them polluting the air and crushing turtle eggs and driving off birds trying to nest. Plus it is unpleasant for people trying to enjoy the beach experience in peace.

Correspondence ID: 1075 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fissel, Guy W

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 08:16:48

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Guy Fissel

Correspondence ID: 1076 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: McDonald, Cheryl

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 08:17:57

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Cheryl McDonald

Correspondence ID: 1077 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Rourke, Marguerite P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:20:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Marguerite P. O'Rourke

Correspondence ID: 1078 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Lisa Jabaily

Correspondence ID: 1079 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Braxton, Jesse L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:24:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Jesse Lynnae Braxton

Correspondence ID: 1080 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Norris, Thomas O
Outside Organization: Public Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:25:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Thomas Norris

Correspondence ID: 1081 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fonda, Andrew R

Outside Organization: OBPA, CHAC, NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 08:26:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: From: Andrew R. Fonda 12251 Canterbury Ct. King George, Va. 22485 (H) 540-775-3260 afonda@va.metrocast.net

To: Superintendent RE: Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954

R/E: Cape Hatteras National Seashore Off-Road Vehicle Negotiated Rulemaking and Management Plan/EIS. Open comment period for public.

Dear Mr. Murray and all those concerned:

Please consider this letter and all of its contents as my submission and comments for the upcoming ORV Plan.

I would like to first give you a little background on my interests in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have a long history of visiting the park since I was just a youngster about the age of ten. I am now 38 and visit your park about 10 times per year or more. Our extended family visits us and will often vacation in your park as well. I am an avid fisherman and participate in many of the surf fishing tournaments such as Frank & Frans and the 4+ Tournament. I also visit and use the campgrounds whether they be in your park or privately owned. I usually stay in Frisco Woods Campground based on the use of my Class C camper which I have had specially converted to 4 wheel drive at a substantial cost of about \$8,000 for use on the beaches in your park. Prior to investing my hard earned money, I wrote specifically to your office (prior to your arrival) to confirm that a camper or slide in camper would be permissible to use on the beaches in your park. Here is a copy of my submission and the CAHA response:

MY Inquiry:

Andrew.Fonda@wellsfargo.com To:CAHA_Superintendent@nps.gov cc: 07/28/03 04:53 PM Subject: Cape hatteras national seashore vehicle regs. EST

To whom it may concern, I am interested in information on the vehicle regulations for driving on the beach at cape hatteras NC. I have recently been told that the regulations are changing and that 4x4 vehicles with sleeping / cooking/ and restroom facilities will not be allowed on the beach. I just purchased a vehicle for fishing at the cape point. I need to know if it is something that I can or cannot take on the beach. Please advise on where to find the proper regulations and proposed regulations for upcoming years.

Thank you, Andrew Fonda

----- CAHA Response:

We are not considering changing regulations to prohibit use of campers for transportation purposes on beaches at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Currently we have no regulations about what types of vehicles, including self-contained campers, may be used on Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches. However, any vehicle used must meet the following:

"...all requirements, including all vehicle equipment, licenses, and registration, to operate legally on state highways where the vehicle is registered." Approximately two years ago we did consider prohibiting use of campers on the beaches. We considered this because we were having continuing problems with campers dumping holding tanks on beaches, an act prohibited by current National Park Service nationwide regulations (Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations - 36 CFR 2.14(a)(4), (6) and (8)). This regulation can be found on the web at:

http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/14mar20010800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2002/julqtr/36cfr2.14.htm

The National Park Service has dump stations, as well as public restrooms, for use in the Cape Point area and on Bodie and Ocracoke Islands.

We also considered prohibiting campers on the beaches because of continuing problems with illegal camping. Camping outside of designated areas is prohibited by National Park Service nationwide regulations (36 CFR 2.10(b)(10)). This regulation can be found on the web at:

http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/14mar20010800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2002/julqtr/36cfr2.10.htm

Since beaches at Cape Hatteras have not been designated for camping, camping on the beaches is illegal. If our rangers see campers on the beaches during the day with nobody outside of the vehicle, we assume the occupants could be taking a nap, out swimming, collecting shells, or another permissible activity. We do not consider this to be camping irrespective of the type of vehicle used. Fishing at night is also permissible, however, if our rangers see a camper on the beach at night with no one outside of the vehicle who is actively fishing, we will consider that to be camping which is prohibited by the regulation cited above.

We are no longer considering prohibiting use of campers on Cape Hatteras Beaches.

If you have further questions, please call Acting Chief Ranger Jon Anglin at 252-473-2111, extension 118.

Jeff Cobb Management Assistant Outer Banks Group

Email, to and from me, in the transaction of public business, is subject to the Freedom of Information Act and may be disclosed to third parties.

Based on this response I proceeded with the investment in the 4 wheel drive conversion at a cost of roughly \$8,000.

I do not visit any other parks, nor do I surf fish in any other areas. The only park I visit is Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am dedicated to your park and have sentimental attachments to it. IE: I don't wish to go anywhere else.

Here is a list of items I would like to have taken into consideration for the ORV Plan:

1. Some areas such as the Point (Buxton) and Oregon Inlet have restrictive access at times of high tide. I would like to see alternative access routes to get to these areas such as additional interdunal paths, and that they remain accessible and usable.
2. Continued access for all street legal vehicles with respect to: licenses, and registration, to operate legally on state highways where the vehicle is registered.
3. I would like to see the removal of restricted areas such as "Bird Resting Areas". These areas are overly restrictive and usually get over washed at high tides, which make nesting prohibitive anyway. I understand that some small enclosures are beneficial so long as they do not prohibit access from one access Ramp to another.
4. As part of a larger plan, I would like to see some measures regarding the captive breeding of the threatened species causing the issues at CAHA. Breeding a threatened or endangered species in a captive controlled environment and then releasing into the wild is the only sure way to increase its population.
5. Capture and killing of wild predators on the island should be outlawed. Removal of the predatory species will unbalance the ecosystem. Several times I have heard of Plover being taken by predatory action. This is nature at work. If you don't want the Plover eaten, raise it in captivity.
6. I would like to see some areas set aside and designated for fishermen and the like to be able to rest / sleep in their vehicles. This is not camping. Many times I have arrived late and cannot get a campsite nor are the National Park Campgrounds even open. An area in which to park and not be approached by the NPS Officers would be a blessing. In addition, I would like to see the campgrounds open year round even if it means primitive camping in the off-season. The campground at Buxton (Ramp 44) is in terrible shape and needs to be reclaimed. Tax dollars pay for the park to be open. No amenity of CAHA should have to "Make Money" or cover its own costs of operation based on the income from visitors. In addition, I would like to see the RV Sanitary Dumping Station open year round.
7. The water management at Ramp 44 needs to be addressed. There is too much flooding and the water is not allowed to drain properly. This is serious and needs engineering attention.
8. Dumpsters at each ramp would be nice so we can dispose of our trash when leaving the

beach. 9. I would like to see fish cleaning stations at each ramp or at least where there is available fresh water access. 10. An annual beach permit has been brought to my attention as a possibility. I am not opposed to paying for a permit but would recommend that you keep it under \$50/yr. 11. I would recommend that you do not restrict access based on the number of vehicles already on the beach. This is a problem in other parks and I have heard it is very aggravating for not only the visitors but the park rangers as well. This would also require substantial additional human resources around the clock. In summary, I would like to see things remain sort of like they are now with smaller enclosure areas for the birds and increased facility availability and resources. We all know that there are organizations out there causing unwanted and unneeded problems and issues. I am saddened by the fact they take advantage of the NPS and USFWS by threatening with lawsuits to try and get our beaches closed to not only ORV's but pedestrians as well. The problem as I see it, is that they do not take a "Uniform Approach to Enforcement". What I mean by this is that they only pick on the Parks. They do not try and file lawsuits against the townships that adjoin these parks which have exactly the same beaches and dunes. The Endangered Species Act has been over used, raped, exploited and stretched way beyond its original intent, and is used by these organizations such as Defenders Of Wildlife to generate income for themselves. I honestly feel that the Federal Gov't should tell these organizations to take their butts home and spend their money in a wiser fashion rather than on costly lawsuits. Preserve and Protect , Do Not Prohibit!!

Thanks for your time.

Sincerely, Andrew R. Fonda

Correspondence ID: 1082 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bensulock, Marie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:28:45
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Marie Bensulock

Correspondence ID: 1083 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: McDaniel, Ava
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:36:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Ava McDaniel

Correspondence ID: 1084 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:49:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1085 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Hubbard, Eve p
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Eve Hubbard

Correspondence ID: 1086 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leonard, Valerie A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:53:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Valerie Leonard

Correspondence ID: 1087 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beck, Dana M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 08:55:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Dana Beck

Correspondence ID: 1088 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
I have very fond memories of family vacations on the Outer Banks and am very concerned over the upkeep and wellbeing of the coastline. As someone who very much treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. And please consider the right of people who wish to enjoy this pristine environment on foot in peace, without the noise and pollution afforded by such vehicles.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Margaret Domer

Correspondence ID: 1089 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Connors, Jennifer

Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 09:07:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Connors

Correspondence ID: 1090 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

We, as human beings, can speak up for ourselves, but wild creatures are at our mercy...

I treasure the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Lisa Daly

Correspondence ID: 1091 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1092 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Eve Ray

Correspondence ID: 1093 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Curcio, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:16:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: March 9, 2007
Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Christine Curcio

Correspondence ID: 1094 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Saberi, Poune
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Poune Saberi

Correspondence ID: 1095 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mayer, Michelle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:22:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
My family and I regularly vacation on the Outer Banks and love the area of Cape Hatteras. The things that we love about the area are in danger of being lost due to off-road vehicle access. Please, please protect your beautiful area and prohibit this use.
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000650

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Michelle R. Mayer

Correspondence ID: 1096 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Essenpreis, Lisa M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:23:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Lisa Essenpreis

Correspondence ID: 1097 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, D. Rex
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:38:37
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
D. Rex Miller

Correspondence ID: 1098 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, Glenn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:39:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep the beach access open for responsible tax paying citizens. Do not let the foolish actions of the few dictate the policy that is being voted upon. It is a great family activity to be able to drive on the beach.

Correspondence ID: 1099 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duckett, Nida
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:42:22
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000651

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Nida Duckett

Correspondence ID: 1100 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lane, Kathleen H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:47:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Kathleen H. Lane

Correspondence ID: 1101 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Reed, Amanda R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:52:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Amanda Reed

Correspondence ID: 1102 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Horton, Francis J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:53:22
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1103 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hartman, Tina L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 09:57:53
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Tina L. Hartman

Correspondence ID: 1104 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chinni, Rosemarie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: r Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Rosemarie Chinni

Correspondence ID: 1105 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Adrian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:16:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Adrian Smith

Correspondence ID: 1106 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:19:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Phyllis Hawkins

Correspondence ID: 1107 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: boswell, james s
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:20:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been visiting the OBX, specifically Hatteras Island for over 25 years now. My family currently owns a home in Rodanthe and my wife's family previously owned one there as well. We have spent tens of thousands of dollars over the years in all of the communities of HI and will continue to do so. We are attracted to this area because of it's beauty and open beach access.
We love having the freedom to enjoy the entire Cape Hatteras Seashore... or just our small part of it. By ourselves or with our friends and family. There is no better day than loading up the truck, heading out to a secluded part of the Seashore, cookout, fish and watch the sun set.
It has been a tradition of our family and others for generations... and hopefully will continue be for generations to come.
Wildlife management and off-road beach access can work hand in hand and has for many years now. In my view, there is no reason, and has never been

any reason to restrict off-road recreational beach access. There are special seasonal circumstances from time to time. We all recognize, appreciate and work within these when need be.
 Effective management techniques seem to be in place and have appeared to work well over the past 25 years. I see no reason why they won't continue to work.
 Preserve and Protect - Not Prohibit. It's not just a slogan, it's a way of life for most folks who have a lot invested in HI.
 Thank you for your time.

Correspondence ID: 1108 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fawcett, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:23:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ann D. Fawcett Raleigh NC 27608

Correspondence ID: 1109 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:25:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name
 Aurelie L Ward

Correspondence ID: 1110 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Flynt, Susan L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:25:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Susan Flynt

Correspondence ID: 1111 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: McCullough, Joseph
Outside Organization: Wildmess Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:30:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Joe McCullough

Correspondence ID: 1112 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 10:34:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Anne Swigart

Correspondence ID: 1113 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Buck, Peter M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1114 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Freeburger, James E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 10:51:25

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or

scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

James E. Freeburger 2590 Albert Rill Road Westminster, Md. 21157

Correspondence ID: 1115 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: kittinger, sandra p
Outside Organization: Cape Fear Garden Club, Audubon Society NC Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

sandra kittinger 303 bradley creek point road wilmington, nc 28403 (910)256-6675

Correspondence ID: 1116 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Maddox, Charles E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:56:21
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

My family and most of our friends love and visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Therefore, we have been quite dismayed at the extensive use of off road motorized vehicle access on what would otherwise be pristine beaches and environment for native flora and fauna. We would prefer that there be none. They most certainly and most visibly have marred the beauty of the seashore. Moreover, if one reads the laws regarding the Cape Hatteras and National Seashore is is very clear that such vehicles are have been explicitly banned.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

The following further points should be considered with respect to whether or not vehicles should be permitted to zoom up and down the beaches.

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Sincerely,

Charles Maddox

Correspondence ID: 1117 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Watrous, Frank T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 10:59:22
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Preservation of the natural beach environment. I find it more than a little disconcerting to be on the beach while a succession of trucks plow by, often with little regard for pedestrians. The beach is not a highway! How unnatural can it be, observing the beach portion of the Seashore, with the view thoroughly marred by tire tracks? The beach usage by vehicles in the National Seashore is far too extensive. They should be limited to a few areas where concentrated fishing is popular and could be permitted. They should not be permitted access to the vast stretches of beaches throughout the bulk of the Seashore.

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1118 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: ,
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 11:00:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Suzanne Byers.

Correspondence ID: 1119 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McPeek, John S
Outside Organization: myself Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
John S. McPeek

Correspondence ID: 1120 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 11:13:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Susan George

Correspondence ID: 1121 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 11:18:50
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Aaron Davenport

Correspondence ID: 1122 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Simon, Dave M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 11:29:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep vehicles from intruding on those who wish to enjoy our natural heritage in peace and quiet.

Correspondence ID: 1123 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dodson, Ryan C
Outside Organization: Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 11:33:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I fail to see the importance of allowing off-road vehicles into any National Park, whether it is a National Seashore, Yellowstone or Yosemite. To allow a select few to use noisy, stinking, polluting vehicles prohibits the enjoyment of nature for everyone else around them. Though I see nothing wrong with having private facilities for the use of off-road vehicles, I don't think the NPS should have to cater to a subset of nature-lovers who unavoidably interfere with the peace and enjoyment others should be experiencing. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 1124 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and who has spent every summer there since she was six years old, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
S. Nunneley

Correspondence ID: 1125 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nunneley, Stephany N
Outside Organization: Natl. Parks Service Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and who has spent every summer there for 26-years, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]". Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
S. Nunneley

Correspondence ID: 1126 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Marx, Ann

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 11:52:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1127 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hamel, Virginia M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 11:53:28

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Virginia M. Hamel

Correspondence ID: 1128 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Madison, Leslie E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Leslie Elaine Madison

Correspondence ID: 1129 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hunsinger, Andrew L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 12:36:03

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized vehicles

Correspondence ID: 1130 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ewing, Ann K

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 12:44:30

Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1131 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bala, Edward F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 12:58:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Edward Bala and Family

Correspondence ID: 1132 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Tyson, J H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, J H Tyson

Correspondence ID: 1133 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kabisch, Mary Ethel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 13:44:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 I am someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Mary Ethel Kabisch 3618 Elmwood Drive Alexandria, VA 22303

Correspondence ID: 1134 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Churray, Richard J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 13:55:44
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Richard J. Churray

Correspondence ID: 1135 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chiong, Lauren Ivy I
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. There is no telling the damage done by wreckless driving of off-road vehicles. Just the presence of the vehicles isn't worth the risk at all. These vehicles crush everything in their paths as they barrell through sensitive wilderness areas that deserve our protection. Let's do the responsible thing and protect this precious area for future generations.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Lauren Ivy Chiong

Correspondence ID: 1136 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Craig, David A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,
David A. Craig

Correspondence ID: 1137 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sedia, John E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 14:01:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000661

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

John E. Sedia

Correspondence ID: 1138 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Saunders, Susan B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 14:17:53

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Susan Saunders

Correspondence ID: 1139 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gurevich, Vsevolod V

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Vsevolod V. Gurevich, Ph.D.

Correspondence ID: 1140 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Farmer, Bonnie

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1141 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Linger, Doug

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 14:35:16

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent, I would encourage you to review your off-road vehicle access policy to try and bring a more balanced approach to providing access to your wilderness areas. I feel that particular attention should be paid to these regulations in areas the may harbor endangered or protected species. I also feel that during nesting periods for birds and turtles that all areas of this seashore should see very limited or restricted use to protect the adults and the offspring during this critical time. I also can't help but feel that with the obesity rate in the United States being so high, we also have a duty to encourage people to get out and walk for exercise and for pleasure. I am a firm believer of the tread lightly philosophy when it comes to our wilderness areas, while these areas need to be seen and appreciated. This contact should be as least invasive into the natural environment as possible. I trust that as you review your policies and continue to protect and preserve these pristine areas that you will consider keeping the footprint as small as possible. Thanks you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely, Doug Linger President of the WV #1 Branch of the Quality Deer Management Association Member:Ducks Unlimited Committee West Virginia Bowhunters Association West Virginia Hunter Safety Instructor Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation

Correspondence ID: 1142 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Stone, William G

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

We need to be as careful as possible in protecting our world for the benefit of those that will follow us. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1143 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Colwill, Kathleen

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I believe there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

The following points are important:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. This is so critical.

Cape Hatteras was intended by Congress to be a permanent protected site.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Colwill

Correspondence ID: 1144 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ludeman, Ruth L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1145 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Adams, Carol C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 14:54:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Carol

Correspondence ID: 1146 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Marchant, Mary Jo
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 15:01:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Mary Jo Marchant

Correspondence ID: 1147 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Williams, Martha A
Outside Organization: NA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 15:13:42
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1148 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: smith, herman h
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 15:15:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Keep the beaches for all people not just a few!!!!

Correspondence ID: 1149 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: houston, ann e
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 ! - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm"

and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.

! - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system ... only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." How could multitudes of 4-wheelers & pickup trucks do anything BUT exactly that??

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, AEHouston

Correspondence ID: 1150 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Whiting, Nancy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 15:26:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Nancy Whiting

Correspondence ID: 1151 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Georgia Frierson

Correspondence ID: 1152 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: sahani, ramona

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 15:52:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

ramona sahani

Correspondence ID: 1153 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ruark, Herb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 15:55:38
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

As a long time recreational fisherman (I am now 62 years old), I have always enjoyed the off-road vehicle use that is afforded me at the OBX. During these many years, I (along with all of my fishing buddies) have made an effort to respect the beach. In fact, we usually pick up trash and leave the beach cleaner than when we arrive. We NEVER run our vehicles into the dunes and we stay out of closed areas. I have noticed that one tide change will always eliminate any ruts left on the beach by vehicles. A hard blow for a day or two will eliminate any ruts above the water line. The bottom line is that vehicles, in my opinion, are not destroying the beach as some claim. I have all the respect in the world for the environment, but I think that we ORV users can surely co-exist with the birds and turtles.

Another point is that the outer banks villages depend on the recreational fisherman and summertime vacationers who enjoy driving their ORV on the beach. If off-road vehicles are eliminated, then many recreational fishermen and vacationers will stop coming to the barrier islands. This will create a tremendous negative affect on the area's economy. Just think what would happen to the villages south of Nags Head if ORV users quit coming to the area to spend their money. The villages would dry up!

This is a critical time for the future of the outer banks. Congress originally set up the national seashore as a recreational area. Please do not take this away from us.

Correspondence ID: 1154 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Henderson, Amelia M
Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1155 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jasiewicz, Edward
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 16:08:17
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Edward Jasiewicz

Correspondence ID: 1156 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brentjens, Vero
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as

well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

The Wilderness Society is a non-profit organization dedicated to conserving American wilderness. Our mission is to ensure that future generations will enjoy the clean air and water, wildlife, beauty, and opportunity for recreation and renewal provided by pristine forests, rivers, deserts, and mountains. As a subscriber to WildAlert, you join more than 300,000

Correspondence ID: 1157 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Cornelia, Jared A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 16:22:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Jared Cornelia

Correspondence ID: 1158 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gray, Penny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 16:33:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Penny Gray

Correspondence ID: 1159 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Parsons, Dean D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 17:22:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: ORV access to the seashore is a unique privilege that many visitors to the CHNS enjoy and appreciate. For many, denial of this access would be a hardship, greatly reducing the experience of the seashore. When my father was alive, my ORV was the only reasonable means of fishing from the surf for him in his latter years. I know many in similar situations. Not only the elderly or handicapped use ORV's. I am not that short sighted. Responsible use of our resources has always been, and will continue to be a contentious point. I want my children and their children to be able to use these same resources as I have and do. While some management plan is inevitable because of the number of visitors to these areas, continued access and common sense should prevail. If some are not being good stewards of our resources then they should have their privilege suspended. This does not mean that those of us who respect the rules and act with environmental ethics should be punished. I and all my friends leave the beach a better place than we found it, and actively police those who do not. Limiting my access because of others acting with impunity is not sound management.

Correspondence ID: 1160 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 17:29:50
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no

0000667

development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Bob

Correspondence ID: 1161 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 17:35:47
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Marion Stone

Correspondence ID: 1162 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pegg, Dara L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Dara Pegg

Correspondence ID: 1163 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Waddell, Lindsey M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Lindsey M Waddell

Correspondence ID: 1164 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gershen, Jill L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 17:55:53
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

0000668

I am a frequent visitor to Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore, and I absolutely love it there. I am writing to ask that you please increase your efforts to protect wildlife and their habitat and please reduce or eliminate off-road vehicle access.

I am very concerned about the welfare of protected native wildlife. Their habitat must be protected from possible harm from these vehicles and the noise and destruction they leave behind. Especially during critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be completely off limits to ORVs to preserve mating, nesting and fledging activities.

Congress decreed that Cape Hatteras should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna" when it was established as a National Seashore. In determining the management of off-road vehicle use, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as animal protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jill L. Gershen 15120 Plainfield Lane Darnestown, Maryland 20874

Correspondence ID: 1165 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Donahue, Meredith

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 18:09:26

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Meredith Donahue

Correspondence ID: 1166 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Garber, Elizabeth C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 18:10:07

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1167 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: beddoes, emily

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

emily I beddoes

Correspondence ID: 1168 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schwarz, Kurt R

Outside Organization: Howard County Bird Club Conservation/Preservation

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: HOWARD COUNTY BIRD CLUB 9045 Dunloggin Court Ellicott City, Maryland 21042 krschwa1@comcast.net March 12, 2007

Mike Murray, Superintendent Attn: Off-road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954 CAHA_Superintendent@nps.gov

Dear Mr. Murray:

The Howard County Bird Club appreciates the opportunity to submit the following comments on scoping for the off-road vehicle management plan and environmental impact statement for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Members of the Howard County Bird Club have visited the national seashore, as it is a very important area for birds and other forms of wildlife.

The Howard County Bird Club is an organization with a membership of more than 200 families and individuals in Howard County, Maryland. We are a chapter of the Maryland Ornithological Society, a nonprofit, statewide organization of people who are interested in birds and nature. Our purposes include promoting the study and enjoyment of birds, promoting knowledge about our natural resources, and fostering their appreciation and

conservation. We offer field trips, bird counts, and conservation projects. Our members travel all over the United States to visit national parks and other federal lands on birding and nature-watching vacations. We spend dollars on food, lodging, guide services, books and souvenirs to support the local economy wherever we go. Moreover, birding is one of the fastest-growing outdoor sports.

We commend the National Park Service for undertaking this off-road vehicle management plan, and we wish you well in conducting the "negotiated rulemaking." We trust it will lead to a plan that will better conserve the natural features of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Existing Conditions Cape Hatteras NS is listed as a Globally Important Bird Area by the American Bird Conservancy. More than 400 species of birds have been recorded in the national seashore. Sea turtles of several species also nest here.

At present most of the 53 beach miles managed by NPS are open to motor vehicles all year. Vehicles enter the beach on 11 ramps from to NC Highway 12. Seasonal closures apply on a small proportion of the total between May and September. Temporary closures have also been applied on specific areas within the open ORV Use Areas. Despite the closures, wildlife biologists have found that populations of protected bird species declined sharply in the period leading up to 2004. The ORV plan should be based on the latest available trend data for protected species.

Seeking Balance A greater proportion of the beach at Cape Hatteras should be managed as wild land without motorized or mechanized vehicles. The existing situation is far out of balance, favoring ORV traffic in most of the national seashore at the expense of wildlife habitat and public enjoyment. Visitors seeking a quiet, natural environment have very little of the national seashore available because ORVs dominate the beaches. We favor a more equitable allocation of the beach between motorized and nonmotorized visitor uses.

We believe a reduction of ORV traffic on the beaches of Cape Hatteras will yield immense public benefits. Restored wildlife populations are one important benefit. Many more people will be attracted to Cape Hatteras when they know they can find quiet, wild beaches here, where they can observe nature and enjoy the sun, the wind, and the waves.

To attain a better balance, NPS should consider a variety of alternatives involving both seasonal closures and year-round closures to vehicles. Seasonal closures have been used during nesting and fledging seasons, but they may be only part of the solution. We would like to see a range of alternatives explored during this planning project.

Regulating ORVs Many members of the Howard County Bird Club own ORVs, typically SUVs with high clearance and four-wheel drive. We use them to visit birding areas, which often are accessible only by rough roads. We rely on land-managing agencies to advise us, through regulations and closures, which routes are not suitable for ORVs because of potential damage to the land and to wildlife habitat.

Here in Maryland, the National Park Service strictly regulates the use of ORVs on the beaches of Assateague Island National Seashore. Less than half the island is open to ORVs, and there are specific limits on the number of vehicles allowed (145 in the Maryland part, 48 in Virginia or 18 when Toms Cove hook area is closed due to bird nesting). ORV drivers must display an annual permit issued by NPS. This regulation keeps the impacts of ORVs within well-defined limits. Birders are among those who use ORVs at Assateague, fully in compliance with the regulations. (The details of the Assateague program can be found at: <http://www.nps.gov/asis/planyourvisit/upload/OSV.pdf>)

We believe Assateague should be considered a model for regulation of ORVs at Cape Hatteras. Three elements of the Assateague program would help at Hatteras: the limitation on numbers, the use of permits, and the balance between open and closed areas.

In the new Hatteras plan, the highest priority should be protected species of shorebirds (Piping Plover, Wilson's Plover, and American Oystercatcher), colonial waterbirds (Least Tern, Common Tern, Gull-billed Tern, and Black Skimmer), and all species of sea turtles. Nesting habitat for the protected species should be closed to ORVs from the beginning of breeding behavior until all chicks are capable of flight.

The closed nesting areas should embrace territory historically used by the protected species ? not just the shrunken territory used by the diminished populations of the last few years. If ORV pressures are removed, the birds will expand back into previously occupied habitat, and that is to be encouraged.

Thank you for considering our views.

Sincerely,

Kurt R. Schwarz Conservation Chair

Correspondence ID: 1169 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barbee, Jim R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 18:58:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I am very much in support of ORV access in Hatteras, NC. I am from this state and have enjoyed this privilege my entire life. My relatives before me enjoyed this privilege, and I have educated my own children and others about the responsibilities that come along with these privileges. My observations have determined no damage from this activity and the overwhelming number of people that use it are of above average in their respect for our environment and their respect for others. I feel that there is less bad behavior here in regards to the environment than elsewhere and what may try to reach our shores is easier to control because of limited access and limited area. My suggestion is to increase enforcement of regulations if there is a perceived threat to our resources. My hopes are that these resources will be enjoyed by my grandchildren with their vehicles and my support will go to those that help me accomplish that. Thank You, Jim Barbee

Correspondence ID: 1170 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: NICELY, EDWARD S
Outside Organization: OBPA NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 19:13:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I STARTED COMING TO THE OUTER BANKS IN 1973 WITH MY GRANDFATHER. ALTHOUGH I LIVE IN VIRGINIA , NORTH CAROLINAS OUTER BANKS ARE A SECOND HOME TO ME. I'LL MAKE SEVERAL WEEKEND TRIPS AND (2) (2 WEEK) VACATIONS A YEAR WITH MY WIFE AND CHILDREN. PLEASE DONT TAKE THIS AWAY FROM US. THERE IS SOMETHING ABOUT RIDING THE BEACHES AND SURF FISHING THAT MAKES IT ALL WORTH WHILE.

Correspondence ID: 1171 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 19:33:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1172 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 19:34:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge

without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1173 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who has vacationed at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Off road vehicles are not regulated and should be for the protection of wildlife and beachgoers. I've seen crushed plovers and close calls with humans too many times to count and it sickens me that more is not being done on this matter. We need to protect the habitat for the piping plovers. They will not nest among these disturbances and it is so sad we put these polluting recreational vehicles above the safety of wildlife and people. During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

S. Russell

Correspondence ID: 1174 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Stambaugh, Ruth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I've enjoyed the Carolina shores since I was a little girl (I'm 56 now), and that includes the Cape Hatteras area. One of my fondest memories is of walking with my family one summer night and seeing a large sea turtle in the beam of our flashlight just starting to enter the waves after laying her eggs. Please read the following form letter and let it speak for me. Thank you. Ruth Stambaugh

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1175 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chronister, Steve

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Steve Chronister

Correspondence ID: 1176 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brewer, Sammy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 20:43:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am in favor of continued ORV access at the National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 1177 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crelin Jr., Robert R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 21:20:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I and my family have been coming to the outer banks for the past 25 years. My girls have grown as much there as at home. That we live in an area known in the east for its beaches and fishing and choose to make our vacation in the banks will give you some idea of the appeal it has for us. My wife and I have recently opted to get a place there and have done so in Buxton. To protect the banks and the beauty there is a primary concern of ourselves and all of the people who live and work there that I have met. They are a special breed, one with the nature of the island. So please do not take away the access to the fishing and the beauty found there. Just the ability to go on the beach and sit is awe inspiring. I would ask that you keep it as is and don't bog it down with the imposing of laws and conditions that do not lend to the protection of the resource just the hand cuffing and limiting to those that support and protect it. Thank you for letting me express my concerns...

Correspondence ID: 1178 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johns, Johns A
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 22:22:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent, As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely Julia Johns

Correspondence ID: 1179 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Key, Jennifer L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 22:34:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Just a short comment on the subject at hand. Please bear in mind that most of us that drive on the beach are there out of great love and respect for the surrounding environment. We understand that laws to protect the dunes and beaches from erosion must be in place. Taking away the beach driving is certainly not the answer. Perhaps more visible beach patrols would help for those few who cause problems. Most of us cannot afford beach front homes and for people in my family who are handicapped and/or elderly, driving on the beach works well for them. This matter is so important to many citizens, please continue to allow ORV access at the shore. My children look so forward to our trips!

Correspondence ID: 1180 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures memories of Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1181 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McLane, Kathleen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures memories of camping at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1182 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McLane, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1183 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 03:59:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Kristina Cotten

Correspondence ID: 1184 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Damm, Steven
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 04:33:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

0000673

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Steven Damm

Correspondence ID: 1185 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Soltis, B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 05:00:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, B Soltis

Correspondence ID: 1186 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Anderson, Joanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 05:58:37
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Sir, We have been vacationing on the outer banks for over 30 years and have always enjoyed access to the beaches; it is part of the overall experience. I have enjoyed many sun rises next to the water while my husband fishes, this time together is important to us. Please do not let the emotional actions of a few dictate the policy for the majority. Sincerely, Joanne Anderson

Correspondence ID: 1187 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Loud, Doris L
Outside Organization: P.E.P. Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 06:43:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Cape Hatteras National Seashore
Please limit and protect it from off-road vehicles. I've been here; it was lovely and should not be ruined by those who have no respect for the beauty and wildlife there. It's not a race track for people and their toys. It should be off-limits!

Correspondence ID: 1188 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Burchard, Robert P
Outside Organization: Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 06:58:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
In considering the future of Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I think that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land and species protection on one hand and opportunities for non-motorized recreation on the other.
Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, and its habitat must be protected.
During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Finally, given the reality of global warming and the threat that it poses to the biosphere, we should not be expanding opportunities for use of the internal combustion engine, especially in our national treasures.
Sincerely, Robert P. Burchard

Correspondence ID: 1189 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kleymeyer, C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 06:59:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep the off-road vehicles out of Cape Hatteras.

Correspondence ID: 1190 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: State, Anthony W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 07:09:20
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

My deceased brother used to go to Nags Head often on vacation. I have a collection of pictures and his memories of this once pristine coastline. As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Anthony W. State

Correspondence ID: 1191 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Lori

Correspondence ID: 1192 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Berger, Nancy O

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 07:55:43

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Nancy O Berger, PhD

Correspondence ID: 1193 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gleim, Kathy M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 08:00:22

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: It is very disturbing to see off-road vehicles running rampant on the beautiful beaches of Hatteras Island. The eco-system is fragile enough as it is. Please take measures to prohibit off-road vehicle usage unless it is for a specific reason, such as an emergency.

Thank you very much.

Correspondence ID: 1194 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Struhsaker, Thomas T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 08:25:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

I have just returned from one of my trips to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and remain astounded and appalled at the damage caused by vehicles

driving on the beaches there. This activity is simply incompatible with the primary objectives of this area.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

This mandate is not being implemented. Instead, priority is given to the minority who drive along these beaches and, in the process, destroy the very reasons it was established in the first place. Please enforce the mandates of the legislation and ban all off road driving along the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Thomas T. Struhsaker

Correspondence ID: 1195 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Pierce, Timothy D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 08:42:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: The Cape Hatteras National Seashore was created for the enjoyment and recreation of visitors. Open access to the popular recreational areas is very important to the public and local economy and is the primary reason why many people, including myself and my family, vacation at the Park. My father and I enjoy surf fishing on the beaches at least 4-5 times per year. My father has arthritis in his knees so badly that walking further than 100 - 200 yards through soft sand is almost impossible. For him, there is no access alternative to ORV access. My wife children also enjoy accessing the beaches for playing in the sand and surf and sun bathing. I can't imagine that it would be possible for a 2 and 4 year old to hike all the way out to Cape Point, Hatteras Inlet, or Oregon Inlet for day on the beach. So without ORV access, those areas are completely off-limits to my children. It would sadden me greatly to know that I could not share these wonderful places with my children and grandchildren as my parents have done for me. I would like to see a plan developed that will continue to keep access open to all beaches that are currently accessible. I would like to see more ramps where necessary so that we can get to areas that become isolated due to turtle/bird/etc. closure. I am not opposed to the resource closures but there should be alternative routes around said closures if there's any way possible to do so. I would like to see more facilities around each access ramp/parking lot, including trash cans, dumpsters, toilets, etc. I believe that this would help keep less trash off the beach and remind visitor to keep their trash in proper place. I would like to see the pole road to hatteras inlet/false point maintained for access. Sometimes high tides block access via the beach and the pole road is a great alternate route to the beaches around the inlet. I would like to see more Rangers to enforce NPS policies, especially around more crowded beaches, such as Oregon Inlet, Cape Point and Hatteras Inlet areas. This would help to eliminate those who may use the beach to recreate in their ORVs by speeding, running over dunes, or entering closed areas. I would like to see all areas in front of the villages closed during high tourist season but opened to access during the late fall - early spring. Those are great times for fishing and during those months almost all of the beach users are fishermen. Therefore, it makes good sense to open those areas to ORV access after the high season crowds have gone. In summary I want to see the following implemented in the new ORV plan: -All seasonal closures in front of all villages opened up late fall - early spring. -More facilities around access ramps/parking lots -More ramps where needed to access areas isolated by resource closures. -Alternate routes around closures to maintain access to popular fishing spots such as Cape Point, Hatteras Inlet, and Oregon Inlet. -Better enforcement of Park ORV rules. Thanks for considering my comments, Timothy Pierce

Correspondence ID: 1196 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Renard, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses.
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely, Jennifer Renard

Correspondence ID: 1197 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 09:00:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000676

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

There should be NO off-road vehicle use in ANY National Seashore. Our national seashores are not amusement parks.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Richard Crosland

Correspondence ID: 1198 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Moroney, Frances

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 09:10:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: It is time we stop the off road vehicles from further destruction of recreational land. Has anyone noticed the dangerous driving actions by the people driving them? Speeding on roads crossed by animals and humans, running over anything in their way. Why are people that take their families to these parks for the enjoyment of nature having to put up with a couple of half drunk thrill seekers whose only intrest in the park is to see how fast their off road vehicles will go. This is not what the taxpayers pay for. And, since it is a motor vehicle using parkland why not require them to pay for a permit to use the proberly for off road vehicles as well as required them to show in- surance for the vehicle in the event they damage the property or hit a person while on the Government property as well as use of alcohol regulations.

Correspondence ID: 1199 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fox, Kristi

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 10:15:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Kristi Fox

Correspondence ID: 1200 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kravets, Marya

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 10:25:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Marya Kravets

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1201 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Green, Meredith m

Outside Organization: Charlotte Country Day School Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 10:51:02

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Meredith Green

Correspondence ID: 1202 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Andrew S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 11:04:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Andrew Thompson

Correspondence ID: 1203 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gupton, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

William Gupton

Correspondence ID: 1204 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldberg, Lucy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 12:14:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1205 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rathbone, Marjorie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 12:31:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and has vacationed there many times, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Rathbone

Correspondence ID: 1206 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Madigan, Michelle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 12:32:13
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Michelle Madigan

Correspondence ID: 1207 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Masters, John L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 13:25:18
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 John L. Masters

Correspondence ID: 1208 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 14:14:17
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Your Name
 Beth Weegar

Correspondence ID: 1209 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adams, Roger L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 14:22:40
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

0000679

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Roger L. Adams

Correspondence ID: 1210 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Copithorn, Frederik H

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 14:38:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Fred Copithorn

Correspondence ID: 1211 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Troy, James

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 15:55:13

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
James Troy

Correspondence ID: 1212 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Roberts, John

Outside Organization: Richmond Audubon Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 16:43:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Many of us also deserve peace and quiet in our parks where peace and quiet should be.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1213 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cardinal, Joanna

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 17:02:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Joanna Cardinal

Correspondence ID: 1214 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 17:14:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1215 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Garron, Steven M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 17:22:21
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Steve Garron

Correspondence ID: 1216 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Magelaner, Jessica L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or

scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jessica Magelaner

P.S. Though this is "just" a form letter provided by the Wilderness Society, please don't disregard it, or any others like it that you may receive. As a working individual, I don't always have time to write up well thought-out letters for every cause that crosses my desk, but I do have time to read and sign my name to letters like this that sum up my thoughts and feelings as a wilderness lover and a resident of North Carolina!

Correspondence ID: 1217 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lawrence, Mary E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 17:46:36

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1218 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Radiss, Elaine C

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 18:23:41

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1219 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Morey, Anna E

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 18:29:34

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The management of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore must be implemented with rigorous commitment to the protection of nesting shorebirds and other bird species. All threatened or endangered species whose habitats intersect with the seashore must be protected. If driving on the beach must be restricted or even prohibited it is definitely the right management decision for protection of these birds and other species of special interest. Please adopt a management plan that strictly adheres to these principles. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1220 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Lyons, Marcia

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 19:07:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: - Permit system with an educational component similar to Cape Cod. Set number of permits issued based on carrying capacity. Fees go back into costs of protecting the resource. - No vehicles on the beach at night between April and November to protect all stages of sea turtle nesting activities. - Increase habitat protection for all beach nesting birds, migratory and wintering shorebirds and seabeach amaranth between March 15 - Oct 30. These vehicle-free areas include present and future spits (closures begin 1 mile from inlets), Cape Point, South beach and northeast end of Ocracoke. Pedestrian corridor established at the high water mark. No pets year-round. - Proactive resource management is used to identify and protect these same resources in other areas of park as recommended in the USGS protected species protocols. - Wherever and whenever ORVs are allowed, establish an ORV corridor no wider than 75 ft wide - Establish year-round vehicle free areas for non-ORV recreational use along natural beaches that are not adjacent to development or highway traffic. These would be a minimum of one mile in length and be located in areas such as campground beaches, CH Lighthouse, mid-way between Avon and Salvo and selected areas of Ocracoke Island _ Soundside access by foot only. - Establish more parking areas to accommodate vehicle-free beach and soundside recreation. - Establish a "Pack-in Pack-out" mentality with ORV-users through education and enforcement to eliminate garbage/bait etc on beaches

Correspondence ID: 1221 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: hawkins, michael w
Outside Organization: n/a Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 20:18:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I have vacationed near national seashore since 1971. In 1995 I built a house in Buxton and now my grandchildren enjoy the same wonderful, natural beach as my children did. We and our visitors are compelled by the freedom, natural vistas and natural beauty of the CH National Seashore areas. In the 1980s we bought our first ORV. I and my children keep ORVs in part for our vacations there in Buxton. I have visited Chincoteague and Assateague Islands and can report that the beauty is there but not the access or the freedom. Freedom is one of the preserves of this special area. Freedom must be maintained while somehow finding peace between the visitor fisherman, locals, ORV groups and the ORV haters and 'spotted owl' types. The fishermen and their summer vacation families have been and continue to be the bulk of the national seashore visitors. These people keep alive the thriving communities, charter boat fishing, housing rentals and local shopping and dining. Already, vast portions of the beach are restricted from ORV use - Avon to Buxton, and the Hatteras housing areas. Further restricting ORV access can only increase the intensity of demand for those areas that remain open. With increased demand, I believe that ORV permits will radically change the nature of the CH national seashore. There will be competition for permits and competition for space on the beach. Permits will be the source of bribes and black market forces and stealing. Permits will anger long-time visitors and locals who feel that their freedom of the beach is being limited. Moreover, if permits are used to grant access to specific points along the beach that will be all but impossible to enforce and lead to further conflict and skirting the system as I envision above. What is the real driving force behind this consideration of ORV permits? Some balance must be found between the grandfathered rights of beach users and 'resource protection'. I suggest that no matter how much beach is roped off, some special bird will try to nest in the open area. There needs to be some reasonable balance between. If the result is limited access for fisherman and vacationers, then this can or worms will turn into a can of killer bees. I agree that conflict resolution is a good way to go as long as the competing sides are given equal access and representation. Sometimes a foregone conclusion is just waiting for the 'mandatory comments' period. I hope this is not the case now as it appears was the case with the moving of the Lighthouse, which was forced down the throats of the locals.

Correspondence ID: 1222 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Toohey, Shelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 20:51:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I would like to submit the following comments regarding the Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. My husband and I are regular visitors to Cape Hatteras. We enjoy accessing the beaches in an off-road vehicle and that is one of the main reasons why we choose to vacation there several times a year. We do not want that privilege taken away or restricted unnecessarily. The Vogel song study that was used to assess off-road vehicle use in the seashore is flawed. Anyone who spends time there can look around and see that a large percentage of users enjoy accessing the beach in off-road vehicles and many vacation there because of that. If off-road vehicle use is further restricted, it will reduce visitation especially by off-season and weekend visitors. The economy of the villages that are surrounded by the national seashore will suffer. I often read statements from environmental groups and/or their representatives that off-road vehicles CAN, MAY, or COULD damage the seashore environment. The National Park Service even uses this wording in many of its own news releases and presentations. However, there is no proof that off-road vehicles do in fact damage the seashore environment when used lawfully and properly. In reality, one storm, a strong wind, or even one high tide can erase any sign of vehicles being on the beach on a given day. I have also heard certain people state that users can easily access the beach without vehicular access. This is true if someone just wants to take a walk on the beach or go for a swim. It is not convenient however for users who access the beach for other activities such as fishing, kayaking, kite surfing, etc. Many of the areas that people prefer to use for these activities are a good distance from the villages and the public parking lots. I have to wonder how older individuals who are no longer able to comfortably walk long distances are expected to access these areas without an off-road vehicle. They should not be denied the enjoyment of fishing at Cape Point or seashell hunting at Hatteras Inlet or sitting on the beach and watching the winter sun set behind the Frisco Pier just because they can no longer walk to the beach. The seashore was established and set apart as a national seashore recreational area for the benefit and enjoyment of the people and that should be its main purpose. I understand that the NPS is required by law to take measures to protect certain resources but these measures should not be to the extremes that are suggested by groups such as the Defenders of Wildlife and the Audubon Society. I believe the NPS can accomplish these things without further restricting vehicular access to the seashore. I would like the following comments to be considered when developing the ORV plan. Open the flood drains when needed and maintain the level of the ponds near Cape Point as was done in the past. This would create favorable habitat for nesting birds and chicks away from ORV users and also give them more protection from storm over wash, which is a primary cause of their limited success within the seashore. This would also help to eliminate the constant closures of Cape Point Campground, which in turn would provide more funds to the NPS. Work to create more favorable habitat for nesting birds in areas where off-road vehicles are not permitted. Several miles within the Pea Island Wildlife Refuge already reduced the area that used to be available for off-road vehicles. Use the refuge for its intended purpose. Do not allow groups such as the Defenders of Wildlife to bully you into turning the rest of the national seashore recreational area into a wildlife refuge. Explore and use programs such as those that were successful in helping the bald eagle recover. Relocate nests that are in popular off-road vehicle areas. This would also help protect the nest and chicks from storm over wash. Most of the vegetation on the beach is located near, on or behind the dune line. Off-road vehicles usually traverse the beach as close to the surf line as possible except when entering or exiting the beach. To reduce the risk of vegetation being damaged access ramps and access roads need to be maintained and repaired in a timely manner. Access roads need to be wide enough for two vehicles to pass each other safely. The pole road at Hatteras Inlet needs to be reopened in its entirety and maintained. Sound side accesses need to be large enough for vehicles to drive around other vehicles safely and also to turn around safely. Alternative routes need to be made available around closures and areas of narrow beach. There is already current policy in place that helps reduce user conflict. Seasonal off-road vehicle closures exist from May 15 through September 15 at Coquina Beach, in front of the villages, at the life guarded beach near the lighthouse and between Ramps 67 and 70. This policy should continue due to the high pedestrian use of these areas during that time frame. These seasonal closures may need to start a bit earlier and end a bit later but they do not need to exist year round. There is not enough pedestrians and/or vehicles on the beach during late fall, winter and early spring to justify restricting these areas to one specific user group. Most areas on the northern beaches of Dare County allow driving on the beach in the off-season and those areas are much more populated than the villages within the seashore. More sound side access roads need to be created between the villages to help reduce user conflict. There are kite surfers, wind surfers, people fishing, people crabbing, people netting bait, families with children swimming, etc. all trying to utilize the same small areas. Make infrequent and first time visitors to the seashore more aware of the rules and regulations. Have park brochures and/or educational material printed with detailed rules, regulations and suggestions. Make them visibly available at all the visitor centers. Ask the rental companies to put them in their rental packets. Ask the motels and campgrounds to hand them out when people check in. Ask the local shops and restaurants to visibly display them. They easily could be added to the display of free publications that many of the shops and restaurants already have near their entrances. The rules and regulations that are already in place need to be enforced. The majority of off-road vehicle users obey the rules and should not be punished

because of the acts of a few. The NPS rangers should be patrolling the beach, not areas that are already covered by other departments such as Highway 12. Accept the help of citizens who report violations and respond to their reports in a timely manner.

In regard to some of the preliminary alternative concepts and elements that have been identified, I have the following comments.

I do not like the idea of identifying certain areas of the seashore for certain types of use (i.e.: zoning system). The beaches are constantly shifting and changing. They can change dramatically after storms or periods of high winds. One week a certain area could have a great slough for holding fish and the next week it could be gone. One week a certain area could have a great sandbar for surfing and the next week it could be gone. If you create zones for specific activities, you take away the freedom of people being able to find the best spot for enjoying their chosen activity.

At certain times of the year there are seasonal closures, resource closures and safety closures all in effect at the same time. During these times, the percentage of beach available for off-road vehicle use is already proportionally low. If you designate a fixed percentage for pedestrian use only and fixed percentage for off-road vehicle use, what happens when a designated off-road vehicle area gets temporarily closed for resource protection? With all the other closures, there is no area left to open in order to make up for the percentage lost to off-road vehicle users. I don't see how access based on a percentage system can work fairly. It appears to me that off-road vehicle users would continue to get the short end of the stick.

I see no problem with carrying certain equipment and maintaining a certain air pressure. Most people who are educated about driving on the beach already do this.

I am against nighttime driving restrictions. Some of the best fishing occurs between dusk and dawn and the best stargazing is done away from the lights of the villages. I am willing to accept short term, temporary nighttime closures for hatching turtles or foraging chicks if they help to prevent the total closure of an area.

I am also against permitting. With permits come complications and restrictions. I have heard stories of people waiting in line for hours only to be told to come back the next day because the office was closing. I have heard stories of people driving long distances to obtain a permit for a certain area only to be told that they are sold out because there is a limited number issued. I don't feel it is fair to target a certain user group. If permits are established to access a national seashore or national park, everyone should have to obtain one not just a specific user group.

It is my understanding that specified corridor widths were put in place to protect the vegetation in certain areas where the dunes had been damaged. The problem I have seen in the past with some of these corridors is that they were established to be a specific distance from the average high tide line but with the high tide line constantly changing there is no way to maintain the specified width. I have noticed that the corridor stakes south of Ramp 55 are placed higher on the beach along the vegetation line. This serves the intended purpose much better than trying to place the stakes a certain distance away from an ever-changing tide line. I see no need for corridors on sections of the beach that have dunes if the following things are implemented. Make alternative routes available around sections of narrow beach. Have adequate signage directing people to and through these routes. Educate people about using these alternative routes instead of driving up against the dune on the vegetation. Ticket the violators.

I see no problem driving on un-crowded sections of beach at the established speed limit of 25 mph for people who wish to do that. I personally drive slower than that because I do not want to risk any damage to my vehicle and gear. I do feel that people should drive slower when other users are nearby. For those that do speed, they should be reported and ticketed.

In conclusion, I want to thank the National Park Service for allowing me the opportunity to comment on an issue that is very important to me. I would also like to commend Superintendent Mike Murray for the fine job that he has done since his arrival. I know his job cannot be an easy one. He has shown a great willingness to listen to and work with various user groups to allow for recreational access while still protecting resources. He has given the local residents and visitors the opportunity to be involved in what is happening and that is appreciated.

Correspondence ID: 1223 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Glass, Mary Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 21:39:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1224 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fonda, Thomas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 22:01:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1225 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: BUrch, Paul F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 22:07:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am a resident of Maryland and we own a home in Frisco NC, Brigands Bay. We have been visiting the OBX for 20 years and plan on retiring to our house in Brigands Bay. We have enjoyed for years the recreational ORV use of the beaches and is one of the reasons we decided to purchase a home in

0000684

Frisco. The vast majority of the individuals we have witnessed using the beaches have done so responsibly and are true lovers of the environment. If the ORV use of the beaches is restricted, I feel this will have a negative impact on the culture and the economy of the towns on Hatteras Island. The culture of Hatteras Island is built on free access to the beaches. The beaches, the ocean and sound as we all know shape our everyday activities on Hatteras Island. Our house is currently in a weekly rental program when we are not on the island. If the ORV plan that is adopted is restrictive this will lower our rentals and property values as well. The access to the beaches using vehicles is a major draw for tourists to the area and I fear the local economy will also suffer. The access to the beaches has traditionally been oriented towards vehicles and the non-vehicle beach access points are not sufficient to support the tourist and resident access that is needed. I do feel that reasonable plan could be achieved as long as the negative stereotyping of beach users by various organizations is not allowed to affect the outcome. We value our ORV access to the beaches in a very unique place on this earth, and our ORV policies on Hatteras Island should also be allowed to be unique. Regards Paul Burch

Correspondence ID: 1226 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Evans, Bruce R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 22:22:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Bruce Evans

Correspondence ID: 1227 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Saxby, Morgan
Outside Organization: CCFW OBPA UNITED 4WD ASS Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 07:03:46
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Re: National Park Service Off-Road Vehicle Plan
Dear Mr. Murray,

I am writing this letter to express my concern regarding the Off-Road Vehicle Plan that is being considered by the National Park Service and directly related to beach closure planning by the NPS at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have been a responsible off-road enthusiast for more than 30 years and have frequented the CHNS for family recreation, fishing, and wildlife attractions during my many visits each season. The purpose of this letter is to ask the NPS to reconsider this action and evaluate more appropriately in the best interest of the community of responsible users who deeply care about access to this recreational natural resource, with the loss thereof, would constitute a grave injustice to those who care very much about the environment, wildlife, and sustainability of this resource for generations to come. I would ask that the NPS unanimously endorse keeping all beach access venues and ramps open to the public during the entire season. The purpose of a National Park is FOR THE PEOPLE NOT FROM THE PEOPLE.
Respectfully, Morgan Saxby

Correspondence ID: 1228 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chapla, Marilyn D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,
Marilyn D. Chapla 211 Pennoak Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15235

Correspondence ID: 1229 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Weber, Ted
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Ted Weber

Correspondence ID: 1230 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: RUSSO, DAN J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 08:16:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Here is how I see it: 1) Do not decrease ORV access anywhere. 2) Open up more access between Oregon Inlet and Rodanthe. 3) More enforcement for those who break the law. I see this more in the Summer than at any other time and it's usually young drivers that need to be schooled on the "do's and don'ts". 4) Better access to Hatteras Inlet on the Sound side. 5) Better drainage for ramps 43-44. 6) Open up more access between Cape Point and Hatteras Village during peak fishing times Spring, Fall and Winter. 7) Make the surf still available and driveable around closed bird nesting areas and bypasses behind turtle areas. 8) Groups lobbying to decrease access are: a) funded by "do gooders" from around the country that for the most part do not visit this area and really don't know what is at stake with the local economy impact. b) funded by members of PETA who's only goal is to stop people from hurting fish. 8) Denying access will only add to: a) more "man made" problems with the dunes from people going over them b) more heart failures from older people trying to fish c) less opportunities for families, fisherman and the elderly to enjoy the beauty...and yes...even bird watchers. By the way, fishermen are by far the largest bird watching group on the banks.
 Thanks

Correspondence ID: 1231 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ethridge, Steve
Outside Organization: CLMS Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 08:22:09
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am asking on behalf of myself and my family that the rich traditions of camping and fishing by ORV on the outer banks of North Carolina continue to be enjoyed as we have for over 30 years. My goal as a CLMS member, angler and nature enthusiast has always been to preserve and protect our nations natural resources to be enjoyed by everyone. I do not stand alone in my belief that our heritages need to be protected just as our resources. Through proper management, education and a willingness to work together to keep our national seashore a wonderful place to be enjoyed by people of all walks of life. I would like to see the new park management plan remain as is as we continue to work together to protect not only these valuable natural resources but our right to enjoy them as we have for generations. Preserve and protect are our goals....NOT Prohibit.

Correspondence ID: 1232 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adkisson, Tom
Outside Organization: NPCA/Sierra Club Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 09:21:43
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Tom Adkisson Greenville, NC

Correspondence ID: 1233 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alley, John R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 09:36:34
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: 1)The NPS needs to establish a network of ORV access trails in the seashore to provide ORV access around and through seasonal bird closures, this will allow public access in areas not affected by nesting birds. The flexibility of such a network is in keeping with the dual mandate of the park service to provide protection to the resource and allow access to the public for recreational activities. The NPS needs to remember that CHNSRA was created around eight villages that had an economy and lifestyle long before the NPS came on the scene. When you live down here you hear the phrase "I'm going up the beach". It comes from the fact that beach driving is an integral part in the history of Hatteras Island. The Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area was created around these villages and the NPS needs to very mindful that they hold the key to a large portion of the local economy and culture. In the past the NPS has used that key to make it easy to manage these wild beaches. Lock the gate and you don't have to manage it. The time has come for the NPS to step up and make rational decisions that keep the Recreation in Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.
 2)The NPS needs a formal plan for opening and closing beaches that are affected by bird and safety closures. In the past we have seen the NPS close a beach because they felt it was too narrow for vehicle access and then leave it closed forever with out any regard to the changing conditions of the beaches. Prime examples are the beaches in front of Frisco and Hatteras. These beaches were closed years ago because they were narrow and because the NPS never assessed the situation after the closure the property owners in Frisco and Hatteras assumed that the beach was closed forever to ORV use. This has resulted in quite a bit of friction between ORV users and the homeowners. During this time the NPS continued to open and close the beaches in front of Rodanthe, Waves, Salvo and Avon based on the seasonal closures in the 1978 Interim ORV Management Plan that was formulated with public input but never published in the Federal Register. Superintendents Compendium dated 5/31/06 does not provide for the exclusion of Frisco and Hatteras beaches to ORV use in the off-season. The NPS needs to gather current data from the local real estate companies on seasonal usage so that the opening and closing dates for seasonal closures reflects the present day situation. Frisco and Hatteras beaches should not be excluded from seasonal usage because of the past management decisions of the NPS.
 3)There is a wide disparity in facilities available to visitors in the seashore. The NPS spent a lot of money to build restrooms and shower facilities at the "Haulover" between Avon and Buxton while there were not even trash containers at the some of the beach access ramps. There is only one fish cleaning station on the whole of Hatteras Island. By not providing cleaning stations the NPS encourages fish cleaning waste on the beach, which the NPS then claims to increase predators, which take protected species. I'm not sure whether this is a Catch 22 or a Self-Fulfilling Prophecy. The NPS needs to

review this situation and take corrective measures to provide more facilities for the visitors who choose to access the beaches of the seashore. Fish cleaning stations should be built at more of the beach ramps and "Porta Potties" and trash facilities should be strategically placed at these beach access ramps. The Seashore has seen a large decline in parking and beach access in the past ten years. Not everyone can afford to rent an Oceanside home for his or her vacation and since there is no real "Public Access" in any of the neighborhoods it is critical that the NPS preserve ORV Access which provides the only real "Public" access to large areas of the "Recreational Area" that was authorized by Congress. This is a matter of "Historic Access".

4) Why does the park service not study how habitat can be modified to provide for protection and recreation? The past practice has been to close off areas, which promotes plant growth. This expands the areas that are not suitable bird habitat and forces the birds to compete with humans. At the same time this policy expands the suitable habitat for predators, which the NPS spends a good amount of money to trap and kill. A case in point is the large pond at Cape Point. Many long time residents remember that it used to be a large nesting and breeding area when the vegetation was not allowed to grow right up to the shoreline. I have been told that the Audubon Society has raised this concern in the past and received no interest from the park service. Since the park service has allowed the large wooded area on Cape Point to be flooded by salt water killing off the vegetation, why not use this area to create the proper bird habitat? The area has no human presence so there is no loss of access for the public and could provide a model of creative thinking and a wonderful place for bird watchers.

5) Rules are good if they can be enforced. The NPS, by its own admission, does not have the resources to enforce their rules. This has resulted in a "Padlock Management" mind set. As a responsible ORV user I reject the idea that I cannot access my public recreational area because the NPS does not have the personnel to enforce the rules and regulations that should protect the resource and allow my enjoyment of this special place. In the past several responsible ORV access organizations have asked the NPS if they would be able to help with reporting violations. The NPS has never really explored this possible resource.

6) What is the benefit of the extreme amounts of money the NPS has spent in the last few years to protect an extremely small population of piping plovers? I would like to see what hundreds of thousands dollars of taxpayers money could have done if the NPS had explored the idea of creating habitat instead of denying access. Is our population of breeding pairs critical to the survival of the species? How can such a small population warrant the impact on the economy of Hatteras Island that comes with the total beach closures we have seen in the past? These total closures have a far-reaching impact on our economy. A visitor who spent his or her money for a vacation during a total closure and was denied ORV access might not understand that the situation may be different the next year and not plan a return visit.

7) The flooding of the Cape Point area needs to be addressed. By not managing this problem the NPS neither protects the resource nor provides recreation. What used to be a large wooded area has been allowed to become a swamp. How much Fauna was affected by this drastic change in environment? Cape Point Campground is prone to flooding and has to be closed during times of heavy rain, once on the 4th of July weekend. The Lighthouse area is affected and so is ORV access. This is a critical problem since it affects all access.

8) The idea of establishing certain zones of usage needs to be carefully looked at. If a Family is in a "Fishing Zone" and the kids want to go swimming do they have to move to a "Swimming Zone"? If they want to go "Surfing" should they "Relocate" once more? Since the NPS already admits that they are under funded for enforcement, how will they enforce even more regulations?

I would like to thank the NPS for the time and energy that is being spent on trying to reach a sensible solution to responsible ORV management for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.
John Alley Hatteras Village

Correspondence ID: 1234 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Grund, Blake R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 09:44:23

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: To Whom It Concerns: Please take into consideration the following when proposing the ORV management plan for Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area: 1. Access should be maintained year round for ORV use as this is a recreation area that is used by FAMILIES. Most families traveling to the park do not stay in "Oceanfront" accommodations; therefore there is a great need for access to the beach via ORV. 2. Access ramps should be maintained by the park service. Trash cans and Out House facilities should be maintained at each ramp. 3. Consideration should be given concerning the local economy. ORV access to the seashore is a major contributor to the well being of the residents of Hatteras Island. 4. The Pole Road should be restored and open year round for access to the beaches at Hatteras Inlet. 5. When a bird nesting closure is erected, alternative access around the area should be provided so that the park can be used by both birds and people. 6. Fish cleaning facilities should be erected at all ORV access ramps. 7. There shall be NO PERMITS and NO ACCESS FEES EVER. 8. ORV access to Pea Island should be restored and two access ramps should be provided. People come to the park for all kinds of recreation. Denying ORV access would deny many user groups access to the beaches and the park. Children, physically challenged people and the elderly are a few user groups that come to mind. Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area is one of the finest parks in the country because it is so accessible. ORV access to CHNSRA allows my family to spend an enjoyable day or week or weeks TOGETHER at the beach.

Correspondence ID: 1235 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: SLOAN, MARVIN H

Outside Organization: OBPA/NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 10:46:32

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray: First I would like to thank you for your cooperation with us in working toward maintaining as much beach access as possible during this period of working on the future regulations on beach driving. My wife and I usually try to visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area at least two weeks per year, and have been doing so for the past 20+ years. On occasions we will have her extended family which results in us renting two houses for the week. This contributes considerably to the local economy in Avon. Not to mention all the other purchases we make while there such as food, gas, bait, tackle, etc. My wife and I both suffer from chronic arthritis in both knees and have difficulty walking any long distance in the sand. The same applies to my wife's sister and older brother. Our ages range from 61 for my wife to 67 for her brother. Should we be prevented from driving on the beach we would not be able to reach some of our favorite fishing spots such as Cape Point, False Point, and Hatteras Inlet. There are times we can find seclusion at one of the other ramps and enjoy just being at Cape Hatteras. We feel that the tradition of beach driving at Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area should be continued with the possibility of increasing access to the Pea Island beaches. If it were opened partially to ORV usage this may take some of the pressure of some of the more popular areas. I realize that the wildlife in the area needs consideration, which, I might add, you have done a superb job of allowing access while at the same time protecting areas for the nesting birds since your arrival. In closing I must add that without ORV access to the beaches at Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area we would regrettably no longer enjoy visiting the area as we have for so many years in the past. Thank you for your consideration of my opinions during the rule making process. I remain, Sincerely, Marvin H. Sloan Greenville, SC OBPA member NCBBA #12145

Correspondence ID: 1236 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: ebert, james a

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 10:52:39

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Comments on information available at the public meeting (2/07) on the CAHA Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan
The following are preliminary comments and suggestions to be considered at the start of any planning to protect needed endangered species habitat. Plans should allow for all required aspects of breeding and germination. Not just nesting as this would be unlikely to occur if species did not find

suitable habitat during early stages of territory establishment. The Plan may also require the development of needed habitat if it is discovered that past activities in the park have degraded needed breeding and germination habitat that probably would exist in the park were it not for the past allowed human activities that degraded this required habitat. I am available if necessary to elaborate on these comments.

Preliminary Alternative Concepts or Elements

- The NPS should stress and justify its historic and legal mandates and natural resources management experience successes such as the increase in endangered species due to habitat preservation at sites such as Cape Cod and Fire Island National Seashores as well as other areas; rather than attempt to develop a plan that is ORV centric.

- If a zone system is to be developed it should start with unstressed prime habitat (i.e. "Vehicle-free habitats") to be monitored by experienced personnel for a minimum of three years before high stress activities such as ORV driving or other human activities that have been proven to be high stress activities (large groups of active humans remaining in an area, etc.) are permitted in an area. And if once a habitat is open to these high use activities, and endangered species are found at any time making use of these areas, and being negatively stressed, it should return to the "Vehicle-Free Habitat" (VFH) status. -- When areas presently open to ORVs are closed under the above possible habitat protection action; to ensure the local economy remains stable an education process should be developed ahead of time with area experts so that alternative activities that support the area economy are developed. This reeducation process can be an area money making activity through workshops, training courses at the local institutions, certification (i.e. for contracted ferry services to good fishing beaches or electric beach bus services running behind the primary dune habitat, media coverage of these activities etc.) and merchandize for the purveyors and the public that uses these alternatives. This would be a large change in an area that has a hard time with changes but the money making aspects should be stressed to keep the area economy experts in favor of park actions.

- ORV access based on percentages might be initiated once the endangered species have been removed from the endangered species list. This method would then ensure habitat protection for the species and allow high stress human actions on the maximum habitat allowable. This would keep the NPS from being threatened with legal actions for not upholding legal natural resource protection mandates. -- A possible funding source in this area might be to have levels of ORV access and matching fees. The highest level might be for those who have not been issued habitat damage violations in the past several years along with a higher fee. Fees might be set up to be least during non-breeding periods and "limitingly high" for ORVs during more stressful times of breeding and germination. Or a lottery could be set up yearly for an estimated number of ORVs that would not reverse area natural processes during critical breeding periods..

- It is suggested that the NPS consistently refer to the Plan being developed as an endangered species habitat preservation and development Plan rather than stressing a mechanical vehicle management aspect that would place the planning expertise more under a department of transportation. The present Plan title focus tends to limit actions that could be taken to preserve the barrier island breeding and germination habitat. While a change in the paradigm is often difficult for extreme proponents on either side of an issue the majority on the middle ground should then be open to more Plan possibilities.

- It is suggested that education and outreach be a prime part of any Plan to protect endangered species habitat. It is highly probable that few Americans have been given the knowledge to understand what models suggest are needed for our species to survive into the future for our grand children. From our present experience on the reticence of Americans to accept the models for Global warming and truly champion efforts needed to correct this threat, a strong focused education and outreach effort is needed; starting now. To change past misconceptions relating to human effects on our environment Americans must be familiar with basics such as needed habitat, the importance of indirect effects on species, and the long-term economic impacts of not allowing natural processes. This may require funding but the issue is one that has potential to generate funding and profit for those contracted to undertake the development and implementation of an education initiative to correct past misinformation.

-An additional element to be considered is the break up of habitat caused by ORV driving. Designated routes with the tire ruts prevent the movement of chicks by adults to areas of safety from some predators. These ruts are the equivalent to fences preventing adults from removing chicks from the immediate area during predator activity. If prey is not easily obtained, predators tend to move out of an area and/or their numbers drop naturally. This would make a costly, time consuming predator control plan unnecessary. In addition, ORV tire ruts limit the natural ability of adults to entice predators away from nests (i.e. broken wing display, vocalizations to divert Prey from a path to the nest or chick area, etc). -- It should be noted that there is documentation from Fire Island NS of adult Piping Plovers moving their three chicks a distance of four miles on the chick's second and third day of life to an area that was vehicle free and had fewer predators. ORVs and their tire ruts prevent this natural evasive action.

- Humans are much more resilient than wildlife. Objectives of this Plan should stress wildlife and habitat protection. If preserving present visitor attitudes and activities are a primary goal habitat preservation is likely to suffer. This may be verified with data from passed activity that put visitors ahead of natural resource preservation even if, and especially if, in the past the NPS created this management policy. This transparency would demonstrate that we have learned a lesson and should not repeat the action. -- With our affects on the earth becoming more evident each day, research on habitat preservation should be linked to near future and long-term benefits to humans. This information must then be vigorously shared (education) and explained to as many people as possible.

- Another element to be considered is the use of alternative transportation to sensitive barrier island habitats such as beaches and spits. Small and medium sized vessels off shore might be contracted for near shore fishing to limit human impacts to the beach habitat during endangered species breeding and germination seasons. (Electric?) Beach bus routes running on planned interior areas might also limit visitor impacts to these sensitive habitats and yet allow for limited surf fishing (and take away a possible negative comment such as "they've kicked us off the beach!). Increased marketing for the use of habitats on the west side of Route 12 could do its part on limiting beach impacts due to human activity that is stressful for breeding and germination. Each of these suggestions has a high possibility of being contracted to allow for replacement revenues for those habitat-damaging ORV activities that would be eliminated by Plan actions. -- This element of alternative park activities is further evidence for focusing not on ORV management but rather barrier island habitat preservation.

- A healthy economy does not depend on one or two recreational activities such as beach fishing to sustain the regional work force. The issues facing Cape Hatteras National Seashore are common along the east coast. Being the first to plan and develop alternative, habitat friendly, beach activities (other than wind surfing, etc.) would put this area ahead of the others that would follow. Developing a proactive long-term Plan will require dedicated NPS and regional personnel that are capable of "seeing the forest from the trees", and permitted to "work outside the box".

James A. Ebert; biologistje@hotmail.com

Correspondence ID: 1237 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.14,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Sara Smith

Correspondence ID: 1238 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walls, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 11:41:30
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: A point that my group has been discussing amongst ourselves lately > would we come to Hatteras if we were not permitted to drive the beaches? We go in what is considered the offseason so my remarks are factual to my experiences at those times of year. A little history - I started going to the outer banks 18 years ago, first 1 week a year , now 2. The group I go with started with a few guys 20 years ago and has grown to as many as 16 people in any given year. Our group sprang out of a group of older gentlemen (most all now deceased) who had been making the annual trek since the late 60's - early 70's. Most were already fishermen prior to the OBX experience - I was not. I started out thinking this would be a 1 and done vacation but became immediately hooked by the character of the OBX. The first few times down I was content to walk the beaches, browse around the villages, sit in a chair on the beach and read a book and throw the occasional line in (a borrowed rod) from the surf or pier. During this phase of my experience with the banks I was, without exception, impressed with the overwhelming friendliness of the many folks I crossed paths with (locals and visitors alike) and never came away from an impromptu chat with the impression that the myriad of recreational users could not coexist on the beaches. As years went on I have become as avid a fisherman for the 2 hatteras weeks a year as anyone else in our group - and with my own equipment. Although my primary reason for going has evolved, my first impressions and beliefs regarding the use of the beaches has only been reinforced with each trip. As the years go by it seems we have lost access to areas we previously were permitted to traverse by ORV. I won't even pretend to know if the reasons are legitimate or not - I just know if it continues it will ultimately impact the OBX in a negative way for ORV users. Seems like every year the question of access becomes more and more heated. Here's hoping reasonable people can reach an accord that doesn't force ORV users to have to make the ultimate decision of whether their Hatteras experience is sufficiently watered down to the point one would conclude - "it isn't worth it" or, in the case of locals, "we can no longer make our living on the outer banks". In the offseason the vast majority of Hatteras visitors are ORV users so the economic impact would likely be too enormous to withstand.

Correspondence ID: 1239 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kolakowski, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 11:47:34
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
John Kolakowski

Correspondence ID: 1240 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 11:59:06
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Catherine, New Haven, CT

Correspondence ID: 1241 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pierce, Jody C
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 12:21:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been visiting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area for seven years. Since my first visit, I have fallen in love with the area. I cannot think of a better vacation spot to spend my hard earned days away from the office. That very first visit was spent surf fishing in a way that only

ORV access provides. I was also struck by the natural beauty of the beaches.

While I have respect for the plant and wildlife that are such a big part of the island, I also strongly believe that there should be a balance that will allow citizens to continue to enjoy the park as they have for many years. I feel that the local population and their economy should also be taken very seriously. In my opinion, it would be a real shame to see the recreational portion of the park diminished further due to the complaints of a loud minority. As stated before, I do respect the wildlife and I do not disagree with reasonable closures. I do, however, very much disagree with the pattern that has developed over time where each year, more and more beach is closed. The park is plenty big enough to accommodate all interests and I feel that the current level of closures is more than enough.

I am strongly opposed to any sort of beach access permits. I also believe that if any popular recreational area absolutely needs to be closed for temporary reasons, that every effort should be made to allow access around the closed areas. It is my understanding that this has been accomplished on occasion at Cape Point. I was happy to hear that news.

I have seen what has happened to other parks around the country with respect to access. I hope a plan can be put in place to prevent CHNSRA from suffering the same fate. The animals are important but to me people should come first. A park should be a place for humans to enjoy, not a place that people fight over.

Correspondence ID: 1242 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: OHOP, WILLIAM
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 12:33:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray, My name is William O'Hop, I am actually a lifelong resident of Virginia, but I do own a home on the Outer Banks, on Ocracoke Island, North Carolina. I have been vacationing with my family and four children on the Outer Banks for about 16 years. All of my children from infant to teens, have looked forward every year to their vacation at the Beach. Whether it be on Hatteras Island or on Ocracoke Island, there have been many fond memories of the big one that got away, or my daughters first fish or my sons 7inch crab. In all the years of vacationing on the Outer Banks, I have yet to see anybody intentionally disturbing wildlife or driving inside of the fence lines or running over birds..All I personally have ever witnessed have been good people sharing time with there family and friends. I am a Big believer in protecting the environment, ie.. leaving it the way you found it.. picking up litter/ debries etc. and I think that this should be preached all over so that we donot have a negative impact on the environment, wildlife, marine life etc. One of the reasons that I come down to Hatteras and or Ocracoke is because the beaches are clean and the people are friendly and have been for years ... Beach access is a key component to the economic survival of the entire area .. I'm sure you have already been bombarded with numbers on how much revenue tourism brings, so I wont bother with that, I guess I just find it hard to believe that the NPS would consider closing the beaches to ORVs.. I completely agree with having some sort of set guide lines and rules for ORV use and strict enforcement of these rules for everyones safety < birds / wildlife included.> But closing off public access is not the answer. Hopefully some sort of agreement can be reached and everyone can be happy with it. I just hope the right people show up to these meetings in support of what ever is best for both North Carolina and the NPS. instead of the closed minded, got to have it our way, or we'll sue until we win groups. All that seems to do is spend a lot of money that could be used for some kind of good elsewhere. Thank you for your time.
William O'Hop

Correspondence ID: 1243 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Jr., John C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 12:51:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: The Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreation Area.
Let's not leave RECREATION out of this equation.
No more closures! It's not a wildlife refuge. The park keeps closing bits and pieces of the island to recreational vehicles, forcing MORE people into a SMALLER area. This is not a good idea.
The main users of this park are locals, and vacationing fishermen. These two user groups are stewards of the land, and have been for ages. One of my joys in visiting the Outer Banks is enjoying the wildlife and majestic beauty of this park-- driving on the beach is a major part of that experience.
The towns in Dare County depend on the tourism for their economic well-being, their very survival. Take away ORV access, and one of two things will happen: 1. These towns will dry up with little to no tourist income. 2. The small hotels and tackle shops will sell out to high rise hotels, Eagles, and Wings and turn the quaint villages of Hatteras Village, Rodanthe, and Buxton into Myrtle Beach. Tell us how good that will be for the wildlife?
North Carolina has a unique place-- let's not ruin it.
No permits. No nighttime closures. No more beach or sound front closures. Stiffen the penalties for those that do damage to our parks.
More access--not less!

Correspondence ID: 1244 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Niggemeyer, Heidi M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Heidi Niggemeyer

Correspondence ID: 1245 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: nelson, bud
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 13:27:13
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My preferences re ORV use - Maintain the currently open areas for 24x7x365 access Enforce existing ORV regs through enforcement I support seasonal closures in front of the villages I do not support excessive closures for nests, bird or turtle. DO NOT eliminate predators selectively I DO NOT support an access fee or license or admittance tag. I do support FREE and OPEN access for ALL. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 1246 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: nelson, bud
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 13:30:20
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My preferences re ORV use - Maintain the currently open areas for 24x7x365 access Enforce existing ORV regs through enforcement I support seasonal closures in front of the villages I do not support excessive closures for nests, bird or turtle. DO NOT eliminate predators selectively I DO NOT support an access fee or license or admittance tag. I do support FREE and OPEN access for ALL. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 1247 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Deering, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 13:40:20
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Mr.Murry, Iam writing this letter to express my concern about the Off Road Vehicle Plan that is being considered by the NPS. My family And I have enjoyed The National Seashore for over 35 years. I have seen the villages around the park grow from little service and very seasonal (better take what you need from home) to the current sprawl. This is sad but Iam sure is not under the park Service control. With this sprawl putting more people and more pressure on the Park and the wildlife I understand the nessesity to close small areas during nesting and breeding for the birds and turtles. These wonders are a big part of why we come. A big reason we love cape Hattras is we dont like crowds, it is nice to take the truck and drive up to beach to a relitively secluded part of the beach, fish, swim,walk and enjoy the wildlife. Also we have a pretty modest budget and the few beachfront houses are beyond our means. We are able to rent an enexpencive house by the road and take the truck to the beach for the day. If closures were to happen I guess youall would have to build more parking areas like the one in Frisco and they would be overwhelmed by the Number of people like us that that did not have beach front. The National Seashore would become private beach for those that can afford the four thousand dollar a week houses with beach front. Thank you, John Deering

Correspondence ID: 1248 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Braack, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 13:41:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As a frequent visitor to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore I would like to point out that it is imperative that open access to the beaches be maintained for appropriate off road vehicles. The seashore itself is a unique area which is suited to many forms of recreation, and in fact this suitability is why it was created in the first place. Without access to the areas, it would be impossible for large portions of the seashore to be put to the use they were intended. At a time when facilities are being modified to make themselves more accessible to larger sections of the population, it would not make much sense to restrict the vehicles that make it possible for a large part of the population to use them. I understand that there will be restrictions on use in specific areas for short periods of time for either safety issues or to help the survival of the wildlife that lives there, but to even consider a ban on the vehicles which make it possible to use the beach would seem not only ridiculous but contrary to the terms of the creation of the area.

Correspondence ID: 1249 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Henderson, Lillian D.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Lillian D. Henderson

Correspondence ID: 1250 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Prousalis, Chris A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 14:22:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To whom it may concern and greetings, My family has enjoyed the Outer Banks for as long as I can remember. There is no other vacation destination for us. We are visitors who use 4wd vehicles to gain access to the beaches at Cape Hatteras and Ocracoke Island numerous times a year. It certainly has gotten everyone's attention that there are a few environmentalist groups that want to close the beaches to all ORVs. I am adamantly opposed to this position and would like to let you know that I consider myself and my family stewards of the resource and not the purveyors of wanton disrespect for all things environmental as suggested by certain groups close to this issue. We are all for protecting the environment and species truly in need of protection. My desire for this committee is to let science and not a radical group of over funded mis-guided individuals dictate how this resource should be managed. I would love to be wrong about this but I get the impression that most of the funding for DEF has come from people who have never been the OBX and do not have the environment in mind when writing their annual checks for the "cause". In the little time I have had to research this issue, I

have become aware that there will be representation afforded to the various groups in the decision making process. I'm pleased to hear this. Every side of this issue should be heard and decisions should be based on un-bias professional opinion based on the true facts supported by the best science can give us. We do not have our heads in the sand (so to speak) and realize with the increased tourism of the Banks comes a need revisit how the resource is managed, and once and for all create an ORV plan for the future. Our desire for the decision makers is to be mindful of the immense impact your final judgement will have on all those who consider the Park an irreplaceable part of our lives. thanks for your time.

Correspondence ID: 1251 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Boggs, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 14:23:35

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have been coming to CHNSRA on and off for about twenty years and really can not think of any other place I go to that I enjoy as much. The ability to take the family or friends out on the beach in a vehicle is awesome. To be able to fish where you can access is great. I just hope my grandchildren have the same right of access as I do now.
The biggest reason I come to CHNSRA is to fish and relax. Some may disagree, but being there is the closest thing to being in Paradise that I can think of at this moment.
Thank you for the opportunity to make my voice heard.

Correspondence ID: 1252 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Snyder, Howard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 14:28:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who has traveled to and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Please give priority to those things that cannot be replaced.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1253 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Lindsay, Tommy L
Outside Organization: OPBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 14:32:16

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am adamantly opposed to more restrictions on ORV access than the present Park management is doing. The park is deeded by Congress as a recreational area. It's title is the Cape Hatteras National Recreation area. By eliminating access you are going against the wishes of Congress. By eliminating access you are eliminating the handicapped from enjoying the beach.

Correspondence ID: 1254 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Adams, Thomas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 15:01:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Mr. Murray: Whether it is the northern reaches of Minnesota, the plains of Montana, the miracle that is the Grand Canyon along with Bryce Canyon and Zion National Park, the ability of a parent to have the opportunity to teach and commune must be maintained in the best possible manner for each of us with differing viewpoints. We started coming to the Outer Banks of NC in 1978 and have never gotten beyond the marvel of the topography, the people and the nature that it nurtures. I have many remembrances of taking my children to the shoreline and teaching them about things that most do not see and teaching them about the respect that it deserves. It was some 20 years after we first started to visit that I had access to a Jeep that could make it through the sand. it was a pleasure to drive vs. walk to the 'Point' with tackle and cooler in tow.

I could go on for hours about our visits and what i have learned visiting both Hatteras and Ocracoke but I think that somehow a balance for all of the visitors, including the fisherpeople, the bird watchers, the shell collectors, the beach walkers, the turtles and all the species of birds (we/they are all visitors) must be maintained and the best way to do that is through better enforcement of areas that are roped off during specific incubating time periods. There must also be enforcement of the predators to the wildlife including the feril cats, skunks and racoons.

Thanks to you and to all the employees and volunteers of the national Park Service for their efforts to make each trip a memorable one.

Tom Adams Columbus, Ohio

Correspondence ID: 1255 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Thompson, Walter W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 15:55:46

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Fully realizing that resources such as Ocracoke and the remainder of the Hatteras National Seashore must be MANAGED to SAVE IT for the future, I believe the key is INFORMED and SCIENTIFIC management to benefit all resources. The SPORT FISHERMAN and SPORT FISHERY is a resource also, with extensive benefits to economy, fisheries preservation, and respectful utilization and preservation of visited areas. Surf fishermen who access beach areas in 4-wheel drives are probably the most conscientious individuals on the beach regarding the concrete, aesthetic, and monetary value of all resources there, and go out of their way to encourage others to be the same.
Please, DO NOT even consider any full closure or excessive restrictions on use of these areas by surf fishermen in 4-wheel drive vehicles. To do so would take away a tradition that has run in families and areas for generations. And I truly believe that the surf fishermen are the economy maintainers

for Ocracoke, and without them, that economy will wither.
 Manage access perhaps, yes, but appropriately for all.
 Thank you, Walter W. Thompson

Correspondence ID: 1256 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Rowe, Phyllis D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 16:48:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am against Off-Road use Park land for recreational drivers. i would say that it defeats the purpose of our National Parks if we destroy the very environment we are supposed to be saving. It has become clear that the land and the animals within it are damaged. I also understand that people derive pleasure from buzzing around, making big noises and going fast. Perhaps a modified race track of a sophisticated type would solve the problem. However, if we wish to preserve our national treasures, then the parks are not the place for this activity.

Correspondence ID: 1257 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morrison, Margaret-Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 17:55:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1258 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson, Kenneth L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 17:56:03
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To those this may concern, My name is Ken Wilson.. I started coming to Hatteras Island as a highschool junior back in 1969. At first I came as a surfer,then later as a fisherman. My major attaction at that time was the piers,Frisco Pier in particular,caught many a king and cobia there. There were regulations back then as now,like no sleeping in pier parking lot ect. I abided by regs and got a motel room or stayed with a friend down here when I could. This was paradise to me,so abiding by the rules was important,so as not to loose the privilege of coming here. I used to drive the beach from Hatteras Inlet all the way to Oregon Inlet,and enjoyed the fishing and surfing. Pea Island was eventually taken away as a wildlife refuge,and I had no problem with that. There were many NEW RULES and REGS put into place as years past. Although I still enjoyed the fishing and the beautiful beaches and scenery in general,it has become what seems to be a war between those that protect wildlife and those that enjoy the beaches. With that said,I want to see the wildlife protected,as do many of the folks I associate with. I love seeing birds of all kinds as well as seaturtles and want them protected. That being said,I would like to see a FAIR AND JUST way to balance this without loosing MORE ACCESS.. Like was said,I don't want wildlife to suffer,but this is a National Park,not a Wildlife Refuge,and would like to have it treated as such..
 Sincerely,Kenneth L Wilson

Correspondence ID: 1259 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meadows, Marty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Marty Meadows

Correspondence ID: 1260 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nachman, John L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 19:04:38

Correspondence Type: Web Form**Correspondence:** Dear Sirs,

I have been a visitor to the Outer Banks and Cape Hatteras for over 24 years. My children have grown up, going to Hatteras every year. We now own a second home in Hatteras Village and have many friends who live there year round.

I and my family are in favor of and request in the strongest possible terms that the beaches of Cape Hatteras remain open for recreation. We are in favor of being able to drive on the beach, fishing from the beach and being able to just walk on the beach for personal recreation. Please keep the beaches open.

Further more, I feel it would be wrong to close or limit access to citizens whose taxes support the national parks and their operations. For the same reason, I believe it would be wrong to tax citizens, for the privilege or right, to access the beaches with a permit system.

Cape Hatteras is one of the few places left in the entire nation where beach driving is still legal on selected sections of beaches; please don't allow the last small amount to be closed. It may seem like a small section of beach to some, but it is important to many, as it has been for generations.

Thank you for your consideration,

John L. Nachman

Correspondence ID: 1261 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Putnam, David**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Mar,14,2007 19:18:48**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

Correspondence: Surf Fishing is an important part of the Hatteras Experience. I make at least two trips to Buxton every year and spend a considerable amount of money on each trip. It is the number one sporting vacation that I take with my family each year. Closing off beach access will keep us from returning. We can not walk the distance over the sand that would be necessary to get to the spots we prefer.

I urge the NPS to look at beach access methods to the north. Plovers can be protected with much less draconian measures than those you implemented two years ago.

Dave Putnam

Correspondence ID: 1262 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Sizer, Louis R**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Mar,14,2007 19:54:38**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

Correspondence: To: Superintendent Mike Murray RE: Off Road Vehicle Management Plan / EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area 1401 National Park Dr. Manteo, NC 27952 From: Louis R. Sizer 249 Northern Spy Dr Linden, VA 22642
lsizer2@comcast.net

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I would like to convey my beliefs regarding the current ORVMP/EIS effort.

Let me start by thanking you and your staff for trying to understand what the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area means to my family and me. Our family has been enjoying the resource for four generations. We have vacationed and fished from Rodanthe to Hatteras and even Ocracoke.

The criteria to determine ORV use areas and routes should begin with a simple baseline. As a recreational area, and not a wildlife refuge, ORV access should include the entire area, unless specific laws determine otherwise. Obviously, the laws, and their interpretations, will have a tremendous impact to the forthcoming decisions. I just feel the starting point to this discussion needs to be open access everywhere.

My step-father has tunnel vision and can not walk anywhere in public, let alone a beach. We drive to a location and set up for each day where he can safely get to and from the water, using our vehicles as a base. My grandchildren are learning to fish in a safe environment, away from others, because we can drive to remote areas to fish. Of course this includes teaching them respect for nature, including the fish, the beach, the dunes and every other aspect I can teach them.

Please consider my request to provide open ORV access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area as you work towards a solution.

Thank you,

Louis R. Sizer

Correspondence ID: 1263 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Henry, David W**Outside Organization:** Unaffiliated Individual**Received:** Mar,14,2007 20:22:13**Correspondence Type:** Web Form

Correspondence: To whom it may concern,

We have been vacationing in Cape Hatteras National Seashore for approximately 20 years now along with our five children. We spend 4 to 5 weeks per year at Cape Hatteras, and the balance of the year wishing we were there. We dream of eventually retiring there should we be so lucky.

The reason we fell in love with this park is the open public access to its miles of unspoiled beaches. Having grown up vacationing at the Jersey Shore and exploring most of the beaches on the Mid-Atlantic coast, we can truly say that there is no place like Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

It is unfortunate and despicable how big-money real estate investors have raped the villages of Hatteras Island over the past two decades, forever changing the look and feel of these towns. Our saving grace is that the real reason we come here, the wild beaches of the national seashore, are protected by you, our government. We rely on you to keep them wild, protected and most importantly open to public access so our family can enjoy them.

Our understanding is that Cape Hatteras National Seashore was originally founded as a public use recreation area, not a wilderness area or wildlife refuge. Millions of acres of coastal land are already protected as wildlife refuges, however there are precious few acres of undisturbed coastline set aside for the enjoyment of human taxpayers. Cape Hatteras is one of those few areas, where our children can experience the real seashore; wild, free and devoid of boardwalks, hotels and other signs of human development save for the occasional shipwreck.

Management of ORV access at Cape Hatteras National Seashore has become very disturbing over the past few years, with ever increasing beach closures in the name of wildlife protection and public safety. Don't get us wrong, we have always been environmentalists and have made many donations to environmental causes over the years, but banning entire stretches of beach to all human entry because a single pair or two of nesting shorebirds was sighted defies all sense of logic. What point is there in maintaining a national seashore if the public can no longer access it?

Please do not destroy Cape Hatteras National Seashore by unduly limiting vehicular access to its many miles of unspoiled beaches. If we can no longer reach our favorite beaches such as Hatteras Inlet, Ocracoke Inlet and Cape Point we will not only lose a favorite vacation destination, but also an important part of our identity and our dreams for the future.

We agree that the proliferation of SUV's in recent years has led to dramatic increases in ORV use at Cape Hatteras and maybe it is time to put some controls in place. Twenty years ago when we began frequenting the Outer Banks it was primarily surfers and fishermen who took advantage of ORV access to the beaches, and it was not uncommon at that time to be the only vehicle in sight on the more desolate stretches of beach. Today that is a rarity, and the crowds, reckless driving and litter are bona fide concerns.

Therefore, our suggestion is that the new ORV management plan focuses on better enforcement of existing regulations along with a fee permit system if necessary to defray costs. We would not be adverse to purchasing an annual ORV permit such as at Assateague Island National Seashore to defray the costs of increased enforcement, and if this fee were steep enough perhaps that would limit the crowds somewhat. This cost should be minimal to Island residents, with tourists such as ourselves assuming the burden.

0000694

We would gladly pay a permit fee between \$100 and \$200 per year for ORV access if it would assure us open access, keep the crowds in check and allow for increased enforcement of reckless driving, littering, and driving on vegetation and in restricted areas. Perhaps increased enforcement would appease the more moderate environmentalists somewhat as well if they felt the natural resources could be better protected. So far as access goes, we feel that there should be unrestricted access to all National Park Service beach areas outside of the village oceanfronts, from the toe of the dune to the surf. Sea turtle enclosures are not a problem so long as they do not prevent ramp-to-ramp access. Bird nesting areas are acceptable between the dune line and Highway 12, and also where vast tidal flats such as South Point on Ocracoke would still permit ORV access to the shoreline. We are not in favor of limiting any shoreline ORV access for bird nesting on either the ocean or sound side with the exception of Pea Island Refuge.

We are also not in favor of "safety closures". We do not believe that the federal government has any responsibility to protect us from ourselves. If we are foolish enough to venture out in an ORV with no regard for beach conditions, tide, proper driving techniques, safety equipment, etc. then there are private towing services ready and willing to send out their wreckers for a fee. We have seen "safety closures" blocking ramp-to-ramp access in recent years that were completely unnecessary at low tide.

Our bottom line, number one concern is open ORV access to all beaches outside the villages at all times. We are willing to pay for this privilege, carry any required safety equipment, undergo safety inspections, etc. in order to retain open access to the beaches we love. We urge you as citizens, taxpayers and supporters of the National Park Service, please do not restrict ORV access at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for your time and the opportunity to voice our feelings.

Dave and Lisa Henry and Family 13 Paul Nelms Dr. Downingtown, PA 19335

Correspondence ID: 1264 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rogers, Chris

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 20:48:49

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Sir,

I am a frequent visitor to the Outer Banks. I live in Northern Virginia. My family and I have spent 1-6 weeks on the banks each year for the last 25 years. One of the key reasons we own 4 vehicles with four wheel drive is to utilize, in a safe manner, the Cape Hatteras National Seashore via the on the beach driving experience. We stay from Ocracoke to Carova.

We are avid nature lovers, beach goers, fishermen and women, shell collectors, bird watchers and Outer Banks enthusiasts. We understand and respect that there is a need to protect the environment. We understand it is there to benefit all. We understand the issues. We have co-existed with those who want to shut down the park. We ask that you do not deny us the same access that we have had for 25+ years. We ask you to protect it for the family.

We also need to protect it from those who never go there, never will go there, and have never been there - Those with no interest in it but to tell you that they think it should be closed for eco-political reasons. I defend their right to speak, however, I use the Park for the reason it has been set aside. I use it in the manner perscribed. It has been a part of my american heritage. They have a right to their opinion, they do not have the right to let their few users with a big following subject their action group philosophies over the rights of those who truly use the resource properly.

So at issue now is the future of my family and I to enjoy the right to quiet enjoyment. The right to take my 76 year old father in law out to his favorite fishing hole, on ramp 27, where he otherwise could not go to fish. Have you seen a whale blow as it heads North less than 200 yards off Salvo beach. Have you seen a waterspout cross the beach and dance in the ocean? Have you felt the bare sand in between your toes and the cold spray of the ocean as you walk into the surfline. Have you seen the perfect conch shell, the red of the sunrise, the yellow of the moon - all of the above enjoyed after a drive on the beach, each more beautiful at the beach, where they would otherwise not be seen.

I can mention the economics. You take away peoples rights, they will not come. To close access would be to kill a big portion of the economy that has existed since the OBX has been drive accessable.

I can mention the OBX are slowly migrating West, there is a natural change of the environment that adds and subtracts sand, sea oats, and wildlife habitat. Limiting access will not change that.

What can I mention that will make a difference? How about the obvious. Let the resource be enjoyed by all. Let there be unlimited use of the beach, protecting turtle nests as they are identified, bird nesting areas as they have been identified and let all co-exist. Do not let the eco-terrorists who are campaigning to flood this issue with an unwarranted save the tern or similar campaigns, when they would not know a tern if it bit them on their beak. Let the rights of those who preserve and protect and enjoy the resource responsibly in their vehicles. Allow them to tread, and tread lightly. I thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: 1265 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Henderson, Paula F

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 21:07:11

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: To the Park Service March 14 2007

I am writing to urge the Park Service to adopt measures for the management of off-road vehicles that will insure the health, safety, and future of wildlife- specifically endangered species of birds and turtles etc on Hatteras Island. Please fulfill the mandate of the Park Service to protect and preserve for future generations and to resist pressure by special interest groups. ORVs must not be allowed to put wildlife at risk or discourage the use of these delicate shorelines by nesting or migrating species--or pedestrians. I have visited the Cape Hatteras Seashore for years and recognize it as a precious asset that must be protected from those who value their reckless freedoms over less powerful species. Thank you and please count my request with others who have written to express their concern. We urge you and the Park Service to act as guardians of the land--our trust.

Sincerely,
Paula Henderson

Correspondence ID: 1266 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Handler, Michelle J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 21:18:47

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

0000695

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Michelle Handler

Correspondence ID: 1267 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shoenfelt, Charles G
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 21:19:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been visiting Cape Hatteras since 1970 and want to continue to have the access to our beaches. I have brought three generations of my family to the greatest recreational area I know of. I hope my children and grand children and I can continue to enjoy our rights as Americans to visit our parks as intended.

Correspondence ID: 1268 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1269 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rowlands, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1270 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schubert, Susanne M
Outside Organization: reporter Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 01:34:21
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Sirs,
Even as a foreigner, US tourist, ecologically concerned human being and a reporter, I would like to express my concern for the protection of precious landmarks with its unique flora and fauna like the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
Please do protect the magnificent nature.
Thank you.
Yours sincerely,
Susanne Schubert, reporter Germany

Correspondence ID: 1271 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hamilton, Wesley F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 05:49:08
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: With all we know about the human propensity to destroy our planet, why an arm of my government would hesitate to exclude off road vehicle use on this fragile environment is beyond me. Your job here is clear-- protect the environment, which will also increase the enjoyment of low intensity human users of the area-- and do not pander to the small percentage of persons who choose this form of recreation.
Sincerely,
Wesley F. Hamilton

Correspondence ID: 1272 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Farnham, Ross A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 07:08:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Ross A. Farnham

Correspondence ID: 1273 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Lasseter, Gregg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 07:22:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Gregg Lasseter

Correspondence ID: 1274 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haney, Howard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 07:22:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Howard Haney

Correspondence ID: 1275 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Swartz, Dolores A
Outside Organization: CHAC,OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 08:01:35
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My family and I have been coming to Cape Hatteras since 1983. We are New Jerseyans, born and bred and are very familiar with beaches. Let me share my first visit to 'the Point'. One glorious spring day in 1983 friends from NJ picked us up at the Red Drum Tackle Shop in their old Suburban. We entered the park at Ramp 44 and drove ON THE BEACH to 'the POINT'. It was a magical journey-the intense light, wind blowing, birds all over, and the surf, so wild where the two currents met. It changed my life. I knew this was where my life would end-my ashes blowing in that wind, mixing with that wild surf. I live in Avon now. I go to 'the Point' often. It has lost the awe inspiring majesty it had in 1983. All the signs, plastic markers flapping in the wind, closures have changed one of the most beautiful places on Earth into an eyesore. How did this happen? I am in favor of continued, free beach

0000697

access for vehicles for without that I will not be able to get to the beach. I am in favor of protecting all birds, turtles, other marine life for I have been a naturalist my entire life. I implore you when you settle on a management plan for the future, remember the majesty, the serene beauty of this Park. I am in favor of remembering that a Park is for People! Dolores Ann Swartz

Correspondence ID: 1276 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scott, Susan Hanway
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent: 3/14
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Susan Hanway Scott

Correspondence ID: 1277 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morton, Sandra L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 08:29:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Sandra L Morton

Correspondence ID: 1278 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Benge, Regina K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 09:04:54
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,

Correspondence ID: 1279 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 09:18:47
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As a NC native, and father of two young boys and as someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Charles Pettee

Correspondence ID: 1280 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Brozoski, Joseph M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 10:01:58
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I would like to voice my opposition to the restrictions of vehicular traffic on the Outer Banks of North Carolina. I have been vacationing in Avon, NC each year for the past ten years. We have chosen Avon because of its beautiful and secluded beaches and simple way of life. Part of the attraction is the miles of beach that are accessible to surfing and fishing due to the ability to drive along the beach. Any changes to that aspect would limit the attractiveness and uniqueness of the area. Please do not limit vehicular access to the beach.
Joe Brozoski

Correspondence ID: 1281 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Knight, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 10:06:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1282 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Laura J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 10:39:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Cape Hatteras ORV plan. I would like to start with the correct name of our park. It is the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area as was named by Congress in 1940. Somewhere along the line, the Recreational Area has been omitted and needs to be corrected in all communication, oral or written, from the National Park Service. This was an act of Congress. I would like to see the Recreational Area restored will all ORV accesses that were given to the People in 1940, this includes any historical roads, ramps and/or accesses to include both ocean and sound. All areas should be reopened with respect to short-lived closures for endangered species and pedestrian safety during the tourist season. To clarify the above paragraph, "endangered species" means just that. If the species is NOT on the endangered list, then the beach should NOT be closed for access. The closures should only occur WHEN the species arrives, NOT in anticipation of the arrival. As for the tourist season, that would be May 15th through September 15th. We should have unlimited access from September 16th through May 14th annually. NO BEACH PRIVATIZATION!!!! NO PERMIT FEES!!!! NO VEHICLE COUNT LIMITATIONS!!!! NO BEACH CLOSURES AT NIGHT!!!! I would like to see inter-duneal passage ways where land mass permits. This would allow safe passage by ORV's should the oceanfront be closed for ANY reason. We need more brochures and/or training at Ramps and other locations which could include tackle shops, grocery stores, restaurants and other "shops" to inform the public using the Recreation Area who may be unfamiliar with the rules or how to drive and enjoy the Area safely. A training video in the Visitors Center. Place a "DO" sign at each Ramp. Example: DO let the air out of the tires; DO pick up trash; DO report any careless/dangerous driving (and post a number). By saying "DO" instead of "DO NOT", it takes the negative word "NOT" out. Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area was created for The People by The People and sealed with an Act of Congress. We need to keep the park and restore the park to what it was meant to be and that is a Recreational Area that is to be enjoyed by ALL.

Correspondence ID: 1283 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Bonnie L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 10:40:31
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: To Whom It May Concern,
I have been coming to Ocracoke nearly all of my 45 years of life. Now I bring my family and we stay usually in Avon. I implore you to leave things as they are. I want my children and their children to be able to drive on the beaches of Hatteras and Ocracoke Islands just as I have all these years. I do understand that changes have to be made but please hear the words of the "common people" not just the special interests that have no idea how truly beautiful and special the CHNS is. I live about 2 hours from the beaches here in DE and MD, but I choose to drive 8 hours to the beaches in NC so I can drive to wherever I choose to go. If I lose that. I seriously doubt I will come to the CHNS any longer. That in my opinion will be a shame. Please don't take my favorite vacation place in the world away from me.
Thank you for your time, Bonnie L. Smith New Castle, Delaware

Correspondence ID: 1284 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 10:43:01

Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please keep the beaches open and accessible to everyone via ORV. I visit Ocracoke Island and the Outer Banks quiet often and do not think any changes are warranted. Let everyone enjoy them before mother nature takes it all away.

Correspondence ID: 1285 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cole, Patrick M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 10:52:33
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As US private citizen and long time user of the Cape Hatteras and Cape Lookout National Seashores for recreational fishing, I wish to offer comments on the intent to define access management plans.
I believe I can offer a unique perspective on any proposals that would restrict access to pedestrian traffic only (not allow the use of motorized vehicles such as SUVs or pickup trucks). Until very recently my brother Michael F. Cole (deceased of Garner, NC) was my regular fishing companion. He was permanently disabled in a work related accident and was confined to use of a walker and wheel chair. Without the use of a 4WD pickup truck and my help he would be unable to access the wonderful fishery presented on the Outer Banks. In addition to limited walking he had full use of only one hand. He would have been unable to push the wheels on a large tire wheel chair. His meager disability income would not have allowed him to purchase a motorized wheel chair. This recreation was truly the only event in his life that relieved the misery he faced every day. I am sure that other disabled Americans would be unable to enjoy this resource set aside for the enjoyment of all Americans if beach access to motorized vehicles were removed. In answer to this I can imagine that there are those that would propose wheel chair ramps to access the beach, but in order to truly enjoy the fishing of the Outer Banks, a fisherman must move around to find fish. The beaches, associated "holes and sloughs," and therefore gamefish are always in motion in this area. Confining fishing to a relatively small area, all but renders this resource as unaccessible to both disabled, as well as fully able although older fisherman such as me.
To summarize, I am not in favor of any access management plans that would severely restrict or disallow beach access for motorized vehicles.
Respectively signed,
Patrick M. Cole

Correspondence ID: 1286 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Quinn, Diana A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 11:21:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Please don't automatically delete this letter.
Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Diana Quinn

Correspondence ID: 1287 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilkinson, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 11:50:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely

Correspondence ID: 1288 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Butterfield, Denis C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 12:33:28
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am following with interest the proposed rulemaking for off road vehicle access throughout the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have fished the beaches of Ocracoke Island for more than twenty years and cherish the access provided by the Park Service to do so. Surf fishing without ORV access is all but impossible given the bulk of equipment required to effectively participate in this long-cherished sport tradition all along the Outer Banks. The economy of the Outer Banks is heavily dependent on the revenue generated by thousands of fishermen like me. If ORV access is denied or further

limited, many Outer Banks businesses will have not choice but to close their doors forever. In addition the locals will loose a much needed source of subsistence for themselves. I support full access to the beaches of the Outer Banks as it currently exist today. I recognize that access points need to be limited, but I strongly oppose further beach closings in the interest of restricting or further limiting MY access to a public-owned park to pursue MY interests there to appease a very vocal minority of persons who want to do the same thing in a different fashion. Bird watching and hiking are fine, but they do not need to be the only forms of recreation available to all citizens who pay taxes to support the National Park System. In my personal observation of more than twenty years, surf fishermen and women are by and large good stewards of the beaches. I believe the Park Rangers could better utilize their time patrolling the beaches than seeking to limit access any further. Indeed, the past several times I have been to Ocracoke for several days, I NEVER saw a Park Ranger actually on the beach patrolling it. Just what are the doing with their time? Most of the fishermen I know would not hesitate to report non-permitted conduct or driving practices on the beach to a ranger whom they see on patrol. I have done so myself on a few occasions over the years. Not all cell phones work on Ocracoke at present, and some of us go there to escape them anyway. Leave the beach access as it is, and increase enforcement presence on the beaches that are, and should, remain open.

Thank you
Denis C. Butterfield

Correspondence ID: 1289 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hamrick, James R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 13:05:15
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am not a resident of the Outer Banks, but I have been vacationing there with family and friends since 1985. I am writing to express my concerns about any further restrictions being placed against ORV beach access. I feel that the ability to access some of the more remote areas by vehicle is one of the primary reasons that a large number of fishermen are drawn to the Outer Banks. For handicapped people and/or older people (like myself) further limiting their ability to use vehicles in travelling to the remote fishing areas would, in effect, close those areas to those people. Additionally (and I do not have statistics to support this opinion), I believe that further limitations on the use of ORV's for beach access would drastically reduce the number of fishermen visiting the area and would have serious negative financial consequences on many businesses throughout the area. Again, I am not a resident of the area, but I know that many locals depend on the fishing industry for their livelihood and I share their concerns about the effect additional beach closures would have on their ability to continue making a living doing what they love to do. Thank you for allowing me to express my opinions and I appreciate any consideration you will give these thoughts during your critical decision-making process.

Correspondence ID: 1290 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: HAMM, ANITA
Outside Organization: OBPA, NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 14:29:37
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: We have been coming to the outer banks to fish and enjoy the beaches for over 20 years. surf fishing is one thing that my husband and myself love to do together. we leave our camper in frico year round and come down every month for 4-5 days. If my husband cant come i still do and stay and fish with the friends i have met over the years that live there. I cant imagine not being able to drive on the beach and fish or just enjoy the sunrise and sunsets from the beach. I cant imagine what would happen to the good people of the island if they did away with beach access. I hope it will continue to be a place we can all go and enjoy no matter what reason you have to be on the beach.

Correspondence ID: 1291 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wooten, John L
Outside Organization: NCBBA and OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 14:44:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have been visiting the Outer banks of North Carolina for almost 40 years now. I finally made Ocracoke my home in 2006. As I was a visitor in the past, so are many friends of mine now. I can safely and without hesitation say that were it not for the access that Cape Hatteras National Seashore (and recreation area) provides to ORV's, many of my friends would just as soon travel to another destination. It is this unique feature that nurtures and sustains the communities here. Were the beaches to be severely restricted and access denied to large areas of this recreation area, it would be an extreme and unnecessary travesty. The vast majority of ORV users have displayed nothing but the highest regard for this wonderfully diverse recreation area. The many visitors and residents implore you to seriously consider the negative impact that burdensome and unnecessary closures and restrictions would have.
J L Wooten

Correspondence ID: 1292 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Death, Sean C
Outside Organization: NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 14:46:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: The Cape Hatteras and Ocracoke Island National Seashore for Recreation is just that, it for Recreational Use. Current conditions and rules in effect now should not be changed except to allow more access to the Sea Shore, on foot, ORV or Horse. It makes no sense to close off a National Recreational Sea Shore when steps can be taken to move and protect endangered species. Civilian Tax payer money provides for the Recreational Sea Shore and therefore we the people who pay such taxes should be allowed Access by any means. I have spent thousands of dollars on my ORV and Fishing Gear and poured thousands more into the local economy's that lay along the Recreational Sea Shore. Restricting access any further will severely cripple the economy of villages along the Recreational Sea Shore.

Correspondence ID: 1293 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brown, Frank R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 15:09:29
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: 1) I understand that on Ocracoke Island, the residents of Ocracoke were promised, when the park was established, that residents' access to the beach would not be restricted or curtailed. I believe that promise should be adhered to. 2) I think an ORV permit for visitors whether weekly or annual is not unreasonable if the funds were committed to patrols and lifeguards and trash collection. 3) Please avoid the temptation to punish all with restrictive regulations, rather punish the few transgressors.

Correspondence ID: 1294 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chappell, Matthew R

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 15:30:55
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have visited Cape Hatteras National Seashore (including Ocracoke Island) for 30 years and have taken advantage of the ORV laws to both fish and take family members and friends to simply birdwatch and watch sunsets over Portsmouth Island. I feel it would be a shame for that right (ORV access) to be taken away now. Many of those who oppose ORV access have never even taken advantage of the ability to drive/fish/nature-watch on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I do not see how they can make a fair assessment of what is the best course of action regarding ORV access. Furthermore, the local economy (including Avon, Rodanthe, Buxton, Frisco, Hatteras, Ocracoke, etc) would be seriously (and negatively) affected should ORV access be denied. This is millions of dollars annually in tax revenues not to mention the hundreds of small business owners who would lose everything. Therefore, in my opinion, it is not wise to change what has been in place for decades.
 Thank you, Matthew R Chappell

Correspondence ID: 1295 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gignac, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 16:15:55
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"
 Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Your Name

Correspondence ID: 1296 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Putnam, Richard A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 16:32:17
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I believe that beach access should not be limited because of nesting birds. Fishing and beach access is the reason I go to Buxton. I made my first trip to Hatteras about 35 years ago and it is still my favorite vacation spot in the United States. Being able to drive on the beach and enjoy the ocean is the reason for me to go there. Please do not close access to the beach. Thank you Richard Putnam

Correspondence ID: 1297 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirby, Pamela
Outside Organization: Defenders of Wildlife Conservation/Preservation
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mar 15, 2007
 Mr. Mike Murray
 Dear Mr. Murray,
 As a supporter of Defenders of Wildlife and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect these sensitive beaches from the impacts of off-road vehicles (ORVs).
 For nearly 30 years, the National Park Service has not kept pace with the increasing ORV use in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These beaches are critical nesting grounds for endangered sea turtles and threatened piping plovers. They are also a vital habitat and food source for countless shorebirds.
 Virtually every other national seashore on the East Coast issues ORV permits, sets limits on times, places and numbers of ORVs. Most ban night driving, which seriously impacts nesting sea turtles and shorebirds. And most of these areas protect suitable nesting habitat at the start of breeding season so birds and sea turtles can nest without disturbance.
 Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be no exception.
 Off-road vehicles simply don't belong on beaches with prime nesting habitat during the critical breeding season for wildlife that depends on these shores. No amount of monitoring will provide the protection needed during these periods.
 The National Park Service is obligated to protect places like the Cape Hatteras National Seashore so that they are "conserved...unimpaired...for the enjoyment of future generations." With sensible, science-based regulations on ORV traffic, the Park Service can meet this mandate and Cape Hatteras -- and the wildlife that depends on its shores -- will be protected for future generations.
 Thank you for considering my comments.

Correspondence ID: 1298 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gyovai, Christine M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
 Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Christine Gyovai

Correspondence ID: 1299 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Massie, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Defenders of Wildlife Conservation/Preservation
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1300 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beane, Jeffrey C
Outside Organization: Wake Audubon et al. Conservation/Preservation
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I'm Vice-President of Wake Audubon (also herpetology collections manager at NC State Museum of Natural Sciences, member of Carolina Bird Club, board member of NC Herpetological Society and Sandhills Natural History Society, etc.), but I'm commenting here as an individual and life-long resident of NC. I attended the 28 Feb. public hearing in Raleigh mostly to listen to others' comments. I didn't speak then, but I'll comment here. I noticed that, of the 24 persons who spoke, 18 seemed in favor of virtually unrestricted beach access by vehicles; at least five were in favor of some reasonable restrictions to protect nesting seabirds and sea turtles, and one guy I wasn't sure about. I noticed that everyone who wanted vehicular beach access wanted it for personal, recreational reasons (i.e., self-serving, unnecessary reasons). That is not to say that recreation is a bad thing, but it is a self-serving thing that should come second (if at all) to beach, wildlife, resource, and ecosystem conservation (and, where necessary, protection). This is especially true of destructive recreation.

I love surf fishing, but I have never in my life driven a vehicle onto a beach--it never would have occurred to me to do so. I have walked for miles to reach surf-fishing spots. My surf fishing experience on Hatteras has been ruined by vehicles. I do not fish there any more. I fish to get away from traffic--not to be surrounded (even endangered) by it. I am also an avid (perhaps rabid) observer of wildlife of all kinds, and I would happily give up surf fishing altogether if I thought such activity was a threat to sea turtles or nesting birds.

To me, the concept of allowing vehicles on a national seashore is a curious thing to begin with. If anyone tried the same thing in the Smokies, they'd end up under the Swain County jail. Why is a national seashore different?

And why is NPS evidently so afraid of a special interest (minority) group? If all the stories I've heard are true (Marcia Lyons being intentionally hit by a vehicle while putting up nest fencing; tires slashed by the ORV proponents; threatening letters and phone calls; etc.), then these people are nothing more than common criminals.

I also agree with others that bird and sea turtle management plans (or improved ones) are needed--not just ORV management plans--these are different things. Consult biologists about how to protect resources. Asking recreationalists about how to protect them is just plain silly.

But none of this is about what I want, or what others want. It's about a mandate to protect a resource. As was pointed out at the hearing, the National Park Service Act of 1916 (Organic Act) states in part: "the fundamental purpose [of the agency is] to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein and to provide for the enjoyment for the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." It also states: "Except for certain portions of the area deemed to be especially adaptable for recreation uses, particularly swimming, boating, sailing, fishing, and other recreational activities of similar nature, which shall be developed for such uses as needed, the said area shall be permanently preserved as a primitive wilderness and no development of the project or plan for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna or the physiographic conditions now prevailing in this area." That charge is clear. The wildlife and scenery are to be conserved. The area shall be permanently preserved as a primitive wilderness. Not "might be preserved if no one complains about not being able to drive over it." "SHALL be preserved." On this issue, I think the NPS has been remarkably fortunate that a group like the Sierra Club (of which I'm also a member) hasn't jumped on this issue and decided to sue.

There's no question that ORVs on beaches are a threat to birds and sea turtles--how much of a threat is the question. It's certainly true that some ORV users are more responsible than others, but all leave the same tire ruts in the sand (which have been proven fatal to some chicks and sea turtle hatchlings), and virtually no one, however responsible, can see a least tern chick while driving 30-50 mph on the beach. They look like little lumps of sand, and they hunker down when approached. Wilson's plovers, gull-billed terns, and skimmers have virtually abandoned Hatteras Island. I don't need to tell you the status of piping plovers and sea turtles. We're talking about federally listed species here. Whether some guy wants to drive on the beach because he feels like it should be irrelevant by comparison.

It was with great interest that I read Ted Williams' article in the Jan.-Feb. 2007 Audubon. Some of the exact same things have happened to me--I've never seen anglers quite like the ones out there; I've been nearly hit by vehicles; I've seen anglers drive on the wrong sides of the ridiculous string bird "barriers"; I've been heckled apparently for walking on the beach carrying binoculars and a scope; I've seen many such inane bumper stickers on anglers' vehicles as: "I love plovers--they taste like chicken"; "The only good turtle is in a pot with carrots and potatoes"; etc.

While it wouldn't bother me at all if national seashores were closed to all vehicular traffic, I don't necessarily believe that it needs to be banned altogether. However, some restrictions are going to be a virtual necessity. There are too many people and too many vehicles on Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and that's just a fact. Too many people is the real issue--the only issue--and it will continue to be an issue until we somehow manage to control our populations and activities.

This should be a clear issue. The NPS needs to protect the resource first and allow limited recreation second, where it is shown to do NO HARM. Good people (or bad ones, for that matter) should not have to waste their time fighting this issue--there are plenty of other issues that need attention.

Thank you for allowing me to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1301 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 17:49:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

ORV Management Plan Summary
Management of ORV in Cape Hatteras National Seashore Park Recreational Area (CHNSRA) is proposed as a system of access ramps, multiple use trails and mitigation trails. Seasonal closures to ORV use are proposed in front of villages, certain campgrounds and other areas to promote public safety and reduce recreational conflict. Expansion of park facilities is required to maintain the quality of visitor experience for the nations future population increase. Active management of wildlife species is required since the past policies of public exclusion from beaches for ESA and MBTA compliance has been unlawful. General management policies and plans of the National Park Service (NPS) need review and interpretation to ensure adherence to

the enabling legislation.

Bob Davis Buxton, NC 27920 March 15, 2007

ORV MANAGEMENT PLAN/EIS

I Introduction Our forefathers showed great wisdom in the creation of this National Seashore for the recreation of the public. They would be proud to witness the multitudes which flock to this park unit on every holiday. This admirable purpose can continue into the future as the nations population continues to expand. The NPS now needs to address the appropriate changes to best serve the future increased utilization of this unit. Projected population growth for North Carolina has been set at 53% over the next 20 years. This will have a profound effect on CAHA. Any ORV regulation for the Outer Banks needs to be very flexible. Ocean level fluctuation and major storm events can drastically alter beach conditions. Portions of the islands and even whole villages can disappear. An ORV regulation could be very simply stated: "The public can drive wherever the NPS allows". Such a statement is not possible because of the evolutionary NPS policies on resource protection. There has been much rhetoric and passion display by both resource and recreation advocates. Many times arguments and legal attacks have been advanced based on false assumptions and regulatory cherry picking. The NPS needs to adhere to the basic laws which govern this park unit. The enabling legislations of 1937 and 1940 are the two laws which are most frequently misunderstood and wrongly applied even though they are presented in plain language rather than convoluted legalese. Although an appreciation of the history and development of this countries first national seashore aids in its understanding, we are in the final analysis bound by the laws as written. The salient features of the 1937 and 1940 laws are most interesting in light of the past park administrations which were sometimes in violation of those laws. 1. The park unit name is "Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area". The NPS dropped the last two words from the title without obtaining an amendment. This violation should be corrected. 2. The sand beaches of both ocean and sound sides are to be developed for the recreational needs of the American people. Recreational uses were listed as: a. Swimming b. Boating c. Sailing d. Fishing e. Other recreational activities of similar nature. Presumably bird watching and quiet reflections could be included as "other". ORV's were also not mentioned since they had not yet (1937) been developed as a specialized vehicle. Since 1917 motorized vehicles were already in use on beaches of the Outer Banks but required slack tires and people pushing in the soft spots. Slack tires are still necessary but the World War II evolution of four-wheel drive was rapidly adopted by both villagers and visitors alike. Contrary to the 1916 Organic Act there was no provision in the enabling legislation for protection of any wildlife on the beaches! Wildlife was protected elsewhere. 3. The land between the beaches was to be permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and nothing would be done that would be incompatible with the preservation of the prevailing (1937) flora and fauna or physiographic conditions of the area. The use of the phrase "primitive wilderness" was not in the context of present day rigid regulatory definitions. Much of the islands looked wild and primitive but all had shown the imprint of humankind. The trees had been cut out for cooking fires, lumber and shipbuilding. Woodland shrubs and grasses had been denuded by free ranging cattle and animals. Artificial dunes had been constructed and vegetation planted by CCC and WPA workers in the 1930's. Sand dune growth was enhanced by a multitude of hand made sand fencing and brush to prevent ocean water from running across the island and the salt spray from damaging vegetation. All this was done to protect the National Seashore proposed for the area. The NC legislature even abolished the free ranging of livestock in 1935 to prevent destruction of the new vegetation planted to stabilize the dunes. The last cow was shot in 1938. The protection of flora and fauna species that existed in 1937 included foxes, raccoons, muskrat, otter, mink, deer, rabbits and feral cats. Present day trapping of these species for adaptive management of beach bird life is obviously unlawful. 4. Legal residents of the villages retained the right to earn a livelihood by commercial fishing subject to regulation by the Secretary of Interior as necessary to protect the area for recreational use. No provision was made for curtailment of commercial beach fishing by wildlife closures. 5. These laws are still in force until amended or rescinded. The laws are not "trumped" by subsequent MBT or ESA laws. The protection of wildlife and preservation of public recreation are not either/or issues; neither are they points of compromise or balance. They are separate mandates which must be followed.

Conflict has arisen on beaches used within CAHA because of legal advocates for avian supremacy who have sought to circumvent the 1937 and 1940 law by inappropriate application of plans and policies developed to support other laws.

II ORV Recommendations

A. Beach Access 1. Additional ORV ramps linking NC Route 12 with the beach are needed along the National Seashore. The return of all the historical ramps including old ramps 4, 6 and 8 in Pea Island Refuge might be a good start. The ramps along side the fishing piers were especially convenient and should be given a priority. 2. All ORV ramps, both sound side and oceanside, should include parking areas and have: a. Fish cleaning tables with year round fresh water supply b. Compressed air station for tires c. Restrooms and showers d. Wooden walk ways that provide handicap access to the beach e. Trash receptacles. The presently used metal cans and lids with wooden enclosures are recommended. Metal dumpsters are unsightly and would require extensive enclosures. 3. There are three RV dump stations available within the National Seashore Recreational Area. These should remain operational during the winter months. An additional shower facility and RV dump station should be established at the Salvo sound side campground. 4. In the event that the NPS, F&WS joint agreement is that recreation on Pea Island is to be only by pedestrian access, the following are recommended: a. Restrooms and shower facilities be provided at the sound side New Inlet location. b. Parking areas should be provided every two (2) miles along the oceanside of NC route 12. These areas should be complete with restrooms, shower facilities, fish cleaning tables and wooden handicap access ramps to the ocean beach. 5. Establish a sound side boat launch facility and boat trailer parking area near the middle of Hatteras Island. Restrooms and fish cleaning table along with fresh water showers and boat rinse should be provided. 6. Repair "Pole Road" from the ravages of Hurricane Isabel. Before the utility poles were erected this sand trail was called "Back Road" and historically used by the villagers to access Hatteras inlet for commercial and recreational purposes. This was long before the National Seashore was established. This access trail is necessary whenever ocean beach conditions are impassible to vehicles. Contrary to rumors the Isabel puddles along side this trail are not "wetlands" but are a damaged road bed in need of repair! These depressions are so deep as to be a safety issue.

B. Beach Trails Trails dedicated only to ORV use are not necessary nor should they be established within CHNSRA. A network of access ramps, multiple use trails and mitigation trails should serve all the public needs. 1. Multiple use trails. These trails can be defined as that area of beach which lies between the water line and the toe of the primary dune. In the absence of a finite dune, an approximate line where vegetation covers more than half of the surface area would serve as the landward border. Properly functioning multiple use trails can be witnessed any holiday at the crowded beaches near the inlets, Cape Point and the south beach of Frisco. People, children, pets and trucks are everywhere in a glorious celebration of the human spirit. Groups are playing volley ball, pitching horse shoes or bocce ball. They are enjoying picnics, parties and individuals are running, walking, reading, sleeping, bathing, fishing, kite flying and riding a variety of water boards. Under these crowded conditions vehicles must be driven more slowly than 25 mph and extra caution exercised to protect children at play. This has been a very successful recreational use of the beaches and should be allowed to continue. These trails should extend from Coquina beach to Ocracoke Inlet and both sound and ocean wherever physically possible. 2. Mitigation Trails: National Park Service should create a mitigation ORV trail system behind the ocean side primary dunes with cross connecting ramps to the beach at every mile with suitable signage and mile markers. This system would allow for temporary beach closure for sea turtles and American Oyster Catcher's young. It would also be utilized for safety closures of the beach. Increased number of ORV ramps from NC 12 and a properly maintained mitigation trail would be beneficial for emergency vehicle access and reduce the necessity of such vehicular transit through wildlife enclosures. All trails should be given names and proper signage to benefit both enforcement and visitors.

C. Seasonal Closures: With increased visitor use special attention needs to be given to pedestrian, swimming, and surfing beaches. 1. Village beaches where houses are located adjacent to the beach should be closed to ORV traffic during the summer season from Memorial Day to Labor Day. These beaches need to be open to ORV the rest of the year. The past practice of ORV closure during the winter months in front of the villages must be discontinued. This practice has created private beaches out of public lands since there is not provision for public parking to access the beach in those locations. 2. The following bathing beaches should also have seasonal ORV closure: a. Oregon Inlet campground b. Buxton beach at light house site c. Ramp 43 parking area d. Frisco campground e. Bath house near Sandy Bay f. Ocracoke campground Such closure would require that ORV ramps and access roads be provided on both sides of the campgrounds to allow by pass. Parking areas should be provided near the campground entrances and of sufficient size to accommodate both overflow and non camping visitors. These parking areas should have handicap accessible wooden walk ways to access the beach. The present parking area in Frisco should be moved or extended closer to the campground entrance and ramp 49 moved further west. This would shorten the ORV access trail and provide space for the pedestrian walkway from the parking lot.

D. Safety Closures: These would be defined as closure of a portion of beach to ORV operation by virtue of physical conditions on the beach which would make driving hazardous. Narrow beach, severe slope, cliffs, ponding and drainage are examples. Many times a beach is impassible at high tide but navigable at lower tides. Signage with warnings to responsible drivers should be adequate. In the event that conditions are so severe to cause complete closure that section of beach should be evaluated daily by park rangers. Long term closure (more than 2 weeks) should be handled with a

bypass trail arrangement. The mitigation trail system would solve this problem.

E. Wildlife Closures: Recreational access along the beach front must be retained according to the enabling legislation. By virtue of the distances involved along these beaches most of this access must be provided by ORV. This can be accomplished by good management of the wildlife. Creation or restoration of historical habitat such as dredge pond can have major impacts on reduction of conflict between wildlife and recreation. Habitat creation will become the pivotal management tool of the future. In areas of heavy ORV use such as spits and points unfledged piping plover chicks need to be protected by exclusion from multiple use trails. The young of American oyster catchers and sea turtles will require temporary closure to the water line with the present management plans. Mitigation trails will help bypass any problem areas until better management can be developed.

F. Sea Turtle Management: There are three directions for future sea turtle management for nests and hatchling survival: 1. Dumb: continuation of the past programs using NCWRC guidelines. Every year 40% or more of this animal resource is squandered because of an irrational fear of the unknown. The loss would be even greater if there was an accounting of hatchlings that didn't make it past the gauntlet of ghost crabs on the way to the sea. 2.

Semi-Smart: Turtle nests could be relocated to a few central corrals on safer beach areas. Concentration would allow better surveillance with fewer personnel for predator control and prevent the prior weather losses. 3. Smart: Build an incubator facility to produce whatever sex ration of hatchlings necessary for enhancement of this species survival. With proper marketing this could be a fee producing tourist attraction and a valuable site for scientific advances. G. Salvo Campground: This unit has been under utilized and needs to be developed for the expected future increase in visitation. Bayside campgrounds have proven popular in other areas when extensive boating ramps were included. Additional restrooms and showers would be necessary. If boat launch facilities are developed elsewhere on the island the sound side waterfront should be further developed for increased bathing and water board sport beaches. There is adequate land area in Salvo to construct a first class turtle incubation laboratory. With the rise in cost of village rentals it is very important to maintain attractive facilities and ample accommodations for low cost camping in CHNSRA.

H. Zoning System: The NPS proposed zoning system is rejected as impractical on these dynamic beaches. A typical recreational day would start with packing a picnic lunch, loading gear into the ORV and heading to the beach. Depending on weather and water quality any given beach would recommend itself for fishing or swimming or body surfing or boogie boarding or wading and shell collecting or maybe just put out some chairs and read a book. Any one or multiple of these activities would be dictated by the beach conditions of the day and they may vary as the day goes on.

I. Percentage Access System: This proposal is also rejected in favor of the multiple use system. Establishment and continual adjustment of this percentage system on our dynamic shore line would be a logistical nightmare.

J. Public Education: A vehicular permit or inspection sticker program offers the best opportunity for driver education. The track record of permit systems in other NPS units has been poor with respect to cost and convenience to the public. Enforcement of a permit system on beaches with the multiple access points that exist in CHNSRA creates even more problems. A permit system can not be recommended at this time. Education should be carried on with a multi-media approach of pamphlets and video programs at visitor centers, local televisions, rental agencies and parking area bulletin boards to foster responsible use of this park.

K. Expectation and User Conflicts: The CHNSRA can be many things to many people but not always on demand at a given place and time. It can be a wilderness experience with a walk along a deserted beach or an excitement charged adventure with friends and family. No one who rents an overgrown beach cottage on the 4th of July should expect to walk out the front door in mid afternoon and have a wilderness experience. They can go to the northern beaches or Pea Island with a thermos of coffee and watch the sunrise in isolated communion with nature. They could also visit off season when nearly all the beaches are empty. Exploration of sound side beaches also provides a venue with much less human activity. Frequently the more primitive portions of this park can best be reached by ORV.

Correspondence ID: 1302 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gyovai, Christine M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 18:26:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.

Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
 Christine Gyovai

Correspondence ID: 1303 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: king, vickie
Outside Organization: DIFF Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 19:53:27
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: When considering park changes, please make sure to remember the aged and the handicapped. Without vehicle access, these folks would be very limited to what they can and cannot do at the beach. a walk over a dune is impossible for that group of people, but the do love the beach. Also, if you want to limit folks, put a moretorem on construction in that area. MOre construction means more people, more water runoff, more trash for the fishermen to pickup when they come to use the beach. When making changes in the park, add more rangers or law enforcement. Maybe their presence would hinder rule breakers. When making changes to the park, I am against limiting the number ofpeople allowed on the beach. Maybe we should buy a park sticker or permit to generate revenue, but not to limit our access.

I am glad I have been given the opportunity to voie an opinion.

Correspondence ID: 1304 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morris, Ronnie D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 20:00:08
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am opposed to any use of ORV's on the beaches in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The noise, noxious exhaust, and physical threat to thousands of people walking the beach do not justify the use of these vehicles by a limited few. The disturbance of wildlife, in particular birdlife, is also a major negative to any use of these vehicles on the beach. The whole beach experience, for humans as well as wild life, would be significantly enhanced by a

total ban on beach driving.

Correspondence ID:	1305	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Suiter, Dale W				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 20:11:49				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>I commend the NPS for initiating the development of an ORV management plan and subsequent EIS. During the development of this plan, I encourage you to remember the Park Service's mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system..." Therefore, I strongly encourage you make the protection of the park's natural resources the first priority of the ORV management plan. Please consider developing a management plan that will protect significant natural resources including shorebirds (piping plovers, oystercatchers, least terns, etc.), nesting sea turtles and native plant species, including the federally threatened plant, seabeach amaranth. I understand that the protection of our natural resources may restrict ORV use, but I think its the only responsible answer if we are going to protect these resources for future generations to enjoy.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	1306	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Whitmire, Melissa I				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 20:16:54				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>I am an avid bird watcher that no longer visits the Outer Banks of NC because of the enormous number of ORV's that are allowed on our beaches. It is no longer enjoyable to go to Cape Hatteras and watch and photograph birds because there are either ORV's parked all over the beach or they are zipping up and down the beach with no regard for the pedestrians, human, avian, and otherwise. I now go to SC to go bird watching, which means my tourist dollars are not being spent in my home state.</p> <p>I highly encourage you to be more stringent in your regulations concerning ORV's at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Our bird population is suffering greatly at the hands of people that have no regard for them. I doubt very seriously when Teddy Roosevelt created the National Park System, that he had any idea that decades later there would be ORV's riding up and down our beautiful beaches - so many that at times they look like parking lots. Our National Parks are intended to preserve the environment and the wildlife that lives there, not to be so abused by humans for their own selfish pleasures. Birders by nature are very passive people. We are not inclined to put up a fight, like the fisherman and ORV industry. Please know that for every one of us that contacts you, there are dozens more that feel the same way. We have plenty of money to spend on our hobby. Money that can be spent on hotels, restaurants, and gifts. Like I said, my tourist dollars go to SC where I know I can walk the beach and watch and photograph birds without having to dodge SUV's and ORV's.</p> <p>Please show some consideration for the wildlife on the beaches. Maybe they don't have money but they bring it in in ways you don't realize. Beside, they were here long before we were but unfortunately probably won't outlive us. At least not at the rate we are going.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration. Melissa Whitmire Greensboro, NC</p>				
Correspondence ID:	1307	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Howell, Sally w				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 20:17:42				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	Please plan and enforce proper buffers for nesting bird areas on the Outer Banks.				
Correspondence ID:	1308	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Robinson, Allen N				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 20:23:39				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>I am in favor of continued use of ORV access. Access is the key to being able to fish the waters on the islands and should continue to be available to the public. Surf fishing brings income to all the islands where ORV can access beaches. Many fishermen will stop going fishing causing loss in income to local businesses as well as a decline in saltwater fishing licenses.</p> <p>I hope to continue to have the privilege of fishing on the islands with the use of a motor vehicle.</p> <p>sincerely, Allen Robinson</p>				
Correspondence ID:	1309	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, N/A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 20:33:29				
Correspondence Type:	Web Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Superintendent:</p> <p>As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. <p>When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."</p> <p>In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, Jennifer A. Hunsinger</p>				
Correspondence ID:	1310	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Randall, John L				

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 20:53:25
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am writing in reference to the U.S. Navy's plan to construct an outlying landing field (OLF) near the Pocosin Lakes National Wildlife Refuge in North Carolina. I am not ordinarily an activist or express my thoughts in an exaggerated fashion, but the plan to locate an OLF in the Pocosin Lakes area is ridiculous. This area has been, for thousands of years, the wintering grounds for millions of waterfowl. Low-flying jets and birds of this size and number are not compatible, as the loss of life on both sides is unavoidable.
 I have visited the Pocosin Lakes on many occasions and cannot imagine a more foolish endeavor. And the latest information regarding how the Navy will displace the huge numbers of some of our largest birds (with sound, poisons, and the like) is to say the least alarming and absurd. I know dozens of people who frequent this area and who tell others of the wonders of watching these magnificent birds on the water and in flight. And all of them support the local economy with much needed revenue. Other areas of the country USE their wildlife as a draw, which brings in a tremendous number of visitors. Please help protect the special places of North Carolina by rejecting the proposal to construct an OLF in the Pocosin Lakes area.

Correspondence ID: 1311 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Posenau, Jerry L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 21:09:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: There is more than enough land for both bird and man at CHNS. Some of the restrictions and actions by the NPS seem un-American to me. The environment is harsh and unpredictable there making it likely that reproduction would be inconsistent. As I drive the many miles from Oregon Inlet to Buxton I cannot imagine why that land would not be enough to set aside for the birds to reproduce.
 Jerry L Posenau

Correspondence ID: 1312 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rosenfeld, Kristen M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 22:07:17
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: As a resident of the state of North Carolina for the last 30 years and frequent visitor to our beaches I feel strongly about the development of a plan that will make the Park Service's mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system..." the first priority. There are many species that are harmed by irresponsible ORV use on our beaches (e.g., piping plovers, seabeach amaranth, sea turtles). I understand that the protection of our natural resources may restrict ORV use, but I think its the only responsible answer if we are going to protect these resources for future generations to enjoy.

Correspondence ID: 1313 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 04:26:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I commend the NPS for initiating the development of an ORV management plan and subsequent EIS. During the development of this plan, I encourage you to remember the Park Service's mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system..." I strongly encourage you make the protection of the park's natural resources the first priority of the ORV management plan, not secondary in accordance to the long standing tradition and culture of beach driving/ fishing on Hatteras. I imagine there are many more ORV's today than in past years and it must have a significant negative impact on the habitat. Please consider developing a management plan that will protect significant natural resources such as nesting piping plovers, oystercatchers, least terns, and other shorebirds, nesting sea turtles and native plant species, including the federally threatened plant, seabeach amaranth. I understand that the protection of our natural resources may restrict ORV use, but I think its the only responsible answer if we are going to protect these resources for future generations to enjoy.

Correspondence ID: 1314 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Willard, Daniel J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 05:56:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been living here at Buxton since 1991 and fishing the point area. Big drums, spanish mackerel, and bluefish in the 90's kept the point area crowded with trucks sometime up to 300 parked four rows deep. Since then the fishing has slowed down and the amount of trucks has drop to 50 or less for the most of the fishing season. The only time there are more trucks are when the the bird closer keeps everybody pack in a small area and during holidays. Since 1998, I notice a lot more bird watchers hugging (up close to the fence) the bird areas and many times I have seen guided tours inside the close area by Park Servie personnel. I have seen non Park Service personnel (DOW Personnel) walking the close area many times as if it was his private area. Everybody are trying to blame the fourwheel drive for the lack of birds in the park, but the problem started after the Park Service attempted to protect the birds. Close areas allows the animals to hunt without threat of humands. Close areas allows grass to overtake the areas that shore birds need to nest and feed in. The Park Service decision to not reopen the drain system after a storm in 2003 has cause more damage to the park and to the island then any storms in history. The wooded area which holds this island together has been destoried and a polluted swampland has been made. I know that the wooded areas were here in 1979 when I was station here in the Coast Guard for four years. Also, the lighthouse would not have been moved into a swamp area when they move in 1999. Park Service should be liable for the clean-up and replanting of the maritime forest to protect this island and the park from further damage.
 Daniel willard

Correspondence ID: 1315 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Verostek, Margie G
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 06:30:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I, my husband and another couple own several condos in the Rodanthe area. One of our greatest pleasures is to go down and drive on the beach. It's always a new adventure and exciting for all of us. Access to this activity is a tremendous attraction to everyone. Our journey on the beach always takes us to another location for lunch or shopping, thus spreading the economic assets to several areas. We are often given comments from our renters that one reason they come to this area is because they can drive on the beach and experience the joy of a totatly unique thing. If access to the beach is denied, the economic benefits from fishing, surfing, and other activities will be lost. This is what the Outer Banks offers to everyone, an uncommon adventure, with limitless opportunities. Why would anyone want to prevent driving on the beach when it's one of the main reasons tourists come to the Outer Banks, especially the Hatteras area. Please allow future generations to experience this pleasure. I can't see what's to gain by not allowing tourists and locals to drive on the beach. The communities in the Outer Banks with access only via the beach will be put at a hugh disadvantage. Property values will suffer,

this is a main attraction on the Outer Banks. We have so little access to the beauty of the ocean already, please don't take away this privilege.

Correspondence ID: 1316 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cahall, Tom
Outside Organization: Delaware Mobile Surf-Fishermen, Inc. Recreational Groups
Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: DELAWARE MOBILE SURF-FISHERMEN, INC. P.O. BOX 455 DAGSBORO, DELAWARE 19939
 March 16, 2007
 Mike Murray Superintendent CHNS 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954
 Mary Bomar Director NPS 1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20240
 Via On line Comment to: <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/CAHA>
 Re: Vehicle Management Plan/EIS, Cape Hatteras National Seashore
 Dear Superintendent Murray and Director Bomar,
 The Delaware Mobile Surf-Fishermen, Inc. (DMS) is an organization of over 700 members who have, for almost fifty years, dedicated themselves to conservation of our waters, beaches, and marine sport fisheries. As frequent users of the Outer Banks resources, and especially the fishing opportunities at Bodie, Hatteras, and Ocracoke Islands, we support the development of an OVR Management Plan/EIS.
 DMS understands that development of such a plan is controversial. We think it is an excellent development that NPS has decided to consider resolution of the differences between competing groups through the use of Negotiated Rulemaking. DMS feels that Negotiated Rulemaking should be the method used for developing the OVR Management Plan/EIS as it brings the parties together under the guidance of a neutral facilitation team to hash out their differences in a democratic manner. It also provides a form for resolution of these differences outside the adversarial environment of a courtroom.
 The obvious scope of any such plan must include what have been called Off Road Vehicles. We support the establishment of a special regulation for a special class of ORVs, Over Sand Vehicles (OSV). OSVs bring park visitors to the shoreline for recreational activities that are not easily accessed from paved roads and parking areas. OSVs do not use the interior of the park as other types of ORV users might, including human powered vehicles such as mountain bikes, which should also be addressed in an OVR plan.
 In today's world of constantly rising costs, there will be those who wish to see access fees established for OSV use. Given, the history of shoreline use in the Outer Banks and the opposition of local stakeholders, DMS feels imposition of such fees would not be appropriate to this rule making.
 There was a recent article in a national birding magazine that alleged NPS was not following the law and OSV users were primarily to blame for a decline in nesting birds in the Outer Banks. The article painted the humans as the reason for the decline in bird populations while briefly mentioning other causes such as predation. We deplore any advocacy based on distortion of the facts to suit the argument. OSV users and the Park Service both seek to protect our shorelines for the use of all in a responsible manner both now and in the future.
 DMS wishes to be known as an interested party to the rulemaking process and would like to be informed of future developments in this area. Please address correspondence to :
 President, Delaware Mobile Surf-Fishermen, Inc. P.O. Box 455 Dagsboro, DE 19939
 Very truly yours,
 Tom Cahall Flyod T. Morton III William Weiss
 Delaware Mobile Surf-Fishermen, Inc. Board Members and Delegates to United Mobile Sportfishermen, Inc.

Correspondence ID: 1317 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: ZIRKLE, THOMAS N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 07:07:49
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Sent: Friday, March 16, 2007 8:18 AM
 WHEN THE PRESIDENT AND SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR VISITED THE SHENANDOAH NATIONAL PARK. THEY STATED ,THAT THEY WANTED TO INCREASE THE NATIONAL PARK EXPERIENCE FOR ALL CITIZENS. THEY ASKED FOR SEVERAL BILLION DOLLARS TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. The fact that most of the CAPE HATTREAS NATIONAL SEASHORE RECREATIONAL AREA is not accessible to large majority of tax paying citizens, that want to enjoy the whole park, violates this presidential decree. Most of the park is not usable , under the guidelines of the AMERICAM DISABILITIES ACT. The information the NPS and USF&W uses to operate the park now has been proven to be highly inaccurate. My suggestion is after two years of complete access, then study the usage as to where the experience of the CAPE HATTREAS NATIONAL SEASHORE RECREATIONAL AREA could be enhanced not prohibited. By complete access I mean open all ramps and roads to the beach. The beach is the primary for visiting the OBX. Pea Island Refuge should be opened to vehicle traffic. Reopen Salvo Campground, it should be mowed, new bath rooms and other improvements to make it a campground again. Cape Point Campground should be drained, cleaned up and sanitized, so that it is safe to use. The NPS has improved Coqunia Beach, the Canadian Hole and Ocracoke Campound. Why has the Cape Point area been destroyed. The salt pond situation needs to be addressed, so that the birds will use it again. The pole road should be rebuilt, with acceses to the sound and beach every 500 yds. There should be small parking areas at each of the sound acceses. How can you enjoy the sound if you cannot get to it. Sea Turtle nest should be relocated to a safe area, so that we get a better hatch rate. This was done back in the ninetys and is being done in Virginia now. Put johnnie blues on floating platforms and anchored to large cement dividers at the point and hattreas inlet. I would like to see a neighbor type system put into effect using cell phones. The effectiveness of this was demonstrated in the last few days. During the summer months and during daylight hours, rangers could be deployed to be able to answer calls within 30 minutes. A 20 mph speed limit be posted and enforced. No digging holes over 12 inches deep, except to unstick vehicles. then the area should be restored. No kite or ballons with those little ribbons, ask the stores not to sell them. Camp fires should require a permit, and if the area is not cleaned up fine the permit holder. I have been visiting the OBX for over 40 years and there been a continual attitude to prohibit the use of the beach. this attitude needs to change. As to the regs_negs meeting, only people with a close interest in the use of THE CAPE HATTREAS NATIONAL RECREATIONAL AREA be allowed to participate. The organizations and people that only send money should not have a say in deciding the use of the park. The CAPE COD agreement was nothing but a sell out to the rich. Then it became easy for the NPS to neglect area.

Correspondence ID: 1318 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Anderson, Phillip S
Outside Organization: OBPA,NCBBA,CHAC Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 07:10:56
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Free and open access to the Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore is very inportant to me. I moved to NC from Ohio to be closer to what I think is one of the greatest places on earth. Folks like me use our ORV to access this great resource. We do not tear up and down the beach, but drive carefully. My friends and I consider ourselvfees stewards of the beach, and try to do things like pick up trash, help educate users about closeures and teach others to respect the area.
 The current management is doing a good job of balancing the needs of all the parks users. No one haqs a problem with a reasonalbe enclosure to protect an endangered species. It is when these enclosures are used to manage access that causes problems. Mr Muarry has shown that all groups can stike a balance.
 These are things I would like to see in the ORV plan:
 Restored access to ramps that has been lost due to closures. Restore access to beaches in the villages during off season. No permit system at all. More

law enforcement officers on the beach to ensure violators are caught and prosecuted. Free and open access to all existing areas. A program, such as a flyer handed out by the rental companies to educate user as to safe beach driving and respect for the temporary bird enclosures. If ORV access is lost, I will not visit the area. It is the key asset of the park that brings me back almost every weekend. The motto of the OBPA is "preserve and protect, not prohibit" and I think that sums it up pretty well. Thank you for your time, and I hope the current Park management keeps up the good work.
Phillip S. Anderson Raleigh, NC

Correspondence ID:	1319	Project:	10641	Document:	17542	Private:	Y
Name:	N/A, N/A						
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,16,2007 07:57:49						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Superintendent:</p> <p>As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. <p>When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"</p> <p>Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."</p> <p>In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p> <p>Sincerely, Your Name Michael Reilly Norfolk, VA</p>						
Correspondence ID:	1320	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	fortune, william						
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,16,2007 08:21:28						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	please keep the beaches open to ORV use. this is my main reason for visiting the park.						
Correspondence ID:	1321	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	Baker, Mickey						
Outside Organization:	Mermaid's Folly Business						
Received:	Mar,16,2007 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	I have lived on Ocracoke Island for 26 years. I love the beach and the Park Service. I weigh in heavily with the comments recited by the business named Tradewinds here on the island. We invite tourists here to enjoy the Park and our small Village. Please do not mess with the beauty and the flow as it is now. We have our own trials during NorEaster's, Hurricanes and the winter slow down of business. Please let us walk, drive and enjoy the beach as we have. The Park personnal do a wonderful job with the wildlife here for protection. Thank you. Mickey Baker Owner Mermaid's Folly						
Correspondence ID:	1322	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	Brozovic, Lora M						
Outside Organization:	OBPA Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,16,2007 08:25:07						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	<p>My husband & I have been coming to the Outer Banks for 14 years now. It has become a new home to us. We come sometimes 4-5 times a year. We have come to welcome the pace the island has to offer for rest, relaxation, and recreation, ie..fishing, swimming, sunning, watching the wildlife, and enjoying friends met down there, some residents and some from many other states who come down there for the same reasons we do. My husband and I both deal with people in different ways in our jobs and sometimes it can get stressful. So when we got to the Islands we need to be able to chill To do just that, we need the use of ORV's to be able to go out on the beaches for the rest and the recreation to help unwind. We have always left the beach rejuvenated. With all the negativity being published about those that "recreate, swim, surf, fish, and just enjoy the beach I won't go into how wrong they are and have no true scientific facts about what they are saying, the truth is you cannot make any wild creature put their nests where they don't feel it would be safe from predators, and man is not a predator on the beach. Everyone we have ever met there has never taken, hurt, or hurded any of God's creatures, that we have seen. Many may never return if beaches are closed or restricted. I implore you to keep open access. For this is The Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreation Area. Also the economic impact on all the business', some owned by now friends we enjoy spending time with while there, would be total devastation. I know mine is not the only letter received by you, please let the amount of letters for free access be your guide in all of the planning and let God take care of the animals. He is always in control!!! Sincerely, Lora M. Brozovic</p>						
Correspondence ID:	1323	Project:	10641	Document:	17542		
Name:	Tolson, Sarah L						
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual						
Received:	Mar,16,2007 09:09:29						
Correspondence Type:	Web Form						
Correspondence:	<p>Ahh, the dilemma of the ORV. If this were 1907, there would be no need to discuss this. 2007, in the age of "Inconvenient Truth", do ORV's and beaches go together??? Do we need vehicles on the beach? Would it be better for environment and seashore species and healthier for humans to keep vehicles off the beach and in the parking lots??? Recently in the news, it was reported that the US is the most obese nation in the world. The US also has among the highest incidence of heart disease. Could it be it's because we sit in our vehicles to do almost everything: drive thru banks, pharmacies, does this extend to beach trips???</p> <p>Don't want to contend with these questions, OK let's address permits, driving areas, capacity and rules.</p> <p>Cape Hatteras Seashore has approximately 50 miles of beach. If vehicles must stay with us, can we not share the beach??? Areas for pedestrians, areas for vehicles, maybe even areas for plant and animal species. Designate large areas in all villages for each. Pedestrians and drivers do not mix. Naturalists want to hear the ocean and birds, not car motors. Drivers want to get to the fish and do not want to slow down for pedestrians.</p>						

Driving fees should be required. Permits will allow tracking of vehicles. Fees can be used to offset dollars spent in the park. These rules and regulations need to be in effect all year round. Period. No seasons. NPS needs to be consistent. There are visitors and residents all year. A closed area is a closed area all year. Consistency eliminates problems. NPS should work to find the most agreeable solution for all involved. Wasn't the Park Service created to preserve and protect the wildlife in their given area??? That should be the most important goal.

Correspondence ID: 1324 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Krings, Alexander
Outside Organization: North Carolina State University Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 09:29:01
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Keeping in line with the National Park Service's (NPS) mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system," I strongly encourage the NPS to make the protection of the park's natural resources the first priority of the ORV management plan. It is vital that a management plan be developed that will protect significant natural resources including native plant species and communities, which in turn provide important habitat for the local fauna. The restriction of ORV use is a prerequisite for the protection of these natural resources. Protection of natural resources within the NPS Outer Banks lands is especially critical as the rampant development outside park boundaries will soon make parks the only refuge on the islands for native plant and animal communities.

Correspondence ID: 1325 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chapman, Carl J
Outside Organization: Retired Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 09:33:10
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I feel that the use of ORV's should be eliminated at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. One doesn't need an extensive EIS to realize the damage that these vehicles do to the environment. Sure, it will upset some people if their use is stopped, but many more will applaud your efforts. As a retired Biology Professor, I am well aware of the potential damage that can be done to sensitive plant and animal species by these ORV's.

Correspondence ID: 1326 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Brooke
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Your Name
Brooke Johnson

Correspondence ID: 1327 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pratt, Don B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 09:51:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
I treasure Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
I feel there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
Don Pratt 210 Walton Ave. Lexington, KY 40502

Correspondence ID: 1328 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Swartwood, Judy
Outside Organization: Cape Hatteras Business Allies Business
Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Negotiated Rulemaking for Cape Hatteras National Seashore needs to proceed! Without it, there will NEVER be any peace for visitors, residents and the wildlife.

There is much talk over user conflict, where none actually exists. It exist only in the minds of those who wish to privatize beaches, those who wish to set aside certain areas for their exclusive enjoyment, and those who wish to turn this recreational park for people into a primitive wilderness/wildlife sanctuary! The uniqueness of having 8 villages within the boundaries of the park, make this an impossibility! The beaches belong to the citizens of the United States, they were created as a recreational area, and the livelihoods of the majority of the residents depend on exactly that! The villages have grown to accommodate the visitors to the park; the majority of businesses are here to serve the visitors to the park, not wildlife! The Park needs to invest an equal amount of time and money into the economic impact as it has into species protection! Once again, the "Interim Protected Species Plan" seems to be the focal point, not the economy. A mere handful of residents make a living by bird watching?.. Why would a visitor drive here to NOT see Piping Plovers because they don't have a \$2000 high powered telescope in which to view these birds, when they can simply drive to New Jersey or Cape Cod and view far more of them with the naked eye? Our economy is not driven by birds!

The Park Service has been negligent in providing for visitor use, we need MORE beach access, not less! The campgrounds need to be open much longer than they are and a standing water control plan needs to be addressed. Standing water not only limits, camping, beach access and visitor enjoyment, it also is a health hazard, a mosquito breeding ground! We need more bathroom facilities, trash receptacles, showers, ocean and soundside ORV ramps, air stations as well as more walkover ramps from the highway, for those who do not drive ORV's. We need established ORV trails in front of and behind the dunes as well as on the soundside, in order to provide sufficient areas in which to recreate! These trails will also assist in creating a more efficient emergency response, on and off of the beaches! Safety can be better served by ORV use than without it!

We need signage, and a public education program pertaining to what is and isn't permitted to occur on the beaches and on all park property. This can be achieved with an outreach program to the local business community. The Park Service and the business community should be partners in this endeavor, not beating their heads against one another. Every decision made by the park, on how this park is operated, affects every single person who lives here! Banning recreational activities is not the solution to the problem. Those who disobey the rules must be dealt with on an individual basis, not by banning everyone from certain activities! For example, the privilege of bringing ones dog to the beach for example, is enjoyed by many visitors as well as residents! However because some dog owners do not obey the rules, should not be a reason to exclude all dogs from the beaches. Dogs DO get loose on occasion, anyone who has ever owned a dog can attest to that, given the close proximity of the villages to the beaches, there will be dogs on the beach, because dogs will get loose and will end up on the beach. Banning dogs from the beach will not fix this! The idea of recreational zones is ridiculous! Many visitors and residents partake in several different types of activities and it is ludicrous to expect families to split up on the beach in order for each of them to enjoy their particular form of recreation! One of the major appeals that Cape Hatteras National Seashore has for visitors is the freedom to be able to recreate in various ways, such as swimming, fishing, surfing, shell seeking, sunbathing, walking (with or without the family pet), horseback riding, kite boarding, skim boarding, viewing nature and cultural resources, boating, bird watching, clamming, crabbing, campfires, star gazing etc. One should not have to travel all over the park in order to recreate in more than one fashion! This "zone" idea would actually create more ORV use! As it is now, folks generally pick a spot and stay put! Also, Mother Nature does not subscribe to zones. The fish, the surf and the birds cannot be made to stay in their "zones"! So how can one enjoy activities if the ingredients needed to enjoy that activity is now taking place in a new zone that was not designated for that use?

There has never been a designated walking beach, nor is there the need for one! Anyone who is physically able may step on the beach at the Old Coast Guard Station at Oregon Inlet and walk ALL the way to Hatteras Inlet, unless they come across a resource closure. There is absolutely NO shortage of beach on which to walk! What there is however is a shortage of beach available for ORV use and horseback riding! Especially during the busy season when the temporary (?) seasonal closures are in place. This is further compounded by safety closures and resource closures! For this reason, any and all temporary closures should be removed exponentially, in order to provide maximum access for visitor use and enjoyment. I think 60 miles of walking beach available is more than sufficient! Do not set any beach aside for this exclusively for this activity which is not banned anyplace within this Seashore!

Again, I stress that user conflicts are contrived and in order to iron this out, Negotiated Rulemaking needs to occur! Thank You, Judy Swartwood Cape Hatteras Business Allies

Correspondence ID: 1329 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Tribuna, Michael

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 10:13:38

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My name is Michael Tribuna, and I am writing to you concerning the issue of ORV access to the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore. It is important that endangered species be protected and reasonable efforts should be made to ensure the survival of any endangered species; however, it is also important that the taxpayers (and future generation of taxpayers) should also continue to have access to the national treasure that is our nation's beaches and be able to experience them firsthand, rather than seeing them on an old documentary or reading about them in a book. I am a fisherman, and it has been my experience that fishermen are some of the most conscientious people who use the beach. For instance, I myself have many times picked up sea borne refuse, and on a National Seashore further north I have reported the presence of, and collected, cold stunned endangered Kemp's Ridley turtles, among other turtles, for rescue, and on many instances I have called the Ranger Station to report dangerous conditions and situations such as beached boats. As primary users, fishermen such as myself are the eyes and ears of the Seashore. In addition, fishermen contribute a great deal to the local economies and the history, legends and lore of the beach and the surrounding communities. For reasons such as these, it is important that any proposed management plan includes provisions that make continued ORV access a high priority.

The national seashore that I frequent the most, the Cape Cod National Seashore, is experiencing major problems with attempts at resource management. Efforts to protect bird species have been successful but extremely slow paced. This has led to the exclusion of ORV's from the beach for large portions of the summer months; a situation, which is projected to continue into the foreseeable future and, it appears, far beyond. I appreciate the fact that the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore is trying to address a difficult situation, but a solution such as restricting or prohibiting access of ORV's would be draconian in nature. I hope that, if tit comes to it, the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore sees to it that alternate methods of protecting the endangered species of the Seashore are devised and implemented, even on a trial or experimental basis, because it is obvious that the methods used by the Cape Cod National Seashore place far to high a burden on the ORV community. Simply closing beach to ORV's and not reducing the predation that cause the vast, vast majority of mortality of endangered species, or not providing alternate means of ORV access to unaffected beach, is simply not an acceptable solution.

I hope to one day be able to visit the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore ? it has been something I have been planning to do for a few years now. Please see to it that when I am able to do so, I will be able to have ORV access to the Seashore, and be able to contribute to the local economy and the Parks Service budget by visiting.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Correspondence ID: 1330 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Golder, Walker

Outside Organization: Audubon NC Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 10:17:55

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Audubon North Carolina 7741 Market Street, Unit D Wilmington, NC 28411
 March 16, 2007

Re: Comments on ORV and natural resource management at Cape Hatteras National Seashore

The mission of the National Audubon Society's North Carolina State Office is to conserve and restore natural ecosystems, focusing on birds, other

wildlife, and their habitats for the benefit of humanity and North Carolina's biological diversity. On behalf of Audubon North Carolina's 12,000 members, we submit the following comments regarding ORV use and natural resource management in Cape Hatteras National Seashore ("Seashore"). Audubon North Carolina staff have more than 30 years of combined experience with the Seashore, colonial waterbirds, and beach-nesting shorebirds in North Carolina. Audubon's staff have also followed waterbird and shorebird population trends, changes in habitat, and present and potential threats related of beach-nesting birds in the Seashore for the past 20 years.

The Seashore has experienced significant declines in breeding pairs of shorebirds and colonial waterbirds in the past 10 to 15 years. The reasons for these declines are evident and can be categorized into three primary causes: human disturbance, predation, and habitat loss. The primary contributors to population declines are anthropogenic in origin.

It is critically important that Cape Hatteras National Seashore take measures to protect shorebirds and waterbirds, and their habitats. It is also important for protection measures to be based on the current science related to issues and the biological needs of the species in question. The peer-reviewed scientific literature is clear and the recommendations developed by biologists who are among the leading experts in their respective fields are also clear. Audubon has observed that the Seashore has implemented measures to protect birds that deviate significantly from the science and the recommendations of experts. This deviation appears to be motivated by a desire to appease a vocal minority user group that strongly opposes natural resource protection in favor of a free and open access for vehicles on Seashore beaches. In doing so, it is also apparent by recent actions by the Seashore that the Seashore has elected to "reinvent the wheel" of beach-nesting bird management and ignore at least two decades of objective, scientific, peer-reviewed literature related to beach-nesting birds. Furthermore, in doing so, actions by the Seashore are inconsistent with National Park Service Organic Act, Executive Orders, National Park Service Management Policies 2001, Endangered Species Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and additional legislation.

Audubon strongly urges the National Park Service to implement proven and science-based protection measures for beach-nesting waterbirds and shorebirds, as well as migratory and wintering shorebirds, and these measures should be implemented in a timely manner.

Natural Resource Management Plan vs. ORV Management Plan It is apparent that the Seashore has elected to develop an ORV management plan and have this plan serve as the basis for natural resource management on Seashore beaches. It is also apparent from the "Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment" and from press releases from the Seashore that the ORV management plan will determine how natural resources on beaches within the Seashore will be managed and protected.

The development of an ORV management plan and a natural resource management plan should be two separate initiatives. They are clearly two separate documents with different goals and objectives, and should be treated as such. The Seashore should revise its present resource management plan for plants, plant communities, birds, other wildlife, and barrier island processes before an ORV management plan is developed.

The use of "negotiated rulemaking" to develop an ORV management plan is an acceptable means of considering input from all stakeholders. This process is entirely inappropriate for the development of a natural resource management plan. A natural resource management plan should be developed by biologists, ecologists, and geologists with demonstrated expertise with barrier island wildlife, plants, plant communities, and natural processes. It should not be developed or influenced by any other parties, especially those with the intent of restricting or eliminating resource protection.

The present proposal by the Seashore to develop an ORV management plan guided by the "negotiated rulemaking" process and let this plan determine how natural resources will be managed and protected leads one to believe only that the Seashore proposes to let recreation activity determine if wildlife and plants will be afforded protection within the Seashore. This is clearly inconsistent with the National Park Service Organic Act, Executive Orders, National Park Service Management Policies 2001, and additional legislation and/or policies.

Waterbird and Shorebird Populations Audubon is seriously concerned about the future of waterbirds and shorebirds within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, especially the seven species of birds that nest on Seashore beaches and high priority shorebird species, such as Piping Plover, that depend on Seashore habitats during migration and winter. In a relatively short period of time, Cape Hatteras National Seashore has gone from one of the most important places for beach-nesting waterbirds and shorebirds, recognized as a globally significant Important Bird Area by the American Bird Conservancy and the National Audubon Society, to one of the most threatened. Audubon North Carolina considers Cape Hatteras National Seashore to be one of the most seriously threatened of North Carolina's 92 Important Bird Areas.

Several species of birds that nest on the Seashore's beaches have declined significantly in the past 10 years (Table 1). Hatteras Island has experienced the most significant decline (Table 2) while Ocracoke Island has experienced an increase in nesting waterbirds (Table 3). The majority of nesting waterbirds on Ocracoke Island exist at a single site on the south end of the island.

Table 1. Waterbird nests at Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1993 to 2004.

Table 2. Waterbird nests on Hatteras Island, Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1993 to 2004. Table 3. Waterbird nests on Ocracoke Island, Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1993 to 2004. Nesting shorebirds, specifically piping plover (Table 4) and American oystercatcher (Table 5), have also experienced population declines in the past decade. Data regarding nesting by other shorebird species, specifically Wilson's Plover and Willet, are insufficient to assess population trends.

Table 4. Piping Plover nesting pairs at Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1990-2006.

Table 5. American Oystercatchers nesting pairs at Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1999-2006 (data prior to 1999 is incomplete).

Human Disturbance Human disturbance is a significant threat to beach-nesting birds, migrating shorebirds, and wintering shorebirds within the Seashore. With an estimated 2.25 million visitors annually and an estimated 91,907 vehicles using Seashore beaches annually (Vogelong 2003), the Seashore needs to take action to protect birds and their habitats within the Seashore.

There is a wealth of knowledge about waterbirds and shorebirds and their responses to human disturbance. These are outlined in numerous publications. National, regional, and state conservation plans list human disturbance as a significant threat to waterbirds and shorebirds (Brown et al. 2001, Hunter et al. 2006, Hunter et al. 2000, Hunter et al. 2002, Kress and Hall 2000, Kushlan et al. 2002, Parnell and Shields 1990, Schulte et al. 2006, USFWS 1996). Research published in peer-reviewed scientific literature also list human disturbance as a significant threat to nesting waterbirds and shorebirds (Burger 1981, Burger and Gochfeld 1991, Burger et al. 1995, Carney and Sydeman 1999, Erwin 1980, Erwin 1989, Laferty et al. 2006, McGowan and Simons 2006, Nisbet 2000, Parnell et al. 1998, Rodgers and Smith 1995, Safina and Burger 1983, Sabine et al. 2005, Sabine et al. 2006, and among many others). Furthermore, species accounts in *The Birds of North America* series of publications identify human disturbance as a key threat to terns, skimmers and shorebirds (Nol and Humphrey 1994, Thompson et al. 1997, Gochfeld and Burger 1994, Nisbet 2002, Parnell et al. 1995, Haig and Elliot-Smith 2004, Corbat and Bergstrom 2000). The management recommendations developed specifically for CAHA also list human disturbance as a significant threat (Buckley and Buckley 1976, Erwin 2005, Meyers 2005, and Cohen 2005).

Several studies have addressed the reaction of nesting birds to human disturbances and provide recommended minimum setback distances (Table 6). These recommended setback distances are the minimum distances at which recreation activity (including people and vehicles) should be kept from incubating birds and adults with chicks.

Table 6. Nesting season setback recommendations for selected waterbird and shorebird species. Species Minimum Setback Common Tern 200m (Erwin 1989); 180 m (Rodgers and Smith 1995) Least Tern 100m (Erwin 1989) Black Skimmer 200m (Erwin 1989); 180m (Rodgers and Smith 1995) Piping Plover 50m (USFWS 1996); 200m (Avery et al. 2004) American Oystercatcher >137 m during incubation (Sabine et al. 2005) Additional recommendations: 1. increase setback distances 100m early in the nesting cycle (courtship, nest building, territory establishment) due to heightened sensitivity of terns and skimmers to disturbances during this period (Erwin 1989) 2. increase setback distances an additional 100m in areas where dogs are permitted (Hunter et al. 2006)

Studies addressing the reactions of shorebirds and waterbirds to the presence of dogs are limited. Dogs have been observed killing black skimmer chicks (W. Golder pers. obs.) and anecdotal observations of the reaction of nesting birds to the presence of dogs suggest that nesting birds are disturbed at greater distances by the presence of a dog (W. Golder pers. obs.). Dogs off leash have been observed to be a significant problem at the Seashore. The Seashore should strictly enforce leash regulations for dogs and a minimum of 100m of additional buffer distance should be added in areas where dogs are permitted (Hunter et al. 2006).

We realize the desire of the National Park Service to balance resource protection with visitor use, even though the enabling legislation of the National Park Service clearly defines which of the two should be given priority when there are conflicts. However, balancing resource protection and visitor use by providing nesting birds with 50 yards or less of buffer distance from recreation activity when the science clearly shows that nesting waterbirds and some species of shorebirds require a minimum of 100 to 200 yards or more does very little to protect nesting birds. In most cases, it only serves to ensure the failure of nesting birds. This type is compromise is completely inappropriate.

Protection for nesting colonial waterbirds In 2005, the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center prepared and submitted to Cape Hatteras National

Seashore a document entitled Monitoring and Protection Protocols for Colonially Nesting Waterbirds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, North Carolina (Erwin 2005). This document clearly outlines actions that should be taken by the Seashore to protect, manage, and monitor colonial waterbirds within the Seashore. The recommendations provided by Audubon are consistent with the recommendations presented in this document and urge the Seashore to take action and implement these recommendations.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting colonial waterbirds within the Seashore: 1. Close with symbolic fencing (posts, string, closure signs) all suitable nesting habitats at nesting sites that have been used in the past 10 years, with appropriate buffer distances (see Table 6), from April 1st to September 1st or until the last chick has fledged and departed the area. These areas would include: Bodie Island spit, Cape Point, South Beach at Cape Point, Hatteras Inlet Spit, Ocracoke Inlet spit, and the north end of Ocracoke at Hatteras Inlet. 2. Monitor all other sites where habitat is suitable for nesting terns and skimmers (see Erwin 2005) and close these areas with symbolic fencing and appropriate buffer distances immediately upon observing courtship activity, territorial behavior, or scrapes. Closures should remain in effect until September 1st or until when the last chick has fledged and departed the area. 3. A narrow pedestrian corridor could be allowed at the mean high tide line for the purposes of fishing or passive recreation (walking, sunbathing, etc.) during daylight hours as long as nesting birds were not within 180m for Common Terns and Black Skimmers, or 100m for Least Terns. Dogs and active recreation activity (kite flying, ball or frisbee throwing/games, fireworks, other games) should not be allowed in the pedestrian corridor. If deliberate acts of disturbance or harassment of birds, or vandalism are observed, the area should be closed entirely for the remainder of the nesting season. 4. ORVs could be allowed on all beaches where public safety and protected resources were not jeopardized by such activity. 5. Exceptions to pedestrian and ORV closures for colonial waterbirds: a. Cape Point: ORVs could be permitted to travel on the north side of Cape Point through a corridor within 20 m from the mean high water line to reach the easternmost tip of Cape Point and ~300m along the south side of Cape Point (which could remain open) provided that suitable habitat and recommended buffer distances are closed (to the water line if necessary) on the south side of Cape Point and that nesting piping plovers and American oystercatchers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. b. Hatteras Inlet: an alternate route through habitat that is not appropriate for nesting or foraging waterbirds and shorebirds could be established to provide for access to Pamlico Sound as long as such a route was at an appropriate distance from nesting or potential nesting sites and foraging habitat for terns, skimmers, American Oystercatchers, and Piping Plovers and these species were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by the action. c. Ocracoke Inlet: a pedestrian and ORV corridor could be established to allow access along the ocean front beach to Ocracoke Inlet provided that the sound side of the Ocracoke Inlet spit (including wet sand and intertidal areas to the water line) was closed to all activity and such a corridor did not disturb or otherwise threaten nesting Piping Plovers and American Oystercatchers.

The recommendations presented above are based on research conducted by experienced and objective scientists. If the National Park Service feels that nesting birds at Cape Hatteras behave different than the same species studied in other areas, then conduct the appropriate studies at Cape Hatteras using an impartial and credible scientist affiliated with a major University or appropriate federal agency and with demonstrated expertise with shorebirds and waterbirds. Until there is new and credible research to prove that nesting birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore behave differently than the same species in other similar areas, then the Seashore should follow the recommendations put forth in the existing studies.

Protection for nesting Piping Plovers In 2005, the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center prepared and submitted to Cape Hatteras National Seashore a document entitled Management and Protection Protocols for the Threatened Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*) on Cape Hatteras National Seashore, North Carolina (Cohen 2005). In addition, the Atlantic Piping Plover Recovery Plan (USFWS 1996) provides recommendations regarding the protection of adults, nests, chicks, and habitats. These documents clearly outline actions that should be taken by the Seashore to protect, manage, and monitor Piping Plovers within the Seashore. The recommendations provided by Audubon are consistent with these recommendations and we urge the Seashore to take action and fully implement recommendations presented in these documents.

The present actions by the Seashore to protect nesting Piping Plovers and habitats they require for survival have been largely ineffective at providing suitable conditions for nesting and access to suitable foraging habitat. Cohen (2005) addressed the current management approach of the Seashore: "Effects of current management." Current management at CAHA results in the presence of ORVs in oceanside and inlet habitat during the nonbreeding and territory establishment periods, and pedestrians in oceanside, inlet, and soundside habitat. Based on 2005 management, recreation is only restricted from plover habitat when broods of plovers or other species are present, although recreation is kept to a 50 m distance from incubating nests by resource closures. As detailed above (see "Threats to Survival and Reproduction"), the effects of this recreation may include the crushing of adult birds, destruction of the wrack line (leading to a decrease in foraging opportunities for adults and broods), alteration of the beach profile which can affect suitability for nesting, disturbance to adult birds (with possible disruption of territory establishment or decrease in foraging efficiency), vandalism of nests, and an increased presence of domestic and wild predators, that may in turn decrease nest and chick survival or lead to territory abandonment." (Cohen 2005)

The greatest known fledging success for Piping Plovers in the Seashore was in 2005 when 2 Piping Plover pairs breeding at Cape Point/South Beach were reported to have fledged 3 chicks each. In 2005, access to Cape Point was limited during the period when piping plover chicks were present, thus the chicks were able to access to suitable foraging habitat (and likely avoid predators) without disturbances.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting Piping Plovers within the Seashore: 1. Close with symbolic fencing (posts, string, closure signs) all suitable nesting habitats and associated foraging habitats at nesting sites that have been used in the past 10 years. The area should be closed from March 15th to September 1st or until the last chick has fledged and departed the area. This would include Bodie Island spit, Cape Point, South Beach, Hatteras spit, northern Ocracoke Island at Hatteras Inlet and southern Ocracoke Island near Ocracoke Inlet. 2. All sound side intertidal areas, wet sand flats, mud flats, and the margins of ephemeral pools or ponds associated with Bodie Island spit, Cape Point, Hatteras spit, northern Ocracoke Island (if such habitat becomes available on the spit that is growing on the sandbar in the inlet that is approaching Ocracoke) and southern Ocracoke Island should be closed to all recreation activity. 3. Monitor all other sites where habitat is suitable for nesting piping plovers (see Cohen 2005) and close these areas with symbolic fencing and appropriate buffer zones immediately upon observing courtship activity, territorial behavior, or scrapes. Closures should remain in effect until September 1st or until when the last chick has fledged and departed the area. 4. Upon discovery of scraping, territorial behavior, or a nest all recreation activity within 200m of the site should be restricted. 5. One week prior to the anticipated hatch date of a nest, ORVs, dogs, and active recreation activity should be prohibited from dunes, beach, intertidal zone, wet sand flats, near ephemeral pools or ponds, or any other habitat potentially used by Piping Plovers within 1000m of the nest site unless a natural barrier exists between such activity and the nest site. No activity should be permitted between a nesting site and associated foraging habitat. This closure should remain until all chicks have fledged (approximately 35 days after hatching). 6. A trained monitor should assess the location and status of Piping Plover chicks daily, and the location of foraging areas used by the chicks. Passive recreation activity (fishing, walking, or sunbathing) could be allowed within 200m of the brood and associated foraging habitat. If deliberate acts of disturbance or harassment of birds, or vandalism are observed, the area should be closed entirely for the remainder of the nesting season. 7. The Seashore should follow recreational take guidelines presented in Atlantic Piping Plover Recovery Plan (USFWS 1996). 8. Exceptions to the pedestrian and ORV closures: a. Cape Point: ORVs could be permitted to travel on the east facing beach of Cape Point through a corridor within 20 m from the mean high water line (not storm tide line) to reach the eastern tip of Cape Point and ~300m along the south side of Cape Point (which could remain open) provided that suitable habitat and recommended buffer distances are closed (to the water line if necessary) on the south side of Cape Point and that nesting waterbirds and American oystercatchers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. b. Hatteras Inlet: an alternate route through habitat that is not appropriate for nesting waterbirds and shorebirds could be established to provide for access to Pamlico Sound as long as such a route was at an appropriate distance from nesting or potential nesting sites, and foraging habitat for terns, skimmers, American oystercatchers, and piping plovers. As discussed above in 7a, there should be appropriate buffer distances at all times between ORV use and unfledged piping plover chicks. c. Ocracoke Island: a pedestrian and ORV corridor could be established to allow access along the northern and southern oceanfront beach to the inlets provided that the sound side (including wet sand and intertidal areas to the water line) was closed to all activity and such a corridor did not jeopardize nesting waterbirds and American oystercatchers. As discussed above in 7a, there should be adequate buffers at all times between ORV use and unfledged piping plover chicks. 9. In the event there is suitable breeding habitat at other locations, similar protection strategies should be used. The Seashore is a highly dynamic location, with habitats appearing and disappearing over time. If high quality habitat has appeared (like the overwash fan at Hatteras Inlet spit from Hurricane Isabel) as a result of a storm or other factors, the Seashore should provide those habitats with a similar level of protection.

Protection for non-breeding Piping Plovers All resting/roosting and foraging habitats used by non-breeding piping plovers, including soundside wet sand or mud flats near inlets, the margins of ephemeral pool or pond habitats, and adjacent upland sandy dune or beach within 50 m should be closed to ORV and recreation activity.

Protection for American Oystercatchers The American Oystercatcher is listed as a "Species of High Concern" in the US Shorebird Conservation Plan (Brown et al. 2001). Primary threats to this species are habitat loss, human disturbance, and predation by non-native predators and species closely associated with human activity (Schulte et al. 2006). Human disturbance has been implicated as a significant contributor to low reproductive success and high predation rates in studies conducted in North Carolina and Georgia (McGowan 2004, Davis et al. 2001, Sabine 2005, Sabine et al. 2005, Simons 2004). Davis et al. (2001) also found that oystercatchers avoid nesting in areas with high human disturbance and McGowan (2004) found that the presence of vehicles on beaches contributed to higher predation rates.

In 2005, the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center prepared and submitted to Cape Hatteras National Seashore a document entitled Management, Monitoring, and Protection Protocols for American Oystercatchers at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, North Carolina (Meyers 2005). This document clearly outlines actions that should be taken by the Seashore to protect, manage, and monitor American Oystercatchers within the Seashore. The recommendations provided by Audubon are consistent with the recommendations presented in this document and urge the Seashore to take action and implement these recommendations.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting American Oystercatchers within the Seashore: 1. Close with symbolic fencing (posts, string, closure signs) all suitable nesting habitats and associated foraging habitats at nesting sites that have been used in the past 10 years. Closures should remain in effect from March 15th to August 15th or until the last chick has fledged and departed the area. 2. Survey Seashore beaches for paired and territorial American Oystercatcher adults from mid-March to mid-May and close suitable nesting habitats and associated foraging habitats within 200m of all paired and territorial adults. 3. Closures should include an area of 200m from a nest or paired and territorial adults, and should include suitable nesting habitat as well as associated foraging habitat. Narrow pass-through corridors could be established for daylight use only in areas where trained and qualified biologists determined that such activity did not result in disturbance to incubating oystercatchers. 4. At hatching, all activity within 200m of the nesting site and the associated foraging areas should be prohibited. Qualified monitors should assess habitat use by the adults and chicks and adjust the closures accordingly. Any narrow pass through or corridor established outside of a 137m zone from a nest during incubation provided that it was restricted to daylight use only and a trained monitor was present at all times to assess the location and habitats use by chicks and adults, and this monitor had the authority and ability to close the areas immediately upon observing an imminent threat to adults or chicks. Daylight pass through corridors should not be established if they impede the access of American Oystercatcher chicks to foraging habitat. 5. If deliberate acts of disturbance or harassment of birds, or vandalism are observed, the area should be closed entirely for the remainder of the nesting season. 6. Exceptions to the pedestrian and ORV closures: a. Cape Point: ORVs could be permitted to travel on the north side of Cape Point through a corridor within 20 m from the mean high water line to reach the easternmost tip of Cape Point and ~300m along the south side of Cape Point (which could remain open) provided that suitable habitat and recommended buffer distances are established (to the water line if necessary) on the south side of Cape Point and that nesting colonial waterbirds and Piping Plovers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. b. Hatteras Inlet: an alternate route through habitat that is not appropriate for nesting oystercatchers, piping plovers, or colonial waterbirds could be established to provide for access to Pamlico Sound as long as such a route was at an appropriate distance from nesting or potential nesting sites, and foraging habitat for terns, skimmers, American oystercatchers, and Piping Plovers and these species were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. c. Ocracoke Island: a pedestrian and ORV corridor could be established to allow access along the northern and southern oceanfront beach to the inlets provided that the sound side (including wet sand and intertidal areas to the water line) was closed to all activity and such a corridor did not jeopardize nesting colonial waterbirds and Piping Plovers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action.

Protection for non-breeding shorebirds The Seashore is a critical migratory stopover and wintering area for North American shorebirds. A study of migrating and wintering shorebirds on the Outer Banks of North Carolina concluded: "Our findings confirm that the Outer Banks of North Carolina provide a critical link in the migratory path of several shorebird species (e.g., Sanderling, Whimbrel). If habitat loss or alteration were to occur, portions of their Atlantic Flyway populations could be negatively affected, perhaps contributing to further population decline (Howe et al. 1989). The threatened Piping Plover, which depends on the Outer Banks for breeding habitat, could also be affected by such habitat changes. Given the regional significance of this area, efforts to ensure the continued availability of habitat for shorebirds amidst demands for development and recreational uses should constitute a conservation priority." Dinsmore et al. 1998

Habitats that support migrating and wintering shorebirds deserve protection from human disturbance, which is one contributing factor in the loss of key habitats for these birds. Key sites that support significant concentrations of migrating and wintering shorebirds include the soundside wet sand and/or mud flats and ephemeral pools/ponds associated with Bodie Island Spit, Hatteras Spit, and the southern end of Ocracoke Island. These same areas support the highest concentrations of migrating and wintering Piping Plovers in the Seashore.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting non-breeding shorebirds within the Seashore: 1. The soundside wet sand and/or mud flats and ephemeral pools/ponds associated with Bodie Island Spit, Hatteras Spit, and the southern end of Ocracoke Island should be closed to vehicles, dogs, and active recreation activity. Passive recreation activity, such as fishing, could be permitted within a narrow corridor (<10 m) along the soundside water line in an area within 200 m from Ocracoke Inlet and 100m from Hatteras and Oregon Inlets.

Monitoring of shorebirds and colonial waterbirds We recognize that the Seashore has implemented monitoring programs for Piping Plovers and American Oystercatchers. The Seashore has not implemented a monitoring program for colonial waterbirds. The Seashore should implement a monitoring program to assess the effectiveness of the ORV Management Plan and the impact of the ORV Management Plan on all beach-nesting bird species and other resources in the Seashore.

We are particularly concerned, based on our observations of the Seashore's monitoring efforts in 2005, that the monitoring effort is not sufficient to safely regulate ORV use in close proximity to unfledged Piping Plover and American Oystercatcher chicks. This was clearly evident by the deaths of two American Oystercatcher chicks last year, which resulted from disturbance induced abandonment and predation. Audubon strongly emphasizes that even the highest level of monitoring will not provide an acceptable level of protection for chicks where there is an inadequate buffer distance between recreational ORV use and the chicks. We observed many times when NPS staff did know where the chicks were, but, had the adults chosen to take the chicks towards the ORV corridor, the NPS field staff would not have been able to take sufficient action to keep the chicks from being run over. Of course, the chicks cannot be protected if the Seashore does not know where the chicks are in relation to ORV traffic. Furthermore, they cannot be protected if insufficient buffer distances are established.

Audubon also is very concerned about the Seashore's reaction to shorebird broods that may move significant distances to access suitable foraging and roosting habitat. It is well known that Piping Plovers and American Oystercatchers can rapidly move long distances with their chicks (as shown by the South Beach pair of Piping Plovers in 2005, which moved to Cape Point overnight). Shorebird chicks and adults may be present at soundside habitats one day and move to ocean beach habitats by the next day, or the next week. Therefore, it is very risky to assume that ORV use in a location that has an inadequate buffer is acceptable just because the chicks have not used an area where habitat is appropriate. Monitoring is critical and the ability of field staff to close areas to disturbances (ORV and/or pedestrian) immediately upon observing an imminent threat to the survival of chicks is equally important.

Lastly, we urge the Seashore to adopt and fully implement the adaptive resource management approaches outlined by Meyers (2005), Cohen (2005), and Erwin (2005).

Predation Predation is a natural occurrence and it is important in maintaining ecosystem balance. It is also one of the key limiting factors in waterbird and shorebird nesting productivity in the Seashore. Predation can become problematic when the predator is an introduced species, when the population of a predator is un-naturally high due to human influences, when predation is occurring on species experiencing significant population declines, or when there are efforts underway to restore nesting sites (Parnell et al. 1998, Hunter et al. 2006).

It is important for the Seashore to fully understand the impact of predators, especially non-native predators, on the nesting success of waterbirds and shorebirds within the Seashore. We feel, based on observations at nesting sites and reports from researchers working in the Seashore, that removal of non-native predators at nesting sites is warranted. We also recommend that the Seashore take action to reduce predator feeding stations by securing fish cleaning areas and garbage collection areas, and prohibit the feeding of predators by visitors.

Visitor and ORV user Education and Awareness It is likely that many visitors and ORV users within the Seashore are completely unaware of beach-nesting birds, the habitats they require for nesting and foraging, the importance of these habitats to their survival, the impact of vehicles and human disturbances on these birds, and the impact their actions have on predators. The Seashore has made only a minimal effort to make visitors aware of the biology of beach-nesting birds.

We urge the Seashore to develop and implement an extensive natural resource awareness program as part of the ORV Management Plan. The Seashore

should also distribute this information to all ORV users that access the Seashore beaches.

One program that should be considered is the establishment a permit-based ORV access program whereby all ORV users must have a permit to drive on Seashore beaches. User orientation programs are common in Seashores, Parks, Refuges, Wilderness Areas, and National Forests where visitors can have a significant impact on sensitive natural resources. As part of the permit process, visitors should be required participate in a Seashore orientation program which, should include a natural resource awareness component and a thorough explanation of Seashore regulations. This would allow the Seashore to reach all ORV users. This type of program can also serve to alleviate issues for Seashore law enforcement personnel by ensuring that all ORV users are aware of Seashore regulations. If violations resulted in the revocation of beach-driving privileges for the offender, drivers may make an extra effort to ensure they are operating their vehicles in a safe and responsible manner.

Summary Protection of nesting habitat for beach-nesting birds does not require vehicles to be banned from the Seashore's beaches. Audubon supports appropriate and responsible access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches for both people and vehicles in a manner that does not endanger people or wildlife. Nesting birds and vehicles can co-exist on Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches provided that proven and science-based protection measures are implemented.

Audubon's comments presented here are related to the protection of birds and their habitats. Birds are not the only species impacted by ORV use within the Seashore. Sea turtles, plants (such as seabeach amaranth), and plant communities are also affected by ORV use in the Seashore. The Seashore should assess the impacts of ORV use and provide appropriate protection for all natural resources within the Seashore. It should also be noted that ORV corridors along the ocean beach can have a significant negative impact on sea turtles attempting to nest and on nests themselves.

Lastly, the Seashore should make a serious and concerted effort to understand the cumulative impacts of its management approach for birds and other wildlife. ORV use on Seashore beaches, and the impact of ORV use on birds, other wildlife, plants, and plant communities. The Seashore should also explore opportunities for restoring habitat for birds and other wildlife within the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 1331 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1332 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chevalier, Mark D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 10:39:21

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I've been coming to Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area for over 30 years now. My main interest is surf fishing as well as the wildlife and scenery. I totally support free and open access to this Recreationl Area. Not once in my 30 plus years of enjoying the seashore have I witnessed the deliberate destruction of any wildlife or its natural resources. Everyone of the people I've met over the many years of enjoying the seashore has expressed the same attitude as I am. Did not matter if they were walkers, joggers, birders, swimmers, surfers etc. Most of these same folks use some type of ORV for access to this paradise. Please don't take this away from any of us. I respect the privilege of being able to bring my family here every chance we get, so much so I lease property in Buxton and bought a 35 ft. travel trailer so we can spend as much time as possible at the recreation area. If this seashore were to close to ORV in the future I would no longer be interested in having a second residence on the island. It's just not feasible to tote 8 fishing rods, tackle boxes, lawn chairs, coolers, ice and the multitude of other gear so my family and friends can all be comfortable. I am sure we can all come together and develop a plan to benefit all users with a common sense approach. Thank you for your time. Very Respectfully, Mark D. Chevalier

Correspondence ID: 1333 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Young, Craig

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 10:41:40

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I commend the NPS for initiating the development of an ORV management plan and subsequent EIS. During the development of this plan, I encourage you to remember the Park Service's mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system..."

Therefore, I strongly encourage you make the protection of the park's natural resources the first priority of the ORV management plan. Please consider developing a management plan that will protect significant natural resources including shorebirds (piping plovers, oystercatchers, least terns, etc.), nesting sea turtles and native plant species, including the federally threatened plant, seabeach amaranth. I understand that the protection of our natural resources may restrict ORV use, but I think its the only responsible answer if we are going to protect these resources for future generations to enjoy.

THANKS!

Correspondence ID: 1334 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Walsh, Charles J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 11:02:50

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Please keep the beaches open to Off Road Vehicles so as to economically support an unique coastal community in the Outer Banks. With so much protected land along these barrier islands, leave a little for the rest of us to access for the special recreation of catching AND releasing citation sized red drum. Thanks for your attention to preserving access to the beaches for ORVs

Correspondence ID: 1335 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Raths, Monet M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 11:27:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:
 As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:
 - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
 - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.
 When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
 In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.
 Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
 Sincerely,
 Monet Rath

Correspondence ID: 1336 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hilliard, Jeff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 12:55:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I work for the NPS(SNP).I visit CAHA at least ten or more times a year to fish and vacation.Being able to drive on the beaches is in the act to establish CAHA.Last year the balance between protection and access what a fair compromise.But,as visitation has increased,access has decreased putting more pressure on the areas that are open.It would seem better to open more areas and spread the impact out.I would also like to see some kind of provision made to camp on the beach while fishing. I hope you consider the comments more from people who actually visit CAHA then those from groups pushing an agenda who have never been to CAHA.

Correspondence ID: 1337 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Chris B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 12:58:11
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Mr. Murray,
 I am writing to express my desire for fair and reasonable access for ORV's to the beaches of the CHNS. My use of the seashore began as part of a family group that travels together for a fishing reunion once a year. My love of this activity and has led to the growth of my interest in visiting and in preserving this recreational method of access to the beaches via ORV's.
 I believe in establishing fair rules and in the enforcement of those rules for everyones benefit. I believe in preserving access to the beaches as well as preserving the ecosystem that thrives there. Protection zones should be used for the benefit of wildlife and I believe that this can be done while maintaining access for fisherman and other recreational users. I believe in taking out what I take in, and usually I take away much more than I bring with me. The main point that I request is being fair and consistent in the establishment of the rules and in being open with the public for this process. Oceanfront property is out of my grasp as it's value continues to skyrocket. ORV access is the one way that I can continue to enjoy what nature has given us.
 Thank you for your time and consideration of my opinion,
 Chris Williams

Correspondence ID: 1338 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 13:22:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: "I commend the NPS for initiating the development of an ORV management plan and subsequent EIS. During the development of this plan, I encourage you to remember the Park Service's mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system..." Therefore, I strongly encourage you make the protection of the park's natural resources the first priority of the ORV management plan. Please consider developing a management plan that will protect significant natural resources including shorebirds (piping plovers, oystercatchers, least terns, etc.), nesting sea turtles and native plant species, including the federally threatened plant, seabeach amaranth. I understand that the protection of our natural resources may restrict ORV use, but I think its the only responsible answer if we are going to protect these resources for future generations to enjoy." There has to be some solution where the environment is protected and people can still have access without destroying the environment. Please work on finding a solution for our future to be able to see such a place before it is gone.
 Much thanks and appreciation.

Correspondence ID: 1339 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clark, Neil W
Outside Organization: NCBBA/OPBA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 13:25:19
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: First came to CHNSRA in 1972 with wife and 2 children. Could drive from Oregon inlet to Avon on the beach. Loved it so much we bought a lot in Buxton. We now have a small house on the lot. Come down many times in a year. Now our children and grand children, and yes great grandchildren come down many times a year also.
 We have lost much of the ability to drive on the beach. The pole road is not open. The washover at the Buxton Woods, park campground is not drained. Seasonal closures in front of villages not reopened.
 To much beach closed for birds that have not a good record of reproduction.
 Thank you
 Neil Clark

Correspondence ID: 1340 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mason, Steve E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 13:47:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have been fishing at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore since I was a child in the 1960s. Drum fishing at Cape Point has been listed as one the the top ten sporting experineces in the world. ORV use with limited ramps, as is now the case, is not detrimental to the beach face itself or to any wildlife. ORV use is limited to the part of the beach that is frequently inundated by over-wash, so loss of vegetated cover is not an issue. Open access to the beach at Cape Hatteras has been ongoing for several hundred years and it seems to be doing great-except for the parts the Park Service has screwed up. The CHNS was meant to be preserved for the people to use and enjoy. It seems lately a few individuals have taken on a mission to reduce or eliminate this recreational use for reasons that are greatly flawed in both concept and factual content. Restriction of ORV use, beyond what it is already, would serve absolutely no environmental purpose. It would deprive thousands of people and future generations of the ability to enjoy one of the most wonderful recreational experiences on the planet. I am an advocate for no further restriction of ORV use at CHNS.
 Steve E. Mason, P.G. Environmental Consultant

Correspondence ID: 1341 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Stewart, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 14:03:51
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have been going to Cape Hatteras since 1970. Over the years, the changes are readily apparent: more people, more houses, more litter, and more restrictions. The transition from open beaches with a few hardworking people and simple campgrounds with affordable rates to Multi-million dollar waterfront palaces is striking. And not much to my liking!
 Teddy Roosevelt envisioned parks as places where people could enjoy nature for what it was, no varnish, no nail polish, leave nothing but footprints - take nothing but memories.
 To require all visitors to clean up after themselves is imperative. To prevent everyone from driving on the beach (albeit in a safe and cautious manner) because of what someone else did (or even for what I might do) is unacceptable. I do appreciate the job that the Coast Guard does and see the value in the regulations that they enforce. To operate a boat requires the driver to meet reasonable conditions: life jackets et al. To require that an ORV be operated in a reasonable manner is reasonable. To deny all access because some one else was irresponsible is unreasonable.
 When Hunting is prohibited and most of the natural population control methods (preditors) are eliminated populations in the forest on the beach or in the desert cyle wildly from boom to bust. Since more and more real estate on the Outter Banks in covered by houses, the wildlife is left with less territory. The people buying or building the new homes are more responsible for animal population problems than the photographers, fishermen, hang gliders, and board surfers - those that have no permanent claim on our National Seashore.
 Please manage the wildlife. Please manage the growth. Please preserve the wonderful environment that is Cape Hatteras so that I and my children's children will be able to make the same connection to the earth that thinking men and women made when creating our park system.
 Let not a few with money control access to our parks. Do not allow people crowd out the wildlife. Make people stop building jetties and pumping sand to "stabilize" beaches - beaches by nature are wild and unstable. The change is all a part of what is wonderful about nature.
 This ORV issue is core to our parks system and one of reasonable use not one of exclusion.
 Take good care of our parks, After all, that is why you wanted this job. Isn't it?
 Yours truly,
 Robert Stewart

Correspondence ID: 1342 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y

Name: Goodwin, David A
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.16,2007 14:09:16
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,
 I thank you for the privilege to present comment on the proposed ORV Management Plan which must be determined via the negotiated-rulemaking process. To write a plan strictly by the NEPA process would do nothing but consign the plan to the court system through many years of litigation. Negotiated-rulemaking would at least minimize the probability of this. There have been many concepts proposed lately under the internal scoping process. Probably the worst is the "zoning" issue. Given the proximity of the villages, the distances involved to access much of the popular areas of the beach, zoning would be both impractical and impossible to enforce. Since the establishment of the Seashore Recreational Area in the early '50's, there has never been a beach area designated for only one specific recreational use (walking, in this instance). Those that speak of "traditional & historic" pedestrian- use only areas do not have the facts in their favor. ALL of the Seashore's beaches have been open to multiple use since the beginning and should continue that way. The geographical structure of the Seashore makes specific use zones unmanagable and would increase user conflict because of visitor frustration with the inconvenience of having to skip from place to place to recreate in the "properly designated" zone. Users of all stripes have co-existed on the Seashore's beaches from the beginning. Those that now clamor for specialized use areas only seek exclusivity at the expense of the rest of the public. Also, closing vehicular access to certain areas (other than for resource or well-defined safety reasons) smacks loudly of providing a private beach for those who are fortunate enough to be able to afford beach-front property. These people do not provide inland-based access opportunities for the public, so access via ORV is the ONLY means of recreating in these areas (unless you are able to afford the rent for one of these properties). Privatization of public lands is prohibited by both North Carolina & Federal statutes. In the formulation of ANY plan for visitor use within the Seashore, great consideration must be given to the impact upon the local economy. Per the recommendations of Conrad Writh, NPS Director at the time of the establishment of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area, the local residents have rebuilt their economy from commercial fishing to an economy based on tourism - taking care of the visitors to the Seashore. Every time someone in park headquarters in Manteo stubs his/her toe, it has an effect on the residents within & visitors to the Seashore. There is no way to disconnect this cause/effect from the local economy (specifically on those villages that lie within the Seashore). Any attempt to craft ANY rule or policy without in-depth consideration of the economies of Hatteras & Ocracoke Islands will be met with immediate hostility and litigation. ORV use within the Seashore has been determined to be a legitimate use of Seashore property. ORVs are used as a means to travel from the hard-surfaced, state-maintained roads to locations on the beach. It is vehicular access, NOT vehicular recreation. Therefore, to further the ability of the public to access public lands, several things are proposed:
 1. The Seashore should build & maintain ORV trails on the landward side of the dunes. This will allow for a route to bypass any nesting-resource-safety closures in place on the beach and would allow easier access to the beach by emergency services; 2. Construct & maintain additional ORV and pedestrian ramps from NC 12 to both the ocean & soundside beach; 3. Erect & maintain toilet facilities at all ramps & fish-cleaning tables/air pump equipment at the more popularly used ramp locations. Establish trash recepticals (dumpsters) at ALL ramp locations; 4. Replace Carsonite posts with standard NPS signage on 2X2 stakes both on the beach & elsewhere within the Seashore. Provide ramp number and mile-marker signage on the beach in locations appropriate to each sign; 5. Provide public outreach for the education of users on the proper use of Seashore resources & the "Rules of the Road" concerning beach driving. Recommend partnering with local rental community, ORV/surf fishing advocacy groups. Also continue to provide video programming on the local cable government channel; 6. Increase LE ranger presence on the beach; 7. Continue to provide timely public updates about closures or other issues that impact use of the beaches; 8. Create & maintain a citizen advisory committee to assist the Seashore in ORV & other policies that impact access and use; 9. Establish & maintain policy to re-open closures of all types & publish them for public education.
 The purpose of this endeavor is to craft an ORV management plan that will satisfy all parties concerned. This will not happen, but the negotiated rulemaking process will go a long way towards reducing animosity among and between the users, residents & other "stakeholders". Thank you again for

the opportunity to comment on this subject.
Dave Goodwin Buxton, NC

Correspondence ID: 1343 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Joyner, David K
Outside Organization: NCBBA, OPBA, RFA & CHAC Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 14:11:14
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: 1. Remember this is "Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore" (Park) created by Congress for all to enjoy. Give the Park back to the humans. 2. When developing a plan, start back to when the "Recreational Seashore" was first opened with many more miles of open beaches, numerous Access Ramps and shore side parking. The number of visitors to the Park increase each year while the available area for "Recreational Use" continues to diminish. Reopen all Sound and Ocean Ramps. 3. Do not put night time driving restrictions in place. The opportunity to gain access to the Park twenty-four hours a day allows for family activities the entire day. Star gazing is most enjoyable. My family and I enjoy night time fishing as well. 4. "Vehicular Access" is necessary for my 87 year old Father who is a World War II combat veteran to be able to enjoy the Park. Remember the Handicapped and the Americans with Disabilities Act when writing the plan. 5. "Traditional Vehicular Use" should be a main part in the plan. ORV use has always been apart of the Park. And should continue to be so. 6. Do not use the Migratory Bird Treaty Act to close mass areas of the Park. The "MBTA" should be used as it was intended to prevent the take or possession, not closures. 7. Do not close miles of beaches in hope that a bird may nest. Monitor areas and create reasonable closures once there is evidence of birds. 8. Stop the privatization of beaches by individual homeowners, associations & NPS. All beaches are to be open and accessible unless closed for endangered species, driver safety issues, temporary village closures or temporary swimming beach closures. 9. Establish a policy for the timely reopening of beach closures regardless of the reason for closure. Give the Park Rangers patrolling those areas the authority to reopen safety closures once conditions improve. 10. Establish a greater "Law Enforcement Presence". To enforce the rules and regulations on the books. We do not need more rules. Enforce the ones you have now. 11. Be more user friendly by providing restrooms, trash receptacles and fish cleaning tables at each access point.

Correspondence ID: 1344 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wood, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 14:12:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I am very active with the Cape Cod National Seashore and its oversand vehicle program and the negotiated rule making. I would like to keep this very simple:
 1. I support a process in which all people/groups have an equal say. There are certain groups which have a gigantic infrastructure, and dollars, which have the tendency to sway decisions, versus the individual users and smaller groups of users - listen to all and respect everyone's decisions.
 2. As on any issue, 95% of the people follow the rules and a small minority break the rules - that's why we have law enforcement groups - they catch the 5% that speed, while most of us obey the limits. Sadly their might be a small group of ORV users, uneducated and untrained, which might break an ORV regulation. Likewise, their might be a small group of sunbathers, birdwatchers, etc etc who venture onto areas on the beach where they should not be. Be wise not to negatively stereotype one group because of the acts of a few, and be wiser still to the groups who would try to do that.
 3. ORV users want to protect the endangered species, they know that if their is "take", access will be closed, so they do whatever they can to avoid any situations where that could happen. Use that fact and build their help into the plan, make them accountable.
 4. In the process, build in the ability to have the Supt. make rational decisions when situations merit it. The plan will be long-term, things change, let the Supt. make decisions within the intent of the plan while also ensuring the sand, people, and species are all protected.
 Thank you

Correspondence ID: 1345 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gamache, Alan D
Outside Organization: Lower Neuse Bird Club Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 14:24:12
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: We all use ORV's to gain access to these (and other) sand spits (in my case SUV's to go Birding). Various people use these areas for a variety of purposes: Sun bathing, Shelling, Swimming and wading, Fishing, Birding, etc. etc.
 But in none of these activities is one required to move into or move onto these 'restricted areas' that are set aside (& for brief periods of time) for the benefit of our native breeding birds.
 It seems obvious that we can ACCOMMODATE most all of the purposes that people put these areas to use.

Correspondence ID: 1346 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bartlett, Alan D
Outside Organization: Cape Hatteras Angler's Club & OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 14:34:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: The ORV Plan should strike a balance between public safety, recreational access to public land, financial considerations of businesses in areas surrounding these public lands, enhanced services to those utilizing public lands, historic preservation, and ecological concerns. As numbers of visitors to Hatteras and Ocracoke Islands increase, so must the beach access points. Keep existing ramps open and consider re-opening those closed in recent years. Most ramp facilities should be enhanced with dumpsters, lighted fish cleaning stations, adequate pull-off areas to "air-down", ample parking/safe access for walk-ons, and even a couple of bird watching platforms. Public restroom/outdoor shower facilities similar to the Canadian Hole & Coquina facilities should be added to the more popular ramps (ie: # 44 in Buxton and just a couple of others North and South of 44). Continue to rope-off and protect turtle nesting areas. Provide access alternatives for ORV's to traverse to popular surfishing areas (e.g. The Point) when Plover nesting areas are being protected. Develop/construct nesting habitat to draw Plovers away from ORV areas. DO NOT prohibit beach access during those times of the day/night known to be the most productive fishing times (dawn and dusk). Prohibiting beach access until after 7 or 8:00 A.M. is not reasonable. A drive-around/pole road or "interior trails" should be constructed and maintained in order to ensure access to Cape Point, south beach and False Point during high tide/full moon situations. "Alternatives" that promote universal use of, and access to, public lands may serve to unite groups currently at odds and reduce time consuming and expensive law suits. I'll never forget the shock of seeing dozens of USPS Rangers and State Police blocking ORV access to the Point. It looked more like a war zone than a national seashore and...I can't imagine the cost involved in such a show of force. Let's spend our tax revenues serving, not battling one another. We'll actually have something pretty nice to show for our money! Need more tax revenue to do all this? Fine, I'll pay a fee for my annual access pass and, such a requirement may keep out that 1% element that doesn't respect this beautiful place. Maybe a discounted fee could be arranged for participation in an organized beach clean-up activity. I ride the beaches north and south of the Point picking-up trash most Sunday mornings between April and November. I'm happy to do it because this area means a great deal to me and my family. We all need to give-back in some way because access is a privilege. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: 1347 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fodrie Sutton, Melinda

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 14:34:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

As the co owner of Tradewinds Tackle,a small tackle shop on Ocracoke Island, we recognize that the beaches are integral to our communities and culture. We are asking that any ORV plan:

- 1) Recognize that the entire beach IS NOT equal. Year round access to points and inlets is CRITICAL for tourism and economy on both Hatteras and Ocracoke Island. Our customers will tell you that they do not have an interest in fishing the middle of the beach- they are here for the points and inlets. They can fish other places in the middle of the beach alot closer to home and not spend the night in a hotel or eat out at the local restrutants.
- 2)ACCURATELY evaluate the short and long term economic impact to local villages and businesses. Involve these persons and businesses in the evaluation- survey the customers,send out surveys to businesses, hotels and restrutants. Survey vacationers that rent homes on the island through the rental companies. Get real numbers on the people staying and spending their money in villages- not just "day trippers" that swing through from Nags Head/Kitty Hawk.
- 3)Understand, plan, and account for the ever-changing beach and islands due to sporadic storms and persistant migration. For example, South Point is no longer at the same location as it was 20 years ago- or where it will be 20 years from now. Plan alternate/additional access points to these areas to bypass closures.
- 4)Ask that there be adequate funding and staff to enforce regulations already in place.
- 5)Prefer that any threatened or endangered species nest be moved to areas that are move viable for their survival (such as the turtles nest that are moved in Texas's Park),decreasing any cahnce that storm overwash or predation takes a toll on their survival and mitigating any potential conflict with identified uses of the recreational area, such as human disturbance. The closure for a week last year of the 60 acre point on Ocracoke for a plover that ultimately was lost to predation or drowning did not help the bird species, cost man hours to the Park Service, and caused a significant decline in revenue during that week in our business.

I firmly believe this plan must reflect the small businesses livelihood, not only to the local villages but the the counties. As part of Hyde County,one of the poorest counties in North Carolina, Ocracoke provides much of the county tax base. Even though they are across the sound, their economy will also be affected by this plan.

Please feel free to call upon me to help in any way in collecting information on the economic study.
Thank you for all your help-

Correspondence ID: 1348 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: The Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 15:07:07
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]"

Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Sincerely,
David Anderson

Correspondence ID: 1349 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Franklin, Misty
Outside Organization: NC Native Plant Society Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 15:26:23
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments to the National Park Service for the ORV management plan and EIS. Please uphold the National Park Service mission to "preserve, unimpaired, the natural and cultural resources and values of the National Park system" and make the protection of the park's natural resources the first priority of the ORV management plan. I urge you to develop a management plan that will protect significant natural resources including shorebirds (piping plovers, oyster catchers, least terns, etc.), nesting sea turtles, and native plant species, including the federally threatened plant seabeach amaranth. Although the protection of our natural resources may limit ORV use, the natural values of the Park are irreplaceable and should have top priority. This is surely the only responsible way to protect these resources for the benefit of all users of public lands.

Correspondence ID: 1350 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gouge, Jeffery S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 15:26:39
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence:

Cape Hatteras National Seashore, Ahh, my favorite place in the great state of North Carolina and on the planet. At every opportunity I make the 7 hour trek to the outerbanks to sit, to fish, to boat, to rest, relax and recharge my batteries. The fishing on the outer banks is what really draws me here. It's the best saltwater fishing in the southeast. The natives of North Carolina have something the surrounding states can only dream about, excellent surf and off shore fishing. My car is rigged for driving on the beach while taking everything I think might be needed to chase a fish. As a matter of fact, I purchased and maintain my vehicle specifically to ride on the beach, it's a surburan and is designed with the avid surf fisherman in mind. It's equipped with both front and rear racks for carrying coolers, rods, tackle, food, chairs as well as my family. You would think that the fish would just jump into the rig when they see it comming to the water. That, however is just not the case. As I grow older I've come to realise that catching a fish is such a bonus but it's not necessary to have a good trip to the outer banks. The most important activity that I pursue while visiting CHNS is simply sitting on the beach waiting for the sun to rise or set. (I don't like to miss either one.) Sure we fish and love the opportunity to catch a fish but it doesn't take top priority. My family is just that way. I'm the 3 rd generation to take a liking to CHNS and the outerbanks of North Carolina. Heck, just like the North Carolina Department of Toursism advertisement says on the radio these days, " visit the Outerbanks of NC, it's your inheritance." That's just how I feel about it. Most of the people I meet there feel the same way I do. They are fishermen and women just like me with the passion and respect to drive down the beach to their favorite fishing spot without abusing the surrounding dunes, vegetation or wildlife. I have probably driven 25,000 miles and spent 4000 hours on the beach. We would never encroached a marked turtle nest, bird nest or leave a single piece of trash. Just like the North Carolina Department of Tourism

says, "visit the outerbanks of North Carolina, its your inheritance."

My folks have a home on the coast of South Carolina about 500 feet from those breaking waves and I wouldn't trade a week there for a single day in CHNS no matter the cost.

Your ORV plan must include surf fishing vehicles and those who use them. We have been following the rules and those of responsibility for years and will continue to in OUR park and on OUR beaches. It is your responsibility to protect the interest of the citizens of the state of North Carolina, afterall it's our inheritance and the generations behind us.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Correspondence ID: 1351 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ploghoft, Anna

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Anna Ploghoft

Correspondence ID: 1352 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Perrello, Sharon L

Outside Organization: Wilderness Society Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 15:45:28

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sharon Perrello

Correspondence ID: 1353 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ouellette, Geoffery S

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 15:57:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: To Whom It Concerns,

I wish to submit some of my thoughts on the current situation's involved in the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore(CHNRS).

First I would like to say that I have spent much of my life in the Outer Banks including time in my mothers whom. When not there physically, I am there every day in heart and mind. Cape Hatteras and it's way of life have helped myself and my family through many hardships with the relaxed atmosphere and general beauty that the seashore has to offer. To me and my family, the CHNRS is a last frontier where the problems of the world can be lost for a week or two at a time by being over shadowed by all of it's natural beauty.

Secondly, I would like to mention my concerns for the lack of access and even worse, the fear of losing even more access. It seems that, unfortunately, every time I visit the CHNRS there has been more access taken away and more unreasonable closures. I, along with most sportsman, am a conservationist and feel very strongly about protecting what I love so much. There are many solutions to protecting threatened species such as the piping plover, sea turtles, etc without revoking beach and sound access. It seems that common sense is lost somewhere in passion for the love of a bird, or turtle, or even a fish. There is absolutely no reason why the environment can not be protected while still being used in a responsible manner by it's rightful owners, the American Public. After all the CHNRS is NOT a Wildlife Refuge. It was put in place for the tax payers of this country to enjoy. Because of the CHNRS's unique landscape, many miles of access are only possible via four wheel drive vehicles. Limiting four wheel drive access for an extremely low number of threatened birds, turtles, etc is not only unreasonable for the purpose of recreating but one of potential disaster for the local economy. I for one spend an estimated \$5,000.00+ per year in the villages that are located in the CHNRS and have recently purchased a home in Buxton for the sole purpose of spending all my free time there. If more access is lost, ie; taken from me, then my trips will become fewer and farther between effecting the local economy. I also know of hundreds, possibly thousands, of people just like myself who will also stop frequenting our most loved place on earth.

I grew up on the eastern seacoast living as far south as Florida and as far north as New Hampshire. I have also seen countless mile after mile of beach access taken away from me and millions of other American tax payers, due to development, erosion, or in most cases, one sided, thoughtless, well funded and politically motivated agenda's.

I am absolutely against any kind of permit system for beach access, including four wheel drive or foot access. The seashore along the Outer Banks is as

clean and pristine as any seacoast in the world, largely because of the easy access it provides. Limiting access through a permit system will discourage countless users and only achieve minimal results politically. An existing permit system for the Cape Cod National Seashore is in place and I will tell you from personal experience that it has 100% turned me off from going there to enjoy all it has to offer as well. In fact, I travel 800 miles to visit the CHNRS rather than 200 miles to visit Cape Cod. Also, another beach that has limited access is the Parker River National Wildlife Refuge, in Newbury, Massachusetts. It is a barrier island (Plum Island) and should be a beautiful, pristine environment, but unfortunately it's nothing even close. The refuge is closed to any and all public access for most of the summer (approximately April through August) for breeding/nesting piping plovers. The result is many lost birds due to predators but more importantly, a junk yard that stretches for 7 miles!! With all of today's waste, there is no one to remove flotsam from the beaches and the result is one of the most sad sights that any nature lover could ever think of. I fear that with limited access the CHNRS will become "just another beach".

Thank you for allowing me to comment on the delicate matters that the National Park Service and the American Public are faced with in regards to the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore. These topics are of the utmost concern for myself and millions of other Americans. The CHNRS is more than just a place, it is a way of life. Please see to it that it generation after generation can enjoy it for its simplicity and beauty the way our ancestors did and we do today. We are all God's creatures and with common sense and practical solutions I feel 100% that all parties involved, including the piping plover, can co-exist.

Should you or anyone involved in this matter need to contact me with any questions, or if I can be of help in any way, please contact me via e-mail or by phone: 603-536-3673.

Respectfully Submitted,
Mr. Geoffery S. Ouellette

Correspondence ID: 1354 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fisher, Robert C
Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 16:24:05
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My wife and I have been fishing at the Outer Banks for about 6 to 8 years. We use the beaches from Frisco to Ocracoke. If we do not have access to the beaches by vehicles we will probably have to quit fishing there. We enjoy the ability to drive on the beach and fish. We understand the need to protect wildlife and dunes etc. We also believe that the beaches should remain open to the public, since it is really ours to use. We think that if the beaches are closed it would result in a significant economic impact to the economy of the area. I know I would not be spending the \$3000.00 annually I do now.
Thank You: Robert C. Fisher, Jr. & Kelly B. Fisher

Correspondence ID: 1355 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Graham, Barry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 16:40:36
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My family and I have been long time visitors to Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We enjoy surf fishing and driving on the beach. I have taught my children to respect the bird closures and to be environmentally friendly. We often pick up trash we find on the beach. I have worked hard all my life and finally have been able to afford a decent 4WD truck and slide-in camper for the sole purpose of driving/fishing on the beach. This combo will be useless to me if not allowed to drive on the beach. We most often stay in the Park service campgrounds and enjoy them greatly. I also visit Cape Lookout National Seashore where we are allowed to camp on the beach. I implore you to continue to allow beach access by off road vehicle. Thanks

Correspondence ID: 1356 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Connors, John I
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 17:11:57
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I appreciate the opportunity to comment on this issue. For the last 15 years I have taught a course at North Carolina State University on Outdoor Recreation Management and one of our case studies in looking at Park Service law and management issues is Cape Hatteras National Seashore...discussing the very issue we are commenting on today.
I have been visiting Cape Hatteras every year since I attended NCSU in 1969. I am an avid bird and wildlife watcher and I was trained as a Wildlife Biologist at State. I have to say I find the seashore a very different place today than during my early visits as an undergraduate and grad student. The wildlife numbers and diversity, and the experience of watching wildlife there, has been diminished through the years. There are many reasons for this so I see no value in trying to assign blame; we have to look forward in trying to reclaim a bit more of what the seashore could be. This will take compromise and accommodation by all users of the seashore. I am hopeful this process will begin to accomplish that goal.
I support and enjoy recreational fishing, and although I do not own an RV, I can support regulated access to sections of beach at Cape Hatteras. On the other hand, the national seashore is critical nesting habitat for species like Piping Plover, Least Tern, Sandwich Tern and Loggerhead Sea Turtles. Even species like Common Tern, Black Skimmer and Wilson's Plover are in steep decline in NC. Protecting these resources is something we have entrusted the National Park Service to do. I have trouble imagining any compromise on that and would hope those who enjoy vehicular access to the beach would appreciate the legal and ecological constraints that the National Parks works under. I would also hope they would recognize and enjoy these species of wildlife that share the beachfront with them while they are fishing...what would a trip to the beach be like if there weren't terns to signal where the fish boils were rising?
Thus I would hope that plans will be drawn which would allow, but regulate, ORV access to sections of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore with special concern for the nesting of colonial waterbirds, shorebirds and sea turtles.
I must also mention that I have concerns about the potential ecological damage done to the oceanfront beach by constant ORV transit. I do not know if ORV traffic destroys or depletes the organisms that inhabit the beachfront...creatures like mole crabs and coquinas and other things that live in the beach front zone. Perhaps studies have been done on this. I would think a series of beach conservation zones ...like a beach front wilderness zone...where ORV access would be restricted, might be in order.
Once again I appreciate the opportunity to offer comments, and I will look forward to monitoring the process as it moves forward.

Correspondence ID: 1357 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Savona, Phil
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 17:14:32
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: My family and I moved to the outer banks area of NC in 2000 from long island, NY. Surfing is my passion and a large part of my family's recreational time is spent on the beach. One of the main reasons for the move was the free and open beach access available to off road vehicles. Moving from a state which required numerous (expensive) permits to access our beaches to one that is orv friendly has been a pleasure. I am strongly in favor of keeping and expanding free access to the beaches of north carolina for off road vehicles. I would like to see that right preserved for my children and all future generations. Thank you for your time.
Philip Savona Karen Savona

Correspondence ID: 1358 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mathis, Wayne R
Outside Organization: As A Private Individual Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: At the Public meeting session on Feb.26th, 2007, in the Fessenden Center, Buxton, NC, I offered verbal comment, with the specific notice that I would revise and extend my remarks in writing. This submission and a written comment turned in at the session in Nags Head are provided as such revision and extension, and together comprise my comment for record.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area (CHNSRA, the Park, or CHNS hereafter) has not been managed as Congress intended in its creation, when it was clearly stated and implied that the Park was to be for the use and enjoyment of the American People. Certainly the dual mandates of the National Park Service(NPS) to "preserve and protect" the resources while providing them for the "use and enjoyment of the people" are both applicable, but at CHNSRA Congress intended that diverse recreational activity should receive the highest priority when they stated that "? portions of the area deemed especially adaptable for recreational uses, particularly swimming, boating sailing, fishing and other recreational activities of similar nature, which shall be developed for such uses as needed?" It is , therefore, obligatory on the part of the NPS to develop, open and maintain park resources to satisfy public demand. Further, Congress, and the NPS Management have variously stated and/or implied that the Residents of the Villages within the Park would be allowed to pursue their traditional recreational and commercial activities in perpetuity, and indeed, have relied on those residents to develop an infrastructure and economic base to support the multitudes of visitors to the Park.

In defining the scope of the NPS Mandate to preserve and protect the resources entrusted to it, the NPS MUST consider the historic and traditional folkways, cultural traditions, and lifestyles that contribute to the uniqueness of these barrier islands as surely as the historic and cultural artifacts such as lighthouses, shipwrecks, weather or lifesaving stations, Native American Sites, and battlefields or cemeteries, or the indigenous or transient flora or fauna. Of particular note in that respect is the traditional regard of the beach as the road of last resort, and the historic and traditional free access to all portions of the beach, interdunal trails, inlets, and soundfront which preceded the establishment of the Park and shaped the culture of the residents. The NPS is failing to preserve these essential traditions in their current management practices.

Some have argued that a general admonition that the area be preserved as a "primitive wilderness" should preclude development and mandate creation of a "roadless area". That argument is totally specious, given not only the current state of development, diversity of use, and assurances to the residents of the included villages, but more significantly because Congress has subsequently defined the term of art "wilderness" in the Wilderness Act.

CHNSRA fails to meet the legal definition of "Wilderness" under the various criteria of the Act, both currently, and under the conditions prevalent at the time the "Park" was established. The "Park" isn't, and has never been, a "Wilderness".

Congress also intended that the Park, and its management practices, should evolve to meet changing public demands and needs for diverse recreation, as evidenced by the mandated development clause in the legislation establishing the Park. Management practices over the past 20 +/- years have failed to satisfy this statutory requirement. Indeed, while visitation and recreational diversity has increased manifold, the actual area and points or means of public ingress and egress has open for diverse recreation has been diminishing consistently. There are fewer trails, ramps, parking and camping spaces, and more "closures and exclusion areas today than 20 years ago. Negativists, naysayers and their ilk have cited a fear of "potential user conflict", or "potential adverse impact on resources" in justification of this obvious mismanagement, however in actuality this mismanagement has resulted in putting more and more visitors onto less and less space. Such mismanagement has the very real "potential" to make user conflict and impact on resources self-fulfilling prophesies. It appears to many observers to be part of a deliberate agenda to exclude the public from much of the Park created by a wise Congress for all citizens.

The NPS has consistently applied needlessly stringent, excessively onerous, and even draconian interpretations of requirements of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and/or the Migratory bird Treaty Act (MBTA) to exclude the public from portions of the Park. While it is undeniable that the NPS must satisfy legal requirements, such steps should be the minimal practical measures in order that public access to the Park's recreational resources be maximized. Parks are intended for the PEOPLE. Wildlife refuges are a different matter, with different missions and priorities; practices implemented there need not, and should not, be applied to Parks. CHNSRA is a PARK, not a wildlife refuge; management appears to have lost sight of that fact.

There is a disturbing trend along seacoasts and waterfronts which has emerged and is being challenged in the State and Federal Courts. It is the efforts by private landowners to de-facto "privatize" beaches and waterfronts that are in fact in the public trust or are public lands. Where challenged legally, the public rights of access are being upheld, however such challenges are difficult and costly burdens on the public. At CHNSRA such efforts to de-facto privatize the Park Beaches have reared their ugly heads in Frisco and Hatteras Villages, where landowners who have developed adjacent real estate are demanding year-round closure of convenient and practical public access to beaches that were traditionally opened at all times when there were not bona-fide public safety justifications for closure. Such beaches are demonstrably unoccupied and unvisited during the off-season, when they should be opened to ALL means of access. Indeed there is a large user group comprised of mobile fishermen who are clamoring for their due right to access this recreational resource. The NPS should be vigilant to preserve practical public access to ALL beaches absent actual and supportable public safety considerations supporting closure to vehicular access. Seasonal closures to any particular form of access should be minimal in both extent and duration. This principle should be applied to management of all closures, whether based on either safety or resource management factors. Management policies should maximize public access via all practical means, by all user groups, as a matter of equity and civil right. The very word "closure" should be synonymous with abhorrent failure to any responsible Federal resource steward or Manager.

Management should base any decision regarding "set-asides" of specific areas for exclusive use by any user group on available data regarding visitor use preferences and realistic determinations regarding compatible uses. For example, it may be desirable to separate windsurfers and PWC users from designated swimming beaches due to safety-based mutual incompatibility. Likewise, limiting ORV use in proximity to sedentary summer pedestrian visitors bathing or sunning adjacent to densely developed areas such as the villages is entirely appropriate. Also, separation of Commercial near-shore net-fishing activity from such areas as the inlets and Cape Point where recreational tackle is used extensively is justifiable to avoid mixing mutually incompatible tackle types. Most disturbing, though, is talk of setting aside beaches for the exclusive use of hikers. There is NO actual incompatibility between casual pedestrian transit and mobile or stationary ORV activity on the open beach. In fact there are already numerous areas in the woods and behind the dunes where dedicated pedestrian trails exist, and the entire Pea Island Wildlife Refuge beach and soundfront are reserved for pedestrian use. Indeed, the Study of Visitor Use and Preferences by Vogel song, commissioned by the NPS, concluded (Table 6) that the 4 most popular (Primary + Secondary) activities of Park users were Recreational Fishing (1), Sunbathing (2), Swimming(3) and Beach Driving (4). Indeed, Vogel song observes that participants in the most popular activities commonly utilize ORV's to access their favorite activity. While the raw data are clear regarding participant numbers, the interpretation of visitor attitudes toward compatible uses is much more subjective. There are some informative conclusions to the study, however? First, visitors are almost universally very satisfied with their Park "experience". Second, they collectively do not mind coexisting or "sharing" the Park with other diverse recreational activities. Third, they perceive ORV use as a proper and "positive" factor in their Park "experience". And fourth, they do NOT feel adversely disposed toward either mixed, diverse recreational activity, or to seeing ORV's on the beach. In fact, when asked their reaction to a ban of ORV's on the beach, a preponderance of visitors indicated that they would be LESS likely to visit CHNSRA. Vogel song goes so far as to attribute the reaction of the small minority who oppose or view negatively the presence of ORV's on the beach to these individuals possession of undefined "social values" which are at variance with most visitors in the sample. In my layman's terms I would say these individuals are deviants with anti-social tendencies, who would not be happy in ANY National Park where significant numbers of citizens are engaging in diverse activities. The Park should be managed to accommodate the compatible desires of the preponderance of visitors, and NOT fractionated into enclaves to accommodate insignificant preference-minorities, which would be a recipe for incessant user conflict, with very inefficient usage of the total resource, and a true nightmare for administrators.

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS:

Provide More Ramps so that sections of the beach can be accesses by ORV that would be inaccessible when resource or safety closures occur between existing ramps.

Provide or restore the network of interdunal roads and trails that will allow bypassing by pedestrian and vehicular traffic of resource or safety closures

on the beach.

Install a system of mile-markers on the beach coinciding with those on NC 12 to assist visitors, enforcement staff and emergency responders. Establish as basic policy that ALL park lands and beaches will be open to ALL users by ANY means of access unless actual, valid safety or resource management conditions exist to justify temporary closure, and any such closures shall be of the minimum practical extent and duration. Manage all park lands so as to encourage dispersion of user density instead of concentrating users on less and less area. Emphasize multiple use as a management principle.

Restore Pole Road to pre-Hurricane Isabel condition to provide the historic and traditional public access to Hatteras Inlet beach and soundfront in accordance with the sustained public demand for that access.

Reduce or eliminate the recent practice of pre-emptive or anticipatory resource closures in the vicinity of Cape point and the 3 Inlets, which effectively deny reasonable public access to particularly popular and appropriate recreational resource areas in contravention of Congressional intent.

Reopen traditional access to all beaches on Ocracoke Island to ALL means of access to aid in dispersion of user density.

Improve, repair and maintain drainage structures and watercourses throughout the park to increase availability of campgrounds, maximize availability of roadways and trails, and minimize flooding, stormwater accumulation, threats to public health and water quality, damage to forest vegetation, and habitat for vectors of such diseases such as encephalitis, dengue, avian flu, etc.

Provide visitor amenities such as parking lots, sanitary facilities, air stations, fish cleaning stations and dumpsters or refuse collection at all ramps and beach access points.

Require provision of a right-of-way, and obvious and explicit signage announcing PUBLIC ACCESS WALKWAYS and available parking, as a condition of issuance or reissuance of permits to construct beach walkovers or structures from private real estate to NPS beaches. Institute vigorous enforcement and management policies that discourage de-facto privatization of, or impediment of public access to, ANY NPS beaches or lands.

Provide a Public Boat Launch Facility at Hatteras Inlet adjacent to the Ferry Channel, similar to those at Oregon and Ocracoke Inlets. Note: The NCDENR has resources and expertise to construct such a facility if the NPSD identifies and approves a suitable site and real estate.

Approve and utilize only proven humane predator control practices in the Park to minimize capture or killing of domestic animals and native species such as raccoons and gray foxes.

CONCLUSION:

Thank you for your attention to this input, and for the opportunity to participate in formulation management policies for Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.

Correspondence ID: 1359 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hempsey, Philip J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 17:57:18

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am a member of a web community,I entered what I am going to post below on a board about local issues and was asked to submit it here.Here it is>.....Although I dont understand everything going on with this topic I do understand that it will be ashame that the outcome of this will be decided by a board of people and not by a majority vote of the general population of hatteras island.I would be considered an outsider since i live in new Jersey.But i do believee that the outcome of this subject should be decided by the people of this island who live on this island and whose families have been here for centuries and whose traditions and customs have made it what it is (or should I say what it was before money hungry developers and bureacrats got their hands on it)today.It should not be decided by some mcmansion owner from New York or some environmentalist from kentucky or anyone else for that matter.when you drive into hatteras the sign reads..Welcome to Hatteras the fishing capital of the world, Doesn't that include surf fishing also?!Do you think that if you start closing more beaches to orv it will remain the fishing capital of the world? Maybe when there all closed the new sign will read..Welcome to hatteras island the pull up in your mercedes slip off your italian loafers dip your feet into the sand while you drink champagne in your mansion capital of the world!!!!I live in New jersey and I only get down to hatteras for about 10 days a year,I love to drive and explore the beaches and go fishing while im there and if I was unable to to that I dont think that I would come down there anymore and Im sure that a lot of other people feel that way.Maybe that is part of the motive for beach closings? I would hope not!..... End of comment I would also like to add how much my family and I enjoy the Cape Hatteras and how a huge part of that is because of the ability to drive on the beaches.We love exploring the island,surf fishing,looking for shipwrecks,and just enjoying the beauty of such a wonderful place,I have a lot of arthritis in my body and would never be able to walk to some of the places that I know can enjoy driving to.We love to go to the point and If I was to have to walk there I would probably never be able to go there again.The Point is such an amazing place I would really be upset if I was unable to enjoy its spectacular beauty again.I have taken elderly relatives there,who would never be able to walk there.Driving the beaches gives me a sense of freedom that I pray will never be taken away! God Bless America!!!!

Correspondence ID: 1360 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lewis, Constance G

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 18:07:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent:

As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore and the animals it protects, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Actually I would prefer that offroad vehicles be banned on the seashore, but that isn't going to happen. And as a person of faith, I believe that humans have a special responsibility to be stewards of God's creation, which does include the animals at Cape Hattaras.Specifically:

- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses. Once habitat is destroyed, a species becomes extinct in the wild.

- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. Turtles in particular reproduce slowly and of course can't get out of the way of a motorized vehicle.

When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna[.]" Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In other words, only if offroad vehicles won't kill wildlife or crush the eggs that represent the future of the species we are trying to protect.

In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, I expect that you will give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Constance G. Lewis

Correspondence ID: 1361 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fedorowicz, John O

Outside Organization: OBPA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 18:34:28

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As an outdoorsman, husband and tax payer. I love the USof A. I have traveled from coast to coast to as many state, and national parks as I could. Not many have captured my heart like Cape Hatteras. With the towns spaced away from the national seashores, and the beautiful beaches to drive on, I have only come to this area for the last 24 years. Rent a cottage, buy my groceries, and spend my week of love to be there. If this area ever becomes restricted I can see our family finding another place to spend our week that we wait for all year in another place. As an avid hunter and preservationist, I look at all areas as infected. People just care for themselves. If we do not all respond to open areas for all only the greedy will have what we all should enjoy. Please, see that what this space was originally opened for, remains open to all.
John Fedorowicz

Correspondence ID: 1362 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Meador, Bennett N

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 18:40:12

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I'd like to thank you for allowing me to comment on this issue and I'd like to give some background on myself. I have a B.S. degree in Env. sciences from Ferrum College, VA; I have been a flyfishing guide in AK; I have been a waterfowl hunting and trout fishing guide in VA; I have raised and fledged American Bald Eagles while employed by the Nongame/Endangered Division of the GA DNR; and currently I am superintendent of a major wastewater treatment plant that discharges into the Chesapeake Bay watershed.
I am an avid birdwatcher, crapper, sea shell collector, photographer, waterfowler, ORV driver, camper, astronomer and fisherman. I am a true "multi-use" user of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area (CHNSRA) and utilize all the above hobbies in the CHNSRA. I am an environmentalist, conservationist and a nature lover.
I feel that ORV use at CHNSRA should not be allowed to be degraded or reduced in any manner.
I feel that there should be no type of permit, permit fee or access fee for ORV use in the CHNSRA.
There should not be any type of quota system or limits of numbers of ORV on the beaches at any one time.
ALL ramps should be open 24 hours a day and there should be no restrictions to hours or time of usage of the beaches by ORV.
There should be trash receptacles, "porta-potties", fish cleaning tables and solar-powered air tanks at ALL ramps.
An access point should be developed on the north end of Pea Island (the south Oregon Inlet point), and that portion of the beach, and for one mile south, be opened year-round for ORV use.
The Pole Road should be reopened.
There should be a minimum of 20 additional sound access roads with parking lots established on the west side of Highway 12 along the entire CHNSRA.
I think that ALL historical beach access ramps, south of the southern Pea Island NWR boundary, be re-established and open 24/7/365 and maintained with the upgrades I mentioned earlier at all ramps.
I do not think there should be ANY seasonal or safety closures of the beaches of the CHNSRA.
Upon observations of nesting turtles, these nest should be marked. Once egg laying is over, the eggs dug up and relocated to the Pea Island NWR beaches. There are NO lights in this area that could cause confusion in the young turtles. This could considerably increase the numbers of juvenile turtles reaching the surf.
When plovers are observed nesting and laying eggs, these eggs should be collected, incubated, hatched, fledged and released into the wild. This will decrease the amount of predation by 100%, and from personal experience - I KNOW fledging programs such as this, do in fact, increase the overall populations of threatened or endangered species.
I have been coming to CHNSRA for 38 years - since I was 9 years old. Currently, 74.3% of my free time is spent at CHNSRA - approximately 70 days annually! In all my years of coming to CHNSRA I have NEVER witnessed an ORV user driving in restricted areas, driving recklessly, harrasing or "taking" wildlife in any manner or littering. What I have witnessed is ORV users respecting the wildlife and environment, cleaning washed up debris and respecting their right to use the beaches responsibly.

Correspondence ID: 1363 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Becker, Jeff B

Outside Organization: Virginia Coastal Access Now Recreational Groups

Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: My name is Jeff Becker, a director of Virginia Coastal Access Now. VCAN is a non-profit organization incorporated by the Commonwealth of Virginia in 2006. We represent recreational anglers and environmental advocates working to protect and enhance the public's access to Virginia's beaches. We formed our non-profit as a result of the loss of public access to Virginia's coast.
I am writing on behalf of VCAN's membership to ask the NPS for continued ORV access on Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore. We all love, respect and enjoy the privilege of driving on the beaches of North Carolina. The majority of us grew up fishing Hatteras Island, and we want to protect ORV access for our kids, grandchildren and the generations to come.
Again, we are a group of die-hard surf anglers who are witnessing the disappearance of access to public beaches. We feel that limiting ORV access to CHNS will not only hurt everyone but also kill our way of life.
I cannot put into words how much ORV access on CHNS means to VCAN members and surf anglers across the country. We all eat, sleep and live to surf fish. Please, please continue to allow us the privilege of driving our beloved beaches.
The thought of losing vehicle access "down South" all but brings us to tears. Please don't take away something that means so much to so many.
Please.

Correspondence ID: 1364 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hammond, John

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 19:07:24

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am grateful for the opportunity to comment on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Off-Road Vehicle Negotiated Rulemaking and Management Plan/EIS. I have lived in North Carolina all my life and learned at an early age what great gifts of nature our State possesses. "From Manteo to Murphy!" No wonder people come from all over the nation to visit Cape Hatteras and the Outer Banks!
I believe the vast majority of people that come to visit the National Seashore have a sincere interest in doing their part to avoid damaging the gifts of nature the Park Service is charged with conserving and protecting. These resources include our nesting waterbirds, including least terns, piping plovers and American oystercatchers. I am aware that these species have been "taking it on the chin" on the National Seashore for a variety of reasons, including predation, habitat loss and human disturbance.
I don't believe anyone wants to ban beach driving. I do believe there are ways by which the National Park Service can facilitate beach access to off-road vehicles while ensuring the declining shorebird numbers are reversed. Implementing protective measures for minimizing human disturbance to shorebirds, based on sound science would ensure that the National Park Service would be in compliance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the Endangered Species Act, and laws in effect to guide the Park Service's stewardship of our State's (and the Nation's) natural resources. These tools are in the National Park Service's back pocket even now. I am counting on the National Environmental Policy Act process this great agency is embarking on to

bear this out.

Correspondence ID: 1365 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Todd, Thomas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 19:15:21
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been a frequent visitor to Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore for the past 51 years. A property owner and part time resident for the past 17 years. Free vehicular access to the beach a unique and important part of the Hatteras experience. Such access has allowed far more people to closely experience the dynamic barrier beach and its unique ecosystem than would or could have experienced it otherwise. Having spent a great deal of time over the span of 51 years on Hatteras and other barrier island beaches, I am convinced that responsible ORV useage of these beaches is not detrimental to the ecosystems of the beaches, islands and associated near shore waters. The Endangered Species Act requires that measures be taken to protect designated species. However, the draconian restrictions proposed by some parties are not necessarily the best way to aid in the restoration of these species. In the case of sea turtles and some shorebirds a much higher percentage of survival of eggs laid could be achieved by collecting the eggs, incubating them under healthy controlled conditions and the young back into the wild at an appropriate stage of development. This would also be far more cost effective than the present fairly ineffective and restrictive system. As a citizen, taxpayer and one who truly cares about this resource, I ask that responsible ORV and fishing access be maintained.

Correspondence ID: 1366 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: HUSSEY, MARK
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 19:15:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: Driving on the beaches of Cape Hatteras has been a pleasure for the people of our great state of North Carolina for generations. Please don't allow outsiders with special intrests to take that priviledge from us. I want my children and grandchildren to be able to experience what a grand feeling it is to drive out to that special fishing spot for a day, or just be able to ride and enjoy the experience of true American freedom.

Correspondence ID: 1367 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Todd, Thomas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 19:16:52
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been a frequent visitor to Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore for the past 51 years. A property owner and part time resident for the past 17 years. Free vehicular access to the beach a unique and important part of the Hatteras experience. Such access has allowed far more people to closely experience the dynamic barrier beach and its unique ecosystem than would or could have experienced it otherwise. Having spent a great deal of time over the span of 51 years on Hatteras and other barrier island beaches, I am convinced that responsible ORV useage of these beaches is not detrimental to the ecosystems of the beaches, islands and associated near shore waters. The Endangered Species Act requires that measures be taken to protect designated species. However, the draconian restrictions proposed by some parties are not necessarily the best way to aid in the restoration of these species. In the case of sea turtles and some shorebirds a much higher percentage of survival of eggs laid could be achieved by collecting the eggs, incubating them under healthy controlled conditions and the young back into the wild at an appropriate stage of development. This would also be far more cost effective than the present fairly ineffective and restrictive system. As a citizen, taxpayer and one who truly cares about this resource, I ask that responsible ORV and fishing access be maintained.

Correspondence ID: 1368 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Huneycutt, Daniel C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 19:25:48
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I have been visiting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area for twelve years. Since my first visit, I have fallen in love with the area. I cannot think of a better vacation spot to spend my hard earned days away from the office. That very first visit was spent surf fishing in a way that only ORV access provides. I was also struck by the natural beauty of the beaches. While I have respect for the plant and wildlife that are such a big part of the island, I also strongly believe that there should be a balance that will allow citizens to continue to enjoy the park as they have for many years. I feel that the local population and their economy should also be taken very seriously. In my opinion, it would be a real shame to see the recreational portion of the park diminished further due to the complaints of a loud minority. As stated before, I do respect the wildlife and I do not disagree with reasonable closures. I do, however, very much disagree with the pattern that has developed over time where each year, more and more beach is closed. The park is plenty big enough to accomodate all interests and I feel that the current level of closures is more than enough. I am strongly opposed to any sort of beach access permits. I also believe that if any popular recreational area absolutely needs to be closed for temporary reasons, that every effort should be made to allow access around the closed areas. Superintendent Murray did manage the beaches in 2006 within the confines of the regs and policy both for the protection of the birds & turtles AND for the access of the visiting public. Last year the Park Service did make a good attempt to keep us up to date as to the closures, the reasons for the closures, attempted to mitigate some of the closures by providing access around the sensitive areas to unaffected areas and opened the areas when the biological reason were no longer in effect. I have seen what has happened to other parks around the country with respect to access. I hope a plan can be put in place to prevent CHNSRA from suffering the same fate. The animals are important but to me people should come first. A park should be a place for humans to enjoy, not a place that people fight over, and this area is the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area. ..."except those portions which are especially suited for appropriate recreational activities which SHALL be developed as needed..." . While visitation and recreational use at CHNS has increased multifold over the years the practical recreational access has consistently decreased, making potential user conflict and potential resource effects a self-fulfilling prophesy. The obvious answer is expand visitor access to undervisited areas, not further restrict access and increase visitor density. Note, that when CHNS was created the entire shoreline was open to vehicles and there were 40+ ramps. Personally, what I want to see is a balanced approach with protection for the birds & turtles and reasonable & responsible access to the beach and sound for the public. Lastly, I have had several hip surgeries in the last few years. At 35 years old, I have many many years left to enjoy this beautiful and seren area. If it were not for vehicle access, I would never be able to do this again. THere are numerous people that, for varying reasons as age, health, etc. would never be able to do this, and that bothers me greatly. To think that I could not take my children to this wonderful place, because I can not walk very far, would be a tragedy. Sincerely, Chris Huneycutt

Correspondence ID: 1369 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542 **Private:** Y
Name: Taylor, Douglas A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 19:33:56

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Let it be known that even though I am a member of many groups, I represent no group. The points listed below are my opinions. In the quest for easier access of the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Park and Recreational Area, attached are a few points that should be considered
 1) With the increase of the amount of SUV's, it would behoove that the maximum amount of beach should be opened so that they not concentrated in one area. This would mean that closures would need to kept to a minimum and when done they need to be reviewed in a timely manner. 2) Any "symbolic " fences should be marked with a highly visible colored string and not just the standard white string. 3) To help the Rangers to do their job, a cell phone needs to be made available to the Rangers on patrol so that the public may contact them through a base number and email to accept pictures; this would help to report any problems or violations that may crop up. This would include the notification of time and date at the time of the violation, picture of the person or vehicle in the act (Cell phones have the capability of taking and sending pictures), and where possible showing the license plate. 3) The use of blockages on the access ramps, if by chain or cable, to be clearly marked with a plastic pipe that is clearly visible through the use of reflective paint or tape. 4) The width of the beaches to be measured at the average high tide water mark so that an average person would know how it was measured. "Safety closures" should be evaluated daily by the Rangers on their daily patrol. So that they do not need to go back to the office, plastic signs should be carried in the patrol vehicle along with plastic trail markers to mark the temporary closures. (In the state of NJ, on a daily bases, the safety is determined by the beach driver using common sense. Normally, at some spots the beach has been as narrow as 20 feet above the high tide watermark; this measurement does not include the base of the sand dune. During extreme conditions the Park Rangers make the decision.). 5) The use of training film on how to drive on the beach to be made available on both the internet and park offices. (This type of film is already available in NJ at the Island Beach State Park.) Driving classes are also available through the NJBBA. Why not ask if the NCBBA could offer the same service on a set schedule. 6) One thing should be noted that there have been a number of beach studies that state even where there is beach erosion from OVI's, there is no substantial yearly difference between natural and impacted beaches except just in front of the dunes. This is where a buffer zone at the dune base should be established. I recommend this to be eight feet on the ocean side when the high water mark is not at the base of the dune. 7) The pond that is growing in size by the fish-cleaning table needs to be drained to its original size. If the state or federal agencies are worried about contamination of the run off, why not make use of the National Guard's portable water purification units to help with the pump out.
 Thank you for your time and for soliciting our opinions

Correspondence ID: 1370 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Donahue, Mark P

Outside Organization: OBPA, NC Beach Buggy Association, Cape Hatteras Anglers' Club, Audobon Society, Nature Conservancy Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 19:45:15

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I have been traveling to the Outer Banks of North Carolina since 1970. On my first trip I was 6 months old and I have been on that annual trip virtually every year since. I moved to North Carolina in 1999 and bought property on in Avon in 2003.
 I first started driving on the beach to go surf fishing with my brothers in the late 70s. In recent years we have used ORV access for fishing, family outings, windsurfing and kite boarding. I have grown to appreciate more than ever how essential ORV access is for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreational Area.
 I am an active participant in contributing to environmental causes including the Audubon society. I believe that their recent article was rather short-sighted with respect to what Cape Hatteras is all about. Those that take the time to understand the Outer Banks come to appreciate how integral ORV access has been and always will be.
 As a property owner on the sound side of Avon I look forward to longstanding ORV access to assure my long term planned enjoyment of this area that I have grown to love so.

Correspondence ID: 1371 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Horner, John R

Outside Organization: www.fishintopsail.com Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 19:47:49

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am concerned about loosing our ability to drive on the Outer Banks. I am disabled, and I would loose an important aspect of my life, allowing me to access the outer banks. Thank you for allowing me to voice my concerns. Sincerely, John Horner

Correspondence ID: 1372 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Feltner, Mark

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 20:42:13

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I support the NPS and it's effort to provide the greatest opportunity for the public to have continued off road vehicle (ORV) access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS) while taking "reasonable" precautions to protect wildlife under the proposed ORV management plan. However, the complete closures of some or all of Cape Hatteras is unacceptable. Should wildlife specie protection be needed, any and all actions and alternatives to maintain open and traditional Outer Banks ORV access needs to be considered, implemented, and allowed.
 On a personal note, I have been going to the Outer Banks for the last twenty years to enjoy the incredible natural resource that is Cape Hatteras, participate in the wildlife activities especially four wheel drive surf fishing via my ORV. For myself and many others, the Outer Banks would never be the same should ORV access continue to be restricted or eliminated. My fondest memory to date of CHNS is having my daughter on my lap helping drive the beach to our fishin' hole. I can only hope that she will be able to do the same for her child one day.
 Thanks for the opportunity to comment.
 Mark Feltner Chesapeake, VA

Correspondence ID: 1373 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Smith, Garry

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,16,2007 21:04:43

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: I am just a ole farm boy. I have to work for livin. I could go anywhere I want too. I can't get away much. It take's 6 hr's to drive to OBX. Save all my extra time and money for the OBX. Ain't nothing no better than to be setting out on the beach at daylight. The sounds, the critters, the peace. I am THANKFULL for the chance to enjoy a place like this. When I leave the beach I have to stop by the local dumpster to git rid of all the trash that I picked up that should not have been on the beach. I don't think the stuff that I am pickin up came from the people on the beach that enjoy this place the way I do!!! The people I have met at the OBX is differant. This place is DIFFERANT. They (I) respect this place!!!! I am goin down this weekend Mar 17 thur the 20th 2007. Again Easter also. Takin some people that have never been. I want them to see this place the way it should be enjoyed! We will go out to Oregon Inlet Point. Walk over to Pea Island. (WALK) Hit the Da Point at Hatterass Light House. Catch the ferry over to Ocracoke. This place is DIFFERANT !!!!! If it ain't broke don't fix it. Red Drum Tackle , Fran @ Fran's and Fish Tradewind are good folks.

Correspondence ID: 1374 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Abdo, Alexy J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 21:19:26
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: The bridge is falling down fix it with a stable bridge that will support the islands residents AND the tourists. make it acessable to people who want of fish watch birds and all others and quit making those dumb rules for 3 to 5 piping plovers that supposedly inhabit the dunes

Correspondence ID: 1375 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blessing, Wayne
Outside Organization: NCBBA charter member,OBPA Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 21:24:04
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: I was trustee of an estate of over 2 million dollars. The excess over 2 was divided among various non profit wildlife organizations including Friends of Wildlife and Audubon as well as the N.C. Zoological Society. Each received a substantial amount which completely dwarfs the money raised by OBPA from its interested members to finance its efforts to preserve reasonable access for off-road vehicles to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreational Area.
I mention this because my late friend was deeply dedicated to wildlife and all animals, and presented with the information portrayed by these organization's official publications would undoubtedly have forwarded her comments echoing their very rigid position as undoubtedly many of their sincere members are doing. IN THIS SITUATION HOWEVER MY FRIEND WAS COMPLETELY AWED AND ENRAPTURED WHEN WE TOOK A RIDE ON THE BEACH SEVERAL YEARS AGO. With first hand knowledge and honest exchange of the driving vs. wildlife situation I know she would have favored a reasoned and rational solution to coexistence of plovers and beach buggies. As a holder of two PH.D degrees she would have questioned and sought answers from those on the scene regarding some of the Himmler-like half truths which were recently published. At any rate I am concerned that many sincere but uninformed members of these organizations may overweight input in numbers but very likely have little personal knowledge of the steps that have been taken by Mr. Murray and the current management to provide protection to the resource in a reasonable and fair manner.
As I am typing this almost to the midnight deadline on March 16 I will state only a few ideas for consideration in the upcoming negotiations: 1.Consider re-opening some of the old ramps such as old 22 north of Buxton, and the one west of the Frisco pier beyond the bathhouse. Especially important if areas around the point are further restricted. 2.What is wrong with filling in the holes and reopening the road near the dunes that ran from Cape Point Campground 2 or 3 miles towards ramp 49? This is way inland of any bird activity I have ever witnessed. 3.Consider a temporary ramp of two in the area from 23 to 34 if extensive bird closures close to the current ramps effectively close off usable beach beyond the closure. 4.Utilize the eyes and ears of the many beach goers who might see an infraction by encouraging them to report it. I know that with the advent of cell phones more of the regulars are doing this. 5.Publicize all summons and court cases involving beach violations. The "New Jersey Wildlife" magazine used to report violations monthly. It must have been daunting to Dr. so and so, or even John Public to see his name listed for fishing-no license or two trout over limit. 6.Although my position is changing on this believe it or not I have over the years advocated for a permit system to finance additional beach patrols. My thinking being that beach driving is a privilege that can be taken away if abused. I think that this would particularly limit the type of joy rider who wants to "4 wheel drive" on the beach, many times with Daddy's car. As the Currituck beach becomes more crowded and perhaps closed those day joy riders will naturally head south. CURRENTLY HOWEVER, I AM CONCERNED THAT ONCE ESTABLISHED IT WOULD GO THE WAY OF CAPE COD AND ASSATEAGUE WITH MORE AND MORE RESTRICTIONS AND HIGHER AND HIGHER FEES. UNFORTUNATELY ONCE GOVERNMENT GETS INTO SOMETHING THEY NEVER SEEM TO LEAVE WELL ENOUGH ALONE. So unless there was some sort of guranatee as to the use of permit money and a cap on increases beyond the rate of inflation I would currently be opposed.
Thank you for the opportunity to express myself and please in the interest of fairness judge comments from those (on both sides actually) who can speak from experience of personal knowlege of this particular seashore with its very unique circumstances. Sincerely, R.Wayne Blessing

Correspondence ID: 1376 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Salp, Christopher
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 21:24:59
Correspondence Type: Web Form
Correspondence: RE: Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore "Notice of intent" to prepare an Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan (ORV Management Plan) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
I strongly urge the National Park Service to restore and maintain full and open beach access for off-road vehicles and their users.
I am 38 years of age, and have been enjoying vehicle-based beach access in Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore with friends and family for over 34 years. I cannot emphasize strongly enough how integral vehicular beach access has been and continues to be, to my enjoyment of the Park and its resources. Activities I enjoy in Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore with my family and friends include surf fishing, kayaking, wading, crabbing, swimming and enjoying the relaxation that the scenery and resources offer.
Areas within Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore that I have enjoyed particularly frequently include:
- Ocracoke Inlet and surrounding ocean beaches and soundside wading/fishing areas
- Hatteras Inlet -- particularly the areas near and around "False Point," as well as wading and fishing spots along the sound adjacent to the inlet
- Cape Point, the adjacent beaches just northward, and the "South Beach" stretch for about a mile and a half just to the South
- The Northern Hatteras Island beaches accessible via ramps 20, 23, 27, 30, 34 and 38 -- I particularly note the importance of free-flowing drive-ability between stretches of beach without having to exit the beach and re-enter at a separate ramp.
- Many parts of the beach along the North side of Oregon Inlet from Coquina Beach to past the Bonner Bridge, including the "Pond."
Following are some areas of specific concern that I ask be taken into consideration and addressed related to management of ORV access:
Cultural and Socioeconomic Aspects Simply put, vehicular access is an elemental part of enjoyment of CHNS for families from all over the United States, whatever their preferred activities when on the beach. The recreational surf-fishing opportunities in CHNS are both world class and unique -- and are at the heart of the identity and culture of this area and its economy. This barrier island economy is made up of small, family owned and run businesses. This should be given paramount consideration in this process -- when this National Seashore was initially established, the local families certainly did not anticipate that the heritage and tradition of vehicular access would be restricted in the manner that it has been in recent years.
The "Baseline" [regarding ORV/vehicular access areas] As a future ORV access and management is developed, it is very important to accurately remember how much more beach has been open to beach access. As notions of "compromise" are approached and undertaken in this process, it is critical to remember that the current ORV access regime, while representative of an admirable effort by park managers to balance diverse and different interests, is not an accurate baseline or starting point for efforts toward compromise as the ORV plan is developed. The more severely restrictive ORV access regime in the last 6 to 8 years is simply not a valid measure for a "baseline" or starting point for any discussions that might result in a "compromise" among parties with differing views on beach access. In the not-too-distant past, significantly larger and seamlessly connected areas of CHNS have been open for ORV access -- with respectful and balanced interaction and use of the park by users and wildlife. This wider access had continued for decades in the past with very solid results as it relates to preserving Park resources and wildlife. Surf-fishing/Night Access/Key Areas As mentioned above, world-class surf-fishing is among the top draws and forms of enjoyment for those who access CHNS beaches via ORVs. Surf-fishermen are responsible ORV users who traditionally are very respectful and responsible users and stewards of park resources. In order for ORV access to be meaningful, reasonable parameters must be taken into consideration. Dialogue with local anglers groups and fishing businesses should be undertaken to prioritize the areas that are important for use by anglers. Even in extreme circumstances where contiguous ORV access might not be able to be accommodated, alternate routes to key spots/areas should be arranged wherever possible. As a final consideration in this regard, perhaps pedestrian access can still be maintained in some areas in which vehicular access would be temporarily closed.

Regarding nighttime access...popular and highly sought after fish species such as striped bass and red drum [the North Carolina State Fish] are commonly pursued at night -- it is imperative and very reasonable that free and open night access to all of the areas where these fish are pursued, is maintained. Also, in some cases in recent years

Proportional and Reasonable Accommodations for Birds/Wildlife As endangered and threatened birds and wildlife are [importantly] accommodated in compliance with relevant laws, it is very important that there is an open and transparent process as it relates to management decisions and actions regarding ORV access and open/closed areas. It is also very important that any actions considered or undertaken by Park or other agency staff are not arbitrary. Interpretations of relevant laws and regulations should be measured with strong consideration for maintaining open access for ORV users. Protections for birds and wildlife can assuredly be achieved with far less restrictive closures than have existed in recent years.

Again, opening and maintaining meaningful beach access for ORVs is in line with decades of responsible use and enjoyment of Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore and its treasured wildlife and natural resources. It is critical that this heritage of open and responsible vehicular access to the Park's beaches is restored and maintained as the upcoming ORV plan is developed.

Thank you to Superintendent Murray, and CHNS and NPS staff for all of their efforts as this process moves forward, and thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 1377 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rowan, Hugh T

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.16,2007 21:32:45

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: Surfishing and ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreational Area is very important to me. New ORV policy should contain:

- Reopening of historical ramps. Many of these ramps were closed "temporarily" never to reopen.
- Temporary closures of ramps and beaches due to erosion, bird-nesting etc. be as brief as possible. Conditions which warrant the closing should be monitored closely and ramps/beaches reopened as soon as those conditions no longer exist.
- When conditions require the closing of access routes to popular surfishing spots such as Cape Point and Hatteras Inlet, alternative routes should be emplaced to give access.
- Beach closures for wildlife propagation and habitat be only those required by law. Policy regarding ORV access restriction should be to restrict access only to an extent to satisfy legal requirements. The title and purpose of Cape Hatteras National Seashore and Recreational Area is the provision of seashore recreation, it never was intended to be a wildlife refuge. Although wildlife is a very important part of the OBX experience, wildlife conservation practices should only interfere with ORV access to the "beachfront" area below the dune line, when required by law and only to the minimal extent required by law.

Historical recreation has included ORV access to the entire ocean beachfront. Over the years access has dwindled to a small fraction of its original scope. It is time to halt the encroachment of dwindling access. Moreover it is time to reverse the closures of the past and reopen areas previously open to ORV use.

Sincerely, Hugh Rowan 12287 Isaacs Rd. Ellendale, DE 19941

Correspondence ID: 1378 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fraser, Alex

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.16,2007 22:57:30

Correspondence Type: Web Form

Correspondence: As someone who fell in love years ago with Cape Hatteras National Seashore while camping, surfing and fishing here in the nineteen seventies, I would like to add my comments as a private citizen to the discussion. I emphasize that this is my private opinion, since I've been employed by NPS and have been directly involved at times in this issue.

One of the reasons I did fall in love with this particular stretch of barrier islands is the natural beauty of the seashore and the opportunity to enjoy the majesty of the subtler values and the intricate web of life out here. I spent many hours in the water body surfing, enjoying the interplay of the surf, and the creatures that call these barrier islands home. I often had friends warn me not to stay out of the water too long as my gills might dry out. Cooking fish for dinner while watching a copper sunset and sitting next to my tent at Salvo campground made it seem like I was in another, newly minted world. While there were plenty of people enjoying the area at that time, it never seemed like they had overwhelmed it.

This seems to have changed in recent years and frankly the degree of use by some beach drivers is simply out of balance. It seems at times that many of the groups involved in this political discussion are shouting down the quieter voices. Certainly the way that I read the original planning documents for the seashore, they would seem to imply that if something is negatively affecting the resource then it needs to be strictly controlled. I have been fishing and surf casting since I was old enough to stand up and hold a rod in my hands. The best fishermen are the ones able to read the beach and know where the fish are almost by instinct. They can put some filets in the cooler without having access to every last inch of beach.

Obviously any controls on ORV beach use after so many years of almost unlimited use are a tough sell but I believe that it has to happen. I do not see that a beach permit system that charged up \$150.00 per year, or a short term fee of \$30.00 for two weeks would be too much. Breaks could be given for handicapped and those of limited income. Fees taken in would help to support Resource management efforts and the extra salaries involved.

I have read the interim species management plan and I find that the proposals are good ones. They are in keeping with the needs of species involved. Closure distances and other proposals should be followed to provide for real recovery of the species involved. If there is a need for a closure it should be done with dispatch and articulate and succinct explanation without apology. An effort should be made to evaluate new access issues before they become a problem. For example, should launching kite boards on the soundside be limited to certain areas so as to not flush nesting birds like oystercatchers.

I was frankly confused by the Fish and Wildlife biological opinion. It seemed to have been rewritten so as not to offend. It reads a little like George Orwell had written a resource management study, with the logic of a dog chasing its tail. For example, stating that a particular use would not affect designated critical habitat because no habitat had been designated as critical. Well then it's time to designate some as critical habitat -especially when it comes to migratory or threatened and endangered birds that have less and less habitat on the entire east coast.

Certainly there is a broad spectrum of opinion out there about what the national seashore should be. In the current Bush administration there has been a trend towards treating it as if it was a BLM area. Some of the same people would like to gut out the values of the National Park Service like a fish. There will always be those who will act like there's some sort of conspiracy to take their fun away, but future generations will thank us for protecting priceless natural value.

There are values to the seashore that are more subtle. Think of the joy of a parent with a child watching multicolored coquinas glisten in the sun as they push themselves out of the sand to catch the next wave.

I would urge balance.

"For most of the wild things on earth, the future must depend on the conscience of mankind "- Dr. Archie Carr, turtle researcher and author.

Alex Fraser

Correspondence ID: 1388 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb,28,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Transcript

Correspondence: No rangers on duty at night We need more ranger personnel Need quick response 24/7 from law enforcement Appreciate NPS listening meetings ORU Mgmt plan needs to provide adequate rangers 24/7 Dumpsters/trash receipts at all access points also bathrooms Install fish cleaning stations at ramp 55

area Why don't you use hatcheries to protect endangered species. Changing landscape i.e. rise in sea level, hurricane makes hatcheries practice and increase chance of species recovery In scope of the plan, will enforcement of unlawful uses be addressed Would like to see campground remain open-maybe to December Humans are also part of the ecosystem and need to be considered in the plan. They also need to be responsible with what they do Fishermen are important to the economy of Dare County Fishermen are best conservationist police themselves-help us control better and get fish back in water quickly NPS should keep in mind history of the island RE watermen Ocean is becoming inaccessible to middle income people, piers in NC are disappearing too far for normal people to walk to Hatteras spit. Different desires people with 2nd homes in Frisco who don't want to see vehicles on the beach in the view from their house; people who come to the park to fish and drive the beach to get to fishing spots Rebuild Berm ramp 43 north adjacent/parking lot Rebuild Pole Road Construct bi-pass or back roads around habitat areas Increase access to sound side for kayak launch sites Adaptive mgmt-react to breeding no pre-nesting closures Develop 'beach-watch' program need 911 style response High water line or little above to H2O should stay open, don't see an issue with plover by the water Long family history of coming to park and many different uses including driving on beach for access to do other activities-down town a house there/visit every year No need to restrict activity between nest and water till hatching, no need to protect best that have flooded or too low temperature have non-viable eggs. Want reasonable mgmt practices should protect viable nest and give-up on non-viable ones that wont hatch Important to keep beach access open and maintain facilities restrooms fish cleaning tables, tourism is important <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/CAHA> Effect of ORV mgmt on local economy could be negative, positive, or no effect. We do not know yet. Drop in number of visitors to park could because people come and see a rutted beach, or know that's what happens there. Not the national experience is used to be villages developed, not the same aesthetics Large populations increase on planet increases impact Everything has a carrying capacity Changes in width of beach-diminishing resources since creation of park Improved Law Enforcement awareness of socioeconomic impacts on beach closures restore Pole road to pre-Isabel condition provide more new ramps and access roads\ improve maintain existing ramps promptly reopen any temporary beach closures once threat has passed provide ramp amenities-restrooms, dumpsters, air pumps, fish cleaning stations better predator control Remember responsible mobile surf fishermen are the best friends the NPS has!! Closures to protect bird habitat were promptly removed Appreciate current 2006 mgmt practices Access priority should remain high best fishing on points/spits Keep all ORV access 24/7 ORV driver self certify/web based training program Handicap accessibility to beaches via ORV's South side Oregon inlet open access ocean side\Cultural change impacting the islands Greater traffic, more resources being used, greater number of residences especially larger non-native investment properties, change in demographic How do you maintain the cultural identity that was there Air quality question idling engines scientific data to support this, how much being brought from other sources Soundscapes- there are other sounds impacting this not just from ORV noise any measuring of decibel levels Historical and functions information need to help evaluate issues Seas level rising how will this affect impact the decision making process Endangered Species Act- because of development how has this impacting wildlife, what has nested/not nested, look at all factors affecting wildlife ORV use is one piece of puzzle Study impact of loss habitat in other places

Correspondence ID: 1389 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Transcript
Correspondence: February 27th Kill Devil Hills Need more enforcement in now and into the future for anticipated increases in visitation Make public ORV violations stiff fines local newspapers Delaware Cape Henlopen at the point- check for permit example Should have safety equipment and some people from outside the area to drive on the beach with a car. Nawagensett Bay area- 4 high snow fences with covered top to keep gulls out and holes in fence for birds to go in and out Permits should cost 50-100\$ per year Are too many young people form out of state that come here and doing donuts and speeding and being inconsiderate to fishermen and driving into bird nesting closures Safety is a concern with young people in big trucks and children on the beach large wheel trucks is more the issue than number of trucks September-April is the best fishing could close then closes in summer to driving on the beach but some like to take children and equipment in vehicle to beach in summer Develop alternative park uses off shore fishing to off set potential regional economic impacts Increase national resource interpretations to elicit dialogue to spotlight needed endangered species natural processes and their importance to the regional economy Most suitable bird habitat areas on the beach should be set aside exclusively No driving permitted in area in front of houses Remaining areas should be split between motorized use and non-motorized use Year round protection for sensitive species habitat areas All sensitive areas should be mapped with in plan and clearly marked and designated Goal of plan should not be to minimize adverse impacts to sensitive species Goal should be to eliminate adverse impacts Should create a permitting system for vehicles based on the quantified carrying capacity of the natural systems Plan should recognize that contemporary ORV's are not the traditional use Plan should take into account that there are fewer wild areas with in the park due to sea level rise and adjacent development and larger number of people Support zoning concept in preliminary alternatives board Zoning should be adaptable to take into account dynamic nature of park Access based on percentage The based on non-ORV use should be diminished Size of resource mgmt zones should not be reduced to accommodate more visitors-priority should be resources Use the practical successful knowledge from CACO and FIIS ORV mgmt plans which used compromise and continue of a good local economy Use locally contracted ORV buses during heavy summer visitor use driving behind the dune-line to transport visitors along the beach Charge an ORV permit fee that will sustain all mgmt actions needed in this plan Minimizing ORV impacts may not allow for sufficient natural processes on the barrier island habitat and this may continue the decrease of endangered species leading to species extinction. Redirect the primary objective of the EIS to wildlife protection but focus on ORV use in the rules to entered into the CFR to be developed to protect wildlife Alternatives Keep beaches open at night for recreational uses Stop use of fireworks in park Develop research study to help with turtle nest relocation program to maximize numbers and gender Educate visitors who use beach ORV training on line with certificate of completion Why isn't the title Cape Hatteras National Seashore recreation area Issue statements overly focused on negative impacts of ORV use positive impacts should also be addressed Feel regulations are closing in feels like plan is to close beaches to ORV use eventually Would accept a permit system if it kept the people who break rules off beach at NAGS head can drive on beach with a 25 dollar permit cap open what is currently open. Do not want to see a I on I off capacity control system Permit would provide funds to help NPS operations Ramp information should include adequate information for new users make this a do list Speed limits should be marked and enforced Beach watch program to assist NPS with enforcement Develop mile maker system on beach Public access walk over sign

Correspondence ID: 1390 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Transcript
Correspondence: March 11th Washington D.C. Alternative element for beach access for elderly and disabled Commercial consideration? Nets? Area? Need more rangers Question-how is cte. Made up? Who selects members? Who chairs the mtgs? Who pays for the mediators? What qualifications do the mediators have to understand and resolve the problem April, June and September-November 30 are prime fishing times summer people are probably doing more other activities, fishermen could put up with some restrictions in the summer but restrictions for critters that don't show up doesn't make sense-haven't seen any piping plovers would be interesting Adding areas for ORV's on Pea Island would relieve crowding on Hatteras Island From fisherman's point of view not all areas are equal northern end of Pea Island is of interest for fishing and other use First 300 yds north of Rodanth town boundary is popular for surfing and many park on the side of the road and if could drive on beach could help separate Have had nothing but good experience with the rangers

Correspondence ID: 1391 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Transcript

Correspondence: February 26th Buxton Issues Conflicts increasing between user groups coincide with an increase in visitors and a shrinking beach Long established pedestrian beaches have been opened to ORV use Current management of CAHA is under political pressure initiated by ORV advocacy groups Current established high use season is too short Establish year around pedestrian access only areas Make sure ORV operating rules insure safety of pedestrians and protect the resources Relocate turtle and bird nest captive breeding program Garbage receptacles at all access points and parking areas Rangers pickup debris and trash on beaches Keep access to point and spits open 24/7 Peer review all work Pave road and back road Issues Economy/communities Positive and negative decreased visitation may be due to crowds on ORV use on the beach Ramps at campgrounds to avoid high pedestrian use areas Econ-decreased visitation may be due to beach closures Effect of sea level rise on ORV plans Put time lines on PEPC site Putting comments out before regulation starts could cause problems in the regulation position-with that what is their incentive to provide specific comments Alternatives Concepts Need an alternative on utilizing disturbed sites to develop habitat for protected species NPS should lead efforts to develop a night sky/lighting program to protect wildlife and light pollution Need plan for sea level increases If its not broken don't fix it everything working fine

Correspondence ID: 1392 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Browning, Becky
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Park Form

Correspondence: I think permits with a month minimum would weed out some of the people who aren't fishermen (seniors fishermen=more likely to respect the beach). People who come in to drink and carouse over a weekend might be deterred. Also strict limits on ORVs during the bird/turtle nesting season. Only so many allowed on the point per day. Really limit ORV + bird interactions during this time. Finally, part of an ORV permit system would include a mandatory class taken annually no matter what the permit period of time is.
 Becky Browning 3229 Ward Rd Raleigh, NC 27606 Paper_airplane@hotmail.com

Correspondence ID: 1393 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gould , Burnham S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Park Form

Correspondence: I believe that first priority should be given to protecting birds and other wildlife (eg turtles). This means that all access to the best bird nesting areas, such as Cape Point and the areas adjacent to the inlets, should be prohibited during nesting seasons. The areas can be open to pedestrian traffic during non-nesting periods. All vehicle traffic should be prohibited on the beach adjacent to dwellings The remaining beach areas should be divided into two roughly equal portions 1. An area restricted to non motorized uses 2. An area open to most uses including motorized beach driving subject to suitable restrictions ? No driving on vegetation areas or on dunes ? No speeding ? No driving between sunset and sunrise
 Burnham S. Gould P.O. Box 54 Frisco, NC 27036-0054 Bsgould@msn.com

Correspondence ID: 1394 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mathis , Wayne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Park Form

Correspondence: I feel it is contradictory to the intent of congress when establishing the CHNSRA for all citizens that there should be any diminution of the multi-use concept for the beaches and source land. While some may advocate dedicated or single use set-asides for their own exclusive purposes, this is clearly an anti-social, deviant attitude. The thought that a public resource should be denied to many diverse users because a small minority of potential users are repulsed or offended by the mere sight of their fellow citizens recreating in a different manner is un-egalitarian and contradictory to the concept of our Constitution. Furthermore- when the 'elitist' user is not presumed, a public resource lies fallow and unused by entitled citizens?this is inefficient and unsupportable.
 Wayne Mathis Box 251 Buxton, NC 27920 dheel@bigfoot.com

Correspondence ID: 1395 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,28,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Park Form

Correspondence: 1. Acknowledge that all ORV use impacts wildlife even when the ORVs obey the rules; there is still noise, tire, trails interfering with bird and wildlife 2. Only allow surf fishermen to use ORV's on the beach. And limit the areas and times based on best practices for wildlife 3. Acknowledge that economics have a way of cycling and rebounding 4. Use bussing system and bus in fishermen 5. Boat in fishermen 6. Combine conservation with dealing with NC's obesity problem. There are a lot of overweight people in this room. Require fishermen to start WALKING to go fishing. 7. If they are truly so concerned with people with disabilities then provide a disability van 8. stop blowing ORV users who disobey ORV use harms the beaches

Correspondence ID: 1396 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Byrd, Gertrude T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,21,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Superintendent RE: Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954
 As a long-time visitor to the Outer Banks, driving on the beach was always one of the most pleasurable things to do. We have always been recreational fishermen and the luxury of four,wheeling on the beach made it so much easier to enjoy. I love the Outer Banks and am a supporter of keeping the seashore clean and accessible to all. I think it has now come down to financing to allow the coexistent of beach driving and at the same time protection of the environment of the beach.
 I would propose that every vehicle owner entering the Outer Banks must have purchased a vehicle access permit of varying degrees of cost ...lesser (preferably no cost at all) for the year round residents, higher for the part-year rental property owners/residents, and even higher for the visitors. This could be accomplished by having a daily, weekly, monthly, yearly and/or lifetime access sticker available for purchase and enforced at the first access to the Outer Banks such as Hwy 64 from the East Hwy 158 from the North...and the Ferry terminals elsewhere covering all entrances to the Outer Banks. The funds collected from this permit could be used specifically for maintenance and enforcement of the Outer Banks beaches.
 I know that I love visiting this area enough that this would be only a token amount to ensure the continued beach access and the preservation of this unique region. I was happy at the recent creation/enforcement of recreational fishing licenses for the Outer Banks. This proposed vehicle access permit could be issued in much the same manner, however, for every vehicle entering the Outer Banks.
 We must find a way to preserve the Outer Banks as well as to preserve our right to access them. Please do not take the easy way out by simply outlawing beach access via motorized vehicle.
 Gertrude T. Byrd 3605 East Geer Street Durham, NC 27704 gertrudebyrd@forestmanagement.com

Correspondence ID: 1397 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Andrews, Sarah . M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Sarah M. Andrews 11528 Scottsbury Terrace Germantown, MD 20876 anaxagoras500@yahoo.com
 February 12, 2007
 Mike Murray, Superintendent Cape Hatteras National Seashore 1401 National Park Dr. Manteo, NC 27954
 Dear Mr. Murray,
 I am writing to you as an Audubon Society member and past visitor to your Seashore to urge you to implement appropriate and science-based measures to protect the several species of beach-nesting birds that frequent Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Specifically, I encourage you to regulate the use of off-road vehicles on the beaches.
 As you know, several beach-nesting species have suffered serious declines in recent years, due to habitat loss, increased predation and human disturbance. For example, on Hatteras and Bodie islands, from 1993 to 2004 the number of Common Terns declined from 385 pairs to 11; Gull-billed Terns declined from 11 pairs to 0; and Black Skimmers declined from 216 pairs to 13 (NC Audubon). Clearly, these birds need some help. Two specific measures, if implemented between April 1 and September 1, could make a difference.
 1. Divert vehicles around nesting sites or where birds are present. . . . 2. Close areas if necessary to provide an adequate buffer for nesting birds, chicks from being killed, and to provide chicks with access to foraging habitat.
 Responsible use of ORVs on the beach need not threaten the very wildlife that makes the Seashore a unique and beautiful place. Cape Hatteras is a national treasure and it deserves to be respected and treated as such.
 Best Regards,

Correspondence ID: 1398 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Laughon, Jr., Frank E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mike,
 We enjoy the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for many reasons. The tranquility, the family getting together, and of course, surfing, fishing and driving on the beach all make our vacations at Cape Hatteras the "most special and memorable" every year.
 My wife is a native "Tarheel" and we have been going to Hatteras for many years, actually since 1968. We loved it so much, we built a home in Avon, in Kinnakeet Shores in 1986, and we have been down every year since this date for every holiday, summer and occasion we can find
 We particularly enjoy the ability to take the family on the beach, including grandchildren, and find a "spot" that everyone can enjoy. And this is quite a feat when you consider we have grandchildren from age twenty one down to six.
 Mike, we truly encourage you to keep our beaches free and open on Cape Hatteras. The pleasure we have derived over the years cannot be measured and we look forward to many more years of driving on and enjoying this beautiful area.
 Many thanks in advance for your careful consideration of our wishes during the Negotiated Rule Making process.

Correspondence ID: 1399 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bates, Jr., James M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Superintendent I was born in North Carolina and have enjoyed the beauty of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have been a birdwatcher for 45 years and have degrees in Zoology and Oceanography. I read an article in the latest issue of Audubon magazine which concerns me. I believe that beach nesting birds in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore such as piping plover, black skimmer, gull-billed tern, least tern, oystercatcher and wilson's plover are suffering severe declines in nesting success. One reason is disturbance during the nesting period by off road vehicles. Another cause of the drastic declines in beach nesting birds is losses due to introduced predators on the barrier islands(which could also be managed). I think that any decisions concerning beach access by these vehicles should include representatives from the ORV group and representatives concerned with the preservation of birds and other wildlife of the barrier islands. The piping plover is actually increasing in numbers at the Cape Cod National Seashore because of restriction of ORVs during the breeding period. I am not against people enjoying fishing in this area but I do think that nesting areas should be protected during the nesting period. Setting up little fences a few feet from the breeding birds nesting site is not enough to avoid disturbance. If the adult birds are scared away from the nest for any length of time the young birds will die in the extreme heat. I am extremely disturbed by the policy of letting the ORV users decide the policy for beach access. Shouldn't Americans interested in preservation of beautiful parks be allowed representation for deciding the ORV policy too? Why has the ORV management plan required by law to be implemented in 1972 still not been implemented by 2007? Why is the National Park Service allowing the ORV management plan to be decided by a "negotiated rule process"? Doesn't the enabling act of 1916 for the National Park Service state as its legal mandate "to protect flora, fauna, land, water and the natural processes with which they interact". Didn't Presidents Nixon and Carter issue executive orders which forbade ORV use unless it can be demonstrated that it won't compromise natural values? I know that the ORV group has a very loud voice but people like me that would like to walk on the beach at Cape Hatteras to observe beach nesting birds should be allowed to state their case. I am saddened to hear that Dr. Steve Kayota's son was almost run over by an ORV while playing on the beach and that Audubon field technician Sidney Maddock has been harassed by ORV chat rooms after testifying at a hearing at Kitty Hawk. I will appreciate it if you could respond to this letter and inform me why you can riot formulate an ORV beach access policy similar to the one at Cape Cod National Seashore for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore instead of the " negotiated rule process". Thanks for listening to the concerns of a citizen who does not want beach-nesting birds to completely disappear from the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am sending a copy of this letter to the Director of the National Park Service.

Correspondence ID: 1400 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Short, R. Don
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: RE: Off Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Seashore
 Dear Sir or Madam: I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Off Road Vehicle Management Plan for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am an advocate for assured ORV access balanced against the need for environmental and wildlife protection. And I have a suggestion as to how this balance can be accomplished.
 It is vital to the economy of the Outer Banks that the Park Service find a way to allow Off Road Vehicles (ORVs) access to the beaches in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. This access is the basis for a large part of the tourist trade that comes not only from North Carolina, but also from many other states in the Eastern United States. While driving in Virginia, I recently saw an Ohio ORV with the vanity plate "FISHOBX".
 My immediate interest in urging ORV beach access is based upon my family's ownership of property in Ocracoke, NC. Although we are not wealthy, our investment is substantial and we depend on tourists and fishermen for rental income so that we can continue to own and manage our property. An

integral part of continued liquidity is making the beach attractive to tourists, which means ORV access.

I hasten to add that our wildlife and environmental resources also require protection and preservation so that not only we ourselves, but future generations as well will be able to have access and enjoyment of them as well.

So the task is that of balancing what may appear to be competing interests, but which are in reality not so far removed from each other. We want to be able to drive our ORV onto the beach today, but we also want to preserve the beach itself together with its animal and plants and its general environment so that we will continue to want to enjoy it in the future.

The Park service has done a good job of balancing these interests during recent summers by the use of access corridors. These corridors, set aside for use by ORV s, also provide for large areas to be set aside (at least in Ocracoke) for bird and wildlife habitat. This plan appeared to work well and satisfied most. I believe perhaps a good approach is the establishment of general guidelines which will require DRV access to the beaches, but providing that access be curtailed (but not completely) to allow for appropriate environmental and wildlife protection. The fine tuning of how much access and where should be left to a volunteer panel comprised of citizens, scientists and government officials so that the balance of access and protection could be varied as conditions require. I would propose that local County Commissioners from Dare and Hyde Counties be allowed to appoint members and that likewise the Park Service appoint members as well. We as citizens need to have ORV access assured at least to some degree so that tourists and fishermen will continue to come. We do not want this DR V access to such a great extent that it will serve to destroy or negatively change the very thing that draws tourists to the National Seashore. Moreover, because of the dynamics of ever changing considerations this issue would best be handled on a continuing basis by a panel comprised of those interested in the sides of the issue.

Correspondence ID: 1401 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Robinson, Jack

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb.20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray: I am writing to express my deep concerns regarding the safety of beach-nesting birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I and many of my friends are seriously concerned about the wellbeing of these waterbirds, some of which are on the endangered species list. We need to be compassionate, sensitive, and civilized in our management of earth's wildlife. And it is important to protect the animal kingdom for future generations to enjoy.

In a short period of time, Cape Hatteras National Seashore has gone from one of the most important nesting sites to one of the most threatened. The number of birds that nest on the Seashore's beaches have dropped extremely in recent years. There is great need for adequate protection for the nesting birds. The current destructive situation can be reversed. One of the primary reasons for the decline is human disturbance due to off-road vehicle use. Many baby birds have been crushed to death under the tire of a "pleasure" vehicle. But it is possible to eliminate this danger and the loss of life for the birds. Nesting birds and off-road vehicles can co-exist on the shore provided that protection measures are implemented to keep vehicles away from the nesting areas during the mating and nesting season.

Our sea-birds are threatened and in danger. You have the power to ensure the safety of the birds and other wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. By making the right decisions based upon the preservation of animal life you can make it happen. I sincerely ask you to make this an important issue address and rectify.

Correspondence ID: 1402 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Dickinson, Philip D

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb.20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Sir:

Protection of habitat for nesting colonial waterbirds and other wildlife should be the core of any management plan for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Habitat conservation is a fundamental purpose of our national parks, and I am confident that we can both offer sound protection strategies for our wildlife and great recreational facilities for fishers and beachgoers.

Areas such as Pea Island, Cape Point and the flats near Oregon Inlet provide unique habitats for wintering ducks and for such species of concern as piping plover, American oystercatcher and common tern. We must come up with a plan that preserves this habitat, while addressing legitimate concerns of the fishers.

I feel that beach access for people is important, too. However, we should not be allowing people in off-road vehicles to use this national and world treasure as their private drag strip without regard to wildlife that need to share this area. Regulations should establish commonsense rules for drivers that keep them away from nesting areas and the dunes, and we must enforce those rules.

Besides, uncontrolled drivers create dangers for other citizens. They threaten and disturb the activities of sunbathers and walkers. Birding also is a prime people activity at the Seashore, and is the fastest-growing outdoor recreational activity in the United States. Planning should address the rights and recreational opportunities of these taxpayers, too. The tourist economy of the Outer Banks is a vital interest of the State of North Carolina.

The management plan should also address the problematic route of NC Highway 12. As recent as January, the road was overwhelmed with no hurricane in sight. The short bridge options for replacing Bonner Bridge make no financial sense in the long run. We will just be pouring more money into maintaining Highway 12 in a futile Canute-like effort to hold back the seas. Eventually, we will have to move the road west, anyway, with tomorrow's inflated dollars. We should bite the bullet now and build the long bridge.

Correspondence ID: 1403 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Colavito, Dave

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb.20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray: After reading Ted William's article in the Jan.-Feb. issue of Audubon, I must say that if even half of what he reports is correct, there's cause for real concern at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. More and more it seems that our National Parks are coming under siege from competing interests. My understanding of the law is that our National Parks are one of the few places where protection of the resource, let alone endangered species, should take priority. The situation described by Mr. Williams at Cape Hatteras National Seashore suggests otherwise. But there is always more than one side to a story, so I'm writing to get your take. Are the events Mr. Williams has chronicled representative at the National Seashore? Much obliged for your consideration and response.

Correspondence ID: 1404 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Harris, Jim

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb.23,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: To: Mike Murray Superintendent,

Re: Off-road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS Cape Hatteras National Recreation Seashore

Mike, as you know I moved to the Outer Banks (Southern Shores) when my wife & I retired in late 2005. We primarily moved here to fish the surf on Hatteras Island. In 2004 and into 2005 there was (and still is) contentious debate about how much & how long some of the most productive surf fishing beaches should (could, would) be closed to mobile surf fishermen and other beach users. As time progressed you were appointed to Park

Superintendent. The attention you are giving this thorny issue has given us (mobile surf fishermen) and the anti-ORV groups some light at the end of both of our tunnels. Bringing Thayer Brodie onboard has brought a fresh mind and eyes to sort out the hype from fact into what birds need as well as the mobile surf fishing folks. Both can co-exist on the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore.

Putting porta-potties & dumpsters at each access ramp provided us (the beach users) a place to unload, among other things, the trash we pick up daily, when we are out fishing. To me, cleaning up around where I setup to fish is a no-brainer. I try to leave every place I go better than I found it. The storms we have here bring fresh flotsam & jetsam on every high tide. I have pulled very heavy pieces of netting off as well as several large poles out to where a loader could get to them. If this stuff remains on the beach it becomes a place where predators could stalk fledglings or eggs, and detracts from the beauty of the seashore.

The step the N.P.S. (you & Thayer) took in letting us create a route behind the dune line giving all of us access to the "point" at high tide last spring was masterful, thank you. The careful placement of the "symbolic fencing" allowing both birds & us (mere humans) routes to productive feeding & fishing areas by splitting the fine lines of what the U.S.F.W. & the birders wanted, as well as the needs of the mobile beach users, again, was deftly done. We as a group (mobile beach users) are getting through to the individual beach abuser (donut makers, fence crossers, and just plain rude 4x4 drivers, plus the litter'ers) and the amount of damage they do is decreasing. Many of us (if we are witnesses) to blatant law breaking, would gladly join your Rangers in court to testify, if necessary. Most of us will call and report bad behavior, last spring I called about several Jet Skis romping in the surf neat Hatteras Inlet. To make sure the message is clear, violators should get more than a wrist slap, stiff fines should be given.

The main culprit that keeps birds like the Piping Plover from making a comeback on the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore is Mother Nature. She's a cruel mother, with regular Nor' Easters & hurricanes overwhelming almost all the beaches in the . Park, eggs & fledglings stand poor chances of survival. There is nothing any man can do to prevent nature's wrath. The same forces that make it hard for some birds to make it are what bring the fish to the shores of the Seashore. Two currents colliding into Diamond Shoals bring the largest species of coastal swimming fish (Red Drum, Striped Bass, Cobia, and too many large sharks to name) within reach of fishermen standing in the surf. Man has been trying to catch these fish since we have been able to. Dwindling numbers of some of the fish in years past has led us to catch & release almost all we can hook. Gone are the days of greed where fish were stacked like wood to rot in the sun. Yes, there are some who don't get it, but their numbers are dwindling. Efforts like teaching the young visitors to our Seashore how to fish, and respect Mother Nature, payoff in ways we cannot imagine. The children tell their parents what they learned and two generations go home with a wish to come back again to experience the gifts this Seashore offers.

Oregon Inlet beaches are being washed away as well as the sand around the Bonner Bridge pilings. The area that over washes yearly has very little high ground, if any, for air breathers to flee to in the storms. The beach between Rodanthe & Avon get changed, being washed away to the dune line only to rebuild itself with the next change of wind. Hatteras Inlet beaches were washed away last spring leaving no room for man or bird on the once expansive sand flats. We are lucky that Ocracoke Inlet still is intact. The "point" shifts with every storm, meandering back and forth. Some days there is a pool on the southward side, sometimes a thin spit going way out at low tide. None can predict this, or hope to control any of it, either.

The negotiated rulemaking coming up will boil on all sides at once. The rules are going to change; special care must be taken to weed from the stake holders; the skills, the empty groups that do not exist except for one person claiming it so. Any group threatening a lawsuit has no right to a seat at this important table, or in any discussion regarding what needs to be accomplished in the negotiated rulemaking process. All sides will have to give some; The mobile beach user so far (to me) already has given time and again. You stopped this quite a bit last spring., thank you. There are many who write that have not a clue, about what they are saying. There should be no limit to beach users, unless all the beach users, including feathered animals and furred ones as well, are limited. Too many of anything can outstrip the resource it depends on. Payment of a yearly fee for every vehicle that uses the off-road parts of the Park could offset the costs of monitoring our feathered friends, somewhat, if beaches are not closed wholesale as in years past. Don't charge me for something & then say I can't use it. With the way Mother Nature treats us, all of our good intentions can be turned on its head at any time.

Jim Harris 92 South Dogwood Trail Southern Shores NC 27949-3838 longcaster@charter.net 252 261 4990

Correspondence ID: 1405 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: N/A, N/A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb.28,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Transcript
Correspondence: ORV plan / scoping meeting

I am a tourist. I am from NY (Hudson Valley) and have been coming to Cape Hatteras National Seashore since the late 1960's. I have no economic interest in the area. My interest lies in the ability to be able to navigate / negotiate driving the beach.

I do not consider myself an expert on what's best for all parties involved. I do have an opinion on ORV use (as most here do). I firmly believe that Beach access whether by vehicle or foot (pedestrian) should be allowed. While arguments exist that ORV users are destroying the habitat (natural resource), can anyone tell me that a 3-day Nor'easter doesn't destroy the habitat (natural resource) or any other natural event (hurricane doesn't destroy the habitat (natural resource). While agreeing that the above are natural events, ORV usage should not be disallowed due to misaligned perceptions of others.

The decision to allow ORV as well as pedestrian access to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be based on common sense and not emotion. If an area is deemed unsafe (width) by NPS, then ORV (maybe even pedestrian usage) should be curtailed until such time as deemed safe by NPS personnel. The question may arise what is safe; and frankly that is a very good question to which I don't have the expertise to answer but would hope that NPS personnel are capable of providing this answer.

I do not consider myself an extensive ORV user of the beach, I do however consider myself lucky / and to be honest privileged to experience driving the beach as well as having the ability to show my family the different areas of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore other than the areas in front of the villages.

I have witnessed occurrences of ORV users driving in front of the villages during peak season and would not recommend this scenario if for no other reason than there are to many visitors on the beach in front of the villages. The National Seashore designation implies SAFE use for all who want to use the resource.

The most important need for Cape Hatteras National Seashore is the presence of NPS personnel. While understanding the issue of manpower (or lack thereof), it needs to be understood that without NPS presence, rules / regulations etc. are words and only words. Enforcement of the rules / regulations needs to be in the hands of personnel responsible for the care and maintenance. of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore (NPS). The only way disrespectful visitors will ever abide by the rule is to enforce the rule.

1) Ramps / turnouts etc. currently established appear to be adequate at least as far as I'm concerned. At ramp locations the following should be made available: 1) RULES for using the beach for ORV or Pedestrian traffic; 2) dumpsters; 3) air stations (re-fill tires); 4) water; 5) ferry schedule; 6) emergency contact numbers (medical, law enforcement, NPS). Additional ramps / turnouts as warranted / needed. However, additional ramps / turnouts must be deemed necessary based upon the need, not because of surplus of annual funding that needs to be spent. To build a ramp / turnout just because there is money available is not the right solution. Protecting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for future generations is the ultimate goal. How this is achieved is open for negotiation as it should be, protection of this wonderful resource is our responsibility. Consideration should be given to widening the beach in areas that have been hit hard by natural events (nor'easters, hurricanes) {reclaim the beach}. Areas currently deemed protected (Pea Island) should remain protected for obvious environmental reasons. If and when the time comes and Pea Island is no longer considered an environmentally protected / sensitive area then consideration should be undertaken to open the beach for traffic (pedestrian or vehicular). However, NPS needs to have the final say.

2) Seasonal Closures - should be mandated per rental season in front of the villages or when deemed necessary by NPS. However, re-opening of these areas should also occur once tourist season is over (especially in front of the villages). Typically, as I understand, the tourist season is roughly mid May - Labor Day weekend. As for temporary closures for other reasons (turtle nesting, bird nesting, etc.), NPS should have the final say. That said common sense should be one of the primary factors. Closing an area that is not in close proximity to a sensitive area should remain open. Again, NPS needs to be the lead in this determination and I can't stress enough NPS needs to be the lead. Closed areas should be re-evaluated on a timely basis and when

warranted should be re-opened in a timely manner. Enclosures should have further setback from the actual nesting site in an attempt to minimize pedestrian / vehicular damage. Also the enclosures should be constructed in a manner that impedes pedestrian access (stronger wire, low shock wire etc.). Place sensors within the protected areas, when activated, respond and react accordingly. We have placed sensors along the ocean floor throughout the world to monitor for any abnormalities why not use this type of technology or related technology. I realize that there is a cost associated with this type of endeavor as well as the potential for an increase in manpower but. Most important, visitor education toward proper use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore needs to be stressed. Every visitor (vehicular or pedestrian) needs to be informed of his or her responsibilities relative to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. If we can land a man on the moon and send a telescopic satellite (Hubbell) to view far away planets technology must be made available that will monitor, record and report any abnormality to sites deemed to be protected by NPS personnel. Communication between parties that use the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for recreational purposes (fishing, surfing, walking, admiring the surrounding environment etc.) is crucial for any plan to work. Should protected sites be under video surveillance, I would hope that this would not be the case. I believe that users for the most part will abide by clearly defined rules / regulations. Those that don't, I have no sympathy for and neither should anyone else including law enforcement and the judicial process.

3) Safety closures on the beach should be based upon experienced NPS personnel determining that due to circumstances (wind, hurricane other natural event) the beach is not safe to traverse, (to close to water, to close to dunes, potential of harming resource because of the lack of room. However, re-evaluating the closed area(s) should be undertaken in a timely manner. Upon this re-evaluation, the area in question is opened or remains closed pending the next re-evaluation. 4) Enforcement of the rules is paramount to protecting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I admit this will require funding at the federal level but it cannot be stressed enough, without enforcement, rules are simply words. While many will abide by the written rule as they currently do, there will be those that for whatever reason will feel the need to place their individual goals above those of the majority of users thereby jeopardizing the use by the majority.

In general, ORV / pedestrian users that I have witnessed using the beach are concerned about protecting the beach and it's resources. Unfortunately, it only takes a few inconsiderate individuals to cast aspersions in the eyes of those that for their own reason(s) have determined ORV usage / pedestrian traffic should not be permitted on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. There are laws / rules etc. that are enacted to protect something / someone. However, the laws / rules do not punish the innocent. They are meant to punish the offender. There is always going to be the self-absorbed individual who for whatever reason feels the need to push the limit. There is nothing that can be done about this type of individual except punish when caught breaking the law / rule (i.e. Speeders, corporate / white-collar crime, etc.). Negotiated rule making is a democratic process. The process needs to hear input from all interested parties and take into consideration all aspects of the result. It is NOT and should never be a process that is controlled in structure, format or composition by any 1 interested party / group. The Cape Hatteras National Seashore should not become an Oligarchy (controlled by the rule of a few). Ultimately, NPS is the final authority in how the Cape Hatteras National Seashore will be governed based upon policy / rules / laws whatever that are currently on the book or to be enacted. That said no group should be barred from enjoying this wonderful natural resource just to placate a select few.

America for all her faults is still a democracy and as a taxpayer, I feel I have the right to voice my opinion. Maybe I'm being naive when I say that democracy is not supposed to be bought and paid for. To me a democracy represents the ability to sit down together, discuss an issue and ultimately resolve the issue presented fairly and honestly. While some representative(s) of the process may not agree with the ultimate decision, the decision has been reached in a democratic manner. It is not a scenario where if I don't like the decision, I'll take my ball and go home. If the final decision is to curtail ORV / pedestrian use on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I won't like the decision but I will respect the rule.

Correspondence ID: 1406 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Foreman, Trip
Outside Organization: Water Sports Industry Association Recreational Groups
Received: Feb,26,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: To Whom It May Concern:

Matt Nuzzo and I have the support of the national organization, Water Sports Industry Association ("WSIA"), to represent watersports enthusiasts on the issue of off-road vehicle ("ORV") access in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The WSIA's stated mission is "to provide visionary leadership to increase participation in water sports and to supply members with education and legislative action." The watersports-user group includes participants in kiteboarding, windsurfing, surfing, sailing, kayaking, wakeboarding, skimboarding and other water-based active sports.

It is vital that the watersports-user group not be overlooked. The users within our group range from local residents and business owners to international manufacturers and industries. This group comprises millions of participants worldwide, and among those, well over 1 million participants specifically recognize Cape Hatteras as a premier location for watersports based on conditions and the current ORV access to the soundside and ocean waters.

Each year, hundreds of thousands of people visit this area specifically to participate in watersports. Indeed, the watersports group may be one of the largest combined user groups of the National Seashore. Watersports enthusiasts flock to the National Seashore for the perfect combination of wind and waves. These conditions can be discovered due, in large measure, to the current beach access plan, allowing any combination of wind and swell direction to be found.

Accordingly, our position as representatives of the watersports- user group is pro-OR V access, and our interest is to be recognized as a vital stakeholder to maintain and improve access for watersports users in locations within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

We are committed to remaining open-minded and level-headed and to diligently participate in the public comment and negotiated rulemaking process.

We encourage anyone who would like to respond to this statement or make any comments regarding the watersports-user group to email Trip directly at trip@realkiteboarding.com.

Correspondence ID: 1407 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moon, Terra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1408 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frazier, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1409 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Woods, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1410 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neighbor, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1411 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Polly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1412 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Currie, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1413 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gowen, Tammie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1414 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Langley, Mike
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1415 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Galofaro, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1416 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Evans, Regina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1417 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lawrence, Suzy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1418 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Orasin, Stephanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1419 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nelson, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1420 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Townsend, Teresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1421 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sheets, Mary-Elise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1422 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haley, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1423 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pannullo, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1424 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hickle, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1425 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jessop, Julia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1426 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Xavier, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1427 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shuford, Carla
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1428 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Quinn, Aglaia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1429 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reeves, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1430 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keyes, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1431 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pierson, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1432 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: West, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1433 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Comer, Vicki
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1434 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beamon, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1435 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, Elaine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1436 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Houston, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1437 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, Josh
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1438 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tschopp, Lesa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1439 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Faulkner, Gavin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1440 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pena, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1441 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bollag, Sascha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1442 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hengst, Allen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1443 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alley, Matt
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1444 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crews, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1445 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Calderon, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1446 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grady, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1447 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kelly, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1448 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Butler, Kathi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1449 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kerr, Courtney
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1450 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bornt, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1451 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Amini, D H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1452 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hopkins, Constance
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1453 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crutchfield, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1454 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weisstanner, Nicole
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1455 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1456 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1457 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reed, Dandyionmjr
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1458 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rennix, Tiffany
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1459 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dodds, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1460 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holland, Frances
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1461 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Poindexter, Alexandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1462 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Amirpashaie, Kathryn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1463 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clune, Peggy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1464 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crosby, Kathy

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1465 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sudduth, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1466 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hobbs, Megan C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1467 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sherrill, Lucy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1468 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lutz, Kristina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1469 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burns, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1470 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tucker, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1471 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Campbell, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1472 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Simona, Gaftoneanu
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1473 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buracker, Angela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1474 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tucker, Ashleigh
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1475 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Chapman, Sheila
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1476 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Douglas, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1477 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sullivan, Kristen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1478 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ryan, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1479 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carpenter, Cheri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1480 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Adrian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1481 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Purcell, Caroline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1482 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Linda, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1483 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duval, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1484 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ray-Davis, Melvina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1485 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jehle, Nina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1486 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Albright, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1487 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stone, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1488 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mowen, Erica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1489 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Krepinevich, Andrew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1490 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meyer, Sally
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1491 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dolive, Louis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1492 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Edwards, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1493 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tice, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1494 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burke, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1495 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Winfrey, Melanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1496 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowyer, J Spotswood
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1497 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Genis, Mia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1498 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ramsden, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1499 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bailehy, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1500 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Foose, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1501 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bialeschki, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1502 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cunningham, Khari
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1503 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Herzer, Susie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1504 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schwabach, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1505 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McDonald, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1506 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hodges, Betsy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1507 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dorman, Curt
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1508 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morris, Connie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1509 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson, Dawn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1510 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ayres, Stacy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1511 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buchanan, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1512 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: White, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1513 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Georgetti, Anthony
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1514 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mele, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1515 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson Jr, Mason
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1516 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Trofe, Danielle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1517 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dowdy, Larry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1518 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Richardson, Sansia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1519 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beck, Loree
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1520 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nilles, Kevin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1521 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hurley-Shoaf, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1522 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DeRoo, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1523 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bureman, Andrea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1524 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Julie, Jacobson
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1525 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Griggs, Ki
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1526 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Laughlin, Tim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1527 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Simmons, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1528 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Negri, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1529 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Matchette, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1530 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Brien, Larissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1531 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leonard, Christen S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1532 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Campbell, Dolores
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1533 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blackburn, Candace
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1534 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Carter
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1535 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frey, Michelle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1536 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1537 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whisman, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1538 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, Lance
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1539 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Spence, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1540 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sheehy, Erin

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1541 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Terence
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1542 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Payden-Travers, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1543 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lewis, Ryan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1544 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Salisbury, Michelle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1545 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schrek, Tina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1546 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reginato Jr, Louis F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1547 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Horkan, Tony
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1548 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leith, Darcy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1549 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Cy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1550 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomas, Emma
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1551 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Johnson, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1552 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tomkosky, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1553 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Russell, Tanya
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1554 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baker, Deej
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1555 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Androvich, Colleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1556 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McManhan, Earl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1557 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sharkey, Tracey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1558 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fox, Eve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1559 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Abbott, Lindsie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1560 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Antol, Jay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1561 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gall, Vickie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1562 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schroeer, Alison
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1563 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McKinney, Rafe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1564 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stanley, Edith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1565 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mckenzie, Scott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1566 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Browning, J. Stoney
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1567 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tait, Joanna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1568 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vandervoort, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1569 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pemberton, Kate
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1570 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wells, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1571 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mark, Liz
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1572 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alvarado, Cheryl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1573 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lewis, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1574 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Westfall, Alder
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1575 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beang, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1576 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Marquet, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1577 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boyer, Jayne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1578 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Floyd, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1579 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hendrix, Rene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1580 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frederick, Bobbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1581 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vaughn, Beverly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1582 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shematek, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1583 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Serene, Will
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1584 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoyes, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1585 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1586 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wells, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1587 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomas, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1588 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morrissey, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1589 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Glover, Tracey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1590 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carter, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1591 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Treen, Tracy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1592 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bailey, Tucker
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1593 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dugan, Marybeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1594 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Melare, Catharina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1595 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Epatko, Mary Catherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1596 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Richardson, Dianna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1597 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Motsinger, Audrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1598 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hardie, Olivia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1599 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clusen, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1600 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Lindsay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1601 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kanuit, Kellie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1602 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Calamba, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1603 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fuller, Jeffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1604 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ucko, Aaron
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1605 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Puliselic , Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1606 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Osmundson, Denyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1607 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Flory, Sherry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1608 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shouse, BJ
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1609 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lappin, Suzanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1610 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Brigitte
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1611 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Naylor, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1612 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hermanson, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1613 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blohme, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1614 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whetstone, Tony
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1615 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Potter, De
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1616 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schenk, Norma

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1617 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grossman, Cynthia H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1618 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lange, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1619 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Winters, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1620 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neill, Catherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1621 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burton, Barb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1622 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arthur, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1623 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beauchaine, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1624 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Austin, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1625 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Proescher, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1626 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Feury, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1627 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Byrne, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1628 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Langford, Joanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1629 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Obarski, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1630 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Young, Owen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1631 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nuesch, Raymond
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1632 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fogleman, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1633 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burnette, Amelia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1634 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whitten, Ada
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1635 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snow, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1636 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burrell, Sara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1637 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Plummer, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1638 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: D'Onofrio, Adam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1639 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hensley, Sam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1640 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kabaroff, Katharine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1641 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nicely, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1642 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomas, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1643 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mullett, Laurie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1644 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lehman, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1645 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1646 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walters, Megan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1647 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mills, Marlisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1648 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Converse, E. Blaine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1649 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cady, Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1650 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Page
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1651 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Strohmayer, Florence
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1652 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Subramaniam, Mahadevan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1653 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Edwin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1654 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Watrous, Frank
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1655 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Callahan, Susie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1656 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sutphin, Timothy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1657 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Ed
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1658 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Roberson, Gracie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1659 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pierce, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1660 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinze, Willie & Wen-Wen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1661 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gordon, Steven
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1662 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Connell, Timothy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1663 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Amato, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1664 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MacDonald, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1665 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Teresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1666 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Becker, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1667 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MacNeil, Deirdre
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1668 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weaver, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1669 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brekke, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1670 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zierer, Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1671 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lombardo, Constance
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1672 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wise, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1673 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baxley, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1674 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wonderly, Greg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1675 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nester, Renee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1676 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tomasello, Patti
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1677 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buskell, Jeanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1678 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Biondi, Flip
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1679 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duckett, Nida
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1680 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dudley, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1681 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stone, Erika
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1682 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hecker, Ariana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1683 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Doherty, Wylyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1684 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berry, Jason
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1685 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McLean, Jonathan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1686 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1687 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bravehawk, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1688 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vosburg, Grace
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1689 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Downs, Tina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1690 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bourms, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1691 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gaffney, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1692 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bradley, Bethany

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1693 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Butters, Jon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1694 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neese, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1695 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smeriglio, Cecelia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1696 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greenspan, Roberta
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1697 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Klein, Jeff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1698 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bryant, Janice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1699 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burke, Sindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1700 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mowbray, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1701 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zizzo, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1702 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Block, Dana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1703 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pennington, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1704 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Danison, Gina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1705 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Maripou, Anna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1706 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Debi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1707 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Bobbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1708 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Osgood, Alice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1709 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whidby, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1710 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dougherty, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1711 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crouch, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1712 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Callan, A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1713 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arellano, Gabriel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1714 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Montavon, Tiffany
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1715 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lawrence, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1716 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Richards, Melinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1717 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Belknap, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1718 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dickinson, Eileen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1719 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clemont, Rochele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1720 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wonderly, Brigid
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1721 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Switzer, Maryellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1722 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Lindsay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1723 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shamloo, Cyrus
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1724 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reidler, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1725 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whisnant, Joma
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1726 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hewitt, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1727 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Richards, Russell
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1728 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Palacky, Tami
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1729 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berstrom, Joy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1730 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Freisinger, Kristine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1731 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reynolds, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1732 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martinada, Anita
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1733 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowling, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1734 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frierson, Georgia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1735 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chenault, Terri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1736 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dougherty, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1737 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wheeler, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1738 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tshibangu, Mandy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1739 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Soderlund, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1740 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Creel, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1741 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burton, Teresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1742 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wanish, Stacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1743 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lilja, Natalie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1744 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moleski, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1745 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, D. Rex
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1746 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blodinger, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1747 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ward, Becca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1748 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldberg, Lucy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1749 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keeney, Sally
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1750 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McPeck, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1751 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collier, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1752 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomas, Jessie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1753 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: David, Leslie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1754 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kreuz, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1755 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zaza, Sara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1756 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crandall, Irene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1757 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Decoursey, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1758 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gwyn, Terry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1759 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taeckens, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1760 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baynes, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1761 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Troester, Kerry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1762 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McNaughton, Drew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1763 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keith, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1764 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kocar, Jacqueline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1765 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fox, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1766 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Temple, Susan L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1767 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tordai, Dave
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1768 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Katz, Jeanne

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1769 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greer, Gene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1770 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burden, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1771 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vogel, Mari
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1772 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dunn, Deb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1773 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Christopherson, Angela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1774 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schoepflin, Nicole
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1775 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hensley, Lucinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1776 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wills, Carol H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1777 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wood, Margaret
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1778 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morehouse, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1779 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Potchak, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1780 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rbinson, Tina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1781 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Emmons, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1782 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keeler, Allison
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1783 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hughes, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1784 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nelson, Elizabeth A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1785 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Dianne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1786 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frey, Alex
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1787 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hauser, Garri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1788 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kass, Jamie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1789 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schechter, Janice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1790 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, Allison
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1791 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neale, Judith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1792 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Hanlon, Lore
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1793 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Whitney
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1794 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haymore, Wesley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1795 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ward, Aurelie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1796 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Helmick, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1797 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Deb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1798 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1799 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tortorella, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1800 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Loiselle, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1801 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scribner, Heidi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1802 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Millen, Elaine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1803 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stringer, Marian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1804 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Renee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1805 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wernaers, Thierry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1806 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hohn, Greg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1807 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kastelburg, Dale B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1808 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Forkish, Jo
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1809 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gillig, Kristin N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1810 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shearer, Maureen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1811 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Read, Zoey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1812 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yorks, Mimi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1813 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Penkava, Francis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1814 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mahaffey, Sandy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1815 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scarrow, Will & Nedra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1816 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McFarland, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1817 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Raja, Michele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1818 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lewis, Cristy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1819 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Balic, Kris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1820 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoberchalk, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1821 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Khristi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1822 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weinschke, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1823 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wolf, Merrily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1824 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hutson, Martha W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1825 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1826 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lipford, Linn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1827 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hauser, Holly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1828 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kulibaba, Nicolas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1829 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peacock-Broyles, Trinity
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1830 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cohen, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1831 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnston, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1832 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rickman, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1833 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clarke, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1834 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grills, Ann Marie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1835 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Dina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1836 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Seippel, Gina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1837 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weissinger, Kiersten
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1838 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snelling, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1839 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zinn, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1840 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gilligan, Stephanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1841 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Samuels, Courtney
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1842 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Horton, Debra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1843 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Phyllis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1844 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Connor, Christina

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1845 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burley, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1846 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mendosa, Pam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1847 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Roehm, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1848 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Covert, Leila
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1849 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Woddson, Shaun
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1850 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barker, Betty Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1851 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Elderbroom, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1852 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Larson, Catherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1853 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stone, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1854 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tostanoski, DeeDee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1855 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lorch, Jason
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1856 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ekman, Lea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1857 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bunch, Joanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1858 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Verna, Tara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1859 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Drago, Jo
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1860 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tricot, Thomas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1861 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Spence, Brent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1862 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Niebling, Christopher
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1863 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howard, Wes
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1864 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Motluck, Kari
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1865 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sessoms, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1866 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parthemore, Liz
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1867 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Soulier, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1868 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Plamondon, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1869 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berrini, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1870 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shematek, Judith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1871 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoglen, Sharen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1872 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Killen, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1873 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ducar, Tracy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1874 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scoggins, Tammy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,18,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1875 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jody, Marilyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1876 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coen, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1877 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Athos, Debi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1878 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kelly, Jodie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1879 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pierce, Shirley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1880 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tucker, Ira
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1881 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: White, Edward
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1882 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nager, Dennis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1883 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brantley, Larry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1884 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gabrielson, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1885 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Levin, Kathryn & Norman
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1886 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jorgensen, Helene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1887 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Babette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1888 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hall, Sydney
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1889 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ragan, Jamie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1890 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haught, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1891 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peters, Brandy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1892 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Corson, Alice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1893 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Drake, Priscilla
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1894 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Traister, Stacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1895 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kolat, Alyssa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1896 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sanderson, Chandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1897 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kellams, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1898 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCray, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1899 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Given, Rose
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1900 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bozarth, Stanley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1901 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirby, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1902 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Massie, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1903 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rathman, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1904 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zaech, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1905 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davidson, Joan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1906 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Piku, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1907 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Craig, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1908 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dempsey, Kathryn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1909 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Campasini, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1910 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rubinstein, Sam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1911 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bauer, Nicholas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1912 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jurgelski, Annette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1913 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bishop, Cyndi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1914 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Waters, Vera
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1915 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reid, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1916 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sanbourh, CJ
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1917 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morningstar, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1918 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hunt, Jill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1919 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stack, Victoria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1920 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Raymond, Debra

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1921 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dougherty, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1922 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Evans, Theodosia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1923 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Drembus, Joel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1924 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Freeman, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1925 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chapman, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1926 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eickmeyer, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1927 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lowden, Cyane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1928 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peery, Christen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1929 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1930 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Jody
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1931 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Hise, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1932 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Terry, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1933 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1934 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnston, Gail
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1935 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carnighan, Wesley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1936 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gursky, Virginia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1937 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cox, Robin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1938 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cucchiara, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1939 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harpole, Thane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1940 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Meg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1941 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sikes, Ginger
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1942 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Relis, Iris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1943 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ingersoll, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1944 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Monacella, Joseph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1945 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Griffith, Ryan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1946 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Echols, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1947 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Puckett, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1948 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brittle, B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1949 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor-Hall, Jayne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1950 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wiggs, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1951 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Warren, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1952 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keys, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1953 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Redden, Jean
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1954 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bauer, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1955 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Henderson, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1956 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dombrosky, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1957 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Long, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1958 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whyte, Phyllis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1959 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: De Maria, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1960 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clay, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1961 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wright, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1962 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Wesley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1963 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rike, Bonny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1964 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beqaj, Erika
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1965 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Krystal
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1966 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shrestha, Andrea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1967 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hughes, Sophia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1968 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hodgman, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1969 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Raymond, Megan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1970 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Everson, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1971 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Devore, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1972 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Darnell, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1973 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gustafson, Gladys
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1974 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Marshall, Norma
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1975 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mengel, Tim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1976 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dotson, Becki
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1977 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rosetti, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1978 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Osterwell, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1979 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gooch, Ginger
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1980 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baxley, Carley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1981 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fort, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1982 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hurst, Jamie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1983 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Guyon, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1984 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kravets, Marya
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1985 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Silwedel, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1986 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kulp, Louise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1987 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cifuentes, Charlene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1988 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bailey, Marcia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1989 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zorio, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1990 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Massa-Fanale, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1991 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nash, Kelli
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1992 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meadow, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1993 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haynes, E Usha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1994 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bergman, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1995 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dixon, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1996 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weir, ellen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1997 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Honork, Aga
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1998 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bell, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 1999 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chaplik, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2000 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bishop, Mary Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2001 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Long, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2002 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leveron, Paul & Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2003 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis , Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2004 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crotty, Megan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2005 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walters, Yvette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2006 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoehlein, Jill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2007 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Miller, Jean Marie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2008 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Celia, Sophia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2009 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smalling, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2010 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walters, Yvette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2011 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harris, Libby
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2012 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Loughmiller, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2013 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: De Gastyne, Luanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2014 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Brien, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2015 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adams, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2016 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Romero-Kibiloski, E S
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2017 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gillis, Mike
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2018 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Falah, Khatera
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2019 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ciener, Kristi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2020 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Rhea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2021 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2022 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Joyce, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2023 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shields, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2024 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nall, Claudia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2025 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Benson, Justine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2026 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stechel, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2027 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bennett, Chelsea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2028 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Layne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2029 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Alex
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2030 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fant, Michele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2031 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stoeckel, Dawn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2032 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lodestro, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2033 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sakellaropoulo, Jambrina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2034 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hecker, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2035 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nieman, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2036 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Abashian, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2037 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ponziani, Teresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2038 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mills, Maryanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2039 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dunbar, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2040 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Simpson, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2041 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shelton, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2042 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Macenka, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2043 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fisher, Andrew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2044 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beck, Dana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2045 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lowder, Cindi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2046 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kienitz, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2047 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCauley, Ally
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2048 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grant, Kyle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2049 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gulick, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2050 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: George, Jeanette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2051 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baldwin, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2052 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pittman, Art
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2053 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grieger, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2054 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mersdorf, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2055 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Silvia, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2056 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wells, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2057 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gannon, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2058 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arguelles, Ana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2059 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Engleman, Neal
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2060 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bloecher, Louette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2061 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Levitt, Lacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2062 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carpenter, Susanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2063 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McVay, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2064 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lee, Yvonne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2065 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cook, Sam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2066 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Folse, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2067 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bursztyn, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2068 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bracken, Fay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2069 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dale, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2070 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hall, Herman
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2071 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gentry, Greg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2072 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Janet

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2073 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Helmen, Hank
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2074 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Guecia, Audra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2075 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Keri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2076 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lane, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2077 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chassman, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2078 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hendrix, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2079 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grady, Alison
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2080 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gunter, Angela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2081 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dewitt, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2082 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ivceвич, Kate
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2083 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Allshouse, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2084 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2085 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moose, Emory
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2086 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2087 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Matzder, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2088 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sims, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2089 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Talley, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2090 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shoemaker, Fred
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2091 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scott, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2092 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Templer, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2093 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Phillips, Janice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2094 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinson, Samantha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2095 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ryling, Kristin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2096 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carrell, Jimmy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2097 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Swisher, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2098 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller Jr., George T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2099 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fleming, Eleanor
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2100 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greenamyre, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2101 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schaub, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2102 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Horne, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2103 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mathis, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2104 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blackwell, Belinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2105 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Windham, Vicki
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2106 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beck, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2107 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fedorov, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2108 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Poppas, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2109 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Amery, Mardie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2110 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hay, Fred
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2111 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ham, Desiree
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2112 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burkhart, Claire
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2113 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Spencer, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2114 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clement, Audrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2115 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: VanDruff, Joy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2116 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mahar, Suki
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2117 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stein, Sondra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2118 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wiseman, Donald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2119 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fetter, Lawrence
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2120 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Maholick, Dan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2121 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vincent, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2122 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mullinnix, Lib
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2123 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Spivey, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2124 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crow, Benita
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2125 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chase, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2126 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2127 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Terreson, El
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2128 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomson, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2129 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Basher, Tera
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2130 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stanton, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2131 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beverly, Juana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2132 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Valentine, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2133 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2134 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hornig, Gaby
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2135 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Grover
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2136 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haughwout, Catherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2137 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nichols, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2138 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Truman, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2139 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schub, Erik
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2140 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LaStella, Jeanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2141 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Doddy, Gina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2142 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kerr, Sandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2143 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Niketopoulos, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2144 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldman, Vernice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2145 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hanline, Juila
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2146 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: C, Lori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2147 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sherard, Mebane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2148 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sears, Janice

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2149 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schaefer, Scott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2150 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burnett, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2151 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blate, Toni Lee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2152 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stoller, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2153 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meloy, Maryanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2154 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martinez, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2155 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coleman, Henry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2156 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Novey, George
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2157 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schwartz, Judith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2158 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martiin, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2159 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Anderson, Cheryl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2160 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wessels, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2161 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mims, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2162 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Murphy, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2163 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fasceski, Jeff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2164 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kondracki, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2165 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Edwards, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2166 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Payne, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2167 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hogan, Eddy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2168 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harkrader, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2169 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gayer, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2170 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Terrell, Janice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2171 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williamson, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2172 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Norris, George
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2173 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Low, Leslie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2174 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arteaga, Jose De
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2175 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Markman, Sidney
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2176 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lihou, Christopher
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2177 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kiesling, Jonathan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2178 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pollock, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2179 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sloane, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2180 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Suk, Annie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2181 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scott, Stephanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2182 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parish, Don
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2183 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dakis, Elisabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2184 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rodes, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2185 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Green, Marcia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2186 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Ken
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2187 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eichenlaub, Keith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2188 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pfohl, Anthony
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2189 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2190 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mast, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2191 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cifielli, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2192 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Delgado, Douglas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2193 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grant, Christy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2194 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Finn, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2195 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2196 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smart, Joanna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2197 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Curtis, Alice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2198 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pannullo, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2199 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grish, Kristin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2200 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Magnus, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2201 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Massey, Jason
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2202 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rosenfelder, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2203 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Timper, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2204 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ireland, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2205 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Paisley, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2206 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, Jr, Stewart
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2207 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Aaron, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2208 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McMullen, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2209 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fahey, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2210 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferguson, Jan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2211 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pauff, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2212 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coleman, Candace
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2213 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crawford, Morgan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2214 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sullivan, A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2215 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sapir, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2216 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Layton, Charlotte
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2217 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Higgins, Colleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2218 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Albright, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2219 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Honeycutt, Renee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2220 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Foran, Carolyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2221 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lecuyer, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2222 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rhodes, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2223 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Le Beau, Steven
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2224 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carder, Richard

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2225 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Timberlake, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2226 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2227 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kase, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2228 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allison, Donna & George
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2229 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Argao, Sania T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2230 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lane, Janice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2231 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kane, Leah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2232 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McAllister, Carisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2233 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Laudermilch, Debra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2234 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leitgeb, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2235 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Willson, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2236 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Evans, Dianne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2237 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Casey, Maurice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2238 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hanback, Catherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2239 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Asman, nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2240 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lumm, Christy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2241 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tsu, Danusia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2242 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Benson, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2243 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allorto, Miriam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2244 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Alexander
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2245 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Godard, Ginger
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2246 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rowland, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2247 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Horn, Jennifer & Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2248 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Egger, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2249 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stilwell, Tom
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2250 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowers, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2251 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DiMattia, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2252 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gould, Burnham
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2253 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beckman, Douglas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2254 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferrey, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2255 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cartrell, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2256 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barnett, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2257 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blacknight, Bruce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2258 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kessler, Mimi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2259 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: JanKowski, Monica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2260 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCandless, K. Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2261 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Land, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2262 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Krivoshia, Kirsten
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2263 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jeffrey, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2264 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ricafrente, Christy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2265 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: De Boer, Melanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2266 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Waldroop, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2267 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bashen, Melinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2268 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Batzel, D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2269 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stevenson, Matthew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2270 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boyer , Rae Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2271 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Funkhauser, Jeanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2272 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: La Merrill, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2273 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peeler, Adam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2274 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Duff, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2275 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Willis, K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2276 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schindler, Victoria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2277 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wallace, Flora
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2278 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Billetdeaux, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2279 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hittman, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2280 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mummert, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2281 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cumming, Kendra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2282 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nicholas, Luke
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2283 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Nell
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2284 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gajewski, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2285 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Foster, Phoebe K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2286 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Lori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2287 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nahill, Brad
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2288 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Willard, Cyndi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2289 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keeton, Dewey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2290 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carroll, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2291 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Day, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2292 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robertson, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2293 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dascher, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2294 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eley, Tracy N
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2295 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haynes, Polly B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2296 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Provo-Meinhart, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2297 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: hull, Dennis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2298 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reynor, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2299 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gregory, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2300 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adkins, Julia

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2301 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Austin, Anthony
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2302 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cunningham, Megan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2303 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Matthews, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2304 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Krys, Heather m
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2305 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2306 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frazier, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2307 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bartell, Geoffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2308 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2309 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gaines, Berton
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2310 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferreira, Glicinia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2311 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Heaney, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2312 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Lawrence
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2313 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Toner, Kat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2314 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Temple, Eucell
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2315 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Margaret
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2316 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vantalon, Serge
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2317 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tilley, Rosser
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2318 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Talbott, Drew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2319 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McGirt, Jaimie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2320 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wiel, Don
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2321 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eycken, An V
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2322 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adkisson, Holly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2323 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dolan, Colleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2324 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Page, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2325 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McMillen, Frances
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2326 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fanis, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2327 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Durham, Crystal
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2328 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nacheman, Jo
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2329 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mahone, Gerald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2330 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ebinger, Samantha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2331 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Husband, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2332 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Larisch, Erich
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2333 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yarnell, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2334 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vinson, Shelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2335 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Overstreet, Annette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2336 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coles, Gail
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2337 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2338 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fitzpatrick, Leif
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2339 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Newman, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2340 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ridenour, Sherry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2341 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Courtney, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2342 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greason, Millicent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2343 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heironimus, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2344 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shires, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2345 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2346 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Valdmets, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2347 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zetts, Carmel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2348 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hanks, Lou
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2349 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tate, Bright
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2350 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cox, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2351 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bryant, Jamie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2352 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vasquez, Cristina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2353 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eden, Monique
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2354 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2355 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beres, Danielle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2356 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pagniano, Shelby
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2357 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stearns, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2358 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whipple, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2359 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Artley, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2360 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arce, Rafael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2361 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Foo, Shwu Fen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2362 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lumpkin, Audrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2363 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Haley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2364 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ackerman, Anjie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2365 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fulmer-Scales, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2366 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Erikson, Dailene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2367 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bartholomew, Ralph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2368 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Henderson, Diana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2369 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gilbert-Serra, Xavier
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2370 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parvin, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2371 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burlile, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2372 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DeLuca, Elliot
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2373 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grover, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2374 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arce, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2375 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LeGault, Jodi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2376 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Killar, Celeste

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2377 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinch, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2378 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knight, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2379 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walter, Louise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2380 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Biggs, Sandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2381 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Owen, Geoffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2382 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kumar, Anjali
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2383 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nelson, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2384 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mikszewski, Katie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2385 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stevens, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2386 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reynolds, Peter
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2387 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Becker, Brandon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2388 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hemphill, Meredith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2389 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilbur, Susanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2390 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lee, Sallie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2391 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Latimer, Daniel T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2392 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fleming, Lori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2393 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Foreman, Lorrie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2394 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rotchford, Maria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2395 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lanier, Belle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2396 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Quinn, Deanna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2397 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lima, Alex
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2398 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lopez, Tina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2399 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Payne Sr, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2400 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walker, Philip
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,20,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2401 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Armoto, Frank
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2402 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Oesterheld, Jodie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2403 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DeFilippis, Nicholas
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2404 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baioni, Paulo
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2405 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gutsell, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2406 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Diana, Iacob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2407 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Larimer, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2408 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Butler, Alan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2409 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Niles, Gail
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2410 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lamberth, Angela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2411 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stanford, Alice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2412 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Huggins, Margie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2413 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Glombiak, Joseph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2414 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: O'Connor, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2415 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holden, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2416 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nicholls, Hope
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2417 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bailes, M. Virginia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2418 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bishop, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2419 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Soltero, Lorie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2420 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kerns, Michael-David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2421 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chook, Andrew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2422 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Alena
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2423 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Byrd, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2424 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hauch, Nicole
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2425 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greer, Laurie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2426 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Garcia, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2427 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Teeter, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2428 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Norton, Pam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2429 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Batchelor, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2430 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rose, Rhonda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2431 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peterson, Jan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2432 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zimmer, Cheryn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2433 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greeson, Cliff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2434 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Craig, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2435 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Christian, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2436 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peil, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2437 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MacDonald, Ryan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2438 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Corker, Marilynne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2439 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davidson, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2440 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gitlitz, Annette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2441 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Welborn, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2442 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Craven, Lori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2443 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Calvert, Meredith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2444 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lance, Ariana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2445 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Amber
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2446 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brimm, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2447 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lacer, Vanessa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2448 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jozwiak, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2449 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lange, Claudia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2450 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lemmons, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2451 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rich, Al
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2452 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shea, Keith

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2453 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoopers, Tracy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2454 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cole, Betsie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2455 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bullin, Elaine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2456 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clark, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2457 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Joyce, Tammy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2458 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ranson, Tara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2459 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tschantz, Larry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2460 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grillo, Jacqueline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2461 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schatz, Willie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2462 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Colon, Eugenia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2463 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Goodrich, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2464 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baker, Jonathan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2465 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hanchulak, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2466 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dougherty, Brooke
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2467 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bottari, Vito
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2468 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Edwards, Joe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2469 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Khoury, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2470 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Helmer, Jake
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2471 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoffman, Lilli
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2472 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Degen, Rose
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2473 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thacker, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2474 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martz, Amber
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2475 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burger, Scott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2476 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Perkins, Gregg
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2477 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hart, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2478 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Sherry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2479 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zoeter, Mark
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2480 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ritz, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2481 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Giroto, Desiree
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2482 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boekschoten, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2483 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirby, Amelia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2484 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stevens, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2485 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sloan, Peggy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2486 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2487 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hughes, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2488 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hsieh, Ellie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2489 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Turner-sall, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2490 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nelson, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2491 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Palmgren, Lee Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2492 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ridley, C LaPointe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2493 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cooke, Brenda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2494 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lellinger, J. Salom
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2495 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lambe, Ron
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2496 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eden, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2497 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Watson, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2498 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haalboom, Bethany
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2499 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2500 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Block, Jud
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2501 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Murphy, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2502 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pluto, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2503 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coleman, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2504 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chappell, Stephanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2505 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cunningham, Terri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2506 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Urbach, Howard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2507 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mello, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2508 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Dan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2509 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parker, Diana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2510 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dollieslager, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2511 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Henne, Carl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2512 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hendrix, Dennis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2513 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chaney, Kevin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2514 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berenbaum, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2515 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mann, Louise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2516 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fisk, William & Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2517 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ellis, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2518 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McDonald, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2519 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Giefer, Evelyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2520 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moebus, Jill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2521 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barnes, Todd
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2522 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Webb, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2523 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Corrales, Ana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2524 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fraser, Joan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2525 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McMartin, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2526 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Matt
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2527 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weikert, J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2528 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jackson, Mackie

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2529 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Galya, Michaela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2530 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Curtin, Amanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2531 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Elvander, Erika
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2532 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Milliken, Bobbi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2533 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Curtin, Dottie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2534 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Barbara J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2535 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ozkan, Dogan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2536 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cunningham, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2537 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barbera, Toni
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2538 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Seaton, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2539 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Denison, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2540 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dail, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2541 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bruno, Joe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2542 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Andrews, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2543 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cranford, Suzanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2544 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harper, Allison
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2545 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harris, Jill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2546 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Elliott, Fancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2547 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Franks, Jean
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2548 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stribling, Grady
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2549 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howell, Sylvia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2550 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dewey, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2551 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Glynn, Priscilla
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2552 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harper, Michaele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2553 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Quackenbush, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2554 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Garrison, Kristen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2555 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gallant, Anne-Marie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2556 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Maier, Genalou
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2557 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ramachandra, Anusha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2558 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harriss, Jr, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2559 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gorlitz, Gail
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2560 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hahn, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2561 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Studdard, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2562 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jennings, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2563 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Aduddell, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2564 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Itell, Jeffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2565 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Campbell, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2566 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shaffer, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2567 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jackson, Nola
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2568 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kelly, Tricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2569 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blum, Jacob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2570 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stafford, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2571 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hepburn, Chet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2572 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Odedara, Sangna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2573 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: D-Onofrio, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2574 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Norburn, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2575 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Soderburg, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2576 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Levitt, Sallie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2577 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hollar, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2578 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vines, Beverly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2579 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McMullouch, Scott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2580 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ugas, Carmen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2581 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kesner, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2582 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hart, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2583 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Virginia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2584 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cosby, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2585 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Maczka, Darren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2586 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Roman, Alejandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2587 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kubzdela, Kashka
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2588 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Norris, Benjamin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,23,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2589 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goodman, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2590 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barnes, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2591 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Palmer, Mary Lou
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2592 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rouse, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2593 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ramirez, Natalie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2594 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lichtenstein, Annaliese
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2595 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stimmel, Starr
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2596 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jordan, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2597 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Perry, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2598 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weaver, Terrell
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2599 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fanis, Daidre
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2600 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stafford, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2601 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mowrey, Theresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2602 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holcombe, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2603 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Akers, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2604 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kurilecz, Janet

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2605 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Succi, Francesca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2606 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carey, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2607 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Southerland, Ada
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2608 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whitlow, Robin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2609 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schaefer, Kerwin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2610 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: E, Stephanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2611 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Myer, Faith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2612 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Tracey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2613 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Butler, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2614 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robertson, Kent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2615 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Stevens, Beth L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2616 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carlsen, Gillian H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2617 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ford, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2618 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Renqvist, Helen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2619 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McBain, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2620 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Filicetti II, Peter
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2621 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wolf, Danielle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2622 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2623 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cochran, Bryant
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2624 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mallard, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2625 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Modlin, Clara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2626 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hall, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2627 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Campana, Birgit
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2628 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Triplett, Bruce & Penny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2629 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cleland, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2630 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dubberly, Bruce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2631 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sanbourn, CJ
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2632 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fanis, Bryan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2633 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leuba, Valerie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2634 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: King, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2635 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Patrick, Brenna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2636 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: D, Ashley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2637 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Freeman, Jean
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2638 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brunick, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2639 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tower, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2640 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gilbert, Henry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2641 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shourie, Kavita
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2642 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Inscoc, Di
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2643 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Flythe, Hannelore
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2644 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldstone, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2645 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Payne, Lesley H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2646 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dabetic, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2647 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kane, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2648 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keesling, Sara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2649 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schildwaechter, Uta
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2650 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2651 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harris, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2652 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barnhart, Benjy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2653 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hotchkiss, Rose
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2654 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Seid, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2655 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Somers, Alan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2656 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rishede, Lene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2657 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Proetzel, Chryssi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2658 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heath, Larry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2659 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mora, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2660 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Owen, Landon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2661 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stumpf, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2662 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCarthy, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2663 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scotti, Alyson
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2664 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Donlon, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2665 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Seuffert, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2666 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baker, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2667 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heinbuch, Billy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2668 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tillson, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2669 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jaffe, Lynne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2670 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McLane, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2671 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Josh
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2672 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barlaz, Eitan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2673 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LoSavio-Ducharme, Caroline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2674 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greene, Amelia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2675 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nye, Diane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2676 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2677 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Harper, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2678 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Swafford, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2679 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lawless, Stacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2680 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shelton, James

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2681 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Roberts, Elliott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2682 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scott, Theresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2683 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McLane, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2684 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Steeley, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2685 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alapeck, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2686 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Funk, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2687 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pettard, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2688 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morton, Eleanor
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2689 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: OConnor, Maria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2690 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wynn, Margaret
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2691 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: England, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2692 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Sally
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2693 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stuart, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2694 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Castagna, Sammy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2695 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Popper, Serge
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2696 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chisholm, Jeanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2697 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gillespie, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2698 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Perez, Francisco
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2699 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lowit, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2700 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kanney, Victoria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2701 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Cliff
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2702 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bui, Juliet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2703 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blanton, Candie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2704 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Marion
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2705 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldman, Reta
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2706 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Opengari, Margaret
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2707 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Green, Jason J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2708 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Green, Jere
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2709 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Dana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2710 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wheeler, Carrie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2711 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moreland, Sam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2712 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Debralee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2713 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dixon, Terri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2714 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Elling, Stanage
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2715 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Soderstrom, Norma
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2716 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pate, Jo Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2717 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zampino, Dalynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2718 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Briggs, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2719 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carrick, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2720 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Underwood, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2721 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kockritz, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2722 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peterson, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2723 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wallen, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2724 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hughes, Lindsay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2725 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2726 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinson, Jan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2727 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adair, Victoria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2728 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bleau, Tonya
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2729 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tolleson, George
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2730 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Glisson, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2731 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beamer, Tyler
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2732 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rownd, Allison
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2733 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boone, Amanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2734 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parkhurst, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2735 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stephenson, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2736 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hughes, Lindsay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2737 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mosca-Turner, Joanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2738 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Everette, Kathryn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2739 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ghany, Beverly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2740 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baker, Lauren C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2741 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adair, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2742 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ramsey, Caitlyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2743 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Janice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2744 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morison, Roxie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2745 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Curtis, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type:
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2746 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Delk, Robin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2747 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bates, Jenny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2748 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Frances
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2749 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pitt, Tom
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2750 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martindale, Alyssa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2751 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leon, Jorge
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2752 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lash, Benjamin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2753 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Powell, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2754 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peaden, Karen T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2755 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bhattacharya, Tripti
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2756 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tedford, Helen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2757 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Short, Ed
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2758 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Spalding, Vel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2759 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barber, Ginny
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2760 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bicking, J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2761 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Massari, Betsy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2762 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cagle, Jay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2763 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Travis, Ed
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2764 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stockton, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2765 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MacAlpine, Deirdre
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2766 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barrie, Dorothea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2767 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Apperson, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2768 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Reid, Charlotte
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2769 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meyer, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2770 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Daniel, Renee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2771 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Acksel, Leigh
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2772 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilburn, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2773 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Glover, Cortlandt
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2774 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2775 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Deutsch, Sara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2776 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferraro, Lou
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2777 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Varner-Sheaves, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2778 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Graham, Yonna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2779 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Borra, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2780 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McRae, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2781 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Chamberlain, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2782 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Godbey, Vivian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2783 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brandao, Angela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2784 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rush, Patty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2785 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Byrd, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2786 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kiely, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2787 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shrader, Brooke
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2788 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lehman, Orv
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mar 13, 2007
Mr. Mike Murray

Dear Mr. Murray,
 I visit the oBX every year when I attend the Wings Over Water festival.
 The park rangers seem to have their hands tied because the gov't leaders let ORVs run rampant. I guess it's for the sake of a few dollars.
 The birds and animals have been here for millions of years, the beach vehicles are nothing but a passing fancy.
 Think ahead and how will people in the future think of the officials who didn't act and keep these grown adults that act like little kids off the beach.
 There are a lot of activities that don't harm the beaches like wind surfing that they could be doing.

Correspondence ID: 2789 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Storrs, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,24,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Mar 13, 2007

Mr. Mike Murray
 Dear Mr. Murray,
 I recently saw a link to the sight at which this message originated, as a message for people to send to you all as a way to stop ORV access on Hatteras Island Nation as it is formally(not formerly) known. This automatic email message intended to be sent to you all that popped up from the link to email you guys really bugged me I of Wildlife" at this web site
https://secure2.convio.net/dow/site/Advocacy?pagename=homepage&page=UserAction&cid=669&autologin=true&s_einterest=C3C4&s_Affiliate=act_&JServSessi
 Included in my message is the original message they had written for others to send, however they have twisted their " facts" as you hopefully already realize...I will what the message should read with real factual information below their message which is in the sets of parenthesis ORIGINAL MESSAGE BELOW
 (((((((((((As a supporter of Defenders of Wildlife and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect these sensitive off-road vehicles (ORVs).
 For nearly 30 years, the National Park Service has not kept pace with the increasing ORV use in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These beaches are critical nest sea turtles and threatened piping plovers. They are also a vital habitat and food source for countless shorebirds.
 Virtually every other national seashore on the East Coast issues ORV permits, sets limits on times, places and numbers of ORVs. Most ban night driving, which seri turtles and shorebirds. And most of these areas protect suitable nesting habitat at the start of breeding season so birds and sea turtles can nest without disturbance.
 Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be no exception.
 Off-road vehicles simply don't belong on beaches with prime nesting habitat during the critical breeding season for wildlife that depends on these shores. No amount the protection needed during these periods.
 The National Park Service is obligated to protect places like the Cape Hatteras National Seashore so that they are "conserved...unimpaired...for the enjoyment of futu sensible, science-based regulations on ORV traffic, the Park Service can meet this mandate and Cape Hatteras -- and the wildlife that depends on its shores -- will be generations.
 Thank you for considering my comments.)))))

MY MESSAGE

As a supporter of people of Hatteras Island and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore RECREATION Area(correct name), I am writing to urge the National Park Ser of life of the year round inhabitants and visitors of Hatteras Island. The heritage and tradition of fishing, especially surf fishing, is being threatened along with the bir remember the (CHNSRA) was established around existing villages on the island, and these people ,many oif which id consider friends, and fighting what seems to be access to the beach. We have learned to deal with the large closures, and see it as common ground or a compromise of both views, and are more than willing to abide future, as long as there are plenty of other areas for access for ORV users.

For nearly 30 years, the National Park Service has not kept pace with the increasing ORV use in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These beaches are critical nest sea turtles and threatened piping plovers. That statement, atleast about teh plovers simply isn't true. CHNSRA is one of the if not the southernmost area for the breedin historically,before orv use was as popular as it is today, the numbers of breeding pairs on the island were extremely low,and if the species is to be kept around, press north wherenumbers of birds are much greaterand enclosures are often tiny,and not vast such as the multiple football field size closures on hatteras. They are also a v for countless shorebirds. Which has not been to greatly disturbed, closures in the Oregon Inlet Area, as well as the area of the hook and pea island are havens for bird feed along the shore of teh ocean are generally unbothered by people, and often come right up around surf anglers to feed.
 Virtually every other national seashore on the East Coast issues ORV permits, sets limits on times, places and numbers of ORVs. Most ban night driving, which seri turtles and shorebirds.What has a much greater effect on teh loss of turtles by many accounts in teh predators of teh island, such as predatory gulls,feral cats,racoons, seeking out and attempting to feed on the animals,while ORV users are attempting and doing their best to avoid hitting the animals. And most of these areas protect s start of breeding season so birds and sea turtles can nest without disturbance. Hatteras Island seems to do a great job with this, with huge beach closures that restrict t Off-road vehicles simply don't belong on beaches with prime nesting habitat during the critical breeding season for wildlife that depends on these shores.This is not t coexist as we have been for a long time, we are not out to intentionally hurt the animals.A compromise for the people who have enjoyed the National recreation Area animals in the answer.Full beach closure will only enrage inhabitants of teh island,people who visit teh island, and give a devastating blow to the Island's economy. I provide the protection needed during these periods.This is because of darwinism not ORV users, as long as there are predators, such as the gulls,sharks,cats, and other animals being eaten. Nature will take its course.

The National Park Service is obligated to protect places like the Cape Hatteras National Seashore so that they are "conserved...unimpaired...for the enjoyment of futu generations of today, who enjoy the unobstructed surfing,fishing,and other activities along teh beach of teh island. With sensible, science-based regulations on ORV meet this mandate and Cape Hatteras -- and the wildlife that depends on its shores -- will be protected for future generations, as well as the way of life for those who have been living there for longer than the CHNSRA has been in existence) and visit the island.

Thank you for considering my comments,please find common ground oth sides can agree upon.

Sincerely, Chris Storrs (Fishermen,CHNSRA visitor,ORV user,) Richmond, Virginia

Sincerely,

chris storrs 8702 Ashton Woods Ct Richmond, VA 23237-4701

Correspondence ID: 2790 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Geiger, Martha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2791 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bracken, Fay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2792 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Henderson, Paula
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray March 14 2007

I am writing to urge the Park Service to adopt measures for the management of off-road vehicles that will insure the health, safety, and future of wildlife- specifically endangered species of birds and turtles etc on Hatteras Island. Please fulfill the mandate of the Park Service to protect and preserve for future generations and to resist pressure by special interest groups. ORVs must not be allowed to put wildlife at risk or discourage the use of these delicate shorelines by nesting or migrating species--or pedestrians. I have visited the Cape Hatteras Seashore for years and recognize it as a precious asset that must be protected from those who value their reckless freedoms over less powerful species. Thank you and please count my request with others who have written to express their concern. We urge you and the Park Service to act as guardians of the land--our trust.

Sincerely,
Paula Henderson

Correspondence ID: 2793 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rosenfelder, Chris

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2794 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Walsh, Jennifer

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mar 14, 2007

Mr. Mike Murray

Dear Mr. Murray,

I respectfully request that the National Park Service pay attention to and protect sensitive beaches, like the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, from the negative effects off-road vehicles have on sea turtle nesting grounds and those of the piping plover. These species are endangered and threatened, respectively. The seashore is equally important as a habitat and food source for many other birds.

I ask that you apply to Cape Hatteras National Seashore the same or more stringent protections and restrictions applied to other East Coast national seashore areas.

Off-road vehicles simply don't belong on beaches with prime nesting habitat during the critical breeding season for wildlife that depends on these shores. No amount of monitoring will provide the protection needed during these periods.

The National Park Service is obligated to protect places like the Cape Hatteras National Seashore so that they are "conserved...unimpaired...for the enjoyment of future generations."

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Walsh 6321 Eagle Ridge Ln Unit B Alexandria, VA 22312-3973

Correspondence ID: 2795 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Benson, Barbara

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2796 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Ponte, Maya

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mar 14, 2007

Mr. Mike Murray

Dear Mr. Murray,

I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and the Assateague Island National Seashore from the impacts of off-road vehicles (ORVs).

ORVs are damaging both the environment and the ability of visitors, especially families with children, to enjoy the national seashores. ORVs are dangerous to children playing on the beach. I am also very worried about the long term effects the use of ORVs are having on wildlife, and I urge you to restrict all usage of ORVs on these national treasures, especially during critical nesting seasons. I would recommend that ORV usage be restricted and phased out over time.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maya Ponte 1446 Rhode Island St San Francisco, CA 94107-3249

Correspondence ID: 2797 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Carter, Dan

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Apr,25,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Mar 14, 2007

Mr. Mike Murray

Dear Mr. Murray,

I believe in protecting our environment, which certainly includes the beaches of Hatteras. I do NOT however enjoy rights and pleasures being constantly sacrificed every time someone takes up a cause they believe to be essential.

Studies will need to be done, and decisions will need to be made about what beaches are the most important to the wildlife and sea life that depend on them. Sanctuaries can certainly be created for essential breeding grounds. But lets not get carried away with an overall change in what will and will no longer be acceptable about driving on most of Hatteras's beaches.

I love driving the beaches of Hatteras. It is the only reason my friends and I vacation there. Don't destroy your local economy.

D. Carter

Sincerely,
Dan Carter PO Box 64454 Virginia Beach, VA 23467-4454

Correspondence ID:	2798	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Bell, Stacey				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	<p>It is a very important ethical issue to install protection for your beach from Beach driving.</p> <p>The federal government (fish & wildlife) along with you (national park service) should have a incidental take permit WITH a implemented habitat conservation plan, to protect all species & the habitat along your beautiful shoreline.</p> <p>Some easy things to implement under your Habitat Conservation plan could be to stop driving at sunset, make a "conservation zone" (30 ft from the top of all dunes) where people can't drive, and mark clearly all sea turtle nests, so they don't get run over.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2799	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hanner, Don				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>As a frequent user of Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore, I would like to thank you for the many improvements in the way the park is being run since Mike Murray has become Superintendent.</p> <p>I've been fishing Hatteras beaches for over 30 years and for all but a few of those years park service was a friendly and well respected group. Mr. Murray has certainly brought back that feeling.</p> <p>At 54 years old, I still make 6-8 week long trips a year to the park. These trips started over 30 years ago when I first truly discovered the uniqueness of the Hatteras Seashore. For that reason, I fully support all efforts to keep Cape Hatteras National Recreational Seashore open to Off-Road Vehicles. In the 30+ years I have been using the park I have witnessed no damage due to ORV usage.</p> <p>Please do not fold to the pressures of so called environmental groups that have distorted views of ORV usage at the park.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2800	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Oates, Tracey				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Night-Driving should be allowed to continue without restriction. Fireworks- should not be allowed during the nesting season. Turtle research- need more research to determine why a particular gender ratio is low. Need to educate ORV user threw classes, video, posted signs. Post speed limit on beach most ORV users do not known the speed limit. I am opposed to permits or fees to drive on beach. I am also opposed to limiting the # of ORV's allowed on beach. I strongly agree with the neg reg and hope it gets started ASAP. I am for REASONABLE beach closures around nesting birds and turtles.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2801	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Barcikowski, Tanya				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,07,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>Though I have never visted the Atlantic coast as far south as the Outer Banks of the Carolinas, I was distressed to read about off-road vehicles and their lobby causing the nesting failure of terns, plovers, black skimmers and sea turtles, particularly at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.</p> <p>I would like to know what under the law allows these power-obsessed big-boys and their pet trucks to use protected bird and turtle habitat within the National Park system for their thrills. Under the National Park Service Act which guides management of the national seashores, aren't these public lands and all their wild fauna and flora to be protected unimpaired for future generations? So then why are the muscle-car people allowed to impair them? Is there a lack of means to enforce the law? Are there getting to be too many visitors in proportion to the number of law enforcement personnel? I would be interested to know what the general public that cherished all America's diverse wildlife has been most successful in doing to deny the ORV brats their assumed right to pillage, since this is a problem occurring in more places than Cape Hatteras.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2802	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Peterson, Glen				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>Hello, It is always a special pleasure to be able to visit the beaches of NC and especially the area below Waves Rodanthe and Salvo as well as the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. It is one of the few opportunities to spend time with nature, the ocean and good friend. Fishing, Walking, seeing the seashore birds, and just how nature impacts the seashore is always refreshing and renewing. It has been a part of my family's life for many years. It would be incredibly sad if my grandchildren can't experience the same sights sounds smells or the area. It would be difficult to access this area without the aide of 4 wheel drive vehicles. I have a number of friends who use these areas and all are very responsible in complying with the regulations that apply to the area.</p> <p>I thank you and the NPS service for helping to assure that this great area remains open to the public and to our children and grandchildren.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2803	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Anderson, Bradley				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,24,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>According to some of the information I received, violations of federal laws such as the Endangered Species Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act are also evident and documented.</p> <p>The areas of the beach that have nesting birds such as piping plovers or least terns obviously need to be closed to vehicle traffic. Areas of open beaches that have nest areas roped off need the proper buffer so the birds won't abandon nests or young. These rules need to be consistently enforced.</p> <p>Violation are the result of a few bad apples and not the ORV crowd in general. These folks need to be ticketed or arrested as an example to everyone.</p> <p>In Warren Dunes State Park rangers have authority to ticket and arrest violators on the spot. They patrol the beaches and trails on ATV's which allows them to cover the 1700 acre park on a regular basis. The rangers carry a pad of tickets and a side-arm and generally no one challenges their authority. A similar approach should work along Cape Hatteras.</p> <p>Volunteer nest watchers have worked in many areas. A group of volunteers from the local area might help the nesting success of some of these rare</p>				

birds. Aslo, a regular beach clean-up might deter some of the predators such as raccoons

Correspondence ID:	2804	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Ruckdeschel, C				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	The National Park Service should protect and preserve the ocean beach under their control for ALL Americans.. not maintain them for vehicle use. We entrust special areas to NPS control with the idea that the flora and fauna will be protected from "development" and thus available for future generations to enjoy. By allowing motorized vehicle use on the beach, the ecology of the area is totally modified and the NPS is NOT fulfilling its Obligation to the people.				
Correspondence ID:	2805	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Fastigi, Marina				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	Please stop allowing cars to circulate on the beaches. Tuttle eggs will not hatch when a car pass on top of the nests. Keep beaches for the natural users; sea turtles, crustaceans (eaten by birds) and humans (ecofriendly users)				
Correspondence ID:	2806	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Van Steenberger, Bernice				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,04,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	On reading the story of the Beach Bums in the January Audubon issue I'm terribly disturbed over the destruction. These ORV's are destroying the Cape Hatteras Seashore and the birds trying to nest there.				
Correspondence ID:	2807	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Arthur, Courtney				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	I participated in a conservation internship at Cape Hatteras in 2004, working with the NPS to promote sea turtle conservation. I am sad to fill out yet another comment form, calling for the same policy that has not yet been enacted; serious curbing of beach driving, including a complete moratorium during critical times of the year (eg shorebird migration / hatching, sea turtle nesting seasons). As someone with a serious interest in marine biology and conservation, I am shocked that there has been a complete lack of progress in developing a comprehensive ORV plan that takes into account the harm beach driving does to flora and fauna of the beach. From a biological standpoint, driving disturbs important shorebirds and sea turtles; from a toxicological standpoint, it is poisoning land that was set aside for preservation so that it could be enjoyed by society for years to come. I cannot stress these concerns enough, and hope to see serious forward movement in addressing beach driving at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.				
Correspondence ID:	2808	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hoyle, Meg				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	I support a ban on beach driving.				
Correspondence ID:	2809	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Marlin, Anita				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,24,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I was shocked to read the article in the January-February 2007 edition of Audubon magazine that brought to light the war being waged against the indigenous bird species along Cape Hatteras. There are a couple of things you could do immediately: Impose stiff fines for littering Employ undercover Fish and Wildlife Service agents to bust the worst offenders Put up fences to protect the nesting birds: fine anyone who disrespects the signs Prohibit the operation of ORVs on public beaches.				
Correspondence ID:	2810	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Walker, Tara				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,23,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I am writing from far away in Alaska because of an article in Audubon magazine about the wars going on between obstinate motorheads and the Park Service in your state on Hatteras Island. I am greatly concerned that birds nesting on your beaches be protected from Orvs. Oystercatchers and plovers are threatened as well as sea turtles. These species are in need of protection so they can be enjoyed by future generations. Please continue to favor protection of natural resources over recreation.				
Correspondence ID:	2811	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Gierhart, Gwendolyn				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	Beach driving should be limited within the National park to preserve the following natural resources that are "owned" by all Americans; 1) Sea turtle nesting habitat and times (at least May through October) 2) Rare shorebird nesting habitat - both colonial and solitary nesters (spring through fall) 3) Overwintering habitat for rare and migratory shorebirds and seabirds (late summer, all winter, through late spring) 4) Dune vegetation and all rare dune plants. Essentially beach driving and species conservation and biodiversity cannot co-exist. The National Park Service must place the priority on species and				

habitat conservation and NOT human recreation.

Correspondence ID:	2812	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Henry, Vernon J				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	Public driving on the beach should not be allowed. However, public access to the beach at appropriate locations should be provided.				
Correspondence ID:	2813	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Seamon, Cyndi				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	Please close your beaches off to driving. If not able to close them all day & evening during the turtle season, then at least the evenings. Educate locals to why driving harms the sand for both nesting femals & hatching.				
Correspondence ID:	2814	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Kohlman, Pat				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	We respect these beaches! We clean up these Beaches! We obey the signs posted on these Beaches! We should be allowed to continue to drive on the Beaches! After all, it was designated in 1940 as a National Seashore Recreational Area.				
Correspondence ID:	2815	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Amick, David				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	1) Traffic count must be done and cover the entire year and for each area 2) That traffic count should identify if there is a problem, when and where. Then the problem periods and locations can be considered for assessment of damage. 3) Commercial fishing / netting is a big problem. Fix it! Change, or should I say, identify changes to be made by whom and get it started! 4) Please keep in mind that this ia a recreational are for people - not a tree huggers target. 5) Keep in mind that doing nothing more than now is an alternative. And maybe a good one!				
Correspondence ID:	2816	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Alderson, George & Frances				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	We want to help the National Park Service reclaim the wild beach for the benefit of park visitors and the wildlife that use the area.				
Correspondence ID:	2817	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Smith, Therese E				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,21,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	That rules and regulations are necessary is obvious expecially for the management and preservation of the natural environment and to guarantee the eco-system for wildlife. Birds in particular as Hatteras is a "hot spot" for birders. ORVers need to understand this in the light of a balanced efforts to please all.				
Correspondence ID:	2818	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hardham, Dee				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,21,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I've been told that the park is preparing to attempt a study/ count of nighttime ORV use of the beaches. At sunset there is a near frantic evacuation of the ORV-use beaches. Few visitors are at easee with the darkness of a beach. The actual nighttime numbers of ORV users is low, even in the most popular areas of the Point. Counters to be used must include a time recorder. Without a recorded time factor any data will be scientifically inaccurate to relate ORV use during darkness. (Which is when most turtle activity occurs) Clock timers will allow an accurate count by taking numbers from one hour after sunset to one hour before sunrise.				
Correspondence ID:	2819	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Sommer, Sebastian C				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,26,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I would like to go on record as favoring controls that are weighted toward preservation and conservation, as opposed to recreation. I believe that it would be politically unfeasable to prohibit beach-driving totally, but it can and should be controlled for conservation measures. The Outer Banks are more fully developed now than anyone would have dreamed possible in the early years of the National Seashore and there are simply too many vehicles now.				
Correspondence ID:	2820	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Jegorck, Jack				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Feb,27,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				

Correspondence: I note with sorrow the recent diminishing of the water bird nesting at Cape Hatteras due to the predation and human disturbances. It is my belief that the beach can be successfully shared by fishers, swimmers, ORV folks and the birds. It is all our responsibility to care for this precious resource by doing our recreation in a way the allows the birds to accomplish their nesting successfully.

Correspondence ID: 2821 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frame, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I have fished for many years here but the last 5 or so the beach traffic is at a dangerous level. It is time to regulate it in some way. I suggest restricting traffic to within a few miles of a ramp and beach driving permits for fishermen only.

Correspondence ID: 2822 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blum, Joseph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am a homeowner in Waves to which I plan to semi-retire shortly with the anticipation of being able to surf-fish with ORV access essential to that goal. Not only would closing the beaches to ORV access devastate the local economy on Hatteras Island but any move in that direction would be a personal tragedy to those of us who have worked hard and paid our taxes all our lives so that one day we could live here. My vote and that of my wife is for reasonable open ORV access.

Correspondence ID: 2823 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Browning, Becky
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Here is what I would like to see:
Permanent sections of the beach exclusively open to pedestrians only
Limits on the number of ORVs that can be on various sections of the beach per day. This number should be based on the time of year. Fewer during bird and turtle nesting season, more in the fall fishing season.
Fees for ORVs. Either a year round permit, or a week permit. These fees could help pay for personnal to enforce the number of ORVs on the beach; and maybe pay for more enforcement to keep an eye on badly behaving tourists.

Correspondence ID: 2824 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knickmeyer, Mary Lee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,25,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I have eleven gradnchildren and I sincerely hope you will help protect beach nesting birds so that they may enjoy viewing them in their lifetime.

Correspondence ID: 2825 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hayes, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Comment requests:
Pea Island - Rescind the night-time permit requirement for after dark use of Pea Island beaches. Return vehicle use to all of Pea Island. Create numerous parking facilities and walkways to the beach for fishing and beach using visitors to all the northern sections of Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area, and provide portable toilet facilities.
Rodanthe and southern areas of Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area - Maintain serviceable beach vehicle access, and vehicle parking for walking access to all the beaches. Maintain at all times serviceable vehicle access, and vehicle access to Cape Point or Hatteras Inlet for recreational use.
Usher the Piping Plovers away from the heavily used beach areas to safer nesting areas where pedal predators are less of an issue.

Correspondence ID: 2826 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nelson, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Beach driving is one reason I moved here. Do not take that away.

Correspondence ID: 2827 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hardham, Dee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Contact all US Eastern Coast Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries to learn the full truth of numbers of nesting shore birds/sea birds. The statement of number of shorebirds nesting here continuing to decline each year is only a small part of the true picture, which is propaganda at its best. If numbers are decreasing here are they decreasing anywhere else? If numbers are decreasing everywhere then the problem lies in the migratory routes and wintering grounds not the problem of this park alone.
Scientific reports need to be factual and truthful with no emotional bias or skewing of facts. If the park personnel is not doing a good job of something be truthful and ask for advice for improvement.
Ramp parking near villages needs to be doubles in size and toilets added. As numbers of houses increase we have no access in villages and very little near villages, thus forcing many visitors to drive onto the beaches for access.
We also need handicap access for the elderly and disabled.
Operating such a large park on less than a skeleton crew has led to little or no enforcement on fires, fireworks, dogs, etc. We need enough staff to handle the mileage and number of visitors.
The Interim Plan has good and reasonable guidelines but clearing vegetation behind wide beach areas could lessen predator cover and mare areas more

acceptable to birds who dislike vegetation.

The losses of sea turtles are a result of drowning of eggs and hatchlings which needs attention and correction. Sea Turtles are species at risk and threatened as much as birds are.

Correspondence ID: 2828 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buchanan, Lyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am concerned about the impact of ORV traffic on the environment including wildlife that inhabits this critical environment in which we live. It would be great if human's actions and numbers did not have an effect on our environment however, the fact is that we do. Therefore, I feel restrictions in ORV traffic on the beach is a must. I am for strong restrictions still allowing fishermen access to fishing. I do not agree with the "beachers" - people out for the day to sun and swim - need to take their cars on the beach. Most places in the panhandle of FL and AL have never allowed beach traffic and people "walk" to the beach. I also feel that out of town fishermen should buy a permit that cost \$100 or so to eliminate traffic of non serious fishermen. Locals here understand what is happening to our environment. The plight of fisheries is an example that demonstrates the need for regulation.

Correspondence ID: 2829 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meador Jr., H.B.
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Over 56 years of enjoyment the ability to roam beaches, off-road or just by walking over dunes, has been, little by little, discouraged due to areas being blocked off or flooded. The Park Service, it appears, has done more to discourage use of this area than it has done to provide what was intended when it was created - provide the area as one for recreation.
I believe it is time for the Service to improve and provide additional access to the beach, provide portable toilets and dumpsters for those who, in addition to enjoying the area, spend a considerable amount of money just getting to the area, not counting the amounts spent while there.
I do not believe fees for off-road vehicles should be adopted for use of what belongs to the public and was used by horse-drawn vehicles prior to the Park Service's authority over the area was established.
I understand there is some consideration for seasonal closures. I am opposed to this, because the closures initially would probably be for a short time, but history teaches us that similar processes tend to become longer and more involved. Example - the plover controversy.
I would like to see more Park Service Rangers on duty at the Seashore. In the last few years, I do not believe I have seen more than one ranger in seven days of fishing and this one was riding a four-wheeler on Pea Island.

Correspondence ID: 2830 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schmotzer, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I was appaoloed to learn recently that off-road vehicles are not regulated on the seashore. I find this hard to believe, as it is well known how readily they destroy the habitat of ground-nesting birds and other wildlife.
Well aware of the NPS mandate to 'preserve unimpaired for future generations' I am adamantly opposed to even allowing ATVs and similar vehicles off the road at all.
Please help us to protect our parks. Please keep vehicles on the road and out of the backcountry.

Correspondence ID: 2831 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sullivan, Ruthmary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Twelve years ago my husband and I explored the National Seashore on foot and were amazed that we often had to hump aside because the noisy, smelly ORVs were using the beautiful beaches as a race track. Eggs, fledgelings and beautiful shells were crushed! Please stop them.

Correspondence ID: 2832 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zacharias, Walter
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I dearly cherish my right to conscientiously use the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. as set forth by those who established our park systems. I stick to the ORV trails, stay off the dunes, and away from the protected areas, and drive sanely. And I respect the space of other fishermen occupy and try to fish in a responsible manner. I want to preserve my right to future access to the beach and to support the local business's that cater to sportsmen.

Correspondence ID: 2833 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lyman, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I write to urge you to locally take and national support all possible measure to significantly limit or deny ORV access to vulnerable parts of Cape Hatteras so as to protect the various species there, most especially the nesting bird populations.
As you know, human activity is one of the most disruptive activities that trouble bird nesting and ultimately lead to declining populations. I have been disturbed to read about the damage that ORV activity has had in your National Seashore. The National Seashore should, among other uses, be at least a modest refuge for critical bird species.

Correspondence ID: 2834 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Dan F
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: 1) Develop a community watch system with park users to help the park rangers with more eyes on the beach to spot violations occurring. Also need a

0000868

ranger on duty late at night especially on weekend and big holidays. 2) Need dumpsters at all access ramps for beachgoers use. 3) Need a fish cleaning area at oregon inlet bridge and at or near the ferry docks at Hatteras and north end of ocracoke, also near nps campground at ocracoke. Visitors to the park need a place to clean their catch daily in order to keep marine fisheries officers happy and off our backs. 4) Need to restore the pole road at Hatteras to its pre-hurricane condition to give an alternative route to the inlet, in case there is a bird or turtle closure somewhere along the beach. 5) Need some porta-johns along the beach strands for beach users. It would cut down on a lot of traveling in and out of the access ramps by folks not having to go to the bathroom. They could be placed on stages with steps to prevent high water getting to them during storms. 6) Open full access to all beaches during fall and winter months after the birds and turtles have moved on. 7) You probably would never guess how much revenue you park generated not just for the local economy but also on a national level from all the 4x4 truck sales to fishing equipment, surf boards, groceries to carry there, and even clothes to wear there. Please keep our little piece of heaven open for us. We work very hard everyday and look forward to our time there.

Correspondence ID: 2835 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,22,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: There is something just plain wrong about letting yahoos drive willy-nilly along the surf, endangering not only the people there but also the wildlife as well. This activity must be banned, just as snowmobiles have been banned from out more sensitive winter areas. The vast majority of the public is against letting them continue to ruin our seashores.

Correspondence ID: 2836 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Redmore, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: The Outer Banks seem so threatened from every side, and as you can image I was horrified at the article in the January/February issue of Audubon. Most people don't intend to harm but simply don't realize that they have been walking right over nests; most, I am sure, would be quite amenable to sensible restrictions during nesting periods. However the attitudes and actions of some of the ORV drivers, of which, as I said, we saw some evidence when we were there, are simply inexcusable. Such utter ignorance and disregard for life should most certainly not be catered to.

Correspondence ID: 2837 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Musial, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am writing this letter basically to voice my opinion that open beaches are what make the CHNS the unique place it is today. I spend 40 days a year on the outer banks fishing and camping. In those 40 days I spend a lot of money in many businesses including renting houses for 2 weeks a year, campground fees, bait shops, restaurants etc. Without open beaches my inclination to drive to Cape Hatteras as often as I do isn't there.

Correspondence ID: 2838 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bailey, Emalou
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: You, being one in charge, should be working diligently to protect the wildlife from uncaring and very selfish individuals. Please put the fences back up to protect the nesting grounds when appropriate and or post signs and ticket people who disregard them.

Correspondence ID: 2839 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collins, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Open up poll road to Hatteras Inlet to its previous condition prior to 2003. Abide by the 1978 model. Enforce existing NPS rules which prohibit dogs, trash, fireworks, etc. Re-open all beach access and establish former ramps.

Correspondence ID: 2840 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gramstedt, Alfred
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I have been very disturbed to learn of the failure of various federal agencies to protect wildlife, especially nesting birds on Hatteras Island. The fear of physical intimidation is warranted, given recent history. Protection of those carrying out their duties (and for their families as well) is necessary. Cape Hatteras is a National Seashore. It, and its wildlife belongs to all american, for the present and future generations. It is not the property of ORV owners. Please do all in your power to ensure that it remains a protected national seashore.

Correspondence ID: 2841 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knoll, Wade and Suzie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I recently read an article by in Audubon magazine detailing the current state of affairs in the beaches located around North Carolina's Outer Banks and I wanted to express to you my deep concern for the nesting birds that call this area home. As a life long resident of a shoreline area, I am acutely aware of needs for many shorebirds and their nesting habits. I understand that ORV's also have a legal access to the beach in the Outer Banks but I am asking you to do everything you can to protect these birds from the illegal and invasive practices of many ORV drivers. Compromise must be a possibility at some level and I implore you to make sure that the nesting birds and their champions are given ample space and cooperation. My wife and I are both avid birders and we have heard about the rich birdlife of North Carolina on multiple occasions. We would love to come to your state to bird watch, but hearing reports like the article in Audubon make this trip unlikely. We would prefer to spend our tourist dollars in places that value the originating principles of our National Park System, the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and the General Authorities Act. Undoubtedly, there are thousands of others like us and this could represent a large portion of your state's future tourist revenue. Again, Mr. Murray, please do whatever you can to protect the precious natural resources of breeding shorebirds on your area.

Correspondence ID:	2842	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, N/A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,09,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>Because we have heard about the numerous ORV violations and continued trespass of Cape Hatteras National Seashore, we have postponed our trip until the National Park Service follows its mandate and protects the valuable shorebird resource.</p> <p>We do not understand why all habitat important to shorebird nesting, feeding, breeding, and recovery is not protected and withdrawn from any ORV use. We also cannot fathom why the Park Service has failed to adequately protect known sites of the piping plover, and other threatened birds. Issues like the Bridge to Poe Island, fencing and allowing ORV use in the Cape Hatteras Seashore area are just some of the concerns we have over how this special area has been managed. We can find no rationale reason for allowing ORV use within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore area, especially given the populations of rare shorebirds that this area provides vital habitat for. Until management addresses these concerns and takes immediate steps to protect all biodiversity within the National Seashore area, we will not visit or encourage others to do so.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2843	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Vollmerhausen, Anne L				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,06,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>I am writing to express my concern for beach-nesting birds within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and request the National Park Service implement proven and science-based protection measures to protect these birds.</p> <p>The seven species of waterbirds and shorebirds that nest in this national seashore have suffered devastating population declines over the past 10 years and their survival on their historic nesting sites is doubtful unless action is taken to protect them. Protection of nesting habitat does not require vehicles to be banned from the Seashore's beaches. It simply requires that proven and science-based protection measures are implemented to keep recreation activity a safe distance from nesting sites and habitats where chicks are present.</p> <p>Please implement protection measures this year to prevent chicks from being crushed, separated from parents, and otherwise subjected to cruel and/or thoughtless human activity. These shorebirds have nowhere else to rest. It is up to your agency to help protect them for future generations.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2844	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Davis, Al				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,06,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	<p>During all this time on the beach we occasionally see bad elements. When we get on the pavement, however, we see a much higher ratio of "bad elements".</p> <p>My recommendation is to enforce existing driving/behaving laws on the beach. Use a permit to generate revenues if necessary. The Coast Guard is very serious about enforcing laws on the water - let's use that example on the beach. The bad elements will decrease dramatically.</p> <p>The Cape Lookout studies 2005 Piping plover monitoring tells us that nature is our main concern regarding birds!</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2845	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Allen, Glen B				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,07,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	<p>Surf-fishing and beach activities is an important part of our Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore Park.</p> <p>I urge that negotiated rulemaking be utilized to determine ORV regulations and rules.</p> <p>Reasonable ORV access is needed w/ reasonable restrictions to protect plants and animals in the park. To deny all ORV access is to deny many people access to the parks resources.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2846	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Reasor, Leon				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,07,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>I know whatever plan for Beach Driving you come up with, not all will be satisfied.</p> <p>My concern is, we who work on the Island. (If limited cars on Beach) we will never get on the Beach in the summer.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2847	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Caylor, Jinx				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,07,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	<p>I attended that ORV meeting in Buxton and not one person mentioned the significant contribution to beach erosion caused by ORVs, plus the irreparable damage to our precious dunes. The zoning system sounds good to me. If changes are beneficial to our island people will adapt.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2848	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Maxwell, CR				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,07,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>I was shocked when I got home and read an article about the ORV carnage on the nesting birds. The dead federally endangered least tern chick with the tire track on his body was sad. What compounded all this was that your Mission Statement clearly is on the side of the birds and NOT the ORV crowd.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2849	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hendrikson, Roger A				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,14,2007 00:00:00				

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: 1) Turtle hatchery program: retrieve eggs, hatch, release. This obviously will reduce predation 2) Enlarge nesting areas for bird nesting by mechanical means i.e. disk & harrow 3) 2' mesh fence to keep chicks from wandering 4) Intensive predator control: Haphassard attempts will not be successful. A trapper should be engaged and predators reduced to low numbers (forget the ghost crabs)
ORV use on the beaches is the only common sense public use. Of course, with common sense regulations.

Correspondence ID: 2850 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Strasse, Voni

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: As someone who has visited and treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Special species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses. During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it would be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development.. for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna. "Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values. In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore please give special priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.

Correspondence ID: 2851 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Schlotter, Peter

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: I am writing to you to let you know that we quite often visit the "Outer Banks" to enjoy the fishing and being able to drive on the beaches. It would be a shame if the beaches were closed to ORV's reducing our enjoyment of the Outer Banks, by coming down we also support the local town while there.

Correspondence ID: 2852 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Brown, Louise

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: As a concerned birder and nature lover, and a member of the Piedmont Bird Club I want to show my support for whatever measures are necessary to protect beach-nesting birds from disturbing recreational activities (ORVs in particular) at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Correspondence ID: 2853 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Jones, L. Melinda

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: We expect the National Park Service to manage the CHNS in a way that protects this treasure for this and future generations. The NPS should prepare a management plan for the CHNS that manages people and vehicles to protect the birds and their nesting and rearing habitats, other wildlife, and the overall ecosystem of the Seashore. The plan should specifically address the young and adult Piping Plovers and the American Oystercatchers. There is a substantial base of knowledge that can and should be used in establishing a science-based management plan. The first component of the management plan should identify the actions necessary to protect the ecosystem and the wildlife. Another component should then be developed that addresses human uses that are consistent with protecting wildlife and the ecosystem. We find off-road vehicles to be loud, intrusive, and sometimes driven at excessive speeds. The management plan should address their use and the impacts there of on the ecosystem wildlife and other humans user of the Seashore. The plan must include monitoring and enforcement of any controls implemented through the plan.

Correspondence ID: 2854 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Bunbough, Earl

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: When I come to the CHNSRA I stay in Ocracoke, NC. I value the ability to access beaches, legally and responsibly, in my ORV. I am very concerned that various elitist groups want to stop the use of ORVs on the beach. I would find it very inconvenient, if not impossible, to get my gear to South Point without the use of a vehicle. If access to the beaches by ORVs is stopped, my visits to the CHNSRA would also stop. When I visit I rent a house for a week, eat at various restaurant, do some shopping, visit the local "bait and tackle store", use gasoline, and enjoy the sights. I feel that my visits can only help the local economy.

Correspondence ID: 2855 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cowan, Charlotte

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Park Form

Correspondence: Please consider perching opportunities for avian predators when posting near a shorebird nesting colony. Monomoy NWR, Chatham MA alleviated a Great Horned Owl problem by switching to a triangle sign for postings. If investing in more signs it might be more cost effective to request signs in that shape up front to prevent potential avian predators a perching opportunity.

Correspondence ID: 2856 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Davis, Robert B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Park Form

Correspondence: Soundscape: Normal vehicle operation does not cause a problem we already have gunfire from Buxton range, aircraft military and private. Idling engines and loud music are annoying. Night Driving: There is no factual data to substantiate a ban on night driving. Brief appearance of headlights has

minimal impact on beach compared to unregulated village and pier lighting. Beach front "cottages" are ablaze with lights and much of the turtle hatchling "light" problem would be eliminated by use of a tight key hole configuration of black plastic screening. This would also help to control ghost crab predation.

Correspondence ID:	2857	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hanchey, Terry				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,16,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I am a concern citizen with Progress Energy in response to the "Beach Access Cause" I spend 3 weeks of by vacation at Buxton, N.C. each year at Buxton, N.C. because of the beach access. I will be retiring next year and me and my friends will spend a lot more time. It is very important to me that the beach is accessible. In stead of keeping people off the beach, more time and money should be spent on ramps and safety. The outer banks have become a great part of recreation, fishing, etc, in N.C. and it makes no sense to stop beach access because of a few. I highly support "Beach Access"				
Correspondence ID:	2858	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Jones, Carolyn U				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,16,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Park Form				
Correspondence:	I prefer a CAR-FREE beach for the following reasons; 1) Don't have to worry about child being run-over. 2) Peace, Quiet, Privacy, Nature. Cars on the beach totally changes the tranquil, natural aspects of the beach. 3) Ruts and erosion 4) Wildlife protection. In my ideal world there would be no cars on the beach whatsoever! (Except park rangers and handicapped) But I realise the need to share. Please let some long (5 mile+) sections of beach on Ocracoke be Pedestrian Only! And not just section N of pony pen that have little dune and you can see the cars and trucks whizzing by on Hwy 12.				
Correspondence ID:	2859	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Meeker, Leonard				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,16,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I well understand how many complications and interests are involved in the preparation of regulations for ORV use in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. At this point I should like to make just one suggestions regarding the ocean beach in Ocracoke: it would be much appreciated by swimmers and others on foot to have one stretch of beach free of motor vehicles throughout the year - the area from the airport ramp northeast to the camp-ground suggests itself. This area is vehicle-free from Memorial Day to Labor Day. My suggestion is to keep it that way all year. This is only a small part of the ocean beach on Ocracoke and would leave most of the beach open for driving, subject to whatever additional restrictions might be needed from time to time for the protection of bird and turtle nests.				
Correspondence ID:	2860	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Merjian, Nazen				
Outside Organization:	Voices for Animals Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,16,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	Cape Hatteras National Seashore is home to special sites and 400 species of birds and turtles. Remarkably, driving off-road vehicles on the beach not only thrives there, it is virtually unregulated. This results in numerous bird and sea turtle fatalities, nesting attempts by those birds and sea turtles are aborted, eggs are crushed and 90% of visitors to the Seashore find the beach monopolized by the off-road vehicles. The Seashore is worth protecting and we ask that you banish off-road vehicles from there once and for all. The situation must be turned around in favor of the precious wildlife that inhabits Cape Hatteras. At the very least, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles during the critical nesting periods for birds and turtles to ensure that the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harms way. Some of the species are listed as "endangered" by the Endangered Species Act and the off-road vehicles adversely affects the natural, aesthetic and scenic value of the CHNS.				
Correspondence ID:	2861	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Roland, Raymii				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	I read the article in the January issue of Audubon Magazine about ORV's on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It's funny, but I must have missed something. Apparently National Seashores are now National Highways! I am appalled at the photos of ORVs on the beach. The beaches of this country belong to all of us and should be maintained in a natural and serene state. They have been a place for many of us to get away from the stresses and noise of the cities- to nature and quiet. ORV's should be driven on roads, or by farmers, or for legitimate business reasons off road- never on our beaches or national parks. Just because they've been doing it for X years, does not mean they should be allowed to continue I am happy to pay more taxes for enforcement for preserving out wildlife, if necessary. Wiping out the Plovers on our beaches is not about wiping out one species (which, to me, is bad enough). It is an indicator of the decline of a whole ecosystem in the dunes that is not apparent or appreciated by the uneducated drivers of ORV's.				
Correspondence ID:	2862	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Flack, Lisa				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,14,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation. Specifically: - Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses - During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development... for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna." Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system... only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values." In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection.				

Correspondence ID:	2863	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Jones, Jack				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,08,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>Over the years we have owned two 4 wheel drive trucks for the opportunities provided by driving on the beach where swimming, fishing, relaxing, and building a fire in the evening can all be apart of our time at the Outer Banks. I want to state that the main reason we headed to this destination was the ability to drive on the beach and set up our day long "beach experience".</p> <p>The Cape Hatteras National Seashore has been the opportunity for my wife, who suffers from physical limitations requiring her to ride rather than walk to the special location on the beach we will spend the day, to enjoy her time on the sand. We do not want to see our beach driving opportunities terminated. We are both mindful and careful of the wildlife that dwell there and they should be protected but so should our rights as citizens. I am happy to tell you that last summer I retired and my wife and I now live here full time at our new home in Manteo. If our beach driving were greatly restricted we would not be calling the Outer Banks home now nor would we have been coming here and investing in this community over the years. Please, Superintendent Murray, keep the beaches open to off-road driving so we can continue to enjoy this national treasure.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2864	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Neish, Laure W				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,06,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>I recently read Audubon magazine's article entitled "Beach Bums" highlighting the lack of protection for nesting shorebirds along North Carolina's Outer Banks. The management, or rather mis-management, of several threatened species that depend on this critical habitat is truly appalling. The lack of enforcement of the ESA and Migratory Bird Treaty Act by federal agencies who have a mandate to protect natural resources is extremely disappointing and puzzling. It sounds like open season on Piping Plovers, Least Terns and American Oystercatchers courtesy of the state's ORV lobby. 2006 showed miserble stats for Cape Hatteras' National Seashore. There was only one successful piping Plover pair, Oystercatcher pairs had dropped by 50% in a decade, and not one Gull-billed Tern chick was hatched. That is abysmal productivity for a 70 mile long barrier beach!</p> <p>Free access demands of ORV enthusiasts are based on purely selfish motives. It is not a National Parks mandate to allow a good time for a few in vehicles when it stomps on the reproductive success of threatened species within the park ecosystem. It is well past time to start implementing management strategies that have worked for Cape Cod Seashore's wildlife in Hatteras. Critical areas in a few locations should be cordoned off with adequate buffer zones and regular patrols should be tough on enforcement. An ORV management plan should move forward as quickly as possible. The management plan should not be based on which stakeholders can shout the loudest.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	2865	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hudson, Mark				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	May,01,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:					
Correspondence:					
Correspondence ID:	2866	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hudson, Lara				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	May,01,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:					
Correspondence:					
Correspondence ID:	2867	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Pott, Caroline				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	May,01,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:					
Correspondence:					
Correspondence ID:	2868	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Alderson, George & Frances				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>We believe NPS has an obligation under Executive Orders 11644 and 11989 to designate areas that are closed to off-road vehicles in Cape Hatteras National Seashore.</p> <p>I had looked forward to seeing the wild beaches and the birds and the waves, but I soon discovered the whole beach was open to ORVs except a few small areas that were reserved for ocean swimming. I could not enjoy the beach because the ORVs were roaring up and down the island. I have never returned, because Cape Hatteras was not a place to seek an experience with nature, and I would not recommend it to anybody else unless larger parts of the beach are closed to ORVs.</p> <p>You have 53 beach miles, but only 4 miles are closed seasonally for bird protection. Where do you send visitors who want to talk on the beach and enjoy that spectacular coastline without the roar of vehicles?</p> <p>To protect wildlife and restore the wild character of Cape Hatteras National Seashore, we urge you to consider the following in the plan and EIS; Closures - Major parts of the beach should be closed to ORVs year round. The EIS should look at a range of alternatives in which different proportions of beach mileage are closed to ORVs. Both year-round and seasonal closures should be considered. Seasonal closures for bird nesting periods are not the complete answer, because those do not provide quiet, wild beaches in other seasons of the year where visitors can go without fear of ORV traffic. Regulations- Strict regulation like that used at Assateague Island National Seashore should be used at Hatteras, with limits on the number of vehicles on the beach at any time, permits issued by NPS, and a strict designation of areas open and closed to vehicles. At Assateague, approximately half the beach mileage is closed to vehicles, which arguably strikes a reasonable balance.</p> <p>Wildlife Protection - NPS should exclude ORVs from all the lands used for nesting by protected species or historically used before the species retreated to smaller territory because of ORV impacts. Areas used by chicks before they attain flight capability should be protected from ORVs. Key roosting and feeding habitats for spring and fall migrants should be closed, including wet sand flats at inlets and Cape Point. Habitat for overwintering Piping Plover should be closed, with particular attention to birds from the highly endangered Great Lakes population.</p> <p>Potential for Nonmotorized Uses - The EIS should identify the potential for greater visitor use of the beach without vehicles. Visitors in other national</p>				

park sea seashores often have their favorite park experiences on foot, on horseback, or on a bicycle. At Hatteras the presence of ORVs on the beach has kept these types of visitation down. Removing ORVs from more of the beach would create new opportunities for riding stables and bicycle rentals in Buxton and other communities. In the end, more people will visit Hatteras if you can reduce ORV traffic and make the seashore hospitable to more traditional park visitors.

Seasonality of ORV Traffic - The EIS should address seasonal variations in ORV-based fishing. Are there certain months that are favored by the fishing community? In the past, it seems fishing was considered of equal interest all year round. Perhaps there are months when a closure would affect fewer ORV users but would help wildlife and other visitors.

Correspondence ID: 2869 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keene, W. James
Outside Organization: North Carolina Beach Buggy Association Recreational Groups
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: The incorporation of the following should be a minimal starting point: 1) Begin management plans with document of August 1937, June 1940 where-in Congress created the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Are. Designing this seashore primarily for recreational pursuits. 2) ALL HISTORICAL access points should be reopened (sound & ocean). (in the last 30 years visitors increased 50% ocean access ramp decreased 41%). (During the same time frame public remains static). NPS is purposely excluding visitors from this park. 3) Only flora and fauna listed as endangered should be given special consideration as defined by law. (non-endangered species are due only the protection clearly defined under the law, not based on suspect opinion or mythology). The March 1938 prospectus for Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Are says you will protect the species present at that time and specifically listed foxes and raccoons along with other animals. What dictates, by law, that you should now trap and kill these natural species. 4) Stop creating closures in anticipation of species arrivals. Again you take away from the recreational users in violation of the founding document. 5) Migratory Bird Treaty Act can not be used with unilateral discretion to create closures. If beaches are closed for birds listed in MBTA all parking lots, theaters, exhibits, air strips, etc must be closed under the same or like conditions. If not, you are targeting beaches to remove ORVs. 6) Stop the privatization of beaches by individuals, associations and NPS. All beaches are to be open and accessible unless closed for endangered species, driver safety issues, temp village closures or temp swimming beach closures. 7) Establish a policy for the timely reopening of beach closures regardless of the reason for closure. 8) When reporting ESA protected flora/fauna show Pea Island counts/locations. Also show counts for new land masses, ie; spoils islands, sand migrations, etc. Our birds are mobile and many have moved not perished as inferred. 9) Allow for independent verification of nests, etc w/ NPS personal if requested. 10) Establish a greater presence of law enforcement. Enforcement of current rules and regulations would negate the need for most new rules and regulations. 11) Allow "Science" to direct actions taken within the park. Stop resource managers from using the mythology/hearsay and mays/mights to establish policy. 12) Dividing the beaches for various activities cannot work.

Correspondence ID: 2870 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mohnal, George W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I enjoy our beautiful beaches on foot, and I am very glad that there are some small sections of the beach that are closed year round to O.R.V. use. Below are some of my observations and thoughts concerning ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore: A)1) The beaches are natural areas that should be for the use and enjoyment of all the visitors of the park. 2) The vast majority of the people who visit do not drive on the beach. 3) If the amount of beach that is open to ORV use was determined by the percentage of visitors who do or do not drive on the beach, there would be much less beach allotted to ORV use than there currently is. 4) I have never heard anyone say, "Boy, I wish there was more beach traffic." 5) I have heard many people say, "I wish they didn't allow ORV use at all."
 In summation, I think that what draws most people to Cape Hatteras National Seashore is the openness and freedom of the natural surroundings found here. This natural feeling can only be maintained if all parts of it are protected. If we start eliminating certain parts from protection because they are not convenient or economically beneficial, then we will all lose the unique thing that we have in the Seashore. ORV use, legal protection for wildlife, and areas for the people who want jog to the beach without having to see a vehicle can co-exist!

Correspondence ID: 2871 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shade, Betsy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: It is a great shame that off-road vehicles have been allowed to drive all over beaches of Cape Hatteras for many years, destroying the habitat for birds and ruining the quiet of a wild ocean beach. I urge NPS to reduce the mileage of beaches that is dominated by off-road vehicles. Out of 53 beach miles in the seashore, less than 5 miles is even closed on a seasonal basis. That is badly out of balance. People who want to find a wild beach for a walk, a bicycle ride, or a horseback ride will not find it at Cape Hatteras. Your beaches should be one of the finest natural segments of the whole Atlantic Coast, but now they seem to be dedicated to beach buggies. The number of vehicles on the beach should be regulated as it is at Assateague National Seashore. NPS issues annual permits and keep the numbers of vehicles on the beach below 145 at any given time. Only half the beach mileage is open to ORVs. At Hatteras, birds and sea turtles that are listed under the Endangered Species Act should be protected by ORV closures for their critical habitat. During the nesting season, ORVs should be kept far away from areas where these birds and turtles nest and where their young are vulnerable to being run over or disturbed by beach vehicles.

Correspondence ID: 2872 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boucher, Carla
Outside Organization: United Four Wheel Drive Associations, Inc Recreational Groups
Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Please accept these comment made on behalf of United Four Wheel Drive Associations (UFWDA) in regards to the Notice of Intent to prepare an ORV Management Plan / EIS published in the Federal Register on December 11, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 71552). UFWDA is an international organization of four wheel drive enthusiasts, clubs, associations, and related businesses. These comments shall not preclude any members of UFWDA from making comments on this rulemaking. As you develop the plan and EIS please add the following need to the Purpose and Need sections. "An ORV management plan is needed to conform to and comply with the enabling legislation for the national seashore and recreational area." Several needs for an ORV management plan have already been identified, including compliance with Executive Orders 11644 and 11989, to address the lack of an approved plan, ORV use could damage natural and cultural resources, and to provide protected species management. It is crucial to identify the additional need to conform and comply with the enabling legislation for several reasons. Such protection of recreational uses is subservient only in limited circumstances to the mandate to preserve the area as a primitive wilderness for the preservation of unique flora and fauna prevailing in the area at the time the national seashore recreational area was established in 1937. As the plan and EIS development progress it is imperative that the agency undertake such preparation within the scope of the purpose and need of the rulemaking. Such purpose should not be overbroad so as to extend the protection mandate established by the enabling legislation to protect flora and fauna prevailing in the area in 1937. Furthermore, the scope of the rulemaking must focus on the mandate to protect recreational uses. Please make additional attempts to broaden the purpose and need of the rulemaking to focus equal attention on the enabling legislation mandate to provide for recreational uses as you have thus far given to the species protection mandate. Within the objectives of the

project, as a highlight of "visitor experience" please be sure to "manage ORV use to meet demand" and "manage ORV use to maintain historic uses." Also within the objectives of the project, please add the objective to "economically support the surrounding communities and villages".

Correspondence ID: 2873 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: DeBlieu, Jan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: I am writing to urge you to allow room on the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for recreational activities besides fishing from an ORV. Over the past 22 years, the length of my residence on the Outer Banks, I have been disturbed to see CAHA transformed from a shared-use park to one that emphasizes recreational fishing from vehicles at the expense of all other uses. It is no longer possible for a visitor to CAHA to take a walk on the beach during winter months without encountering trucks and tripping over tire ruts. Not long ago, certain areas were reserved for pedestrians, including the stretch north of Coquina Beach on Bodie Island and the old lighthouse site in Buxton. But in the past few winters, even those limited access points have been taken away from us. Granted, some closures do exist during the summer months. But isn't it part of CAHA's mission to provide access for all visitors?
I support beach driving and enjoy driving on the beach. However, the beaches of CAHA have become dangerous because of the amount of traffic, especially on holiday weekends. No pedestrian can venture out to Cape Point in peak season without serious risk of injury. and why would anyone want to walk in that area? With truck after truck roaring by, it would be highly unpleasant. The current policies limit the enjoyment of the park's beaches to those who want to drive on the beach and are willing to brave the traffic. Science has established that such heavy ORV use is taking a toll on the natural resources, especially as the beaches narrow because of erosion. You need to know that the current policies also heavily discourage all but a single user group from visiting and enjoying the park. I do not know what the solution is, but I think it is clear that the park cannot continue to allow unregulated driving without regard to how many trucks are on the beach. The ORV lobby is especially vocal and has managed to intimidate residents of the villages within the seashore, to the point where few people are willing to take a stand on this issue. Finally, I was highly disappointed by the heavy representation of the ORV lobby on the Negotiated Rulemaking Consensus Group. There are numerous members who have clear ties to the ORV user groups. They should not have been allowed to represent other interests. If park officials hope to conduct a balanced debate into this issue, they need to work to make sure that the concerns of all used groups are clearly heard. Because of the intimidation tactics employed by some (not all) ORV proponents, park officials need to reach out to visitors and residents who would like the CAHA beaches to be something besides an off road highway.

Correspondence ID: 2874 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hill, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,16,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Our experience is that with very little exception, the ORV users at CHNS have been very responsible and are excellent stewards of the resources of the seashores. A properly designed ORV Management Plan will continue to allow ORV access to the beaches while continuing to preserve the resources. Unwarranted prolonged and extensive closures in 2003 of much of the seashore prevented any use of much of the resources and had little beneficial effect on protected avian or plant species. The more pragmatic approach of beach closures practiced during 2006 allowed acceptable ORV access while maintaining adequate corridors for protected species. The beach access policies for ORVs implemented during 2006 should be adopted as the ORV Management Plan for CHNS - either as part of the Negotiated Rulemaking Process or as an alternative to traditional rule-making process. This includes temporary restricted access around traditional nesting areas for protected birds and sea-turtles (and the prompt re-opening of these areas once they are no longer needed) as well as temporary restricted access where the beach is too narrow and temporary restricted access in front of the Villages during June, July, and August only. There should be no ORV Permit or Fee System imposed. There should be no restrictions on night access imposed except where required for protected species. Designated ORV routes around temporary closures are appropriate - as implemented in 2006 - but NOT the prohibition of access. Designated restricted area for the protection of dunes, archeological artifacts or sensitive vegetation are appropriate. Safety measures, such as speed limits, properly licensed vehicles and the prohibition of reckless operation of a motor vehicle are appropriate components of the ORV Management Plan. An extensive user education program is also an appropriate component of the ORV Plan - as is encouraging the self-policing and prompt reporting of violators to the proper authorities. The effort to balance user access- including ORVs - to CHNS with the protection of resources is attainable, thought not without some dissatisfaction by all parties, as demonstrated by the implementation of the policies during 2006. Using these 2006 policies as the base, and incorporating user education and appropriate enhancements to increase the safety and well-being of park visitors an ORV management plan can be successfully implemented.

Correspondence ID: 2875 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson, Avice R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: After knowing the Outer Banks for fifty years, and seeing the changing beach situation, particularly around the Avon, Buxton, and Frisco beaches, I feel very strongly that the use of the beaches is weighted far too strongly to the ORV vehicle owners, and not enough to the wildlife and the people who just wish to be on the beach without taking a vehicle onto it. Some sections of the beaches mentioned above should be made permanently off bounds to ORVs and these sections should be broken up so that ORVs can not make trips of more than a mile or two at most. Other sections away from the villages should be broken up according to wildlife needs and at NPS discretion. To bear the cost of policing the ORVs on the beach, and repairing the damage they do, plus maintenance of the ramps, a permit for each vehicle taken on the beach should be mandatory, and could be issued weekly, monthly, or yearly. There should be a maximum number of vehicles allowed on each stretch of the beach at the same time to avoid overcrowding. The ORV users are numerous and vocal, more so than the walkers. There has always been a tendency for these beach users to be far more concerned about THEIR access to the beaches than any long-term effects their vehicles make to the beaches. And they couldn't care less about other uses of the beach. The chances are that a large percentage of the mail you receive will be from them. But please bear in mind as you consider the management of ORVs on the beaches that the most important factor is the wildlife and the topography of the beaches, not man's aims and desires. Man is an impermanent factor in this world, and must consider nature as the primary force, not themselves.

Correspondence ID: 2876 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holleman, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: My son, Buddy, has a plush sea turtle name Allen in which he sleeps with every night. He would be angry to know that these beautiful animals are not protected from others who do not share the same feeling. It be very sad to have a world in which Buddy's children do not get to see and enjoy these turtles because nobody step up to protect them.

Correspondence ID: 2877 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lopez, Diego

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I am writing to support the protection of Cape Hatteras National Seashore from human impact due to the off-road vehicles that for many years now have had a bad effect on wild life along this beautiful area. Please help protect this site which has been excluded from protection for too long. Issuing permits, setting limits or restricting access to this area might help. The wild life counts on you to save them and so do I. Thank you for considering my comment.

Correspondence ID: 2878 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Maris-Sullivan, Wendy E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: May,11,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2879 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kellams, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Please protect the wildlife on the Shores of Cape Hatteras from off-road vehicles.
The beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore serve as important nesting grounds for loggerhead sea turtles. Each year beginning in early April, females climb ashore, laying their eggs on these sandy beaches. But off-road vehicles can still make their way onto the very same shores at these critical times, posing a threat to these highly endangered animals. And it's not only sea turtles. Scores of shorebirds, including the threatened piping plover, depend on the beaches of Cape Hatteras for nesting, food and rest on their long migrations. These shores and the wildlife that live there must be protected. The National Park Service has failed to keep pace with increased pressures on this sensitive habitat, allowing unlimited off-road vehicles to roam the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore during periods when piping plovers are raising their young and turtles are laying their eggs. Virtually every other national seashore on the East Coast issues permits, sets limits on times, places and numbers of off-road vehicles. Most ban night driving, which seriously impacts nesting sea turtles and shorebirds. And most of these areas protect suitable nesting habitat at the start of the breeding season, so birds and sea turtles can nest without disturbance.

Correspondence ID: 2880 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Welles, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I own a off road vehicle and have driven out to the end of Hatteras Island on more than one occasion. There appears to be a heavily traveled path for such vehicles and I believe that staying off the dunes and other such activities as been of prime importance.
I am saddened to hear that every other national seashore issues ORV permits, sets limits on times and places as well as the number of ORVs allowing. I encourage you yo take action in this direction to protect what reamins of the Outer Banks.

Correspondence ID: 2881 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ruble, Justin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: There is more than enough habitat at the seashore for these nestings to take place. I have been out there numerous times with other members and have never once witnessed anyone in an off-road vehicle doing anything that would harm any wildlife. The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is very vast with more than enough room for man and nature to co-exist which is a very beautiful thing.
By prohibiting access to the seashore, you would also prohibit the many great experiences that people enjoy there everyday with their families and especially their children.
I strongly urge you to keep Cape Hatteras National Seashore's plan the same as it always has been; free and open to all regrdless of foot or off road vehicle.
Also please consider the damage it would do to the local economy which almost solely relies on tourism which a good 70% of which are fisherman and those who like to drive responsibly on the beach and enjoy one of Mother Nature's most beautiful places.

Correspondence ID: 2882 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hayes, Mike
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I am requesting that you discount and reject any e-mail received from this venue (Defenders of Wildlife) as it is founded in a projected lie about the Park Service. This venue can't reflect an accurate response from the Defenders of Wildlife readership because they haven't been told the true circumstances.

Correspondence ID: 2883 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shermis, S. Samuel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: As a supporter of Defenders of Wildlife and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect these sensitive beaches from the impacts of off-road vehicles.
Here is a partial, doable move that does not require billions or substantive legislation. It requires only that off road vehicles respect the right of our animals and birds to live.

Correspondence ID: 2884 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ostwalt, Alisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: May,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type:

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID:	2885	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Phillips-Trimmer, Joan				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Our beaches and wildlife such as turtles can't go somewhere else but ORVs can. Please protect them and our fragile ecosystem as much as possible. All wildlife and humans need this kind of hope and protection for the future.				
Correspondence ID:	2886	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Carter, Laura				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	I deplore these damn machines in the first place, and they have no right to disturb the nesting sites of piping plovers, sea turtles (which are endangered) and other wildlife in the area. Additionally, they do not help the continuing erosion problem that my home area faces year after yaer, and which gets worse largely due to human idiocy and greed. As for the ORVs impact on my fragiel homeplace, the beaches that I still love so much are critical nesting grounds for endangered sea turtles and threatened piping plovers. They are also a vital habitat and food source for countless shorebirds.				
Correspondence ID:	2887	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Bixby, Robert				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a natural, national treasure. It's beautiful and it provides great recreation for families. But it is also the nursery for seabirds and sea turtles. When these creatures are trying to lay their eggs or the hatchlings are trying to return to the sea, off road vehicles often end up killing them, creating ruts that are unnatural obstacles that make them more vulnerable to predators, and sometimes even crush the eggs. I know what crybabies ORV people are, so maybe some inland tracks of land could be set aside for their use. At the very least, for the days and weeks involved in this crucial generational cycle of sea turtles and birds, it seems to me only reasonable to restrict vehicles from the beaches. They're pretty obnoxious, anyway, cutting up the sand, making noise, and frankly, endangering people as well as sea creatures. I would prefer that ORVs be eliminated entirely from the beaches. It's just too fragile an environment for this kind of recreation.				
Correspondence ID:	2888	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Lane, Patricia				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	There are places for us to drive our go carts and off road toys. It is not like these beaches are all we have. They are, however, all the sea turtles and wild life have. It is the height of irresponsible selfishness to take away the future for today's fun. We share one earth will all of nature. Unless we make room for all life, the future of this beautiful gem travelling through space will be sad indeed.				
Correspondence ID:	2889	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Boteler, William				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	These vehicles are harming local wildlife and their breeding grounds. This should not be happending in a National Park. There are other places for unrestricted use of ORVs.				
Correspondence ID:	2890	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Nussbaum, Patty				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect these sensitive beaches from the impacts of off-road vehicles. Sea turtles have only the beach and sea to live in/on. Humans with their ORV can live/breed just about anywhere.				
Correspondence ID:	2891	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Thomas, Diane				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Please protect the Cape Hatteras National Seashore from off-road vehicles. I live in NC, and value this seashore. This seashore is a sensitive nesting ground for endangered sea turtles and numerous shorebirds. Off road vehicles should be kept off our national seashores. If these vehicles are allowed at all, it becomes impossible to properly monitor them. My family has property at a nearby beach and I can promise you that people do not abide by the rules. People frequently disturb nests, climb all over dunes, etc.. regardless of posted signs and regulations. And off-road vehicles are the worst offenders. It is your job to protect our National Seashores so that they are preserved for the future - for the survival of the wildlife that depends upon their habitat, and for the enjoyment of future generations.				
Correspondence ID:	2892	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Nathan, Virginia				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	May,14,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:					
Correspondence:					

Correspondence ID:	2893	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Kelliher, Caitlin				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	I am a member of defenders of wildlife. I frequently visit Hatteras and drive on the beach. I dont believe there is anything wrong with it, especially when you consider Nag's Head, Virginia Beach, and Myrtle Beach being so close and having completely destroyed their habitats due to overpopulation and urbanizations. Please do not take away what makes Hatteras so special.				
Correspondence ID:	2894	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Gambino O'Leary, Jill				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	May,14,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:					
Correspondence ID:	2895	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Schillaci, Jessie				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,14,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	I would like to comment on the proposed EIS for Cape Hatteras National Seashore After review of your 2006 annual report for Piping plover (PIPL), data indicate that although PIPL # hatching success(eggs hatched per nest, and # nests hatched) was high in 2006, the number of nests with fledged chicks (1) is among the lowest ever of the 15 years on record. In fact, the number of nests with fledge chicks has been lower in the past 6 years than any other years on record, which reflects a decline in the CAHA breeding population. Although predator exclusion and predator removal appear to be decreasing the amount of nest depradation that would otherwise occur, it is likely that the number of recorded violations of bird closures (from vehicles, pedestrians, and dogs) documented during the nesting season in your report has impacted productivity, and decreased nesting success at CAHA. I would therefore urge the proposed EIS to include these issues as major influences of reduction in reproductuve parameters and possible causes of nest-site abandonment for the population of breeding piping plovers at the CAHA, and encourage measures to prevent such violations of bird closures to occur along the beach during the ensting season.				
Correspondence ID:	2896	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Kingery, Roy				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,14,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	As a supporter of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I am writing to urge the National Park Service to keep an open mind and not to be swayed by the environmental pressure tactics. Environmentalists are overstating the effects of orv use by a wide margin. I have lived here for 6yrs and I have been a life long visitor for 40yrs and I can tell you that a very high percentage of orv users are environmentalists and possibly better and more signage would be a good solution along with park service and volunteers to interact with the beach users explaining proper usage of the beach. To implement aggressive policies will drive the public away and create a divide among the user groups, we already have too much division in this country as it is now. Lets take normal size steps in pleasing everyone and not giant leaps because we possibly have an overstated problem and as everyone knows once you take action and over correct it is very difficult to go back once something has been taken away.				
Correspondence ID:	2897	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Siess, Alexandra				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Please do not allow off road vehicles on our sensitive national seashore, the health and preservation of which belongs to all user groups, including threatened wildlife such as failing shorebird and sea turtle populations and all other citizens.				
Correspondence ID:	2898	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Creggar, Glenn				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	As a frequent visitor to the Outer Banks of North Carolina, we are very concerned about the impacts of off-road vehicles in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We go there to enjoy the passive recreational opportunities and to view the many types of wildlife which inhabit or visit the area. These beaches need to be protected. Most other national seashores monitor ORV use carefully. Cape Hatteras NS should be no different. Please protect this special place and its wildlife by limiting ORV traffic.				
Correspondence ID:	2899	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Gassel, Samuel				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Why are you letting off road vehicles run 24-7 on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore? Im from Virginia Beach, and the rednecks in their jacked up off-road gas guzzler 4x4 trucks can certainly limit their time on the roads! Do the right thing, and limit it to "permit only" as other National Seashores.				
Correspondence ID:	2900	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Sullivan, Theodora				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,13,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				

Correspondence: Why is Cape Hatteras National Seashore allowed to destroy nesting areas of sea turtles and shorebirds, when virtually every other national seashore on the East Coast issues ORV permits, sets limits on times, places and numbers of ORVs, bans night driving and protects suitable nesting habitat at the start of the breeding season so birds and sea turtles can nest without disturbance?

Correspondence ID: 2901 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bas, Magdalena
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: This time we are asking to protect turtles, I say to protect all the animals, water, and trees.

Correspondence ID: 2902 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Childers, Victoria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: As a North Carolina resident I am increasingly resenting the use of all terrain vehicle on the beaches of this state. I go to the beach to enjoy the sand and surf and relax, yet I find I am more frequently subjected to loud motorized vehicles each year. Not to mention the threat of being hit by one! I usually see children at the wheel and they have no ability to manage a motorized vehicle safely.
I am asking that the use of these obnoxious intrusions to the peace and quiet of the beach be banned. There are plenty of other places these vehicles can be ridden, but on the beaches is definitely not one of them!

Correspondence ID: 2903 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Farber, Jimi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Please Do Not let organisations such as the Defenders of Wildlife control the beach access!
I totally agree we should help as many animals as we can to survive but this should be balanced with the access of the beach. I still dont understand how a bird that is not native to that beach has caused all of this. Also, how is it fair that wolves and foxes had to be caught and killed so these birds can survive there? That sounds a little bit like unnatural selection of species.
I would be a supporter for anything that protects animals but not when it blindly forces us to follow rules without any real benefit.

Correspondence ID: 2904 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lyons, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mike Murray,
The current lack of an ORV management plan in Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS) has caused visitor use conflicts and has not adequately protected the "unique" resources associated with this park. The question is not whether ORV use in CHNS may cause recreational conflicts, endangers users safety or harms the resource. It is a documented fact that ORVs have done so. Rather, the question is what can be done to mitigate these effects. Correcting this with a comprehensive ORV management plan is the NPS assignment.
I have lived on the Outer Banks for 34 years and have been an avid supporter and recreational user of CHNS. I still enjoy many recreational beach activities, including driving on the beach. It is important that a legal ORV management plan for CHNS is promulgated as expeditiously as possible under NEPA guidelines.
When correcting this situation the NPS should acknowledge that current ORV organization have political advantages that are counter to esthetic values of National Parks. That any ORV management plan has to take into account Laws and Management policies consistent with the National Park System and all visitors needs, even the silent ones.
ORV Groups: Disproportionate, Inaccurate, And Inflammatory When forming an ORV management plan please take into consideration that ORV proponents are disproportional to the total number of CAHA users. While ORV users are a well-organized special interest group, there is no data to suggest that they speak for the majority of CHNS users. Many visitors in this park know little of the issues and are not affiliated with special interests groups such as the Outer Banks Preservation Association (OBPA). These users do not have established groups' organizational assets to balance the issues and speak on their behalf Unfortunately, ORV lobbies have successfully portrayed a one-sided view of ORV use and tried to discredit differing views via meetings, websites, Internet message boards, letter writing campaigns and organizational publications. In many instances there have been personal attacks on individuals, NPS employees and environmental organizations portraying them as radicals with personal agendas and motivations. (2.) (3.) (4.) (5) Specifically, ORV proponents often fail to differ between temporary resource protection closures and beaches closed for ORV safety related issues. This presents a distorted view of NPS beach closures making it appear that all closures are motivated by environmental, and not public safety concerns. (6.) Beaches that are opened only for pedestrian access and closed to ORVs are characterized as having no access suggesting that ORV use is the only way to access the park. Furthermore recreational fishing rights and ORV use are often linked implying that ORV management also threatens fishing rights. ORV proponents contend that CHNS was established as a recreational Park where recreational ORV use takes historical precedent over the protection of the resource and other recreational activities. They warn members that the Seashore's beaches may be closed entirely to everyone. (7.) ORV organizations and local businesses often overlap, sharing the same core members who warn of dire economic consequences for any restrictions of ORV use. However, it is unclear what effects, if any, managed ORV use would have on the local economy. The sustained and rapid economic growth in the eight villages in the Park suggests that economic dangers of ORV management are unfounded when considering previous beach closures in the last 20 years. (8.) The political pressure exerted by the many local and national ORV special interest groups, is obviously a factor when considering ORV management. (1.) (9.) The Dare County Board of Commissioners in conjunction with OBPA recently adopted a referendum in support of ORV use (10.). It is obvious that the ORV groups have successfully organized their members into political action on their behalf. (11.) National Park Service Bias For ORV Use The NPS is years late in forming a balanced, legal and proper ORV management plan. This has likely significantly affected current demographics of CHNS visitors. ORV users come to recreate in CHNS because this National Seashore, when compared to other National Seashores has considerable less ORV restrictions. Unfortunately unmanaged ORV use has made CHNS a less desirable park for visitors seeking a more wilderness experience.
An example of NPS bias toward ORV use occurred when the Park distributed confusing information concerning ORV use in their literature. The Park brochure that was distributed at the recent public comment sessions in February 2007 (12.) describes negative outcomes of ORV use at CHNS using words like 'could happen' or 'may result.' A visitor unfamiliar with the situation would easily surmise that these were nothing more than potential problems when in fact many of these issues are documented occurrences in the Park. It might be accurate to say ORVs "could affect" the local economy but it is inaccurate to say ORVs "could result in visitor use conflicts", "may impact vegetation of the Seashore", "could impact federally threatened or endangered species", or "could affect access for commercial fishing." These are documented occurrences due to the effects of ORV use in this Park. In addition to these imprecise statements, the park has recently appeared to take a stand that firmly condones the current state of ORV use along with all the deleterious effects therewith. For example, two recently written park documents inform readers about the historical context of ORVs on CHNS beaches. Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment "ORV use on the seashore beaches predates the establishment of

Cape Hatteras National Seashore and is considered an appropriate visitor use. ORVs are currently used to provide vehicular access onto the seashore beaches for recreational purposes, including surf fishing; surfboarding; sunbathing; swimming; bird watching; scenic driving; etc "(13.) And Superintendent's Order # 07, ORV Management, May 9th, 2006 "Off-road vehicles (ORVs) are considered a traditional and appropriate use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore." (14.) Both of these park documents (SO# 7 and September Press Release) have a clear bias toward ORV interests when they do not offer further explanations. Driving vehicles on the beach was one of many traditional uses of CHNS beaches. Walking is also a traditional use of the beach that many park users find difficult on heavily used ORV beaches. Documented cases of visitor use conflicts, pedestrian safety, and resource violations coupled with a dramatic increase in vehicles on the Park beaches make today's ORV use neither traditional nor appropriate. The Park has photographic documentation of what traditional use of vehicles on CHNS looked like. Those pictures in no way compares to ORV use today. Furthermore many CHNS users have no problem accessing the seashore to engage in "surf fishing; surfboarding; sunbathing; swimming; and bird watching" without the use of an ORV. This statement indirectly implies that ORVs are necessary to participate in these activities. There is no documentation that ORV access for recreation is representative of all, or even a majority of Park users. The enabling legislation for CHNS does not specify address ORV use as an appropriate use of the Seashore. I would like to stress however these concerns are not an indictment against all ORV use. Rather I believe that there is a way to safely and fairly manage ORVs in this park for the benefit of all park users. Interim Protected Species Management Plan (IPSMP)

The only ORV management document that the park is currently using is the Interim Protected Species Management Plan (IPSPM). This plan has serious shortcomings with respect to protection of protected species in the Park, as pointed out by the notice of intent to sue from Defenders of Wildlife, December 18, 2006.

The interim plan delineates what and where ORV activities are allowed with respect to protected species but fails to address other recreational interest and the potential for recreational conflicts between user groups. The IPSMP encompasses all of CAHA beaches and in effect manages all recreational aspects of this park assuming that a protected species could show up anywhere within CHNS. At public comment sessions Park users voiced their concerns about the potential for Recreational Conflicts using this Plan. (15) I am disappointed with the parks seeming lack of concern for park users that don't use an ORV for recreation or access. In 2004 beaches that for 25 years had been pedestrians' access beaches were opened to vehicles. This fall realizing that ORV use presented a tangible threat to the safety of pedestrians park managers were concerned enough for the safety of non-ORV users and issued this NPS Press Release warning pedestrian in the National Seashore at the close of the summer season to:

"Be alert to vehicles driving on the beach. Set up beach paraphernalia in areas outside of ORV pathways. Watch children as they may not be attentive to ORVs and can be hard to see from a vehicle." (16.)

SO# 7 and the NPS September Press release create a less than equitable situation for non-ORV park users accessing the Seashore. SO # 7 says pedestrians can stay landward of the ORV corridor and the September Press Release says not set up any paraphernalia in ORV routes. There are ORV trails from the base of the dune to the waters edge on the majority of CHNS beaches during the shoulder season (September 15 through May 15). This constitutes a failure of the NPS to provide pedestrians with equitable safe access and fundamentally changed the status quo of how much of the seashore had been managed prior to SO # 7 May, 2004. The entire beach can be an ORV trail and the park does little more than recommend that pedestrians stay out of the way. (17)(18.)

Last summer for the first time in many years that visitors camping in the NPS Frisco Campground found the entire beach that fronts the campground was an ORV trail. In the past the ORV village closure area started from Ramp 49 and went south and NPS campers could walk to a beach that did not have ORVs on it. Legislation

Two cornerstones of management in CHNS are The National Park Service Organic Act and Cape Hatteras Enabling Legislation.

The National Park Service Organic Act

The service thus established shall promote and regulate the use of the Federal areas known as national parks, monuments, and reservations hereinafter specified by such means and measures as conform to the fundamental purposes of the said parks, monuments, and reservations, which purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wildlife therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.

And

Cape Hatteras Enabling Legislation

"Except for certain portions of the area, deemed to be especially adaptable for recreational uses, particularly swimming, boating, sailing, fishing, and other recreational activities of similar nature, which shall be developed for such uses as needed, the said area shall be permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development of the project or plan for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna or the physiographic conditions now prevailing in this area: " ORV routes are without a doubt a "development of the project or plan for the convenience of visitors." The lack of an ORV plan is having increasingly negative effects on other park users. The current partial implementation of a twenty-nine year old interim plan that was never formally approved fails when addressing today's ORV management concerns. There are letters to park managers stating concerns of the safety of pedestrians and a diminishing of the natural beauty of the Park directly associated because the NPS does not have a ORV management plan.

The preservation and observation of the unique flora and fauna of this park is an essential part of many users recreational pursuits. Park users should have the opportunity to view the "unique flora and fauna or the physiographic conditions now prevailing in this area." In the seashore ORV use obfuscates the view of natural markers like the tide line, shell banks, sand patterns, rack line and animals in their natural state. Unmanaged ORV use negatively impacts these identified values of the Seashore. The Park should make specific ORV management plans and rules to ensure these and similar recreational values are available to visitors in the Park now and in the future. Native shorebirds have coexisted for centuries with human activity on the Outer Banks. Nesting, resting and foraging shorebirds today however are not faring as well. There are species of native nesting shorebirds that are in danger of being extirpated from this park. Unintentional and intentional harassment of birds by humans and the impact of predators associated with humans is an impact that has not been adequately considered on shorebirds here. Many people in ORVs spend long hours in close proximity to prime colonial bird-nesting habitat. This increases the likelihood of predation and disturbance. ORV management plans should not only include predator management controls but also include management plans that look at the causes of increased predation and manage those cause proactively.

Current NPS resource management allows unique shorebirds to be harassed. The Park has had 6 species of native nesting shorebirds: Piping Plovers, Wilson Plover, Gull Bill Terns, Least Terns, Oyster Catchers and Black skimmers. All of these birds have suffered drastic reductions in numbers in the last 40 years. More disturbing Oyster Catcher and Least Tern chicks have been documented as having been crushed by ORVs on CHNS beaches. Under the Parks newly formed Interim Protected species Management Plan (IPSMP) nighttime driving adjacent to nesting colonial shorebirds is permitted in CHNS. The IPSMP allows a legal take of threatened species by ORVs. Nesting habitat is not being adequately protected. For example, last year there were no reported successful nesting of Black Skimmers on Hatteras Island. Black Skimmers tried to nest at Cape Point. Unfortunately for the Skimmers it was next to an ORV trail. Nighttime lights from vehicles flooded their nesting sites. The nests were abandoned.

Conclusion

ORV lobbying efforts do not take into account non-ORV users recreational interests. ORV management plans should have detailed rules to protect Park resources and all ORV management plans should provide ample opportunity and easy access to the Seashore for pedestrians. Recreational experiences in the middle of an ORV trail are not an experience sought out by visitors on foot in National Parks. Things today are not as they were in the past.

Nowhere is this truer than on the Outer Banks of North Carolina. Managing the Seashore the way it was managed fifty years ago would fail the intent of why a National Park was established here. A balanced ORV management plan must be written in such a way that guarantees not just today's users but future users an opportunity to enjoy all the attributes this National Park was set aside for. Suggestions For ORV Management Plans

? Establish year round pedestrian access only areas. ? Initiate a longer high use season, March 15 through Jan 1. This would address the increase visitor use during the now longer shoulder seasons, extending protection to other users. ? Take proactive resource protection measures based on science and applicable legislation. ? Make ORV rules that protect the safety and esthetic values of all users ? Issue permits for driving on the beach. ? Implement shuttle systems for hard to reach areas during temporary resource protection closures. ? Fees for ORV driving permits (equitable to the associated cost of maintaining ORV use in the seashore). ? Establish rules for driving on the beach that create order, safety and parity for all ORV users, (ex. no parking areas in narrow sections of beach, driving in ruts, length of time you can park in one spot). ? Adhere to NPS policies and all legislation pertaining to Nation Parks. ? Carrying capacity limits (quotas number for ORVs on the beach based on each location). ? Stress that ORV use is a recreational activity just like other types of recreational activities and that ORV use can restrict other users' access, safety and enjoyment of the Park. ? Ongoing studies to

find the causes and solutions to predator issues. ? Limit nighttime driving during bird and turtle nesting season. ? Reduce predator food sources by removing fish cleaning stations and dumpsters to interior areas away from the beach. ? Establish ORV rules that strive for zero take of unique, threatened and endangered wildlife in the Park ? Establish an assessment of where and for how long traditional pedestrian beaches were prior to May 17, 2004 ? Establish a committee to seek comments from park users not affiliated with ORV organizations and provide them with accurate unbiased information and use their input for ORV management plans. ? Conduct research that finds out what economic effects a managed ORV plan might have on the local communities ? Establish through interviews of CHNS personnel dates of existing closures and history at the time of Superintendent's Order # 7 May 2004 ? Ongoing detailed reports and inventories by trained park biologist describing current population of important unique species in GINS Sincerely, Jim Lyons (1.) Untied Mobil Fishermen represent 28 pro ORV use organizations //www.umsnet.com/index 1.htm

(2.) 100405 Transcripts, Information Meeting, October 4, 2005, Buxton, NC - IPSMS.pdf P57-58 MR. FRANK FOLB JR.: Frank Folb, Junior; on the board earlier, I noticed a lot about the environmental assessment, but I didn't notice anything about economic assessments. Are you going to pay attention to the economics of Hatteras Island and Ocracoke Island? You've got eight villages that are going to be involved in this. And it will affect us terribly. Something else, if you want to gain our trust back, you need to think about taking care of getting rid of the resource management that we have right now because they are obviously in bed with the defenders of wildlife and the other groups that are causing our pains. But that's about all I have to say. MS. VIRGINIA LUICER: Oh, Virginia Luicer, L-U- I-C-E-R. I asked this question earlier of Mr. Reed. I think the whole group would benefit from hearing the answer. Basically we keep hearing that an employee has done something that either was not as per a directive given by the superintendent or not quite what they intended in terms of fulfilling their task, either putting up a closure, etcetera. My question is in the future, you or your predecessor, what do you guys plan to do to make sure that your employees are in fact following out your directives and being properly supervised? That would gain a lot of trust back from the community and the people who visit the Seashore.

(3.) 17. Internet postings from Fish MOJO and Red Drum It has recently been said that it is no problem to walk on the beach to any place you want to fish. Something close to this was stated in front of the scoping session in Washington DC. My thoughts_BS, Jim Your a lying pig and you and Sydney are PUNKS. Take a hike and I don't mean on OBX Beaches. How many strippers did you catch last year at Hatteras inlet Jim. Oh and I noticed you didn't WALK to the inlet. Frank Folb Jr. <http://fishmojo.com/forums/showthread.php?t=11936&page=2> You are right "Dr." Kayota's group is allegedly "representing" the interests of property owners - as are the Avon Property Owners Assoc., Hatteras Civic Assoc., & the Rodanthe-Waves-Salvo Civic Assoc. & the Ocracoke Civic/Business Assoc. The real problem is that Kayota is really only representing the interests of himself & a paucity few ocean-front property owners who think that the Seashore beaches behind their houses belong to them - not the public. They fail to realize that, as property of the US Government & by federal/state statute, there is no such thing in North Carolina or in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore as "Privately-Owned Beach"!!

They can fuss & fume all they want, sue & raise all the hell they want - but it won't change a thing. The law is the law. And calling it something different, like closing it for "safety issues" is smoke & mirrors - the agenda is the same: I want my private beach so I don't have to put up with ORVs or the riff-Taff near my property. It has little to do with protecting their children (parents bear the responsibility for watching their kids, not trying to depend on the NPS to do it for them) and everything to do with exclusivity. If they were serious about keeping ORVs off of the beach but allowing public access to public land, they they would see that pedestrian walk-over ramps & public parking with adequate facilities were available. But they are NOT interested in the public - only their self-serving interests.

This post will probably elicit another complaint from Kayota or someone of his ilk, so I will say it now: BITE ME!

This post will not be deleted by ME!

(Maybe one day I'll let you all know how I really feel about this issue)

All of my comments/opinions in this thread are my own & do not necessarily reflect the position or opinions of OBPA dagobx Many of you are wondering what is going on & what OBPA is doing about NPS policies & actions. Of course, you have a right to know & OBPA apologizes for the delay in making this report.

All of this began when former Superintendent Belli announced his closure plans during the two public meetings at the Anglers Club in March & April. His closure plans were a direct result of closure requests from local NPS resource management, whose plan was actually much more restrictive, allowing only pedestrian corridors ? no OR Vs - on the beaches of Oregon Inlet, Cape Point, & Hatteras Inlet. When resource management installed closures at Cape Point & Hatteras Inlet shortly after Belli's departure & in the "dead night" over a weekend, OBPA knew another battle was about to start. This is a battle of FACTS, not emotion. Sure, we get emotional about the situation - angry when we see NPS jerking us all around with ever-increasing bird closures, rangers acting Gestapo-like the over simple things like the sea gull feeding thing, it's all so seemingly ridiculous & unnecessary. But this battle will only be won if we are armed with the FACTS to debunk the crap that Defenders (Wildlife & NPS resource management is spewing..

All (this crap with NPS is being run local NPS Resource Management, US Fish & Wildlife & Defenders Of Wildlife. The Defenders' lawsuit threat has NPS management running scared, so they are now taking ALL of their direction from higher authority. NPS lawyers & the regional office must approve EVERYTHING they do out here now and all of their actions are slanted to placate Defenders - at the expense of access. Unfortunately, the revolving-door policy for acting superintendents only detracts from the situation, but at the moment that cannot be helped.

OBPA & its CHAPA partners have gone to great lengths & expense to put together an excellent legal/biological team to gather the facts about the law & science where bird closures are concerned & have sent several assessments to NPS (not just in Manteo, but also in Atlanta & Washington).

Consultations between NPS & our legal team are a weekly occurrence. These assessments are excellent & provided for the protection of birds while allowing continued access; with some restrictions - but not nearly to the extent of what NPS has done in previous years. Congressman Jones' & Senators Burr and Dole's offices are also in the loop.

OBPA/CHAPA has now achieved a certain "Gravitas" and has garnered the attention of NPS/DOI as high as Washington, DC. We have to work within the "system" to ultimately accomplish our goals. Any other approach would be labeled "extremist" & ignored. All that can be done right now is to offer reasonable, legally/biologically-sound alternatives, while developing a track record that shows that we've done everything we can to work with NPS on the situation. That builds a tremendous amount of credibility with the government & opens doors for us that would otherwise be closed. An openly confrontational attitude - threats, verbal abuses, "civil disobedience", etc. - would undermine & destroy the inroads accomplished thus far. That would only put us on the same level as Defenders & their ilk

Early on this season, OBPA & its CHAPA participants agreed that we would try to "work with" NPS this season to reduce the animosity between the public & NPS staff through more communication between NPS & CHAPA, as well as the opportunity to have input into NPS actions. NPS has taken a more proactive approach by instituting an "adaptive" closure management program rather than just closing areas down & leaving them closed without discussion. One of the results of this was the escort at Hatteras Inlet. It wasn't perfect, by any means - but it was a step in the right direction. The closures at Cape Point, the most extensive & unprecedented ever, have been modified where possible to accommodate access. Again, not perfect, but a step in the right direction. Never before has NPS been willing to at least try to accommodate access. It's a small step, but at least it's a step. It sets a precedent that will pay off later down the road. NPS has also committed work with OBPA/CHAPA to devise permanent access solutions to historical & popular OR V-use areas such as Hatteras Inlet, Cape Point, & Oregon Inlet by establishing new OR V trails with multiple ocean & soundside exits that would bypass areas of bird closures. It is expected that this will be done before the 2006 nesting season.

NPS has also been told, several times & without reservation, that the bird closure policy instituted this year will NOT be acceptable next year nor can it become a "template" for all future closures. NPS has now committed to establishing at least an interim set of protocols for creating nesting closures that will take into account the access issue, hopefully by March 2006. To further this effort, OBPA/CHAPA has agreed to sponsor a field meeting of biologists from NPS, FWS and others, along with our own biological team, to arrive at a purely scientific consensus of how to meet existing laws & biological needs of nesting birds. While no official policy is expected to come from this meeting, the consensus is expected to be incorporated into the protocols now being developed by USGS Patuxent, which are due to be completed in August.

The new ORV management plan is also in this mix. OBPA has stated flatly that the bird closure protocols must be in place & operational before any meaningful negotiations can begin. Resource management cannot be allowed to override any agreed plan developed by the reg-neg. Also, any legal action threatened or proposed, by any environmental group would usurp the power of the reg-neg committee & relegate the formulation of the OR V management plan to the courts. This is totally unacceptable & would "blow up" any possibility of cooperative planning by the user groups. The environmental groups will have to forego their threats of lawsuit(s) or be shown as not being serious about negotiating - and therefore marginalized as cry-babies wanting only their own way. OBPA is strongly encouraging the CBI/RESOLVE assessment team to pressure Defenders & other enviro

groups to commit to forego lawsuits if they wish to participate in the ORV management plan re-neg. We'll see what happens. OBPA, NCBBA & CHAC have also stated that any fee/permit system for the Seashore is unilaterally unacceptable and is not negotiable. As you can see, this is a very complicated situation. It's not just about bird closures or Pole Rd. or any one issue. It is a complicated mix that is intertwined tightly, one with another - like a jungle. It takes time & a lot of concentration to even begin to keep track of everything. It also takes a lot of behind-the-scenes work, most of which cannot be immediately revealed. The fruits of these efforts are not always immediately apparent - it takes time to filter through the "system". The efforts on one issue have an impact on the whole, so things have to be planned carefully. It isn't easy or simple & it takes a lot of time & money.

As far as public awareness is concerned, that will come as time & developments allow - probably sometime this fall when things calm down. Another public meeting is in the planning stages & work is about to wrap up on a newsletter. It is very difficult to report on things when they are changing so rapidly - once you get a newsletter or report out, most of what's in it is obsolete by the time people receive it. We all have lives to tend to while working 10-12 hour or more days. We are simply too exhausted at the end of the day (or week) after dealing with business AND NPS issues all at the same time to report on each & every incident. Now that things are becoming more routine & the nesting season is winding down, the exhaustion should lift enough so information can be disseminated in a more timely fashion.

Many of you have some very good ideas. We appreciate those ideas. Just because you don't get an instant response doesn't mean no one cares about them. But for right now we will keep them in our "Back Pocket" for future use. Don't let that dissuade you from giving us more ideas, though. We're always willing to listen & will act on those ideas that fit into our immediate strategy.

This is a summary of what OBPA/CHAPA has been doing these last few months. On the surface it may not seem like much - no fire & brimstone, no NPS staff being burned in effigy - but a lot has been & is being done. Do not think for one second that OBPA & its partners are just sitting back ignoring what goes on out here. The actions we are taking may not be what some think we should be doing - not aggressive enough or whatever - but what we are doing will ultimately be revealed as for the benefit of all. Change is what is needed; change takes time. Wars are not won overnight and are fought on many fronts. OBPA/CHAPA is in this for the long haul to assure public access to public lands.

We WILL prevail.

<http://fi.shmojo.com/forums/showthread.php?t=11812> Among the eco-lunatic fringe, which has had the ear of too many of the NPS Staff for all too long, it isn't about the birds, or any other plant or critter. Those things, and the laws such as the ESA and MBTA are simply pawns or tools they use to advance their agenda of denying the public access to the Public Lands.

Those scumbags will lie, twist and distort facts and data, and prostitute the laws and intent of the legislation to advance their agenda. Consider this; despite the YEARS that the eco-wackos have been running the CHNS programs, the success ratios for bird and turtle nesting at CHNS is LOWER than in similar Parks and Refuges up and down the Atlantic Coast, as demonstrated by the NPS data, while Public Access has steadily been reduced through their "Management Practices". You don't even want to think about the WASTE of taxpayer dollars in their non-productive "programs".

Furthermore, those same entrenched bureaucrats who were so diligently colluding with the radical eco-lobby to kick the Public out of the Park were DERELICT and Negligent in their duty to prepare lawfully mandated Management Plans, Wilderness Assessments, Biological Opinions, and other GOVERNMENT WORK that potentially would have produced better protection for the critical species as well as providing greater Public Access under a balanced approach to the NPS' dual mission mandates of Resource Protection and Public Use and Enjoyment. Responsible Senior Management should have FIRED these subversives and incompetents YEARS AGO instead of allowing them to coast along in the Civil Service System. NEVER, EVER, lose sight of the fact that it isn't about the birds; IT'S ALL ABOUT ACCESS! Decisions in an Engineer's life: Which bridges to cross, which bridges to build and which bridges (4.) OBPA Website <http://www.obpa.net/> Irresponsible actions by national environmental groups and the National Park Service's inattentiveness and inaction are the most serious threats to our islands in their history. This has the very real potential of making our island communities the "Lost Colony" of today. The residents and visitors to Hatteras, Ocracoke and Bodie Islands deserve and need to preserve the way of life that has made these islands unique and attractive, or else we shall see a way of life perish as surely as did our Native American ancestors.

<http://www.obpa.net/> "closure policy that has allowed NPS Resource Management to run rampant over the past years. User groups have ..." (5.) Audubon Magazine, Jan. 2005 Audubon <http://audubonmagazine.org/featuresO701/incite.htm> Angler-Driven ORVs Killing Birds in NC Letter writing campaign Outer Banks Preservation Association, whose flier reads: "The Endangered Species Act has become the favorite 'tool' of the radical environmentalists who want to obstruct development, resource extraction, many public works projects, and also YOUR rights to recreate responsibly on YOUR public lands... The radical enviro-crazies and Hollywood fat-cat sycophants who want to shut you out of YOUR public lands unless you are one of the enviro-elite are pulling out all the stops to water down or kill the rewrite [of what amounted to an Endangered Species Act repeal bill sponsored by soon-to-be ex-Representative Richard Pombo (R-CA)] . . . Remember the ESA has been perverted and prostituted by the eco-wackos; it isn't about resources these days. It is all about access. They HATE to see you on 'their' beach with your kids, family, coolers, surfing or fishing gear, and especially your ORV." (6.) OBPA website http://www.obpa.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=14&Itemid=29 Prior to 1978 beach accessibility included the full 73.5 miles of beaches from Oregon Inlet to Hatteras Inlet and all of the beaches on Ocracoke Island. The 1978 Off Road Vehicle Management Plan for Cape Hatteras National Seashore as proposed by the National Park Service allowed only 36 miles of our beaches to remain open and accessible to four-wheel drive vehicles. 25 miles were opened year round and 11 miles seasonally (closed from May 25 to September 10). 37.5 miles were closed permanently. Currently, due to closures and restrictions over the last twenty years, only a little over 38 miles of beach remain open and accessible. Closures include: Bodie Island (4.5 miles); South Oregon Inlet (1.5 miles); Pea Island Refuge (8 miles); Pea Island to Rodanthe Pier (2.3 miles); ramp 38 south to ramp 44 (5 miles); ramp 49 south to ramp 55 (5.9 miles); and Ocracoke Island (7.9 miles). (7.) Cape Hatteras Anglers Club. Mission Statement, http://www.capehatterasanglersclub.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=18&Itemid=41 "Cape Hatteras Anglers Club serves as a "Watch Dog" organization over all individuals and agencies that would close or limit access to our beaches. To learn more about current issues regarding beach access, click on the OBPA icon below."

"Finally, since the club is dedicated to Open Beaches, the club strongly supports the Outer Banks Preservation Association (OBPA) in their efforts to maintain free and open beaches and makes substantial donations to OBPA. Click the icon below for more information on the Outer Banks Preservation Association"

"Despite initial assurances in 1952 that Cape Hatteras National Seashore would remain open and accessible to all user groups, there have been a variety of individuals and agencies who have advocated everything from limiting access to closing our beaches entirely (this includes pedestrian traffic). Adhering to the beach use rules & regulations and treating other beach users with respect is our first line of defense against individuals and agencies that advocate closing or limiting access to our beaches. Some attempts to limit beach access, however, require a more direct approach. The Outer Banks Preservation Association is the primary organization in our area to respond to attempts to limit access to our beaches.

http://www.obpa.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=14&Itemid=29 Many OBPA members and supporters have expressed concern that banning of vehicular access to our beaches would end their participation in activities such as shelling, fishing and birding. However, since there are no data or definitive materials showing that ORVs, used responsibly and within present Park Service guidelines, cause any permanent damage or degradation to the beach, we are opposed to prohibition of ORV beach access. (8.) OBPA Website http://www.obpa.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=14&Itemid=29

We believe that banning or severely ORVs on our beaches would have a devastating socio-economic impact on North Carolina, especially in Dare, Hyde and surrounding counties.

9. Internet site post from OBPA board member Dave Goodwin

dagobx Member Registered: Mar 2004 Location: HI Posts: 84 OBPA DC Visit Report soon

Our folks did, in fact, pay a visit to the hallowed halls in DC last week. They were able to garner the attention of Congressman Walter B. Jones, Jr., Senator Liddy Dole, Congressman Charlie Taylor, and a deputy director of the NPS, as well as a few others.

Assistance was provided by the folks at ASA (American Sportfishing Assoc.) who have adopted the cause of access as their own as well.

Details of this visit are being withheld for the time being, as some sensitive background actions are now underway. OBPA apologizes for not providing the details at this time, but will do so as soon as it is practical. One thing is certain: All of the phone calls, e-mails, faxes, etc. that all of you have sent to congresspersons & senators, as well as NPS higher-ups, have been instrumental in bringing the issue of NPS overbearance & denied public access to the forefront. Everyone spoken with had at least some knowledge of the issues. The way of our OBPA folks was nicely paved by these efforts.

The fight is not over yet. Keep sending your concerns to those on the various lists that are posted. Once is not enough - we must flood DC with our concerns. Keep up the good work! !

More on the DC trip WILL follow. "Preserve & Protect NOT PROHIBIT" (10.) RESOLUTION OF THE DARE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS REGARDING BEACH ACCESS http://www.obpa.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=section&id=1&Itemid=2

On September 6, members of CHAPA, led by OBPA president John Couch, made a presentation to the Dare County Board of Commissioners promoting the adoption of a Resolution by the County board. After Couch's presentation, the Board voted unanimously to adopt the proposed Resolution (see copy below). Additional discussion between Board members and members of OBPA, CHAC and NCBBA on a one-to-one basis during the break following the vote to adopt the Resolution. Dare County is fully supportive of OBPA/CHAPA's efforts to persuade NPS to adopt and implement reasonable bird protection policies which do not result in the massive and unprecedented beach closures as was seen on Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches this summer. It should also be noted that some 140 Hatteras Island businesses supported & signed copies of the Resolution, which were presented to the Commissioners. The adoption and implementation of reasonable, predictable nesting closure policy will replace the current "adaptive" closure policy that has allowed NPS Resource Management to run rampant over the past years. User groups have also said that such a policy must be in place before any will consider participating in the negotiated rulemaking process to develop a new ORV management plan for the Seashore. It has been feared that without a written policy in place, Resource Management would "trump" any resulting management plan created by the negotiated rulemaking committee, thereby thwarting efforts to create an equitable ORV management plan. Please find below the complete text of the Proposed Resolution that was adopted by the Board of Commissioners:

? RESOLUTION OF THE DARE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS REGARDING BEACH ACCESS ? WHEREAS, Cape Hatteras National Sea Shore (CHNS) is a vital part of Dare County; and
 WHEREAS, the CHNS encompasses eight villages which are economically dependent on full Accessibility to the beaches for residents and visitors; and
 WHEREAS, access to the beaches of CHNS has always been the defining element of the complete seashore visitor experience; and
 WHEREAS, OR V beach access is critical to area tourism and the economic stability of Dare County, and the State; and WHEREAS, access to the beaches by motorized vehicles was guaranteed by the founding legislation creating the CHNS in 1952 and is facilitated by the now famous "Hatteras Ramp System"; and WHEREAS, access to the beaches by motorized vehicles is compatible and not in conflict with CHNS responsibilities in protecting Park resources, including species protected under the Endangered Species Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and WHEREAS, residents and visitors to the CHNS acknowledge a need for a reasonable application of resource protection but bitterly oppose the unprecedented beach closures which have effectively eliminated the most popular surf fishing locations on the East Coast and subjected Local businesses and communities to economic hardship; and
 WHEREAS, said beach closures are often presumptive, anticipate that endangered species may inhabit currently unoccupied areas in the future, and are, thereby, excessive;
 ? NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Dare County Board of Commissioners demand permanent, responsive, Leadership be established for Cape Hatteras National Seashore; and
 . BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Dare County Board of Commissioners demand the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Park Service formulate a resource protection policy which strictly limits and minimizes beach closures within CHNS and insures free and open beach access for pedestrian and ORV use. (11) OBPA Website <http://www.obpa.net/> #Hundreds of e-mails and letters have been sent from over 20 states to the Outer Banks Preservation Association Inc. supporting our stand to responsibly keep our beaches free and open to all. Petitions calling for free and open beach access have already gathered over 6,500 signatures of full-time residents and visitors alike in just nine months. (12.) Brochure, Cape Hatteras National Seashore Off-road Vehicle management Plan, Public Meeting on the Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement / February 2007. Page 3 <http://www.ncbba.org/news.cfm?storyid=46>
 (13.) Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment, Summary, p. IV
 (14.) Superintendent's Order # 07, ORV Management, May 9th, 2006 Introduction: "Off-road vehicles (ORVs) are considered a traditional and appropriate use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. In accordance with the 1984 General Management Plan, selected beaches will continue to be open for ORV recreational driving. The Seashore is in the process of initiating a long term ORV management plan and regulation. Until the plan and regulation are established, this superintendent's order provides interim park policy on the management of ORV use and serves as a complement to the Interim Protected Species Management Strategy, which provides additional guidance on the use of ORVs in the vicinity of resource protection closures. All aspects of this policy are subject to change during the development of the long-term plan and regulation." (15) 020606 Transcript - IPSMS Public Meeting Hatteras Civic Center.pdf MR. LEON SCARBOROUGH: page 22 The ORV traffic down there on that 100-foot corridor can lead into some problems during the summertime. You can take one big beach party and take up the whole corridor. MR. MIKE MURRAY: Uh-huh. MR. LEON SCARBOROUGH: And then you come up to them and with -- trying to get through the inlet, and, I'm sorry, you're not going through. MR. MIKE MURRAY: Uh-huh. MR. LEON SCARBOROUGH: I've run into that. It's a mess, I'll say. If you get a bunch of drunk teenagers down there on that beach having a party and you go in the front and go fishing, they're not going to let you through. MR. MIKE MURRAY: Okay. MR. LEON SCARBOROUGH: And you've either got to go find a space or go home. MR. MIKE MURRAY: All right, thank you for the comments. Sir?
 (16) National Park Service News Release FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: DATE September 13, 2006
<http://www.ncbba.org/forum/read.cfm?forum=17&id=797&thread=419> (17.) Superintendent's Order # 07, ORV Management, May 9th, 2006 The ORV corridor will be marked by posts placed approximately 150 feet landward from the average, normal high tide line, or if less than 150 feet of space is available, at the vegetation or the toe of the remnant dune line; except as noted in the Interim Protected Species Management Strategy. The corridor width will fluctuate over time due to the dynamic nature of beach and surf. Beach areas landward of the post line, although not open to ORV use, will be open to pedestrian use unless otherwise posted. The location of the posts will be evaluated at least monthly for proper distance placement in relation to changing shorelines.
 18. Photo ORV tracks dune to waters edge.3PG

Correspondence ID: 2905 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Calvert, Martin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: RECEIVED MAR 14 2007 Outer Banks Group 128 Parker Avenue Newport News, VA 23606 March 12, 2007 Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to express my feelings regarding Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area (CHNSRA). This area has special significance to me, perhaps more than many of your visitors. I first visited CHNSRA in November 1981 on a fishing vacation from my home in England. I was the guest of a fellow fisherman from Charlston SC, and spent two weeks fishing Hatteras Island and Ocracoke Island. We enjoyed modest fishing, glorious sunrises, observing nature, and some sightseeing. The ability to drive on the beaches was a totally new experience for me. There is nowhere (that I am aware of) in England where I could enjoy such a privilege. I made a return visit two years later in the spring, and this is where CHNSRA became especially significant to me. I met a lady fisherman, who eventually became my wife. In 1986 I left my home to start a new life here in the USA. We made our home in Virginia Beach and from that time on spent many visits to CHNSRA. We have made many friends on the Island, and in order to help preserve the relative unspoiled nature of the area became members of the NCBBA, CHAC, and OBPA. We feel strongly about preserving the ability to drive on the beaches, not only to fish, but to simply enjoy nature's bounty. I can still remember in 1981 seeing a Pelican for the first time outside of a ZOO, watching all of the many shore birds scurrying at the waters edge. These and many more are what keep us coming back time after time. Now that we have grandchildren we now rent a cottage for a week during the summer so that we can all be together as a family, and enjoy watching the children playing in the sand and splashing about at the waters edge and starting to make their own memories. Without the ability to drive on the beaches much if not all of the above would be lost to us. As we age the ability to haul all of our fishing and beach equipment through the sand will become increasingly more difficult. One of my main reasons for leaving my home 20 years or so ago was the ability to access all that CHNSRA has to offer, and I cannot imagine how I will feel should this be reduced or lost altogether. CHNSRA has given me so many memories, and in conclusion I would like to share with you perhaps one of my most precious.

In 1989 my Mother, who was in her early 80's came over for a month to stay with us in Virginia Beach. She had never been outside of England before so this was a momentous trip for her. We had booked a cottage for a week in Hatteras, and she was looking forward to the trip, having heard so many of my tales of visits to the Island.

The weather was perfect, and so we finally persuaded her to wear a pair of shorts and a tee shirt. Not bad for an 80 year old whose legs had probably never seen the sun! She had a grand old time, collecting shells, and feeling the sand between her toes. She watched the sun come up from the deck at the cottage, and was so peaceful at the tranquility of it all. She had not had the easiest of lives and I was so glad I was able to share something special with her.

My parents never owned a car and so one day on the beach at Ocracoke I said to her, "here Mom you drive my truck", the beach was empty and flat so I felt that she could do no harm, and that one small breaking of the rules could be overlooked.

Mr. Murray, you asked what does CHNSRA mean to me. It is the lasting memory of bringing joy to the heart of my dear 80 year old mother, barely able to see over the dash of my truck driving on the beach.

Please help preserve these and future memories for all of us that love CHNSRA.

Correspondence ID: 2906 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Poulos, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Superintendent John Poulos MD Re: Off-road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS 4368 Ferncreek Dr Cape Hatteras National Seashore Fayetteville NC 28314 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27952 Dear Superintendent: As someone who treasures Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I feel that there needs to be a more appropriate balance between off-road vehicle access and the protection of wild land values, species protection and opportunities for non-motorized recreation.
Specifically:
- Species of native wildlife that are listed for protection under the Endangered Species Act, such as the piping plover, must be protected from "harm" and their critical habitat protected for their use, if necessary to the exclusion of other uses
- During critical nesting periods for birds and turtles, the beach should be off-limits to off-road vehicles to ensure the birds are able to nest and fledge without putting them in harm's way. As a visitor to other NC beaches such as Fort Fisher NC I have personally seen the devastating effect that off road vehicles can have on nesting Turtles as well as wildlife. When Congress established Cape Hatteras as a National Seashore, it stated that it should be "permanently reserved as a primitive wilderness and no development...for the convenience of visitors shall be undertaken which would be incompatible with the preservation of the unique flora and fauna. Moreover, in a Presidential Order issued in 1972, President Nixon directed that "Areas and trails shall be located in areas of the National Park system...only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values."
In determining the management of off-road vehicle use in the Seashore, please give priority to retaining the Seashore's "primitive wilderness" values as well as species protection. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: 2907 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hedrick, Ben
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,14,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Dear Mr. Murray:
I am probably one of a small and declining group of people who grew up on the Outer Banks from, essentially, its existence as a viable national entity. My father, Benjamin M. Hedrick, bought strips of land in the 1940's and worked on the beach, out of Kill Devil Hills, as a licensed realtor until the late 1950's. We spent our summers on the beach and I would often go fishing with my grandfather to Oregon Inlet and across by ferry to Pea Island. The road was mostly sand from Nags Head on south so it was a long trip for someone my age.
In my adulthood, I would take my family to Buxton in early October for vacation as the crowds thinned out, the weather was still good for swimming, and the fishing was better. We are old fashioned fisher-people as we only go where we can walk and take only the amount of fish we need for dinner. Our favorite spot was near the Hatteras lighthouse which, at that time, was closer to the water but still quite far inland. We loved to get out early in the morning to watch the sun rise over the ocean and listen to the many birds on the beach and behind us in the marshy areas.
As time passed and the beach became more popular, the trucks multiplied and the beach area declined. It was no longer so long a walk from the parking area to the ocean and the walk is done over deep tire ruts in the sand while dodging the speeding vehicles. The light pollution from the many headlights destroyed the view, the honking and engine sounds destroyed the tranquility, and the gasoline and diesel fumes assailed our noses. The sound of the few remaining birds was drowned out by the cacophony of a vehicular invasion. We eventually found ourselves spending more and more time on Pea Island where beach traffic is restricted.
Last October we made what might be our last October trip to the Outer Banks. While Pea Island is still a delight, the area near the old site of the Hatteras lighthouse has become a complete nightmare. While the parking lot is virtually empty, the shore, which is now only about a fifty yard walk from the designated parking area, was lined with vehicles in both directions. When all the shore area was filled, other vehicles cruised up and down looking for a place to open up so they could pull in. That plus their tendency to put out multiple fishing rods left little or no space for us "walkers" to find a spot to put down our small bucket. The big trucks also make it easy for the new breed of "sportsmen" to take large quantities of fish which often end up in the dumpsters in front of the motels rotting or feeding the numerous cats.
It would take a strong person with a long term view of the better good to stand up to the pressures of those who would sacrifice long term viability for short term income. The loss of income would, I think, only be temporary as the mix changes to walking fishermen, families, and ecology minded vacationers. With the current high profile on ecology and protection of our wildlife and properly marketed, this would not be a difficult result to achieve.
The long term result of letting things go on without change, however, is loss of the wildlife and, from the erosion I have seen, loss of the beach itself ? the reversal of which would be almost impossible to achieve.
I urge you to wage the good fight by taking the difficult position of defending the wildlife and the beach itself by restricting vehicular access to the beaches so that future generations might enjoy this wonderful area as I have.
Sincerely, Ben H. Hedrick 3653 Ironstone Road Bethlehem, PA 18020-1209

Correspondence ID: 2908 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hatcher, Tim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Feb,27,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence: Protecting the ecological health of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS) is critical for its long term sustainability. However, banning or significantly reducing the number of vehicles allowed on the CHNS will have detrimental economic, political and social impact. Recent research conducted by National Research Council review of Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (MRFSS) indicated a significant economic impact of recreational saltwater fishing in NC. A large percentage of recreational saltwater fishing in NC is surf fishing on the CHNS by fishermen who must use vehicles to access the surf. Banning this access will have long term detrimental effects on the economic viability of the NC coast. These include a significant reduction in income and other revenue for bait & tackle vendors, food & lodging, travel and other recipients of revenue generated by surf

fishing. This also includes the loss in associated sales taxes. There must be balance between the needs of these tax paying sportsmen, economic impact on NC citizens, and ecological concerns. To provide this balance I recommend that you: a. Scientifically study the actual and potential impact of current and future vehicle use on CHNS. b. Study the socio-economic impact of banning and/or significantly reducing CHNS access. c. Base equitable solutions on results of these studies and public and ecological concerns. If the National Park Service proposes a ban of vehicles from CHNS without due consideration of the above or similar actions as an alternative I strongly suggest implementing a fee for vehicle access to specified Cape Hatteras National Seashore surf areas. The collected money could be used for enforcement, administration, ecological studies and conservation. Balancing the needs of all stakeholders can be accomplished.

Correspondence ID: 2909 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Krieg, Francis

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,01,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Please allow me to express my thoughts for consideration in the planning process. My name is Francis Krieg Jr. I reside in Salisbury Maryland. I have been an avid user of the resource at Cape Hatteras as well as Assateague Island National Seashore for 35+ years. My family and I have been afforded the opportunity (thanks to the NPS) of a visit to the ocean for fishing, swimming, picnicking and other various family activities. While I basically spend more time at Assateague than the Outer Banks, my family and I visit the Outer Banks 3-4 times annually spending considerable financial resources for food and lodging during our stay. We additionally visit Cape Lookout National Seashore as well. During my 35+ years of visiting our treasured seashores I have seen a great many changes, some for the good, some for the not so good and some for no reason at all other than some outside group threatening legal action. I guess in the eyes of Audobon magazine writer I am also a beach bum. (I don't take his opinion too seriously as I have recently retired from Verizon Communications after 40 years as a Constuction Supervisor and Engineer). I wonder how many hours he has spent at our treasured seashores?

As a conscientious user of the resource, I have spent countless hours to assist in beach cleanups, dune grass plantings and assisting the NPS in any deemed assistance requested. I have always perceived the NPS as a friend rather than a foe. My fear is that the NPS feels it must in some way cave in to the unwarranted demands of these Preservationist groups that in fact know very little about the precious resource. In my thirty + years as a user, the phrase ORV management plan/EIS leads to the reduction of access to the everyday person. I wonder how many ORV management plans have been written for our seashores over the past 50 years? What is wrong with the present plan? I doubt the previous plans were perceived as inadequate or poorly done by its authors. My experience with NPS Superintendents was they could do an excellent job of managing the resource until there was outside groups inserting themselves into a Park Service function. I have complete confidence that the NPS can do an excellent job in managing the resource as long as it can be done without outside interference. However, this same outside interference is why we are working on a NEW ORV management plan. It seems like a shame that management should be compromised by responding to this purely political issue.

I urge you to take into consideration the following items when compiling this new plan. 1) There should be no further restrictions in ORV access without scientific documentation in regards to resource degradation. (I'm quite sure that hurricanes and Noreasters are what changes the the environment on these fragile barrier islands)

2) The certain negative financial impact to the local communities.

3) Known nesting sites should be fenced off with signage placed to allow vehicle passage around these sites and should be removed as soon as nesting season is over. (It has been my personal experience that nesting areas when fenced so that vehicles can pass create almost no disturbance to nesting birds. However, people walking up close, even people doing avian counts or those claiming to be protecting the species create havoc and distress to these birds when on foot. The coexist very well with passing vehicles. The real enemy of these birds are pedestrian use and predators such as raccoons and foxes as well as larger gulls.) 4) Areas of very low usage like marsh areas on the bay side can and should be afforded protection of closure at times deemed necessary by the Superintendent.

5) A greater presence of NPS patrol would reduce the number of incidents of non conscientious user violations.

I could go on but I feel I would probably digress. I would like to thank you in advance for your consideration of my comments in compilation of ORV management plan. Lastly, countless numbers of users are counting on you to use sound judgement in regards to any proposed changes, warranted or not rather than succumbing to legal action from outside interests.

Correspondence ID: 2910 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Mannschreck, Bill

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

I grew up in Philadelphia during the 40's and 50's and learned to surf fish from my father. We would go to the Phipps Estate, which was a 10 mile long private estate along the mid coast line of New Jersey. The owner was closely associated with Andrew Carnegie and there were thoughts of developing the long peninsula but then the depression came. My dad's relatives lived in Seaside Heights, NJ and knew the caretaker of the property so we were able to get a pass to go surf fishing. The road ended about 1 1/4 miles from Bamegat Inlet. For about 15 years, I would walk that beach almost every weekend from March to December and have so many great memories of fishing, building a fire on the beach and taking a driftwood plank and turning it over to sleep on the beach. My Uncle Paul who lived in Seaside Heights, worked in the local boatyard and had a shack on the estate and drove an old model A Ford on the beach. We'd get a ride to the inlet when he was there, or many times, other fishermen we knew would pick us up and we'd hop on the running board for a ride to the inlet. Even though I was young and didn't mind walking, I always dreamed of having a beach buggy to ride the beach. I remember the last time I took my dad fishing when his health was quite bad. We went to spot where the walkover the dunes was short and I carried a beach chair for him. We had to stop several times so he could sit and rest before reaching the surf. The last fish he caught was a sea robin and it was still enough to get him excited.

I retired from the Navy (civil service engineer) in June 1995 and next month my long dream came true. I bought a Ford Explorer and told my wife, this would last me until I die on the beach. It has only 60000 miles, since I use it mostly for fishing but it still has enough left to serve for many more years and I pray that is the case. I'm still here in Virginia Beach and can't wait to get down to Hatteras to go fishing this Spring. When I stand in the surf, I am completely at peace and forget about any problems or the world situation. I also enjoy the various Surf Fishing Tournaments. Even though they aren't very peaceful, I look forward to the competition and the challenge of beating other teams as well as my team mates.

I've been a member of the NCBBA ever since I met John Newbold at Rudee Inlet here in VB about the time I retired. I find their newsletter invaluable in keeping up with events concerning use of the beach. I've talked to people on your staff at various times while at Hatteras and am equally concerned about the plovers, other birds and keeping the beach and local nature healthy. I've always been an environmentalist and participated very actively with Earth Day events when I lived in Fredericksburg during the 70's. I'm a real nature guy and ever since my dad had a Victory Garden during WW11, have had a garden of my own; here in Virginia Beach I have a 20'X50' vegetable garden, along with a bird bath and various bird houses and feeders.

Part of my point is that fishermen driving the beach and environmentalist do not necessarily have to be in conflict. I don't believe it has to be an either/or, or, zero sum game. In my experience, I can't recall seeing fishermen in Beach Buggies abusing their privileges. I have seen some young guys getting overly enthusiastic and driving too fast but even that is a rarity and when it did occur, it was at low tide on the South Beach when no one else was around and I think they knew it was not a hazard to the birds or sunbathers.

Regarding the Plover issue, I think before any major decisions be made, it would be necessary to document the time involved during the various stages of the development of these chicks. It's possible that the "At Risk" period could be as short as just a few days. For example, the eggs are incubated for 14 days, the chicks hatch, they are fed by their parents for another 3-4 weeks, they learn to walk after 5 weeks and they learn to fly after 6 weeks. After how long are they capable of walking to the surf line or do they learn to fly by then such that they don't walk to the surf? Is it possible to build a bather around the nests at a distance that won't affect their development, yet keep them from wandering into buggy tracks where they might get run over? If we know all this, it may only be necessary to restrict driving past a nearby nest for a period of only 1 week. Since answers to these questions are critical to

decision making and each side would like to slant things in their favor, I'd suggest we try to get answers from a number of independent sources before reaching any final decisions. Unfortunately, I have not been able to attend any of the recent meetings but I do believe the concerns of both sides are not too difficult to resolve and reach compromise.

I don't drive the beach daily like many of the locals do but over the past 12 years I have not observed anything different to cause the beach to be closed or restricted. I ask and I hope you will consider both sides of the story and allow us to keep driving on the beach for many years. I can be reached at Wmannschre@aol or 757-340-0885. Thanks for considering my thoughts on this issue and I look forward to getting back to nature and the beach at Hatteras within the next month.

Correspondence ID: 2911 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: De Hoog, Earl

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

We are writing in reference to the ORV Management Plan/EIS for Cape Hatteras National Seashore that is currently being developed. We feel very strongly that off road vehicles should continue to be a part of this park. We have been enjoying and using Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the past 30 years. Recently we have seen the trend to restrict more and more areas from the public. We realize that this is considered a complex ecological area. We feel, however, that there is a large national seashore area that runs throughout the outer banks that also provides hundreds of acres for preservation. We feel that over the past 30 years we have seen off road vehicles and the environment have coexisted in the park without extreme harm. However, if new measures are implemented for more restriction on the beach, there is a definite chance of a species facing extinction - the human family. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is one place where you see the family unit alive and well. It is a place for families to have extended family reunions. It is a place for an older couple to be able to relax and enjoy the beauty of nature. It is a place for children to explore and experience the beach as it should be. It is a major factor in our own children growing up to be good stewards of the environment. You do not see people abusing the beach. Trash is picked up and taken away. Trash that has come in from the ocean is cleaned up by those using the beach. Only footprints are left. I feel that you would receive many more letters requesting that you to keep vehicle access open to Cape Hatteras, however, many of the people who use this park are there on vacation. Therefore the people who come down from Ohio, NJ, Virginia, are not aware that this issue is even being examined. Even though we live relatively close to Cape Hatteras, on the mainland of NC, I only saw one small newspaper article about this opportunity for public comment. I am sure there would be a very strong opinion in favor of keeping four wheel drive vehicles if you set up a survey on the beach throughout the summer months. It is not that the people who have used the beach in the past do not care. It is that they are not aware of the reality that the freedom of driving out on the beach could or would be taken away from them. We feel that National Parks/Seashores were originally set up so that the citizens could learn to appreciate and enjoy the beautiful and diverse country we live in. We feel by restricting vehicles, you are leaving 99% of the park for only the park rangers to be able to enjoy, leaving the public to a small restricted area which will end up crowded and abused because of the large number of people in a small area. A restricted area for could never show the general public what a diverse and beautiful park Cape Hatteras is. It seems that in more and more places in the country, access to the beach is for the rich and elite. This park is a place for all economic backgrounds. Not all the 4 wheel drives are fancy SUV's. There are many older vehicles with people enjoying the beach just as much. Allowing off road vehicles in Cape Hatteras National Seashore a very unique opportunity not found in very many places in the country. It allows people to be able to explore on their own. It makes it possible for us to take our elderly parents to the beach for a day, when they usually cannot handle walking on the sand to access the other public areas. There are many days where we would stay up to 12 hours or more on the beach with our teenagers. We enjoy the morning walks, the daytime swims, the late afternoon when the hot sun begins to cool, the sun setting over the dunes. Our children have been curious to learn more about all kinds of sea life because of what they have seen on the beach. We have celebrated the 4th of July many times there, and felt pride in seeing many vehicles flying the American flag. Please do not take this right away from the people. We want to be able to take our grandchildren out to the point to show them what we consider the most beautiful place on earth. If you must implement some type of access fee, we can understand. However, we feel that it is a beautiful thing to be able to provide this free access for the citizens of this country. We thank you for your consideration on the voice of the people on this issue.

Correspondence ID: 2912 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Golder, Walker

Outside Organization: Audubon North Carolina Conservation/Preservation

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

The mission of the National Audubon Society's North Carolina State Office is to conserve and restore natural ecosystems, focusing on birds, other wildlife, and their habitats for the benefit of humanity and North Carolina's biological diversity. On behalf of Audubon North Carolina's 12,000 members, we submit the following comments regarding ORV use and natural resource management in Cape Hatteras National Seashore ("Seashore"). Audubon North Carolina staff have more than 30 years of combined experience with the Seashore, colonial waterbirds, and beach-nesting shorebirds in North Carolina. Audubon's staff have also followed waterbird and shorebird population trends, changes in habitat, and present and potential threats related of beach-nesting birds in the Seashore for the past 20 years.

The Seashore has experienced significant declines in breeding pairs of shorebirds and colonial waterbirds in the past 10 to 15 years. The reasons for these declines are evident and can be categorized into three primary causes: human disturbance, predation, and habitat loss. The primary contributors to population declines are anthropogenic in origin.

It is critically important that Cape Hatteras National Seashore take measures to protect shorebirds and waterbirds, and their habitats. It is also important for protection measures to be based on the current science related to issues and the biological needs of the species in question. The peer-reviewed scientific literature is clear and the recommendations developed by biologists who are among the leading experts in their respective fields are also clear. Audubon has observed that the Seashore has implemented measures to protect birds that deviate significantly from the science and the recommendations of experts. This deviation appears to be motivated by a desire to appease a vocal minority user group that strongly opposes natural resource protection in favor of a free and open access for vehicles on Seashore beaches. In doing so, it is also apparent by recent actions by the Seashore that the Seashore has elected to "reinvent the wheel" of beach-nesting bird management and ignore at least two decades of objective, scientific, peer-reviewed literature related to beach-nesting birds. Furthermore, in doing so, actions by the Seashore are inconsistent with National Park Service Organic Act, Executive Orders, National Park Service Management Policies 2001, Endangered Species Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and additional legislation.

Audubon strongly urges the National Park Service to implement proven and science-based protection measures for beach-nesting waterbirds and shorebirds, as well as migratory and wintering shorebirds, and these measures should be implemented in a timely manner.

Natural Resource Management Plan vs. ORV Management Plan It is apparent that the Seashore has elected to develop an ORV management plan and have this plan serve as the basis for natural resource management on Seashore beaches. It is also apparent from the "Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment" and from press releases from the Seashore that the ORV management plan will determine how natural resources on beaches within the Seashore will be managed and protected.

The development of an ORV management plan and a natural resource management plan should be two separate initiatives. They are clearly two separate documents with different goals and objectives, and should be treated as such. The Seashore should revise its present resource management plan for plants, plant communities, birds, other wildlife, and barrier island processes before an ORV management plan is developed.

The use of "negotiated rulemaking" to develop an ORV management plan is an acceptable means of considering input from all stakeholders. This process is entirely inappropriate for the development of a natural resource management plan. A natural resource management plan should be developed by biologists, ecologists, and geologists with demonstrated expertise with barrier island wildlife, plants, plant communities, and natural processes. It should not be developed or influenced by any other parties, especially those with the intent of restricting or eliminating resource protection.

The present proposal by the Seashore to develop an ORV management plan guided by the "negotiated rulemaking" process and let this plan determine how natural resources will be managed and protected leads one to believe only that the Seashore proposes to let recreation activity determine if wildlife and plants will be afforded protection within the Seashore. This is clearly inconsistent with the National Park Service Organic Act, Executive Orders,

National Park Service Management Policies 2001, and additional legislation and/or policies.

Waterbird and Shorebird Populations Audubon is seriously concerned about the future of waterbirds and shorebirds within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, especially the seven species of birds that nest on Seashore beaches and high priority shorebird species, such as Piping Plover, that depend on Seashore habitats during migration and winter. In a relatively short period of time, Cape Hatteras National Seashore has gone from one of the most important places for beach-nesting waterbirds and shorebirds, recognized as a globally significant Important Bird Area by the American Bird Conservancy and the National Audubon Society, to one of the most threatened. Audubon North Carolina considers Cape Hatteras National Seashore to be one of the most seriously threatened of North Carolina's 92 Important Bird Areas. Several species of birds that nest on the Seashore's beaches have declined significantly in the past 10 years (Table 1). Hatteras Island has experienced the most significant decline (Table 2) while Ocracoke Island has experienced an increase in nesting waterbirds (Table 3). The majority of nesting waterbirds on Ocracoke Island exist at a single site on the south end of the island.

Human disturbance is a significant threat to beach-nesting birds, migrating shorebirds, and wintering shorebirds within the Seashore. With an estimated 2.25 million visitors annually and an estimated 91,907 vehicles using Seashore beaches annually (Vogelong 2003), the Seashore needs to take action to protect birds and their habitats within the Seashore.

There is a wealth of knowledge about waterbirds and shorebirds and their responses to human disturbance. These are outlined in numerous publications. National, regional, and state conservation plans list human disturbance as a significant threat to waterbirds and shorebirds (Brown et al. 2001, Hunter et al. 2006, Hunter et al. 2000, Hunter et al. 2002, Kress and Hall 2000, Kushlan et al. 2002, Parnell and Shields 1990, Schulte et al. 2006, USFWS 1996). Research published in peer-reviewed scientific literature also list human disturbance as a significant threat to nesting waterbirds and shorebirds (Burger 1981, Burger and Gochfeld 1991, Burger et al. 1995, Carney and Sydeman 1999, Erwin 1980, Erwin 1989, Laferty et al. 2006, McGowan and Simons 2006, Nisbet 2000, Parnell et al. 1998, Rodgers and Smith 1995, Safina and Burger 1983, Sabine et al. 2005, Sabine et al. 2006, and among many others). Furthermore, species accounts in The Birds of North America series of publications identify human disturbance as a key threat to terns, skimmers and shorebirds (Nol and Humphrey 1994, Thompson et al. 1997, Gochfeld and Burger 1994, Nisbet 2002, Parnell et al. 1995, Haig and Elliot-Smith 2004, Corbat and Bergstrom 2000). The management recommendations developed specifically for CAHA also list human disturbance as a significant threat (Buckley and Buckley 1976, Erwin 2005, Meyers 2005, and Cohen 2005).

Several studies have addressed the reaction of nesting birds to human disturbances and provide recommended minimum setback distances (Table 6). These recommended setback distances are the minimum distances at which recreation activity (including people and vehicles) should be kept from incubating birds and adults with chicks.

Studies addressing the reactions of shorebirds and waterbirds to the presence of dogs are limited. Dogs have been observed killing black skimmer chicks (W. Golder pers. obs.) and anecdotal observations of the reaction of nesting birds to the presence of dogs suggest that nesting birds are disturbed at greater distances by the presence of a dog (W. Golder pers. obs.). Dogs off leash have been observed to be a significant problem at the Seashore. The Seashore should strictly enforce leash regulations for dogs and a minimum of 100m of additional buffer distance should be added in areas where dogs are permitted (Hunter et al. 2006).

We realize the desire of the National Park Service to balance resource protection with visitor use, even though the enabling legislation of the National Park Service clearly defines which of the two should be given priority when there are conflicts. However, balancing resource protection and visitor use by providing nesting birds with 50 yards or less of buffer distance from recreation activity when the science clearly shows that nesting waterbirds and some species of shorebirds require a minimum of 100 to 200 yards or more does very little to protect nesting birds. In most cases, it only serves to ensure the failure of nesting birds. This type is compromise is completely inappropriate.

Protection for nesting colonial waterbirds In 2005, the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center prepared and submitted to Cape Hatteras National Seashore a document entitled Monitoring and Protection Protocols for Colonially Nesting Waterbirds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, North Carolina (Erwin 2005). This document clearly outlines actions that should be taken by the Seashore to protect, manage, and monitor colonial waterbirds within the Seashore. The recommendations provided by Audubon are consistent with the recommendations presented in this document and urge the Seashore to take action and implement these recommendations.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting colonial waterbirds within the Seashore: 1. Close with symbolic fencing (posts, string, closure signs) all suitable nesting habitats at nesting sites that have been used in the past 10 years, with appropriate buffer distances (see Table 6), from April 1st to September 14 or until the last chick has fledged and departed the area. These areas would include: Bodie Island spit, Cape Point, South Beach at Cape Point, Hatteras Inlet Spit, Ocracoke Inlet spit, and the north end of Ocracoke at Hatteras Inlet. 2. Monitor all other sites where habitat is suitable for nesting terns and skimmers (see Erwin 2005) and close these areas with symbolic fencing and appropriate buffer distances immediately upon observing courtship activity, territorial behavior, or scrapes. Closures should remain in effect until September 11 or until when the last chick has fledged and departed the area. 3. A narrow pedestrian corridor could be allowed at the mean high tide line for the purposes of fishing or passive recreation (walking, sunbathing, etc.) during daylight hours as long as nesting birds were not within 180m for Common Terns and Black Skimmers, or 100m for Least Terns. Dogs and active recreation activity (kite flying, ball or frisbee throwing/games, fireworks, other games) should not be allowed in the pedestrian corridor. If deliberate acts of disturbance or harassment of birds, or vandalism are observed, the area should be closed entirely for the remainder of the nesting season. 4. ORVs could be allowed on all beaches where public safety and protected resources were not jeopardized by such activity. 5. Exceptions to pedestrian and ORV closures for colonial waterbirds: a. Cape Point: ORVs could be permitted to travel on the north side of Cape Point through a corridor within 20 m from the mean high water line to reach the easternmost tip of Cape Point and -300m along the south side of Cape Point (which could remain open) provided that suitable habitat and recommended buffer distances are closed (to the water line if necessary) on the south side of Cape Point and that nesting piping plovers and American oystercatchers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. b. Hatteras Inlet: an alternate route through habitat that is not appropriate for nesting or foraging waterbirds and shorebirds could be established to provide for access to Pamlico Sound as long as such a route was at an appropriate distance from nesting or potential nesting sites and foraging habitat for terns, skimmers, American Oystercatchers, and Piping Plovers and these species were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by the action. c. Ocracoke Inlet: a pedestrian and ORV corridor could be established to allow access along the ocean front beach to Ocracoke Inlet provided that the sound side of the Ocracoke Inlet spit (including wet sand and intertidal areas to the water line) was closed to all activity and such a corridor did not disturb or otherwise threaten nesting Piping Plovers and American Oystercatchers.

The recommendations presented above are based on research conducted by experienced and objective scientists. If the National Park Service feels that nesting birds at Cape Hatteras behave different than the same species studied in other areas, then conduct the appropriate studies at Cape Hatteras using an impartial and credible scientist affiliated with a major University or appropriate federal agency and with demonstrated expertise with shorebirds and waterbirds. Until there is new and credible research to prove that nesting birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore behave differently than the same species in other similar areas, then the Seashore should follow the recommendations put forth in the existing studies.

Protection for nesting Piping Plovers. In 2005, the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center prepared and submitted to Cape Hatteras National Seashore a document entitled Management and Protection Protocols for the Threatened Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*) on Cape Hatteras National Seashore, North Carolina (Cohen 2005). In addition, the Atlantic Piping Plover Recovery Plan (USFWS 1996) provides recommendations regarding the protection of adults, nests, chicks, and habitats. These documents clearly outline actions that should be taken by the Seashore to protect, manage, and monitor Piping Plovers within the Seashore. The recommendations provided by Audubon are consistent with these recommendations and we urge the Seashore to take action and fully implement recommendations presented in these documents. The present actions by the Seashore to protect nesting Piping Plovers and habitats they require for survival have been largely ineffective at providing suitable conditions for nesting and access to suitable foraging habitat. Cohen (2005) addressed the current management approach of the Seashore: "Effects of current management/Current management at CAHA results in the presence of ORVs in oceanside and inlet habitat during the nonbreeding and territory establishment periods, and pedestrians in oceanside, inlet, and soundside habitat Based on 2005 management, recreation is only restricted from plover habitat when broods of plovers or other species are present, although recreation is kept to a 50 m distance from incubating nests by resource closures. As detailed above (see "Threats to Survival and Reproduction"), the effects of this recreation may include the crushing of adult birds, destruction of the wrack line (leading to a decrease in foraging opportunities for adults and broods), alteration of the beach profile which can affect suitability for nesting, disturbance to adult birds (with possible disruption of territory establishment or decrease in foraging efficiency), vandalism of nests, and an increased presence of domestic and wild predators, that may in turn decrease nest and chick survival or lead to territory abandonment." (Cohen 2005) The greatest known fledging success for Piping Plovers in the Seashore was in 2005 when 2 Piping Plover pairs breeding at Cape Point/South Beach were reported to have fledged 3 chicks

each. In 2005, access to Cape Point was limited during the period when piping plover chicks were present, thus the chicks were able to access to suitable foraging habitat (and likely avoid predators) without disturbances. We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting Piping Plovers within the Seashore: 1. Close with symbolic fencing (posts, string, closure signs) all suitable nesting habitats and associated foraging habitats at nesting sites that have been used in the past 10 years. The area should be closed from March 15th to September 1d or until the last chick has fledged and departed the area. This would include Bodie Island spit, Cape Point, South Beach, Hatteras spit, northern Ocracoke Island at Hatteras Inlet and southern Ocracoke Island near Ocracoke Inlet. 2. All sound side intertidal areas, wet sand flats, mud flats, and the margins of ephemeral pools or ponds associated with Bodie Island spit, Cape Point, Hatteras spit, northern Ocracoke Island (if such habitat becomes available on the spit that is growing on the sandbar in the inlet that is approaching Ocracoke) and southern Ocracoke Island should be closed to all recreation activity. 3. Monitor all other sites where habitat is suitable for nesting piping plovers (see Cohen 2005) and close these areas with symbolic fencing and appropriate buffer zones immediately upon observing courtship activity, territorial behavior, or scrapes. Closures should remain in effect until September 1s' or until when the last chick has fledged and departed the area. 4. Upon discovery of scraping, territorial behavior, or a nest all recreation activity within 200m of the site should be restricted. 5. One week prior to the anticipated hatch date of a nest, ORVs, dogs, and active recreation activity should be prohibited from dunes, beach, intertidal zone, wet sand flats, near ephemeral pools or ponds, or any other habitat potentially used by Piping Plovers within 1000m of the nest site unless a natural barrier exists between such activity and the nest site. No activity should be permitted between a nesting site and associated foraging habitat. This closure should remain until all chicks have fledged (approximately 35 days after hatching). 6. A trained monitor should assess the location and status of Piping Plover chicks daily, and the location of foraging areas used by the chicks. Passive recreation activity (fishing, walking, or sunbathing) could be allowed within 200m of the brood and associated foraging habitat. If deliberate acts of disturbance or harassment of birds, or vandalism are observed, the area should be closed entirely for the remainder of the nesting season. 7. The Seashore should follow recreational take guidelines presented in Atlantic Piping Plover Recovery Plan (USFWS 1996). 8. Exceptions to the pedestrian and ORV closures: a. Cape Point: ORVs could be permitted to travel on the east facing beach of Cape Point through a corridor within 20 m from the mean high water line (not storm tide line) to reach the eastern tip of Cape Point and -300m along the south side of Cape Point (which could remain open) provided that suitable habitat and recommended buffer distances are closed (to the water line if necessary) on the south side of Cape Point and that nesting waterbirds and American oystercatchers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. b. Hatteras Inlet: an alternate route through habitat that is not appropriate for nesting waterbirds and shorebirds could be established to provide for access to Pamlico Sound as long as such a route was at an appropriate distance from nesting or potential nesting sites, and foraging habitat for terns, skimmers, American oystercatchers, and piping plovers. As discussed above in 7a, there should be appropriate buffer distances at all times between ORV use and unfledged piping plover chicks. c. Ocracoke Island: a pedestrian and ORV corridor could be established to allow access along the northern and southern oceanfront beach to the inlets provided that the sound side (including wet sand and intertidal areas to the water line) was closed to all activity and such a corridor did not jeopardize nesting waterbirds and American oystercatchers. As discussed above in 7a, there should be adequate buffers at all times between ORV use and unfledged piping plover chicks. 9. In the event there is suitable breeding habitat at other locations, similar protection strategies should be used. The Seashore is a highly dynamic location, with habitats appearing and disappearing over time. If high quality habitat has appeared (like the overwash fan at Hatteras Inlet spit from Hurricane Isabel) as a result of a storm or other factors, the Seashore should provide those habitats with a similar level of protection.

Protection for non-breeding Piping Plovers All resting/roosting and foraging habitats used by non-breeding piping plovers, including soundside wet sand or mud flats near inlets, the margins of ephemeral pool or pond habitats, and adjacent upland sandy dune or beach within 50 m should be closed to ORV and recreation activity.

Protection for American Oystercatchers The American Oystercatcher is listed as a "Species of High Concern" in the US Shorebird Conservation Plan (Brown et al. 2001). Primary threats to this species are habitat loss, human disturbance, and predation by non-native predators and species closely associated with human activity (Schulte et al. 2006). Human disturbance has been implicated as a significant contributor to low reproductive success and high predation rates in studies conducted in North Carolina and Georgia (McGowan 2004, Davis et al. 2001, Sabine 2005, Sabine et al. 2005, Simons 2004). Davis et al. (2001) also found that oystercatchers avoid nesting in areas with high human disturbance and McGowan (2004) found that the presence of vehicles on beaches contributed to higher predation rates.

In 2005, the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center prepared and submitted to Cape Hatteras National Seashore a document entitled Management, Monitoring, and Protection Protocols for American Oystercatchers at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, North Carolina (Meyers 2005). This document clearly outlines actions that should be taken by the Seashore to protect, manage, and monitor American Oystercatchers within the Seashore. The recommendations provided by Audubon are consistent with the recommendations presented in this document and urge the Seashore to take action and implement these recommendations.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting American Oystercatchers within the Seashore: 1. Close with symbolic fencing (posts, string, closure signs) all suitable nesting habitats and associated foraging habitats at nesting sites that have been used in the past 10 years. Closures should remain in effect from March 15a' to August 15th or until the last chick has fledged and departed the area. 2. Survey Seashore beaches for paired and territorial American Oystercatcher adults from mid-March to mid-May and close suitable nesting habitats and associated foraging habitats within 200m of all paired and territorial adults. 3. Closures should include an area of 200m from a nest or paired and territorial adults, and should include suitable nesting habitat as well as associated foraging habitat. Narrow pass-through corridors could be established for daylight use only in areas where trained and qualified biologists determined that such activity did not result in disturbance to incubating oystercatchers. 4. At hatching, all activity within 200m of the nesting site and the associated foraging areas should be prohibited. Qualified monitors should assess habitat use by the adults and chicks and adjust the closures accordingly. Any narrow pass through or corridor established outside of a 137m zone from a nest during incubation provided that it was restricted to daylight use only and a trained monitor was present at all times to assess the location and habitats use by chicks and adults, and this monitor had the authority and ability to close the areas immediately upon observing an imminent threat to adults or chicks. Daylight pass through corridors should not be established if they impede the access of American Oystercatcher chicks to foraging habitat. 5. If deliberate acts of disturbance or harassment of birds, or vandalism are observed, the area should be closed entirely for the remainder of the nesting season. 6. Exceptions to the pedestrian and ORV closures: a. Cape Point: ORVs could be permitted to travel on the north side of Cape Point through a corridor within 20 m from the mean high water line to reach the easternmost tip of Cape Point and -300m along the south side of Cape Point (which could remain open) provided that suitable habitat and recommended buffer distances are established (to the water line if necessary) on the south side of Cape Point and that nesting colonial waterbirds and Piping Plovers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. b. Hatteras Inlet: an alternate route through habitat that is not appropriate for nesting oystercatchers, piping plovers, or colonial waterbirds could be established to provide for access to Pamlico Sound as long as such a route was at an appropriate distance from nesting or potential nesting sites, and foraging habitat for terns, skimmers, American oystercatchers, and Piping Plovers and these species were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action. c. Ocracoke Island: a pedestrian and ORV corridor could be established to allow access along the northern and southern oceanfront beach to the inlets provided that the sound side (including wet sand and intertidal areas to the water line) was closed to all activity and such a corridor did not jeopardize nesting colonial waterbirds and Piping Plovers were not disturbed or otherwise threatened by this action.

Protection for non-breeding shorebirds The Seashore is a critical migratory stopover and wintering area for North American shorebirds. A study of migrating and wintering shorebirds on the Outer Banks of North Carolina concluded: "Our findings confirm that the Outer Banks of North Carolina provide a critical link in the migratory path of several shorebird species (e.g., Sanderling, Whimbrel). If habitat loss or alteration were to occur, portions of their Atlantic Flyway populations could be negatively affected, perhaps contributing to further population decline (Howe et al. 1989). The threatened Piping Plover, which depends on the Outer Banks for breeding habitat, could also be affected by such habitat changes. Given the regional significance of this area, efforts to ensure the continued availability of habitat for shorebirds amidst demands for development and recreational uses should constitute a conservation priority." Dinsmore et al. 1998

Habitats that support migrating and wintering shorebirds deserve protection from human disturbance, which is one contributing factor in the loss of key habitats for these birds. Key sites that support significant concentrations of migrating and wintering shorebirds include the soundside wet sand and/or mud flats and ephemeral pools/ponds associated with Bodie Island Spit, Hatteras Spit, and the southern end of Ocracoke Island. These same areas support the highest concentrations of migrating and wintering Piping Plovers in the Seashore.

We urge the Seashore to implement the following protection measures to protect nesting non-breeding shorebirds within the Seashore: 1. The soundside wet sand and/or mud flats and ephemeral pools/ponds associated with Bodie Island Spit, Hatteras Spit, and the southern end of Ocracoke Island should be closed to vehicles, dogs, and active recreation activity. Passive recreation activity, such as fishing, could be permitted within a narrow corridor (<10

m) along the soundside water line in an area within 200 m from Ocracoke Inlet and 100m from Hatteras and Oregon Inlets. Monitoring of shorebirds and colonial waterbirds We recognize that the Seashore has implemented monitoring programs for Piping Plovers and American Oystercatchers. The Seashore has not implemented a monitoring program for colonial waterbirds. The Seashore should implement a monitoring program to assess the effectiveness of the ORV Management Plan and the impact of the ORV Management Plan on all beach-nesting bird species and other resources in the Seashore. We are particularly concerned, based on our observations of the Seashore's monitoring efforts in 2005, that the monitoring effort is not sufficient to safely regulate ORV use in close proximity to unfledged Piping Plover and American Oystercatcher chicks. This was clearly evident by the deaths of two American Oystercatcher chicks last year, which resulted from disturbance induced abandonment and predation. Audubon strongly emphasizes that even the highest level of monitoring will not provide an acceptable level of protection for chicks where there is an inadequate buffer distance between recreational ORV use and the chicks. We observed many times when NPS staff did know where the chicks were, but, had the adults chosen to take the chicks towards the ORV corridor, the NPS field staff would not have been able to take sufficient action to keep the chicks from being run over. Of course, the chicks cannot be protected if the Seashore does not know where the chicks are in relation to ORV traffic. Furthermore, they cannot be protected if insufficient buffer distances are established. Audubon also is very concerned about the Seashore's reaction to shorebird broods that may move significant distances to access suitable foraging and roosting habitat. It is well known that Piping Plovers and American Oystercatchers can rapidly move long distances with their chicks (as shown by the South Beach pair of Piping Plovers in 2005, which moved to Cape Point overnight). Shorebird chicks and adults may be present at soundside habitats one day and move to ocean beach habitats by the next day, or the next week. Therefore, it is very risky to assume that ORV use in a location that has an inadequate buffer is acceptable just because the chicks have not used an area where habitat is appropriate. Monitoring is critical and the ability of field staff to close areas to disturbances (ORV and/or pedestrian) immediately upon observing an imminent threat to the survival of chicks is equally important.

Lastly, we urge the Seashore to adopt and fully implement the adaptive resource management approaches outlined by Meyers (2005), Cohen (2005), and Erwin (2005).

Predation Predation is a natural occurrence and it is important in maintaining ecosystem balance. It is also one of the key limiting factors in waterbird and shorebird nesting productivity in the Seashore. Predation can become problematic when the predator is an introduced species, when the population of a predator is un-naturally high due to human influences, when predation is occurring on species experiencing significant population declines, or when there are efforts underway to restore nesting sites (Parnell et al. 1998, Hunter et al. 2006).

It is important for the Seashore to fully understand the impact of predators, especially non-native predators, on the nesting success of waterbirds and shorebirds within the Seashore. We feel, based on observations at nesting sites and reports from researchers working in the Seashore, that removal of non-native predators at nesting sites is warranted. We also recommend that the Seashore take action to reduce predator feeding stations by securing fish cleaning areas and garbage collection areas, and prohibit the feeding of predators by visitors.

Visitor and ORV user Education and Awareness It is likely that many visitors and ORV users within the Seashore are completely unaware of beach-nesting birds, the habitats they require for nesting and foraging, the importance of these habitats to their survival, the impact of vehicles and human disturbances on these birds, and the impact their actions have on predators. The Seashore has made only a minimal effort to make visitors aware of the biology of beach-nesting birds.

We urge the Seashore to develop and implement an extensive natural resource awareness program as part of the ORV Management Plan. The Seashore should also distribute this information to all ORV users that access the Seashore beaches.

One program that should be considered is the establishment a permit-based ORV access program whereby all ORV users must have a permit to drive on Seashore beaches. User orientation programs are common in Seashores, Parks, Refuges, Wilderness Areas, and National Forests where visitors can have a significant impact on sensitive natural resources. As part of the permit process, visitors should be required participate in a Seashore orientation program which, should include a natural resource awareness component and a thorough explanation of Seashore regulations. This would allow the Seashore to reach all ORV users. This type of program can also serve to alleviate issues for Seashore law enforcement personnel by ensuring that all ORV users are aware of Seashore regulations. If violations resulted in the revocation of beach-driving privileges for the offender, drivers may make an extra effort to ensure they are operating their vehicles in a safe and responsible manner.

Summary Protection of nesting habitat for beach-nesting birds does not require vehicles to be banned from the Seashore's beaches. Audubon supports appropriate and responsible access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches for both people and vehicles in a manner that does not endanger people or wildlife. Nesting birds and vehicles can co-exist on Cape Hatteras National Seashore beaches provided that proven and science-based protection measures are implemented.

Audubon's comments presented here are related to the protection of birds and their habitats. Birds are not the only species impacted by ORV use within the Seashore. Sea turtles, plants (such as seabeach amaranth), and plant communities are also affected by ORV use in the Seashore. The Seashore should assess the impacts of ORV use and provide appropriate protection for all natural resources within the Seashore. It should also be noted that ORV corridors along the ocean beach can have a significant negative impact on sea turtles attempting to nest and on nests themselves.

Lastly, the Seashore should make a serious and concerted effort to understand the cumulative impacts of its management approach for birds and other wildlife, ORV use on Seashore beaches, and the impact of ORV use on birds, other wildlife, plants, and plant communities. The Seashore should also explore opportunities for restoring habitat for birds and other wildlife within the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important issue.

Correspondence ID: 2913 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Berryhill, William I

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar.09.2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

Dear Superintendent Murray: It was a pleasure meeting you personally at the NPS Public Scoping Meeting on the ORV Management Plan held in Raleigh this past Thursday, February 28, 2007. I thought the meeting was well-attended, professionally moderated, and that everyone present had an opportunity to express their views on this critically important matter. I commend the National Park Service for sponsoring this most helpful and instructive forum. Frankly, I didn't have a chance to thoroughly digest the NPS ORV Management Plan brochure that was distributed at the meeting until after I got home. But I have since read it from start to finish. Unfortunately, there was a portion of the brochure which troubled me. I direct your attention to page 3 on which are listed thirteen (13) "bullet" items under the heading "Issues Related to ORV Use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore." This title suggests that these issues are extant and are descriptive of actual negative impacts being caused by ORV's within the CHNRS. However, after carefully examining all of these issues, I think a more accurate and appropriate title for this portion of the NPS brochure would be "POTENTIAL Issues Relating to ORV Use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore." For example, each time the NPS brochure mentioned the word "ORV," it was always followed by the words "could," "may," or "has the potential to." Indeed, in reading over these thirteen supposedly identified ORV issues, I counted eight (8) "coulds," seven (7) "mays," and one (1) "has the potential to." In no instance after the word "ORV" was it followed by the words "are" or "have." What these choices of words indicate to me is that these so-called identified ORV "issues" are not truly existing issues or problems at all --only theoretical possibilities at best.

If I may be so bold, "potentials" and "possibilities" seem flawed reasons to adopt any kind of in-place, operational "ORV Management Plan." In my opinion, it would make much more managerial sense to design a "contingency" ORV Plan which could be implemented if any of these visionary issues or problems ever became a reality.

Reacting in a sound, communicative and prudent manner to real ? not imagined ? problems on the CHNRS seems to be what the NPS has been doing over the past year under your very capable and proven leadership. In my estimation, the CHNRS doesn't need any formal, operational ORV Management Plan; but I realize the die has been cast and that some kind of plan is a foregone conclusion. Nevertheless, I maintain that this mammoth bureaucratic effort could easily be avoided if only the NPS would simply guarantee ORV beach access, sensible protection of wildlife, more effective law enforcement, good public relations and a continuation of your common sense, refreshing and effective management style.

Correspondence ID: 2914 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mandulak, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar.15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Several issues were brought up regarding the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area (CHNSRA) at the recent scoping meeting in Raleigh. These are the official comments of the Coastal Conservation Association of North Carolina (CCA NC), and its thousands of members. While CCA NC is a conservation organization concerned with the preservation and enhancement of the marine resource and environment, we do not support limited use. Currently, North Carolina is experiencing a major problem of water access for the public. The number of public piers has been cut in half of what it was a few short years ago. Public access marinas are being converted for private use at an alarming rate. Piers, boats and ORVs are the only way for the disabled, aged and families with children to access the CHNSRA beaches. The distances required to walk to the most favorable spots are too great. Therefore maintaining and expanding ORV public access to our marine environment is extremely important, especially with the ever growing coastal population.

First, we would like to be clear in our use of the term ORV. For our comments we mean four-wheel drive automobiles, truck and SUVs. We do not mean ATVs.

With the current areas open to ORV use, the only negative is the limitation of driving on Pea Island Wildlife Sanctuary, around the villages (most ORV users are supportive of closure around the villages during the peak tourist season with access open again in the "shoulder" season at limited speeds) and certain areas of Ocracoke Island. These closed areas can be used by those who do not wish to be around ORV users. The closed area of Pea Island was initially to be "temporary" but seems to have become permanent. We understand that commercial fishermen can drive on this beach? The NPS should investigate whether this closure continues to make sense for all user groups. The greater volume of users of the CHNSRA seems to be ORV users. Opening Pea Island would expand the available use of the park. We have experienced minimal user conflicts and opening Pea Island would be beneficial to those who cannot access this area by walking. This could definitely enhance the visitor use of the park.

Clearly, the greater the area that is open to ORV use the greater the economic benefit to the seashore communities. The access to the beach by ORVs has proven to be a substantial boon to the seashore communities particularly in the fall, winter and spring seasons when general tourism is at its lowest. Since commercial fishermen use ORVs to access the beaches for the haul seine fisheries and inshore gill net fisheries, they require the park to be open to ORV use. These fisheries are very important to the local economy particularly the speckled trout and striped bass harvests. Virtually all ORVs operate within several feet of the high tide line. All access should be allowed only at designated ramps. The major habitat for the threatened birds can be protected above the ORV driving line with a reasonable distance around their nests, ideally, identified as off limits to ORVs. As well, turtle nests should be clearly marked with access around them visibly identified. In many years of using the recreation area, there have been very few, if any, incidents of ORV traffic injuring nesting birds or turtles.

A reasonable approach for area closure for shore bird nesting would be to leave between 40' to 50' of corridor for ORV access along the beach. As access is limited to ORV use, the "traffic" noise is significantly less than large truck traffic driving down highway 12. The many species that are native to the Outer Banks have coexisted nicely for many years with ORV traffic. They have even flourished in many of the highest ORV traffic areas of the beach. Any closures area sizes should be based on peer reviewed science and reopened as soon as reasonable. If the NPS continues to maintain the access ramps properly, as well as visibly mark restricted dune areas, there should be no damage to any marine vegetation. Driving on the beach just above the high tide line typically does not impact vegetation, as there is none there. We cannot see how non-native species could be transported on an ORV that drove at high speeds to get to the Outer Banks. Seeds and vegetation would be easily blown off the vehicle. Park operations should be enhanced to properly manage the use of ORVs in the recreation area. This includes strictly enforcing speed limits and levying severe penalties on-those who abuse restricted areas. This should not include limiting the number of those able to access given areas.

The geology of the CHNSRA is impacted by storms and hurricanes far more than can be attributed to ORV traffic. A few ruts made by ORVs disappear quickly under the continued onslaught of wind and weather. This should not be a concern as long as the traffic is kept below the dune line and close to the water. Air quality should not be an issue as the overwhelming majority of ORV traffic drives to a spot, parks and shuts off the motor. The wind will quickly disperse the exhaust which is no more than if the vehicle drove down highway 12. The ORVs have the same noise levels as regular cars. They are quiet and do not impact wildlife or human activities in any way. As noted above, large truck traffic on highway 12 is much louder. In addition the sound of the ocean is generally louder than the vehicles. I The abundance of wildlife and marine life is the very reason people come to the recreation area. In over 75 years of ORV use the marine resources have remained unaffected by changes in water quality from ORV use. The ORVs are not permitted to drive in the wetlands except on designated trails. This should remain the same.

The use of ORVs pales in comparison to the changes wrought by winter storms, major tides and hurricanes. We all understand the impact natural events have on the access to the beaches and ocean. It is incumbent on the NPS to assure safe and consistent access to the beach areas. ORV use does not in any way impact cultural resources. In fact, many people would not be able to experience these resources without the ability to drive an ORV to see them. ORV access around the towns and campgrounds are already limited in the height of the tourist season to lessen conflicts. We do not foresee the same to be true on the more remote beaches.

We feel the NPS should consider the negative impact of increased foot traffic on the dunes and fauna should ORV access be limited and people be required to walk across the habitat to access the beach areas. This is already apparent in some areas of Pea Island.

Certainly, as the management plans proceed, the impact on our resources needs to be considered and minimized where possible. There are areas of specific concern to the ORV community and public at large. The Oregon Inlet area, Cape Point, Hatteras Inlet area and Ocracoke Inlet area are all highly popular and very important. Access for ORVs to these areas has significant cultural and economic impact. ORV access to these areas should be maintained permanently. Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area is a unique national park that for many can only be experienced using an ORV. In many cases, the access that is available is the only affordable way many of our members could enjoy the beautiful beaches and quality marine resources. It is imperative that you remember that this park was established as a recreation area for the benefit of the public. Much of the park area such as the dunes and vegetated areas are closed to ORV traffic. Only a small portion of the park allows ORV driving and has for many years. Your plan should consider maximizing the responsible ORV use within the recreation area.

Correspondence ID: 2915 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Couch, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Thank you for the chance to comment on the proposed Negotiated Rule making process for an ORV plan. My personal comments will reflect a local point of view, not of someone who pretends to know the island. My name is John 13. Couch and I have lived in Buxton N.C. since 1964. I own and operate 2 businesses in Buxton. Lighthouse Service Center and Lighthouse Auto Parts. My father was a retired Army Lt. Colonel and brought my mother and my two brothers to the Outer Banks in 1960 on vacation. In 1964 he retired and our family became permanent residents in the fall of 1964. I started school at Cape Hatteras School as a seventh grader and graduated high school in 1970 and went on to East Carolina University and graduated in 1974. I came back to run the family businesses and have been here since. I raised my 3 children here in Buxton and Hatteras will always be home to them. ORV driving or motorized access has always been the traditional and historical way of access on Hatteras Island. Long before the NPS and USFWS came to the Outer Banks (1937), motorized access followed paths on the beach or on the edge of the surf as the residents made their way to the villages. The Midgetts of Hatteras Village ran a transportation service that carried passengers, mail and assorted goods for the native islanders. When the bus got stuck the passengers got out and helped push their way out. On Hatteras Island there are 8 villages that lie within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Early on the seashore was officially known as a recreational area. This needs to be reestablished. The entire economy of Hatteras Island is tourist oriented. Why the pretenders (NPS, USFWS, environmentalists) have yet to complete an economic impact study is beyond belief. As a business owner I can attest to the economic harm created by the Bonner Bridge collapse, and the Cape Point and Hatteras Inlet closings, not to mention Hurricanes Emily and Isabel. All occurred during the peak business tourist season. Hatteras Island residents went through a similar process in 1975-

1978. An ORV Management Plan was worked out but died in the morass of governmental bureaucracy. Before the 1978 Plan there were 73 miles of motorized access, now there is about 37.5 miles of seasonal or year around ORV access. I will remind you in 1952 then NPS director Conrad Wirth all but assured the citizens of Dare County that ocean and sound side access would always be there for residents and visitors alike. He asked us to take care of the tourists as only we can. We developed our economy by using the recreational resources of surf fishing and other outdoor activities. It also assured hunting and commercial fishing would be protected as well as the CCC built sand dunes that protected the villages and roads would be maintained, nonetheless the NPS has all but hide from their responsibilities. I understand the scoping process wants some specifics. First thing that should happen is Pole Road should be put back in its entirety. This access road has been there for over 60 years. This happened when Hurricane Isabel came ashore. The last pretender we had here was Superintendent Larry Belli. His first comment (while the village of Hatteras was trying to recover, but still suffers) was boasting about the wonderful bird habitat that was created. Not once did he mention that the flip side was all the wonderful recreational C m 1-kw(opportunities that were created. NPS has a dual mandate to uphold. Habitat should not automatically trump recreational requirements. Pole Road is a simple task. Get it done! May 15th - September 15th should remain the off-season. This has always been the traditional change over from tourist season to the shoulder fishing seasons. Don't change it! Bird habitat loss has always been a favorite gripe of Government and Environmentalists. They have been forever indoctrinated with habitat loss as the main reason for low or unacceptable nesting results. Last year Hatteras Inlet had little habitat for plovers. A small group of people including the environmentalists acknowledged habitat loss due to spring storms. Then they wanted to blame ORV driving was the cause of low nesting results. The reason for the low nesting has been poor resource management in the past. Creative steps of off shore dredge spoil islands should be a priority. This is a good way of providing habitat loss. Cape Point was barren of vegetation when I was a kid. Now it looks like a jungle. A creative way of bird habitat is to flatten the dunes around the big pond and leave a natural bather to prevent any bad karma to the nesting birds. In the past, a practice of closing the resource and then allowing the grass to grow, then closing it down. These shore birds don't like grass. Quit this practice. Another big problem is property owners want a private beach in front of their summer homes and want to exclude everyone else. This is unacceptable. Last time I checked the park is for everyone including the handicapped. Seasonal considerations for ORV access have worked in the past. No reason now to change course. Please note in these areas of the villages that have ocean front homes, there are no public access points provided to people who don't rent or own the property. Please don't open a can of worms and close these areas off, year around. I believe this would be unlawful anyway. Speed limits could be lowered. No problem. More access ramps with air and fish cleaning tables. For the last time, please update your campgrounds or close them. Get into the 21" century. Why do you operate at a loss? Extend the dates of the openings and closings. No ORV fee or permits, they are unfair and don't work elsewhere. In effect you will be unfairly burdening a specific user group while letting everyone else free of their fair share. Join the effort to replace Bonner Bridge. Quit stonewalling. Zones and corridors on the beach don't work. Voglesong user survey is pathetic. We need good reliable data for the next 20 years. An ORV Plan should be flexible to accommodate the rise and fall of the economic activity on the Outer Banks. Data should show economic data relating to Hatteras Island and not necessarily entirely from up the beach (Manteo Dare County). Remember it's about the economy, 8 villages depend on it!

Correspondence ID: 2916 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Moore, Patricia J

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: I shall attempt to address some of the objectives of the Management Plan from the viewpoint of birders - - - Birding Brains, if you will. My observations are based upon forty years of studying birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

VISITOR EXPERIENCE OBJECTIVES: From the standpoint of visiting birders who visit the Outer Banks from fifty states and several countries, they are here to view and study the very threatened, endangered and protected species that come under current laws and management policies. It is to the birders' advantage to find the species present, especially for those who travel from afar and those who have never seen species that inhabit the seashore habitats. Just as a fisherman glories in coming here to catch, say, a flounder that is much larger than the legal keeping size, so a birder is happy with a sighting of that American Oystercatcher or Piping Plover that he has never seen before. Those species and many others would not be present unless they were protected by the laws set down by the NPS and FWS. **THE BIRDERS' EXPERIENCE IS SEASONAL:** The optimum time for viewing the most-wanted species is not at the height of the nesting season when the parent birds are furtively attempting to hide, feed, and protect their chicks. Better viewing times are in late spring when the birds have just arrived in migration from their wintering grounds - - when they are engaged in courtship displays. After the nesting season when the birds are gathering to leave for points south, or during winter when the beaches teem with flocks of gulls - - those are the times that birders themselves flock to the shore to look for the unusual. **VISITOR USE OBJECTIVE:** Birders understand that natural processes such as storm events will change the landscape of the seashore. Every visit to the shore sees a beach somewhat different from the time before. A different shoreline calls for a different approach to moving from one area to the other. Birders do utilize 4x4 vehicles. An ORV is preferable in many instances to birding by foot, especially in winter when large flocks of resting gulls are present. The gulls will fly when closely approached by pedestrians. A vehicle serves as a blind. There are birders who are physically incapable of the deep-sand walking necessary to access some of the prime areas for viewing the target birds. It is hoped that access will continue to be available for them. **VISITOR USE:** The birds of the shore need protected, fenced off, enclosed areas year around, not just during the nesting season. They need the flats to flock together, rest and feed at all seasons. Their needs come first. It is for that reason that it is important that the part of Cape Point vicinity that is most-used by birds at any season is the interior section. It is currently an enclosure. It should remain that way. It is also beneficial for the birds to have a designated no-drive area at the waterline (as they now do in the "hook" area toward the Frisco beach) plus flocking and resting areas on the upper beaches from Cape Point to Frisco. The beach is wide enough there to allow a corridor for vehicles from between the tideline to the enclosed resting areas. The current intradunal roads, bypass road between ramp 44 and the Point, and the route around the Point, weather permitting, are sufficient whether the user be fisherman, shell-picker, surfer, or birder. Changes in routes are to be expected. Mother Nature is never predictable. The birder wants to comply with regulations and minimize his driving whenever possible. He appreciates the access that is available. He wants strict adherence to the acts and regulations that protect the birds that he comes here to study. **EFFECT ON LOCAL ECONOMY:** Perhaps fishing is king at this seashore, but overall in this country birders are not outnumbered by fishermen. There is an ever increasing number of birders who come here to see the seashore's specialties: Piping Plover, American Oystercatcher, Least Tern and seven other tern species, Black Skimmer, some ten species of gulls, and numerous ocean-going specialties such as Northern Gannets and various sea ducks. Pelagic birds are a specialty of regular all day boat trips out of various harbors. A yearly festival, Wings over Water, attracts visitors from across the country. Annual mid-winter censuses are conducted by visiting birders. The bottom line is that several hundred visiting birders who lodge, dine, buy gasoline and other commodities do have an economic impact on the region. **TO WISH FOR:** The electronic age is upon us, and birders use cell phones to broadcast their great sightings, just as a fisherman would call his buddy at a northern ramp to hasten down to the Point because the big blues are running. But there is a clipboard in an outdoor box at the Ranger Station. The board is for bird sightings. It is of great help, so it is to be hoped that it remains there and is publicized so that it will be a source of the unusual. **ALSO TO WISH FOR:** If only the NPS had funding to build a walkway near ramp 44 similar to the one between Avon and Salvo. The only current way for a pedestrian to reach ramp 44 is by a deep, sandy track that is utilized by ORV's. There used to be a man-made ridge of hard-packed sand that connected the ponds at 43 with the dune at 44. It made for easier walking from car to ramp. Alas, most of that ridge was removed several years ago. The wished-for walkway could end with an observation platform that would be great for a spectacular view of the Salt Pond and the distant Point. Steps could lead down to the hard-packed road that connects ramp 44 with the beach. **ANOTHER WISH:** There are local birders who could be utilized in monitoring birds during the nesting season. Some of those people already help with the summer birdwalk program. With a bit of scheduling, perhaps these locals could fill in and help save the NPS from hiring a summer employee.

Correspondence ID: 2917 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gessner, Geann Z

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: I have lived on Hatteras Island 37 years and visited the island for 7 years before moving here. Since moving here, I have managed a family motel for 17

years, managed the floor of restaurants, sold real estate, worked at the book store at the lighthouse at its original location, been an Executive Secretary for an organization and presently manage a retail store.

During the 37 years in residence, I have served in various organizations in a variety of positions, including representing Ocracoke and Hatteras Islands as a Board Member of the Outer Banks Chamber of Commerce. I have also done volunteer work for the NPS in the early 1990s.

Over the years, I have learned a lot, come into contact with a number of people from many countries and from all walks of life with a wide variety of interests; however, the most common thread people share who come to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, is a love of the ocean/beach. They enjoy the relaxed atmosphere, the freedoms from everyday life "back home" and want to be refreshed by the offerings the Outer Banks presents to them.

Driving on the beach of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a privilege and was guaranteed in the establishing legislation, as we all know. That legislation also recognized that the 8 villages within the seashore should and would develop to accommodate the visiting public's needs for services. It also guaranteed that commercial fishing would remain an integral part of the residents' ability to provide a living for his family. The legislation also provided for protection for the resources within the National Seashore.

In the mid seventies an ORV plan was presented by the NPS. The Outer Banks Preservation Association was established to address that plan. As an organization, we worked on that plan and proposed an alternate plan paragraph for paragraph, after many public meetings and input from the residents on Ocracoke and Hatteras Islands, residents in Elizabeth City, Greensboro and one other location.

OBPA was able to address that plan as they did because members went out into the field and looked at every aspect that the NPS plan addressed. It took months of hard work from a lot of people who had first hand knowledge of the park and what the residents and visiting public wanted, after all it is their park! That plan got lost and here we are again addressing an ORV management plan. I tell you this so that you may realize that I have some knowledge of the Cape Hatteras Seashore, living and making a living on the island, its residents and visitors and great love for this area and what it offers.

I firmly believe that harmony can survive among the users of the beach and still preserve the natural resources. Management is a key; however, management does not equate to denial. Communication and education are essential, just because the public comes to the seashore, does not mean they all are knowledgeable of regulations and the things that are precious. And yes, sometimes we all have to deal with people who are immature or don't seem to care, but they are in a minority. They also should be dealt with by our law enforcement.

An officer can be an educator for those he/she encounters who are not knowledgeable of the regulations and laws. They should also cite a person who willfully disregards the regulations and/or law.

Over the years, ORV users have lost approximately one half of the beach upon which we have driven in the past. We are restricted because of bird nests and turtle nests. We are restricted for safety reasons. Some of these reasons are legitimate; however, restrictions because something might happen, such as a bird might nest somewhere is not a legitimate reason to close any portion of the beach. Years ago, as is done in other areas, the turtle nests were moved to ensure success, why Cape Hatteras National Seashore management no longer does that, I do not know. I do not think that ORV access should be reduced in the amount of areas in which we can drive, except for legitimate reasons. The Park is our public access; public access was not intended to be within the villages and is not provided as such.

I firmly agree that an ORV should not be driven on dunes and through areas that are protected; however, I believe that the natural resources, specifically, fauna growing naturally, are protected and that most people respect that restriction. It is up to law enforcement to address those who willfully violate those restrictions.

I am not in favor of a corridor in which to restrict vehicular traffic, one only needs to observe ORV users on the beach and it is easy to see that most folks drive within a safe speed of 15 MPH, along the shore line, avoiding parked vehicles and their owners. Or they drive along the "path" marked by the NPS markers which delineate the closed areas. Again, it is up to law enforcement to address violations of safe driving practices.

I believe the restrictions of closing the beach to ORV use in front of the now oceanfront cottages in the villages of Rodanthe, Wave and Salvo, Frisco and Hatteras during the peak summer, from Memorial Day through Labor Day is fair to all concerned. Those areas should be re-opened in a timely manner after Labor Day, just as they are timely closed to ORV users before Memorial Day. I also think that the NPS should not drive through those areas; it sends a very confusing message to the visitors when they see tire tracks.

I am not in favor of delegating various sections of the beach for specific uses, be it surfing, windsailing, walking, fishing or swimming (although, it would be nice to see a life guarded beach on Hatteras Island again). The water conditions and the beach itself change according to the affects of the wind and other weather conditions. The activity any given section of the beach may offer is subject to change accordingly.

If the NPS thinks it should regulate tire pressure for ORV driving on the beach, I hope that regulation would be made within a reasonable psi, such as 15 to 20 pounds per square inch. That regulation would also mean that your law enforcement officers would have to be provided a tire pressure gauge. Personally, in the process of checking my tire pressure, I would not want an officer to allow pressure out of my tires once I've lowered them. My other concern is the contract to purchase tire pressure gauges. Need I say more????!! Communication to ORV users would certainly solve this problem, and it usually is addressed when someone comes upon another stuck in the sand.

I think part of the communication and education responsibility of NPS could be to inform ORV users that they need to reduce their tire pressure; however, to make it a regulation puts an additional task in the hands of the law officer.

I am totally against restricting night driving on the seashore. There is nothing like an evening on our beach: especially with a full moon or at the time of a new moon and to be able to watch the phosphorus glow in the waves as they break. In the fall of the year most people on the beach at night are the serious fishermen who are fishing the moons and tides that yield the best fishing. Why should they be denied the pleasure of such solitude and fishing? I am against permits to enjoy any aspect of this seashore, whether it is fishing, swimming, surfing, walking, shelling or any other legal activity. The only way to access most of our beaches is by an ORV, no one should be expected to pay to get to the beach.

Areas that have been closed to ORV users should be opened after the closure has served its purpose, and following storms that have eradicated the purpose for which a closure was established.

I think the beaches should be re-opened as soon as possible following a Hurricane, even if the island is not open to visitors. After Hurricane Emily and Isabel, it would have been so refreshing to go to the beach for personal therapy while dealing with the results of the storm damage. Why do the beaches remain closed for so long following a storm?

Access to Hatteras Inlet is precarious at best at times. Pole road has existed for longer than I can remember as an access to the inlet. I realize that Hurricane Isabel did a lot of damage in that area; however, that was in 2003, the road is repairable and should be made so that one can traverse there again.

Predation is a problem in regards to the endangered and threatened species; I am a bird watcher who wishes she could soar with the skimmers and pelicans. I fully support humanely trapping of feral cats and euthanizing them. I can support humanely trapping foxes and raccoons and relocating them. I was quite surprised when everyone suddenly became aware of foxes on the island. I saw them at Horseshoe Pond in the seventies when I would drive back there to watch the waders come in to feed at dusk. I mention all of these concerns because they can and will affect the local economy.

Commercial fishing used to be the main commerce on the island, with tourism as a second industry. Commercial fishing is slowly becoming a dying industry. Should tourism become the second dying industry of the Outer Banks? Then what do we who make our living providing for the visitor, as mentioned in the enabling legislation which established this park, do?

I firmly believe that if NPS would communicate with the visitors what the rules and regulations are within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore that the law enforcement officers would have a less stressful duty.

When I managed my motel, I would get copies of ORV information published by the NPS from the Museum of the Sea and put it in my guests' information packets. Although the information was written on regular paper, they were not displayed for the taking of every visitor to the museum, they were behind the counter and one had to know to ask for them. This type of communication should be addressed and improved upon.

Our National Park should be used by all citizens to enjoy and use respectfully with sensible regulations for access to all. Communication and education are key factors in obtaining this goal.

Correspondence ID:	2918	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Luizer, Virginia L				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar.19,2007 00:00:00				

Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

Please find enclosed 13 separate comments. Each of the enclosed comments includes recommendations relative to a specific topic to be considered in the proposed Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan. A listing of the topics addressed by the enclosed comments is presented below. THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND OTHER PROTECTED SPECIES NIGHT TIME ACCESS SEASONAL CLOSURES SAFETY CLOSURES CORRIDORS OCEAN'SIDE RAMPS SOUND SIDE ROADS INTERDUNAL ROADS PARKING FACILITIES CAMPING FACILITIES ENFORCEMENT RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS Sincerely, Virginia L. Luizer Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND OTHER PROTECTED SPECIES Page 1 of 1 I recommend that the park adopt the recently approved Interim Protected Species Management Plan (IPSMP) and associated Biological Opinion as the permanent plan for the period covered by the proposed Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan.

As I will demonstrate below, the above-stated recommendation provides for the protection of protected species and their habitat while, at the same time, enhancing visitor use and experience.

DISCUSSION

As evidenced by the USFWS' non jeopardy opinion, the IPSMP provides for adequate protection of protected species and their habitat. This assertion is supported by the fact that, as per the National Seashore (CAHA) 2006 Annual Reports for Threatened and Endangered Species published on February 13, 2007; the 2006 implementation of the IPSMP did NOT document any adverse impacts from Off-Road vehicle usage.

With respect to visitor use and experience, as evidenced by the numerous positive comments made at the recent scoping meetings regarding the park's efforts to accommodate recreational use, the 2006 implementation of the IPSMP has had a positive impact on visitor use and experience.

Based upon the facts:

1. that the IPSMP, as written, represents the park's best effort to balance appropriate recreation with resource protection as mandated by law and NPS policies, and 2. that the IPSMP represents a substantial investment, both in terms of financial resources and man-power,

I see nothing to be gained by re-writing this plan. In fact, in my opinion, re-writing the IPSMP would result in an incredible waste of the park's resources. I recommend that the park's beaches remain open 24/7 for all users.

As I will demonstrate below, providing 24/7 access to the park enhances visitor use and experience without creating either resource or safety issues.

DISCUSSION

Night-time use is required for a variety of appropriate recreational activities (i.e. star gazing, enjoying a campfire, fishing, etc.). This being the case, and because there have not been any documented

1. instances of damage to park resources, or 2. instances of personal injury

related to appropriate night-time recreational activities, I see no reason to restrict user access based upon the time of day. I recommend

1. that seasonal closures in front of the villages be maintained from May 1 through September 30 (in-season), and 2. that during the off-season (October 1 through April 30), seasonal closures be re-opened.

As I will demonstrate below, the above-stated recommendation provides for the preservation of visitor safety and will enhance visitor use and experience of the off-season park user. Furthermore, I will demonstrate the failure to re-open seasonally closed beaches may have significant negative impact on the local economy.

DISCUSSION

Park visitation during the period of May 1 through September 30 (in-season) is significantly higher than during the remainder of the year. Furthermore, the concentration of multi-family rentals and the resulting high pedestrian usage in front of the villages dictates that, as a matter of safety, the beaches in front of the villages be closed to ORVs during the period of May 1 through September 30.

As per the graph presented below, park visitation drops significantly during the period of October 1 through April 30. Furthermore, as per the pictures presented in Appendix A, few if any pedestrians can be found on the beaches in front of the villages during the off-season. Finally, even the northern villages of Nags Head and Kitty Hawk, which attract considerably more pedestrian users, open their beaches to ORV use during the off-season. Based upon these facts, safety considerations are not relevant to decisions to keep the village beaches closed during the period of October 1 through April 30.

In point of fact, the past practice of re-opening of seasonally closed beaches has not led to one documented instance of personal injury. With respect to off-season park users, the vast majority of these users are anglers. Given that facts that off-season anglers

1. depend on their ORVs a. for mobility, b. to transport heavy tackle required during foul weather, and c. to seek shelter from the elements, and 2. desire access to the seasonal beaches for the purpose of visiting historically productive fishing venues,

re-opening seasonally closed beaches will enhance the visitor use and experience of the majority of off-season users of the park's beaches. Furthermore, since the number of anglers on the beaches during the off-season is relatively low, the re-opening of seasonally closed beaches will have little impact on users who wish to avoid ORVs. More specifically, with the exception of Cape Point, the inlet, or the piers, there are plenty of opportunities for pedestrians to walk the beaches without encountering more than a handful of vehicles. With respect to park users who do not want to see any evidence of vehicular access, 13 miles or 19% of the park's beaches are designated as a year round non-ORV areas. While access these areas requires that users travel to the northern end of the seashore, it is simply not possible to provide vehicle free areas in close proximity to ever user without turning at least 50% of seashore into a vehicle free area.

Finally, with respect to impacts on the local economy, a review of the chart presented above clearly demonstrates that in-season visitation has followed the precipitous drop in overall visitation witnessed over the past four years. Off-season visitation, however, has remained fairly constant over the same four-year period. Quite, simply off-season visitation provides the local economy with a stable source of income, thus is critical to the local economy.

This being the case any actions, such as extending seasonal closures, that detract from the visitor use and experience of the predominate off-season visitor (anglers) will likely have a significant negative impact on the local economy. APPENDIX A Page 3 of 3 Frisco Pier Toward Ramp 49 Old Lighthouse to the Point (Ramp 43) Frisco Village Toward Hatteras 21261200710:29:33 AM Sunday 80 Degrees Hatteras Village 21261200710:41:18 AM Sunday 80 Degrees I recommend safety closures be limited to beach fronts

1. that do not provide at least one lane for through traffic at low tide, 2. that do not provide for more than one lane for through traffic for an extended distance (i.e. one mile), or 3. that are not navigable due to topography (i.e. the beach by the lighthouse jetties).

In this regard, I recommend that the entrance to each ramp provide prominent signage advising visitors that the beaches may be impassible during high tide, thus travel is at their own risk.

As I will demonstrate below, the above-stated recommendation provides for the protection of park resources, a consistent set of guidelines for Off-road vehicle use, and the preservation of visitor safety while, at the same time, enhancing visitor use and experience.

DISCUSSION

During 2006, with the exception of the seasonally closed beaches from Buxton to Hatteras, every effort was made to avoid safety closures due to narrow beach. Safety closure due to narrow beach conditions were avoided 1. by providing alternate routes (i.e. south of ramp 44), or 2. by allowing ORVs to travel in the inter-tidal zone at their own risk (i.e. south of the point and Hatteras Inlet).

The 2006 approach to narrow beach conditions is consistent with the policy generally followed by the park. With respect to visitor safety and park resources, I have not been able to find any documented problems with respect to personal injury or damage to the park's resources resulting from this policy.

Furthermore, as a result of avoiding safety closures, the only barrier to through traffic between ORV ramps has been the result of resource closures or seasonal closures. Aside from convenience of travel, maintaining through traffic allows park users to spread out, thereby minimizing crowding and user conflicts that may result due to crowding.

In sharp contrast, attempts to implement safety closures based upon narrow beach criteria have led to inconsistent park policies and have had a significant negative impact on visitor use and experience.

For example, during September 1978, about "28 miles or 40% of park's beaches, including portions both inside and outside established seasonal closures, were found at normal high tide to be too narrow for use by ORVs without danger to vehicles or damage to adjacent dunes and private property." 1 The 28 miles were closed. These safety closures were the direct result of some major storms. Unfortunately, park management did not adjust these closures when conditions changed. For example, the 6-mile stretch between ramp 49 and ramp 55 remained closed until the fall of 2003.

Inconsistent management of this area is, in large part, responsible for the current controversy surrounding the safety closures in this area and in Buxton. 11978 Draft ORV Plan. Implementation of Superintendent's Order 7 had a major impact on crowding and the potential for user conflicts that result from

significant crowding. More specifically, as of 8/17/05, 3 narrow beach closures on the northern beaches were implemented between each ramp, making through traffic impossible. Additionally, when combined with seasonal and resource closures the area available to ORVs at the northern ramps had been reduced to the point where most ramps resembled glorified beach parking lots (i.e. access at ramps 38, 34, and 27 were reduced to a mile or less and access at ramps 30 and 23 were reduced to about 2 miles). On Ocracoke only one mile of the area north of ramp 70 was available to ORV use. Should there be any doubt that the safety closures implemented during 2005 contributed to crowding of the park's beaches consider the facts

1. that park visitation in 2005 totaled 2,260,628, 2. that park visitation in 2002 totaled 2,923,894, and 3. that park visitation in 2005 declined by 663,269 when compared to 2002 (23%).

Despite this dramatic decline in park visitation, the crowding on the park's beaches during 2005 was, by far, the worst I have ever encountered.

Finally, the implementation of Superintendent's Order number 7 during the off-season unnecessarily barred ORV access to many of the park's beaches during the off-season. For example, despite the fact that many of the northern beaches no longer met the narrow beach criteria, the vast majority of the northern beaches remained closed. Furthermore, the seasonally closed areas from Buxton to Hatteras were closed on 11/10/05 and have remained closed despite the fact that they no longer meet the narrow beach criteria. Based upon the facts:

1. that off-season ORV use is primarily focused on fishing, 2. that many of the closed beaches represent productive fishing areas, and 3. that off-season anglers rely heavily on their ORVs for mobility and shelter from the elements,

the narrow beach closures described above, have, not only served to fuel the controversy regarding the seasonally closed beaches from Buxton to Hatteras, but have had a significant negative impact on visitor use and experience of the primary user group to frequent the park during the off-season.

Clearly, the above-described attempts to implement safety closures due to narrow beach criteria have not added to any one of the objectives the park is attempting to achieve in the proposed Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan. 2 1978 Draft ORV Plan. 3 See Cape Hatteras National Seashore Ocean Beach Access Map dated 8/17/05.

Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer I recommend that, except where corridors are required by the Interim Protected Species Management Plan, ORV travel on the beach will be permitted from the toe of the dune to the water.

As I will demonstrate below, the above-stated recommendation provides for the protection of park resources and a consistent set of guidelines for Off-road vehicle use. Furthermore, the above-stated recommendation will enhance visitor use and experience.

DISCUSSION

Permitting ORVs to travel the beach from the toe of the dune to the water has been the rule of thumb for most of the park's history and has not been proven to harm the park's resources. Furthermore, this approach to ORV access has provided safe and convenient access during all tides, including lunar tides and storm tides.

In sharp contrast, recent attempts to implement a corridor system have proven that corridor systems serve, at a minimum, to make access inconvenient and frequently create safety issues where such issues would not normally exist. For example, Superintendent's Order number 7, dated May 2004 defined ORV use areas for selected sections of the park as:

...150 feet landward from the average, normal high tide line, or if existing, and less than 150 feet, at the vegetation or toe of the remnant dune line.

These corridors were marked with composite posts. One of the main concerns of ORV users was that any artificially constructed corridor could, during periods of unusually high tides, effectively close beach access in many areas. In this regard, Superintendent's Order number 7 stated that The location of the posts will be evaluated several times each year for proper distance placement in relation to changing shorelines.

As the picture to the right shows, the park did not adjust the corridor markers in a timely fashion. This was not an isolated incident. Quite the contrary, narrow corridors regularly inconvenienced all park users, including those who paid to fish in tournaments.

Additionally, despite the fact that ample beach was available landward of the corridor markers, some corridors were permitted to deteriorate to the point of artificially creating safety issues. For example, it was not uncommon for corridors to deteriorate to the point where park users found that the only way to avoid violating the corridor markers was to drive through salt water or attempt to time the breaking waves so as not to get broadsided by the surf.

Clearly, the above-described attempts to implement ORV corridors have not added to any one of the objectives the park is attempting to achieve in the proposed Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan. I recommend that the park

1. retain Pea Island as a vehicle free beach with no ORV ramps, 2. maintain the existing 16 ocean side ORV ramps, and 3. add the following ramps: a. one at the site of the One-way Road b. one Frisco Bathhouse, and c. one between ramp 59 and ramp 67.

As I will demonstrate below, the above recommendations will enhance visitor use and experience while minimizing the impact on park resources.

DISCUSSION The Mission 66 Prospectus states that roadway departures

...will be located to avoid heavy concentrations of people in any one place and to reserve sections of secluded beach without development of any kind. I With respect to reserving sections of "secluded beach", Pea Island is reserved not only as a wildlife refuge but also as a vehicle free area. As such no ramps are present on Pea Island ' that is, Pea Island is reserved as the "secluded beach" referenced in the above quote. This segment of the park accounts for 19% of the park's beaches.

With respect to locating roadway departures so as to avoid heavy concentrations of people, the 16 existing ocean side ramps are, for the most part, located 3-4 miles apart. My recommendations for additional ramps are designed to address situations where existing ramps are more that 3-4 miles apart. The rationale for the three additional ramps is presented below. In this regard, please note that the additional ramps recommended represent replacements for three of the eight ramps that have been lost since 1978. Furthermore, since the recommended sites for replacement ramps are at locations that are already developed, the recommendations minimize the impact on park resources.

One-way Road ' Replacement for 1978 Ramp Number 22

Ramps 38 and 43 are heavily used. Furthermore, this segment of beach is frequently fragmented by

1. seasonal closures, 2. safety closures, and 3. resource closures.

The above-described closures add to the concentration of users normally witnessed at ramps 38 and 43. Based upon the above observations, the addition of a ramp south of ramp 38 would reduce the concentration of users that typically coincide with closures in this area. With respect to the specific ' Mission 66 Prospectus Brief-Cape Hatteras National Seashore., 03/06/1957 Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L.

Luizer site chosen, since the One-way Road is already developed, the addition of a ramp at this site would minimize the impact on park resources.

Frisco Bathhouse ? Replacement for 1978 Ramp Numbers 34, 36, and 38

At present there are no ramps between Frisco and Hatteras Village. This being the case and since I have recommended seasonal closures in front of the Frisco and Hatteras villages, the absence of ramp in this area effectively closes a full 6-miles of beach to ORV access. The closure of this entire stretch of beach reduces in-season ORV access to less than 50% of the park's beaches.

Adding a ramp at the Frisco Bathhouse would reduce the significant concentration of users that presently exists at ramps 49 and 55. Furthermore, since the Frisco Bathhouse site is already developed, the addition of a ramp at this site would minimize the impact on park resources.

Between Ramp 59 and Ramp 67 ? Replacement for 1978 Ramp Number 44

The distance between ramp 59 and ramp 67 is 8 miles. That is, resource and/or safety closures have the potential preclude ORV access to much of this 8 mile stretch of beach. This being the case, it is desirable to add a ramp between ramps 59 and 67. In this regard and in an effort to minimize the impact on park resources, I recommend one of the existing ocean side parking lots between ramps 59 and 67. I recommend that the park

1. evaluate all sound side roads from Buxton north and perform any necessary maintenance, and 2. re-open the three sound side roads that existed on the Pole Road prior to Hurricane Isabel.

As I will demonstrate below, the above recommendations will expand the variety of user experiences available at the park while minimizing the impact on park resources.

DISCUSSION The Mission 66 Prospectus states that roadway departures

...will be located to avoid heavy concentrations of people in any one place and to reserve sections of secluded beach without development of any kind. I During the past 29 years, nine sound side roads have been closed. Furthermore, many of the northern sound side roads have been neglected and, as a result, some of these sound side roads are virtually impassable. Clearly, this situation is not consistent with the above mission statement.

With respect to neglected sound side roads, I recommend that the park perform the maintenance needed to restore the northern sound side roads.

With respect the nine closed sound side roads, three of these roads were located on the Pole Road. These sound side roads were extremely popular for family activities such as wading, clamming, scalloping, and picnicking. Furthermore, these sound side roads provided the only sound side access between the Buxton sound side road and the Cable Crossing Road at Hatteras Inlet. This being the case, it is incumbent upon the park to re-open the sound side

roads along the Pole Road.

Neither of the above-described actions require any new development, thus the impact on park resources will be minimal. Implementation of these recommendations will, however, provide for a much wider variety of user experiences. 'Mission 66 Prospectus Brief-Cape Hatteras National Seashore., 03/06/1957 I recommend

1. that the pole road be restored to the condition that existed prior to Hurricane Isabel, 2. that other existing interdunal roads be reviewed for needed maintenance, and 3. that areas that consistently exhibit narrow beaches be surveyed for possible construction of new interdunal roads.

The interdunal road system has historically provided users with not only alternate access routes but also with escape routes during periods of unusually high tides. As such, the existence of interdunal roads serves to enhance visitor use and experience. I recommend that the park

1. ensure that all parking lots include walkways, rest room facilities, and waste containers, 2. ensure that all ramps provide for ample parking, and 3. provide for a minimum of three parking lots on Pea Island.

As I will demonstrate below, the above recommendations will enhance visitor use and experience while protecting park resources.

DISCUSSION The Mission 66 Prospectus states that

Ample off-highway automobile parking space, situated to avoid congestion, with walkway structures to the beach, and served by modern comfort facilities are essential I

There is little opportunity for constructing additional parking facilities without compromising the dunes that protect the infrastructure. This being the case an in keeping with the above mission statement, I recommend that all ramps include ample parking, walk ways, rest room facilities, and waste containers. Locating parking facilities at existing ramps will minimize the impact on park resources associated with additional development.

Furthermore, providing walk ways, rest room facilities, and waste containers will serve to protect park resources while at the same time enhancing visitor use and experience.

It should be noted that the recommendation that parking facilities be located at ramps has the potential to create congestion. The potential for congestion can be minimized by keeping as much of the beach as possible open to ORV access, thereby allowing ORV users to migrate away from the ramp entrance. In this regard, please see my comment on safety closures.

With respect to Pea Island, the enabling legislation states that with respect to bird refuges

such lands shall be a part of the aforesaid national seashore and shall be administered by the National Park Service for recreational uses not inconsistent with the purposes of such refuge...2

Based upon the above and since access to "secluded beaches" requires parking facilities, I recommend that a minimum for three parking lots be provided on Pea Island. As is the case with parking lots located at ramps, these parking lots shall include walkways, rest room facilities, and waste containers.

Providing walkways, rest room facilities, and waste containers will protect the park's resources while at the same time enhancing visitor use and experience. 1 Mission 66 Prospectus Brief-Cape Hatteras National Seashore., 03/06/1957 2 Enabling legislation, 1937. I recommend

1. that campgrounds be enrolled in the national reservation system, 2. that campground seasons be extended to include the period from Easter to Thanksgiving, and 3. that the Salvo campground be restored.

As I will demonstrate below, the above recommendations will enhance visitor use and experience without impacting park resources.

DISCUSSION

The Mission 66 Prospectus commits the park to providing camping facilities. In fact, the prospectus characterizes camper facilities as essential! In this regard, the park has not achieved the goals set forth in the prospectus. More specifically, the park used to participate in the national reservation system. During that period the campgrounds were often booked to capacity. Sometime during the 1980's the park switched to a first come first serve self-reservation system. Furthermore, the Salvo campground was turned into a day use area and, has, for the most part, been ignored when it comes to maintenance.

Given the fact that rental fees have soared and there is little in the way of low cost accommodations for those visitors with limited funds, the availability of park campgrounds is more important than ever. In this regard, however, most people are not likely to commit to traveling without knowing that they have a place to stay. Enrolling in the national reservation system will alleviate this problem and may result in a substantial increase in occupancy and revenues. My rationale for extending the time-frame during which campgrounds are open is to make camping facilities available to the spring and fall visitors, many of whom have expressed a desire to use the park's camping facilities.

Implementation of the above recommendations will improve the experience of campers without requiring any new development. Mission 66 Prospectus Brief-Cape Hatteras National Seashore., 03/06/1957 I recommend that park rules be enforced equally in all areas -- pedestrian and ORV use areas.

Enforcement should be achieved by

1. making routine patrols, 2. focusing on areas with known problems, 3. enforcing rules in all areas, pedestrian and ORV use areas, and 4. prosecuting violators. As I will demonstrate below, the above recommendations will enhance visitor use and experience, provide for visitor safety, and protect park resources. DISCUSSION

Enforcement does not have to be and should not be done in a confrontation manner--the mere presence of park rangers is often enough to discourage violations. For example, during the past year the presence of park rangers increased significantly. In high use areas, rangers would often leave their vehicles, stop and chat with visitors, etc., exerting their authority only when necessary. This practice made visitors feel like just that "visitors" while at the same time discouraging potential violators. This practice had a positive impact on visitor use and experience while at the same time providing for visitor safety. Enforcement efforts should focus on areas with known problems. For example:

1. extremely hard beaches such as south beach are areas where speeding is most likely to occur, and 2. near- dark or after dark is when most fireworks violations or camp fires are likely to occur.

Enforcement should apply equally to seasonally closed beaches. For example, during the latter half of September 2006, I witnessed numerous occurrences of unleashed dogs, canopies erected at the base of dunes and left for days or weeks, etc. Rangers patrolling these areas routinely drove right by without stopping. Similarly, at the scoping meeting, several residents of the villages complained about violations witnessed in these areas. Based upon the above observations, enforcement in the seasonally closed areas will have a positive impact on visitor use and experience while at the same time providing for visitor safety. Violators should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. In the past, violations of ORV corridors, resource closures, etc. have been met with beach closures. For example, after the Thanksgiving storm, a ranger witnessed multiple violations of the corridor at Hatteras inlet. The ranger's solution was to close the area. The practice of enforcement via beach closures is totally unacceptable. In the event that beach users are violating corridors, resource closures, leash laws, etc., the individual violating the rule should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Prosecuting violators will protect park resources without unnecessarily limiting access for the users that abide by the rules, thus will enhance visitor use and experience. I recommend that the park develop a comprehensive resource management plan. More specifically, I recommend that the park:

1. Determine the extent to which man-made structures (i.e. dunes, ponds, jetties, flood gates, etc.) in the vicinity of ramp 43 alter the way storms act upon the area and thereby a. exacerbate flooding of the facilities at cape point, b. exacerbate flooding of the wooded areas along Lighthouse road, c. compromise bird habitat in this area, and d. artificially increase wetland areas. 2. Determine the extent to which Salt Pond alters the way storms act upon the area and thereby a. compromise bird habitat, and b. artificially increase wetland areas. 3. Determine the extent to which the culverts, underground piping, and flood gates a. change the normal flow of wetlands, and b. artificially increase wetland areas. 4. Take measures to minimize impacts from above-referenced man-made structures. 5. Identify historically productive bird habitat and a. compare conditions that existed during productive periods to those that exist today, b. if possible, restore that habitat to the condition that existed during productive periods, and c. maintain current habitat by i. drawing down man-made ponds, and ii. discouraging vegetation growth.

As I will demonstrate below, the above-referenced recommendations are designed 1. to restore natural processes, 2. to protect park resources, 3. to enhance visitor use and experience, and 4. to implement recovery tasks 1.242 and 1.243 recommended in the USFWS Recovery Plan for the Atlantic Coast Piping Plover. DISCUSSION

The physiographic structure of the park is replete with man-made structures such as dunes, roads, drainage systems, man-made ponds, jetties, etc. Many of these structures were in place prior to the establishment of the park and cannot be removed without threatening the residents of the islands. As such, the only way to protect natural processes is to take proactive steps to minimize impacts of the man-made structures present at the park. To demonstrate the need for a proactive approach to resource management and the benefits to be derived from the proactive approach, consider the following. Ramp 43 Hurricane Isabel created the overwash site at ramp 43 and flooded the entire area inland of the dunes that border the campground. While such overwash is a natural occurrence, I submit that the surrounding dunes altered the normal flow of this overwash. For example, the elevation of the campground is

higher than the Cape Point area to the south of the dunes that border the campground. 1 This being the case, the dunes that border the campground prevented the ocean overwash from draining toward the Cape Point area and back into the ocean. 2 Furthermore, ocean overwash that was artificially held in this area added to the ground water resulting from the rainfall that accompanied Hurricane Isabel. The natural drainage of ground water is in the direction of Jennette Sedge and the South Beach drainage. Since drainage via Jennette's Sedge and South Beach is slow process, 3 the ocean overwash significantly increased the extent and the duration of flooding in this area.

Insofar as no efforts were taken to repair the dune at the ramp 43 overwash site, ocean overwash now occurs on a regular basis. The water from the ocean overwash floods the parking lot at ramp 43, the parking lot at the fish cleaning table, Lighthouse Road, and the campground." As per the above discussion the man-made structures significantly increase the extent and duration of the flooding that accompanies such ocean overwash. The persistent flooding in these areas has routinely limited access to the facilities in the Cape Point area (i.e. ORV ramps, parking lots, campground, etc.).

Furthermore, as per the picture on the right, this persistent flooding has had a devastating impact on the forested area along Lighthouse Road. Memorandum from Larry Martin to Thayer Broili, "Issues related to water quality in the Cape Point area", December 13, 2006. 2 Based upon the facts 1. that the park has intervened in the past by either replacing dunes or utilizing a system of drainage ditches in the vicinity of the campground designed for the express purpose of draining water from the campground and forested areas north of the campground, and 2. that it is unlikely that park management could have constructed or implemented this complex system of drainage ditches without the approval of other state and federal government agencies, it would seem that there must be some evidence that man-made structures may be altering the natural processes in this area.

3 Memorandum from Larry Martin to Thayer Broili, "Issues related to water quality in the Cape Point area", December 13, 2006. a Further evidence that ocean overwash contributes to flooding in this area can be found in an NPS press release dated 11/28/06, apprising users that the road was flooded with "10" of standing brackish (i.e., salty)". Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer V RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Page 3 of 4 Based upon the above, taking proactive steps to minimize impacts from man-made structures will serve to restore natural processes in this area. Furthermore, controlling flooding in this area would provide users with reliable access to the wide variety of facilities provided at Cape Point and thus, would have a positive impact on visitor use and experience.

Salt Pond Hurricane Isabel flooded the entire south beach area, including Salt Pond. Much of the water never drained from Salt Pond. As such the southern end of Salt Pond is now much closer to the beach and Salt Pond now receives ocean overwash on a regular basis, keeping it at flood stage. Each time Salt Pond receives new overwash, it drains in a generally southern direction toward the south beach drainage 5 and floods the interdunal road system and wetlands. Since Salt Pond is a man-made pond, this is not a natural process. This being the case, controlling the size of Salt Pond will serve to restore natural processes in this area. Furthermore, controlling the size of Salt Pond would provide users with reliable access to the beaches south of Cape Point, and to the interdunal road system. Improving the reliability of access to these areas would have a positive impact on visitor use and experience.

Finally, controlling the size of Salt Pond would make more mud flats available for foraging birds. It is also likely that a reduction in the size of Salt Pond may increase the amount of safe nesting area available to the park's shore birds. Controlling the size of Salt Pond will serve to implement recovery tasks 1.242 and 1.243 recommended in the USFWS Recovery Plan for the Atlantic Coast Piping Plover Drainage System Larry Martin recognizes that there is a system of drainage ditches in the vicinity of the campground that were used to drain the campground and areas north of the campground to the beach south of the campground. Furthermore, Larry Martin contends that such drainage ditches "serve no purpose other to drain wetlands at Cape Point". 6 It is my understanding that, in addition to the above-referenced system of drainage ditches, there exists a complex system of culverts, underground pipes, and floodgates. If, as Larry Martin suggests, drainage ditches serve no purpose other to drain wetlands, then open floodgates must also drain wetlands and closed floodgates must act as dams. Stated more specifically, it is likely that this 6 Memorandum from Larry Martin to Thayer Broili, "Issues related to water quality in the Cape Point area", December 13, 2006, Figure 3.21, p. 2 and Figure 1., p. 7. 6 Memorandum from Larry Martin to Thayer Broili, "Issues related to water quality in the Cape Point area", December 13, 2006. Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer Given the critical functions that wetlands provide, it is incumbent upon the park service to determine the extent to which this drainage system serves

1. to change the normal flow of wetlands, and 2. to artificially increase wetland areas.

If you find that the drainage system serves to minimize the impact of other man-made structures, then the drainage system should be restored and used when appropriate.

If you find that the drainage system serves "no purpose other to drain wetlands at Cape Point" 7 and that the non-functional drainage system is altering the normal functioning of the wetlands, then the drainage system should be dismantled and the area restored to its natural state.

Bird Habitat

As per the Interim Protected Species Management Plan EA Piping Plover breeding activity peaked in 1989 and Colonial Waterbird breeding peaked in 1983. The EA documents declines in these species but offers little more than broad generalizations with respect to the reasons for the declines. One such generalization consists of a reference to loss of habitat. In this regard, I recommend that the park

1. identify areas utilized during the peak breeding years, 2. compare conditions that existed during productive periods to those that exist today, and 3. if possible, restore that habitat to the condition that existed in years past.

Assuming historically productive habitat can be restored, I further recommend that the park take proactive measures to maintain the habitat using methods endorsed by the USFWS in Recovery Plan for the Atlantic Coast Piping Plover Recovery Plan, recovery tasks 1.242 and 1.243 (i.e. drawing down man-made ponds, discouraging vegetation growth, etc.).

In addition to the obvious benefit of protecting park resources, the above action on the part of the park may result in an increase in the amount of beaches that can be utilized by park visitors and thus enhance visitor use and experience. 7 Memorandum from Larry Martin to Thayer Broili, "Issues related to water quality in the Cape Point area", December 13, 2006. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Page 4 of 4 When conducting your analysis of socioeconomic impacts, I recommend

1. that you ignore the assertion that 10% of park users who frequent the beaches use ORVs, 1 2. that you ignore the Vogelsong Visitor Use Study, 3. that you ignore any and all conclusions based upon the Vogelsong Visitor Use Study, and 4. that you ignore the Economic Impact Study presented in the Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment.

As I will demonstrate below, my recommendations are based upon the facts:

1. that the estimate of the percentage of park users who frequent the beaches using ORVs is based upon an algebraically meaningless calculation, 2. that Vogelsong's study is not statistically credible, 3. that the Vogelsong Study is no longer valid, and 4. that the Economic Impact Study painted a "rosemary scenario" of the regional economy.

DISCUSSION Percentage Of Park Users Who Frequent The Beaches Using Orvs

With respect to the assertion that 10% of park users who frequent the beaches use ORVs, the 10% figure is based upon Vogelsong's estimate of the number of ORVs that can be found on the park's beaches per annum ' 91,907.

The above-noted figure, 91,907, was calculated as follows: $91,907 = (251.8)(365)$

where 91,907 is Vogelsong's estimate of the number of ORVs on the park's beaches, per annum,

251.8 is Vogelsong's estimate of the average number of ORVs found on the park's beach "at one time", and

365 is the number of days in a year.

91,907 is an algebraically meaningless quantity. This follows from the fact that 91,907 was calculated by multiplying an "at one time" quantity (215.8) by a number of "days" quantity (365). It's like multiplying apples by oranges. 2

To demonstrate the impact of using this algebraically meaningless figure, consider the following statement from Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment. Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment, January 2006 2 For an expanded proof of this algebraic error, see EA Comment Submitted by James C. Luizer, March 1, 2006. Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS Page 2 of 3 Thus, a one-month closure at one spit could affect approximately (2%) of the annual ORV use, or 4,332 visitors, whereas a full-summer closure would impact approximately (6%) of ORVs or 12,997 visitors?

Vogelsong provides a second measure of the percentage park users who frequent the beaches using ORVs. 68% of all visitors surveyed reported spending some time driving on the park's beaches 4

Assuming the 68% is a more accurate reflection of the percentage of park users who frequent the beaches using ORVs, the above-referenced statement would read as follows:

Thus, a one-month closure at one spit could affect approximately 32,242 visitors during any one summer month. A full summer closure would affect 96,725 visitors during the 3 summer months. This assumes that all ORV users are driving to the spits, which may not be the case.⁵

Clearly, the use of an algebraically meaningless number has a substantial impact on the conclusions as they relate to the impact on visitor use and experience and economic impacts related to proposed restrictions on ORV use.

Vogelsong's Study Is Not Statistically Credible

Vogelsong's sample is a non-probability sample. With respect to the implications of using a non-probability sample consider the following:

The point is, there do not exist, within the realm of modern statistics, any established theories or methodologies for drawing such inferences on the basis of data collected by way of a non-probability sample. As explained above, Vogelsong's statistics (plural) are non-statistical in nature and cannot be extended beyond his data set.⁶

Quite simply, it is not possible to draw any conclusions based upon the Vogelsong Visitor Use Study. Vogelsong Study Is No Longer Valid
The standard for determining validity of a study is 5 years. The Vogelsong Study was completed in May 2002 thus as of May 2007, this study is no longer valid. 3 Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment, January 2006, p. 245. 4 Interim Protected Species Management Strategy/Environmental Assessment, January 2006, p. 156. 5 Errata/Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer, March 1, 2006, p. 8. 6 EA Comment Submitted by James C. Luizer, March 1, 2006, p.26. Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan-Comment Submitted by Virginia L. Luizer Economic Impact Study Painted A "Rosey Scenario" Of The Regional Economy The Economic Impact Study describes regional economy in the following fashion.

As described in the affected environment section, the regional economy has experienced robust population and economic growth over the past decade.'

As I pointed out in my comment regarding the Economic Impact Study, the regional economy has, in fact, experienced a downward trend in growth.

This assertion was based upon the following facts:

1. The Region of Influence (ROI) has been improperly defined. 2. Lodging Revenues, as reported in the EA, were never adjusted for inflation.

Additionally, the EA never looked at the data pertaining to the rate of growth of lodging revenues. 3. Lodging Revenues as a percentage of assessed real estate valuations have declined consistently over the last few years.

Furthermore, I pointed out that the EA projections were "out to lunch", and, as such, grossly understated the potential impacts of proposed beach closures! Recent data appears to substantiate my conclusions. For example, as per the chart presented below, visitation during 2002 was 2,923,894. Visitation for 2006 was 2,125,005. The 2006 figure represents a 27.3% from the 2002 level, and represents levels not seen since 1989. Finally, insofar as this decline in visitation has persisted for 4 years, the decline can reasonably be interpreted as an emerging trend.

Correspondence ID: 2919 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: N/A, N/A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,19,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Comment on: Cape Hatteras National Seashore Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan/EIS

Abide by Congressional intent to first and foremost have a recreational area. The name of this unit should also be corrected to its intended name: Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area

2. Install an intradunal road system spanning the entire Area from one end to the other excluding: the villages, from Frisco to Hatteras, the western one-mile or so between Ramp 45 and Ramp 49 and between Ramp 59 and Ramp 67. This will allow for a by-pass system for beach closures.

Reinstate Pole Road to its historical and traditional condition (prior to Hurricane Isabel) using culverts and fill as part of the intradunal system. This is a historical road (over 60 years old) once called "Back Road" which, according to the enabling legislation must be protected to preserve its cultural and historical use. The water holes created by Isabel are a safety hazard and potential real liability problem to NPS if an accident or drowning occurred, not a wetlands issue.

4. Re-open Ramp 45 on a seasonal basis. This Ramp was closed because a poaching incident and vandalism. The poacher served time and the vandalism needs to be dealt with. Closing any Ramp for these reasons is not justified. Neither N. C. Department Of Transportation nor any business would close a road or business for these reasons. The closing of Ramp 45 was based on the recommendation of a staff member as a result of personal issues being placed above public safety and public use of the Area.

5.. Straighten Ramp 49 (as per an NPS existing plan) installing culverts as needed to avoid persistent flooding and maintenance problems. A straight roadbed would actually create wetlands since it would occupy far less ground area.

6. Expand and fix the existing drainage system in and around Ramp 45 and the campground all the way past Ramp 43 and back to the sound from the current and old lighthouse sites. Poor drainage has caused flooding issues that have required the campground to be closed and exposed the visiting public to contaminated water. Furthermore, this area looks like Agent Orange was used. In fact, all of the dead trees are the result of NPS allowing salt water to remain as standing water after Hurricane Isabel due to lack of a working drainage system. The public's view of this area is a disgrace to the NPS.

7. Reopen north of Ramp 43 for one full mile on a seasonal basis, as walkers just do not use this stretch of beach in the late fall, winter and early spring. All you have to do is ask anyone who frequents the area like enforcement rangers for an unbiased view. If people want to walk on a beach without ORV traffic all they have to do is turn left at the old site of the lighthouse.

8. Reopen the Frisco Pier ramp and south of Frisco seasonally. Visitation has increased some 50% since the late 70's and access via ocean ramps has been reduced by 41 %. This trend is contrary to demand. The 10% ORV use quoted by the "Vogelsong" survey is incorrect and the NPS must correct this incorrect report. 9. Create a dune line with grass around the pond at the Point after denuding the area within this circle to again create habitat for birds. In 1986 the area was closed to ORV's by NPS and grass took over destroying this habitat. The vegetated dune line will act as a natural barrier keeping adult and young birds safe and happy. The area could be re-opened to ORV traffic in the non-nesting season to maintain the area as habitat for nesting birds. This policy of closing beaches on a year around basis has destroyed more bird habitat than ORV use ever has or will. 10. The size of current closures for birds and sea turtles are more than adequate. All that needs to be done is to satisfy the minimum requirements of the recovery plans of species involved. This Area is on the fringe of all of the nesting and wintering grounds for these threatened species and no matter what is done the survival of these species will not be affected. 11. Do not keep year around closures like at Oregon Inlet, Cape Point, South Beach, Hatteras Inlet and Ocracoke Inlet as these destroy habitat by allowing grass to dominate the areas. Loss of habitat is the number one reason for lower- bird numbers and year around closures are responsible for loss of habitat. 12. Discontinue the use of carsonite stakes completely as the messages on them are too small to be read unless one is five feet from them. White stakes also contribute to sea turtle false crawls. Two by two wood stakes can display a larger sign and increase public compliance. The use of bi-lingual signs is also recommended as many Hispanic countries have a history of using sea turtle eggs for food. 13. Increase enforcement. If compliance has fallen after factoring in increased visitation, a large part of the increase, I would guess, is due to lack of enforcement and the only way to reverse this trend is to increase enforcement. Closing off public beach access is not an acceptable solution. 14. Install walkways, air pumps, telephones, dumpsters, toilets, fish cleaning stations, showers, electric lighting over bulletin boards and parking lots at each ramp. 15. Maintain all sound side roads, Pole Road and all Ramps on a regular basis to eliminate potholes before they grow into murky lakes. Most farmers will tell you that in order to maintain a dirt road you have to create a hump down the middle of the road and not just keep pushing the road material to the side, which creates a lower roadbed and allows for water accumulation and potholes. 16. Keep seasonal opening and closing dates as they are now. DOT still uses these dates for village speed limit changes. 17. Develop a written beach opening policy to complement a closing policy. 18. Install mile markers on the beach at the dune line from one end of the Area to the other and this same signpost should include the speed limit for that area. These markers will allow visitors to know where they are in case of an emergency. They will also allow turtle patrol to more accurately identify where a nest or false crawl is located on the beach as they are required to by state protocols. 19. Ramp signage must include the "six-foot" requirement for animal leashes. It would also be refreshing to have the same length requirement consistent all along the Outer Banks and not different lengths in each municipality. 20. Have no single use zones in the Area. This concept would segment the Area badly and actually create user conflicts because of the constantly changing landscape. Just as an example: you would have to have a surfing, windsurfing and kite boarding area on Bodie, Hatteras and Ocracoke Islands or risk putting businesses out of business in one place or another. The squabbling over this approach would be unbelievable. Not to

mention the enforcement problems such a system would create. An "Access based on percentage use" plan would also create more conflicts and lead to even greater demands on enforcement rangers. 21. Require all NPS, NC Marine Fisheries and Recourse Management violations of any closure to be pre approved and documented. This would allow violation figures to isolate those of the public. 22. Create a system of recording violation of closures that identifies pre-approved and minimal intrusions. Now all violations are blamed on the public. I personally witnessed during the summer of 2006 NPS Enforcement rangers, NC Marine Patrol and NPS Resource Management vehicles violating a safety closure that contained a sea turtle closure. 23. Before considering any comment on night driving consider the following: There are no figures on how many ORV's use the beaches at night or how much of the beach is used by ORV's at night. ORV headlights are most likely the least guilty among the following: ocean front houses & spotlights, pier lights, sodium sign and parking lot lights that stay on all night, all night restroom lights at USF&W gift shop on Pea Island and every seven and a half seconds all night long the 800,000 candlepower light of the Cape Hatteras lighthouse as well as Bodie and Ocracoke lighthouses. If you ban night driving be prepared to install a night curfew on pedestrians as well, since movement also can disturb sea turtles. Also you will need to ban all fires on the beach. 24. No fee based ORV permit system should be installed. Will there also be a fee for those who go to a lifeguarded beach, deposit trash in a trash can, use public toilets, park in NPS parking lots or use a public walkover? The argument that an ORV permit will allow the NPS to get rid of the "bad guys" could also be used to ban litter bugs from the Area, ban illegal parkers, ban people who walk on grass and not on a walkover and a whole host of other violations. 25. Education of the visiting public must be taken as a serious goal. There has been no effort to make sure that the current ORV handout is displayed at visitor stations. In fact they have been kept under the counter at both Whalebone Junction and at the Cape Hatteras Lighthouse visitors station as recently as the summer of 2006. They are not given to realty companies, motels, and campgrounds to be given to visitors or the Dare County Visitors Bureau for display. An offer to produce for free a program for use on a NPS radio station was made several years ago but rejected. A beach watch program similar to a neighborhood watch was also offered but rejected. 26. The issues of "Soundscapes" and "Air Quality" are not issues that need to be addressed. Loud radios might be a target and the constant cry of laughing gulls but you can also have too many rules and how are you going to enforce them without substantial increases in enforcement? The expectation of having a "wilderness" experience at a Seashore only 70 miles long and visited by over two million people a year is an unreasonable expectation and thus does not need to be addressed by this plan. 27. If you want to increase predation of shore bird eggs and sea turtle eggs and hatchlings all you need to do is reduce mileage of the Area open to ORV use. This may seem odd, but what is the greatest land based threat to eggs and hatchlings? Ghost Crabs. 28. Every single concept put forth must have the potential economic impact on the economy of the citizens within the eight villages within the Area and communities of Dare and Hyde Counties and the Counties themselves evaluated. Increased costs to the visiting public must also be factored into this analysis. The concept that residents of the Outer Banks will be charged with "... care for the visiting public yourselves and enjoy the prosperity that you so rightfully deserve because of your long occupancy of these lands." expressed in the Conrad L. Worth letter of 1952 must not be ignored. In 1952 a public letter from the then Director, National Park Service was viewed as a commitment of the United States Government on a par with a federal law. 29. "Vegetation Management" in order to avoid the "introduction of non-native species" would actually require the disinfecting of all vehicles entering the Area as well as airplanes and boats which is not only expensive but would reek havoc on a tourist based economy. Who would even dream of such concept? How would NPS handle the chemical runoff of the disinfecting chemicals and there would also have to be a fee charged for this "service" as well. 30. If there is a need for a source of funding to implement an ORV management plan, what will the source of funding for bird monitoring, lifeguards, turtle patrol and parking lot maintenance to mention only a few. Are we going to have a toll booth at Whalebone Junction, South Nags Head Road and the Ferry Dock in Ocracoke where every entrant is asked what activities they are going to participate in while in the Area and charged a fee for each? The Dare County Tourist Bureau may be a source of funding for some of these amenities. 31. To some the mere presence of ORV's on the beaches of the Area act as an aid to securing this country's borders from illegal immigrants, terrorist landings and illegal drug shipments. Ask the Department of Homeland Security and the Drug Enforcement Agency if they would rather have these islands' beaches void of citizen use at night. 32. The Atlantic Population of the Piping Plover, Green Sea Turtle and the Loggerhead Sea Turtle are "threatened species" under the ESA. All kinds of problems occur when a single adult plover, chick or egg is even disturbed let alone killed, yet in 2006 alone there were 24 Green and Loggerhead nests containing some 114.8 eggs each (for a possible total of 2,755 eggs and potential sea turtle hatchlings) were lost (meaning killed) when many could have been saved. No one, not a single person, in resource management, law enforcement, administration, USF&W, or NCWRC has made a fuss over this loss. This sends a message to the general public that one piping plover adult, chick or egg is twenty-seven hundred times more important than a sea turtle adult, hatchling or egg having equal status under the ESA. Is this slaughter not a "take"? How can the general public make any sense out of this inconsistent management? The sea turtle management policies of this Area must be challenged and corrected. Night driving restrictions on our beaches has no effect on this issue, but the policy of re-locating nests to hatcheries or safer beaches is the only answer. 33. The number of visitors has increased by 50% since 1978 and the number of: ocean access ramps has decreased from 27 to 16 (41% decrease), sound side access trails has decreased from 25 to 16 (36% decrease), public parking spaces on NPS lands has decreased from 1069 to 1040 (4.6% decrease). All types of long term planning must address these deficiencies in access and parking. Again, the Conrad L. Worth letter of 1952 states that the Area "... will bring enjoyment to millions of visitors and prosperity to you." So the concept of millions of visitors coming here was anticipated way back then and NPS must keep their side of the bargain by providing access for these visitors. 34. Bottom line is no plan will ever eliminate user conflicts, as there will always be some who feel shortchanged and complain, even when their numbers are very few. The beaches of this Area must remain multi-use beaches and open to the American public. The concept that the life of a bird is more important than the life and safety of a human being must be rejected when allocating funding for resource management and such things as contaminated water, lifeguards and public safety. No new rules should be put on the books until NPS is able to enforce current regulations and any new rules added must be accompanied by a guarantee that there is manpower to enforce them.

Correspondence ID: 2920 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Westervelt, Frederic B

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Feb.27,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence:

I write as a resident of Ocracoke Island and a business (The Cove B&B) owner. I respect the value and limits of our natural resources and the varied needs and preferences of our populace. I make every attempt to keep priorities in perspective. I have no agenda. I am, therefore, the perfect consultant. Disclosure: beach driving is part of my family's lifestyle.

As regards access to and utilization of the resources of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore (CHNS), I have been annoyed by extremists on both sides- who either deny access for prolonged periods for the sake of a few birds or turtles or demand unlimited human access and let the critters fend for themselves. I also marvel at those who set aside a sizeable bird sanctuary (Pea Island), then seek to appropriate large sections, or the totality, of the remaining seashore for those same critters. This is not an issue that can be resolved by soundbites or oneliners. We collectively spend much time and effort ruminating about ORV and **Aga* pula the distribution and scheduling of beach access to people and creatures- hard enough-for p'ople to comprehend, impossible for the creatures. We hear of promises, made in the early days of CHNS, of unrestricted access to the beach. That position was probably unrealistic. However, the recent trend has been increasingly toward conservation of land and wildlife, to the exclusion of people. Whatever fair beach sharing plan is reached should be consistent, not seasonal or subject to momentary whim. Beach driving facilitates fishing, carrying gear and kids to the water or simply looking and enjoying- i.e., recreation. And why not?- the beach is unharmed by proper driving. Protection of wildlife here is an overblown justification for environmental activism- the numbers are small, the available area relatively huge, the priorities askew. Indeed, in comparison with natural plover attrition the potential loss of an occasional bird is quantitatively insignificant. And recall the hysteria that greets the disappearance of one or several chicks!

The recreational wants and needs of people, and the economic impact of those people (expenditures, taxes, etc.) are given lip service with regularity. Yet, in the final analysis the environment advocates and their well funded spokesmen/advocacy groups/lobbyists seem to carry the day, and the zealous bureaucrats, judges and legislators, more than their share of the time. And we're not talking here about endangered snail darter fish, spotted owls or interstate commerce-controlled frogs- we're talking about turtles and birds who can only use a small portion of those lands being assigned to them, of

which there is plenty.

The government (NPS and all) must recognize that they are merely stewards of the land on behalf of the citizens, and not owners of the land to rule over it dictatorially. After all, the purpose of the Park is recreation and nature enjoyment. But have you noticed- the word "recreation" is disappearing from signage and discussion?

Last, and one hopes not least, there are those of us who live in, earn our living from and cherish the Outer Banks, who often seem to have trouble being heard. Oh, we'll contribute public commentary like this, knowing we'll be termed "selfish," or narrowminded, or don't grasp "the big picture." Why, there's even the feeling that NPS would like us to go away, that we're simply disturbing the great nature plan. Little Ocracoke village can't enlarge its water treatment plant because the needed space belongs to NPS, which continues to find reasons to obstruct. People in some existing dwellings still need to carry drinking/cooking water from the store, or invest in private ground water treatment, lacking municipal water. Not good health management, and not a very community-friendly arrangement.

The Oregon Inlet bridge may be a case in point. Said to be in serious difficulties for the past several decades (why, when it's not yet 50 years old?), it can't be replaced because, among other reasons, NPS, USFW and others treasure a limited area of sea grasses, birds and fish more than the logistics and safety of the Outer Banks residents and crowds of visitors, for whom the Outer Banks are delightful respite. In a similar vein, the anticipated complete interruption, with major compromise of travel, of Ocracoke's NC 12 to permit elective bridge repair is held to be acceptable by NPS and others who refuse to allow temporary detour, Bailey-type substitutions because of "harm to the environment," which would be short-lived and heal spontaneously. While only tangentially ORV-related, I admit, these are important issues illustrating the misdirected priorities of our regulating bodies. Conclusion: So let's focus. Designate, if you must, some of northern Ocracoke Island for another Preserve. Leave the remainder of the beach, near the population, for the people- their fishing, loafing, dreaming and yes, driving. Remember, there's also lots of Portsmouth Island- not CHNS, but it's there and it's big- so think big.

Correspondence ID: 2921 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Eakes, Robert A

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,02,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: Having attended the Buxton meeting, I would like to state up front that I appreciate the opportunity afforded my community and my neighbors to comment in a reasonably unbiased meeting. This has not been done before by NPS in our area.

My suggestions and points of interest are:

? The highs and lows in plover population levels should be studied. Having no closures during the 1980 through the late' 1990 period and having high plover #'s of nest only to watch the numbers diminish as closures got larger and larger is wrong.: The mismanagement by a very biased NPS group led to this decline and only through proper and thorough understanding of the past will populations continue to increase. ? We do not need to reinvent the wheel. My community and I have been through the plan process several times. We came to agreement with NPS on a plan and lived under that plan for a long time. Use the 1978 plan to start the Reg Neg process. Build upon or take away from this plan but this is what everyone has used in the past. ? My community has had a wolf crier living amongst us. The louder the cry of wolf has been the less return in nesting success. I resent anyone who does not try to work these issues out. The wolf crier is a paid lobbyist who makes his money by crying wolf. ? I still do not think that familiars should be on the Reg Neg committee. There is little room for dis tied NPS, spouses who are only there to seek retribution for past mismanagement by their spouses. - ? I would like to protest some of the points used in the public' meetings we all attended this week. I would assume that these recommendations such as zones, night time bans, and so on were only done to achieve a knee jerk reaction from my community and I think they should not have been there. These points were brought forth by NPS and not through any public process. ? I employ at least 10 people in Dare County and they are dependant upon our citizens being able to access our beaches. Economics play a great part in any decision to be made. ? My congratulations on the evident first year success with piping plovers. A fifty per cent increase in number of nest is great. ? The American Sportfishing Association is without an alternate and Gordon Robertson, the executive vice president, has offered to fill this position.

Correspondence ID: 2922 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Charles, James

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Our family has been visiting the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Area for over 20 years and the main reason is because we can enjoy the Diamond Shoal area. We go fishing, swimming and just sit on the beach watching the wildlife and the ocean without being on an overcrowded beach. If we couldn't drive out to the point, I doubt we would visit the area as often as we do since the CHNSRA is what makes Cape Hatteras so much better than any other beach we have every been to.

Correspondence ID: 2923 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wood, Ezra G

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: It is with sadness that I am writing this communication. As a member of the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association, the Virginia Four-Wheel Drive Association, United Four-Wheel Drive Association, Capital City Four-Wheel Drive Club and the Outer Banks Preservation Association, I am compelled to go on record outlining my opposition to any diminished access to the beaches of the Outer Banks. For over thirty years, I have come to the Outer Banks annually to pursue my recreational fishing interests and I believe the entire area is a multifaceted jewel, unmatched in its varied opportunities to catch a large cross-section of gamefish from the sand. The area offers differing landscapes and varying surf topographies which make it one of the most challenging places to fish. Those concepts coupled with its easterly location, makes it the most unique fishing locale on the East Coast. The Outer Banks is the only place that offers big game fishing opportunities to an angler with his "feet in the sand." Favorable tidal conditions and close proximity to warm tropical currents allow many large game fish to be readily accessible while standing in the surf. Cape Point in Buxton is the surf fishing capital of the Eastern Seaboard and the place where any angler worth his salt is destined to congregate. Accessibility and freedom to find the right fishing conditions is why off-road access to the entire Outer Banks is so essential. Mobile surf fisherman operate in much the same manner as a hunter seeking the best place to find game. He or she must assess the tidal conditions, wind directions, wave conditions, topography of the ocean floor, and observe nature's signs (birds feeding, bait fish jumping, fish schooling, wave breaks, etc.) to determine the most productive place to fish. After all these things are considered, the correct bait on the proper rod/reel combination, presented in a manner which entices a large fish to strike is the reason we all come The Chance To Land a Citation Fish for documentation, an opportunity to keep a few fish for consumption and finally to witness the most unbelievable natural phenomenon ever the Outer Banks Fish Blitz, which stirs your soul and increases your adrenaline to a fever-pitch. These are the reasons that I return to the scene of the most pleasurable place on earth, for me! I could not fathom being unable to actively pursue my surf fishing interests. The only way that won't happen, is if somehow, in this great free country of ours, narrow-minded legislators decide to vote to close the Outer Banks. I shudder to think of the billions of dollars in lost revenue, damaged livelihoods of the residents, lost tourist trade and most importantly the lost opportunity to introduce future generations to the freedom of the beach, in an unrestricted manner. Our country and indeed many of our personal freedoms are being assaulted every day. We have to look over our shoulders and be observant of every behavior and of every person around us, to feel safe. The Outer Banks is one place that we have a chance to reflect on our heritage, allow children to play freely and commune with friends and Mother Nature, without fear. We should all take a vested interest in the conservation and preservation of this important resource. The Outer Banks have always been freely accessible with nature and mankind coexisting in a user friendly relationship. The challenge for the future is to maintain the delicate balance

between everything contending for use of the area, with no single interest or group, receiving greater advantage in the negotiations or compromises. The National Park Service over the years, has shouldered the immense responsibility for managing the entire Outer Banks and has done an efficient job of considering all parties, as it completed its mission. I have great respect for the NPS and charge you with continued responsibility for successfully managing the entire Outer Banks Recreational Area and the interests of all recreational users.

Correspondence ID: 2924 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mandulak, Bill
Outside Organization: Coastal Conservation Association North Carolina Conservation/Preservation
Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Several issues were brought up regarding the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area (CHNSRA) at the recent scoping meeting in Raleigh. These are the official comments of the Coastal Conservation Association of North Carolina (CCA NC), and its thousands of members. While CCA NC is a conservation organization concerned with the preservation and enhancement of the marine resource and environment, we do not support limited use. Currently, North Carolina is experiencing a major problem of water access for the public. The number of public piers has been cut in half of what it was a few short years ago. Public access marinas are being converted for private use at an alarming rate. Piers, boats and ORVs are the only way for the disabled, aged and families with children to access the CHNSRA beaches. The distances required to walk to the most favorable spots are too great. Therefore maintaining and expanding ORV public access to our marine environment is extremely important, especially with the ever growing coastal population.

First, we would like to be clear in our use of the term ORV. For our comments we mean four-wheel drive automobiles, truck and SUVs. We do not mean ATVs.

With the current areas open to ORV use, the only negative is the limitation of driving on Pea Island Wildlife Sanctuary, around the villages (most ORV users are supportive of closure around the villages during the peak tourist season with access open again in the "shoulder" season at limited speeds) and certain areas of Ocracoke Island. These closed areas can be used by those who do not wish to be around ORV users. The closed area of Pea Island was initially to be "temporary" but seems to have become permanent. We understand that commercial fishermen can drive on this beach? The NPS should investigate whether this closure continues to make sense for all user groups. The greater volume of users of the CHNSRA seems to be ORV users. Opening Pea Island would expand the available use of the park. We have experienced minimal user conflicts and opening Pea Island would be beneficial to those who cannot access this area by walking. This could definitely enhance the visitor use of the park.

Clearly, the greater the area that is open to ORV use the greater the economic benefit to the seashore communities. The access to the beach by ORVs has proven to be a substantial boon to the seashore communities particularly in the fall, winter and spring seasons when general tourism is at its lowest. Since commercial fishermen use ORVs to access the beaches for the haul seine fisheries and inshore gill net fisheries, they require the park to be open to ORV use. These fisheries are very important to the local economy particularly the speckled trout and striped bass harvests.

Virtually all ORVs operate within several feet of the high tide line. All access should be allowed only at designated ramps. The major habitat for the threatened birds can be protected above the ORV driving line with a reasonable distance around their nests, ideally, identified as off limits to ORVs. As well, turtle nests should be clearly marked with access around them visibly identified. In many years of using the recreation area, there have been very few, if any, incidents of ORV traffic injuring nesting birds or turtles.

A reasonable approach for area closure for shore bird nesting would be to leave between 40' to 50' of corridor for ORV access along the beach. As access is limited to ORV use, the "traffic" noise is significantly less than large truck traffic driving down highway 12. The many species that are native to the Outer Banks have coexisted nicely for many years with ORV traffic. They have even flourished in many of the highest ORV traffic areas of the beach. Any closures area sizes should be based on peer reviewed science and reopened as soon as reasonable.

If the NPS continues to maintain the access ramps properly, as well as visibly mark restricted dune areas, there should be no damage to any marine vegetation. Driving on the beach just above the high tide line typically does not impact vegetation, as there is none there. We cannot see how non-native species could be transported on an ORV that drove at high speeds to get to the Outer Banks. Seeds and vegetation would be easily blown off the vehicle. Park operations should be enhanced to properly manage the use of ORVs in the recreation area. This includes strictly enforcing speed limits and levying severe penalties on those who abuse restricted areas. This should not include limiting the number of those able to access given areas.

The geology of the CHNSRA is impacted by storms and hurricanes far more than can be attributed to ORV traffic. A few ruts made by ORVs disappear quickly under the continued onslaught of wind and weather. This should not be a concern as long as the traffic is kept below the dune line and close to the water.

Air quality should not be an issue as the overwhelming majority of ORV traffic drives to a spot, parks and shuts off the motor. The wind will quickly disperse the exhaust which is no more than if the vehicle drove down highway 12.

The ORVs have the same noise levels as regular cars. They are quiet and do not impact wildlife or human activities in any way. As noted above, large truck traffic on highway 12 is much louder. In addition the sound of the ocean is generally louder than the vehicles. The abundance of wildlife and marine life is the very reason people come to the recreation area. In over 75 years of ORV use the marine resources have remained unaffected by changes in water quality from ORV use. The ORVs are not permitted to drive in the wetlands except on designated trails. This should remain the same. The use of ORVs pales in comparison to the changes wrought by winter storms, major tides and hurricanes. We all understand the impact natural events have on the access to the beaches and ocean. It is incumbent on the NPS to assure safe and consistent access to the beach areas. ORV use does not in any way impact cultural resources. In fact, many people would not be able to experience these resources without the ability to drive an ORV to see them. ORV access around the towns and campgrounds are already limited in the height of the tourist season to lessen conflicts. We do not foresee the same to be true on the more remote beaches.

We feel the NPS should consider the negative impact of increased foot traffic on the dunes and fauna should ORV access be limited and people be required to walk across the habitat to access the beach areas. This is already apparent in some areas of Pea Island.

Certainly, as the management plans proceed, the impact on our resources needs to be considered and minimized where possible. There are areas of specific concern to the ORV community and public at large. The Oregon Inlet area, Cape Point, Hatteras Inlet area and Ocracoke Inlet area are all highly popular and very important. Access for ORVs to these areas has significant cultural and economic impact. ORV access to these areas should be maintained permanently. Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreation Area is a unique national park that for many can only be experienced using an ORV. In many cases, the access that is available is the only affordable way many of our members could enjoy the beautiful beaches and quality marine resources. It is imperative that you remember that this park was established as a recreation area for the benefit of the public. Much of the park area such as the dunes and vegetated areas are closed to ORV traffic. Only a small portion of the park allows ORV driving and has for many years. Your plan should consider maximizing the responsible ORV use within the recreation area.

Correspondence ID: 2925 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dechesne, Erick A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Letter
Correspondence:

For the economy and stability of the towns and townspeople of the Outer Banks, it is essential that ORV access remains open and free to visitors. I have been traveling to Hatteras Island for over 29 years (as a child and beyond), and consider it my favorite place in this world to relax and unwind. I come down from my native Pennsylvania 2-3 times per year to enjoy the tranquility and scenery only available on the Outer Banks. One of the things that I enjoy most about my trips, is fishing on the beach away from the hustle and bustle of the towns. I do my part to clean up the beaches by picking up trash that I find, and transporting it back in my own vehicle. I have bought for the first time a fishing license so that I can enjoy the beach, and my favorite past time.

I fear closing the beaches to ORV use would cause irreparable damage to the economy of the Outer Banks. People will simply find different places to go, possibly closer to home, instead of traveling to the wonderful Outer Banks. Why? Because would no longer be able to "get away from it all" by

driving out onto the beach. As a fishermen, I am an avid proponent of preservation and protection of resources and species. However, I am strongly against shutting off access to beaches simply because a few conservation groups think that is should be done. That serves only their interests, not the interests of the majority. To be truly a "National Park", access needs to remain open. Seasonal closures are acceptable, and I have dealt with them because I understand why the closures are there.

Please use common sense and input from the communities and not just the conservation groups when crafting the new ORV Management plan. Please don't take another "freedom" that we have away from us who love the Outer Banks.

Correspondence ID: 2926 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Robert E
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I have been using the beaches for fishing since the early 70's, and have seen the access ramps become fewer and fewer. The OBX are a part of my life. I find no where that you can be as close to Gods creation as the OBX. To not be able to access this would be a great loss to all not just us who like to surf fish. To be able to pick a place throw out a line and relax in a chair is the best mental maintenance I can have. I look forward to exposing my grandchildren to their heritage of access to the OBX. Your help in preserving and expanding this wonderful part of North Carolina would be greatly appreciated.

Correspondence ID: 2927 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Comments, Public Scoping
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,07,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Park Form
Correspondence: I've attached the comments from the predator management public scoping. Some comments have nothing to do with predator management but I left them in anyway as people were there to have their voices heard. Seems like the majority of the people are more concerned about ghost crab predation at turtle nests than anything else (which led to comments on lighting issues, etc). A few comments about cats. I'm sure there will be more in depth comments in the mail!
Cape Hatteras National Seashore Predator Management Plan ? Public Scoping comments Wednesday, March 7, 2007 ? Open up Park to trapping by local residents in a variety of areas within the Park. Avon to Salvo being heavily inundated by raccoons, etc.
? Manage vegetative cover in bird areas to minimize cover used by predators.
Take pro-active measures for predator management vs. just trapping o Identify high use predator areas, determine why they are there and resolve problems (ie. Dumpsters and fish cleaning station) o Discourage predators o Restrict human activities that support predators in nesting areas during certain times of the year
? Relocate nests (birds and turtles) to areas higher on the beach and/or incubators to avoid both loss to storms and predators.
? Reduce vegetation in nesting areas to reduce predator cover while at the same time creating habitat.
? Complete elimination of feral cats through humane means.
? Increase use of predator enclosures for all species (ie. Enclosures utilized at plover nests).
? Ensure all domestic animals (feral and non) caught in traps are turned over to SPCA. ? Partnership with other groups devoted to dealing with feral cat problems.
? Reduce size of boundaries around nest sites ? areas where ORV's are present have smaller populations of ghost crabs. Also remove winter closures ? vehicles reduce vegetation as well as ghost crabs.
? Increase volunteer turtle program at nests at night to decrease ghost crab predation.
? Increase education on lights and sea turtles o Brochures at rental cottages o Stickers on light switches reminding visitors to turn out lights
? Sue county for allowing villages to produce light pollution in the Park ? create ordinances & fines

Correspondence ID: 2928 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Watson, Frederic D
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I would like to write and allow you to enter as a supportive better to the Dept. of Interior and to Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the challenge that has been placed on your department. I understand that keeping all user groups satisfied is very complicated to do, and that from time to time must be very challenging, however the comments that the Audubon Society has openly published in their bi-monthly book is littered with inaccuracies and you department is not due such criticisms.
All user groups would like to think that their purpose and use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore are the most important, but to openly try to manipulate the opinions of the public and give reason for the NPS to second guess themselves on policies and procedures is inexcusable. Audubon Society has failed to mention many positive outcomes of having ORV us on the beaches at not only Cape Hatteras but other seashores throughout the country. Several whales, turtles and seals have beached themselves and due to the ORV users initial efforts to contact proper authorities, some of these sea dwellers have been able to be returned to the sea or taken to a facility for continued care of then like the Baltimore Aquarium and other appropriate facilities. Without the ORV users access, these sea dwellers may not have been found in time resulting in a higher mortality rate.
ORV user groups have come under much criticism and have been labeled the "bad guy" for enjoying physical close access to nature. ORV users have been told that they deliberately choose to run over piping plovers and turtles. Also that we destroy beaches and dunes, we leave the beaches full of trash, drive fast on the beach, and so on. All of the previous are untrue as a majority. Quite the contrary, ORV user routinely leave our beaches cleaner than the condition we find them by cleaning up trash that is washed ashore by the ocean. ORV users also adhere to postings be it nests, speed limits or protected plants. Safety and environment are of the utmost importance to ORV user groups. These groups often work cooperatively with the NPS in these efforts. While it is recognized that there are some individuals that have a disregard for the protection of any natural asset, it is encouraged that they be seen just as individuals and should be dealt with as such. Placing regulations and limitations on the majority for individual actions is extreme and punishes all.
In closing I would encourage you to discount and dismiss any and all letters that are filed from organizations as a result of this technically inaccurate and propaganda article bashing the Dept of Interior, Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and finally ORV users for enjoying nature for the purpose of recreational fishing as we have known it historically for many years. The majority of ORV users are drawn to our seashores due to the pristine beauty of nature they afford, destroying them runs contrary to the reasons we enjoy access to them in the first place.
I would like to thank you at this time for helping ORV users to maintain access to our beaches so we can enjoy the outdoors for recreational fishing and simply enjoying their natural beauty.

Correspondence ID: 2929 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ellington, Brad
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Myself and My family have enjoyed the Outer Banks since 1950. My father, brother and I have all been property owners at one time or another and the

memories of time spent fishing the surf from Duck to Ocracoke are some of the most fond memories of my life. My wife and I introduced our children to accessing the beach with our Jeep when our son was 8 weeks old, same with our daughter. The thought of not being able to drive on the beach is one I don't want to consider. I am sure that vehicular traffic does some damage to the dunes and the beach, however those of us that have grown up on the Outer Banks have a respect for nature and the beach. It goes back to the days when the road from Oregon Inlet to Rodanthe was made up largely of metal grating used for airplane runways during WWII. Regulate the vehicles on the beach, make the permit fees high enough to discourage those that just want to use the beach for the so called "four-wheeling". Those of us that want to access the beach with our "Jeeps" for the love of the Outer Banks understand that it is a privilege and not a right and are willing to treat the beach as a treasure to enjoy and pass on to our children. Not being able to access the beach by vehicle to enjoy the sand, sun, surf and fishing can't be the answer to anything.

Correspondence ID: 2930 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Elliott, Kevin

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,11,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Letter

Correspondence: I am very disturbed to hear of the possible closing of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area for 4- wheel drive access, more importantly, no access. My family has traveled to the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area every year for a family vacation, sometimes for weeks at a time, over the past 12 years. On occasion, I join mutual friends and family for a day trip or even a few days to enjoy the fishing. The privacy of such a place where people keep to themselves and have one thing in common, great fishing, is a treasured secret. Prior to our annual trips over the past 12 years, my wife's father introduced me to the Outer Banks in 1990. Since then, I have joined the North Carolina Beach Buggy Association as a life member and the Outer Banks Preservation Association. My father-in-law taught me to respect the beaches, to clean up after myself and others, and to help those in need while on the beach. Currently, Pea Island Refuge Area is a large area that is protected by the National Park Service. Considering the fact that I am an outdoors-man and a conservationist, I think there is a large area of the island that has not been developed and is protected. If there are groups of people out there who are concerned about preservation, maybe we should consider not letting people build on the ocean fronts or wetlands, or even stop all development now. I believe that the island needs tourism to survive. Where can you go and take a 30 minute boat ride and be in the warm flowing currents on the gulf stream? The only place I know of is Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. Please take my e-mail seriously. There are personal friends, sportsmen, tourists and locals that depend on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area, not only as a recreation place, but as a way to make a living.

Correspondence ID: 2931 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Stevens, Mike L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: To Whom It May Concern: As an AMSA / UMS member I enjoy the beach access of our beautiful seashores. I hope to see the park service take care of the people who take care of the beaches. My main concern is that what ever happens to the NC. shores will effect my home beach. I enjoy coming to the outerbanks and I hope to keep doing so. If and when you do make your off-road management plan think of the people who enjoy the fishing and love the free sand between their toes.

Correspondence ID: 2932 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Milnor, Richard

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,12,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Sirs---for over 30 yrs. I have enjoyed going to the outerbanks Buxton,Oregon Inlet fishing my three sons now grown experienced surf fishing with their Dad --sunset at The Point is something everyone should be able to experience--I hope the park service does not ban vehicular traffic on the beaches--not everyone can afford a beachfront house--not to mention the irreparable damage to the fragile economy in Buxton etc. while the occasional nit wit litters or drinks too much in my experience the majority respect the environment--why is it that the good of the majority is once again appently being threatened by the vocal well financed few--- deprive the majority of access to our national tresures is flat out unpatriotic and besides aside from gatekeeper the park service won't have much to do -- guess some politician could then tell us how they had figured a way to save the taxpayers some money by depriving the majority the realistic opportunity to enjoy one of natural resouces--Rich Milnor

Correspondence ID: 2933 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gregory, Bob

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: My wife and I live in Virginia but I have strong ties to North Carolina. I was born in Little Washington and I have many relatives living in various parts of NC. We love to use our 4-wheel drive truck to go on the beach for sight seeing and surf fishing a couple of times per year. My friend Ray and his girl friend sometimes accompany us on these trips. We hope that the beaches are kept open to 4-wheelers so that we can continue to enjoy this part of our lives. It would of course mean much more to the motels, cottage renters, gas stations, bait & tackle shops, etc.; if we don't drive down, thousands of others won't either.

Correspondence ID: 2934 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Rozum, Dennis M

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,10,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Since 1964 I have enjoyed Hatteras Island. Riding the beaches, swimming, fishing and having my kids and grandkids learn to enjoy the beaches. Many years ago we built a house in Avon to be closer at times to what we love. Taking those things away seems like a waste of why God put them here. Not to mention what that would do to the economy on Hatteras Island.

Correspondence ID: 2935 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Kelliher, Steve

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I wanted to write you and let you know that I think it is important to keep the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area (hereafter CHNS). I have been taking my children to the beach since they were 3 months old - they are now 20 and 22. For our family, CHNS is the beach. My wife and I have raised them to love and respect the beach and in so doing, the environment. We have been able to show them many examples of environmental

protection and (unfortunately) many examples of the lack thereof. One such example is when we discovered the beach littered with what appeared to hospital debris - syringes by the hundreds and other medical waste. This happened to be in a very remote stretch north of Avon and south of Rodanthe. On the positive side my children have become quite environmentally conscious adults. My daughter is going to Va. Tech. with a desire to pursue Marine Science. As a family, we have also been able to enjoy the many recreational water/beach related sports like fishing, surfing, kayaking, and wind surfing without the typical throngs of people one might find at Virginia Beach, Myrtle Beach, or Ocean City. Being able to access the remote areas of the beaches, to follow the fish when they move, to explore many different areas is a unique and wonderful opportunity. Naturally, like any such opportunity, it comes with responsibility. In the 25 years I've been enjoying CHNS, we have always observed that most off-road people behave with not only a high degree of responsibility, but with an almost unheard of 'pride of ownership' attitude towards 'their beach'. By that I mean, they will pick up trash if others have left it behind. They will call down people and often report behavior that is damaging to the fragile environment - like driving in, on and around the dunes (one of my pet peeves). They will often stop to carry people on and off the beach - people who have discovered they've bitten off a little more than they could chew trying to carry a cooler, fishing gear, toys for the kids, blankets, chairs, etc. I know you must have witnessed this yourself. Or, they walk out and stare at the ocean for a half an hour wishing they had all or some of that stuff with them so they could really stay and enjoy it. This is not to say there aren't people who act irresponsibly. That seems to be everywhere these days. However, it is manageable and controlling those behaviors has the support of most of the people who drive on the beach. We know what a few bad apples can do.

I understand that there are some people who feel that off-road access should be eliminated. I have made an effort to understand their point of view. I've been on the beach in a remote spot enjoying looking to the left and to the right and not seeing another soul. What a magnificent feeling! As I reveled in the solitude, another vehicle comes up the beach, drives by and interrupts my solace. I am momentarily upset that someone has intruded into "my space". But, it quickly passes when 5 minutes later I am once again alone. I understand. The trouble is, closing the beach to traffic isn't a solution. It is a response to an emotional reaction that is based on selfishness. It's an, "I've got mine, now close the door" mentality. As more and more people have purchased vacation homes or have chosen to vacation on the island, it has "grown up". Some of the new-comers aren't used to off-road activity. They don't realize it has been a way of life since the Park's beginnings and that it supports a vibrant economy. Others have as much right to 'my beach' as they do. If it weren't for being able to take my vehicle on the beach, I never would have experienced that feeling. As I get older, I realize that it will soon be the only way I may get out to the surf to fish. I would hate to think of being 'robbed' of this opportunity as I reach the age when I can really enjoy it. I appreciate the time you have taken to read this letter. I've never taken the time to put my thoughts on this matter down on 'paper'. But, I am so convinced of the importance of keeping the CHNS open to vehicles that I felt compelled to express my thoughts. In fact, I will write my senator and congressman the same letter - another thing I haven't done very often. Thanks for giving me the opportunity to express my feelings.

Correspondence ID: 2936 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Porte, Dan

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,08,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Why is it that when people enjoy themselves someone always tries to ruin things. The beach belongs to people and the birds. We should be able to coexist, don't you think. My family has been going to the Hatteras for over 30 years. We have never littered or did any damage to the dunes. We go fishing and walking on the beach, shelling has always been fun. Also, please know we also respect the birds areas. We are now in our 70's and would hate to think that we could no longer enjoy the beach as we have always known it.

Correspondence ID: 2937 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Peterson, Glen

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Hello, It is always a special pleasure to be able to visit the beaches of NC. and especially the area below Waves Rodanthe and Salvo as well as the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area. It is one of the few opportunities to spend time with nature, the ocean and good friends. Fishing, Walking, seeing the seashore birds, and just how nature impacts the seashore is always refreshing and renewing. It has been a part of my family's life for many years. It would be incredibly sad if my grandchildren can't experience the same sights sounds and smells or the area. It would be difficult to access this area without the aid of 4 wheel drive vehicles. I have a number of friends who use these areas and all are very responsible in complying with the regulations that apply to the area.

I thank you and the NPS service for helping to assure that this great area remains open to the public and to our children and grandchildren. Thanks, Glen Peterson 26201 Quay Court, Salvo NC and Chester Virginia.

Correspondence ID: 2938 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Menting, Reid

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I have been a frequent visitor to Hatteras since the 60's. I go on the beach about 4 times per year. I am very alert to wildlife and nesting areas and avoid them even if it means giving up a good fishing spot. This is also how I taught my 3 children and now their children to treat the beaches. Most of the folks I speak to at the beach have the same thoughts about preservation. Please do not take away these privileges from mine and other families. It means so much to be out on the beach without having to worry about walking for a mile or so to be there. I am getting to old to do that and, closing the beaches would stop me from the freedom I have enjoyed for 40+ years. If the issue is beach maintenance money add an access fee. If the issue is preservation try added education (sorely lacking). If it means limiting the access to a lesser number of people have a lottery. Just please do not remove this sacred privilege from the people who cherish it so much. Other states have done this and it is wrong. I can understand NY, NJ, etc doing this due to population, not NC. Please consider the feelings of others who enjoy the beauty and serenity (especially in the off season) and keep the beaches open.

Correspondence ID: 2939 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Girardi, Richard

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,09,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: I am writing to express my desire that the CHNSRA remain open to off-road vehicle access. I have been visiting the Recreation area multiple times a year for over 20 years now. I have fished, proposed to my wife, enjoyed family outings, and had countless other wonderful experiences along the beaches of this area, most by using the convenience of vehicular travel. It is my understanding that the Park Service is considering new rules for vehicular access, and that there is strong opposition to allowing vehicles on the beaches.

I realize there are many, many factors involved in this decision making process, and it probably does not make too much sense for me to address each one. One of the more contentious issues has been the protection of certain "threatened" bird species. As a trained biologist, I understand the need to balance human activities with the wildlife of the area. However, from the limited research that I have done on the subject, it seems that predators and strong storms do countless more damage than the vehicular access could ever do. It seems the last year or so, a sufficient balance has been worked out using beach closures when necessary to protect certain wildlife, while still allowing the greatest degree of public access to our beaches. It seems to me that a workable solution, not too unlike the situation that we have now, could be agreed upon by all parties involved. It seems that over the years less and less of our park has been available to ORV's (and the public as a whole) while more and more people have started to enjoy the park. I respectfully

request that you and the NPS do all in their power to continue to allow ORV access to what the Park Service itself deemed first and foremost a public "Recreation Area".

Correspondence ID:	2940	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Wickert, Michelle				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,11,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	My name is Michelle Wickert and I live in Richmond, VA. I recently read that you all were thinking about closing the beaches to off road vehicles. This truly upsets me. My first trip to Oregon an Inlet was 2 years ago. It has now been a summer tradition that we go twice a year. There is nothing more I look forward to then summer. I know that each year I will be able to drive on the beach and relax in my own spot. We can't do this anywhere else unless we fly to Daytona Fla. That wouldn't be a lot fun! When ever we make our trip down to Nags Head we always joke about how the entire state of VA is driving down as well. VA flocks to this beach! That should be a wonderful feeling to know that your neighboring state wants to visit and spend their time on your beach. Obviously VA. Beach isn't this fun!!!!!! Everyone loves this beach. The state of NC makes so much money b/c of gas, food, drinks, and beach accessories that are bought. As a Nags Head lover from VA I'm begging you not to take my new tradition away. I'm begging you to let Nags head stay open to off road vehicles. NC has something that many drive for hours to visit. Not every state has this!				
Correspondence ID:	2941	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Floyd, Kenneth				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	I have been visiting and driving on Cape Hatteras beaches for 34 years. I have seen many changes during this time but none of these changes bother me near as much as the threat of losing the privilege of driving on these beaches that I have grown to love and cherish. The last few years have been trying for all parties involved and I would like to thank you for your efforts in keeping as much beach open as possible. The new access road created just north of the point last year was a very nice gesture that I'm sure you didn't have to make. This leads me to believe you are a fair man, and you have earned my respect. As of 3-5-07 my oldest son became a resident of Ocracoke Island and has accepted a job with the power company. He is an avid fisherman and loves the beaches as I do. I hope and pray that you will see fit to continue to keep the beaches open for people like myself and my son as well as the residents. I truly believe the economy of the islands would be adversely affected if beach closings occur.				
Correspondence ID:	2942	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Ellington, Brad				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,12,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Myself and My family have enjoyed the Outer Banks since 1950. My father, brother and I have all been property owners at one time or another and the memories of time spent fishing the surf from Duck to Ocracoke are some of the most fond memories of my life. My wife and I introduced our children to accessing the beach with our Jeep when our son was 8 weeks old, same with our daughter. The thought of not being able to drive on the beach is one I don't want to consider. I am sure that vehicular traffic does some damage to the dunes and the beach, however those of us that have grown up on the Outer Banks have a respect for nature and the beach. It goes back to the days when the road from Oregon Inlet to Rodanthe was made up largely of metal grating used for airplane runways during WWII. Regulate the vehicles on the beach, make the permit fees high enough to discourage those that just want to use the beach for the so called "four-wheeling". Those of us that want to access the beach with our "Jeeps" for the love of the Outer Banks understand that it is a privilege and not a right and are willing to treat the beach as a treasure to enjoy and pass on the our children. Not being able to access the beach by vehicle to enjoy the sand, sun, surf and fishing can't be the answer to anything.				
Correspondence ID:	2943	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	N/A, bookin2				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,10,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Mr. Murray, Please consider leaving Cape Hatteras access as is for one of the last places fishing is accessible by vehicle. I truly respect nature in its original form and would follow any resonable driving rule to keep access. I to have seen people unworthy of the experience,due to their speed or juvenile actions. These people should be fined or forbid access. Florida is what happens to beaches that money controls the access to the wealthy only. As a North Carolina resident, I can tell you Cape Hatteras is surely a treasure. Thanks				
Correspondence ID:	2944	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	McCarthy, Bryan				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,10,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	I am writing this letter to express my concern regarding the Off-Road Vehicle Plan that is being considered by the National Park Service and directly related to beach closure planning by the NIPS at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have been a responsible off-road enthusiast for more than 30 years and have frequented the CHNS for family recreation, fishing, and wildlife attractions during my many visits each season. The purpose of this letter is to ask the NPS to reconsider this action and evaluate more appropriately in the best interest of the community of responsible users who deeply care about access to this recreational natural resource, with the loss thereof, would constitute a grave injustice to those who care very much about the environment, wildlife, and sustainability of this resource for generations to come. In closing, I would ask that the NPS unanimously endorse keeping all beach access venues and ramps open to the public during the entire season.				
Correspondence ID:	2945	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Rhodes, Bryan				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,11,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	Mr. Murray, I want to begin by stating that I firmly appose any changes to the current system for Off-road vehicle (ORV) access within the Cape Hatters National Seashore. I was disappointed when reading that steps were being taken to possibly "analyze" the present system of access. ORV access is one of the charismatic features of the Outer Banks and traditionally has attracted visitors from all over the Mid-Atlantic region for more than the last 50 years. While other activities must receive support from the park it must be realized that fishing is a large percentage of use, particularly in the spring, winter and fall. When government organizations (like the NPS) take steps to solicit the public it is usually done to seek justification for a broad turning back of privileges. If a response is not to a level set by those doing the soliciting they then use the lack of response as justification to increase regulation.				

I have strong doubts that any POSITIVE benefits will result from this action to recreational fishermen. Most of the solutions that are proposed to "fix" the current problems already exist. Beaches currently have been closed to protect Piping Plover breeding sites and fledglings. These actions prove highly unpopular with locals and visitors who spend hundreds of dollars on vacations and they generate a negative image for the NPS as a distant over-obtrusive bureaucracy (i.e. the closing of Cape Point). Closing a percentage of beaches as a remedy or alternating dates of access would also limit fishermen. The dynamic nature of surf fishing requires flexibility in access to a broad expanse of beaches. As an ornithology student who has a deep affection for birds and bird watching I understand how the NPS wants to be inclusive with all users of the park, however bird watching and fishing are not mutually exclusive activities. While breeding habitat is important for bird species more localized efforts should be used to protect species than the wholesale closing of vehicular access. Therefore I cannot support any of the current alterations proposed and STRONGLY suggest that vehicular beach access remain broadly liberal.

Correspondence ID: 2946 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pearman, Marilyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2947 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Supko, Joann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,17,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: My husband and I have just reached the age where we can enjoy our dream of just sitting on the beach while he fishes and I read a book. His favorite place is Cape Hatteras where he can drive his little Ford Ranger, look and God's world and smile. After forty-five years of working, raising two daughters, and subsequently playing nanny to 3 grandchildren, Cape Hatteras has become our escape. We are very careful of the area and have noticed that just about everyone else who goes there is also. Please consider this is your plans for the areas. Please keep the beaches open. Thank you

Correspondence ID: 2948 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dobbins, Debby
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2949 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hamilton, Traci
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2950 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wells, Donald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2951 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowerman, M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2952 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sills, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2953 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zeng, Miyako
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2954 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Watson, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2955 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Blackford, Sheila
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: These are some of my concerns that I hope you can help address: Permanent year round sections of the seashore that are open to pedestrians but not ORVs. No ORV access during critical breeding season for wildlife. Change the high use visitor season to March 15 through Jan 1. This would address the increase visitor use during the now longer shoulder seasons. Fees for ORV driving permits (equitable to the associated cost of maintaining ORV use in the seashore). One possibility is a year round permit and week permit options. Carrying capacity limits (quotas number for ORVs on the beach based on each location). ORV driving rules that put a premium on insuring the safety of pedestrians, protecting the resources, adhering to the aesthetic ideals of the NPS and minimizing visitor use conflicts (there are use conflicts among ORV drivers themselves). Recognition that ORV use is a recreational activity just like other types of recreational activities yet restricts other's access, safety and enjoyment of the Park.

Correspondence ID: 2956 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2957 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gillette, Bryan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2958 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lovejoy, Lauren
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2959 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gilkey, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2960 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: James, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2961 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Quinn, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2962 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wade, Jeffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2963 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Varone, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2964 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stenzhorn, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2965 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Waugh-Stewart, Elizabeth

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2966 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gilliam, Archer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2967 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fraser, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2968 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hicks, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2969 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Aduddell, Becky
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2970 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Donaldson, Rosemary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2971 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Feustel, Adeha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2972 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ripple, D'Orsay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2973 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tynan, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2974 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Sheila
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2975 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Treat, Hilary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2976 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Fox, Effie
Outside Organization: Fauquier Outdoor Lab Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2977 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hatley, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2978 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brogan, Anna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect these sensitive beaches from the impacts of off-road vehicles. Restricting ORV use would not dampen my enthusiasm for the Outer Banks, and it would do such a lot of good! All the other national seashores have restrictions, like banning night driving, and restricting the use of ORVs at certain times. Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be no exception. We can handle a few restrictions if it means that the Outer Banks will preserve that wild, natural feel which makes it so unique among coastal areas.

Correspondence ID: 2979 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carrier, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2980 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: D'Auria, Alissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2981 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mays, Tori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2982 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Sumner
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2983 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2984 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bader, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2985 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howe, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2986 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eskenasy, Edith
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2987 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Philip
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2988 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howe, Kyli
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2989 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Campbell, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2990 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hutchens, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2991 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Silver, Karissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2992 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LeVay, Denise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2993 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Garron, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2994 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Locklear, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2995 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clute, Joy V
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2996 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Liske, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2997 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baucom, Daniel R
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: E-mail
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2998 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Majicka, Kathryn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 2999 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smyre, Bonnie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3000 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Zahn, Martin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3001 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Budahazy, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3002 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fischer, Corey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3003 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rostiser, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3004 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3005 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berard, Julia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3006 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pitt, Tom
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3007 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Welgos, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3008 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: King, Jill

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3009 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Christensen, Dick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3010 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pudlo, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3011 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McWilliams, Cathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3012 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hatrick, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3013 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3014 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weader, Shannon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3015 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: David, Allen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3016 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jane, Miranda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3017 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Currier, Vaugh
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3018 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Sherri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3019 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Wollston, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3020 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shen, Gloria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3021 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lipper, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3022 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Warfle, Jamme
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3023 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferguson, Paula
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3024 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Solomon, Donald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3025 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Solomon, Donald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3026 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Monacella, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3027 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sagatov, Lara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3028 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stancil, Julie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3029 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Allen, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3030 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Hal
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3031 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dennett, Jeanie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3032 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rohe, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3033 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Friesen, Floyd
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3034 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Huffer, Jean-Marie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3035 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bill, Theresa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3036 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Braxton, Joseph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3037 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: JacksonORicketts, Justin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3038 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bennett, Anna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3039 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LaMontagne, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3040 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burtner, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3041 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rame, Candice
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3042 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Murdoch, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3043 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lovette, Rosie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3044 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Curlee, Lewis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3045 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Riney, Jeffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3046 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McClamrock, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3047 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sipp, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3048 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ebner, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3049 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Atkinson, Cheryl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3050 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kopley, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3051 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McKinley, Kristen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3052 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ball, H
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3053 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lee, Melissa P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3054 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walters, L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: What worries me is the volume of traffic on the beaches. There are, literally, traffic jams at times. there are novice and seasoned "off-raoders" that do not seem to understand the fragile nature of the beach. These people seem to believe that they have unlimited access. Vegetation and wildlife suffer.

Correspondence ID: 3055 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Castaneda-Mendez, Kicab
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3056 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bergh, Kayti
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3057 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3058 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowden, A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3059 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lupton, Kent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3060 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3061 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moseley, Lilliah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3062 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Vickie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: E-mail
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3063 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pike, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3064 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leonard, Andrea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3065 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kelley, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3066 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Toryak, Linda C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3067 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McGee, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3068 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Betters, Kathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3069 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Livanos, Stan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3070 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sipp, Carissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3071 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brabbin, Rhonda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3072 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Young, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3073 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vollmer, Laura

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3074 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Willis, Jackie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3075 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Osborne, Miri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3076 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robbins, A. Catherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Please do a better job at protecting Cape Hatteras Shoreline from ATV's and other vehicles which have been destroying the nesting grounds for sea turtles. My Daughter Annie was crushed to find evidence of this problem on the beaches there last summer.

Correspondence ID: 3077 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schultz, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3078 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gagner, Hugh
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3079 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miessler, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3080 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yohe, Amber
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3081 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boyd, Patrick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3082 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sowers, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3083 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Levine, Beverly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3084 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tolby, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3085 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Madden, Nicole
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3086 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miller, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3087 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beaston, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3088 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Richey, Kay
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3089 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Swank, Phyllis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3090 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leimer, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3091 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Horsman, Daniela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3092 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blosser, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3093 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fox, Wendy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3094 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baker, Amanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3095 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mayer, DeLavender
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3096 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kuhovich, Kara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3097 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Perry, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3098 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams, Joan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3099 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barnett, Brittany
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3100 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Emich, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3101 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walters, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3102 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snell, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3103 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crosbie, Joe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3104 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crumpton, Tonia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3105 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wolgomatt-Woods, Sarah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3106 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Berdis, Amanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3107 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carroll, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3108 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Plarre, Paula
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3109 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wynn, Gareth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3110 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Carri
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3111 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parrish, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3112 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: stevens, Marci
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3113 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schabel, Rita
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3114 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jewell, Sandy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3115 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Winterbine, Jenifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3116 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keaton, Benjamin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3117 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cox, Beth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3118 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowman, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3119 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clark, Loralee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3120 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Trent, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3121 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vornbrock, Betty
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3122 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Carroll, Timothy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3123 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nielsen, Lessli
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3124 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dale, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3125 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lester, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3126 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nichols, Maureen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3127 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snow, Stacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3128 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: West, Kely
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3129 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gallaway, Shawn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3130 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Everhart, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3131 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Cathleen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3132 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robinson, Tammy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3133 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thomas, Dwayne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3134 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ratusz, Peggy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3135 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Shirley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3136 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jakubsen, Alexander
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3137 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dagostino, Helena
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3138 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yu, Brenda

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3139 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Escudero, Maria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3140 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Benson, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3141 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burke, Elisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3142 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yates, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3143 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Burkhart, Q
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3144 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ojala, Jami
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3145 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crofoot, Jennifer L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3146 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kirkman, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3147 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rivenbark, Randi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3148 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Webb, Jody
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3149 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Gilbert, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3150 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3151 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hoganson, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3152 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Monge, Josue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3153 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hembrey, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3154 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ford, Ronald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3155 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Qaqish, Al
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3156 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Colette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3157 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moffett, Patrick
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3158 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: van Breda, Arina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3159 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Flynn-Smith, Janis
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3160 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boyer, Rae Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3161 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hild, Sandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3162 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Perry, Millicent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3163 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCullough, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3164 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Potts, Shelley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3165 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Czuchra, Chelsea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3166 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hendrick, Larry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3167 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Palmer, R. Brent
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3168 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Uphold, Lois
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3169 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alami, Cecilia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3170 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pate, Andra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3171 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hosea, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3172 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bonometti, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3173 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wekks, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3174 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Marson, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3175 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hornberg, Jean
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3176 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holt, Christina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3177 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Deeds, Amanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3178 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Tammy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3179 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frank, Harriette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3180 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Owen, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3181 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howarth, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3182 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stasny, Rebekka
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3183 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kitchen-Butch, Lindsey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3184 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Page, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3185 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coxon, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3186 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lemaitre, Ludovic
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3187 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Clark, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3188 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hannan, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3189 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rainey, Peggy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3190 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shinault, Tom
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3191 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3192 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Liberatore, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3193 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smith, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3194 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Helms, Lori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3195 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Orvis, Joan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3196 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lenehan, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3197 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Owens, Jeanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2006 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3198 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gregory, Donna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3199 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pritchard, Paula
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3200 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schrecengost, Steve
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3201 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parson, Michele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3202 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Beeker, Renae
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3203 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wright, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: E-mail
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3204 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lucas, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3205 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Saunders, Sally
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3206 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dwyer, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3207 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cregger, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3208 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cunningham, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3209 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Orenstein, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3210 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3211 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Somech, Lacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3212 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mitchell, Kaki
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3213 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Merims, Leila
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3214 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cainelli, Ana

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3215 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vinciguerra, Lucie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3216 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scruggs, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3217 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Adcock, Bob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3218 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: King, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3219 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kane, Lynne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3220 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dawson, Caryl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3221 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jackson-Ricketts, Scott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3222 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kessler, Danielle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3223 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barker, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3224 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Friedler, Tamara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3225 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Cerceo, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3226 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kuhar, Chris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3227 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kordick, Brittany
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3228 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schmidt, Diana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3229 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Savage, Robin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3230 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vreeland, Fric
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3231 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lounsbury, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3232 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Robinson, Terry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3233 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Layton, Katharine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3234 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hass, Cindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3235 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Rita
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

I am writing to urge the National Park Service to protect these sensitive beaches from the impacts of off-road vehicles (ORVs). I witnessed first hand the many deaths caused by deep tire ruts on sandy beaches in Volusia County, Florida. Our beaches are critical nesting grounds for endangered and threatened sea turtles and threatened piping plovers. They are also a vital habitat and food source for countless other shorebirds as well as a multitude of

other critters important to the continuation of a healthy ecosystem. Cape Hatteras should be protected for future generations of people and animals. Off-road vehicles simply don't belong on beaches with prime nesting habitat during critical breeding season for wildlife that depends on these shores. No amount of monitoring will provide the protection needed during these periods.

Correspondence ID: 3236 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hurwit, Cate
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3237 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Borcuk, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3238 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Miniscalco, Emma
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I am encouraged to learn that the Park Service is poised to develop a management plan for Cape Hatteras's beaches that will curb off-road vehicles use, and I would like to see a protection strategy enacted very soon, before the breeding season begins. I don't think it asks too much of visitors to Hatteras National Seashore who use the beaches recreationally to respect the habitat and ecological sensitivity they are enjoying by observing limits on when and where they can ride. There are already models for this at other national seashores where species' survival is at stake, and I hope Cape Hatteras will not be the exception.

Correspondence ID: 3239 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shelton, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3240 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barker, Donald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3241 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jewell, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3242 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Strasfogel, Andrew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3243 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Summers, Jr
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3244 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Eldard, Leslee
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3245 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alspaugh, Carrie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3246 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fortkamp, Kate
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3247 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3248 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ward, Shirley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3249 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Narajo, Russ
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3250 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Latta, Traci
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3251 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Kelley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3252 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Halbert, Ellen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3253 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Love, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3254 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barra, Gianella
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3255 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Little, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3256 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Williams,Carolyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3257 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Seivert, Steven
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3258 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fritzler, Deb
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3259 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vanderhorst, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3260 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Espiet, Francisca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3261 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heritage, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3262 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Venezia, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3263 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fleck, Joseph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3264 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McLane, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3265 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Damiani, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3266 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bayes, Ronald
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3267 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collett, Rebecca
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3268 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thacker, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3269 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ripple, Martha J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3270 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Honeycutt, Brandi
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3271 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Whitehurst, Lucinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3272 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Donadio, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3273 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greer, Shelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3274 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nusinovich, Yevgeniya
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3275 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schwyn, Amanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3276 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fues, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3277 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crist, Kasey JB
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3278 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mason, Christina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: E-mail
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3279 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leisenring, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3280 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Strattman, Todd
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3281 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, James
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3282 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lawless, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3283 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mills, Melissa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3284 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blue, Louise
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3285 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pennington, Shirley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3286 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McClure, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3287 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stevens, Lorraine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3288 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Malloy, Claudia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3289 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parisi, Catherine L

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3290 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kaufman, Jesse
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3291 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Donadio, Kelly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3292 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Churchman, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3293 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martucci, Gina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3294 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Givens, Janet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3295 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fincannon, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3296 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McGown, Ashley
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3297 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Boyd, Rebecka
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: If we don't protect them there will be no turning back. We have to protect our environment and creatures.

Correspondence ID: 3298 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Andrews, Penelope Z
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3299 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arredondo, Megan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3300 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lackey, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3301 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Collette, Bruce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3302 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Jerry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3303 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Johnson, Sandy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Loud, heavy off road vehicles are runing rampant over nesting and breeding areas in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. They are more numerous than ever. Help protect the wildlife breeding at certain times of the year in sensitive areas from being over-run (literally) by joy riders. They (and we) are counting on you!

Correspondence ID: 3304 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mitchem, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3305 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Salcedo, Klytia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3306 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wood, Rebekah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3307 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Luyendyk, Laura
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3308 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hollar, Jeffrey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3309 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yates, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3310 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jamison-Fowle, Dian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3311 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rice, Suzanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3312 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Holman, Virginia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3313 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Anifer, Penni
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3314 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cook, Terrence
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3315 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rau, Melinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3316 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ruano, Liliana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3317 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lester, Joy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3318 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McVey, Emily
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3319 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brennan, Carol
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3320 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Christie, Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3321 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bailey, Lisa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3322 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowman, Jacqueline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3323 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yam, Amie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3324 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hinson, Kenneth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3325 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cartee, Ann
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3326 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bennett, Kerry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3327 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kulp, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3328 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dougherty, C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3329 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mueller, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: Here are more comments on protecting seashores: wouldn't you know that the Bush administration would violate every guideline to give precedent to wildlife destroying activities! I've been an environmental scientist for more than 40 years and I have yet to see an administration as destructive as this one!

Correspondence ID: 3330 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cashion, Savanna
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3331 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ragland, Joan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3332 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parnell, Rachel

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3333 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wright, Sue
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3334 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parish, Maureen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3335 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Minnis, Kristen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3336 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Palmour, Hayne III
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3337 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hunt, Myriam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3338 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sharpe, Sandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3339 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Petersen, Zach
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3340 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ospina-Walz, Marina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3341 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Woolf, A T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3342 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Fedor, Blanche
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3343 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Pettis, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3344 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: van Dijk, Peter P
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3345 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schardien, Donna M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3346 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Skorich, Dinah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3347 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Roosenburg, Willem
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3348 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Willis, Belinda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3349 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sloan, Sheila W
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3350 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meyer, Derek
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3351 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Volpe, Harriet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3352 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Claudia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3353 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Heatherington, Frank
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3354 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bigger, Nathan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3355 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nicola, Jeanette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3356 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Nate
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3357 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tedeschi, Kristine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3358 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scarborough, Savannah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3359 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crannage, Wendy J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3360 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Weil, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3361 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rose, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3362 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bradshaw, Rosemary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3363 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Celia B
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3364 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ernst, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3365 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Owens, Katharine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3366 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Stanley, Judy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3367 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neckowitz, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3368 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Southern, Drew
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3369 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Mitchell, Carlota
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3370 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wingerter, Mollie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3371 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hayes, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3372 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sudranski, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3373 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Owens, Brian
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3374 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bowman, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3375 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rickenbach, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3376 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Griffin, Calvin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3377 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Buskirk, Victoria
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3378 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldberg, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3379 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vines, Beverly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3380 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Demarest, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3381 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peterson, Linda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3382 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lee, Diana
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3383 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hudgins, Jerry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3384 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Roth, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3385 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Toxie, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3386 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bednar, Chad
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3387 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hawkins, Paul
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3388 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shoffner, Angela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3389 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Barker, Robert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3390 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Spyrounis, Joyce
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3391 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Farrell, Ben
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3392 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rode, Doris
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3393 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lemmon, Jackie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3394 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Bob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3395 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McCoy, Trisha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3396 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tucker, Scott
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3397 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Colburn, Eric
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: E-mail
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3398 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Treybig, Margot
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3399 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: White, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3400 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Keys, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3401 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coombs, Stephen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3402 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kopetzky, Cavell
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3403 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Martin, Chastity L
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3404 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peterson, Caitlin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3405 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Serrano, Jeanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3406 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bagge, Anne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3407 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Peterzen, Elisabet
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3408 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rice, Sarah

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3409 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Baskin, Martin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3410 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Delhagen, Gayle
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3411 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Workman, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3412 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Strayer, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3413 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Daniel, Beverly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3414 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Donahue, Patricia K
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3415 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Schellenberg, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3416 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rodriguez, Sandra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3417 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hensley, Erin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3418 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ferrara, Marc
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3419 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Murray, Lynne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3420 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Byers, Bill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3421 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kuhn, Tamra
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3422 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Crank, Stewart
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3423 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Goldman, Jill
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3424 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bischler, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3425 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Frezza, Rachel
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3426 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Knop, Charlene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3427 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Garrison, Michele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3428 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gustin, Rose
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3429 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Oliver, M. Suzanne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3430 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kaiser, Katherine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3431 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lanigan, Sandy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3432 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Michalowicz, Joe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3433 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Richardson, Don
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3434 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Abbott, Tori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3435 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: McSharry, Joe
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3436 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hawari, Nabil
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3437 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hogg, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3438 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kalnins, Dagmara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3439 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lucas, Vanessa
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3440 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sengewalt, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3441 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hagood, Hap
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3442 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Morrison, Polly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3443 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hitchcock, Ralph
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3444 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bakken, Howard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3445 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Croasdale, Vanda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3446 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: van Bell, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3447 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: D Bartlett, Paula
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3448 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blanchard, Bernadette
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3449 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Greever, Barry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3450 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Grant, Gilbert
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3451 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parish, Laurie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3452 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Margolis, Ben
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3453 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Manning, Marcy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3454 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Smoke, Henry
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3455 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ames, Sheryl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3456 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3457 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Scherl, Marvin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3458 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rudolph, Stacey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3459 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Penigar, Lindsey
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3460 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Shoaf, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3461 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Newberry, Kimberly
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3462 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Galindo, Ted
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3463 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Landess, Lina
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3464 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Decant, Sharon
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3465 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wood, Barbara
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3466 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: LaRoche, J
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3467 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Brown, Kim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3468 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Abbott, Tori
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3469 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Biggers, Deborah
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3470 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Anderson, Eric
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3471 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Howie, Mary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3472 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wallace, John
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3473 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Rose-Lofty , Margaret-Jane
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
 Correspondence Type: E-mail
 Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3474 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dombeck, Carrie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3475 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: MacLean, David
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3476 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Haus, Dwayne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3477 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Vinegar, Jan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3478 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ross, Brad
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3479 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Thompson, Pat
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3480 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bergman, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3481 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: H, C
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3482 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Taylor, Susan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3483 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Tillery, Llewelyn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3484 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bisk, Chad

Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3485 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Nash, Lynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3486 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Sherrard, Kathy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3487 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kemple, Amy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3488 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wilson, Deirdre
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3489 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Streeter, Marjorie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3490 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Davis, Jessica
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3491 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Derzon, Jim
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3492 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Parker, Delphia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3493 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Calhoun, Mary Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3494 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Blate, Sam
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3495 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Collette, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3496 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Meadows O'Rear, Tena
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3497 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wood, Bob
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3498 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Walker, Meriwether
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3499 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wagoner, William
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3500 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Katsilis, Michael
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3501 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Penaranda, Elizabeth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3502 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Heather
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3503 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yount, Jeannie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3504 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Wood, Mindy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3505 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Merriam, Caroline
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3506 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Ward, Jean M
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3507 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Pistole, Ruth
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3508 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Herman, Alan
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3509 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Hightower, Richard
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3510 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Alexander, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3511 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Neilson, Pamela
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3512 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Leon, Matea
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3513 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Kennady, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3514 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Yohman, Brenda
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3515 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Dranford, Debbie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence: I think all shorelines should be monitored and I am sure there are enough volunteers out there that would love to be involved in this. I believe that all shorelines should be open to people hiking, bird watching and horse-back riding during the season that birds and turtles are not nesting. I also believe that motorized vehicles should be banned from all shores.

Correspondence ID: 3516 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Bisgrove, Eilene
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3517 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gray, Charles
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3518 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Moore, Cheryl
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3519 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Perry, J A
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3520 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Torrey, Cynthia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3521 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Prather, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3522 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Jones, Jennifer
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3523 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Arnholt, Samantha
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3524 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Senkow, Patricia
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3525 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Karlsen, Karin
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3526 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Childers, Gary
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3527 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Snapp, Karen
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3528 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Gleichert, Christine
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3529 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Noble, Gwynn
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3530 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Lindgren, Dianne
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,13,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3531 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Cowal, Nancy
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

What happens in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is very important to my family and me. I am a Hatteras Island property owner, a former full time Bxton resident for 26 years, and at present a resident of Durham, North Carolina. I have been following the events in which our natural resources have been put on a course of devastation. I have been watching as one special interest group, ORV users, attempts to undermine Federal directives concerning animal and plant life of the islands, leading to the molestation of nesting sea turtles and destruction of endangered plovers and their habitat. The most vociferous members of the group, whose purpose it is to preserve and enjoy beach driving, have done their fellow members a disservice by their malicious behavior toward the resource, toward Park personnel, and toward other visitors to the Park. (Most members want to co-exist with other users and the natural resources they come to visit.) They have influenced your predecessor to declare all beaches open to driving, even those that have been closed at least as long as I can remember (over 30 years). They may be driven by the fear that a vehicle use plan will curtail the activities they engage in now. The historical use of the beach for driving is the argument for maintaining and expanding ORV activity. Yet we've seen the same interest groups lobby to restrict commercial beach hauling in favor of sports fishermen. Now anyone who wants to walk, sit at the water's edge with their children, or find peace in the sound of the surf, is restricted as well. My family and I have always loved being able to drive parts of the beach, to walk other parts, to fish or to swim wherever we wanted. But things have changed over the years we've lived on Hatteras. And each year the number of trucks, SUVs, and RVs on the beach has increased. The sheer volume of vehicles that are now using our beaches is destroying the very aesthetic and essence of freedom we all love. There are also considerations for the natural resources and other Park visitors who are at the mercy of this omnipresent army of vehicles. I do hope that you have wider vision and are willing to maintain the mission of the United States National Parks: preservation of the health of the ecosystems they encompass and a variety of uses for all who would come to enjoy the natural beauty.

Correspondence ID: 3532 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Birdd, Queenie
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

Correspondence ID: 3533 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: Coates, James T
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

I am writing this letter to express my concern regarding the off road vehicle plan that is considered by the nps about beach closure at cape hatteras national seashore.my family has visited cape hatteras for the last 25+ years.we feel that closure will curtail are visits or stop all together .my first 4wd truck was bought before my first visit to cape hatteras because of my friends talk about the beauty of cape hatteras beach access and the great fishing of cape hatteras.one thing they pointed out was to keep the beach clean and be respectful to other visitors. in closing i would ask that the nps to keep all beach access to all 4wheel drive vehicle open to public access.

Correspondence ID: 3534 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542
Name: La Merrill, Michele
Outside Organization: Unaffiliated Individual
Received: Mar,15,2007 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: E-mail
Correspondence:

I am writing to you so that you may take my opinions and concerns into account when drafting the ORV plan for Hatteras National Seashore. The rampant and excessive ORV use at Hatteras NS is not only ugly and super unfriendly for a beach stroll or family picnic, but it jeopardizes critical habitat for endangered species that live there. I would like to request that you include the following in the ORV plan: *Permanent year round sections of the seashore that are open to pedestrians but not ORVs. *No ORV access during critical breeding season for wildlife. *Change the high use visitor season to March 15 through Jan 1. This would address the increase visitor use during the now longer shoulder seasons. *Fees for ORV driving permits (equitable to the associated cost of maintaining ORV use in the seashore). One possibility is a year round permit and week permit options. *Carrying capacity limits (quotas number for ORVs on the beach based on each location). *ORV driving rules that put a premium on insuring the safety of pedestrians,protecting the resources, adhering to the esthetic ideals of the NPS and minimizing visitor use conflicts (there are visitor use conflicts among ORV drivers themselves). *Recognition that ORV use is a recreational activity just like other types of recreational activities yet restricts other users' access, safety and enjoyment of the Park.

Correspondence ID:	3535	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Glynn, Mike				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>I am writing this to express my concern about the possible closing of beaches at Cape Hatteras for vehicle access. We have taken our family and friends to Buxton and Cape Hatteras every year since 1980 for our summer vacations. In fact we have gotten to the point that some years we spend as much as 5 or 6 weeks in total at the beach. We have done this in spite of the fact that during these years we have lived in Connecticut, Michigan, Ohio, Texas, Arizona and now Illinois. We have always come to Hatteras from wherever we have lived. Many times we drive and we always take a 4 wheel drive vehicle. One of our most enjoyable activities is a trip to the point or a drive to the inlet at Hatteras. We fish and picnic and when the kids were young we just looked for a nice place to ourselves for the kids to play in the water. Now we are older we fish and like to spend the early part of the evening there to read a book and watch the day end. We have always been cautious, have always cleaned our area so trash was never left behind and we have always given the dunes and wildlife the respect they deserve. Access to the beach is the primary draw for us at Hatteras. If this were to be taken away I really think we will take our trips elsewhere. We are members of the Cape Hatteras Anglers Club and the Beach Association and always pay our dues and donate to good causes to protect the beach and beach access. It seems a shame that the people who are looking at these issues cannot find a way to have everyone come out a winner. It seems the people who want the beach protected have an all or nothing attitude. I know the towns and businesses will suffer if the beach is closed. Surely something can be done to prevent this. I hope the NPS can come up with a plan that works. I would be happy to discuss my concerns in detail with you or others if requested.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	3536	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Salmon, Joyce				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,15,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>Please do what you can to keep the beaches open to off road vehicles. I first visited the Outer Banks in 1977 and fell in love with the non-commercial, unspoiled, beach. I didn't even know you could drive on the beach until I met my husband ten years later. Now, I do not go to other beaches. I like the freedom and peace I find when we drive to a fishing spot and perhaps see no other vehicles. I enjoy the ability to take all your fishing/snacking supplies right up to the water line in the truck without hauling it over the dune walkways. In fact, I cannot even imagine hauling stuff over the dunes ever again. The tournaments and surf events account for only a small number of hours/dates with higher volumes of people and vehicles using the same areas. It would be both unfair and irresponsible to use the few peak periods to determine continuous use and thereby consider closing the beach to ORV or other uses. The park service is in place to ensure the resources are protected for the future. The various park/seashore uses need to co-exist. There is no need to close the beach to satisfy one group and alienate other groups. Nonresident cottage owners should not define who does and does not enjoy a federal recreational area. Frankly the seashore was already defined before their own property was acquired. The issues and costs of rebuilding (or not) which follow hurricane/tornado disasters is very real and costly to both rebuilding and sustaining the economy in the interim. Although others would disagree, I wouldn't mind paying an "off road tax" of some sort to help with federal maintenance, or perhaps include cape hatteras seashore in the golden park passport system ?? I would not want ORV to be the ONLY ones paying this tax.</p>				
Correspondence ID:	3537	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Covington, Stuart				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Mar,18,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	E-mail				
Correspondence:	<p>I am a 55 year old retired resident of the state of Virginia. I have been coming to the Outer Banks since about 1971 for my family vacations. After the first couple of years of dragging coolers, chairs, fishing rods, etc.across the hot sand (not to mention the children) I bought a four wheel drive vehicle to make my access easier. I currently still own a vehicle that sits in my yard until beach time and is used for nothing else. I drive it only to N.C. for my family to use while vacationing there. One of my daughters also bought a vehicle for her family of 5 to use at the beach. We are very passionate about our trips to the outer banks every year. We try to come 3-4 times a year (sometimes with and sometimes without all the grandchildren). When the "whole group", approx. 10-12 people, shows up for a week we will spend about \$3,000.00 for house rental, \$100-\$150.00 a day on food and groceries not to mention T-shirts, necklaces, shoes, etc. We will not even get to the amount of gasoline we will purchase while traveling. We usually stay in the Avon ? Buxton area which suits us fine to ride the ferry or run up to Nags Head. The main reason I am so interested in the beach access is due to the fact that I have a 29 year old daughter that was born with spina bifida and is totally immobile from her chest down. She is probably the one that keeps the rest of the family together and vacationing at the outer banks. If there was no vehicle access to the water edge, there would be one little girl to never see the creation of GOD at its greatest splendor. Pushing a wheelchair across the beach is virtually impossible. Just seeing the smile on her face as you cross over the ramps at the dunes is worth more to me than all the money on earth. Most people do not know what it takes to raise a handicapped child with limitations around every corner. Simply being able to get to the edge of the water with a child is a splendor beyond words. My wife and I have even discussed buying property just to be closer to the beauty of it all, but without having beach access we have temporarily put this thought on hold until it is resolved. I realize there are great costs involved to keeping beach access available but the benefits are too great to loose. I have belonged to the NCBBA for years due to the fact of the work they do to help people like me. I do not do enough but I support you all in the jobs you do and the decisions you have to make. You will not make everyone happy all the time and I am not so narrow-minded to think that you will. If you could just see the effort we have put in to making our life a little easier at the beach you may want to give this access a second thought. I even designed a push-type vehicle that the grandchildren can push my daughter around on to collect shells and get into the water with. We love the area and do not want to go anywhere else or change our way of vacationing that we have enjoyed for over 30 years. If available monies are part of the problem set up a toll booth on Rt.12 at Oregon Inlet and charge \$10.00 per vehicle if you are not a land owner or business operator. We would gladly pay a fee to visit. When we run back up to Nags Head charge me another \$10.00 to get back south again. I actually do not feel a toll of some type would be any deterrent to anyone vacationing. When we spend approx. \$5,000.00 for a wonderful week at the beach what difference would a \$10.00 toll make? If a meeting is in order to iron-out keeping the beaches open to vehicle travel keep me in mind. I would gladly travel the 5 hours it takes on my own time to help with the input and demonstrate how passionate I am about this. A picture is worth a thousand words, and I can show you a handicapped young lady having the times of her life that can not be seen anywhere else. Maybe a few modifications are in order to keep everyone on the same page, but please, I beg you do not completely stop vehicle beach access. This would be devastating to me and my family for years to come, not to say what it does by putting up more barriers for the handicapped. Thank you for your time and efforts and sorry for being so long winded---but I could go on and on. Sincerely, Stuart Covington , Crewe,Va. (434)645-7182</p>				
Correspondence ID:	3538	Project:	10641	Document:	17542
Name:	Hamilton, Norma				
Outside Organization:	Unaffiliated Individual				
Received:	Oct,17,2007 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	<p>Dear Mr. Murray,</p> <p>I am writing about the urgency of a ORV management plan for the National Seashore. It is tenible that birds needing the nesting habitat of the seashore are not adequately protected from human interference and killing. Many bird species are in decline and they need help. Your seashore should be</p>				

protected as as complete an ecosystem as possible and ORV users should not be allowed to destroy it It is an ethical, if not legal requirement, that we Americans respect the marvelous variety of lands that have been set aside for conservation. If they are allowed to be degraded and to lose their animals and/or plants we will all be losing a part of our heritage. ORV recreation should take a back seat to protection of the seashore and should use other sites. Thank you for your attention.

Correspondence ID: 3539 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 17542

Name: Lick, Bob

Outside Organization: NCBBA Unaffiliated Individual

Received: Dec,18,2007 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: E-mail

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray:

Your request for "What Hatteras Means To Me" that appeared in OBPA's Beach Keeper takes me all the way back to 1967 when I first visited the Outer Banks to surf fish. I have been a avid surf fisherman for fifty years. My fishing trips have often taken me anywhere from Maine to the Outer Banks. My first beach vehicle was a 1930 Model A Ford modified to ply the sand of Island Beach State Park in New Jersey Since 1966 I have used a number of self-contained 4x4 camper type beach vehicles to access many beaches along the coast. I have experienced endless hours of enjoyment at Cape Hatteras National Seashore and caught many fine fish As I am now a senior citizen it is even more critical for me to be able to access the beaches via a 4x4 vehicle as I wind down a life of surf fishing. I urge you to do all in your power to insure 4x4 access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore continues. I wish you and yours a very Merry Christmas and a Happy and Healthy 2007. Bob Lick NCBBA, Life Member OBPA Member
