

0013672

CORR ID	Receipt Date	Form Letter	Name	Kept Private
1	7/6/2011	No	Pate, Lisa	Yes
2	7/6/2011	No	Phillips, Pamela S	Yes
3	7/6/2011	No	Gentry, Randy	Yes
4	7/7/2011	No	Baysa, Steve A	Yes
5	7/7/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
6	7/7/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
7	7/7/2011	No	Ange, Jimmy	Yes
8	7/7/2011	No (0)	Leggett, Eva H	Yes
9	7/7/2011	No	Boone, David M	Yes
10	7/7/2011	No	Vestal, Paul & Jeanie	Yes
11	7/7/2011	No (0)	Hodges, Michael	Yes
12	7/7/2011	No	Fishburne, Howard	Yes
13	7/7/2011	No	Westervelt, Frederic B	Yes
14	7/7/2011	No	Mansfield, Bruce E	Yes
15	7/7/2011	No (0)	Hinch, Judith C	Yes
16	7/7/2011	No (0)	Perewiznyk, Erin	Yes
17	7/7/2011	No (0)	O'Neill, Tim J	Yes
18	7/7/2011	No	Trothen, Clay E	Yes
19	7/7/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
20	7/7/2011	No (0)	Fleming, Patricia A	Yes
22	7/7/2011	No	Sullivan, Frances G	Yes
23	7/7/2011	No	Lovell, Stewart	Yes
24	7/7/2011	No (0)	Rich, Donna	Yes
25	7/7/2011	No (0)	Bell, Stephen L	Yes
26	7/7/2011	No	Waldbaum, John B	Yes
27	7/8/2011	No	Bender, Joyce	Yes
30	7/8/2011	No	Fahey, Douglas R	Yes
31	7/9/2011	No	Braendle, Brad K	Yes
34	7/11/2011	No (0)	Billow, Lisa	Yes
37	7/11/2011	No	Dubose, Tom R	Yes
38	7/12/2011	No (0)	Clapp, Frank L	Yes
39	7/12/2011	No (0)	Scott, Linda	Yes
40	7/12/2011	No	Gallo, Thomas S	Yes
41	7/12/2011	No	Hanrahan, Elizabeth L	Yes
42	7/13/2011	No (0)	Cizek, Randall T	Yes
43	7/13/2011	No (0)	Kesler, Eugene A	Yes
44	7/14/2011	No (0)	Leadbetter, Derek	Yes
45	7/14/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
46	7/14/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
47	7/14/2011	No	Carwile, Richard D	Yes
49	7/15/2011	No	Stout, Kenneth C	Yes
50	7/15/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
52	7/16/2011	No	Andrews, David W	Yes
53	7/17/2011	No (0)	Kunkle, Tim L	Yes
54	7/17/2011	No	Oakes, Michael D	Yes
55	7/18/2011	No	Cox, Jon	Yes
56	7/18/2011	No (0)	Blackwell, William M	Yes
57	7/18/2011	No	Conner, Linda	Yes
58	7/18/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
59	7/19/2011	No	Stevens, Lawrence S	Yes
60	7/19/2011	No (0)	Harrill, Clay S	Yes
61	7/19/2011	No (0)	Updike, Christopher A	Yes
62	7/19/2011	No	McCarron, Michael D	Yes
63	7/19/2011	No	Newhard, James E	Yes

0013673

64	7/19/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
65	7/20/2011	No (0)	Sims, Brian S	Yes
66	7/20/2011	No (0)	Creason, Jonathan	Yes
67	7/20/2011	No (0)	Vester, Ben	Yes
68	7/20/2011	No	Todd, Chris	Yes
69	7/20/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
70	7/20/2011	No	Carroll, Jr., William A	Yes
71	7/6/2011	No (0)	Parker, Michael	Yes
72	7/21/2011	No	Talbert, Dwight N	Yes
73	7/21/2011	No	Lail, Rick D	Yes
74	7/21/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
75	7/21/2011	No	Lail, Rick D	Yes
76	7/21/2011	No (0)	Rau, Kevin	Yes
77	7/21/2011	No	Medlin, Tim H	Yes
78	7/21/2011	No (0)	DeSota, Patrick O	Yes
79	7/22/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
80	7/22/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
81	7/22/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
82	7/22/2011	No (0)	Finlayson, Ben	Yes
83	7/22/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
84	7/22/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
85	7/23/2011	No (0)	New, L	Yes
86	7/23/2011	No	Les, R.	Yes
87	7/23/2011	No	Berry, Michael A	Yes
88	7/23/2011	No	W, Jr., Jim	Yes
89	7/23/2011	No	Miller, Michael	Yes
90	7/23/2011	No	Coleman, Steve	Yes
91	7/23/2011	No	Compton, Lori B	Yes
92	7/24/2011	No	Green, Jr., Stewart R	Yes
93	7/24/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
94	7/24/2011	No (0)	Bost, Barry G	Yes
95	7/24/2011	No	Clark, Hubert W	Yes
96	7/24/2011	No (0)	Russell, Robert A	Yes
97	7/24/2011	No	Sweat, Marshall R	Yes
98	7/25/2011	No (0)	Amos, Jerry L	Yes
99	7/25/2011	No	Magaw, Sharon A	Yes
100	7/25/2011	No	Garvey, Charles	Yes
101	7/25/2011	No (0)	Cauble, Matthew L	Yes
102	7/26/2011	No (0)	Unknown, ?	Yes
103	7/26/2011	No (0)	Brown, Scott	Yes
104	7/26/2011	No (0)	Hamilton, Richard b	Yes
105	7/26/2011	No	Pratscher, Robert L	Yes
106	7/26/2011	No	Cardinale, Chris	Yes
107	7/26/2011	No (0)	Eatmon, Bobby V	Yes
108	7/26/2011	No	Torok, Jeanette F	Yes
109	7/26/2011	No (0)	Thomason, Trevor	Yes
110	7/26/2011	No (0)	Kinzel, Frederick W	Yes
111	7/26/2011	No	Curtsinger, Dr. Dane Keelan	Yes
112	7/26/2011	No (0)	Phelps, Steven T	Yes
113	7/26/2011	No	Dune, John C	Yes
114	7/26/2011	No	Johnson, Casey G	Yes
115	7/27/2011	No	Stafford, Bill	Yes
116	7/27/2011	No (0)	Ohlson, Carrol M	Yes
117	7/27/2011	No	Wyatt, Charles M	Yes
118	7/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes

0013674

119	7/27/2011	No	Almon, Cathy L	No
120	7/26/2011	No	Berryhill, Jr, William I	No
121	7/13/2011	No	Bough, Joseph	No
122	7/25/2011	No	Bough, Joseph	No
123	7/25/2011	No	Bough, Joe	No
124	7/25/2011	No	Ging, Jr., Robert P	No
125	7/22/2011	No	King, Bill	No
126	7/25/2011	No	Zubal, Darlene P	No
127	7/15/2011	No	Lawson, Paul E	No
128	7/21/2011	No	Burke, Thomas P	No
129	7/18/2011	No	Carwile, Donnie	No
130	7/28/2011	No (0)	Rennie, Scott	Yes
131	7/29/2011	No	Tickle, Danny O	Yes
132	7/31/2011	No (0)	Freeland, Penny	Yes
133	7/31/2011	No	Bello, John J	Yes
134	8/1/2011	No	Seekins, Patrick S	Yes
135	8/1/2011	No (0)	Zurn, Gary J	Yes
136	8/1/2011	No (0)	Busic, Claude D	Yes
137	8/1/2011	No	Schutte, John J	Yes
138	8/1/2011	No	Byrum, John	Yes
139	8/1/2011	Master (556464)	Issermoyer, Thomas J	Yes
140	8/1/2011	No	Schomody, Michael W	Yes
141	8/1/2011	No	Hixson, Mark	Yes
142	8/1/2011	No (0)	Kujawa, Thomas S	Yes
143	8/1/2011	No	Knight, Robert K	Yes
144	8/1/2011	No	Gardiner, Deborah T	Yes
145	8/1/2011	No	Knight, Bonnie H	Yes
146	8/1/2011	No (0)	Spede, Michael	Yes
147	8/1/2011	No (0)	Horrigan, Elaine	Yes
148	8/1/2011	No	Knowles, Jeanelle R	Yes
149	8/1/2011	No (0)	Broyhill, Richard A	Yes
150	8/1/2011	No	Thomas, Bonnie	Yes
151	8/1/2011	No (0)	Morrow, Eric C	Yes
152	8/1/2011	No	Carr, Robert L	Yes
153	8/1/2011	No	Laws, Brett A	Yes
154	8/1/2011	No (0)	Ward, Charles	Yes
155	8/1/2011	No	White, Bill	Yes
156	8/2/2011	No (0)	Kennedy, David	Yes
157	8/2/2011	No	Collins, Zac	Yes
158	8/2/2011	No (0)	Johnson, Lonnie J	Yes
159	8/2/2011	No (0)	Kinzel, Frederick W	Yes
160	8/2/2011	No	Mayes, Ph. D., Jean E	Yes
161	8/2/2011	No	Pierson, John	Yes
162	8/2/2011	No (0)	Baker, Karen	Yes
163	8/2/2011	No	Phibbons, Robert K	Yes
164	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Unknown, unknown	Yes
165	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Lang, Jeffrey D	Yes
166	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Mitchell, John P	Yes
167	8/2/2011	No	Nelson, Jeremy	Yes
168	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Grogan, Brian	Yes
169	8/2/2011	No	Antkowiak, Robert J	Yes
170	8/2/2011	No (0)	Granger, David J	Yes
171	8/2/2011	No (0)	Higdon, Jerry L	Yes
172	8/2/2011	No	McNally, Martin B	Yes
173	8/2/2011	No (0)	Scott, Phyllis	Yes

0013675

174	8/2/2011	No	Ballard, Max W	Yes
175	8/2/2011	No	Gray, Tom	Yes
176	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Rollins, Richard T	Yes
177	8/2/2011	No	Jackson, Benji L	Yes
178	8/2/2011	No (0)	Stone, Melvin	Yes
179	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Williamson, Chris	Yes
180	8/2/2011	No	Page, Charles M	Yes
181	8/2/2011	No	D'Anna, Sam	Yes
182	8/2/2011	No	Allen, Philip J	Yes
183	8/2/2011	No (0)	Morehead, Thomas G	Yes
184	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	III, Wyatt M	Yes
185	8/2/2011	No (0)	Unknown, ?	Yes
186	8/2/2011	No	Cudlip, William J	Yes
187	8/2/2011	No	Tharrington, Richard	Yes
188	8/2/2011	No	Basinger, Daniel A	Yes
189	8/2/2011	No	Gusti, David S	Yes
190	8/2/2011	No	Sexton, William C	Yes
191	8/2/2011	Yes (556464)	Sadler, Alton J	Yes
192	8/3/2011	No (0)	Forbes, Steven	Yes
193	8/3/2011	No	Kinzel, Frederick W	Yes
194	8/3/2011	No	Kinzel, Frederick W	Yes
195	8/3/2011	No	Bollinger, Steven T	Yes
196	8/3/2011	No	Wagner, George W	Yes
197	8/3/2011	No	Carter, Mark	Yes
198	8/3/2011	No	Latham, Judith C	Yes
199	8/3/2011	No	Dreher, Dixon W	Yes
200	8/3/2011	No	Korman, MC	Yes
201	8/3/2011	Yes (556464)	Snedeker, Jan T	Yes
202	8/3/2011	No	Nielsen, Grant B	Yes
203	8/3/2011	No	Southerland, Mickey G	Yes
204	8/3/2011	No (0)	Daniels, Joe F	Yes
205	8/3/2011	No	Krause, Donna L	Yes
206	8/3/2011	No	Komlenic, Ron T	Yes
207	8/3/2011	No	Winslow, Kristine	Yes
208	8/3/2011	No (0)	Creasy, Anne K	Yes
209	8/3/2011	No	Loughlin, Steven J	Yes
210	8/3/2011	No	Godding, Mindy	Yes
211	8/3/2011	No	Nolan, David	Yes
212	8/4/2011	No (0)	Godwin, Brooks	Yes
213	8/4/2011	No	Melillo, Michael N	Yes
214	8/4/2011	No	Bergeron, Denise M	Yes
215	8/4/2011	No (0)	Bredbenner, Peter R	Yes
216	8/4/2011	No (0)	McCargo, Jeremy W	Yes
217	8/4/2011	No	Ledford, Jason	Yes
218	8/4/2011	No	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
219	8/4/2011	No	Overman, Anne P	Yes
220	8/4/2011	No	Barbour, Jaime S	Yes
221	8/4/2011	No	Godwin, Brooks	Yes
222	8/4/2011	No	Deane, Dale	Yes
223	8/4/2011	No	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
224	8/4/2011	No	Mills, Ken	Yes
225	8/4/2011	No (0)	Stewart, Michael W	Yes
226	8/5/2011	No (0)	Korman, Nancy W	Yes
227	8/5/2011	No	Wann, Steve R	Yes
228	8/5/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes

0013676

229	8/5/2011	Yes (556464)	Henry, Troy D	Yes
230	8/5/2011	Yes (556464)	Wang, Fang	Yes
231	8/5/2011	Yes (556464)	Thorson, Bradley D	Yes
232	8/5/2011	No	Bucy, Robert S	Yes
233	8/6/2011	No	Hassell, Jr., Charles M	Yes
234	8/6/2011	No	Parr, Kevin W	Yes
235	8/6/2011	No	Bough, Joseph D	Yes
236	8/7/2011	No	Raider, Raymond	Yes
237	8/7/2011	No	Hilinski, David	Yes
238	8/7/2011	No	Tucker, Jr., John W	Yes
239	8/7/2011	No (0)	Clark,Sr, Neil W	Yes
240	8/7/2011	No (0)	Williams, Randy M	Yes
241	8/7/2011	No	Goyette, Gary R	Yes
242	8/7/2011	No	Friend, Richard E	Yes
243	8/7/2011	No	Moore, Jack S	Yes
244	8/8/2011	No	Callaway, Robert M	Yes
245	8/8/2011	No (556464)	Brennecke, Sean	Yes
246	8/8/2011	No (0)	Montgomery, Robert F	Yes
247	8/8/2011	No	Graves, Jeffrey	Yes
248	8/8/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
249	8/8/2011	No	Christopher, Bernard	No
250	8/11/2011	No	Williams, Cathy	No
251	8/11/2011	No	Tipton, Bill	No
252	8/8/2011	No (0)	Young, Thomas H	Yes
253	8/8/2011	No	Bello, Jr., John J	Yes
254	8/8/2011	No (0)	Yates, John K	Yes
255	8/8/2011	No	Cheely, George R	Yes
256	8/9/2011	No	Gibbs, Roy B	Yes
257	8/9/2011	No	Komlenic, Sarah	Yes
258	8/9/2011	No	Beeler, John W	Yes
259	8/9/2011	No	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
260	8/9/2011	No	Borum, Brett	Yes
261	8/9/2011	No	Johnson, Walter S	Yes
262	8/9/2011	No (0)	Smith, Brian K	Yes
263	8/9/2011	No	Cook, James R	Yes
264	8/9/2011	No	Karriker, Steve M	Yes
265	8/9/2011	No	Watson, Van	Yes
266	8/10/2011	No	Sadlock, Harry	Yes
267	8/10/2011	No	O'driscoll, Greg	Yes
268	8/10/2011	No (0)	Dark, Thomas P	Yes
269	8/10/2011	No	Miller, Paul C	Yes
270	8/10/2011	No	Branch, Archie	Yes
271	8/10/2011	Yes (556464)	McGown, Dan	Yes
272	8/10/2011	No	May II, Joseph W	Yes
273	8/10/2011	No	Montani, Raymond C	Yes
274	8/10/2011	No	Gleason, Robert P	Yes
275	8/10/2011	No	Besemann, Klaus D	Yes
276	8/10/2011	No	Hamilton, Ted A	Yes
277	8/10/2011	No	Jones, Gordon A	Yes
278	8/10/2011	No	Kinzel, Frederick W	Yes
279	8/10/2011	No	Hoffman, Charles B	Yes
280	8/10/2011	No	Rudar, Paul R	Yes
281	8/10/2011	No	H, Jr., William	Yes
282	8/10/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
283	8/11/2011	No	Williamson, Craig	Yes

0013677

284	8/11/2011	No (0)	Ratzenberger, Annette C	Yes
285	8/11/2011	No	Gardiner, Mike	Yes
286	8/11/2011	No	Behanna, Mary	Yes
287	8/11/2011	No	Shermano, George	Yes
288	8/11/2011	No	Lake, Roger	Yes
289	8/11/2011	No	Williamson, John F	Yes
290	8/11/2011	No	Kitchen, Steven	Yes
291	8/11/2011	No	Bradford, Terry	Yes
292	8/11/2011	No	Miller-Mink, Joyce	Yes
293	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
294	8/11/2011	No (0)	Ellsworth, Duane	Yes
295	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
296	8/11/2011	No	Miles, Karen K	Yes
297	8/11/2011	No	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
298	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
299	8/11/2011	No	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
300	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
301	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
302	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
303	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
304	8/11/2011	No	Horvath, George R	Yes
305	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
306	8/11/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
307	8/11/2011	No	Horrigan, Michele	Yes
308	8/12/2011	No	Lea, James W	Yes
309	8/12/2011	No (0)	Hallenbeck, David N	Yes
310	8/12/2011	No	Glynn, Michael S	Yes
311	8/12/2011	No	ODonnell, David	Yes
312	8/12/2011	No	Ochs, Edwin E	Yes
313	8/13/2011	No	Barr, Annette S	Yes
314	8/14/2011	Yes (556464)	Heinrich, Raymond E	Yes
315	8/14/2011	No (0)	Allen, Glen	Yes
316	8/14/2011	No	Cram, David C	Yes
317	8/14/2011	No	Bello, John J	Yes
318	8/14/2011	No	Watson, William D	Yes
319	8/14/2011	No (0)	Smith, Bill	Yes
320	8/14/2011	No	Gordon, Lee	Yes
321	8/14/2011	No	Holder, Richard J	Yes
322	8/15/2011	No	Porterfield, John B	Yes
323	8/15/2011	No	Smith, Bill	Yes
324	8/15/2011	No (0)	Watson, Patricia	Yes
325	8/15/2011	No	Anderson, Tanya	Yes
326	8/15/2011	No	Crowley, Steven R	Yes
327	8/15/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
328	8/15/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
329	8/15/2011	No (0)	Riley, Toyshika	Yes
330	8/15/2011	No	Drake, Joseph	Yes
331	8/15/2011	No	Smith, Molly	Yes
332	8/15/2011	No	Crocheron, Kevin	Yes
333	8/15/2011	No	Horrigan, Michele	Yes
334	8/15/2011	No (0)	Horrigan, Michele	Yes
335	8/15/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
336	8/15/2011	No	Horrigan, Michele	Yes
337	8/15/2011	No	Gardiner, Deborah	Yes
338	8/15/2011	No	Bradford, Terry	Yes

0013678

339	8/15/2011	No (0)	Smith, Bill	Yes
340	8/15/2011	No (0)	Lawrence, Jane	Yes
341	8/15/2011	Yes (573580)	Lawrence, Craig A	Yes
342	8/8/2011	No	McCrorry, Rosellen C	No
343	8/3/2011	No	Davis, Robert B	No
344	8/8/2011	No	Bello, John J	No
345	8/15/2011	No	Lenat, David	Yes
346	8/15/2011	Master (573580)	Morrow, Jane	Yes
347	8/15/2011	No	Kerns, Isaac M	Yes
348	8/15/2011	Yes (573580)	Raper, Connie k	Yes
349	8/15/2011	Yes (573580)	Elliott, Lynn m	Yes
350	8/15/2011	No	Stone, Susan L	Yes
351	8/15/2011	No	Jarvis, Jon	Yes
352	8/15/2011	No	Jarvis, Jon	Yes
353	8/15/2011	No	Jarvis, Jon	Yes
354	8/15/2011	No	Bradley, Vernon L	Yes
355	8/15/2011	No	Brooks, James D	Yes
356	8/15/2011	No (0)	Zurick, Donna	Yes
357	8/15/2011	No	McCabe, Keith	Yes
358	8/16/2011	No (0)	Lyle, Amanda	Yes
359	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Stone, William G	Yes
360	8/16/2011	No	Finger, Corey	Yes
361	8/16/2011	No (0)	Smith, Bill	Yes
362	8/16/2011	No (0)	Smith, Bill	Yes
363	8/16/2011	No (0)	Smith, William L	Yes
364	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Gibbs, Cornelia C	Yes
365	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Griffith, Jennifer	Yes
366	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Higgins, Katherine	Yes
367	8/16/2011	No	Budnitz, Norman	Yes
368	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	McGrane, Guy G	Yes
369	8/16/2011	No	Mackin, William A	Yes
370	8/16/2011	No	Taillie, Paul J	Yes
371	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Horton, Jennifer	Yes
372	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Stirrup, Karen M	Yes
373	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Howes, Robert C	Yes
374	8/16/2011	No	Wussow, J T	Yes
375	8/16/2011	No	Beetham, John D	Yes
376	8/16/2011	No	Fetter, Karl C	Yes
377	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Weber, Samantha E	Yes
378	8/16/2011	No	Jongsma, Jonathon M	Yes
379	8/16/2011	No (0)	Disher, David K	Yes
380	8/16/2011	No (0)	Haire, John	Yes
381	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Lewis, Jeff	Yes
382	8/16/2011	No (0)	Finger, Wes C	Yes
383	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Lathrop, Elizabeth M	Yes
384	8/16/2011	Yes (556464)	Smith, Bill	Yes
385	8/16/2011	No (0)	Cross, Ben	Yes
386	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	James, Bill N	Yes
387	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	Chittum, Linda A	Yes
388	8/16/2011	Yes (573580)	McCreary, Stuart	Yes
389	8/17/2011	No	Bedell, Paul	Yes
390	8/17/2011	No	Helms, J	Yes
391	8/17/2011	No	Ponce, Patricia L	Yes
392	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Paul, Jill J	Yes
393	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Bishop, Jamie	Yes

0013679

394	8/17/2011	No	Fowler, Philip E	Yes
395	8/17/2011	No	Sirvio, Larry M	Yes
396	8/17/2011	No (0)	Marshall, Robin F	Yes
397	8/17/2011	No	Melius, Liana	Yes
398	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Swick, Gregory A	Yes
399	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Kannan, Ragupathy	Yes
400	8/17/2011	No	Salvadori, Antonio	Yes
401	8/17/2011	No	Davis, Ashlea	Yes
402	8/17/2011	No	Hess, Tony	Yes
403	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Browning, Cyndie	Yes
404	8/17/2011	No (0)	Markowitz, Susan J	Yes
405	8/17/2011	No	Shockley, Brad	Yes
406	8/17/2011	No	Mastromatteo, Gillian	Yes
407	8/17/2011	No	Albertson, Mike E	Yes
408	8/17/2011	No	Eliot, Christopher	Yes
409	8/17/2011	No (0)	Nelson, David J	Yes
410	8/17/2011	No	Mullet, Robin	Yes
411	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Padgett, Barbara	Yes
412	8/17/2011	No	III, James L Campbell, III	Yes
413	8/17/2011	No	Irwin, Victoria H	Yes
414	8/17/2011	No (0)	Newsome, Lindsay E	Yes
415	8/17/2011	No	Milak, Theresa A	Yes
416	8/17/2011	No	Lockett, Bob	Yes
417	8/17/2011	No (0)	Shoaf, Todd M	Yes
418	8/17/2011	No (0)	VanDyke, Amanda	Yes
419	8/17/2011	No	Smith, Bill	Yes
420	8/17/2011	No (0)	Gardiner, Bill	Yes
421	8/17/2011	No	Winter, Debra L	Yes
422	8/17/2011	No (0)	Lupton, Quent	Yes
423	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Stewart, Geraldine K	Yes
424	8/17/2011	Yes (573580)	Unknown, unknown	Yes
425	8/17/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
426	8/17/2011	Yes (556464)	Weston, Jamie M	Yes
427	8/17/2011	No	Cunningham, Kevin E	Yes
428	8/17/2011	No	Landers, Deborah	Yes
429	8/17/2011	No	Lane, Roger	Yes
430	8/17/2011	No	Manly, James	Yes
431	8/18/2011	No	Taylor, Richard M	Yes
432	8/18/2011	No	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
433	8/18/2011	No (0)	Smith, Kenneth A	Yes
434	8/18/2011	No	Liu-Beers, Christopher	Yes
435	8/18/2011	No	Heironimus, Jessica A	Yes
436	8/18/2011	Master (573760)	Melott, Stephen	Yes
437	8/18/2011	Yes (573760)	Melott, Frances	Yes
438	8/18/2011	No	Hoke, Carol	Yes
439	8/18/2011	No	Armistead, George	Yes
440	8/18/2011	No	Rubino, Matthew	Yes
441	8/18/2011	No	Johnson, Sandy K	Yes
442	8/18/2011	No	Stanback, Fred	Yes
443	8/18/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
444	8/18/2011	Yes (573760)	Copulsky, Steve	Yes
445	8/18/2011	No (0)	Cranmer, Paul	Yes
446	8/18/2011	No	III, Stanley W	Yes
447	8/18/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
448	8/18/2011	No	Lawton, Laura M	Yes



0013680

449	8/18/2011	No	Feinstein, Martin H	Yes
450	8/18/2011	No	Hoyt, Donna J	Yes
451	8/18/2011	No	Swanson, Mike	Yes
452	8/18/2011	Yes (573760)	McKee, David J	Yes
453	8/18/2011	No	Caldwell, Lynn E	Yes
454	8/18/2011	Yes (573580)	Granda, Betsey	Yes
455	8/18/2011	No	Tsombanis, Pericles	Yes
456	8/18/2011	No	Gros, Julie	Yes
457	8/18/2011	No	Field, Patricia T	Yes
458	8/18/2011	No	Thomas, Diane	Yes
459	8/18/2011	Yes (573760)	Houston, AE	Yes
460	8/18/2011	No	Cabarga, Patricia P	Yes
461	8/18/2011	No (0)	Poolos, Hazel P	Yes
462	8/18/2011	No	Unknown, unknown	Yes
463	8/18/2011	No	Metzgar, Michael	No
464	8/17/2011	No	Martin, Bruce	No
465	8/15/2011	No	Smathers, Lenny T	No
466	8/17/2011	No	Hicks, Stevens W	No
467	8/18/2011	No (0)	Hay, Sam	Yes
468	8/18/2011	No	Banks, Regina	Yes
469	8/18/2011	No (0)	Johnson, Thomas C	Yes
470	8/18/2011	No	Russell, Rosemary P	Yes
471	8/18/2011	No	Sloop, James H	Yes
472	8/18/2011	No	Unknown, ?	Yes
473	8/18/2011	No (0)	Morris, Robert	Yes
474	8/18/2011	No	Williams, Jeaneane	Yes
475	8/18/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
476	8/18/2011	No	Morris, Ron	Yes
477	8/18/2011	No	Long, Donald	Yes
478	8/18/2011	No	Kapetsky, Eileen D	Yes
479	8/18/2011	No	Valenski, Mary L	Yes
480	8/18/2011	Yes (573760)	Brimm, Martha C	Yes
481	8/18/2011	No (0)	Straub, Gwej P	Yes
482	8/18/2011	No	Saylor, Nigel T	Yes
483	8/18/2011	No	Raines, Jenny	Yes
484	8/18/2011	No	Ostrander, Helen M	Yes
485	8/18/2011	No	Albright, Charles	Yes
486	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Mansell, Callista L	Yes
487	8/19/2011	No	Carmon, Michelle	Yes
488	8/19/2011	No (0)	Smith, Tula F	Yes
489	8/19/2011	No	Popke, Jeff	Yes
490	8/19/2011	No	Breckheimer, Katie	Yes
491	8/19/2011	No	Roberts, Tim	Yes
492	8/19/2011	No (0)	Robertson, David H	Yes
493	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Unknown, ?	Yes
494	8/19/2011	No	Froggatt, Jory L	Yes
495	8/19/2011	No	Smith, Wanda	Yes
496	8/19/2011	No	Mittelstadt, Jeffrey M	Yes
497	8/19/2011	No	Christie, Martina B	Yes
498	8/19/2011	No	Santoliquido, Geoffrey C	Yes
499	8/19/2011	No	Keane, Julie T	Yes
500	8/19/2011	No	Winters, Rachel	Yes
501	8/19/2011	No (0)	Bond-Meers, Julie	Yes
502	8/19/2011	No	Walker, Mark	Yes
503	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Levin, Jess I	Yes

## 0013681

504	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Covi, Michelle	Yes
505	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Schubert, Peter	Yes
506	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
507	8/19/2011	No	Carter, Rebecca j	Yes
508	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Davis, John	Yes
509	8/19/2011	No	Hickle, Steven A	Yes
510	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	McCracken, Portia	Yes
511	8/19/2011	No	McConnell, Joy E	Yes
512	8/19/2011	No	Dainotto, John	Yes
513	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
514	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Smith, James W	Yes
515	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Schiffer, Amber	Yes
516	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Reeves, Ulla	Yes
517	8/19/2011	No	Paynter, Cary R	Yes
518	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Parsley, Jason	Yes
519	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Wilson, Genevieve	Yes
520	8/19/2011	No	Ledford, Elizabeth	Yes
521	8/19/2011	Yes (573580)	Crow, Peter	Yes
522	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Starr, Jim	Yes
523	8/19/2011	No (573760)	Callis, Laurel J	Yes
524	8/19/2011	No	Spragins, Elizabeth P	Yes
525	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Moldoveanu, Carol C	Yes
526	8/19/2011	No (0)	Porter, Kim	Yes
527	8/19/2011	No	Crawford, Morgan	Yes
528	8/19/2011	No (0)	McLean, Merri	Yes
529	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Barnes, Robyn L	Yes
530	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Boyer, Jayne C	Yes
531	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Robertson, Deborah	Yes
532	8/19/2011	No	Little, John J	Yes
533	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Boniske, Jill c	Yes
534	8/19/2011	No	Barwick, Laure l	Yes
535	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Unknown, ?	Yes
536	8/19/2011	No	Montgomery, Carol L	Yes
537	8/19/2011	No (0)	Hutchens, Elsie L	Yes
538	8/19/2011	No	Moore, Tony P	Yes
539	8/19/2011	No (0)	Martin, Kathryn J	Yes
540	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Kennedy, Tami	Yes
541	8/19/2011	No	Cardarelli, John F	Yes
542	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Kiirchoff, Bruce K	Yes
543	8/19/2011	No (0)	Byer, Kathryn S	Yes
544	8/19/2011	No	Reed, Kathy	Yes
545	8/19/2011	No (573760)	Alsentzer, Mary	Yes
546	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Robinson, Jessica A	Yes
547	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Apperson, Robert S	Yes
548	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Lopez, Lisa	Yes
549	8/19/2011	No	Procotr, Robin	Yes
550	8/19/2011	No (0)	Zinich, Joe	Yes
551	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Walters, Ronald L	Yes
552	8/19/2011	No	Medder, Arlene	Yes
553	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Spencer, Martha P	Yes
554	8/19/2011	No (0)	Unknown, ?	Yes
555	8/19/2011	No	Schermerhorn, John	Yes
556	8/19/2011	No (0)	Simmons, James	Yes
557	8/19/2011	No (0)	Johnson, David A	Yes
558	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Wynn, Peggy	Yes

## 0013682

559	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Davis, Susan j	Yes
560	8/19/2011	No	Deal, Jeffrey G	Yes
561	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Row, Nancy	Yes
562	8/19/2011	No	Hodik, Barbara J	Yes
563	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
564	8/19/2011	No	Lynn, Julie	Yes
565	8/19/2011	No (0)	McGraw, Cheryl	Yes
566	8/19/2011	No	Johnson, Gail and Ed	Yes
567	8/19/2011	No	Whitefield, Anne E	Yes
568	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Salgado, Liane	Yes
569	8/19/2011	No	Smith-Black, Larry	Yes
570	8/19/2011	No (0)	Heyn, Pia C	Yes
571	8/19/2011	No	Smith, Polly P	Yes
572	8/19/2011	No	Hardee, Floyd J	Yes
573	8/19/2011	No (0)	Shenkel, Tammy L	Yes
574	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Leavy, William F	Yes
575	8/19/2011	No (0)	Taylor, Julie	Yes
576	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Hardy, M.B.	Yes
577	8/19/2011	No	Rose, Linda	Yes
578	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Megraw, Molly S	Yes
579	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, ?	Yes
580	8/19/2011	No	Neal, Patrice L	Yes
581	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
582	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Pardue, Leonard	Yes
583	8/19/2011	No	Basiewicz, Kathleen	Yes
584	8/19/2011	No	Comer, Walter W	Yes
585	8/19/2011	No	Watjen, MaryAnn B	Yes
586	8/19/2011	No	Deane, Dale	Yes
587	8/19/2011	No	Kuhn, Tamra C	Yes
588	8/19/2011	No	Hyman, Robert M	Yes
589	8/19/2011	No	Antkowiak, Ben	Yes
590	8/19/2011	No	Sayler, Mary E	Yes
591	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
592	8/19/2011	No (0)	Barker, Lesley J	Yes
593	8/19/2011	No	Barker, Lesley J	Yes
594	8/19/2011	No	Shillito, Dr. J	Yes
595	8/19/2011	Yes (573580)	Iery, Dave	Yes
596	8/19/2011	No	Reddy, William M	Yes
597	8/19/2011	No	Johnson, Linda	Yes
598	8/19/2011	No	Reynolds, Jim	Yes
599	8/19/2011	No	Smith, Judith C	Yes
600	8/19/2011	No	Mueller, Helmut	Yes
601	8/19/2011	No	Boyle, James M	Yes
602	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Hawkins, Paul	Yes
603	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Southerland, Ada L	Yes
604	8/19/2011	No	Lomas, Avril G	Yes
605	8/19/2011	No	McQueen, Kate	Yes
606	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Ihrig, Julie	Yes
607	8/19/2011	No	Eddleman, Wells	Yes
608	8/19/2011	No	McCandless, Susan L	Yes
609	8/19/2011	No	Webster, Earlene H	Yes
610	8/19/2011	No	Harrisson, Frank D	Yes
611	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Davis, John S	Yes
612	8/19/2011	No	Back, Floyd W	Yes
613	8/19/2011	No	Oehler, Susan	Yes

## 0013683

614	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Frank, Harriette M	Yes
615	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Mauney, Harry F	Yes
616	8/19/2011	No	Flynn, Kathryn M	Yes
617	8/19/2011	No	Vickers, Raymond	Yes
618	8/19/2011	No	Faley, Carol J	Yes
619	8/19/2011	No	Hodges, Karen O	Yes
620	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Johnson, Dorothy B	Yes
621	8/19/2011	No	Eisenring, Sandra	Yes
622	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
623	8/19/2011	No	Malpass, Betsy and H R	Yes
624	8/19/2011	No	Mills, Adam	Yes
625	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
626	8/19/2011	No	Trotter, Deborah	Yes
627	8/19/2011	No	Midget, David	Yes
628	8/19/2011	No	Sterns, Steven	Yes
629	8/19/2011	No	James, Martha	Yes
630	8/19/2011	No (0)	Davis, Jenny	Yes
631	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
632	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
633	8/19/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
634	8/19/2011	No	Gottlieb, Larry	Yes
635	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Long, Kathleen A	Yes
636	8/19/2011	No	Hewitt, Julie	Yes
637	8/19/2011	No	Hammonds, Derek	Yes
638	8/19/2011	No	ZOLLMAN, FREDERICK	Yes
639	8/19/2011	No	Jackson, J.M.	Yes
640	8/19/2011	No	Nelson, Troy	Yes
641	8/19/2011	No	Rameriz, Manny	Yes
642	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Valenti, Aldo	Yes
643	8/19/2011	No	Wines, Rich	Yes
644	8/19/2011	No	Middleton, Kevin	Yes
645	8/19/2011	No	Bailey, Marcia A	Yes
646	8/19/2011	No	STOLZ, JI M LEO STOLZ	Yes
647	8/19/2011	No (0)	Howell, Michael W	Yes
648	8/19/2011	No	Socolar, Samuel J	Yes
649	8/19/2011	No (0)	Dodge, Brian	Yes
650	8/19/2011	No	Arnold, John D van Arnold	Yes
651	8/19/2011	No	Evans, Jerry I	Yes
652	8/19/2011	Yes (573760)	Tice, Janet	Yes
653	8/20/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
654	8/20/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
655	8/20/2011	No	Tuma, Mary B	Yes
656	8/20/2011	No	Brokaw, Harold E	Yes
657	8/20/2011	No	Hay, Carol	Yes
658	8/20/2011	No	Wakeman, Mary K	Yes
659	8/20/2011	No	Gleason, Laura	Yes
660	8/20/2011	No	Skeele, Michele R	Yes
661	8/20/2011	No	Olley, Jesse	Yes
662	8/20/2011	No	Cole, Sue	Yes
663	8/20/2011	No	Clegg, Ann A	Yes
664	8/20/2011	No	Knight, Bernard	Yes
665	8/20/2011	No	Freeman, Elizabeth H	Yes
666	8/20/2011	No (0)	Balfour, Lawrence	Yes
667	8/20/2011	No	Covey, Nick	Yes
668	8/20/2011	No (0)	Jack, Emily	Yes

0013684

669	8/20/2011	No	Seitz, Frank	Yes
670	8/20/2011	No (0)	Dennison, Richard	Yes
671	8/20/2011	No	Wohlers, Larry	Yes
672	8/20/2011	Yes (573760)	Wingeier, Douglas E	Yes
673	8/20/2011	No	Grimes, Howard	Yes
674	8/20/2011	No	Moore, Cathy	Yes
675	8/20/2011	No	Throgood, Henry	Yes
676	8/20/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
677	8/20/2011	No	Styron, Jean S	Yes
678	8/20/2011	No	Gardner, Donna	Yes
679	8/20/2011	No	Dain, Frank	Yes
680	8/20/2011	No (0)	Pahl, Carol	Yes
681	8/20/2011	Yes (573760)	Tart, Helen L	Yes
682	8/20/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
683	8/20/2011	No (0)	Ross, Andrew S	Yes
684	8/20/2011	No	McElhaney, Mabel	Yes
685	8/20/2011	No	Raper, Connie k	Yes
686	8/20/2011	No (0)	Elliott, Lynn m	Yes
687	8/20/2011	Yes (573760)	Burrows, Steven M	Yes
688	8/20/2011	Yes (573760)	Unknown, ?	Yes
689	8/20/2011	No	Mueller, Bruce	Yes
690	8/20/2011	Yes (573760)	Strowd, Richard E	Yes
691	8/20/2011	No	Anderson, Jake F	Yes
692	8/20/2011	No	Meares, Marta B	Yes
693	8/20/2011	No	Fouche, David L	Yes
694	8/20/2011	No (0)	Phelan, Caroline	Yes
695	8/20/2011	No	Lewis, Tamara	Yes
696	8/21/2011	No (0)	Newman, Donna	Yes
697	8/21/2011	No	Beets, nathan J	Yes
698	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	LANE, LINDA	Yes
699	8/21/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
700	8/21/2011	No (0)	Kaltenbach, Linda S	Yes
701	8/21/2011	No (0)	Addis, Mark	Yes
702	8/21/2011	No (0)	Kemper, Tom	Yes
703	8/21/2011	No (0)	Scott, Derek A	Yes
704	8/21/2011	No (0)	Scott, Derek A	Yes
705	8/21/2011	No (0)	Cherry, Robert	Yes
706	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	Dongre, Milind K	Yes
707	8/21/2011	No	Kinzel, Fred W	Yes
708	8/21/2011	No (0)	Diggs, Clayton T	Yes
709	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	Fore, Judy O	Yes
710	8/21/2011	No (0)	Hathaway, Deborah L	Yes
711	8/21/2011	No	Floyd, Douglas Q	Yes
712	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	Horn, M	Yes
713	8/21/2011	No (0)	Lindenberger, Susan V	Yes
714	8/21/2011	No (573760)	Nichols, Maureen	Yes
715	8/21/2011	No	Dickson, Anne	Yes
716	8/21/2011	No (0)	Winton, Scott	Yes
717	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	North, Lesley	Yes
718	8/21/2011	No (0)	Cooke, James C	Yes
719	8/21/2011	No	Mills, Billy	Yes
720	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	McKay, Frank	Yes
721	8/21/2011	No	Unknown, ?	Yes
722	8/21/2011	No	Dietsch, Niko	Yes
723	8/21/2011	No (573580)	Campbell, Nicole	Yes

## 0013685

724	8/21/2011	No (0)	Dellinger, Kate J	Yes
725	8/21/2011	No	Norton, Deborah R	Yes
726	8/21/2011	Yes (573760)	Lorch, Frank	Yes
727	8/22/2011	No	Noles, Robert C	Yes
728	8/22/2011	No	Hamilton, Ted A	Yes
729	8/22/2011	No	Brody, Susan	Yes
730	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Alguacil, Oscar R	Yes
731	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Devan, Dru g	Yes
732	8/22/2011	No (0)	Maat, Bryan P	Yes
733	8/22/2011	No	BAIN, MARY C	Yes
734	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
735	8/22/2011	No (0)	Mills, Billy	Yes
736	8/22/2011	No	Roberts, Norman M	Yes
737	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
738	8/22/2011	No (0)	WING, MARK S	Yes
739	8/22/2011	No	Spagnol, Thom	Yes
740	8/22/2011	No	Frazer, Ted B	Yes
741	8/22/2011	No	Workman, Keith A	Yes
742	8/22/2011	No (0)	Johnson, Rebecca L	Yes
743	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Lamme, Rob	Yes
744	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
745	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
746	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
747	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
748	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
749	8/22/2011	No	Webber, Richard E	Yes
750	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
751	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
752	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
753	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
754	8/22/2011	No (556464)	Heiser, Richard W	Yes
755	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
756	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
757	8/22/2011	No	Craig miles, Wayne	Yes
758	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
759	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
760	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
761	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
762	8/22/2011	No (0)	Shull, John R	Yes
763	8/22/2011	No	Smith, Robert K	Yes
764	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
765	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
766	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
767	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
768	8/22/2011	No	Owens, Ray E	Yes
769	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
770	8/22/2011	No	Patrizzi, Barry j	Yes
771	8/22/2011	No	Riggs, Donald	Yes
772	8/22/2011	No (0)	Smith, Charles H	Yes
773	8/22/2011	No	Oakes, Michael D	Yes
774	8/22/2011	No	Gianakos, John N	Yes
775	8/22/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
776	8/22/2011	No	Huestis, Christopher J	Yes
777	8/22/2011	No	Herbert, Emily	Yes
778	8/22/2011	No (0)	Nachman, John L	Yes

## 0013686

779	8/22/2011	No (0)	McNichol, Debi L	Yes
780	8/22/2011	No	Mills, Robert	Yes
781	8/22/2011	No (0)	Hanks, Robert D	Yes
782	8/22/2011	No	Lipe, Samuel W	Yes
783	8/22/2011	No (0)	Quillen, Howard D	Yes
784	8/22/2011	No	Murphy, Pat L	Yes
785	8/22/2011	No	Motsinger, Wes n	Yes
786	8/22/2011	No	Gholson, Adam	Yes
787	8/22/2011	No (573760)	Gerwin, John A	Yes
788	8/22/2011	No	Chaplik, Christine C	Yes
789	8/22/2011	No (573760)	Krogh, Karen E	Yes
790	8/22/2011	No (0)	Handlon, Robert L	Yes
791	8/23/2011	Yes (573760)	Gupton, William M	Yes
792	8/23/2011	No	Tidd, Michele	Yes
793	8/23/2011	No	Griffin, Jeanne M	Yes
794	8/23/2011	No	Griffin, Ronald M	Yes
795	8/23/2011	No	Farr, Mike	Yes
796	8/23/2011	No	Jr, Charles M Woodworth Jr	Yes
797	8/23/2011	No	Becker, Tracie	Yes
798	8/23/2011	No (573760)	Kensicki, Edward M	Yes
799	8/23/2011	No (556464)	Haynes,III, Charles E	Yes
800	8/23/2011	No	Wing, Patrick D	Yes
801	8/23/2011	No	Unknown, Unknown	Yes
802	8/23/2011	No	Wendling, Barbara A	Yes
803	8/23/2011	Yes (573760)	Unknown, ?	Yes
804	8/23/2011	No (0)	Mills, Billy M	Yes
805	8/23/2011	No (573760)	Bearden, Joe	Yes
806	8/23/2011	No (0)	Scrodin, Christopher T	Yes
807	8/23/2011	No	Slater, Jonathan K	Yes
808	8/23/2011	No	Burroughs, Karen I	Yes
809	8/23/2011	No (556464)	Crumb, Edward A	Yes
810	8/23/2011	No	HALES, JEFF	Yes
811	8/23/2011	No	Davis, Rbecca H	Yes
812	8/23/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
813	8/23/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
814	8/23/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
815	8/24/2011	No	Jr., Heffrey Jon France Jr.	Yes
816	8/24/2011	No	Sawyer, Amy S	Yes
817	8/24/2011	No (0)	Hovis, Mark E	Yes
818	8/24/2011	No (0)	Unknown, ?	Yes
819	8/24/2011	No (0)	Unknown, ?	Yes
820	8/16/2011	No	Medlin, Katie	No
821	8/22/2011	No (0)	Noles, Robert C	Yes
822	8/22/2011	No (0)	Hamilton, Ted A	Yes
823	8/22/2011	No	Brody, Susan	Yes
824	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Alguacil, Oscar R	Yes
825	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	devan, dru g	Yes
826	8/22/2011	No (0)	Maat, Bryan P	Yes
827	8/22/2011	No	BAIN, MARY C	Yes
828	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
829	8/22/2011	No (0)	Mills, Billy	Yes
830	8/22/2011	No (0)	Roberts, Norman M	Yes
831	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
832	8/22/2011	No (0)	WING, MARK S	Yes
833	8/22/2011	No	Spagnol, Thom	Yes

0013687

834	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Frazer, Ted B	Yes
835	8/22/2011	No	Workman, Keith A	Yes
836	8/22/2011	No	Johnson, Rebecca L	Yes
837	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Lamme, Rob	Yes
838	8/22/2011	Master (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
839	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
840	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
841	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
842	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
843	8/22/2011	No (0)	Webber, Richard E	Yes
844	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
845	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
846	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
847	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
848	8/22/2011	Yes (556464)	Heiser, Richard W	Yes
849	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
850	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
851	8/22/2011	No	Craig miles, Wayne	Yes
852	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
853	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
854	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
855	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
856	8/22/2011	No (0)	Shull, John R	Yes
857	8/22/2011	No (0)	Smith, Robert K	Yes
858	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
859	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
860	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
861	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
862	8/22/2011	No	Owens, Ray E	Yes
863	8/22/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
864	8/22/2011	No	Patrizzi, Barry j	Yes
865	8/22/2011	No	Riggs, Donald L	Yes
866	8/22/2011	No (0)	Smith, Charles H	Yes
867	8/22/2011	No	Oakes, Michael D	Yes
868	8/22/2011	No	Gianakos, John N	Yes
869	8/22/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
870	8/22/2011	No	Huestis, Christopher J	Yes
871	8/22/2011	No (0)	Herbert, Emily	Yes
872	8/22/2011	No	Nachman, John L	Yes
873	8/22/2011	No (0)	McNichol, Debi L	Yes
874	8/22/2011	No (0)	mills, Robert	Yes
875	8/22/2011	No (0)	Hanks, Robert D	Yes
876	8/22/2011	No	Lipe, Samuel W	Yes
877	8/22/2011	No (0)	Quillen, Howard D	Yes
878	8/22/2011	No	Murphy, Pat L	Yes
879	8/22/2011	No	motsinger, wes n	Yes
880	8/22/2011	No (0)	Gholson, Adam	Yes
881	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Gerwin, John A	Yes
882	8/22/2011	No	Chaplik, Christine C	Yes
883	8/22/2011	Yes (573760)	Krogh, Karen E	Yes
884	8/22/2011	No (0)	Handlon, Robert L	Yes
885	8/23/2011	Yes (573760)	Gupton, William M	Yes
886	8/23/2011	No (0)	Tidd, Michele	Yes
887	8/23/2011	No	Griffin, Jeanne M	Yes
888	8/23/2011	No	Griffin, Ronald M	Yes



0013688

889	8/23/2011	No (0)	Farr, Mike	Yes
890	8/23/2011	No	Woodworth, Charles M	Yes
891	8/23/2011	No	Becker, Tracie	Yes
892	8/23/2011	Yes (573760)	Kensicki, Edward M	Yes
893	8/23/2011	No (556464)	Haynes,III, Charles E	Yes
894	8/23/2011	No (0)	wing, patrick d	Yes
895	8/23/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
896	8/23/2011	No	Wendling, Barbara A	Yes
897	8/23/2011	Yes (573760)	N/A, N/A	Yes
898	8/23/2011	No (0)	Mills, Billy M	Yes
899	8/23/2011	Yes (573760)	Bearden, Joe	Yes
900	8/23/2011	No (0)	Scrodin, Christopher T	Yes
901	8/23/2011	No (0)	Slater, Jonathan K	Yes
902	8/23/2011	No (0)	burroughs, karen l	Yes
903	8/23/2011	No	Crumb, Edward A	Yes
904	8/23/2011	No	HALES, JEFF	Yes
905	8/23/2011	No	Davis, Rbecca H	Yes
906	8/23/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
907	8/23/2011	No (0)	Lewis, Jack	Yes
908	8/23/2011	No (0)	Lewis, Jack	Yes
909	8/24/2011	No	Jr., Heffrey Jon France Jr.	Yes
910	8/24/2011	No	Sawyer, Amy S	Yes
911	8/24/2011	No	Hovis, Mark E	Yes
912	8/24/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
913	8/24/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
914	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
915	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
916	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
917	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
918	8/22/2011	Yes (602027)	N/A, N/A	Yes
919	8/24/2011	Yes (573760)	Martin, Whitney	Yes
920	8/24/2011	No (573580)	Evenstad, Amy	Yes
921	8/24/2011	No (0)	Golembieski, Frank A	Yes
922	8/24/2011	No	Mann, Robin A	Yes
923	8/24/2011	No	Hurst, Kenneth M	Yes
924	8/24/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
925	8/24/2011	No (0)	Lewis, Jack	Yes
926	8/24/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
927	8/25/2011	No (0)	BLACK, JEREMY A	Yes
928	8/25/2011	No	Husz, Whitney	Yes
929	8/25/2011	No (573580)	Capaldi, Alexander J	Yes
930	8/25/2011	No	Holt, W.Jefferson	Yes
931	8/25/2011	No	Somers, Ami	Yes
932	8/25/2011	No	Hill, David	Yes
933	8/25/2011	Yes (573760)	Ross, Megan	Yes
934	8/25/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
935	8/25/2011	No (0)	Gisler, Susan	Yes
936	8/25/2011	No (0)	Townsend, Walter	Yes
937	8/26/2011	No (0)	Lentz, Christian C	Yes
938	8/26/2011	Yes (573760)	Schubert, Margaret	Yes
939	8/26/2011	No (0)	Farmer, Dana T	Yes
940	8/26/2011	No	Davis, Margaret S	Yes
941	8/26/2011	No	Outka, Elizabeth	Yes
942	8/26/2011	Yes (573760)	Newlander, Morris A	Yes
943	8/26/2011	No	Geiser, Richard R	Yes

944	8/26/2011	No	Ryan, Kevin D	Yes
945	8/27/2011	No	shulman, rose	Yes
946	8/27/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
947	8/27/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
948	8/27/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
949	8/27/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
950	8/27/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
951	8/27/2011	No (0)	McCulley, Robert W	Yes
952	8/27/2011	No	Stefanitsis, Miltos	Yes
953	8/27/2011	No	Lentz-Smith, Adriane	Yes
954	8/29/2011	No	Holman, Rufus K	Yes
955	8/29/2011	Master (602261)	Van Kleeck, Justin S	Yes
956	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Burks, Neely	Yes
957	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Durso, Andrew M	Yes
958	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Balfour, Michele	Yes
959	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kornstein, Barry	Yes
960	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Shepherd, Jennifer	Yes
961	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Eldard, Leslee	Yes
962	8/29/2011	No (0)	Longobardi, Pamela	Yes
963	8/29/2011	No	Kornse, Lisa D	Yes
964	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Frank, Cindy	Yes
965	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Reese, Sarah E	Yes
966	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Martin, Jeff	Yes
967	8/29/2011	No	archard, lee	Yes
968	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dubinsky, Jeffrey	Yes
969	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sage, Daniel	Yes
970	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Owen, Karyon	Yes
971	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
972	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Fitelson, Cindy	Yes
973	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Fowler, Bruce w	Yes
974	8/29/2011	No	uman, lawrence	Yes
975	8/29/2011	No	Montgomery, A	Yes
976	8/29/2011	No	Davis, John	Yes
977	8/29/2011	No	McDonald, Sandra K	Yes
978	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hester, Sarah S	Yes
979	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Butler, Elizabeth G	Yes
980	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	kopetzky, cavell	Yes
981	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Bell, Virginia	Yes
982	8/29/2011	Yes (573760)	Stone, William	Yes
983	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Norwood, Beth R	Yes
984	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Carter, Ginger C	Yes
985	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jordan, Meyer	Yes
986	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Showden, Carisa	Yes
987	8/29/2011	No	Brody, Jed	Yes
988	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kennedy, Susan E	Yes
989	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Guidugli, Michelle	Yes
990	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Smith, Carolyn B	Yes
991	8/29/2011	No	Edwards, Durwood	Yes
992	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Garriga, Jorge	Yes
993	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Laity-Snyder, Mark	Yes
994	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Witmer, John and Nancy	Yes
995	8/29/2011	No	SANTANA, WILL J	Yes
996	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Trobaugh, William T	Yes
997	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Barnett, Taya R	Yes
998	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Drucker, L M	Yes

## 0013690

999	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Matheson, Sally L	Yes
1000	8/29/2011	No	Torrence, Lisa M	Yes
1001	8/29/2011	No (0)	wilt, denise	Yes
1002	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ferraro, Lou	Yes
1003	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dodd, Elizabeth	Yes
1004	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Nichols, W. J	Yes
1005	8/29/2011	No	ditirro, sandy	Yes
1006	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1007	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	silver, ron	Yes
1008	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	CANN, SIGNE C	Yes
1009	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Williams, Kristine M	Yes
1010	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Cole, Courtney	Yes
1011	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ferguson, Megan	Yes
1012	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Carlson, Cathryn A	Yes
1013	8/29/2011	No	Firth, ArtHUR J	Yes
1014	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1015	8/29/2011	No (0)	Nord, Randall	Yes
1016	8/29/2011	No	thomason, karen j	Yes
1017	8/29/2011	No (0)	Luyendyk, Laura	Yes
1018	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Gann, Sara B	Yes
1019	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Flynt, Susan L	Yes
1020	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	vaughan, roger	Yes
1021	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1022	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Tarr, Suzanne J	Yes
1023	8/29/2011	No	Adams, Gerald	Yes
1024	8/29/2011	No	Erwin, Patricia M	Yes
1025	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Nester, Renee	Yes
1026	8/29/2011	No	North, Linda J	Yes
1027	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	McDougall, Katie	Yes
1028	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	O'Brien, Aileen	Yes
1029	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jacobs, Quida L	Yes
1030	8/29/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1031	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hamlin, Leslie	Yes
1032	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Vinett, William G	Yes
1033	8/29/2011	No	Martin, Kathleen I	Yes
1034	8/29/2011	No (0)	byrnes, kelly	Yes
1035	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sawyer, Janis D	Yes
1036	8/29/2011	No	Crofford, Jan	Yes
1037	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Rayburn-Trobaugh, Catherine	Yes
1038	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	silver, margaret	Yes
1039	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Anderson, Derek	Yes
1040	8/29/2011	No	Tierney, Robin	Yes
1041	8/29/2011	No (0)	Reynolds, Jonathan	Yes
1042	8/29/2011	No	purvis, james	Yes
1043	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Devine, Lauren	Yes
1044	8/29/2011	No	Bailey-Pharr, Stacey D	Yes
1045	8/29/2011	No	Westerman, Albert G	Yes
1046	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Chapin, Jessica G	Yes
1047	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Doll, Carl R	Yes
1048	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	clark, mary	Yes
1049	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Landskron, David L	Yes
1050	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Black, Ryan	Yes
1051	8/29/2011	No (573580)	Fritzler, Deb	Yes
1052	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kebbel, Christian	Yes
1053	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ray, Ed	Yes

## 0013691

1054	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sanchez, Mar	Yes
1055	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	osborne, amanda	Yes
1056	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	simpson, amanda	Yes
1057	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hinnebusch, Mark T	Yes
1058	8/29/2011	No (0)	CAWN, SIDNEY L	Yes
1059	8/29/2011	No	Marwick, jean A	Yes
1060	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Pettee, Charles e	Yes
1061	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Morris, Ginny	Yes
1062	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ryan, Beth	Yes
1063	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Tassell, Kristen L. Van Tassell	Yes
1064	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Naranjo, Arlene	Yes
1065	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Coen, Chris	Yes
1066	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kunkel, Cathy	Yes
1067	8/29/2011	No (0)	Bailey, Marcia	Yes
1068	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Bartell, Michael	Yes
1069	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Turner, Martha F	Yes
1070	8/29/2011	No (0)	roldan, june m	Yes
1071	8/29/2011	No (0)	Fraytet, Jules	Yes
1072	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Becker, Elaine	Yes
1073	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Phillips, George	Yes
1074	8/29/2011	No	Wells, Tom	Yes
1075	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Colby, David W	Yes
1076	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Stieg, Elisabeth	Yes
1077	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Fischer, Elaine	Yes
1078	8/29/2011	No	cook, chrissy j	Yes
1079	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Malcolm, Marjorie	Yes
1080	8/29/2011	No	Ivester, Priscilla	Yes
1081	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Fillman, Brian	Yes
1082	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	HANEY, HOWARD M	Yes
1083	8/29/2011	No	armao, terri	Yes
1084	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1085	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	POPE, JERRY	Yes
1086	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Elliott, Lynn M	Yes
1087	8/29/2011	No	Mennel-Bell, Mari	Yes
1088	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Schoenstein, Julie	Yes
1089	8/29/2011	No (0)	Emmanuele, Kurt	Yes
1090	8/29/2011	No	Eldridge, Claire	Yes
1091	8/29/2011	No (0)	Schiavone, Catharine A	Yes
1092	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Vance, Jordan	Yes
1093	8/29/2011	No	Sutton, Brian K	Yes
1094	8/29/2011	No	Malachowski, Mary	Yes
1095	8/29/2011	No (0)	Michot, Beatrice D	Yes
1096	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Rose, Richard	Yes
1097	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1098	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mitchell, Robert W	Yes
1099	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Rizzolo, James	Yes
1100	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Crosby, Kathy	Yes
1101	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Whitehead, Pat J Bushong	Yes
1102	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sappelli, Carin	Yes
1103	8/29/2011	No	Laviolette, Michele	Yes
1104	8/29/2011	No	Wilkinson, Pamela K	Yes
1105	8/29/2011	No	McLaughlin, Lea	Yes
1106	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Corley, Bert	Yes
1107	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Franklin, Joe	Yes
1108	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sherry, Jeffrey B	Yes

## 0013692

1109	8/29/2011	No (0)	Shrieves, Ronald E	Yes
1110	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Arbogast, Doug W	Yes
1111	8/29/2011	No (0)	Hornberg, Jean	Yes
1112	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hoke, Carol	Yes
1113	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Finn, Julie M	Yes
1114	8/29/2011	No	Preston, Apryl C	Yes
1115	8/29/2011	No	King, Betty	Yes
1116	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1117	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Black, Michael	Yes
1118	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Martin, Benjamin	Yes
1119	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ross, Lilli E	Yes
1120	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dowling, Deborah	Yes
1121	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	OLIVER, BRUCE A	Yes
1122	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Thomas, maghon R	Yes
1123	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Shockley, Laina	Yes
1124	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Janowitz, Steve	Yes
1125	8/29/2011	No (0)	Taeckens, Michael	Yes
1126	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Stachowiak, Chad M	Yes
1127	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Boyer, Jayne C	Yes
1128	8/29/2011	No	DeCastle, Robert Leon Van	Yes
1129	8/29/2011	No	Herzig, Paul A	Yes
1130	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Clayton, Jon H	Yes
1131	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Bridges, Beth M	Yes
1132	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	MCGUINN, DEBORAH	Yes
1133	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Higgins, Bruce	Yes
1134	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	D'Antonio, Lisa M	Yes
1135	8/29/2011	No	Moody, Michael	Yes
1136	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Preston, Curt	Yes
1137	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Graham, John S	Yes
1138	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Derting, Terry	Yes
1139	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Merchant, Tara J	Yes
1140	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Reyes, Blaine	Yes
1141	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	betancur, maria a	Yes
1142	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1143	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Cannon, John R	Yes
1144	8/29/2011	No (0)	Morris, Gary and marilyn	Yes
1145	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Redman, Julie	Yes
1146	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Margeson, Don W	Yes
1147	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ayers, Ron	Yes
1148	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hampton, Kristen S	Yes
1149	8/29/2011	No (0)	Washington, Leslie	Yes
1150	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Blatz, Marissa G	Yes
1151	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Knight, Betsy R	Yes
1152	8/29/2011	No (0)	Remer, Mary A	Yes
1153	8/29/2011	No	Goorey, Robert F	Yes
1154	8/29/2011	No	Taylor, Helen M	Yes
1155	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1156	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Harris, Susan	Yes
1157	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1158	8/29/2011	No (0)	Gilbert, Gregory K	Yes
1159	8/29/2011	No (573580)	Hudleson, Shirley A	Yes
1160	8/29/2011	No (0)	Wallace, Elizabeth	Yes
1161	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lee, Cathryn	Yes
1162	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Bailey, Joe J	Yes
1163	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Foster, Leah	Yes

## 0013693

1164	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Il, Richard M	Yes
1165	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	magbee, max	Yes
1166	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Fernandez, Denise	Yes
1167	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Cannon, Stacey O	Yes
1168	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Arbolaez, Fidel S	Yes
1169	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Branson, Barbara	Yes
1170	8/29/2011	Yes (573760)	Machara, Marilyn	Yes
1171	8/29/2011	No	mix, larry j	Yes
1172	8/29/2011	No	Latamore, George B	Yes
1173	8/29/2011	No	Franchi, Irena	Yes
1174	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	barone, lindy	Yes
1175	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Barnes, Linda S	Yes
1176	8/29/2011	No (0)	Daignault, Chari L	Yes
1177	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jessop, Julia G	Yes
1178	8/29/2011	No (0)	Bradbeer, Wilma J	Yes
1179	8/29/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1180	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Goldblatt, Laura	Yes
1181	8/29/2011	No	Hufnagel, john A	Yes
1182	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Simons, Robert	Yes
1183	8/29/2011	No	Fey, sonia m	Yes
1184	8/29/2011	No	HUtson, Martha W	Yes
1185	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Pitt, Amber	Yes
1186	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	sall, frederick w	Yes
1187	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ilgner, Kristina	Yes
1188	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Silverstone, Dr. A	Yes
1189	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Luman, Paul M	Yes
1190	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dixon, Vernon and Mary Joyce	Yes
1191	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Grunebaum, Claudia v	Yes
1192	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Smith, Adrian	Yes
1193	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Yarnell, Susan L	Yes
1194	8/29/2011	No (0)	Andrews, Renee	Yes
1195	8/29/2011	No	Grover, Janice M	Yes
1196	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	McMahon, Gail	Yes
1197	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Perry, Margaret L	Yes
1198	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1199	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Elasik, Lauren	Yes
1200	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Greer, Sally M	Yes
1201	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Baker, Cindy	Yes
1202	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Behrend, Christi S	Yes
1203	8/29/2011	No (573580)	ericson, juliana	Yes
1204	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	McLendon, Cynthia	Yes
1205	8/29/2011	No	whitefield, anne e	Yes
1206	8/29/2011	No	Welch, Dr. C	Yes
1207	8/29/2011	No	Tice, Gail	Yes
1208	8/29/2011	No	Welgos, Stephen	Yes
1209	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Taylor, Kristin N	Yes
1210	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Muzychka, Rebecca	Yes
1211	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Williams, Dianne	Yes
1212	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mercer, Benjamin	Yes
1213	8/29/2011	Yes (573760)	D'Onofrio, Sue I	Yes
1214	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1215	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Marks, Donna	Yes
1216	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kemper, Heather	Yes
1217	8/29/2011	No	Wolfe, Carolyn A	Yes
1218	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Turk, Lawrence	Yes

0013694

1219	8/29/2011	No	Simpson, Gary D	Yes
1220	8/29/2011	No	Sannes, Philip L	Yes
1221	8/29/2011	No	Landau, Doug	Yes
1222	8/29/2011	No	Carroll, Linda L	Yes
1223	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Benard, Tammy J	Yes
1224	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kuhl, Steven A	Yes
1225	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Skipworth, Carl W	Yes
1226	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Rogers, William	Yes
1227	8/29/2011	No	Curry, Tierra	Yes
1228	8/29/2011	No	Crannage, Wendy J	Yes
1229	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Caballero, Shelley	Yes
1230	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Everitt, Ivan	Yes
1231	8/29/2011	No	Blanchette, Mary L	Yes
1232	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Murphy, Claudia C	Yes
1233	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Smith, Anita G	Yes
1234	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1235	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Green, Kerstin	Yes
1236	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Elliott, Joseph	Yes
1237	8/29/2011	No	Dyer, Liz	Yes
1238	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hochmuth, Brian M	Yes
1239	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Banks, Paula B	Yes
1240	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1241	8/29/2011	No	Rogerson, James A	Yes
1242	8/29/2011	No	Lasselle, Lourdes C	Yes
1243	8/29/2011	No	rodoff, Iennie	Yes
1244	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Rivera, Alberto J	Yes
1245	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	DiMarco, Paul	Yes
1246	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Wiley, Ann W	Yes
1247	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Metzger, Carol A	Yes
1248	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	toups, ryan a	Yes
1249	8/29/2011	No (0)	Ansley, Celia	Yes
1250	8/29/2011	No	LEE, JINNY M	Yes
1251	8/29/2011	No	Smith, Barbara P	Yes
1252	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Moore, Brandee A	Yes
1253	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Gibson, Bruce M	Yes
1254	8/29/2011	No	Capps, Stephanie	Yes
1255	8/29/2011	No (0)	Speciale, Samuel	Yes
1256	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Simmons, Amy	Yes
1257	8/29/2011	No	Holsten, Elizabeth M	Yes
1258	8/29/2011	No	Tippett, Terri E	Yes
1259	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Milton, Christopher	Yes
1260	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Neste, Lisa A	Yes
1261	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Gaines-Williams, Cecilia	Yes
1262	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Whetstone, Tony	Yes
1263	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hunt, Cashin	Yes
1264	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Theden, Tiffany P	Yes
1265	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mathias, Cathy	Yes
1266	8/29/2011	No (0)	Shelton, James	Yes
1267	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1268	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	FARR, SALLY A	Yes
1269	8/29/2011	No (0)	Morton, Cahrles W	Yes
1270	8/29/2011	No (0)	O'Connor, Ellen L	Yes
1271	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Beard, Katherine I	Yes
1272	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Holliday, Tricia	Yes
1273	8/29/2011	No	Martinez, Harriet J	Yes

## 0013695

1274	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Deucher, Alex	Yes
1275	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Worthen, Courtney	Yes
1276	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Oswald, Sarah	Yes
1277	8/29/2011	No	TSOMBANIS, PERICLES	Yes
1278	8/29/2011	No	Stober, Paula	Yes
1279	8/29/2011	No (0)	Leighton, Tim	Yes
1280	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Alexander, Jenifer	Yes
1281	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Crutchfield, Jim	Yes
1282	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mohan, Debi	Yes
1283	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Eakes, Carmen D	Yes
1284	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Wilder, George J	Yes
1285	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Franklin, John L	Yes
1286	8/29/2011	No (0)	Clonts, Rita E	Yes
1287	8/29/2011	No	Doughty, Joyce H	Yes
1288	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1289	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1290	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Southall, Philip F	Yes
1291	8/29/2011	No	Thompson-Deahl, Claudia J	Yes
1292	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Caldwell, James	Yes
1293	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Martin, Anya	Yes
1294	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Perry, Sue A	Yes
1295	8/29/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1296	8/29/2011	No	Neese, Sandy F	Yes
1297	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Avazian, Thomas	Yes
1298	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1299	8/29/2011	No	Gonzalez, Viviana	Yes
1300	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	ryan, Audrey	Yes
1301	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Luke, Keth	Yes
1302	8/29/2011	No	McCarthy, James	Yes
1303	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mullane, Danny	Yes
1304	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Reynolds, Roseann	Yes
1305	8/29/2011	No	Fogg, Margaret L	Yes
1306	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1307	8/29/2011	No (0)	Rosenkrantz, Stewart	Yes
1308	8/29/2011	No	gass, john r	Yes
1309	8/29/2011	No	Burtner, Sharon P	Yes
1310	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Martinez, Maria E	Yes
1311	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lavy, Fred	Yes
1312	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Craig, Carol	Yes
1313	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Menier, Billie J	Yes
1314	8/29/2011	No (0)	Hoffa, Jeffrey D	Yes
1315	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Steininger, Lorenz	Yes
1316	8/29/2011	No	Jr, Stewart Ralph Roberts, Jr	Yes
1317	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Chisari, Andrea	Yes
1318	8/29/2011	No	Smith, Marilyn L	Yes
1319	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Riley, David & Angela	Yes
1320	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Magee, John R	Yes
1321	8/29/2011	No	Englehardt, Judith	Yes
1322	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	McGrogan, KATHY	Yes
1323	8/29/2011	No (0)	Dalrymple, Deborah A	Yes
1324	8/29/2011	No	Helterbrand, Luc H	Yes
1325	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Henry, Lawson	Yes
1326	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1327	8/29/2011	No (0)	Gier, Sue	Yes
1328	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Baloyra, Enrique	Yes



## 0013696

1329	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hoodwin, Marcia	Yes
1330	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Prince, Taylor L	Yes
1331	8/29/2011	No (0)	Borden, Barbara K	Yes
1332	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hemphill, Meredith E	Yes
1333	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Gawryszewski, Nancy	Yes
1334	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1335	8/29/2011	No (0)	Swoboda, Lois E	Yes
1336	8/29/2011	No	Bagwell, J. C	Yes
1337	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Cilley, David M	Yes
1338	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dickstein, Stephen H	Yes
1339	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1340	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Allen, Melissa	Yes
1341	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Eckert, Hugh	Yes
1342	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Botelho, Katherine	Yes
1343	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dauber, Steve & Sue Dauber	Yes
1344	8/29/2011	No	CASERTA, KIM R	Yes
1345	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Nielsen, Lessli	Yes
1346	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Smith, Andrew	Yes
1347	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Norman, Lisa	Yes
1348	8/29/2011	No	Brown, Claire S	Yes
1349	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Miller, Donald	Yes
1350	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dias, James K	Yes
1351	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mikkelsen, Doug	Yes
1352	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Papania, Letitia	Yes
1353	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lima, Paul	Yes
1354	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jong, Perrin d	Yes
1355	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jackson, John R	Yes
1356	8/29/2011	No	Davis-Castro, Carla Y	Yes
1357	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Glenn, Theresa	Yes
1358	8/29/2011	No	Robertson, L & B Robertson	Yes
1359	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Elizabeth, A.	Yes
1360	8/29/2011	No	Vosburgh, Chelsea	Yes
1361	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Radke, Irene	Yes
1362	8/29/2011	No	Utzman, Pastor Don Keith	Yes
1363	8/29/2011	No (0)	Ankowski, Ronald E	Yes
1364	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1365	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	marietta, Annemieke	Yes
1366	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hamilton, T.A>	Yes
1367	8/29/2011	No	Bailey, Tina M	Yes
1368	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Nguyen, Phong	Yes
1369	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Wieland, Hubert	Yes
1370	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Bifareti, Lawrence	Yes
1371	8/29/2011	No	Ottenbrite, Shelley	Yes
1372	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Alspaugh, Kristen L	Yes
1373	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Brim, Carol	Yes
1374	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Cramer, Marilyn	Yes
1375	8/29/2011	No	Wilson, Patricia A	Yes
1376	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Luckstead, Erica	Yes
1377	8/29/2011	No	Kent, Ellen	Yes
1378	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Collins, Clayton	Yes
1379	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mills, Lesia	Yes
1380	8/29/2011	No (0)	Wilson, Patricia	Yes
1381	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Loiselle, David	Yes
1382	8/29/2011	No	Saja, Jean T	Yes
1383	8/29/2011	No	Rosenberg, Harriet	Yes

0013697

1384	8/29/2011	No (573580)	Jungman, Rita M	Yes
1385	8/29/2011	No	Bennett, Marvin H	Yes
1386	8/29/2011	No	rabinowitz, rebecca	Yes
1387	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Szpatura, Gary	Yes
1388	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Campbell, Barbara S	Yes
1389	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lavinder, Gary L	Yes
1390	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lemke, Cornelia	Yes
1391	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Vachudova, Milada A	Yes
1392	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Colson, Clay G	Yes
1393	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	reeve, charlene f	Yes
1394	8/29/2011	No	Brennan, Mary L	Yes
1395	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1396	8/29/2011	No	Winnn, Laraine F	Yes
1397	8/29/2011	No	O'Connell, Stephanie B	Yes
1398	8/29/2011	No (0)	Johnson, Tina M	Yes
1399	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1400	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Millett, Cheryl	Yes
1401	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	tankersley, angela	Yes
1402	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Pettus, Wanda	Yes
1403	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lander, John	Yes
1404	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hargraves, Mark E	Yes
1405	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Boyd, P.W.	Yes
1406	8/29/2011	No (0)	Cassidy, Ruth	Yes
1407	8/29/2011	No	Powell, Charles	Yes
1408	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Tufford, Cindy	Yes
1409	8/29/2011	No	Sanders, Judith	Yes
1410	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1411	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ashley, Valerie	Yes
1412	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jarvis, Kimberly	Yes
1413	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Barfield, Bonnie	Yes
1414	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Anderson, Michelle	Yes
1415	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Harley, Brenda J	Yes
1416	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Piselli, Tony	Yes
1417	8/29/2011	No (0)	Berman, Mark A	Yes
1418	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sumrall, Theresa	Yes
1419	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Thorpe, Tom	Yes
1420	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Novotny, Jan	Yes
1421	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kunkel, Christopher	Yes
1422	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Russell, Thomas	Yes
1423	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Gigliello, Ken	Yes
1424	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Miller, Lori L	Yes
1425	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1426	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Guerrero, Mario	Yes
1427	8/29/2011	No	Greene, Vaughan N	Yes
1428	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Selquist, Donna J	Yes
1429	8/29/2011	No	Hulbert, Carol G	Yes
1430	8/29/2011	No	Schrader, Wanda W	Yes
1431	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Siegmann, Suzy	Yes
1432	8/29/2011	No	Leone, John A	Yes
1433	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	villani, giovanna m	Yes
1434	8/29/2011	No	Avery, Bonnie	Yes
1435	8/29/2011	No	Perera, Sriyantha	Yes
1436	8/29/2011	No	Minton, Mark A	Yes
1437	8/29/2011	No	STOLZ, JIM L	Yes
1438	8/29/2011	No	Hovekamp, Larry S	Yes

0013698

1439	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Gonzalez, Rob	Yes
1440	8/29/2011	No (0)	Hoffmann, Jeanne	Yes
1441	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Epple, Karen J	Yes
1442	8/29/2011	No	Morello, Phyl	Yes
1443	8/29/2011	No	Sanchez, Daniel & Robin	Yes
1444	8/29/2011	No	Carlson, Kathleen	Yes
1445	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Winski, Barbara	Yes
1446	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	O'Brien, Robert	Yes
1447	8/29/2011	No	Thayer, Mostyn	Yes
1448	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Tyson, Nathan	Yes
1449	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	White, Robert B	Yes
1450	8/29/2011	No	Morello, Phyl	Yes
1451	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Robinson, Janet	Yes
1452	8/29/2011	No (0)	Brezny, Peter	Yes
1453	8/29/2011	No	Fodor, Mark	Yes
1454	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	White, Lisa L	Yes
1455	8/29/2011	No	OMalley, Elaine	Yes
1456	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hall, Silvia	Yes
1457	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ghioto, Gary N	Yes
1458	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jacobson, Lisa	Yes
1459	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Henderson, Melinda K	Yes
1460	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Strowd, Richard E	Yes
1461	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Buckley, Kathleen C	Yes
1462	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Endicot-Bialczak, Sara	Yes
1463	8/29/2011	No (0)	Cattaneo, Lee T	Yes
1464	8/29/2011	No	Martin, Drew	Yes
1465	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sawdon, Rosemarie	Yes
1466	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Fues, Lisa	Yes
1467	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1468	8/29/2011	No (0)	clark, kathryn	Yes
1469	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	HINKLE, Carol L	Yes
1470	8/29/2011	No	Cosby, David H	Yes
1471	8/29/2011	No	Ill, Stanley W	Yes
1472	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Bedarf, Anne	Yes
1473	8/29/2011	No	Woiak, Sandy	Yes
1474	8/29/2011	No (0)	Helton, Karen M	Yes
1475	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Pearson, Michelle	Yes
1476	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Jackson, Claire	Yes
1477	8/29/2011	No (0)	judge, maddy p	Yes
1478	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Mora, Rey	Yes
1479	8/29/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1480	8/29/2011	No	Hollenhorst, Sarah L	Yes
1481	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Harris, Brooke	Yes
1482	8/29/2011	No	Keim, Mary H	Yes
1483	8/29/2011	No	Bowles, Elizabeth J	Yes
1484	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Ashton, Linda	Yes
1485	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Burroughs, Karen	Yes
1486	8/29/2011	No	frederick, linda l	Yes
1487	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1488	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kaplan, Paulette	Yes
1489	8/29/2011	No	Leixner, Lynda S	Yes
1490	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Morris, Sharon	Yes
1491	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lianzi, Theresa L	Yes
1492	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Page, Evan	Yes
1493	8/29/2011	No	HEALY, S A	Yes

0013699

1494	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Spencer, Martha P	Yes
1495	8/29/2011	No	Welsh, Patrick	Yes
1496	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Slider, Francis D	Yes
1497	8/29/2011	No (0)	Hendershot, John T	Yes
1498	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Sullivan, Gayle	Yes
1499	8/29/2011	No	Dorn, Kathryn	Yes
1500	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Vale, Darrell&Carol	Yes
1501	8/29/2011	No	CLAYPOOL, ROBERTA A	Yes
1502	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Schwarz, Don	Yes
1503	8/29/2011	No	herr, dennis b	Yes
1504	8/29/2011	No	Cori-Jones, Elizabeth	Yes
1505	8/29/2011	No	Owens, Karen	Yes
1506	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Kloth, Brad	Yes
1507	8/29/2011	No	Lazell, James D	Yes
1508	8/29/2011	No	Sanchez, Noahdm	Yes
1509	8/29/2011	No	Zuber, Margaret R	Yes
1510	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lorch, Frank	Yes
1511	8/29/2011	No	Trecartin, Judi M	Yes
1512	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Nevins, Laura	Yes
1513	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Robbins, Sharon M	Yes
1514	8/29/2011	Yes (573760)	Wedlock, Eldon D	Yes
1515	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Roberts, Michele L	Yes
1516	8/29/2011	No	gilliland, ron p	Yes
1517	8/29/2011	No (0)	bagwell, wilson k	Yes
1518	8/29/2011	No	Koi, Sandy	Yes
1519	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Eib, Lora	Yes
1520	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Anthony, Sarah	Yes
1521	8/29/2011	No	PLUMLEY, isabella	Yes
1522	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Torres-Behrendt, Alexandra	Yes
1523	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	W., Theresa	Yes
1524	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Rasmussen, Jamie	Yes
1525	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Dailey, Christa	Yes
1526	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Collazo, Jaime M	Yes
1527	8/29/2011	No	deif, nadine	Yes
1528	8/29/2011	No (0)	kanzer, michaelain	Yes
1529	8/29/2011	No	Strousberg, Cynthia E	Yes
1530	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Richmond, Matthew J	Yes
1531	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Lovejoy, Susan T	Yes
1532	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Hedrick, Stefanie G	Yes
1533	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Vonwettberg, Eric J	Yes
1534	8/29/2011	No	Krohn, Fred	Yes
1535	8/29/2011	No (0)	Scott, Robert R	Yes
1536	8/29/2011	No	Marra, Tony	Yes
1537	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	cepeda, karla m	Yes
1538	8/29/2011	No	Gaber, Steven	Yes
1539	8/29/2011	Yes (602261)	Seaman, Daire	Yes
1540	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Jaeger, Teresa	Yes
1541	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Lee-Hood, Naomi K	Yes
1542	8/30/2011	No	Keaton, Rebecca M	Yes
1543	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Sturdevant, William L	Yes
1544	8/30/2011	No	Strack, Daniel	Yes
1545	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Palmer, R. B	Yes
1546	8/30/2011	No (0)	Nicolia, lina	Yes
1547	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Grossman, Roxanne	Yes
1548	8/30/2011	No	Percy, Sylvia H	Yes

0013700

1549	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Belcher, Edith	Yes
1550	8/30/2011	No	Reynolds, Peter	Yes
1551	8/30/2011	No	Sikes, Virginia L	Yes
1552	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1553	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Woolsey, Genevieve	Yes
1554	8/30/2011	No	Corcoran, Jean L	Yes
1555	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Demetrion, Martha G	Yes
1556	8/30/2011	No	Thornlow, Ann	Yes
1557	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Stapelfeldt, Horst	Yes
1558	8/30/2011	No	Ross, Betty C	Yes
1559	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1560	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Knight, Mark	Yes
1561	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Wei, Annie	Yes
1562	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Belknap, Jessica B	Yes
1563	8/30/2011	No	Sepp, Bryan	Yes
1564	8/30/2011	No (0)	Judelman, Jonathan L	Yes
1565	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Tower, J A	Yes
1566	8/30/2011	No	Todd, Alicia M	Yes
1567	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Leavitt, Meaghan L	Yes
1568	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Frank, Robert D	Yes
1569	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Wilk, April	Yes
1570	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Lotz, Judith	Yes
1571	8/30/2011	No	Matthaei, Marcella	Yes
1572	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Haag, Melanie v	Yes
1573	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Jennings, Sid	Yes
1574	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Johnson, Lindsay E	Yes
1575	8/30/2011	No	Russo, Meredith C	Yes
1576	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Queen, Wynne P	Yes
1577	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1578	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Good, Barbara	Yes
1579	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Wood, Margaret	Yes
1580	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hutchinson, Mark R	Yes
1581	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	horner, carol	Yes
1582	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Victor, Joseph A	Yes
1583	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Boucherromano, Monica L	Yes
1584	8/30/2011	No	Funk, Peter H	Yes
1585	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Hundemer, Sadie	Yes
1586	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Langdon, Angela G	Yes
1587	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	cielukowski, john	Yes
1588	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Russell, Katherine B	Yes
1589	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Bolton, William	Yes
1590	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	W., Carl	Yes
1591	8/30/2011	No	Scharf, Louise	Yes
1592	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Utley, Martha	Yes
1593	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Jorge, Nathalie S	Yes
1594	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Shallman, Elsy	Yes
1595	8/30/2011	No (0)	Raines, Dennis M	Yes
1596	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dawes, Lydia A	Yes
1597	8/30/2011	No	McLaughlin, anne	Yes
1598	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Rickenberg, Anita D	Yes
1599	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Rubino, Matthew	Yes
1600	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Saravanan, Bhavani	Yes
1601	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Walker, Charles A	Yes
1602	8/30/2011	No (0)	Macias, Gina E	Yes
1603	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Eike, Ronald	Yes

## 0013701

1604	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Clark, Wileen	Yes
1605	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Childress, Wendy	Yes
1606	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Hohn, Greg	Yes
1607	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Hollander, Debra	Yes
1608	8/30/2011	No	Sherwood, Staci-lee	Yes
1609	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Oldiges, Elizabeth J	Yes
1610	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1611	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Reiner, Brian	Yes
1612	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Hayden, Laurie	Yes
1613	8/30/2011	No	morelock, darrell f	Yes
1614	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Twitmyer, Jane M	Yes
1615	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Essenpreis, Lisa	Yes
1616	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Ogburn-Matthews, Virginia	Yes
1617	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Tajdari, Amy	Yes
1618	8/30/2011	No	Sarco, Hannah	Yes
1619	8/30/2011	No	Davis, Jackie E	Yes
1620	8/30/2011	No	Serkownejk, Michael A	Yes
1621	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Andrews, Harriette	Yes
1622	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Nuse, Bryan L	Yes
1623	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gilges, Margaret C	Yes
1624	8/30/2011	No (0)	ROSS, KIMBERLY	Yes
1625	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Singleton, Greg	Yes
1626	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	emery, deborah l	Yes
1627	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Mooney, Letitia P	Yes
1628	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Moore, Jeff L	Yes
1629	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Coe, Judith B	Yes
1630	8/30/2011	No	Russell, Rebecca	Yes
1631	8/30/2011	No	Harris, Tamara	Yes
1632	8/30/2011	No	Garvey, Beverly J	Yes
1633	8/30/2011	No	Starkweather, Catherine M	Yes
1634	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Taylor, Lorelei	Yes
1635	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Logan, Scott	Yes
1636	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Belanger, Michelle	Yes
1637	8/30/2011	No	Hammel, Eleanor M E Hammel	Yes
1638	8/30/2011	No	Stephens, Josh	Yes
1639	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1640	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	DelGreco, Richard	Yes
1641	8/30/2011	No	grimes, tara l	Yes
1642	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Goldman, Lisa M	Yes
1643	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Rothman, Paula L	Yes
1644	8/30/2011	No	Lyle, Robert Lyle H Lyle	Yes
1645	8/30/2011	No (0)	Blakeslee, Lani r	Yes
1646	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	McC, Micah	Yes
1647	8/30/2011	No (0)	Curlee, Deb	Yes
1648	8/30/2011	No (0)	HAMIL, CYNTHIA R	Yes
1649	8/30/2011	No (0)	Smith, Dr. Bonnie J. Smith	Yes
1650	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Johnson, Dorothy B	Yes
1651	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Clemons, Julie M	Yes
1652	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dyer, Susanne Hesse &	Yes
1653	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1654	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Ford, Becky A	Yes
1655	8/30/2011	No	Fritz, Andrea	Yes
1656	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1657	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1658	8/30/2011	No	Simpson, Jeanne	Yes

0013702

1659	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1660	8/30/2011	No	Richkus, John	Yes
1661	8/30/2011	No	Jacoby, Sharon A	Yes
1662	8/30/2011	No (573760)	Lizer, Deja	Yes
1663	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1664	8/30/2011	No	Friedman, Carolyn H	Yes
1665	8/30/2011	No	Wallace, Louise D	Yes
1666	8/30/2011	No	Whelan, Pamela M	Yes
1667	8/30/2011	Master (603687)	Kobler, Linda	Yes
1668	8/30/2011	No	Johnston, Casper	Yes
1669	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1670	8/30/2011	No	Matthews, Jonathan	Yes
1671	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Garcia, Theresa	Yes
1672	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1673	8/30/2011	No	Swafford, Leilani	Yes
1674	8/30/2011	No	Luyendyk, Laura	Yes
1675	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	VourosCallahan, Pamela	Yes
1676	8/30/2011	No	Lakshman, Wendy	Yes
1677	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Duffy, Dina	Yes
1678	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hamlin, Leslie	Yes
1679	8/30/2011	No	Leifer, Laura	Yes
1680	8/30/2011	No	cammisa, alfred g	Yes
1681	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	C, Angela	Yes
1682	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1683	8/30/2011	No	henderson, annette m	Yes
1684	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dreier, Tammi	Yes
1685	8/30/2011	No	hill, kim	Yes
1686	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dreyer, Jennifer	Yes
1687	8/30/2011	No	Kush, Edwatd A	Yes
1688	8/30/2011	No	Crowden, Michael	Yes
1689	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Batten, Jacqueline M	Yes
1690	8/30/2011	No	Stanek, Marsha	Yes
1691	8/30/2011	No	Simkins, Brandy	Yes
1692	8/30/2011	No (0)	Crusha, Connie	Yes
1693	8/30/2011	No	Greenberg, Jon M	Yes
1694	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Witlin, Tony	Yes
1695	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gurley, Gwen	Yes
1696	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ucko, Aaron M	Yes
1697	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Z, Andrea	Yes
1698	8/30/2011	No	m, Helen	Yes
1699	8/30/2011	No	Mehrotra, Jena	Yes
1700	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pomeroy, Kara	Yes
1701	8/30/2011	No	Weeden, Noreen	Yes
1702	8/30/2011	No	Randall, Kelly	Yes
1703	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1704	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1705	8/30/2011	No	kinsey, kathleen a	Yes
1706	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Valentine, Leslie J	Yes
1707	8/30/2011	No	Stankrauff, Alison H	Yes
1708	8/30/2011	No	Colosi, Joseph	Yes
1709	8/30/2011	No	Dane, William	Yes
1710	8/30/2011	No	Brant, Nancy B	Yes
1711	8/30/2011	No	J., Sandy	Yes
1712	8/30/2011	No	Burke, Joanne	Yes
1713	8/30/2011	No	Bernard, John	Yes

0013703

1714	8/30/2011	No	Rosenboim, Hanita	Yes
1715	8/30/2011	No	Campbell, Amy L	Yes
1716	8/30/2011	No	goss, terry	Yes
1717	8/30/2011	No	McCarthy, Keith R	Yes
1718	8/30/2011	No (0)	Scantling, Terri L	Yes
1719	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stoehr, Louise	Yes
1720	8/30/2011	No	Wildman, Teena	Yes
1721	8/30/2011	No	carlson, m c	Yes
1722	8/30/2011	No	Slabas, Susan L	Yes
1723	8/30/2011	No	Flatto, Janice S	Yes
1724	8/30/2011	No	Kramer, Eileen	Yes
1725	8/30/2011	No	Grunebaum, Luiza	Yes
1726	8/30/2011	No	Burkleo, Joe B	Yes
1727	8/30/2011	No	J., Sandy	Yes
1728	8/30/2011	No	COLANTUONO, FRANK D	Yes
1729	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fickling, Karl F	Yes
1730	8/30/2011	No	MOONEY, LINDA M	Yes
1731	8/30/2011	No	Tschopp, Lesa A	Yes
1732	8/30/2011	No (0)	toppe, mike	Yes
1733	8/30/2011	No	Hampton, Michelle d	Yes
1734	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	REYNOLDS, KEVIN B	Yes
1735	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lowery, Joanne	Yes
1736	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cohen, Harriet E	Yes
1737	8/30/2011	No	Rinner, Timothy	Yes
1738	8/30/2011	No	Life, Caroline	Yes
1739	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	nelms, thomas b	Yes
1740	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1741	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Crain, Jared	Yes
1742	8/30/2011	No	Salmane, Donna K	Yes
1743	8/30/2011	No	P, Ron	Yes
1744	8/30/2011	No	Coates, Patty	Yes
1745	8/30/2011	No	Nieman, Cathy N	Yes
1746	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goldberg, Joy E	Yes
1747	8/30/2011	No	Lambe, Ron N	Yes
1748	8/30/2011	No	Sloan, Peaches L	Yes
1749	8/30/2011	No	Pope, Lindsay	Yes
1750	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stephenson, Karen L	Yes
1751	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Greer, Wendy	Yes
1752	8/30/2011	No	Rathbone, Marjorie	Yes
1753	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brunton, Tessa	Yes
1754	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Knopf, Alexander	Yes
1755	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Brian	Yes
1756	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Steinberg, Arlene B	Yes
1757	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Whitacre, Julie L	Yes
1758	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gordon, Joseph	Yes
1759	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Horlings, Rachel L	Yes
1760	8/30/2011	No	Dresser, David A	Yes
1761	8/30/2011	No	Hamilton, Susan K	Yes
1762	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Antal, Miklos	Yes
1763	8/30/2011	No	Fox, Sheila M	Yes
1764	8/30/2011	No	Waterfield, Don	Yes
1765	8/30/2011	No	Flade, Donna	Yes
1766	8/30/2011	No	Masuda, Carol	Yes
1767	8/30/2011	No	Webb, Dixie	Yes
1768	8/30/2011	No (0)	crane, mark d	Yes



0013704

1769	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Erdmann, Donette	Yes
1770	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, Christopher	Yes
1771	8/30/2011	No	Faulk, Christina L	Yes
1772	8/30/2011	No	Vadasy, Nadine L	Yes
1773	8/30/2011	No	Hendon, Marie	Yes
1774	8/30/2011	No	taylor, robert I	Yes
1775	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1776	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Edwards, Jeri	Yes
1777	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Foraker, Victoria	Yes
1778	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1779	8/30/2011	No	Taerbaum, Jody L	Yes
1780	8/30/2011	No	Vann, James	Yes
1781	8/30/2011	No	Black, Michael	Yes
1782	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Estes, Suzanne	Yes
1783	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Flores, Kim	Yes
1784	8/30/2011	No (0)	Halloran, Monica L	Yes
1785	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tedesco, Greg t	Yes
1786	8/30/2011	No (0)	Murphy, Stephanie J	Yes
1787	8/30/2011	No	Nochimson, Martha P	Yes
1788	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burke, Donna	Yes
1789	8/30/2011	No	Ritchie, Darla J	Yes
1790	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blevins, James K	Yes
1791	8/30/2011	No	Michaels, Kathleen E	Yes
1792	8/30/2011	No	RUBIN, DELORES	Yes
1793	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ojala, Jami	Yes
1794	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bakanec, Marni	Yes
1795	8/30/2011	No	Sklar, Martha	Yes
1796	8/30/2011	No	Terrano, Carleen	Yes
1797	8/30/2011	No	Braddock, Debra	Yes
1798	8/30/2011	No	Flowers, Herschel	Yes
1799	8/30/2011	No	Sinclair, Jeffrey B	Yes
1800	8/30/2011	No	Goodnough, Jenny	Yes
1801	8/30/2011	No (0)	Patterson, Pamela	Yes
1802	8/30/2011	No	Moeller, Faith	Yes
1803	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Laura J	Yes
1804	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Meltzer, Rachel	Yes
1805	8/30/2011	No (0)	public, jan	Yes
1806	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1807	8/30/2011	No	Hogan, Maura	Yes
1808	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Mihaly, Robert A	Yes
1809	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ryane, Aeriol	Yes
1810	8/30/2011	No	Jimmo, michelle	Yes
1811	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jr., Henry S	Yes
1812	8/30/2011	No	donohue, colin j	Yes
1813	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	L, D	Yes
1814	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1815	8/30/2011	No	Evans, Catherine C	Yes
1816	8/30/2011	No	Schermer, Garrick	Yes
1817	8/30/2011	No	rainey, jeff p	Yes
1818	8/30/2011	No	Spillane-Mueller, Carol	Yes
1819	8/30/2011	No	Oba, Peggy S	Yes
1820	8/30/2011	No (0)	Thomas, Lisa	Yes
1821	8/30/2011	No	tippens, Rebecca A	Yes
1822	8/30/2011	No	Khosrovi, Tori D	Yes
1823	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Parker, Deborah	Yes

## 0013705

1824	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Padgett, Lenay	Yes
1825	8/30/2011	No	Woodcock, Deborah	Yes
1826	8/30/2011	No	Natwick, Clark L	Yes
1827	8/30/2011	No	Brooks, Paul	Yes
1828	8/30/2011	No	Deninno, Ireene	Yes
1829	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rowe, Gretchen	Yes
1830	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Clark, Donna	Yes
1831	8/30/2011	No	Ryder, Scot D	Yes
1832	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	O'Grady, David	Yes
1833	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	tomaselli, patty	Yes
1834	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Neafsey, Joseph A	Yes
1835	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1836	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1837	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sobel, Alla	Yes
1838	8/30/2011	No	kuizenga, Elizabeth	Yes
1839	8/30/2011	No	hammond, anne W	Yes
1840	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ashton, Debra	Yes
1841	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goodrich, Lisa	Yes
1842	8/30/2011	No	Weinstein, Ruth A	Yes
1843	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1844	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1845	8/30/2011	No	Greene, Terri B	Yes
1846	8/30/2011	No (0)	Perryman, J	Yes
1847	8/30/2011	No	McKee, Suzanne C	Yes
1848	8/30/2011	No	Simpson, Marilyn G	Yes
1849	8/30/2011	No	Bush, Jim D	Yes
1850	8/30/2011	No	Leone, Mike	Yes
1851	8/30/2011	No	Keist, Sandra	Yes
1852	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fowler, Luci	Yes
1853	8/30/2011	No	Braddock, Anita F	Yes
1854	8/30/2011	No	Baumann, Rob	Yes
1855	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1856	8/30/2011	No	Oswald, Linda L	Yes
1857	8/30/2011	No	Wolters, Douglas	Yes
1858	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gliva, Stephen A	Yes
1859	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burhop, Joy	Yes
1860	8/30/2011	No	corl, gene a	Yes
1861	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1862	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Bedard, Peter	Yes
1863	8/30/2011	No	Morris, Pamela	Yes
1864	8/30/2011	No	Conley, P	Yes
1865	8/30/2011	No	Pepitone, Michelle E	Yes
1866	8/30/2011	No	Green, Michele	Yes
1867	8/30/2011	No	Sloan, Adam	Yes
1868	8/30/2011	No	Wales, Charlotte	Yes
1869	8/30/2011	No	T, rick	Yes
1870	8/30/2011	No (0)	LESLIE, KATHY	Yes
1871	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Guichard, Oliver	Yes
1872	8/30/2011	No (0)	Parks, Angela K	Yes
1873	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Eza, Tonya L	Yes
1874	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Earl, Julia	Yes
1875	8/30/2011	No	ames, nannette I	Yes
1876	8/30/2011	No	Martinez, Irene	Yes
1877	8/30/2011	No	Mahaffey, Shana	Yes
1878	8/30/2011	No	Hampton, Lana	Yes

## 0013706

1879	8/30/2011	No	Rohlf, Gerard L	Yes
1880	8/30/2011	No (0)	Locke, Amy E	Yes
1881	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wheeler, David	Yes
1882	8/30/2011	No	blumen, anna	Yes
1883	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Leach, Paula a	Yes
1884	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Tracy	Yes
1885	8/30/2011	No	Lanni, Philip L	Yes
1886	8/30/2011	No	lahalih, lila	Yes
1887	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Szymczak, Joseph M	Yes
1888	8/30/2011	No (0)	spakowski, jeff	Yes
1889	8/30/2011	No	Maxey, Ashley	Yes
1890	8/30/2011	No	Niven, Lisa D	Yes
1891	8/30/2011	No (0)	Corliss, Mary J	Yes
1892	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cook, Nicola	Yes
1893	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bottner, Sheryl	Yes
1894	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zepernick, Anne	Yes
1895	8/30/2011	No	Channing, Lee	Yes
1896	8/30/2011	No	Maruzo, Hope	Yes
1897	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1898	8/30/2011	No (0)	Marshall, Linda	Yes
1899	8/30/2011	No (0)	Parmentier, Antoine	Yes
1900	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Macarilla, Liz	Yes
1901	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Taenzer, David	Yes
1902	8/30/2011	No (0)	III, Robert Winters Rhodes, III	Yes
1903	8/30/2011	No	HOWARD, GINA ANN MARIE	Yes
1904	8/30/2011	Yes (573760)	Brand, Lorin E	Yes
1905	8/30/2011	No	wechter, michael	Yes
1906	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Moldoveanu, Carol	Yes
1907	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Feran, Michael	Yes
1908	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Holmes, Michelle	Yes
1909	8/30/2011	No	Hillman, Gwen	Yes
1910	8/30/2011	No	Taliercio, AnnMarie	Yes
1911	8/30/2011	No	Jankowski, Rob	Yes
1912	8/30/2011	No	Bedell, Rachel D	Yes
1913	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	gillono, mark	Yes
1914	8/30/2011	No	Flores, Bianca A	Yes
1915	8/30/2011	No	Avery, Sara J	Yes
1916	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Washenko, Mchael	Yes
1917	8/30/2011	No	Danehy-deHart, Lyssa M	Yes
1918	8/30/2011	No (0)	Obe, Diana	Yes
1919	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Benson, Cara	Yes
1920	8/30/2011	No	East, Kathy	Yes
1921	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Busby, Chris L	Yes
1922	8/30/2011	No	Carlton, Patricia A	Yes
1923	8/30/2011	Potential (603687)	LINDQUIST, JUDE	Yes
1924	8/30/2011	No	Ferguson, Virginia W	Yes
1925	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Mathew	Yes
1926	8/30/2011	No	Kahlon, yossi	Yes
1927	8/30/2011	No	Arnold, Cynthia M	Yes
1928	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gershten, Mitchell	Yes
1929	8/30/2011	No (0)	Linehan, Victoria	Yes
1930	8/30/2011	No	Spencer, Dawn J	Yes
1931	8/30/2011	No	Crane, Gina M	Yes
1932	8/30/2011	No (0)	Siek, A. R	Yes

0013707

1933	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sargent, Andrea	Yes
1934	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kriebel, Sally S	Yes
1935	8/30/2011	No	Kay, Lorraine Of The Family	Yes
1936	8/30/2011	No	Gorrin, Eugene	Yes
1937	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Callaghan, Kathleen H	Yes
1938	8/30/2011	No	lytsell, s	Yes
1939	8/30/2011	No	Reidhaar, Paula	Yes
1940	8/30/2011	No (0)	Daudier, C	Yes
1941	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Monforti, Nicole H	Yes
1942	8/30/2011	No	Snider, Dorothy	Yes
1943	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Vaughn, Leon G	Yes
1944	8/30/2011	No (0)	Robb-Cohen, Kathy R	Yes
1945	8/30/2011	No	Kaas, Jason	Yes
1946	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	George, Victoria L	Yes
1947	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Keiser, Robert B	Yes
1948	8/30/2011	No	Kwakenat, Paula J	Yes
1949	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
1950	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rappoport, Mindi	Yes
1951	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lamke, Christopher R	Yes
1952	8/30/2011	No (0)	Mills, Ed D	Yes
1953	8/30/2011	No (0)	crosmun, d	Yes
1954	8/30/2011	No	Nickels, Jeanette	Yes
1955	8/30/2011	No	Bounous, Denise	Yes
1956	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	ellsworth, marcie	Yes
1957	8/30/2011	No	Voelcker, Elsa	Yes
1958	8/30/2011	No	Weinstein, Naomi E	Yes
1959	8/30/2011	No	Maris, Christina	Yes
1960	8/30/2011	No	Carlson, Elan	Yes
1961	8/30/2011	No	McCullough, Jennifer	Yes
1962	8/30/2011	No (0)	Alward, SUE M	Yes
1963	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wichman, Michael	Yes
1964	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fisher, Laura E	Yes
1965	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Corinne	Yes
1966	8/30/2011	No	Weinberger, Mark	Yes
1967	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1968	8/30/2011	No	McJunkin, Diane M	Yes
1969	8/30/2011	No	C, BARBARA	Yes
1970	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lackey, Jennifer L	Yes
1971	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Spanski, Linda	Yes
1972	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cain, David	Yes
1973	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hart, Christina	Yes
1974	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Worley, Steven	Yes
1975	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	A, David	Yes
1976	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Stewmon, Lori	Yes
1977	8/30/2011	No	Delaney, Amy L	Yes
1978	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hughes, Mary S	Yes
1979	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Efimova, Valeriya	Yes
1980	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Watts, Carol	Yes
1981	8/30/2011	No	marolda, wendy w	Yes
1982	8/30/2011	No	Searing, Jodi	Yes
1983	8/30/2011	No	Nadler, Adam	Yes
1984	8/30/2011	No (0)	randall, william	Yes
1985	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stewart, Sharon	Yes
1986	8/30/2011	No	Balfour, Joan	Yes
1987	8/30/2011	No	Lubov, Ricki	Yes

0013708

1988	8/30/2011	No (0)	Johnson, Jennifer D	Yes
1989	8/30/2011	No	Seamon, John	Yes
1990	8/30/2011	No	Hill, Amyi	Yes
1991	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1992	8/30/2011	No (0)	hitt, dan	Yes
1993	8/30/2011	No	och, evelyn m	Yes
1994	8/30/2011	No	Umansky, Anna	Yes
1995	8/30/2011	No	Filer, Michele	Yes
1996	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lyman, Eve C	Yes
1997	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
1998	8/30/2011	No	Wagner-Westbrook, Bonnie J	Yes
1999	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fortin, Jennifer	Yes
2000	8/30/2011	No	SMITH, REBECCA	Yes
2001	8/30/2011	No	Wiley, Sandra C	Yes
2002	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	deferie, steph	Yes
2003	8/30/2011	No	Naples, Jean M	Yes
2004	8/30/2011	No	Coogan, Patty	Yes
2005	8/30/2011	No	Klumpp, Deb	Yes
2006	8/30/2011	No (0)	Grill, Brock	Yes
2007	8/30/2011	No	Landry, Lori	Yes
2008	8/30/2011	No (0)	Buczowska, Cynthia M	Yes
2009	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	I., Eli	Yes
2010	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jarnagin, Willa	Yes
2011	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ridder, Lynette	Yes
2012	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2013	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Idol, Kim	Yes
2014	8/30/2011	No	Jessen, Joshua J	Yes
2015	8/30/2011	No	bronwing, s	Yes
2016	8/30/2011	No (0)	MacLeod, James N	Yes
2017	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Plese, Margaret	Yes
2018	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frymoyer, Allison A	Yes
2019	8/30/2011	No	Dickinson, Robert L	Yes
2020	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kubota, Ria T	Yes
2021	8/30/2011	No	Doerr, Helena	Yes
2022	8/30/2011	No	Hull, Ronald W	Yes
2023	8/30/2011	No	Harvey, Barbara	Yes
2024	8/30/2011	No	McCullough, Carolyn	Yes
2025	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ellingwood, Erin	Yes
2026	8/30/2011	No	Wright, Laurie C	Yes
2027	8/30/2011	No	Reznick, Sari	Yes
2028	8/30/2011	No	King, Amie E	Yes
2029	8/30/2011	No	Anthony, Chris	Yes
2030	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2031	8/30/2011	No	Barbella, Melissa	Yes
2032	8/30/2011	No	Davick, Liv K	Yes
2033	8/30/2011	No (0)	Leggett, Robert	Yes
2034	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2035	8/30/2011	No	Kleinhenz, Andrea	Yes
2036	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Edwards, J.	Yes
2037	8/30/2011	No	Kupelov, Vladimir	Yes
2038	8/30/2011	No	Neel, Debra A	Yes
2039	8/30/2011	No	Braden, Karen	Yes
2040	8/30/2011	No (0)	Murphy, Patricia M	Yes
2041	8/30/2011	No	Bahmanyar, Mir	Yes
2042	8/30/2011	No	Klokkevold, Leif	Yes

0013709

2043	8/30/2011	No	scheer, judith	Yes
2044	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Suda, Mary E	Yes
2045	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	singer, eric	Yes
2046	8/30/2011	No	hines, jennifer	Yes
2047	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hardy, Gayle	Yes
2048	8/30/2011	No	Wilcox, Mary E	Yes
2049	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Messinger, Sharon	Yes
2050	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Leslie S	Yes
2051	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hertzel, David E	Yes
2052	8/30/2011	No	Jenkins, Daryl B	Yes
2053	8/30/2011	No	Lazzaro, Bette	Yes
2054	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2055	8/30/2011	No	Webb, Gina	Yes
2056	8/30/2011	No	Stuttler, Christian	Yes
2057	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pritchard, Jennifer	Yes
2058	8/30/2011	No	Arboleda, Lillian	Yes
2059	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Drohan, Shannon	Yes
2060	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kennedy, Rachael H	Yes
2061	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Held, Heather E	Yes
2062	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2063	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2064	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Matrix, James	Yes
2065	8/30/2011	No	Chambers, Jack E	Yes
2066	8/30/2011	No (0)	Mueller, Sharon	Yes
2067	8/30/2011	No	Edwards, Robert	Yes
2068	8/30/2011	No	Grant, Patricia A	Yes
2069	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	pruitt, ernest	Yes
2070	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2071	8/30/2011	No	Dries, Rebecca L	Yes
2072	8/30/2011	No	Armstrong, Leslie	Yes
2073	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Person, Wayne	Yes
2074	8/30/2011	No (0)	PALM, R	Yes
2075	8/30/2011	No	Amann, Marianne	Yes
2076	8/30/2011	No	Ochs, Jan	Yes
2077	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fahrenwald, Gill	Yes
2078	8/30/2011	No (0)	Leija, Lisa	Yes
2079	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Keith R	Yes
2080	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hindy, Joanne	Yes
2081	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Puza, Adrienne M	Yes
2082	8/30/2011	No	bergeron, b	Yes
2083	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Posin, Kimberly	Yes
2084	8/30/2011	No	Simpkins, Heather	Yes
2085	8/30/2011	No	sullivan, theresa	Yes
2086	8/30/2011	No	Lundvall, Karen	Yes
2087	8/30/2011	No	chapman, carolynn l	Yes
2088	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wise, Carol	Yes
2089	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gatlin, Julie	Yes
2090	8/30/2011	No (0)	Benton, Vivienne	Yes
2091	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2092	8/30/2011	No (0)	Trench, Karen M	Yes
2093	8/30/2011	No	roberts, jeannie	Yes
2094	8/30/2011	No	Nickels, Ernie	Yes
2095	8/30/2011	No	Kegler, Lori	Yes
2096	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gross, Steve J	Yes
2097	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013710

2098	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wendler, Jeff S	Yes
2099	8/30/2011	No	Leal, Alyssa	Yes
2100	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Steven	Yes
2101	8/30/2011	No	wechter, rita s	Yes
2102	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lux-Thompson, Laura	Yes
2103	8/30/2011	No	Casner, Sandra E	Yes
2104	8/30/2011	No	SILA, TERESA M	Yes
2105	8/30/2011	No	Morgan, Jan C	Yes
2106	8/30/2011	No	Burke, Colleen	Yes
2107	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	hoang, xuandai	Yes
2108	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2109	8/30/2011	No	Sperling, Linda	Yes
2110	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Leese, Jason	Yes
2111	8/30/2011	No	Archuleta, Jeff	Yes
2112	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dvorsky, Sandy	Yes
2113	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Crowden, James	Yes
2114	8/30/2011	No	Henk, K.	Yes
2115	8/30/2011	No	Hattie, Mallory	Yes
2116	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2117	8/30/2011	No	Bates, Andrea E	Yes
2118	8/30/2011	No	Ilewicz, Cynthia	Yes
2119	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Champney, Joelle	Yes
2120	8/30/2011	No	Stauffacher, Colleen M	Yes
2121	8/30/2011	No	Altier, Tracey M	Yes
2122	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	peirce, susan	Yes
2123	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DeBellis, Pamela	Yes
2124	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bescript, Cherie	Yes
2125	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ellingson, Lei	Yes
2126	8/30/2011	No (0)	Heyn, Pia C	Yes
2127	8/30/2011	No	Adare, Darley	Yes
2128	8/30/2011	No	Hollingsworth, Presly D	Yes
2129	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Katy	Yes
2130	8/30/2011	No	Gunsel, Barbara	Yes
2131	8/30/2011	No	Kang, Cathy	Yes
2132	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hosfeld, Marsha	Yes
2133	8/30/2011	No	McClure, Andrew	Yes
2134	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Martinez, Michele	Yes
2135	8/30/2011	No	Witt, Richelle	Yes
2136	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Marean, Abigail	Yes
2137	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	rankin, amie	Yes
2138	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2139	8/30/2011	No	Ellingham, C. L	Yes
2140	8/30/2011	No	alvin, ray	Yes
2141	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2142	8/30/2011	No	Moffat, Micheal	Yes
2143	8/30/2011	No	Sullivan, Anita T	Yes
2144	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kyle, Edgar And Barbara Kyle	Yes
2145	8/30/2011	No	rossi, diego	Yes
2146	8/30/2011	No	Pawlowski, Nicolette S	Yes
2147	8/30/2011	No	Stephan, stephanie	Yes
2148	8/30/2011	No (0)	cassels, alan g	Yes
2149	8/30/2011	No	Olsen, Joanne	Yes
2150	8/30/2011	No (0)	McGowan, Richard	Yes
2151	8/30/2011	No	Winters, Kim	Yes
2152	8/30/2011	No	Schmidt, Ramona L	Yes

## 0013711

2153	8/30/2011	No (0)	Harnedy, Kacy	Yes
2154	8/30/2011	No	MacRae, Diann n	Yes
2155	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2156	8/30/2011	No	Daugherty, Cindy	Yes
2157	8/30/2011	No	Kraus, Kimberly	Yes
2158	8/30/2011	No	Garrett, Craig	Yes
2159	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Warford, Robin	Yes
2160	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mowat, Lesley	Yes
2161	8/30/2011	No	Stegman, Margery	Yes
2162	8/30/2011	No (0)	Nahill, Brad	Yes
2163	8/30/2011	No (0)	VERRE, J. C VAN VERRE	Yes
2164	8/30/2011	No	Leon, Alma L	Yes
2165	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kramer-Rolls, Dana D	Yes
2166	8/30/2011	No	Daniels, Betsy	Yes
2167	8/30/2011	No	Vayo, Scott	Yes
2168	8/30/2011	No	Kegler, John	Yes
2169	8/30/2011	No	McLai, Robin	Yes
2170	8/30/2011	No	Rucinski, Karen K	Yes
2171	8/30/2011	No	Balkins, James M	Yes
2172	8/30/2011	No	ONeal, Terry	Yes
2173	8/30/2011	No	schmitt, n	Yes
2174	8/30/2011	No	Chase, Jayne E	Yes
2175	8/30/2011	No	Armao, Nancy	Yes
2176	8/30/2011	No (0)	hall, shawn I	Yes
2177	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hansen, Cynthia M	Yes
2178	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Murakami, Maki	Yes
2179	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	barnes, peter	Yes
2180	8/30/2011	No	Morgan, Kathy D	Yes
2181	8/30/2011	No	wilbur, jeffrey m	Yes
2182	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Neely II, Ronald C	Yes
2183	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pendarvis, Richard O	Yes
2184	8/30/2011	No	C, Pamela	Yes
2185	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Carrington, Martha	Yes
2186	8/30/2011	No	Previte, Paul	Yes
2187	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Weinzimmer, Rachel	Yes
2188	8/30/2011	No	Giffuni, Cathe	Yes
2189	8/30/2011	No	duflon, jeff c	Yes
2190	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sisson, Sherry	Yes
2191	8/30/2011	No	Burnham, Angie	Yes
2192	8/30/2011	No	Hollman, Fredde R	Yes
2193	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gleaton, Harriet	Yes
2194	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Joldersma, Ken	Yes
2195	8/30/2011	No	Marchioli, Marc E	Yes
2196	8/30/2011	No	Langdon, Jason	Yes
2197	8/30/2011	No	Maniaci, Sophia	Yes
2198	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DAMERON, SUSAN DAMERON	Yes
2199	8/30/2011	No	Woitkoski, Andrew	Yes
2200	8/30/2011	No	Kegler, Susannah	Yes
2201	8/30/2011	No	hazynski, chris	Yes
2202	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Leslie A	Yes
2203	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cunningham, Jill M	Yes
2204	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2205	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2206	8/30/2011	No	Adaba, Nellie K	Yes
2207	8/30/2011	No	key, kate	Yes



0013712

2208	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2209	8/30/2011	No	Conroy, Jim	Yes
2210	8/30/2011	No (0)	Nudelman, Betty	Yes
2211	8/30/2011	No	Chapple, Michele	Yes
2212	8/30/2011	No	Langlais, Maria	Yes
2213	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Binder, Peggy M	Yes
2214	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Budow, Amy	Yes
2215	8/30/2011	No	slonina, john t	Yes
2216	8/30/2011	No	Freeman, Frances	Yes
2217	8/30/2011	No	POTENTE, David	Yes
2218	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hayslip, McGregor W	Yes
2219	8/30/2011	No	Powers, Susan C	Yes
2220	8/30/2011	No	Stagg, Erica A	Yes
2221	8/30/2011	No	Watson, Angela	Yes
2222	8/30/2011	No	Romano, Raymond	Yes
2223	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	George, Marivn I	Yes
2224	8/30/2011	No (0)	Cobb, Priscilla	Yes
2225	8/30/2011	No	Rekdal, Sheila	Yes
2226	8/30/2011	No	Stein, Sarah	Yes
2227	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2228	8/30/2011	No	Kegler, Robin	Yes
2229	8/30/2011	No	Musulini, Toni M	Yes
2230	8/30/2011	No (0)	larkin, jennifer	Yes
2231	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blankenburg, Wendy	Yes
2232	8/30/2011	No (0)	Heineken, Amy J	Yes
2233	8/30/2011	No	Wicht, Dan	Yes
2234	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ley, Rev. Christie Bliss Ley	Yes
2235	8/30/2011	No	Petering, Louise	Yes
2236	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harlow, Mendy A	Yes
2237	8/30/2011	No	Bottcher, Dian	Yes
2238	8/30/2011	No	FORD, REBECCA	Yes
2239	8/30/2011	No	Siecko, George	Yes
2240	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Roane, Christine	Yes
2241	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2242	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Claycomb, Matthew	Yes
2243	8/30/2011	No	Ockerman, Leslie C	Yes
2244	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2245	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	finamore, scott j	Yes
2246	8/30/2011	No	Jenzen, Stephani	Yes
2247	8/30/2011	No	rubin, david	Yes
2248	8/30/2011	No	Kukkonen, Holly A	Yes
2249	8/30/2011	No	Audet, Jocelyn p	Yes
2250	8/30/2011	No	Powers, Gypsy	Yes
2251	8/30/2011	No	glover, lance	Yes
2252	8/30/2011	Yes (573760)	Saunders, Anne	Yes
2253	8/30/2011	No	Collas, Judith	Yes
2254	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2255	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kincaid, Ted	Yes
2256	8/30/2011	No	Carroll, Jane C	Yes
2257	8/30/2011	No	Morris, Teresa	Yes
2258	8/30/2011	No	Plummer, Amy C	Yes
2259	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McGinty, Alison E	Yes
2260	8/30/2011	No	Weiss, Margaret T	Yes
2261	8/30/2011	No	Mary, Susan	Yes
2262	8/30/2011	No	Puscheck, Susan G	Yes

## 0013713

2263	8/30/2011	No	Keel, Kirk	Yes
2264	8/30/2011	No	Baggs, Bo	Yes
2265	8/30/2011	No	Teresko, Janet	Yes
2266	8/30/2011	No	Mahrt, Jack H	Yes
2267	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Eileen	Yes
2268	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	silver, ron	Yes
2269	8/30/2011	No	Livingston, Deborah L	Yes
2270	8/30/2011	No	GILLESPIE, LINDA A	Yes
2271	8/30/2011	No	Lasken, Joanna Frances Welch	Yes
2272	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Beeson, Terri	Yes
2273	8/30/2011	No	James, Ulysses S	Yes
2274	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fabian, Joan	Yes
2275	8/30/2011	No	Hopson, Maury	Yes
2276	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pettengill, Mary	Yes
2277	8/30/2011	No	Singer, Scottie	Yes
2278	8/30/2011	No	bronkema, don e	Yes
2279	8/30/2011	No	Campbell, Greg S	Yes
2280	8/30/2011	No	fairchild, tracy	Yes
2281	8/30/2011	No	Diaz, Erick D	Yes
2282	8/30/2011	No	Joly, Betina S	Yes
2283	8/30/2011	No	Kegler, Tyler	Yes
2284	8/30/2011	No (0)	Warner, Michael	Yes
2285	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	BOSSERMAN, IAN	Yes
2286	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Savoy, Richard E	Yes
2287	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Leeson, Michael J	Yes
2288	8/30/2011	No	curth, steffie c	Yes
2289	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harm, Adriane	Yes
2290	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2291	8/30/2011	No	Mayeux, Jerry L	Yes
2292	8/30/2011	No	Walp, Kristen M	Yes
2293	8/30/2011	No	Hendrix, Harville	Yes
2294	8/30/2011	No	Gath, Caitlin	Yes
2295	8/30/2011	No	E., Maria	Yes
2296	8/30/2011	No (0)	Fardig, Paul and Judith Fardig	Yes
2297	8/30/2011	No	Shortland, Rose	Yes
2298	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Griswold, Chan W	Yes
2299	8/30/2011	No	Henderson, David K	Yes
2300	8/30/2011	No	Coggin, Sharon D	Yes
2301	8/30/2011	No	Cantelon, Tammy	Yes
2302	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2303	8/30/2011	No	Best, Irene M	Yes
2304	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Simeon, Sandy	Yes
2305	8/30/2011	No (0)	Teplin, Lynne	Yes
2306	8/30/2011	No	Simpson, Linda	Yes
2307	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	hodges, jennifer	Yes
2308	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2309	8/30/2011	No	Swank, Carrie	Yes
2310	8/30/2011	No	Hickling, Charles W	Yes
2311	8/30/2011	No	Juknialis, Barbara	Yes
2312	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2313	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Henry, Richard	Yes
2314	8/30/2011	No (0)	Engle, Constance	Yes
2315	8/30/2011	No	Keane, Thomas	Yes
2316	8/30/2011	No	Landis, Linda	Yes
2317	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cranford, J. C	Yes

## 0013714

2318	8/30/2011	No	Noyes, Katherine	Yes
2319	8/30/2011	No	sdfg, sdfg s	Yes
2320	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Peters, Kathleen A	Yes
2321	8/30/2011	No	komisar, m	Yes
2322	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Wolf-Webber, Leanne J	Yes
2323	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McMurtrey, James M	Yes
2324	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	bros, sam s	Yes
2325	8/30/2011	No	trapp, jon	Yes
2326	8/30/2011	No	Marshall, Harrison	Yes
2327	8/30/2011	No	Hegan, Allison	Yes
2328	8/30/2011	No	Masek, Nathan P	Yes
2329	8/30/2011	No	Emerson, Dakin	Yes
2330	8/30/2011	No	Dickey, Alexander S	Yes
2331	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wolfe, Cliare	Yes
2332	8/30/2011	No	Smiley, Russell F	Yes
2333	8/30/2011	No	guevara, pedro	Yes
2334	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hampson, James	Yes
2335	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2336	8/30/2011	No	ross, beth a	Yes
2337	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harder, Kate	Yes
2338	8/30/2011	No	cantu, lorraine	Yes
2339	8/30/2011	No	Neave, Jane	Yes
2340	8/30/2011	No	Bade, Sharon M	Yes
2341	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wiener, Wendy	Yes
2342	8/30/2011	No	Sindt, Nick	Yes
2343	8/30/2011	No	Whittaker, Katie	Yes
2344	8/30/2011	No	Crocker, Kyle R	Yes
2345	8/30/2011	No (0)	Miesle, Terrence J	Yes
2346	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Donald H	Yes
2347	8/30/2011	No	Gallo, Donna	Yes
2348	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bartl, Alan	Yes
2349	8/30/2011	No	Stigliano, MJ	Yes
2350	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nguyen, Khanh	Yes
2351	8/30/2011	No	swan, carolyn c	Yes
2352	8/30/2011	No	Key, Christopher	Yes
2353	8/30/2011	No	Ferguson, Linda	Yes
2354	8/30/2011	No	Drake, Adele R	Yes
2355	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Britton, Belinda S	Yes
2356	8/30/2011	No (0)	GHorbani, Salomeh	Yes
2357	8/30/2011	No	Lemer, Jonathan	Yes
2358	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Snyder, Robert	Yes
2359	8/30/2011	No	Klein, Laura	Yes
2360	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Furgiuele, Sam	Yes
2361	8/30/2011	No	King, MaryLee	Yes
2362	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2363	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2364	8/30/2011	No	Esq., Gloria Elin Hatrick, Esq.	Yes
2365	8/30/2011	No	McKimmie, Tim I	Yes
2366	8/30/2011	No	Hellige, Chelsie D	Yes
2367	8/30/2011	No	Shelton, Herb L	Yes
2368	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stafford, Grace A	Yes
2369	8/30/2011	No	Gage, Anissa N	Yes
2370	8/30/2011	No	Sanchez, Jesus	Yes
2371	8/30/2011	No	Stevens, Margaret	Yes
2372	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Carol L	Yes

## 0013715

2373	8/30/2011	No	Jacobsen, Kathleen	Yes
2374	8/30/2011	No (0)	Siebert, Sara	Yes
2375	8/30/2011	No	Esposito, Dan J	Yes
2376	8/30/2011	No	shevis, aron	Yes
2377	8/30/2011	No	Platt, Gavin	Yes
2378	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Walsh, Kathleen	Yes
2379	8/30/2011	No	MRKVICKA, EDWARD G	Yes
2380	8/30/2011	No	Burianek, Linda	Yes
2381	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Angell, J	Yes
2382	8/30/2011	No	ocskai, barbara	Yes
2383	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pinto, Rachel	Yes
2384	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rivera, Scarlet	Yes
2385	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pellicani, Andrea	Yes
2386	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	OFM, Rev. Dr. Carmen Scuderi	Yes
2387	8/30/2011	No	Breeden, Ann L	Yes
2388	8/30/2011	No	thomson, betsy	Yes
2389	8/30/2011	No	Duran, Nicole	Yes
2390	8/30/2011	Yes (573760)	Smith, Randi	Yes
2391	8/30/2011	No	Conwell, Kemper R	Yes
2392	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Martinez, Magnolia	Yes
2393	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dessy, Adrienne	Yes
2394	8/30/2011	No	Evans, Carol J	Yes
2395	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Stefanie	Yes
2396	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Press, Clifford	Yes
2397	8/30/2011	No	Heaton, Sam	Yes
2398	8/30/2011	No	beraha, lori a	Yes
2399	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dow, Katya	Yes
2400	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Haberer, Nina J	Yes
2401	8/30/2011	No	Dulgeroff, Mary E	Yes
2402	8/30/2011	No	sandroff, mary ann ann lajoie	Yes
2403	8/30/2011	No	Zipp, James	Yes
2404	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Spiller, Elisheba	Yes
2405	8/30/2011	No	Staby, Dorothy	Yes
2406	8/30/2011	No	Walbon, Annette	Yes
2407	8/30/2011	No	Kramer, Laura	Yes
2408	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2409	8/30/2011	No	Cole, Rachael	Yes
2410	8/30/2011	No	Hung, Tina	Yes
2411	8/30/2011	No	Borbonus, Deborah A	Yes
2412	8/30/2011	No	Buchhold, Lisa E	Yes
2413	8/30/2011	No	Borlik, Stephen J	Yes
2414	8/30/2011	No	SCOTT, MURETTA K	Yes
2415	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Albert, Anthony D	Yes
2416	8/30/2011	No	Somma, Rachelle N	Yes
2417	8/30/2011	No	Good, Sherrie	Yes
2418	8/30/2011	No (0)	Carreira, Matthew B	Yes
2419	8/30/2011	No	Scribner, Mary E	Yes
2420	8/30/2011	No	Hollingsworth, Kipp	Yes
2421	8/30/2011	No	Belgiorno, Laura	Yes
2422	8/30/2011	No	Ditterich, Jochen	Yes
2423	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mazziotti, Janet S	Yes
2424	8/30/2011	No	pauley, thomas k	Yes
2425	8/30/2011	No	LaRue, Shirley A	Yes
2426	8/30/2011	No	Daniels, A M	Yes
2427	8/30/2011	No	Vann, Nicole E	Yes

## 0013716

2428	8/30/2011	No	Akhdary, Vivienne	Yes
2429	8/30/2011	No	Nuzum, Paul	Yes
2430	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lakner, Joseph W	Yes
2431	8/30/2011	No	Dent, Kathy J	Yes
2432	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Arriaga, Cristina	Yes
2433	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Tara	Yes
2434	8/30/2011	No	Baxivanos, Susan	Yes
2435	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McMillion, Laura A	Yes
2436	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Napps, Shirley	Yes
2437	8/30/2011	No	Olvera, Silvia A	Yes
2438	8/30/2011	No	Muong, Chanda C	Yes
2439	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Berg, Sommer L	Yes
2440	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Church, Glenn	Yes
2441	8/30/2011	No	Lee, Dottie	Yes
2442	8/30/2011	No	Stock, Lester C	Yes
2443	8/30/2011	No	Nabulsi, Laila	Yes
2444	8/30/2011	No	Bade, Jackie	Yes
2445	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kahny, Rachael	Yes
2446	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fredman, Stephen A	Yes
2447	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ph.D., Guy V	Yes
2448	8/30/2011	No	Nuesch, Raymond	Yes
2449	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2450	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2451	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Robin D	Yes
2452	8/30/2011	No	Costello, Carol	Yes
2453	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Scoville, P	Yes
2454	8/30/2011	No	Donaldson, Terri	Yes
2455	8/30/2011	No	Bernard, Kim	Yes
2456	8/30/2011	No	Nelson, Kay&Eric L	Yes
2457	8/30/2011	No	Ortega, Lesliann	Yes
2458	8/30/2011	No	Delgado, Dru A	Yes
2459	8/30/2011	No	Vincent, Susan H	Yes
2460	8/30/2011	No	Bornkessel, Scott	Yes
2461	8/30/2011	No	Santi, Ron and Marya Santi	Yes
2462	8/30/2011	No	Babbitt, Susan M	Yes
2463	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rajkovic, Kelly	Yes
2464	8/30/2011	No	vaj, marcy	Yes
2465	8/30/2011	No	Pritchard, Thomas R	Yes
2466	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hauser, Todd	Yes
2467	8/30/2011	No	Timberlake, Shannon	Yes
2468	8/30/2011	No	Kaplan, Eliot	Yes
2469	8/30/2011	No	Phillippi, Ann	Yes
2470	8/30/2011	No	Green, Lydian	Yes
2471	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	poulsen, soni a	Yes
2472	8/30/2011	No	Adler, Linda M	Yes
2473	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Crocco, Frances J	Yes
2474	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DiGusta, Linda	Yes
2475	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Spiegler, Linda	Yes
2476	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yao, Steven G	Yes
2477	8/30/2011	No	Arntuffus, David J	Yes
2478	8/30/2011	No	Denneen, Bill	Yes
2479	8/30/2011	No	Sauvageau, Sue A	Yes
2480	8/30/2011	No	Harbutt, Alberta E	Yes
2481	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2482	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013717

2483	8/30/2011	No	Korshak, Yvonne	Yes
2484	8/30/2011	No	Odenheim, Ken	Yes
2485	8/30/2011	No	Irby, Tanya L	Yes
2486	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Smaron, Tra&eacute;	Yes
2487	8/30/2011	No	Lieske, Lisa M	Yes
2488	8/30/2011	No	Barrett, Martha M	Yes
2489	8/30/2011	No	Lehman, Cynthia J	Yes
2490	8/30/2011	No	Thompson, Jesse	Yes
2491	8/30/2011	No	Erk, Pamela	Yes
2492	8/30/2011	No	Wild, Laurin	Yes
2493	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	III, James Russell Hill, III	Yes
2494	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mann, Bob	Yes
2495	8/30/2011	No	korths, susan	Yes
2496	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cole, Sandra L	Yes
2497	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sharfman, William L	Yes
2498	8/30/2011	No	Sinsabaugh, Richard E	Yes
2499	8/30/2011	No	papakonstandinou, eleni	Yes
2500	8/30/2011	No	McDowell, Karen A	Yes
2501	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harris, Debra and Robert	Yes
2502	8/30/2011	No	Cole, Marcelle	Yes
2503	8/30/2011	No	Conner, Jon	Yes
2504	8/30/2011	No	LaPoint, Kathleen	Yes
2505	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Murphy, Brian	Yes
2506	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2507	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morris, Wendy E	Yes
2508	8/30/2011	No	Salgado, Maria A	Yes
2509	8/30/2011	No	Wall, Kathy	Yes
2510	8/30/2011	No	Goodfellow, Sharie	Yes
2511	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2512	8/30/2011	No	Hupp, Gabriele	Yes
2513	8/30/2011	No	Freedman, Leslie A	Yes
2514	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2515	8/30/2011	No	Margrave, Mary	Yes
2516	8/30/2011	No	holbrook, patricia	Yes
2517	8/30/2011	No	Weldon, Amy	Yes
2518	8/30/2011	No (0)	Farell, Bart	Yes
2519	8/30/2011	No	Crowe-Gallup, Susan	Yes
2520	8/30/2011	No	Simonsen, Martha S	Yes
2521	8/30/2011	No	Renner, Clarissa	Yes
2522	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bax, Lauren M	Yes
2523	8/30/2011	No	OConnor, Cornelius	Yes
2524	8/30/2011	No	Herman, Matt A	Yes
2525	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sneiderwine, William	Yes
2526	8/30/2011	No	Lebell, Michael E	Yes
2527	8/30/2011	No	Peipert, Jacqueline k	Yes
2528	8/30/2011	No	Vella, Kent A	Yes
2529	8/30/2011	No	McGuire, Jason	Yes
2530	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fishel, Parker	Yes
2531	8/30/2011	No	Craven, Debbi L	Yes
2532	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rugg, Andrea E	Yes
2533	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2534	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Downs, Sharon G	Yes
2535	8/30/2011	No	Forster, Michael H	Yes
2536	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zijlstra, Michael	Yes
2537	8/30/2011	No	Perinchief, Jana	Yes

0013718

2538	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schmidt, Linda E	Yes
2539	8/30/2011	No	Enders, Andrea N	Yes
2540	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2541	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Castle, Allison J	Yes
2542	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ratra, Bharat	Yes
2543	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gwynn, Jamie	Yes
2544	8/30/2011	No	Gnader, Brenda	Yes
2545	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jacoby, Phyllis	Yes
2546	8/30/2011	No	Ladas, Alice K	Yes
2547	8/30/2011	No	Tansing, Tyler W	Yes
2548	8/30/2011	No	Ryan, Beth	Yes
2549	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Klempin, Serena	Yes
2550	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2551	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Boik, John	Yes
2552	8/30/2011	No	Reindollar, Elizabeth	Yes
2553	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	carne, carol	Yes
2554	8/30/2011	No	Phillips, Georgia E	Yes
2555	8/30/2011	No	Louise, Thelma	Yes
2556	8/30/2011	No	Higgins, Laurie	Yes
2557	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Graver, Charles E	Yes
2558	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ireland, Andrew	Yes
2559	8/30/2011	No	Salazar, Alicia	Yes
2560	8/30/2011	No	Hilton-Nickel, Darlene	Yes
2561	8/30/2011	No	Renn, Melissa	Yes
2562	8/30/2011	No	Garcia, Melissa	Yes
2563	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gargiulo, Kimberly	Yes
2564	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Buck, Peter	Yes
2565	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2566	8/30/2011	No	Magovern, Dora P	Yes
2567	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Woodfill, Nancy E	Yes
2568	8/30/2011	No	Allison, Tim d	Yes
2569	8/30/2011	No	Brill, Michael D	Yes
2570	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2571	8/30/2011	No	Brooks, Laura	Yes
2572	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Viverito, David	Yes
2573	8/30/2011	No	Trumbo, Shelley	Yes
2574	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2575	8/30/2011	No	Berlin, Fran	Yes
2576	8/30/2011	No	Watson, John S	Yes
2577	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Novak, Jessica	Yes
2578	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Waiss, Garrett	Yes
2579	8/30/2011	No	Greenberg, Hayley	Yes
2580	8/30/2011	No	Kozuh, Fiona	Yes
2581	8/30/2011	No	Reinhart, Robin	Yes
2582	8/30/2011	No	Tremoulet, Jeanene	Yes
2583	8/30/2011	No	Roberts, James r	Yes
2584	8/30/2011	No (0)	Foraste, John	Yes
2585	8/30/2011	No	Wright, Kristina	Yes
2586	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Clements, Melissa	Yes
2587	8/30/2011	No	Alain, Nicolas	Yes
2588	8/30/2011	Yes (573760)	Baker, Deborah	Yes
2589	8/30/2011	No	Shearer, Erik	Yes
2590	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	jones, tiffany	Yes
2591	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yeilding, Nancy L	Yes
2592	8/30/2011	No	Marett, Susan	Yes

0013719

2593	8/30/2011	No	Massie, Elizabeth	Yes
2594	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2595	8/30/2011	No	Amsterdam, Alyson	Yes
2596	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Devine, Lauren	Yes
2597	8/30/2011	No	Hepner, Brent	Yes
2598	8/30/2011	No	Yez, E.	Yes
2599	8/30/2011	No	Drucker, Eugene	Yes
2600	8/30/2011	No (0)	Krute, Juliann	Yes
2601	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Eerikainen, Pia	Yes
2602	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2603	8/30/2011	No	Nelson, Jennifer A	Yes
2604	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dodson, Lynn	Yes
2605	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Corr, F	Yes
2606	8/30/2011	No	S, Jill M	Yes
2607	8/30/2011	No	Barragan, Veronica	Yes
2608	8/30/2011	No	Vappie, Milly	Yes
2609	8/30/2011	No	Gencarelli, Sarah	Yes
2610	8/30/2011	No	Gyetko, Nora	Yes
2611	8/30/2011	No	Flanigan, Mickie	Yes
2612	8/30/2011	No	Hightower, Vicki L	Yes
2613	8/30/2011	No	dolbear, robin e	Yes
2614	8/30/2011	No (0)	Scaduto, Larry	Yes
2615	8/30/2011	No	GUZMAN, DIANA E	Yes
2616	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yang, Emily	Yes
2617	8/30/2011	No	Doan, Linville L	Yes
2618	8/30/2011	No	Lowe, Dee	Yes
2619	8/30/2011	No	kalmenson, karen l	Yes
2620	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Matera, Stephen	Yes
2621	8/30/2011	No	Adcock, Valerie A	Yes
2622	8/30/2011	No	sands, abby	Yes
2623	8/30/2011	No	Bartlett, Denise	Yes
2624	8/30/2011	No	clark, kenneth	Yes
2625	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ortiz, Claudia	Yes
2626	8/30/2011	No	rickert, bob	Yes
2627	8/30/2011	No	Antoniades, Ellen	Yes
2628	8/30/2011	No	Bruneau, Denise	Yes
2629	8/30/2011	No	Hanify, Tim	Yes
2630	8/30/2011	No (0)	Scheel, Karen	Yes
2631	8/30/2011	No	samara, joan	Yes
2632	8/30/2011	No	Christie, Jane A	Yes
2633	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2634	8/30/2011	No	ray, kerry	Yes
2635	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Lynn	Yes
2636	8/30/2011	No	Hager, Beverly	Yes
2637	8/30/2011	No	Ryker, Bruce	Yes
2638	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2639	8/30/2011	No	deSilva, Ann	Yes
2640	8/30/2011	No	Kaiser, Jennifer S	Yes
2641	8/30/2011	No	Gentile, Allison L	Yes
2642	8/30/2011	No	BLEECHER, Dale	Yes
2643	8/30/2011	No	Warboy, Cathleen L	Yes
2644	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cherry, Connie	Yes
2645	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rodriguez, Susan	Yes
2646	8/30/2011	No	Taylor, Linda V	Yes
2647	8/30/2011	No	Roeber, Nancy	Yes



0013720

2648	8/30/2011	No	Zaso, Janet A	Yes
2649	8/30/2011	No	Whitten, Deborah L	Yes
2650	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ray, Tom	Yes
2651	8/30/2011	No	Barker, Bartholomew	Yes
2652	8/30/2011	No	Stinnett, Amy	Yes
2653	8/30/2011	No	Gannon, Anna J	Yes
2654	8/30/2011	No	Reinberg, Don	Yes
2655	8/30/2011	No	Barrett, Lisa	Yes
2656	8/30/2011	No	Pierna, Lisa M	Yes
2657	8/30/2011	No	Egan, Katharine M	Yes
2658	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Linf, Julie	Yes
2659	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Einstein-Diez, Alia	Yes
2660	8/30/2011	No	roberts, gretchen k	Yes
2661	8/30/2011	No	Horn, Roger G	Yes
2662	8/30/2011	No	koperczak, john h	Yes
2663	8/30/2011	No	Scritchfield, Tim R	Yes
2664	8/30/2011	No	Knowles, Leah M	Yes
2665	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Grant, James H	Yes
2666	8/30/2011	No	Montel, Ioana	Yes
2667	8/30/2011	No	Ryan, Grace K	Yes
2668	8/30/2011	No	Esser, Pamela J	Yes
2669	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dunn, George A	Yes
2670	8/30/2011	No	Bickham, Rebecca	Yes
2671	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Huff, Tanya	Yes
2672	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Meyer, Elena C	Yes
2673	8/30/2011	No	Hemmer, John	Yes
2674	8/30/2011	No	Hilpman, Dwight B	Yes
2675	8/30/2011	No	harrington-schreiber, elin p	Yes
2676	8/30/2011	No	Emond, Henriette M	Yes
2677	8/30/2011	No	West, Eric R	Yes
2678	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lind, Lisa	Yes
2679	8/30/2011	No	Gentle, Roslyn	Yes
2680	8/30/2011	No	Crocker, Deborah	Yes
2681	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Garbacz, Kimberly	Yes
2682	8/30/2011	No	Jimenez, Terry J	Yes
2683	8/30/2011	No (0)	Pyntikova, Eugenia	Yes
2684	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Brandon	Yes
2685	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hewitt, Sandra L	Yes
2686	8/30/2011	No	Blevins, Janis	Yes
2687	8/30/2011	No	smith, tori	Yes
2688	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bryant, Elizabeth	Yes
2689	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pieniazek, Annette G	Yes
2690	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hightower, Chris M	Yes
2691	8/30/2011	No	Brown, J A	Yes
2692	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Marlene S	Yes
2693	8/30/2011	No	Griffin, James	Yes
2694	8/30/2011	No	WISSMAN, DEBORAH	Yes
2695	8/30/2011	No	Ihde, Douglas	Yes
2696	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Connor, Ann M	Yes
2697	8/30/2011	No	Clark, Harry	Yes
2698	8/30/2011	No	McCaffray, Ann	Yes
2699	8/30/2011	No	Peterson, Pamela	Yes
2700	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tachna, Heather	Yes
2701	8/30/2011	No	Rozycki, Laura A	Yes
2702	8/30/2011	No	M, Melinda	Yes

0013721

2703	8/30/2011	No	Hanson, Connie J	Yes
2704	8/30/2011	No	Lee, Vanessa R	Yes
2705	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bellobuono, Mary	Yes
2706	8/30/2011	No	Antelo, Juan	Yes
2707	8/30/2011	No	Ambrose, William P	Yes
2708	8/30/2011	No (0)	M.D., Robert Allen Petersen,	Yes
2709	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	waldoch, erin r	Yes
2710	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2711	8/30/2011	No	Qureshi, Irfana	Yes
2712	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	VallarioPleae, Cat	Yes
2713	8/30/2011	No	Schmitt, David J	Yes
2714	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cornelia, Jared	Yes
2715	8/30/2011	No	Rector, Marsha	Yes
2716	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Welms, James T	Yes
2717	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2718	8/30/2011	No	canalizo, dorian s	Yes
2719	8/30/2011	No	Wendt-Salisbury, Ingrid	Yes
2720	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Meacham, Thomas S	Yes
2721	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hathaway, Susan	Yes
2722	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hess, Diane	Yes
2723	8/30/2011	No	Hedgepeth, Lori A	Yes
2724	8/30/2011	No	plummer, sarah	Yes
2725	8/30/2011	No	Parker, Deborah A	Yes
2726	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nguyen, Binh	Yes
2727	8/30/2011	No	Bivens, Terrisa	Yes
2728	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	MARBLE, ANDREW	Yes
2729	8/30/2011	No	Howarth, James W	Yes
2730	8/30/2011	No	CORBET, Matthew K	Yes
2731	8/30/2011	No	Brendel, Patricia	Yes
2732	8/30/2011	No	Garcia, Armando A	Yes
2733	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2734	8/30/2011	No	Woods, Daniel	Yes
2735	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2736	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Shalat, Harriet	Yes
2737	8/30/2011	No	Hawkes, Susan	Yes
2738	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2739	8/30/2011	No	Petras, Carrington H	Yes
2740	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Willoughby, Judith	Yes
2741	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Seewester, Frank	Yes
2742	8/30/2011	No	Mead, Stacey	Yes
2743	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas-Blate, Jessie	Yes
2744	8/30/2011	No	Bacquet, Cathy	Yes
2745	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Lisa M	Yes
2746	8/30/2011	No	weinberg, rebecca	Yes
2747	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gordon, Alexandra	Yes
2748	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Watt, Kathy	Yes
2749	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Worden, Karen K	Yes
2750	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Palmer, Kathleen	Yes
2751	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2752	8/30/2011	No	Iselin, Ellin	Yes
2753	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2754	8/30/2011	No	Forrest, Carolyn	Yes
2755	8/30/2011	No	Campbell, Margaret M	Yes
2756	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ziemak, Ginger	Yes
2757	8/30/2011	No	Lamb, Nancy	Yes

0013722

2758	8/30/2011	No	Reimann, Tom	Yes
2759	8/30/2011	No	collins, leslie	Yes
2760	8/30/2011	No	Gilbert, Jaine M	Yes
2761	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rizzolo, James	Yes
2762	8/30/2011	No	richardson, william e	Yes
2763	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2764	8/30/2011	No	Bickel, Harriet	Yes
2765	8/30/2011	No	Murphy-Rieger, Ivory W	Yes
2766	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wetzel, Helene R	Yes
2767	8/30/2011	No	Cobbum, Garry	Yes
2768	8/30/2011	No	Hiniker, Diane A	Yes
2769	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Waltasti, Marilyn A	Yes
2770	8/30/2011	No	Rubin, Nancy and Errol Rubin	Yes
2771	8/30/2011	No	Keeney, Ron	Yes
2772	8/30/2011	No	durante, nancy a	Yes
2773	8/30/2011	No	Matza, Diane	Yes
2774	8/30/2011	No	Tranfaglia, Twyla L	Yes
2775	8/30/2011	No	Cutler, Stephen and	Yes
2776	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Jarvis	Yes
2777	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Tracy	Yes
2778	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ghelfi, Linda M	Yes
2779	8/30/2011	No	XX., Vic	Yes
2780	8/30/2011	No	Alexander, Jasmine	Yes
2781	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, Jaen M	Yes
2782	8/30/2011	No	Belt, Annie M	Yes
2783	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Dennis	Yes
2784	8/30/2011	No	Pirch, Charlotte	Yes
2785	8/30/2011	No	Ganahl, Amy	Yes
2786	8/30/2011	No	Panica, Theresa A	Yes
2787	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Thunstrom, Courtney	Yes
2788	8/30/2011	No	Dixon, Joyce H	Yes
2789	8/30/2011	No	Denk, John	Yes
2790	8/30/2011	No	Hodges, Karen O	Yes
2791	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harvey, Ian	Yes
2792	8/30/2011	No	PUTNAM, QUINTEN	Yes
2793	8/30/2011	No	Schilling, Francis	Yes
2794	8/30/2011	No	Lind, M. G	Yes
2795	8/30/2011	No	Price, Nicole	Yes
2796	8/30/2011	No	martinez, john f	Yes
2797	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mackey, Claudia J	Yes
2798	8/30/2011	No	lutz, ashley l	Yes
2799	8/30/2011	No	Boyle-Clapp, Dee	Yes
2800	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Emmett J	Yes
2801	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Boltje, Robert	Yes
2802	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2803	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chisari, Andrea	Yes
2804	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sylvia, Seth A	Yes
2805	8/30/2011	No	john, michael s	Yes
2806	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rode, Alyson B	Yes
2807	8/30/2011	No	Lundgren, Jenn	Yes
2808	8/30/2011	No	Elswick, Jodi M	Yes
2809	8/30/2011	No	Sawyer, Caryl M	Yes
2810	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2811	8/30/2011	No	Jones-Bedel, Laura	Yes
2812	8/30/2011	No	streppa, art	Yes

0013723

2813	8/30/2011	No	Blundell, Siobhan	Yes
2814	8/30/2011	No	ODonnell, Eileen	Yes
2815	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	S, Kamal	Yes
2816	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Southerland, Ada L	Yes
2817	8/30/2011	No	Elpiner, Karen E	Yes
2818	8/30/2011	No	Chauser, Jacqueline M	Yes
2819	8/30/2011	No	Leonard, Valerie	Yes
2820	8/30/2011	No	Callahan, Lynn W	Yes
2821	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2822	8/30/2011	No	Wolfson, Margo	Yes
2823	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	schlarb, theresa	Yes
2824	8/30/2011	No	Corneli, Helen M	Yes
2825	8/30/2011	No	Janney, Linda	Yes
2826	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Baus, James H	Yes
2827	8/30/2011	No	talbot, james e	Yes
2828	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Phelps, Leslie E	Yes
2829	8/30/2011	No	Mole, Leonard	Yes
2830	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kessler, Jeff	Yes
2831	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Otte, Elizabeth A	Yes
2832	8/30/2011	No	Suczek, Alex & Marybelle	Yes
2833	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Greene, Bruce W	Yes
2834	8/30/2011	No	Netherland, Valerie	Yes
2835	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Johansen, Matt D	Yes
2836	8/30/2011	No	Cobb, Sandra M	Yes
2837	8/30/2011	No	Getman, Lalonie	Yes
2838	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lazarek, John	Yes
2839	8/30/2011	No	Lee, Pauline	Yes
2840	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Curry, Phil	Yes
2841	8/30/2011	No	Schneller-McDonald, Karen A	Yes
2842	8/30/2011	No	Bakcsy, Melissa A	Yes
2843	8/30/2011	No	Taroli, Garry S	Yes
2844	8/30/2011	No	Fritsch, Robert W	Yes
2845	8/30/2011	No	ney, shirley a	Yes
2846	8/30/2011	No	ESTRADA-SOLERO, MARIA S	Yes
2847	8/30/2011	No	Lempart, Lukasz	Yes
2848	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wright, Lauren A	Yes
2849	8/30/2011	No	Weeder, Lisa D	Yes
2850	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Graham and Sandy	Yes
2851	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Sean M	Yes
2852	8/30/2011	No	felstiner, john	Yes
2853	8/30/2011	No	Garfield, Dave	Yes
2854	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2855	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	King, Marcia E	Yes
2856	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lorts, John R	Yes
2857	8/30/2011	No	Reilly, Sheila A	Yes
2858	8/30/2011	No (0)	Murray, Leslie	Yes
2859	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Carlin, Scott M	Yes
2860	8/30/2011	No	Roo, Reeta	Yes
2861	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Turner, Paul F	Yes
2862	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2863	8/30/2011	No	Dzindzeleta, Mercedes R	Yes
2864	8/30/2011	No	O'Kiersey, Mary E	Yes
2865	8/30/2011	No	Morris, John M	Yes
2866	8/30/2011	No	Limoge, Virginia M	Yes
2867	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Simpson, Edith	Yes

0013724

2868	8/30/2011	Potential (603687)	Donahue, Vivian	Yes
2869	8/30/2011	No	Lenke, Diane S	Yes
2870	8/30/2011	No	Phillips, Lisa	Yes
2871	8/30/2011	No	Holland, Brett A	Yes
2872	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2873	8/30/2011	No	McNulty, Cat	Yes
2874	8/30/2011	No	thomas, eleanor	Yes
2875	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2876	8/30/2011	No	Rudick, Linda	Yes
2877	8/30/2011	No	Hanson, susan O	Yes
2878	8/30/2011	No	Corliss, Nan D	Yes
2879	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hart, Elizabeth	Yes
2880	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ostrom, Gavin	Yes
2881	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Lewis, Inge	Yes
2882	8/30/2011	No	MacInnis, Melinda	Yes
2883	8/30/2011	No	Acevedo, Nora	Yes
2884	8/30/2011	No	Hafner, Amanda K	Yes
2885	8/30/2011	No	HOWARD, GLORIA J	Yes
2886	8/30/2011	No	Gilbert, Wyn M	Yes
2887	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Colla, Amy K	Yes
2888	8/30/2011	No	benson-groves, mary b	Yes
2889	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Lynn C	Yes
2890	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Scovotti, Arlene F	Yes
2891	8/30/2011	No	Guskin, Amy	Yes
2892	8/30/2011	No	Woollard, Mary E	Yes
2893	8/30/2011	No	Trenam, Steve	Yes
2894	8/30/2011	No	Jacobsen, Maxine	Yes
2895	8/30/2011	No	Connell, Colleen B	Yes
2896	8/30/2011	No	Vidal, Rosa M	Yes
2897	8/30/2011	No	Roseberry, Russell P	Yes
2898	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	king, danny j	Yes
2899	8/30/2011	No	Frazer, Megan J	Yes
2900	8/30/2011	No	Schultz, Margaret E	Yes
2901	8/30/2011	No	Beard, Denise	Yes
2902	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Falzone, Dominick	Yes
2903	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Paine, Paul	Yes
2904	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Beverly	Yes
2905	8/30/2011	No	METCALF, SABRE	Yes
2906	8/30/2011	No	MacRaith, Bonnie K	Yes
2907	8/30/2011	No	Stroble, Sharon E	Yes
2908	8/30/2011	No	ARTMAN, SUSAN K	Yes
2909	8/30/2011	No	Brust, Kerry B	Yes
2910	8/30/2011	No	Stevenson, Cynthia	Yes
2911	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Scott	Yes
2912	8/30/2011	No	Nolan, Dan	Yes
2913	8/30/2011	No	Deyo, Carolyn J	Yes
2914	8/30/2011	No	lyden, chad	Yes
2915	8/30/2011	No	Point, Peggy B. La Point	Yes
2916	8/30/2011	No	Berger, Barbara	Yes
2917	8/30/2011	No	HARRIS, SANDRA	Yes
2918	8/30/2011	No	Vasily, Karen	Yes
2919	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Perace, Barbara J	Yes
2920	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Filip, Michael	Yes
2921	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McLlarky, Gretchen	Yes

## 0013725

2922	8/30/2011	No	Koontz, Celles D	Yes
2923	8/30/2011	No	Batson, Jamie	Yes
2924	8/30/2011	No	Jr, Raymond Randolph Nash Jr	Yes
2925	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Vaught, Kevin	Yes
2926	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schloss-Birkholz, Gisela	Yes
2927	8/30/2011	No	Garcia, Susan E	Yes
2928	8/30/2011	No	Iacovitti, Rosalinda	Yes
2929	8/30/2011	No	MARTIN, KATY E	Yes
2930	8/30/2011	No	Waite, Cheryl	Yes
2931	8/30/2011	No	Apperson, Robert	Yes
2932	8/30/2011	No	Weeks, Debra A	Yes
2933	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pintagro, Thomas J	Yes
2934	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Michaels, Jonathan and Sylvia	Yes
2935	8/30/2011	No	DeOrio, Sheri	Yes
2936	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Parshall, Kent	Yes
2937	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2938	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2939	8/30/2011	No	Seaver, LaRoy and Mary	Yes
2940	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
2941	8/30/2011	No	Manenkoff, Michael	Yes
2942	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hightower, Cathy	Yes
2943	8/30/2011	No	Pikus, Barbara	Yes
2944	8/30/2011	No	Gaffney, Kathryn	Yes
2945	8/30/2011	No	Nolan, Fiona	Yes
2946	8/30/2011	No	Curtis, Catherine	Yes
2947	8/30/2011	No	TILBROOK, GERALDINA	Yes
2948	8/30/2011	No	Shuford, Alexandria C	Yes
2949	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2950	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Beane, Julie L	Yes
2951	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Main, Jeanette	Yes
2952	8/30/2011	No	Armigo, Victoria	Yes
2953	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2954	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Jerri L	Yes
2955	8/30/2011	No	Barber, Frances E	Yes
2956	8/30/2011	No	jordan, mindy r	Yes
2957	8/30/2011	No	Eden, Jonathan R	Yes
2958	8/30/2011	No	Roman, Shira	Yes
2959	8/30/2011	No	Panjabi, Arvind O	Yes
2960	8/30/2011	No	Long, Jeffrey C	Yes
2961	8/30/2011	No	Alliband, Ruth	Yes
2962	8/30/2011	No	Hale, Julie E	Yes
2963	8/30/2011	No	Hale, Thomas	Yes
2964	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Oveissi, Emily	Yes
2965	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2966	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Moreno, Pamela J	Yes
2967	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Donald	Yes
2968	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	VanEtten, Margot	Yes
2969	8/30/2011	No	logan, t	Yes
2970	8/30/2011	No	Moreno, MT	Yes
2971	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Robnett, Christie J	Yes
2972	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
2973	8/30/2011	No	BAYSINGER, MARY	Yes
2974	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Gina	Yes
2975	8/30/2011	No	Thrailkill, James T	Yes
2976	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	O'Dowd, John	Yes

0013726

2977	8/30/2011	No	Mioduski, Bette	Yes
2978	8/30/2011	No	Kent, DAn R	Yes
2979	8/30/2011	No	Humphreys, Pennie	Yes
2980	8/30/2011	No	Termini, Susan J	Yes
2981	8/30/2011	No	pillow, ellen m	Yes
2982	8/30/2011	No	Singh-Bowman, Nan	Yes
2983	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chandler, Francis J	Yes
2984	8/30/2011	No	Day, Linda	Yes
2985	8/30/2011	No	Depner, Susan D	Yes
2986	8/30/2011	No	Despain, Mark W	Yes
2987	8/30/2011	No	Picchetti, Gloria	Yes
2988	8/30/2011	No	cote, don	Yes
2989	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kaiser, Robert	Yes
2990	8/30/2011	No	Adams, Donna	Yes
2991	8/30/2011	No	Cook, Terry M	Yes
2992	8/30/2011	No	bailey, merle w	Yes
2993	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Squires, Amy	Yes
2994	8/30/2011	No	Domizi, David	Yes
2995	8/30/2011	No	Levin, Beth	Yes
2996	8/30/2011	No	Muetz, P.E.	Yes
2997	8/30/2011	No	Yatsko, Erika	Yes
2998	8/30/2011	No	Windrum, Kenneth G	Yes
2999	8/30/2011	No	Gunning, Sylvia L	Yes
3000	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fischer, Kristin	Yes
3001	8/30/2011	No	Schlabach, Marie A	Yes
3002	8/30/2011	No (0)	Monahan, Margaret	Yes
3003	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DeLaunay, Patricia A	Yes
3004	8/30/2011	No	Chinnici, John J	Yes
3005	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3006	8/30/2011	No	Bisson, Theresa	Yes
3007	8/30/2011	No	mcglennon, tim	Yes
3008	8/30/2011	No	Butler, William c	Yes
3009	8/30/2011	No	Woodbury, Ellen	Yes
3010	8/30/2011	No	Ryan, Michael P	Yes
3011	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3012	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3013	8/30/2011	No	moore, thomas g	Yes
3014	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reyes, Joel	Yes
3015	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mena-Landry, Erica	Yes
3016	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Conner, Kristen	Yes
3017	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mirmak, Dorothy	Yes
3018	8/30/2011	No	Nelson, Herbert	Yes
3019	8/30/2011	No	Williams, Robert A	Yes
3020	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cordy, Valerie J	Yes
3021	8/30/2011	No	Cole, Dori	Yes
3022	8/30/2011	No (0)	Marcantel, Connie S	Yes
3023	8/30/2011	No	Taylor, Tyra	Yes
3024	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Braz, Rose	Yes
3025	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3026	8/30/2011	No	Givotovsky, Igor	Yes
3027	8/30/2011	No	Pereira, Fiona E	Yes
3028	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Benita	Yes
3029	8/30/2011	No	Behrendt, Rebecca	Yes
3030	8/30/2011	No	Bernal, Athena	Yes
3031	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Maizel, Joshua B	Yes

0013727

3032	8/30/2011	No	Struthers, Nancy J	Yes
3033	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Velekei-Wesdorp, Tina	Yes
3034	8/30/2011	No	FAISAL, DANIEL	Yes
3035	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	curtiss, francie j	Yes
3036	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Arndt, Melinda	Yes
3037	8/30/2011	No	Vos, Lynn D	Yes
3038	8/30/2011	No	Havens, Susan p	Yes
3039	8/30/2011	No	Otero, Aline S	Yes
3040	8/30/2011	No	Buffer, Anita M	Yes
3041	8/30/2011	No	Connorton, JOAN E	Yes
3042	8/30/2011	No	Gallagher, Kathleen	Yes
3043	8/30/2011	No	Ulman, Yael	Yes
3044	8/30/2011	No	ZAMBRANA, ENID G	Yes
3045	8/30/2011	No	Forsythe-Tobin, Concetta r	Yes
3046	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Courtney	Yes
3047	8/30/2011	No	Hougham, Tom	Yes
3048	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	E., Patricia	Yes
3049	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hillinger, Marisa	Yes
3050	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	gatto, rita	Yes
3051	8/30/2011	No	Leach, Cheryl	Yes
3052	8/30/2011	No	Gabriel, Susan	Yes
3053	8/30/2011	No	White, Dave	Yes
3054	8/30/2011	No	Kunta, Kinta	Yes
3055	8/30/2011	No	Eberstein, Camille v	Yes
3056	8/30/2011	No	Harrison, Nancy L	Yes
3057	8/30/2011	No	McCombs, Robert	Yes
3058	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Drews, Jane	Yes
3059	8/30/2011	No	Fedyski, Robert	Yes
3060	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3061	8/30/2011	No	Bertuccio, Lucille	Yes
3062	8/30/2011	No	Booth, Jan B	Yes
3063	8/30/2011	No	Glesne, Lynn	Yes
3064	8/30/2011	No	Phelps, Lance	Yes
3065	8/30/2011	No	Faulk, Don and Joyce Faulk	Yes
3066	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	bacon, michelle	Yes
3067	8/30/2011	No	Morningsong, Cynkay	Yes
3068	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Jane Y	Yes
3069	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gosney, W & K Gosney	Yes
3070	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3071	8/30/2011	No	Lippitt, Lesleigh J	Yes
3072	8/30/2011	No	McMurtray, Jennifer	Yes
3073	8/30/2011	No	DeMoss, Virginia	Yes
3074	8/30/2011	No	rojeski, mary	Yes
3075	8/30/2011	No	Barsanti, Cristine A	Yes
3076	8/30/2011	No	Walker, Bill	Yes
3077	8/30/2011	No	RODRIGUEZ, LORI	Yes
3078	8/30/2011	No	DeClercq, Jerry	Yes
3079	8/30/2011	No	Adell, Genevieve	Yes
3080	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Krawczyk, Greg	Yes
3081	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lang, Lynn C	Yes
3082	8/30/2011	No	Borac, Cleo M	Yes
3083	8/30/2011	No	Coyle, Christopher J	Yes
3084	8/30/2011	No	Gonzalez, Victor	Yes
3085	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Mary S	Yes
3086	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Osborn, Dana D	Yes



0013728

3087	8/30/2011	No	Burleigh, Traci Joy (TJ)	Yes
3088	8/30/2011	No	Cloner, Matthew L	Yes
3089	8/30/2011	No	Cascio, Lynn	Yes
3090	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Aiken, Edwin	Yes
3091	8/30/2011	No	Crump, Deborah K	Yes
3092	8/30/2011	No	Remele, Katie E	Yes
3093	8/30/2011	No	Dunn, Russell L	Yes
3094	8/30/2011	No	martin, tracey	Yes
3095	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3096	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mittan, Ron	Yes
3097	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3098	8/30/2011	No	Jr., Albert U	Yes
3099	8/30/2011	No	Young, Tony	Yes
3100	8/30/2011	No	Temam, Lisa J	Yes
3101	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dominguez, Francisco	Yes
3102	8/30/2011	No	Finkle, Kaitland	Yes
3103	8/30/2011	No	Hanson, Natalie K	Yes
3104	8/30/2011	No	McKinney, Jack D	Yes
3105	8/30/2011	No	Traul, Bobette R	Yes
3106	8/30/2011	No	Benedetto, Rainbow D	Yes
3107	8/30/2011	No	Bupp, Sherry	Yes
3108	8/30/2011	No	McIntosh, Tobie R	Yes
3109	8/30/2011	No	Davis, Marcia H	Yes
3110	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Krusinski, Anna	Yes
3111	8/30/2011	No	Greco, Jose L	Yes
3112	8/30/2011	No (0)	Cavallaro, shellie	Yes
3113	8/30/2011	No	S., Jennifer	Yes
3114	8/30/2011	No	K, Pamela	Yes
3115	8/30/2011	No	J., Eleanor	Yes
3116	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3117	8/30/2011	No	Cathers, Millie	Yes
3118	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schatzkin, Laura	Yes
3119	8/30/2011	No	Brown, James S	Yes
3120	8/30/2011	No	Ure, Olivia	Yes
3121	8/30/2011	No	Bonham, Sandra	Yes
3122	8/30/2011	No	Stromberg, Ernest	Yes
3123	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schneider, Cathie M	Yes
3124	8/30/2011	No	Root, Jessie	Yes
3125	8/30/2011	No	McLaurin, Phillip	Yes
3126	8/30/2011	No	Hay, Sam	Yes
3127	8/30/2011	No	Caswell, K K	Yes
3128	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McGlone, Colleen	Yes
3129	8/30/2011	No	Betzhold, Mark	Yes
3130	8/30/2011	No	Alligood, Tom L	Yes
3131	8/30/2011	No	Montgomery, Nancy	Yes
3132	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brandon, Linda	Yes
3133	8/30/2011	No	Hagler, Carol A	Yes
3134	8/30/2011	No	Keefer, Jason	Yes
3135	8/30/2011	No	Schneider, John D	Yes
3136	8/30/2011	No	Carter, Pat	Yes
3137	8/30/2011	No	Manchester, Ward B	Yes
3138	8/30/2011	No	Frazier, Celeste	Yes
3139	8/30/2011	No	McDevitt, Dana L	Yes
3140	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Freimuth, Bill	Yes
3141	8/30/2011	No	Henriksen, James A	Yes

0013729

3142	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bell, Denise	Yes
3143	8/30/2011	No	Quesenberry, Eric D	Yes
3144	8/30/2011	No	Jabaily, Nicole A	Yes
3145	8/30/2011	No	Aguiar, Jessica M Henneck	Yes
3146	8/30/2011	No	Kaufman, Patrice	Yes
3147	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3148	8/30/2011	No	Scott, Cecilie	Yes
3149	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3150	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Patton, James J	Yes
3151	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3152	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Essex, Tammy J	Yes
3153	8/30/2011	No	knaz, julia	Yes
3154	8/30/2011	No	Dale, Felicia	Yes
3155	8/30/2011	No	Prator, Virginia	Yes
3156	8/30/2011	No	Humphries, Megan R	Yes
3157	8/30/2011	No	Garren, Claudia	Yes
3158	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hirsch, Justin	Yes
3159	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Davis, Ryan	Yes
3160	8/30/2011	No	Andrus, Melanie	Yes
3161	8/30/2011	No	Shuster, Marguerite	Yes
3162	8/30/2011	No	Zap, Abbey	Yes
3163	8/30/2011	No	Hasik, Howard J	Yes
3164	8/30/2011	No	Eppinger, Sandra	Yes
3165	8/30/2011	No	Eyermann, Donald L	Yes
3166	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	McDonald, Erica L	Yes
3167	8/30/2011	No	Fernandez-Sacco, Ellen	Yes
3168	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Atkinson, Catherine A	Yes
3169	8/30/2011	No	Kauffman, Heather V	Yes
3170	8/30/2011	No	Wardhan, sam	Yes
3171	8/30/2011	No	O'Rear, Tena M	Yes
3172	8/30/2011	No	Aguilar, Andrea	Yes
3173	8/30/2011	No	Bertsch, Sarah N	Yes
3174	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	schumacher, amy	Yes
3175	8/30/2011	No	Rayfield, S	Yes
3176	8/30/2011	No	Charter, Donna S	Yes
3177	8/30/2011	No	Havens, Lorena	Yes
3178	8/30/2011	No	Ginsberg, Barbara	Yes
3179	8/30/2011	No	Madigan, Maureen	Yes
3180	8/30/2011	No	Cowan, Janet S	Yes
3181	8/30/2011	No	Winn, Jane	Yes
3182	8/30/2011	No	Serrine, Korey	Yes
3183	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dale-LeWinter, Marcia	Yes
3184	8/30/2011	No	David, Esther	Yes
3185	8/30/2011	No	Koontz, Richard	Yes
3186	8/30/2011	No	Zentarski, Joan M	Yes
3187	8/30/2011	No	B., Sherri	Yes
3188	8/30/2011	No	Balcom, Elise M	Yes
3189	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kradwell, B B	Yes
3190	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Jon B	Yes
3191	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3192	8/30/2011	No	Meister, Angela	Yes
3193	8/30/2011	No	Williams, Becky	Yes
3194	8/30/2011	No	Beckman, Richard M	Yes
3195	8/30/2011	No	Goldberg, Constance J	Yes
3196	8/30/2011	No	Pogoloff, Christina	Yes

0013730

3197	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hanna, Heather	Yes
3198	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	George, Kim	Yes
3199	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Werneke, A	Yes
3200	8/30/2011	No	Light, John K	Yes
3201	8/30/2011	No	Lev, Amy S	Yes
3202	8/30/2011	No	Thies, Karen	Yes
3203	8/30/2011	No	Wolfe, Marilyn G	Yes
3204	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Olund, Melissa A	Yes
3205	8/30/2011	No	Gohlke, Linda	Yes
3206	8/30/2011	No	T, Barbara	Yes
3207	8/30/2011	No	Orcholski, Gerald	Yes
3208	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Kathy	Yes
3209	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3210	8/30/2011	No	McClellan, Autumn D	Yes
3211	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schneible, Richard M	Yes
3212	8/30/2011	No	JACKSON, MARY T	Yes
3213	8/30/2011	No	Cohen, Daniel	Yes
3214	8/30/2011	No	Lake, Scott	Yes
3215	8/30/2011	No	Crumbliss, Gina	Yes
3216	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	phillians, shawna	Yes
3217	8/30/2011	No	omai, felix	Yes
3218	8/30/2011	No	Greene, Moncia	Yes
3219	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, Catherine	Yes
3220	8/30/2011	No	Bailey, Deborah R	Yes
3221	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DeBel, Lisa M	Yes
3222	8/30/2011	No	Cole, Edward P	Yes
3223	8/30/2011	No	Yanowitz, Steviann K	Yes
3224	8/30/2011	No	Juhl, Brandon P	Yes
3225	8/30/2011	No	Row, Christine	Yes
3226	8/30/2011	No	Pugh, Chuck L	Yes
3227	8/30/2011	No	Thompson, Sabrina M	Yes
3228	8/30/2011	No	Klein, Philip	Yes
3229	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3230	8/30/2011	No	Hanson, Dr. J	Yes
3231	8/30/2011	No	Procter, Kathleen	Yes
3232	8/30/2011	No	Huseby, Jessica	Yes
3233	8/30/2011	No	Ponteri, Amy	Yes
3234	8/30/2011	No	Rodriguez, Lauren A	Yes
3235	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Grove, Stanley N	Yes
3236	8/30/2011	No	Stout, Sarah M	Yes
3237	8/30/2011	No	Krauter, Deborah J	Yes
3238	8/30/2011	No	McGillivary, M	Yes
3239	8/30/2011	No	Eames, Mary L	Yes
3240	8/30/2011	No	putnam, elizabeth t	Yes
3241	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Scott, Marcheta	Yes
3242	8/30/2011	No	castevens, cindy j	Yes
3243	8/30/2011	No	obryan, bear	Yes
3244	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rupert, Cathy	Yes
3245	8/30/2011	No	Gitelson, Derek	Yes
3246	8/30/2011	No	Lad, James	Yes
3247	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gordon, David	Yes
3248	8/30/2011	No	Hebberger, Jo A	Yes
3249	8/30/2011	No	Brady, Karen	Yes
3250	8/30/2011	No	Frohn, Joyce E	Yes
3251	8/30/2011	No	C., Gertrude	Yes

0013731

3252	8/30/2011	No	Iverson, Kent	Yes
3253	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kaskel, Gary	Yes
3254	8/30/2011	No	snelling, paul w	Yes
3255	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3256	8/30/2011	No	Keefe, Katherine N	Yes
3257	8/30/2011	No	Buckley, Siobhan H	Yes
3258	8/30/2011	No	Casper, Chris	Yes
3259	8/30/2011	No	smith, florence l	Yes
3260	8/30/2011	No	Elorriaga, Estefania	Yes
3261	8/30/2011	No	Ryan, Amy E	Yes
3262	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Doty, Martha	Yes
3263	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3264	8/30/2011	No	Shafii, Jennifer	Yes
3265	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fuher, Suzanne	Yes
3266	8/30/2011	No	Elkins, David	Yes
3267	8/30/2011	No	Blasius, Sarah M	Yes
3268	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fuher, Suzanne	Yes
3269	8/30/2011	No	Polk, Susan M	Yes
3270	8/30/2011	No	Dennett, Heather	Yes
3271	8/30/2011	No	Kron, Kathy a	Yes
3272	8/30/2011	Potential (603687)	Pollard, Rosemary	Yes
3273	8/30/2011	No	Salta, Phyllis	Yes
3274	8/30/2011	No	Estep, William b	Yes
3275	8/30/2011	No	Balch, Priscilla	Yes
3276	8/30/2011	No	toups, ryan a	Yes
3277	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brunoehler, Melissa	Yes
3278	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3279	8/30/2011	No	Gonzalez, William G	Yes
3280	8/30/2011	No	Bewrglund, Warren d	Yes
3281	8/30/2011	No	Doll, Jenifer C	Yes
3282	8/30/2011	No	Timpany, Joan	Yes
3283	8/30/2011	No	Harnish, Kimberly A	Yes
3284	8/30/2011	No	Hale, Elizabeth A	Yes
3285	8/30/2011	No	Schmidt, Lisa	Yes
3286	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bennett, Chelsea	Yes
3287	8/30/2011	No	Natasha, Noah a	Yes
3288	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Denise	Yes
3289	8/30/2011	No	Mann, Beth	Yes
3290	8/30/2011	No (0)	Adams, Carole A	Yes
3291	8/30/2011	No	Stroman, Amanda M	Yes
3292	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Parker, Cindy	Yes
3293	8/30/2011	No	Strote, Mary E	Yes
3294	8/30/2011	No	batscha, paul	Yes
3295	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3296	8/30/2011	No	blechar, heidi b	Yes
3297	8/30/2011	No	cook, chrissy J	Yes
3298	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	jessler, darynne	Yes
3299	8/30/2011	No	thomason, karen j	Yes
3300	8/30/2011	No	Hait, Gordon	Yes
3301	8/30/2011	No	field, francis m	Yes
3302	8/30/2011	No	Davies, Dorothy L	Yes
3303	8/30/2011	No	Prather, Wendy	Yes
3304	8/30/2011	No	Piser, Daniel	Yes
3305	8/30/2011	No	Wells, Rachel	Yes

0013732

3306	8/30/2011	No	Gilbert, Camille	Yes
3307	8/30/2011	No	mclain, linda s	Yes
3308	8/30/2011	No	Padmanabhan, Urmila	Yes
3309	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Kent	Yes
3310	8/30/2011	No	Pelland, Sandy	Yes
3311	8/30/2011	No	Mueller, Paul Robert Maiden	Yes
3312	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zarnetske, Diane	Yes
3313	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Swan, Linda L	Yes
3314	8/30/2011	No	Peeters, Marijke	Yes
3315	8/30/2011	No	Art, David	Yes
3316	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3317	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schwartz, Bonnie	Yes
3318	8/30/2011	No	Chung, Gay	Yes
3319	8/30/2011	No	Packard, Patty	Yes
3320	8/30/2011	No	Strauch, Patricia L	Yes
3321	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Diamond, Ali L	Yes
3322	8/30/2011	No	Amerman, Mary	Yes
3323	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Richardson, Ila	Yes
3324	8/30/2011	No	Norgrove, Jim v	Yes
3325	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Barbara	Yes
3326	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Greenberg, Brittany	Yes
3327	8/30/2011	No	McDaniel, Katherine	Yes
3328	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, Lena a	Yes
3329	8/30/2011	No	Kilroy, Eileen	Yes
3330	8/30/2011	No	Castiano, Judith A	Yes
3331	8/30/2011	No	bohannan, jill	Yes
3332	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ascenzo, Carey	Yes
3333	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3334	8/30/2011	No	Williams, Susan P	Yes
3335	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Richard, Josh	Yes
3336	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Rita G	Yes
3337	8/30/2011	No	field, patricia t	Yes
3338	8/30/2011	No	Johns, Lorie	Yes
3339	8/30/2011	No	Hunter, Robert V	Yes
3340	8/30/2011	No	stasiewicz, diane b	Yes
3341	8/30/2011	No	Burkhart, Alice v	Yes
3342	8/30/2011	No	Thompson, Gary A	Yes
3343	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Prine, Deanna	Yes
3344	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harris, Shirley	Yes
3345	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hair, Ursula	Yes
3346	8/30/2011	No	Perry, Dr. Jo Anne Perry	Yes
3347	8/30/2011	No	Urbanowicz, Rachel	Yes
3348	8/30/2011	No	nelson, sandi	Yes
3349	8/30/2011	No	Barnickel, Christopher M	Yes
3350	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Deal, Brandie D	Yes
3351	8/30/2011	No	LeFevre, Yvonne	Yes
3352	8/30/2011	No	Mead, Marjorie A	Yes
3353	8/30/2011	No	Chenoweth, Mark L	Yes
3354	8/30/2011	No	McQuaid, Valerie P	Yes
3355	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3356	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gray, Jennifer	Yes
3357	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Lawrence E	Yes
3358	8/30/2011	No	Anisi, Mary	Yes
3359	8/30/2011	No	Roberts, David	Yes
3360	8/30/2011	No	Ranson, Peggy K	Yes

0013733

3361	8/30/2011	No	Kirsch, Vicki K	Yes
3362	8/30/2011	No	Carter, Mary M	Yes
3363	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	King, Jack	Yes
3364	8/30/2011	No	Fifer, Barbara A	Yes
3365	8/30/2011	No	coffey, gerry	Yes
3366	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lorimer, Michael	Yes
3367	8/30/2011	No	Middleton, victoria R	Yes
3368	8/30/2011	No	Graham, Michelle S	Yes
3369	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCarty, Ursula C	Yes
3370	8/30/2011	No	Winter, Gail K	Yes
3371	8/30/2011	No	Mako, Shamiran	Yes
3372	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3373	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Sammarye	Yes
3374	8/30/2011	No	Keating, Denise J	Yes
3375	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Scheiderer, Heather	Yes
3376	8/30/2011	No	Krupinski, Michele o	Yes
3377	8/30/2011	No	Chambers, Tara M	Yes
3378	8/30/2011	No	Heimbach, Shelby C	Yes
3379	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jewell, Wendy M	Yes
3380	8/30/2011	No	Briggs, Sandra	Yes
3381	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Travaline, Robert L	Yes
3382	8/30/2011	No	Daggett, Alan P	Yes
3383	8/30/2011	No	Keur, Dawn L	Yes
3384	8/30/2011	No	Azzarello, Kris A	Yes
3385	8/30/2011	No	Wachter, Andrew J	Yes
3386	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Barber, Amie	Yes
3387	8/30/2011	No	DOHERTY, LYNN	Yes
3388	8/30/2011	No	Power, Matthew S	Yes
3389	8/30/2011	No	Templin, Raymond O	Yes
3390	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3391	8/30/2011	No	Cotter, Jason	Yes
3392	8/30/2011	No	Jr, John D	Yes
3393	8/30/2011	No	Worden, Bonnie J	Yes
3394	8/30/2011	No	Barnett, Elizabeth	Yes
3395	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brantley, Jane S	Yes
3396	8/30/2011	No	Needler, Janet G	Yes
3397	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Lori M	Yes
3398	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3399	8/30/2011	No	Zevian, Shannin C	Yes
3400	8/30/2011	No	Piaget, C L	Yes
3401	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bratt, Shanasy	Yes
3402	8/30/2011	No	Thompson, Linda G	Yes
3403	8/30/2011	No	Waldeck, Nikki r	Yes
3404	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rhoads, Kirk	Yes
3405	8/30/2011	No	Myers, Lindsay	Yes
3406	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3407	8/30/2011	No	McLaughlin, Rohana	Yes
3408	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3409	8/30/2011	No	Dunn, Patricia	Yes
3410	8/30/2011	No	Doyle, Nora	Yes
3411	8/30/2011	No	Shelley, Rich A	Yes
3412	8/30/2011	No	Russell, Katherine	Yes
3413	8/30/2011	No	bailey, tucker	Yes
3414	8/30/2011	No	Jacobs, Jennifer	Yes
3415	8/30/2011	No	Harris, Michael	Yes

0013734

3416	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Notton, Laura	Yes
3417	8/30/2011	No	Oppenheim, Alan	Yes
3418	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	WADE, JULIE	Yes
3419	8/30/2011	No	Britton, Sandra L	Yes
3420	8/30/2011	No	Vance, Jayeson P	Yes
3421	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	BECKER, Oksana	Yes
3422	8/30/2011	No	Everett, Greg	Yes
3423	8/30/2011	No	Heit, Kevin	Yes
3424	8/30/2011	No	Dowdy, Lauren	Yes
3425	8/30/2011	No	Fossan, Karen I Van Fossan	Yes
3426	8/30/2011	No	Kozlovich, Carol	Yes
3427	8/30/2011	No	Garcia, Lisa A	Yes
3428	8/30/2011	No	Donaldson, Donald R	Yes
3429	8/30/2011	No	Bien, Annie	Yes
3430	8/30/2011	No	malyon, hilary	Yes
3431	8/30/2011	No	Fischer, Meade L	Yes
3432	8/30/2011	No	carlson, john	Yes
3433	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	V, Jason	Yes
3434	8/30/2011	No	Gionet-Hawker, Celeste M	Yes
3435	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Donna L	Yes
3436	8/30/2011	No	Lawry, Janice	Yes
3437	8/30/2011	No	Geoffrion, Sabrina	Yes
3438	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3439	8/30/2011	No	Cintron, Humberto	Yes
3440	8/30/2011	No	Rooney, S. O	Yes
3441	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3442	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Judith T	Yes
3443	8/30/2011	No	Mertzman, Karina	Yes
3444	8/30/2011	No	Fisher, Audrey	Yes
3445	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Maker, Carol J	Yes
3446	8/30/2011	No	Strickland, Dylan	Yes
3447	8/30/2011	No	PHELPS, JAMES E	Yes
3448	8/30/2011	No	Reese, Elizabeth S	Yes
3449	8/30/2011	No	Gathing, Nancy A	Yes
3450	8/30/2011	No	Laird, Marie A	Yes
3451	8/30/2011	No	DeFreitas, Susan	Yes
3452	8/30/2011	No	Bobbitt, Tammy A	Yes
3453	8/30/2011	No	Cazzani, Alessandra	Yes
3454	8/30/2011	No	Homan, Ken	Yes
3455	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ireta, Arezou	Yes
3456	8/30/2011	No	Kaplan, David	Yes
3457	8/30/2011	No	Winkel, David J	Yes
3458	8/30/2011	No	Testoni, Elizabeth	Yes
3459	8/30/2011	No	Bergman, Judy	Yes
3460	8/30/2011	No	Tarpley, Polly S	Yes
3461	8/30/2011	No	McKee, Janet D	Yes
3462	8/30/2011	No	Voelker, Robert A	Yes
3463	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Tracey	Yes
3464	8/30/2011	No	dubois, sybille	Yes
3465	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Emilie F	Yes
3466	8/30/2011	No	melnychuk, james	Yes
3467	8/30/2011	No	Roeder, Dorothy	Yes
3468	8/30/2011	No	hazard, sandra a	Yes
3469	8/30/2011	No	Weatherwax, Mark	Yes
3470	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pfeifer, Nezka	Yes

0013735

3471	8/30/2011	No	Lyndsong, Gwen E	Yes
3472	8/30/2011	No	Morrison, John and Christine	Yes
3473	8/30/2011	No	Herwit, Harold P	Yes
3474	8/30/2011	No	Doetsch, Denise	Yes
3475	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3476	8/30/2011	No	Patmon, David P	Yes
3477	8/30/2011	No	Shauinger, Lynn H	Yes
3478	8/30/2011	No	Hix, Stanley L	Yes
3479	8/30/2011	No	znidar, erin a	Yes
3480	8/30/2011	No	Carey, Nancy	Yes
3481	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3482	8/30/2011	No	Ralls, Wilma	Yes
3483	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3484	8/30/2011	No	Montgomery, William F	Yes
3485	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stokes, Jessica L	Yes
3486	8/30/2011	No	Dengel, Pat	Yes
3487	8/30/2011	No	Pinner, Janice W	Yes
3488	8/30/2011	No	Carlson, Karen D	Yes
3489	8/30/2011	No	Maddox, Eva	Yes
3490	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3491	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3492	8/30/2011	No	Barrett, Vanessa M	Yes
3493	8/30/2011	No	LeSieur, Laura D	Yes
3494	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cappuccio, Sharon	Yes
3495	8/30/2011	No	Braue, Cate	Yes
3496	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3497	8/30/2011	No	Mason, Richard W	Yes
3498	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Arden	Yes
3499	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Majewski, Catherine M	Yes
3500	8/30/2011	No	stavrum, kiim	Yes
3501	8/30/2011	No	giacoletti, mary p	Yes
3502	8/30/2011	No	collette, sharon P	Yes
3503	8/30/2011	No	Schuette, Leslie A	Yes
3504	8/30/2011	No (0)	Grlic, Olga	Yes
3505	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mayfield-Chapin, Shannon	Yes
3506	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3507	8/30/2011	No	Link, Edgar H	Yes
3508	8/30/2011	No	Hawk, Grace	Yes
3509	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilscam, Linda	Yes
3510	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Krucoff, Rachel	Yes
3511	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3512	8/30/2011	No	Schiff, Janice	Yes
3513	8/30/2011	No	ROY, CLARE	Yes
3514	8/30/2011	No	Ignatoff, Elisha	Yes
3515	8/30/2011	No	Vesper, Christian	Yes
3516	8/30/2011	No	Jeanne, Twyla	Yes
3517	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Gibson, Kelly	Yes
3518	8/30/2011	No	Miles, Irene	Yes
3519	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Levitan, Carol	Yes
3520	8/30/2011	No	Bell, Linda A	Yes
3521	8/30/2011	No	Fehr, Richard	Yes
3522	8/30/2011	No	Watkins, Karen V	Yes
3523	8/30/2011	No	Widman, Nancy J	Yes
3524	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dossenbach, Robert W	Yes
3525	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sugrue, Lara	Yes



0013736

3526	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Daniel	Yes
3527	8/30/2011	No	Tyrrell, Brenda B	Yes
3528	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3529	8/30/2011	No	wheeler, emily	Yes
3530	8/30/2011	No	Huelsman, Wanda M	Yes
3531	8/30/2011	No	whalen, shirley m	Yes
3532	8/30/2011	No	DeTray, Mark	Yes
3533	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fligg, Katherine	Yes
3534	8/30/2011	No	Parks, Lisa M	Yes
3535	8/30/2011	No	Franzen, Ellen L	Yes
3536	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Silver, Melissa	Yes
3537	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3538	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3539	8/30/2011	No	Norris, Susan	Yes
3540	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miranda, Marco A	Yes
3541	8/30/2011	No	Blount, Tracy	Yes
3542	8/30/2011	No	Gottfried, Toby D	Yes
3543	8/30/2011	No	morris, kathy a	Yes
3544	8/30/2011	No	Knowles, Priscilla R	Yes
3545	8/30/2011	No	Pence, James	Yes
3546	8/30/2011	No	kullman, marie H	Yes
3547	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Grabiell, Marlee	Yes
3548	8/30/2011	No	eich, suzy	Yes
3549	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3550	8/30/2011	No	Kang, Betty A	Yes
3551	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yanakis, Kathleen	Yes
3552	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kurz, Don	Yes
3553	8/30/2011	No	Saul, John P	Yes
3554	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mackler, Donald G	Yes
3555	8/30/2011	No	Perez, Jennifer	Yes
3556	8/30/2011	No	currey, c	Yes
3557	8/30/2011	No	DeSantis, Richard	Yes
3558	8/30/2011	No	Coppotelli, Heide C	Yes
3559	8/30/2011	No	Roberson, William & Tamela	Yes
3560	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Marilyn	Yes
3561	8/30/2011	No	Ericta, Natasha	Yes
3562	8/30/2011	No	Horton, Sherrill	Yes
3563	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3564	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Black, Laurie L	Yes
3565	8/30/2011	No	Buchanan, Pat	Yes
3566	8/30/2011	No	Siebke, Janina	Yes
3567	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dalgleish, Kimberle	Yes
3568	8/30/2011	No	zarranz, amalia	Yes
3569	8/30/2011	No	Orlos, Annette M	Yes
3570	8/30/2011	No	Povill, Jon	Yes
3571	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hardegger, Dora	Yes
3572	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Crow, Kelly L	Yes
3573	8/30/2011	No	Herrera, Teresa M	Yes
3574	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morgan, Gina	Yes
3575	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3576	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rickenbach, Deborah	Yes
3577	8/30/2011	No	zouzaneas, sandy	Yes
3578	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Acuna, Sandy A	Yes
3579	8/30/2011	No	Fiore, John	Yes
3580	8/30/2011	No	Sakellaropoulo, Jambrina	Yes

0013737

3581	8/30/2011	No	Acerro, Theresa	Yes
3582	8/30/2011	No	Rosch, PJ	Yes
3583	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3584	8/30/2011	No	Freeman, Emily C	Yes
3585	8/30/2011	No	Joy, Lisa	Yes
3586	8/30/2011	No	Michaels, Ashley A	Yes
3587	8/30/2011	No	ABNER, C L	Yes
3588	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Riggs, Brent H	Yes
3589	8/30/2011	No	Mulcare, James S	Yes
3590	8/30/2011	No	Schlosberg, Beverly D	Yes
3591	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3592	8/30/2011	No	Dixon, Beverly A	Yes
3593	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Phillips, Jim	Yes
3594	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Robison, Amy	Yes
3595	8/30/2011	No	Warwick, April L	Yes
3596	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Warren, Brandi J	Yes
3597	8/30/2011	No	Gaal, Tiffany	Yes
3598	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Palmieri, Emily	Yes
3599	8/30/2011	No	Salerno, Marie J	Yes
3600	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3601	8/30/2011	No	Bodea, Benjamin	Yes
3602	8/30/2011	No	Rupp, ute H	Yes
3603	8/30/2011	No	Mattison, Lynda	Yes
3604	8/30/2011	No	Perry, Lisa E	Yes
3605	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Muraco, D	Yes
3606	8/30/2011	No	Sorrentino, Carolina n	Yes
3607	8/30/2011	No	Diggie, Gloria	Yes
3608	8/30/2011	No	Dix, Nancy	Yes
3609	8/30/2011	No	McDonald, Pamela S	Yes
3610	8/30/2011	No	Reid, Stephen	Yes
3611	8/30/2011	No	THOMAS-KRABBE, DONNA L	Yes
3612	8/30/2011	No	Briggs, Eleanor	Yes
3613	8/30/2011	No	Tyner, Barbara	Yes
3614	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jr., Robert D Kewan Jr.	Yes
3615	8/30/2011	No	Kirpes, Charles	Yes
3616	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schaeffer, Holly	Yes
3617	8/30/2011	No	Pontrelli, Sara R	Yes
3618	8/30/2011	No	Mearn, Julie	Yes
3619	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sheets, M.	Yes
3620	8/30/2011	No	Prudden, Beth A	Yes
3621	8/30/2011	No	aghdam, nasim	Yes
3622	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Randall N	Yes
3623	8/30/2011	No	Lynch, Laura	Yes
3624	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3625	8/30/2011	No	GILL, MEAGAN	Yes
3626	8/30/2011	No	vasquez, nancy c	Yes
3627	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3628	8/30/2011	No	Davis, Shirley L	Yes
3629	8/30/2011	No	Coates, Judith G	Yes
3630	8/30/2011	No	Cunningham, William &	Yes
3631	8/30/2011	No	Pry, B. J	Yes
3632	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3633	8/30/2011	No	Bauer, Evelyn	Yes
3634	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Carol L	Yes
3635	8/30/2011	No	Gathing, Nancy A	Yes

0013738

3636	8/30/2011	No	Ellen, Gayle	Yes
3637	8/30/2011	No	Schroeder, Natalie B	Yes
3638	8/30/2011	No	Ruffino, R	Yes
3639	8/30/2011	No	Chapman, Mary X	Yes
3640	8/30/2011	No	alpert, buzz	Yes
3641	8/30/2011	No	Rettenmayer, Joshua A	Yes
3642	8/30/2011	No	Talbert, Barbara A	Yes
3643	8/30/2011	No	Furgang, Ilene & Steve	Yes
3644	8/30/2011	No	Abdel, Rachel	Yes
3645	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3646	8/30/2011	No	Pope, Edward R	Yes
3647	8/30/2011	No	Simas, katie	Yes
3648	8/30/2011	No	Gamel, Sandra L	Yes
3649	8/30/2011	No	Cox, Joseph S	Yes
3650	8/30/2011	No	fielder, jeanne e	Yes
3651	8/30/2011	No	Monico, Michele	Yes
3652	8/30/2011	No	burnett, brenda j	Yes
3653	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Materi, Sandra L	Yes
3654	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	reilly, michael	Yes
3655	8/30/2011	No	Bushnell, Martha W D	Yes
3656	8/30/2011	No	Charnquist, Heidi	Yes
3657	8/30/2011	No	Bennett, Patricia A	Yes
3658	8/30/2011	No	Fields, Lisa A	Yes
3659	8/30/2011	No (0)	milstein, karen k	Yes
3660	8/30/2011	No	Finlay-Kochanowski, Jeannie R	Yes
3661	8/30/2011	No	Juhos, Jeanne K	Yes
3662	8/30/2011	No	Berman, Marcia	Yes
3663	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Brian M	Yes
3664	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frantz, Glenn	Yes
3665	8/30/2011	No	Hoeksema, Jason	Yes
3666	8/30/2011	No	Askounis, Anna C	Yes
3667	8/30/2011	No	Whitmore, Danby	Yes
3668	8/30/2011	No	Elliott, Robin L	Yes
3669	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Franco, Diana M	Yes
3670	8/30/2011	No	crawford, cindy m	Yes
3671	8/30/2011	No	FrainAguirre, Frances P	Yes
3672	8/30/2011	No	Gelles, Kat	Yes
3673	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wyatt, Cathy E	Yes
3674	8/30/2011	No	Kelsey, Allison E	Yes
3675	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3676	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3677	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Levine, Beth	Yes
3678	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	reilly, michael	Yes
3679	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Horlings, Rachel	Yes
3680	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ridolfo, Angela	Yes
3681	8/30/2011	No	Santee, Sam	Yes
3682	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hall, Jackie	Yes
3683	8/30/2011	No	Ostuno, Kyle	Yes
3684	8/30/2011	No	Bennett, Michael V L V L	Yes
3685	8/30/2011	No	Eskenazi, Stefanie	Yes
3686	8/30/2011	No	Maisonneuve, Mark	Yes
3687	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Marguerite	Yes
3688	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Mark C	Yes
3689	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3690	8/30/2011	No	Marshall, David L	Yes

0013739

3691	8/30/2011	No	Zulliger, Barbara J	Yes
3692	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Teresa E	Yes
3693	8/30/2011	No	Cobb, Dean E	Yes
3694	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	hunter, john	Yes
3695	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	ramos, nicole	Yes
3696	8/30/2011	No	Marshall, Gabrielle	Yes
3697	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Carroll, Susan	Yes
3698	8/30/2011	No	Kremer, Francina A	Yes
3699	8/30/2011	No	Acheson, Barbara	Yes
3700	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3701	8/30/2011	No	Faegre, Dirk	Yes
3702	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Eckerberg, Ralph C	Yes
3703	8/30/2011	No	Santangelo, Stephen	Yes
3704	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hajibrahim, Kristen	Yes
3705	8/30/2011	No	Blanchard, Thomas I	Yes
3706	8/30/2011	No	Heinz, Guenter	Yes
3707	8/30/2011	No	booth, erin	Yes
3708	8/30/2011	No	Krikorian, Linnell	Yes
3709	8/30/2011	No	Ferre, Melanie	Yes
3710	8/30/2011	No	Weinkle, David	Yes
3711	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3712	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Carol L	Yes
3713	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gaffney, Amber	Yes
3714	8/30/2011	No	Elliott, Terry L	Yes
3715	8/30/2011	No	Michels, Leah	Yes
3716	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Cortes, Sarah H	Yes
3717	8/30/2011	No	Pahre, James D	Yes
3718	8/30/2011	No	Bargiel, Paula	Yes
3719	8/30/2011	No	Ford, Kathy	Yes
3720	8/30/2011	No	Zirger, jean M	Yes
3721	8/30/2011	No (0)	Krieger, Robbie J	Yes
3722	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wilson, Kathy	Yes
3723	8/30/2011	No	Hayes-Budgen, Shawndra	Yes
3724	8/30/2011	No	dyne, mike v	Yes
3725	8/30/2011	No	Cummings, L	Yes
3726	8/30/2011	No	Patroni, Luisa	Yes
3727	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Weinstein, Naomi E	Yes
3728	8/30/2011	No	Freeman, Kyri	Yes
3729	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Conard, Judy	Yes
3730	8/30/2011	No	GASPAR, P	Yes
3731	8/30/2011	No	Davis, Liora	Yes
3732	8/30/2011	No	Deventer, Joshua Carmine Van	Yes
3733	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Burt, Kirsten	Yes
3734	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zoah-Henderson, Richard	Yes
3735	8/30/2011	No	Debasitis, Brian	Yes
3736	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lankton, Martha J	Yes
3737	8/30/2011	No	Goff, Frances A	Yes
3738	8/30/2011	No	Olson, Penny O	Yes
3739	8/30/2011	No	Arnold, Lee	Yes
3740	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	BACICH, DAVIE-BLUE	Yes
3741	8/30/2011	No	Rustenbeck, Margaret	Yes
3742	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, Rob	Yes
3743	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Diane	Yes
3744	8/30/2011	No	Fanizzo, Joanne	Yes
3745	8/30/2011	No	Lynch, Gayle	Yes

0013740

3746	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ezzo, Mark	Yes
3747	8/30/2011	No	Radu, Petronela	Yes
3748	8/30/2011	No	Stolk, Evan	Yes
3749	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3750	8/30/2011	No	Fordham, Judith	Yes
3751	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Watts, Elizabeth	Yes
3752	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	ANNECONE, LISA L	Yes
3753	8/30/2011	No	Morra, Tim	Yes
3754	8/30/2011	No	paleias, linda	Yes
3755	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McMahon, Anah	Yes
3756	8/30/2011	No (0)	Whitehouse, Judy K	Yes
3757	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Rosenthal, Jessie	Yes
3758	8/30/2011	No	Sclafani, Sherry	Yes
3759	8/30/2011	No	Semenchuk, Pauline	Yes
3760	8/30/2011	No	Wood, Tina	Yes
3761	8/30/2011	No	Singer, Robert	Yes
3762	8/30/2011	No	Ryan, Bill	Yes
3763	8/30/2011	No	Gates, Kathleen E	Yes
3764	8/30/2011	No	Allbritton, Kristen	Yes
3765	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Goode, Michael	Yes
3766	8/30/2011	No	DOrfman, Margot	Yes
3767	8/30/2011	No	Streeter, Julieta H	Yes
3768	8/30/2011	No	Albrecht, William	Yes
3769	8/30/2011	No (0)	Fischer, Joel C	Yes
3770	8/30/2011	No	Hale, T J	Yes
3771	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3772	8/30/2011	No	Bradley, Barbara J	Yes
3773	8/30/2011	No	Wolford, John	Yes
3774	8/30/2011	No	Williams, Debbie	Yes
3775	8/30/2011	No	Edgerton, Carol A	Yes
3776	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Turner, Chris	Yes
3777	8/30/2011	No	Fryer, Raymond E	Yes
3778	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Sandy	Yes
3779	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zirasri, Ran	Yes
3780	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wertenbaker, William	Yes
3781	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jackson, Jim	Yes
3782	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Phillips, Patricia	Yes
3783	8/30/2011	No (0)	Schulze, Albert	Yes
3784	8/30/2011	No (0)	Pappas, Melissa H	Yes
3785	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McKenzie, Brookes	Yes
3786	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Russell, Sean	Yes
3787	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3788	8/30/2011	No	Longenbaugh, John	Yes
3789	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Myers, Lindsay	Yes
3790	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3791	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	gott, nancy	Yes
3792	8/30/2011	No	Lee, Brendan	Yes
3793	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Marie, Roberta	Yes
3794	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3795	8/30/2011	No	Clark, Stephen C	Yes
3796	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Cofer, Rosalba	Yes
3797	8/30/2011	No	Roddy, Sheila	Yes
3798	8/30/2011	No	Reese, Sandy	Yes
3799	8/30/2011	No	Schaefer, Stacey M	Yes
3800	8/30/2011	No	Owen, Ken C	Yes

## 0013741

3801	8/30/2011	No	Galindo, Sabrina	Yes
3802	8/30/2011	No	Tippens, R A	Yes
3803	8/30/2011	No	Nosbaum, Jeffrey P	Yes
3804	8/30/2011	No	DeLeon, Brian	Yes
3805	8/30/2011	No	Hudson, Denise	Yes
3806	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ainsley, Brian	Yes
3807	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ryersbach, Zak J	Yes
3808	8/30/2011	No	Miller, April	Yes
3809	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McConahy, John	Yes
3810	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	slakmon, tsilla	Yes
3811	8/30/2011	No	Barnhart, Robert J	Yes
3812	8/30/2011	No	Douglas, Carolyn S	Yes
3813	8/30/2011	No	folliard, patricia a	Yes
3814	8/30/2011	No	Werbner, Laurie E	Yes
3815	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Halizak, Kimberly A	Yes
3816	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Christopher	Yes
3817	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dawson, Craig	Yes
3818	8/30/2011	No	McCarthy, M	Yes
3819	8/30/2011	No	Whitcomb, Matthew S	Yes
3820	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	mavromatis, anne	Yes
3821	8/30/2011	No	Knudten, Cori	Yes
3822	8/30/2011	No	Perry-Jones, jean	Yes
3823	8/30/2011	No	Rankin, Roxanne	Yes
3824	8/30/2011	No	Alderson, George and Frances	Yes
3825	8/30/2011	No	German, Dennis C	Yes
3826	8/30/2011	No	Lemieux, Louis	Yes
3827	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Mutch, Mary L	Yes
3828	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Billington, Danielle	Yes
3829	8/30/2011	No	Page, Nicholas	Yes
3830	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3831	8/30/2011	No	Maniscalco, Michele	Yes
3832	8/30/2011	No	Marshalek, Frank	Yes
3833	8/30/2011	No	Weigel, Molly	Yes
3834	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	DeFino, Jenny	Yes
3835	8/30/2011	No	Yee, C	Yes
3836	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3837	8/30/2011	No	lebda, Robinette	Yes
3838	8/30/2011	No	Birmingham, Bryce	Yes
3839	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3840	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Suarez-Hanson, Cassandra	Yes
3841	8/30/2011	No	Whittlesey, Marietta	Yes
3842	8/30/2011	No	Squire, Julie	Yes
3843	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Roots, Colleen N	Yes
3844	8/30/2011	No	Mayo-Cullen, Alexandra	Yes
3845	8/30/2011	No	McBride, Margaret D	Yes
3846	8/30/2011	No	Lambden, Corinne P	Yes
3847	8/30/2011	No	DVM, Lucy Maynard Starbuck,	Yes
3848	8/30/2011	No	DVM, Lucy Maynard Starbuck,	Yes
3849	8/30/2011	No	schaaf, carol j	Yes
3850	8/30/2011	No	Jacobs, Quida	Yes
3851	8/30/2011	No	macri, scott	Yes
3852	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3853	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Picard, Donald H	Yes
3854	8/30/2011	No	macri, scott	Yes
3855	8/30/2011	No	Yeager, Elizabth C	Yes

0013742

3856	8/30/2011	No (0)	Armstrong, Stephan	Yes
3857	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Barnes, Corey	Yes
3858	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rajagopal, Hari	Yes
3859	8/30/2011	No	Dare, Andra	Yes
3860	8/30/2011	No	KAPUSTKA, FRANKLIN J	Yes
3861	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3862	8/30/2011	No	DeHaven, Laura	Yes
3863	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Stiteler, Reggie	Yes
3864	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Susan	Yes
3865	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Fuglewicz, Joan E	Yes
3866	8/30/2011	No	August, Boyer c	Yes
3867	8/30/2011	No	Freeman, Helena M	Yes
3868	8/30/2011	No	Burrell, Donna	Yes
3869	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3870	8/30/2011	No	Vardzel, Jason	Yes
3871	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kruschwitz, Vicki	Yes
3872	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gentes, Mija	Yes
3873	8/30/2011	No	Demers, Sean	Yes
3874	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Fox, Eleanor J	Yes
3875	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	M, Kathleen	Yes
3876	8/30/2011	No	Meier-Sollberger, Annemarie P	Yes
3877	8/30/2011	No	Davidson, Mary E	Yes
3878	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hamlin, Leslie	Yes
3879	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wechsler, Susan	Yes
3880	8/30/2011	No	Adams", Mikanuk "Larry D. D.	Yes
3881	8/30/2011	No	Wooldridge, Robert A	Yes
3882	8/30/2011	No	Jr., Eddo H Feyen Jr.	Yes
3883	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rikard, Denise	Yes
3884	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Starr, Ben	Yes
3885	8/30/2011	No	Denniston, Glenda	Yes
3886	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ramirez, Natalie	Yes
3887	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kloberdanz, Ron	Yes
3888	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Sumner	Yes
3889	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Reczek, Bernadette M	Yes
3890	8/30/2011	No	HALM, ALISON E	Yes
3891	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jenkins, Jeff A	Yes
3892	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wingo, Kaylyn G	Yes
3893	8/30/2011	No	Cherzan, Deidra	Yes
3894	8/30/2011	No	Foxman, Rachel	Yes
3895	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Haysler, Stacey	Yes
3896	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Shedaker, Budd A	Yes
3897	8/30/2011	No	Wolfe, Barbara L	Yes
3898	8/30/2011	No	Ritacca, Jenniene	Yes
3899	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3900	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	solt, peter	Yes
3901	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3902	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Little, Godfrey L	Yes
3903	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gentes, Mija	Yes
3904	8/30/2011	No	Rauchweld, Henry	Yes
3905	8/30/2011	No	furlong, carolyn	Yes
3906	8/30/2011	No	Gotlib, Eva J	Yes
3907	8/30/2011	No	Nickerson, Nancy A	Yes
3908	8/30/2011	No	Brown, L.W.	Yes
3909	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Courser, A N	Yes
3910	8/30/2011	No	Crum, Robert	Yes

## 0013743

3911	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	lewis, kelly	Yes
3912	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3913	8/30/2011	No	Etherton, S.	Yes
3914	8/30/2011	No	Pannala, Bharat	Yes
3915	8/30/2011	No	Davidson, Jennifer	Yes
3916	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wieser, Anne O	Yes
3917	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Warner, Laura	Yes
3918	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Loki, Reynard	Yes
3919	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pipitone-Oliveto, Cecilia A	Yes
3920	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Baker-Smith, Gerritt and	Yes
3921	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Capasso, Bill	Yes
3922	8/30/2011	No	Stump, Shelley M	Yes
3923	8/30/2011	No	Little, Ryan	Yes
3924	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wilkinson, Barbara	Yes
3925	8/30/2011	No	David, Michael	Yes
3926	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3927	8/30/2011	No	Bevsek, Jean G	Yes
3928	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sofka, Frantisek	Yes
3929	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kloberdanz, Ron	Yes
3930	8/30/2011	No	Baldi, Christina	Yes
3931	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Sutton, Brian K	Yes
3932	8/30/2011	No	little, christina	Yes
3933	8/30/2011	No	Gentry,DD, Bishop Andrew	Yes
3934	8/30/2011	No	Eber, Franziska N	Yes
3935	8/30/2011	No	Orr, Joe	Yes
3936	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Little, Godfrey L	Yes
3937	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3938	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Waybright, Nicole	Yes
3939	8/30/2011	No	Nash, T M	Yes
3940	8/30/2011	No	Rigano, Kimberly	Yes
3941	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Payne, Bernadette	Yes
3942	8/30/2011	No	Check, Pamela A	Yes
3943	8/30/2011	No	Martini, Denise A	Yes
3944	8/30/2011	No	Dolph, Phyllis & Ivar R Dolph	Yes
3945	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ray, Richard	Yes
3946	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Daniel K	Yes
3947	8/30/2011	No	Canterbury, Krista	Yes
3948	8/30/2011	No	Panebianco, Darlene	Yes
3949	8/30/2011	No	Hoffman, Curtis and Jane	Yes
3950	8/30/2011	No	Byekk, Barbara B	Yes
3951	8/30/2011	No	knapp, deb k	Yes
3952	8/30/2011	No	McKee, Sally J	Yes
3953	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Prudhomme, Carl C	Yes
3954	8/30/2011	No	hendrickson, alec p	Yes
3955	8/30/2011	No	Nachlinger, Sylvia M	Yes
3956	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Graver, Chuck E	Yes
3957	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Pierre A	Yes
3958	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Anita B	Yes
3959	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Houseman, Chris J	Yes
3960	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
3961	8/30/2011	No	Jacoby, Phyllis	Yes
3962	8/30/2011	No	Hess, Carolyn	Yes
3963	8/30/2011	No	Slosarski, Yvonne	Yes
3964	8/30/2011	No	Boone, Jim L	Yes
3965	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Payne, Bernadette	Yes



## 0013744

3966	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Billington, Danielle	Yes
3967	8/30/2011	No	Stocker, Nancy A	Yes
3968	8/30/2011	No	Pitchford, jayne	Yes
3969	8/30/2011	No	Schick, Nancy R	Yes
3970	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Johnston, Philip W	Yes
3971	8/30/2011	No	matheny, MaryJo H	Yes
3972	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lodge, Bill F	Yes
3973	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Leonard, Amanda	Yes
3974	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Frymoyer, Allison	Yes
3975	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	johnson, melanie R	Yes
3976	8/30/2011	No	Ray, Dorothy H	Yes
3977	8/30/2011	No	Scheiblauer, Joseph K	Yes
3978	8/30/2011	No	Zucker, Marguery L	Yes
3979	8/30/2011	No	Peery, David T	Yes
3980	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Libby	Yes
3981	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
3982	8/30/2011	No	Cleere, Erin	Yes
3983	8/30/2011	No	Ilgin, Rick	Yes
3984	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chase, Linda C	Yes
3985	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gardner, Annah	Yes
3986	8/30/2011	No	Sullivan, Gail	Yes
3987	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Sasha R	Yes
3988	8/30/2011	No (0)	Taussig, N. F	Yes
3989	8/30/2011	No	Setter, Monika P	Yes
3990	8/30/2011	No	flannery, holly s	Yes
3991	8/30/2011	No	Shipe, Mary A	Yes
3992	8/30/2011	No	Pitts, Teresa	Yes
3993	8/30/2011	No (0)	Vollmer, Alexander B	Yes
3994	8/30/2011	No	pjura, james m	Yes
3995	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Shepherd, Philip B	Yes
3996	8/30/2011	No	Nix, Kathy	Yes
3997	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lockard, Amy	Yes
3998	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goral, Eddie B	Yes
3999	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Lyle D	Yes
4000	8/30/2011	No	Goodwin, Ariane	Yes
4001	8/30/2011	No (0)	Nickols, Trudy	Yes
4002	8/30/2011	No	Ayers, Barbara	Yes
4003	8/30/2011	No	Leonhart, Mary B	Yes
4004	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	dugan, julia a	Yes
4005	8/30/2011	No	mansell, eva	Yes
4006	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Daily, G A	Yes
4007	8/30/2011	No	Dirsa, Megan	Yes
4008	8/30/2011	No	Spokony, Irving B	Yes
4009	8/30/2011	No	Martinez, Janie	Yes
4010	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sandel, Norman	Yes
4011	8/30/2011	No	Spradley, Michelle A	Yes
4012	8/30/2011	No	Krueger, Janet	Yes
4013	8/30/2011	No	Zamzow, Heidi	Yes
4014	8/30/2011	No	Haake, Sue	Yes
4015	8/30/2011	No	Wirth, Victoria A	Yes
4016	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4017	8/30/2011	No	Phillips, Andrew	Yes
4018	8/30/2011	No (0)	Shimata, Kathy	Yes
4019	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4020	8/30/2011	No	Lazowski, Gerri	Yes

## 0013745

4021	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4022	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4023	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Henning, Brian J	Yes
4024	8/30/2011	No	Langlitz, Linda C	Yes
4025	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kurland, Anthony M	Yes
4026	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Frank D	Yes
4027	8/30/2011	No	Benitez, D	Yes
4028	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4029	8/30/2011	No	Wright, Penelope J	Yes
4030	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4031	8/30/2011	No	Stibitz, Susan	Yes
4032	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kardell, Gregory P	Yes
4033	8/30/2011	No	B, kelly	Yes
4034	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gilchriist, Elizabeth	Yes
4035	8/30/2011	No (0)	Fremaux, Charlotte	Yes
4036	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4037	8/30/2011	No	III, RICHARD JOHN SISSON III	Yes
4038	8/30/2011	No	Berkshire, David C	Yes
4039	8/30/2011	No (0)	willing, richard e	Yes
4040	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4041	8/30/2011	No	Spellerberg, Jeff	Yes
4042	8/30/2011	No	wisboro, judy	Yes
4043	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Youngs, Alex	Yes
4044	8/30/2011	No	Boland, Donna M	Yes
4045	8/30/2011	No	Brown, L.	Yes
4046	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Crow, Jacqueline A	Yes
4047	8/30/2011	No	Balboni, therese	Yes
4048	8/30/2011	No	DVM, Lucy Maynard Starbuck,	Yes
4049	8/30/2011	No (0)	Karsten, Annetta	Yes
4050	8/30/2011	No	Hernandez, Eloy J	Yes
4051	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fromme, Jacki	Yes
4052	8/30/2011	No	Salzer, Anne E	Yes
4053	8/30/2011	No	Rich, Patti	Yes
4054	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Bottesch, Marla	Yes
4055	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Garber, Marc	Yes
4056	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Legaard, Kasey R	Yes
4057	8/30/2011	No	Lincoln, Marcia B	Yes
4058	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4059	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Haar, Lisa A Vonder Haar	Yes
4060	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wallin, William M	Yes
4061	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Patzer, Debra D	Yes
4062	8/30/2011	No	Waters, Michelle	Yes
4063	8/30/2011	No	Mitchell, Michelle E	Yes
4064	8/30/2011	No	Zollinger, Susan M	Yes
4065	8/30/2011	No	Self, Trevor	Yes
4066	8/30/2011	No	Page, Barbara T	Yes
4067	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DAITCH, A.J.	Yes
4068	8/30/2011	No	Hayn, Claude	Yes
4069	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bennett, Virginia	Yes
4070	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kennedy, Richard T	Yes
4071	8/30/2011	No	RAII, Carol	Yes
4072	8/30/2011	No	Bremigan, Ralph	Yes
4073	8/30/2011	No	Louie, Vincent	Yes
4074	8/30/2011	No	Wheat, Mary E	Yes
4075	8/30/2011	No	Libert, Sharon R	Yes

## 0013746

4076	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Boe, Mary	Yes
4077	8/30/2011	No	edgar, william r	Yes
4078	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Diana E	Yes
4079	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4080	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sayago, Sammy	Yes
4081	8/30/2011	No	Butts, Ryan	Yes
4082	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Layne T	Yes
4083	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gustin, Amy	Yes
4084	8/30/2011	No	Meyer, David S	Yes
4085	8/30/2011	No	Bradfield, Ruth J	Yes
4086	8/30/2011	No	Rominger, Nancy L	Yes
4087	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brown, Donna	Yes
4088	8/30/2011	No	Halizak, Kimberly A	Yes
4089	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, John S	Yes
4090	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kay, Joel r	Yes
4091	8/30/2011	No	Shah-Rais, Mariam	Yes
4092	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Skirbunt-Kozabo, William S	Yes
4093	8/30/2011	No (0)	Graham, Susan K	Yes
4094	8/30/2011	No	Wells, Andrea	Yes
4095	8/30/2011	No	Butterfield, Yevone M	Yes
4096	8/30/2011	No	Staniunas, Cathy J	Yes
4097	8/30/2011	No	mehl, roxanne	Yes
4098	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Swanson, Kristen A	Yes
4099	8/30/2011	No	P., John P	Yes
4100	8/30/2011	No	Griffin, Antonia	Yes
4101	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4102	8/30/2011	No	Benzel, Lonnie	Yes
4103	8/30/2011	No	Morse, Louise A	Yes
4104	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hoke, Laura E	Yes
4105	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Spooner, Austin K	Yes
4106	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Heidi B	Yes
4107	8/30/2011	No	Fleming, Sabrina W	Yes
4108	8/30/2011	No	Coe, Carol	Yes
4109	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Good, Barbara A	Yes
4110	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kobayashi, Anne M	Yes
4111	8/30/2011	No	Deacy, Bob	Yes
4112	8/30/2011	No (0)	Anderson, Helen F	Yes
4113	8/30/2011	No	Morley, Norman n	Yes
4114	8/30/2011	No	blink, kieren van den blink	Yes
4115	8/30/2011	No (0)	Petersen, Jonathan E	Yes
4116	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Donahue, Keelin M	Yes
4117	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
4118	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cervantes, Louie P	Yes
4119	8/30/2011	No	williams, cris a	Yes
4120	8/30/2011	No	Sotak, Robert	Yes
4121	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ogden, Hope	Yes
4122	8/30/2011	No	azzara, sherry	Yes
4123	8/30/2011	No	Black, Jade L	Yes
4124	8/30/2011	No (0)	Mackay, Norm	Yes
4125	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Skup, Debra	Yes
4126	8/30/2011	No	taber, gloria	Yes
4127	8/30/2011	No	Velten, Michael	Yes
4128	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Swanson, Kristen A	Yes
4129	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Silver, Michael	Yes
4130	8/30/2011	No	Menefee, Rose M	Yes

0013747

4131	8/30/2011	No	Cadiz, Patricia B	Yes
4132	8/30/2011	No	Backman, Karen H	Yes
4133	8/30/2011	No	Payne, Jeff	Yes
4134	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Travers, Laurinda J	Yes
4135	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, Robbie	Yes
4136	8/30/2011	No	Foster, Christopher N	Yes
4137	8/30/2011	No	Aiken, Jean	Yes
4138	8/30/2011	No	Bott, David	Yes
4139	8/30/2011	No	brown, john c	Yes
4140	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burton, Vic	Yes
4141	8/30/2011	No	Greenstein, Barry M	Yes
4142	8/30/2011	No	Rhodemyre, Kimberly	Yes
4143	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Webb, Jeanette	Yes
4144	8/30/2011	No (0)	Finlon, Maureen A	Yes
4145	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Klasey, Janet L	Yes
4146	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Atkins, Taren	Yes
4147	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Owen, Joseph	Yes
4148	8/30/2011	No	prok, mike t	Yes
4149	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4150	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Chase, Janet C	Yes
4151	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4152	8/30/2011	No	Dundon, Mo L	Yes
4153	8/30/2011	No	Arntson, David C	Yes
4154	8/30/2011	No	Clampitt, Bryan	Yes
4155	8/30/2011	No	Patamia, Steven E	Yes
4156	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4157	8/30/2011	No	Kao, Jane F	Yes
4158	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McLaughlin, Janet H	Yes
4159	8/30/2011	No	Fay, Gretchen M	Yes
4160	8/30/2011	No	Truitt, Craig	Yes
4161	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bent, Nancy	Yes
4162	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Martin, Jeff	Yes
4163	8/30/2011	No	Trout, Susan L	Yes
4164	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4165	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4166	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4167	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Craig, Laura	Yes
4168	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Sekkidou, Eliana A	Yes
4169	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Tracy L	Yes
4170	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4171	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rilling, Gerald	Yes
4172	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dougherty, Colin	Yes
4173	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Oltarzewski, Diane F	Yes
4174	8/30/2011	No	Megles, Suzana M	Yes
4175	8/30/2011	No	Hartt, Carolyn J	Yes
4176	8/30/2011	No	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
4177	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Mudrick, Stephen	Yes
4178	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Houck, Carol	Yes
4179	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Fernandez, Denise	Yes
4180	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lorand, John P	Yes
4181	8/30/2011	No	Mirate, Jeffrey S	Yes
4182	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4183	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4184	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Canning, Allison R	Yes
4185	8/30/2011	No	DeMarco, Joseph	Yes

0013748

4186	8/30/2011	No	Berkeley, Carol A	Yes
4187	8/30/2011	No	Zirkle, Deb	Yes
4188	8/30/2011	No	Hale, Michele	Yes
4189	8/30/2011	No	Conroy, Kearin C	Yes
4190	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Parker, Barbara K	Yes
4191	8/30/2011	No	Rossi, Patricia A	Yes
4192	8/30/2011	No	Averett, A.J.	Yes
4193	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Timmerman, Pamela J	Yes
4194	8/30/2011	No	Helms, Alexandra	Yes
4195	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Winegar, Karin L	Yes
4196	8/30/2011	No	Smart, Kathy	Yes
4197	8/30/2011	No	McBrian, Carol F	Yes
4198	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Schaefer, Robin G	Yes
4199	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Zuckerman, Barry	Yes
4200	8/30/2011	No	branyan, jane e	Yes
4201	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Camuso, Kim	Yes
4202	8/30/2011	No	Hackett, Susan	Yes
4203	8/30/2011	No	Stone, Brenda	Yes
4204	8/30/2011	No	Buonocore, Jim	Yes
4205	8/30/2011	No	Deal, Jeffrey G	Yes
4206	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Nelson, Paul	Yes
4207	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tidwell, Andrea	Yes
4208	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Jenkins, Janell	Yes
4209	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Shirley	Yes
4210	8/30/2011	No	allen, denise m	Yes
4211	8/30/2011	No (0)	Panzica, Marguerite	Yes
4212	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	jessler, darynne	Yes
4213	8/30/2011	No	Aguirre, Dr. Mary Ellen -	Yes
4214	8/30/2011	No	green, john	Yes
4215	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fernandez, Marlana	Yes
4216	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4217	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Velarde, Mario	Yes
4218	8/30/2011	No	Campbell, Grant	Yes
4219	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Garbrick, Kathe	Yes
4220	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hughes, Talia	Yes
4221	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Walsh, Carolyn	Yes
4222	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Swan, Linda L	Yes
4223	8/30/2011	No (0)	Sparling, David	Yes
4224	8/30/2011	No	King, Cathleen A	Yes
4225	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schmidt, Ann E	Yes
4226	8/30/2011	No	Frisk, Charles L	Yes
4227	8/30/2011	No	Fowler, Londa	Yes
4228	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Logsdon, Phylis A	Yes
4229	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	dodge, donald	Yes
4230	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hurd, rachelle	Yes
4231	8/30/2011	No	Strollo, Michael A	Yes
4232	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hatcher, Erika	Yes
4233	8/30/2011	No	bayerl, harold J	Yes
4234	8/30/2011	No	Chirgwin, Deb J	Yes
4235	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Robbins, Linda L	Yes
4236	8/30/2011	No	J, Phillip	Yes
4237	8/30/2011	No	Tabin, jean N	Yes
4238	8/30/2011	No	Hooker, Rebecca E	Yes
4239	8/30/2011	No	Stahl, James E	Yes
4240	8/30/2011	No	Bushmsn, Sharon	Yes

0013749

4241	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Franz	Yes
4242	8/30/2011	No	Krone, Jeannene J	Yes
4243	8/30/2011	No	gardner, julie a	Yes
4244	8/30/2011	No	York, Red L	Yes
4245	8/30/2011	No	Klinke, David	Yes
4246	8/30/2011	No	Jerome, Wendy	Yes
4247	8/30/2011	No (0)	Monthie, Drew	Yes
4248	8/30/2011	No	Vigil, Simona	Yes
4249	8/30/2011	No (0)	Simon, Cynthia L	Yes
4250	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Markowski, Kevin	Yes
4251	8/30/2011	No	Rickman, Roz	Yes
4252	8/30/2011	No	Alzuro, Hernan	Yes
4253	8/30/2011	No	Barker, Lynn	Yes
4254	8/30/2011	No	Levine, Lark	Yes
4255	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sanchez, Anthony	Yes
4256	8/30/2011	No	Wambach, Gerald	Yes
4257	8/30/2011	No	Watson, FRan	Yes
4258	8/30/2011	No	Wickham, Gary M	Yes
4259	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	David, Jack	Yes
4260	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Drwinga, Helen	Yes
4261	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gimple, Ian	Yes
4262	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
4263	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4264	8/30/2011	No	Murdza, Mariealaina J	Yes
4265	8/30/2011	No	Maren, Kelsey	Yes
4266	8/30/2011	No	Powers, Eva A	Yes
4267	8/30/2011	No	pierce, judy	Yes
4268	8/30/2011	No	Hoffman, Nancy A	Yes
4269	8/30/2011	No	Fogarty, Dan/Paula	Yes
4270	8/30/2011	No	Hilton, Charlene	Yes
4271	8/30/2011	No	Holleman, Frank S	Yes
4272	8/30/2011	No	Ball, Evelyn M	Yes
4273	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McDonald, Claude	Yes
4274	8/30/2011	No	Ph.D., Raymond Clark Telfair	Yes
4275	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brignac, Kathryn L	Yes
4276	8/30/2011	No	James, Kate	Yes
4277	8/30/2011	No	Dwyer, Kathleen	Yes
4278	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4279	8/30/2011	No	Borriello, Christy	Yes
4280	8/30/2011	No	Adams III, George A	Yes
4281	8/30/2011	No	Sanders, Joe	Yes
4282	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wood, Chris H	Yes
4283	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kligman, Adrienne J	Yes
4284	8/30/2011	No	Reeves, Ella	Yes
4285	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ditzler, Mark F	Yes
4286	8/30/2011	No	Dresbach, Christopher A	Yes
4287	8/30/2011	No	Kawa, Sandra M	Yes
4288	8/30/2011	No	Ridgeway, Bill	Yes
4289	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McShane, Brian	Yes
4290	8/30/2011	No	Gunde, Eva	Yes
4291	8/30/2011	No	Kuhn, Gerald K	Yes
4292	8/30/2011	No	Boehme, Evelyn	Yes
4293	8/30/2011	No	Paul, Desiree de la Vergne St.	Yes
4294	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Aranda, Gail	Yes
4295	8/30/2011	No	Rowe, D.	Yes

0013750

4296	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Marquis, Julie	Yes
4297	8/30/2011	No	Tran, Danielle	Yes
4298	8/30/2011	No	MAZZA, PENELOPE S	Yes
4299	8/30/2011	No	Margolis, Yasuko	Yes
4300	8/30/2011	No (0)	Auld, Tammie	Yes
4301	8/30/2011	No	Schaefer, Sarah E	Yes
4302	8/30/2011	No	Seltzer, Elizabeth E	Yes
4303	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Roen, Kristi L	Yes
4304	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Southerland, Ada L	Yes
4305	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Loveless, Karyn	Yes
4306	8/30/2011	No	Stubbs, Susan	Yes
4307	8/30/2011	No	Romer, Elke	Yes
4308	8/30/2011	No	Blair, David	Yes
4309	8/30/2011	No	Babcock, Karen S	Yes
4310	8/30/2011	No	Roberson, Mary H	Yes
4311	8/30/2011	No	Coscarelli, Nancy J	Yes
4312	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4313	8/30/2011	No	Il, Roger A	Yes
4314	8/30/2011	No	Shanahan, Antonia	Yes
4315	8/30/2011	No	Turcotte, Roxanne L	Yes
4316	8/30/2011	No	Stoltenberg, John and Martha	Yes
4317	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4318	8/30/2011	No (0)	Atterbury, Philippa C	Yes
4319	8/30/2011	No	Holbrook, David	Yes
4320	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Black, Monica n/a Latka Black	Yes
4321	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Guben, Merry B	Yes
4322	8/30/2011	No	Sanford, Timothy R	Yes
4323	8/30/2011	No	Green, Kerstin	Yes
4324	8/30/2011	No	Weber, Nicole	Yes
4325	8/30/2011	No	Anderton, Alice	Yes
4326	8/30/2011	No	Daniel, S.	Yes
4327	8/30/2011	No	Whitcomb, Janis	Yes
4328	8/30/2011	No	Hill, Carol R	Yes
4329	8/30/2011	Master (609013)	Ferreira, Rita	Yes
4330	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reed, Brandon	Yes
4331	8/30/2011	No	Sanders, Joe	Yes
4332	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Etgen, Benjamin	Yes
4333	8/30/2011	No	Alband, Linda	Yes
4334	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Susan D	Yes
4335	8/30/2011	No	Perez-Ortiz, Pedro	Yes
4336	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ausman, Candi	Yes
4337	8/30/2011	No	Stephens, Susan p	Yes
4338	8/30/2011	No	A., A.	Yes
4339	8/30/2011	No	Manus, Chelle	Yes
4340	8/30/2011	No	Kittner, Lorraine F	Yes
4341	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4342	8/30/2011	No	Culbert, Laurette	Yes
4343	8/30/2011	No	Frigo, Dina T	Yes
4344	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ehmann, Cheryl	Yes
4345	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4346	8/30/2011	No	Verkamp, Susan	Yes
4347	8/30/2011	No	Harshman, Christine o	Yes
4348	8/30/2011	No	Morello, B	Yes
4349	8/30/2011	No	Horsburgh, Suzanne	Yes
4350	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	magnuson, Christine	Yes

0013751

4351	8/30/2011	No	Rowen, Linda D	Yes
4352	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4353	8/30/2011	No	Oliveira, O C	Yes
4354	8/30/2011	No	wEYHRICH, Patty A	Yes
4355	8/30/2011	No	Bork, Annette	Yes
4356	8/30/2011	No	Westrich, Jennifer	Yes
4357	8/30/2011	No	Jr, Robert C	Yes
4358	8/30/2011	No	Hughes, Andy	Yes
4359	8/30/2011	No	Beischel, Adrienne L	Yes
4360	8/30/2011	No	fairless, caroline s	Yes
4361	8/30/2011	No	Masucci, Cara J	Yes
4362	8/30/2011	No	Black, Angela	Yes
4363	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Weinberger, Mark	Yes
4364	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Valencia, Suzanne M	Yes
4365	8/30/2011	No	Beavers, Nancy	Yes
4366	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	E., Roberta	Yes
4367	8/30/2011	No	Whisnant, Adam W	Yes
4368	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Easum, Ellen	Yes
4369	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Newton, Carol A	Yes
4370	8/30/2011	No	Sendil, Alp	Yes
4371	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4372	8/30/2011	No	Escajeda, mark S	Yes
4373	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sloan, Joshua C	Yes
4374	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bragg, Miranda	Yes
4375	8/30/2011	No	Rechner, Diane L	Yes
4376	8/30/2011	No	Jampsa, Paula	Yes
4377	8/30/2011	No	Houlihan, Judith	Yes
4378	8/30/2011	No	aaron, allysa	Yes
4379	8/30/2011	No	DiGiulio, Ariel K	Yes
4380	8/30/2011	No	ewalt, Maximilienne	Yes
4381	8/30/2011	No	Waller, Paul	Yes
4382	8/30/2011	No	Mauney, Harry F	Yes
4383	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	DeMairo, Pauline L	Yes
4384	8/30/2011	No	Myers, Sue A	Yes
4385	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	szamreta, joanne m	Yes
4386	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4387	8/30/2011	No	Silversmith, Linda	Yes
4388	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4389	8/30/2011	No	Vlasopolos, Anca	Yes
4390	8/30/2011	No	Shanahan, Antonia	Yes
4391	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	F, Amy	Yes
4392	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4393	8/30/2011	No	Shenkman, Anita	Yes
4394	8/30/2011	No	Fedas, Robin c	Yes
4395	8/30/2011	No	Sircy, Otice C	Yes
4396	8/30/2011	No	Almeida, Irene	Yes
4397	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Muzychka, Rebecca D	Yes
4398	8/30/2011	No	Kasper, Cheryl L	Yes
4399	8/30/2011	No	Larsen, Suzanne	Yes
4400	8/30/2011	No	eschen, john t	Yes
4401	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Tidwell, Amber	Yes
4402	8/30/2011	No	Hosek, Ruth S	Yes
4403	8/30/2011	No	, Bev H	Yes
4404	8/30/2011	No	Piihl, Stacy	Yes
4405	8/30/2011	No	Manship, William K	Yes



0013752

4406	8/30/2011	No	fox, sandra	Yes
4407	8/30/2011	No	Tustin, Wayne	Yes
4408	8/30/2011	No	McMullin, William	Yes
4409	8/30/2011	No	Gray, Roberta	Yes
4410	8/30/2011	No	Cloonan, Kathleen S	Yes
4411	8/30/2011	No	Bloom, Steve	Yes
4412	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Tanner, Ralph W	Yes
4413	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Santos, Sandra d	Yes
4414	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Daponte, Manuel	Yes
4415	8/30/2011	No	Romer, Richard	Yes
4416	8/30/2011	No	Eickstaedt, Allen	Yes
4417	8/30/2011	No (0)	Allison, Kelly	Yes
4418	8/30/2011	No	Franzen, Amy K	Yes
4419	8/30/2011	No	Argiro, Francesca A	Yes
4420	8/30/2011	No	Overfield, Walter C	Yes
4421	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cannon, John R	Yes
4422	8/30/2011	No	linhart, june r	Yes
4423	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, Robert	Yes
4424	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Nuesch, Raymond	Yes
4425	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4426	8/30/2011	No	groshardt, joanne	Yes
4427	8/30/2011	No	Seeber, Gil	Yes
4428	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4429	8/30/2011	No	Stein, Carl	Yes
4430	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tidwell, Amber	Yes
4431	8/30/2011	No	Branch, Clair W	Yes
4432	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Field, Elizabeth	Yes
4433	8/30/2011	No	Thirloway, Melissa	Yes
4434	8/30/2011	No	lewis, j	Yes
4435	8/30/2011	No	Bolton, Courtney	Yes
4436	8/30/2011	No	Lapadot, Sandra	Yes
4437	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Punkay, Eric M	Yes
4438	8/30/2011	No	Verga, Carol A	Yes
4439	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Graves, Caryn R	Yes
4440	8/30/2011	No	sheffer, mike r	Yes
4441	8/30/2011	No	Leiseroff, Miriam F	Yes
4442	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Michael	Yes
4443	8/30/2011	No	Adams, Martha	Yes
4444	8/30/2011	No	Power, Liz	Yes
4445	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Graham, Lynn	Yes
4446	8/30/2011	No	Gripentrog, Ashia M	Yes
4447	8/30/2011	No	Botts, Andrea	Yes
4448	8/30/2011	No	King, Marilyn	Yes
4449	8/30/2011	No	Crossley, Richard	Yes
4450	8/30/2011	No	Trevillian, Linda	Yes
4451	8/30/2011	No	West, Sherri	Yes
4452	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4453	8/30/2011	No	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
4454	8/30/2011	No	Middleton, Elizabeth A	Yes
4455	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	huddleston, leah	Yes
4456	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zuckerman, Barry	Yes
4457	8/30/2011	No	Dearbeck, April E	Yes
4458	8/30/2011	No	Sellers, Wendy L	Yes
4459	8/30/2011	No	Heilman, Robert J	Yes
4460	8/30/2011	No	Watkins, Maria	Yes

## 0013753

4461	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4462	8/30/2011	No	Griffith, Clayton V	Yes
4463	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pitt, Terry L	Yes
4464	8/30/2011	No	Tabb, Linda	Yes
4465	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rovner, Michelle	Yes
4466	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hattaway, C	Yes
4467	8/30/2011	No	Schwartz, Elizabeth	Yes
4468	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Merrick, Judy	Yes
4469	8/30/2011	No	Corby, Dawn	Yes
4470	8/30/2011	No	Leatham, Robbie M	Yes
4471	8/30/2011	No	Holsinger, Diane	Yes
4472	8/30/2011	No	Cherney, kaethe	Yes
4473	8/30/2011	No	Nahigian, Kenneth E	Yes
4474	8/30/2011	No	Waldner, Carol L	Yes
4475	8/30/2011	No	O'Neill, Fredrick D	Yes
4476	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kenney, Ellen	Yes
4477	8/30/2011	No (0)	Markus, MARY M	Yes
4478	8/30/2011	No	wright, vivienne a	Yes
4479	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mason, Mary	Yes
4480	8/30/2011	No	Holtkamp, Elizabeth A	Yes
4481	8/30/2011	No	Leonard, Richard D	Yes
4482	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4483	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brown, Michelle C	Yes
4484	8/30/2011	No	Night, Nicholas	Yes
4485	8/30/2011	No (0)	Price, Linda F	Yes
4486	8/30/2011	No	Withrow, Amanda	Yes
4487	8/30/2011	No	Borger-Ness, Diane R	Yes
4488	8/30/2011	No (0)	Miller, D	Yes
4489	8/30/2011	No	Ransom, S	Yes
4490	8/30/2011	No	jensen, diane	Yes
4491	8/30/2011	No	Lyke, Linda	Yes
4492	8/30/2011	No	Myers, F. R	Yes
4493	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4494	8/30/2011	No	Hoffer, Cindy L	Yes
4495	8/30/2011	No	Nguyen, Tuduyen A	Yes
4496	8/30/2011	No	Hollingsworth, Beverly	Yes
4497	8/30/2011	No	Schodt, Serena M	Yes
4498	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Galvanek, Janel B	Yes
4499	8/30/2011	No	Berkemeyer, Will B	Yes
4500	8/30/2011	No	Gibson, Patricia L	Yes
4501	8/30/2011	No	Richter, Leslie	Yes
4502	8/30/2011	No	Martinez, O C	Yes
4503	8/30/2011	No	Clark, Donna	Yes
4504	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	chere, john	Yes
4505	8/30/2011	No	Hulterstrum, Debra A	Yes
4506	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cramer, Marilyn	Yes
4507	8/30/2011	No	King, Lori E	Yes
4508	8/30/2011	No	Sottile, Lisa	Yes
4509	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schultz, Wm	Yes
4510	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hanley, Zoe	Yes
4511	8/30/2011	No (0)	Parmly, Tim	Yes
4512	8/30/2011	No	King, Lori E	Yes
4513	8/30/2011	No	Haugabook, Michaela M	Yes
4514	8/30/2011	No	Pudwell, Erich A	Yes
4515	8/30/2011	No (0)	S, Clare	Yes

0013754

4516	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Casacky, Teresa M	Yes
4517	8/30/2011	No	Casacky, Teresa M	Yes
4518	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4519	8/30/2011	No	Casacky, Teresa M	Yes
4520	8/30/2011	No	Casacky, Teresa M	Yes
4521	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ruga, Ashlyn	Yes
4522	8/30/2011	No	Bickel, Elizabeth F	Yes
4523	8/30/2011	No	STELLATO, ROBERT P	Yes
4524	8/30/2011	No	Bredemeier, Nancy	Yes
4525	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hanley, Zoe	Yes
4526	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hilton, Carol	Yes
4527	8/30/2011	No	Huffman, James W	Yes
4528	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Baum, Rhona	Yes
4529	8/30/2011	No	Mackay, Donald S	Yes
4530	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Rosenthal, Jutta E	Yes
4531	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, Luanne H S	Yes
4532	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4533	8/30/2011	No (0)	Laughlin, Cindy	Yes
4534	8/30/2011	No	Serra, Ruth	Yes
4535	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4536	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	BRADSHAW, SUSAN L	Yes
4537	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Brenner-Ward, Isis	Yes
4538	8/30/2011	No	Scott, Dee	Yes
4539	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gaskill, Melissa	Yes
4540	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gathing, Nancy	Yes
4541	8/30/2011	No	Pittenger, Karen W	Yes
4542	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	firethorne, aristana	Yes
4543	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Indig, Emily	Yes
4544	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tays, Shawn M	Yes
4545	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mullane, Sharon A	Yes
4546	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gritton, Melody	Yes
4547	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gowens, Edward L	Yes
4548	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Duncan, William R	Yes
4549	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lux-Thompson, Laura	Yes
4550	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Holter, Norbert	Yes
4551	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	escott, alex	Yes
4552	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jelen, Jonathan	Yes
4553	8/30/2011	No	restivo, kelly	Yes
4554	8/30/2011	No	Marquardt, Steve R	Yes
4555	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zhapbarbergenova, Guloim	Yes
4556	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ferris, Veronica J	Yes
4557	8/30/2011	No	Wallach, Aleta	Yes
4558	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4559	8/30/2011	No	McFerran, Michele L	Yes
4560	8/30/2011	No	Svendsen, Pamela	Yes
4561	8/30/2011	No	Williams, Michael	Yes
4562	8/30/2011	No	Lear, Christina J	Yes
4563	8/30/2011	No	Preisser, Alexis	Yes
4564	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Moore, Patricia	Yes
4565	8/30/2011	No	becker, cary	Yes
4566	8/30/2011	No	Barnes, Ann-Elizabeth	Yes
4567	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4568	8/30/2011	No	O'Connor, Susanne M	Yes
4569	8/30/2011	No	Daza, Carryl	Yes
4570	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mahoney-Watson, William A	Yes

0013755

4571	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McFletcher, Liselle	Yes
4572	8/30/2011	No	D'ERRICO, DIDI	Yes
4573	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4574	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4575	8/30/2011	No	Joern, Sara	Yes
4576	8/30/2011	No	Katheiser, Laini	Yes
4577	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	tikalsky, nancy j	Yes
4578	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Herman, Scott E	Yes
4579	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Procopio, Alejandro	Yes
4580	8/30/2011	No	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
4581	8/30/2011	No	Ramirez, Karen G	Yes
4582	8/30/2011	No	Daumas, Rossana	Yes
4583	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4584	8/30/2011	No	Frank, Douglas	Yes
4585	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Piser, Daniel	Yes
4586	8/30/2011	No	REVESZ, & B	Yes
4587	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kelly, Ann	Yes
4588	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Rimes, Carrie Lynne Phyliky	Yes
4589	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Symonds, Anna	Yes
4590	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4591	8/30/2011	No	Paul, Richard L	Yes
4592	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mukai, Marianne	Yes
4593	8/30/2011	No	Whitesides, Marilyn	Yes
4594	8/30/2011	No	Cooley, Paul R	Yes
4595	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Higgins, Janice	Yes
4596	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kessler, Marjorie A	Yes
4597	8/30/2011	No	Unger, Art	Yes
4598	8/30/2011	No	Hernandez, Noemi	Yes
4599	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lippman, Susan	Yes
4600	8/30/2011	No	Tiedeman, Ann	Yes
4601	8/30/2011	No (0)	Clark, Bettie F	Yes
4602	8/30/2011	No	Hetrick, Dora J	Yes
4603	8/30/2011	No	Schultz, Tim	Yes
4604	8/30/2011	No	Bruns, Carol J	Yes
4605	8/30/2011	No (0)	Blair, Paula	Yes
4606	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4607	8/30/2011	No	Ann, Larisa	Yes
4608	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fredrickson, Barbara	Yes
4609	8/30/2011	No	Roberts, Michael J	Yes
4610	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4611	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Watson, m	Yes
4612	8/30/2011	No	dupras-carceles, e l	Yes
4613	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Daniels-Lee, David L	Yes
4614	8/30/2011	No	Tublin, Dian	Yes
4615	8/30/2011	No	walberg, george a	Yes
4616	8/30/2011	No	Paniagua, Rosiris	Yes
4617	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	rollo, pat	Yes
4618	8/30/2011	No	Mercer, Michele	Yes
4619	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Primiano, Bob and Carolyn	Yes
4620	8/30/2011	No	Ungar, Elizabeth	Yes
4621	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4622	8/30/2011	No	Yeager, Jerry	Yes
4623	8/30/2011	No	Piunti, Jill A	Yes
4624	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Loren R	Yes
4625	8/30/2011	No	Rafferty, Janet E	Yes

## 0013756

4626	8/30/2011	No	Whitney, Denise M	Yes
4627	8/30/2011	No	Dunn, Kristi	Yes
4628	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Schmitz, Jennifer	Yes
4629	8/30/2011	No	corado, luis a	Yes
4630	8/30/2011	No	Bengtson, Nancy	Yes
4631	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	donley, mami	Yes
4632	8/30/2011	No	Helmstetler, Patricia D	Yes
4633	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cowin, Caryn L	Yes
4634	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4635	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wakefield, Marie	Yes
4636	8/30/2011	No	Simmer, Leslie A	Yes
4637	8/30/2011	No	Chew, Lywen	Yes
4638	8/30/2011	No	Hudson, Sigmund N	Yes
4639	8/30/2011	No	Skrudland, Kerri A	Yes
4640	8/30/2011	No	hibben, lewis w	Yes
4641	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gatlin, Elisabeth B	Yes
4642	8/30/2011	No	Sabo, Betty A	Yes
4643	8/30/2011	No	Liszeo, Barbara	Yes
4644	8/30/2011	No	Sousa, Amanda L	Yes
4645	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4646	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4647	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4648	8/30/2011	No	Price, Linda L	Yes
4649	8/30/2011	No	Donier, Helen	Yes
4650	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bowden, Joan	Yes
4651	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	hawes, eric	Yes
4652	8/30/2011	No	Sands, Aimee M	Yes
4653	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cotten, Stefani	Yes
4654	8/30/2011	No	whitefield, anne e	Yes
4655	8/30/2011	No	Lamb, Nancy	Yes
4656	8/30/2011	No	Terry, John P	Yes
4657	8/30/2011	No	Scandrett-Leatherman, Craig	Yes
4658	8/30/2011	No	Mitchell, Mark	Yes
4659	8/30/2011	No	Strasser, Susan	Yes
4660	8/30/2011	No	green, rachel	Yes
4661	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Platt, Joel	Yes
4662	8/30/2011	No	Semit, Cary H	Yes
4663	8/30/2011	No	Law, Linda	Yes
4664	8/30/2011	No	Dalenberg, Kathryn	Yes
4665	8/30/2011	No (0)	Moody, Patsy L	Yes
4666	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Amity R	Yes
4667	8/30/2011	No	Krell, Elinore	Yes
4668	8/30/2011	No	Montapert, Anthony E	Yes
4669	8/30/2011	No	Morello, Phyl	Yes
4670	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	ramiro-ibanez, Fernando	Yes
4671	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McAdoo, Hosea W	Yes
4672	8/30/2011	No	drefs, mark a	Yes
4673	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Pamela L	Yes
4674	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4675	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nadle, Jon	Yes
4676	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4677	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4678	8/30/2011	No	Weiss, Michele	Yes
4679	8/30/2011	No	Steele, Cheryle	Yes
4680	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Welch, Gilbert	Yes

0013757

4681	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Greaves, Denise D	Yes
4682	8/30/2011	No	Carter, Michael	Yes
4683	8/30/2011	No	Auffrey, Sasha R	Yes
4684	8/30/2011	No (0)	rachford, maryann	Yes
4685	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4686	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4687	8/30/2011	No	House, Suzanne M	Yes
4688	8/30/2011	No	Campanini, Thomas A	Yes
4689	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Raymond, Debra S	Yes
4690	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tracy, Diane	Yes
4691	8/30/2011	No	Lauder, Leona	Yes
4692	8/30/2011	No	RN, Jill E Ransom RN	Yes
4693	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frederick, Melissa	Yes
4694	8/30/2011	No	Cathers, Jennifer	Yes
4695	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gwinn, Dawn	Yes
4696	8/30/2011	No	lash, cal	Yes
4697	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Benda, Linda M	Yes
4698	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Murray, James	Yes
4699	8/30/2011	No	Tully, Gordon	Yes
4700	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4701	8/30/2011	No	Damvelt, Karen L	Yes
4702	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Feuerstein, Laura	Yes
4703	8/30/2011	No	Potts, Clifton	Yes
4704	8/30/2011	No	jhangiani, anka	Yes
4705	8/30/2011	No	Cohen, Jeffrey M	Yes
4706	8/30/2011	No	Bedinger, Gail	Yes
4707	8/30/2011	No	Sylvester, Angee M	Yes
4708	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4709	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Liszeo, Barbara	Yes
4710	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4711	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	muller, judi	Yes
4712	8/30/2011	No	Unger, Peggy	Yes
4713	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Vesperman, Gary	Yes
4714	8/30/2011	No	Nordstrom, Colin M	Yes
4715	8/30/2011	No	Wass, Victoria	Yes
4716	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frank, Robert D	Yes
4717	8/30/2011	No	Dykhuis, Shirley A	Yes
4718	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	C, A	Yes
4719	8/30/2011	No	rochelle, lisa	Yes
4720	8/30/2011	No	Hildenbrand, Nita E	Yes
4721	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4722	8/30/2011	No	Rubin, Luana D	Yes
4723	8/30/2011	No	Gustafson, Shirlee L	Yes
4724	8/30/2011	No	McWilliams, Carol M	Yes
4725	8/30/2011	No	Gindele, Abigail	Yes
4726	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4727	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Krucoff, Rachel	Yes
4728	8/30/2011	No	Haymans, DeAnna J	Yes
4729	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hale, Angela	Yes
4730	8/30/2011	No	Feder, Karan k	Yes
4731	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4732	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Daniels, Mark	Yes
4733	8/30/2011	No	Charis, Barbara	Yes
4734	8/30/2011	No	Valdez, Anne	Yes
4735	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruder, Cynthia	Yes

0013758

4736	8/30/2011	No	Campanini, Thomas	Yes
4737	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Carothers, Steve	Yes
4738	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Kelley	Yes
4739	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stalker, Megan	Yes
4740	8/30/2011	No	riley, maura l	Yes
4741	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Berrisford, Cassie J	Yes
4742	8/30/2011	No	obrien, mary	Yes
4743	8/30/2011	No	brewer, molly	Yes
4744	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Henry, Lawson H	Yes
4745	8/30/2011	No	Hardesty, Linda	Yes
4746	8/30/2011	No	Shah, Brijesh	Yes
4747	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kirschling, Karen	Yes
4748	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	querner, kathleen	Yes
4749	8/30/2011	No	McCormick, Douglas	Yes
4750	8/30/2011	No	Marsalis, Cherie D	Yes
4751	8/30/2011	No	hissett, andrew	Yes
4752	8/30/2011	No	Howard, Nancy M	Yes
4753	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sereni, Judy	Yes
4754	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sleva, Cathy	Yes
4755	8/30/2011	No	Hutchins, Naticia	Yes
4756	8/30/2011	No	Griffith, Nancy R	Yes
4757	8/30/2011	No	Jobe, Susan E	Yes
4758	8/30/2011	No	Fleming, Nancy	Yes
4759	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4760	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4761	8/30/2011	No	Hegeman, dr e	Yes
4762	8/30/2011	No	Rosenberg, Pauline	Yes
4763	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Susan	Yes
4764	8/30/2011	No	reom, carol	Yes
4765	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gowan, J. M	Yes
4766	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ribokas, Robert	Yes
4767	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Balsai, Michael	Yes
4768	8/30/2011	No	Sheldon, Allen B	Yes
4769	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jessum, K	Yes
4770	8/30/2011	No	Winsten, Martha R	Yes
4771	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4772	8/30/2011	No	Balgemann, Elaine	Yes
4773	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kirton, Laura	Yes
4774	8/30/2011	No	Bruno, Joanna R	Yes
4775	8/30/2011	No	Stone, Ransom D	Yes
4776	8/30/2011	No (0)	Johnson-Spencer, Karen J	Yes
4777	8/30/2011	No	Stiles, Patricia	Yes
4778	8/30/2011	No	hiltz, dan	Yes
4779	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wisbey, David J	Yes
4780	8/30/2011	No	Malkin, Arlene M	Yes
4781	8/30/2011	No (0)	Zaitlin, Linda M	Yes
4782	8/30/2011	No (0)	Werner, Frederick R	Yes
4783	8/30/2011	No	Rabinowitz, Rebecca	Yes
4784	8/30/2011	No	glasser, mark & susan glasser	Yes
4785	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Belknap, Robert E	Yes
4786	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	M, David	Yes
4787	8/30/2011	No (0)	Young, Cheryle A	Yes
4788	8/30/2011	No	Axthelm, Lorena M	Yes
4789	8/30/2011	No (0)	Minuto, Susan T	Yes
4790	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Foley, Arthur P	Yes

0013759

4791	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Eaton, Matthew	Yes
4792	8/30/2011	No	Kirk, Nancy J	Yes
4793	8/30/2011	No	Blankenship, Lowell W	Yes
4794	8/30/2011	No (0)	Holt, Yolonde P	Yes
4795	8/30/2011	No	Meyer, Kathleen	Yes
4796	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bonofanti, Fran	Yes
4797	8/30/2011	No	kappe, patricia G	Yes
4798	8/30/2011	No	bird, nancy j	Yes
4799	8/30/2011	No	Rowe, Barbara C	Yes
4800	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4801	8/30/2011	No	Benoit, Marguerite	Yes
4802	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Richard	Yes
4803	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reysenbach, Alexander F	Yes
4804	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goldberg, Lynn	Yes
4805	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hantman, Cybele	Yes
4806	8/30/2011	No (0)	Huebner, Leila W	Yes
4807	8/30/2011	No	Johnston, Maureen I	Yes
4808	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blinn, Vanda	Yes
4809	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4810	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Miller, Barbara	Yes
4811	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Waring, Claire J	Yes
4812	8/30/2011	No	Nash, Mika	Yes
4813	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Norris, Brenda J	Yes
4814	8/30/2011	No	Pinyan, Parrie J	Yes
4815	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pedersen, Annette	Yes
4816	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mitcheson, Susan	Yes
4817	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Moscatello, Brian K	Yes
4818	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Barr, Clifford V	Yes
4819	8/30/2011	No	Hamilton, Kathryn G	Yes
4820	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4821	8/30/2011	No	HUBER, Sondra A	Yes
4822	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yamamoto, Dorothy J	Yes
4823	8/30/2011	No	Phillips, Benita R	Yes
4824	8/30/2011	No	Carter, Pat	Yes
4825	8/30/2011	No	Grillo, John P	Yes
4826	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	WEATHERLY, TAMMY L	Yes
4827	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Angelosanto, Paul	Yes
4828	8/30/2011	No	O'Rafferty, Eric	Yes
4829	8/30/2011	No	Rothen, Lisa J	Yes
4830	8/30/2011	No	Boyle, Ian	Yes
4831	8/30/2011	No	Ledesma, Evelyn E	Yes
4832	8/30/2011	No	Jones, John A	Yes
4833	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nichols, Stephen D	Yes
4834	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, John P	Yes
4835	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4836	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, Gabrielle L	Yes
4837	8/30/2011	No	fleitman, micah b	Yes
4838	8/30/2011	No	Hambley, Sharon L R Hambley	Yes
4839	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4840	8/30/2011	No	Curro, Mary F	Yes
4841	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kieslich, Brett W	Yes
4842	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rouse, Kayla	Yes
4843	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Howard, Kristin	Yes
4844	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4845	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Halbig, Mark S	Yes



0013760

4846	8/30/2011	No	Ashton, David	Yes
4847	8/30/2011	No	esquivel, suzanne	Yes
4848	8/30/2011	No	frischknecht, ivor	Yes
4849	8/30/2011	No	jones, jim	Yes
4850	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4851	8/30/2011	No	Andrulonis, Diane	Yes
4852	8/30/2011	No	CHARNEY, Danielle R	Yes
4853	8/30/2011	No (0)	Larson, James C	Yes
4854	8/30/2011	No	Kentz, Raymond	Yes
4855	8/30/2011	No	Castillo, Rita	Yes
4856	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Marotta, Tracy	Yes
4857	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Howard, Kristin	Yes
4858	8/30/2011	No	Abraham, Jane L	Yes
4859	8/30/2011	No	Sable, Jeanne	Yes
4860	8/30/2011	No	Zito, Debey	Yes
4861	8/30/2011	No	Dynes, Katy L	Yes
4862	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Shaffer, Mary E	Yes
4863	8/30/2011	No	ROSENBLUTH, PETER	Yes
4864	8/30/2011	No	Drautz, Georges P	Yes
4865	8/30/2011	No	Cleveland-Ryan, Maureen A	Yes
4866	8/30/2011	No	Ruppert, Antje	Yes
4867	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Marotta, Tracy	Yes
4868	8/30/2011	No	Davies, Judy V	Yes
4869	8/30/2011	No	Vandermade, Kirk S	Yes
4870	8/30/2011	No	Moraski, Kathleen L	Yes
4871	8/30/2011	No	Larsen, Ruth	Yes
4872	8/30/2011	No	Keathley, Vanessa M	Yes
4873	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	SR., JOHN & EIEEN L.	Yes
4874	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Knopf, Bradley D	Yes
4875	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Buonaiuto, John C	Yes
4876	8/30/2011	No	Newell, Susan W	Yes
4877	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rodriguez, Steven	Yes
4878	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Huelster, Mary M	Yes
4879	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4880	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4881	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Head, Elizabeth	Yes
4882	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schultz, Laura R	Yes
4883	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gaede, Marnie	Yes
4884	8/30/2011	No	Riccardi, Carrie	Yes
4885	8/30/2011	No	Wolf, Susan S	Yes
4886	8/30/2011	No	Cline, William D	Yes
4887	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4888	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4889	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4890	8/30/2011	No (0)	GhostHorse, Paul	Yes
4891	8/30/2011	No	Bartleman, Mark	Yes
4892	8/30/2011	No	klinkenberg, kathleen	Yes
4893	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4894	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Souto, Nathan J	Yes
4895	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4896	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4897	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4898	8/30/2011	No	kyer, carol	Yes
4899	8/30/2011	No	Shelley, Stephanie	Yes
4900	8/30/2011	No	Welling, Jeannette	Yes

0013761

4901	8/30/2011	No	matthews, michele	Yes
4902	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4903	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brock, Samson	Yes
4904	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4905	8/30/2011	No	Cohen, Frederick A	Yes
4906	8/30/2011	No	Quartararo, Lisa	Yes
4907	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Meyer, Ari	Yes
4908	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fletcher, Jessica	Yes
4909	8/30/2011	No	Seffinga, Sarah	Yes
4910	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Waltrip, Beth	Yes
4911	8/30/2011	No	Aull, Mary L	Yes
4912	8/30/2011	No	SWAIN, ROBERT&MARY	Yes
4913	8/30/2011	No	Shumaker, Harold D	Yes
4914	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jones, Catherine K	Yes
4915	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dedes, Matthew	Yes
4916	8/30/2011	No	Pinkham, Debra	Yes
4917	8/30/2011	No	Duderstadt, Anna L	Yes
4918	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Szasz, Tibor	Yes
4919	8/30/2011	No	Knight, Susan L	Yes
4920	8/30/2011	No	Sikorski, Ann M	Yes
4921	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Trawick, Elsie W	Yes
4922	8/30/2011	No	Toney, Kevin D	Yes
4923	8/30/2011	No (0)	Caccuitto, Susan M	Yes
4924	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4925	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rivers, Roseanne M	Yes
4926	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ramirez-Weaver, Melanie A	Yes
4927	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hartholz, Steven B	Yes
4928	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4929	8/30/2011	No	Meyers, Rebecca A	Yes
4930	8/30/2011	No	Pomies, Jackie	Yes
4931	8/30/2011	No	Granas, Marilyn R	Yes
4932	8/30/2011	No	Austin, Kevin	Yes
4933	8/30/2011	No	Pacher, Sara J	Yes
4934	8/30/2011	No	MacLaughlin, Jan R	Yes
4935	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4936	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Aderhold, Steve	Yes
4937	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Atwood, April	Yes
4938	8/30/2011	No	Benet, Mercedes	Yes
4939	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jordan, Anthony	Yes
4940	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4941	8/30/2011	No	Krohngold, Joan	Yes
4942	8/30/2011	No	McCracken, Diana and Ken	Yes
4943	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4944	8/30/2011	No	wendt, sharon	Yes
4945	8/30/2011	No	Sokol, Elizabeth	Yes
4946	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McGinnis, Margaret	Yes
4947	8/30/2011	No	Weinstock, jerry	Yes
4948	8/30/2011	No	Devine, Judy	Yes
4949	8/30/2011	No	Aus, Bob w	Yes
4950	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lockhart, Theresa J	Yes
4951	8/30/2011	No	Fenster, Steven	Yes
4952	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Andrew	Yes
4953	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, CHARLES	Yes
4954	8/30/2011	No	Musick, Pat	Yes
4955	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Edie	Yes

0013762

4956	8/30/2011	No	Delatte, M.	Yes
4957	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4958	8/30/2011	No	Wagner, Katherine	Yes
4959	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Haywood, Benjamin K	Yes
4960	8/30/2011	No	Lemmert, Ronald D	Yes
4961	8/30/2011	No	Holiday, Gudrun	Yes
4962	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Turner, Jessica	Yes
4963	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Schlesinger, Sybil	Yes
4964	8/30/2011	No	Woehler, Eugene E	Yes
4965	8/30/2011	No	allgemein, Mike	Yes
4966	8/30/2011	No	Sengel, David	Yes
4967	8/30/2011	No (0)	Novak, Cassie L	Yes
4968	8/30/2011	No	Baney, Marjorie A	Yes
4969	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bloom, Richard	Yes
4970	8/30/2011	No	Bristow, Lori	Yes
4971	8/30/2011	No	cote, pamelam	Yes
4972	8/30/2011	No	Hartman, Randall	Yes
4973	8/30/2011	No	Drucker, Susan	Yes
4974	8/30/2011	No	Soroka-Dunn, Cynthia	Yes
4975	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Diana L	Yes
4976	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, Christopher	Yes
4977	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Fritzinger, Russell D	Yes
4978	8/30/2011	No	Graham, Candace	Yes
4979	8/30/2011	No	Drinis, Sophia	Yes
4980	8/30/2011	No	Avery, Jayn S	Yes
4981	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Judi L	Yes
4982	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	King, Dotti	Yes
4983	8/30/2011	No	Farneth, Sara W	Yes
4984	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mora, Rey	Yes
4985	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Dennis J	Yes
4986	8/30/2011	No	Humphrey, Janice	Yes
4987	8/30/2011	No	Evans, Margaret D	Yes
4988	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Waltershausen, Margaret R	Yes
4989	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4990	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
4991	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4992	8/30/2011	No	McBane, Carrie	Yes
4993	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
4994	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenberg, Steven	Yes
4995	8/30/2011	No	Carson, Catherine	Yes
4996	8/30/2011	No	kleber, craig p	Yes
4997	8/30/2011	No	Worley, Irene	Yes
4998	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	klein, m	Yes
4999	8/30/2011	No	Lee, Jane	Yes
5000	8/30/2011	No	Jahn, Heidi C	Yes
5001	8/30/2011	No (0)	rock, cindy a	Yes
5002	8/30/2011	No	storrs, andrea	Yes
5003	8/30/2011	No	Fairless, Judy	Yes
5004	8/30/2011	No	ward, christine A	Yes
5005	8/30/2011	No	Bauer, Joanne	Yes
5006	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Waltershausen, Margaret R	Yes
5007	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Erickson, Carol J	Yes
5008	8/30/2011	No	Janzen, Gayle	Yes
5009	8/30/2011	No	Knight, Diane L	Yes
5010	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McGinnis, Martha S	Yes

## 0013763

5011	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Parsons, Jeremy	Yes
5012	8/30/2011	No	C, Laura	Yes
5013	8/30/2011	No	Curtin, Ted and Grace Curtin	Yes
5014	8/30/2011	No	hughes, k	Yes
5015	8/30/2011	No	Lemke, Marie A	Yes
5016	8/30/2011	No	hodgin, bradley r	Yes
5017	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lisk, Janice C	Yes
5018	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Committee, Dennis M	Yes
5019	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5020	8/30/2011	No	Forgette, Robert	Yes
5021	8/30/2011	No	Neustadt, Landon	Yes
5022	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Espino, Linda	Yes
5023	8/30/2011	No	Geiss, J	Yes
5024	8/30/2011	No	Lesire, Patricia A	Yes
5025	8/30/2011	No	Oppen, Anne v	Yes
5026	8/30/2011	No	May, Nancy B	Yes
5027	8/30/2011	No	Chambers, Claire S	Yes
5028	8/30/2011	No	Parker, Norma	Yes
5029	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Dean, Jack	Yes
5030	8/30/2011	No	andrew, lorraine a	Yes
5031	8/30/2011	No	Kelts, Sharon A	Yes
5032	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5033	8/30/2011	No	Walton, William B	Yes
5034	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5035	8/30/2011	No	Thandi, Paul	Yes
5036	8/30/2011	No	Mothershead, Eileen	Yes
5037	8/30/2011	No	viertbauer, kathleen a	Yes
5038	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Debbie A	Yes
5039	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Evans, Laurel	Yes
5040	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Leinen, John J	Yes
5041	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5042	8/30/2011	No	Roberts, James C	Yes
5043	8/30/2011	No	Dahms, Maureen	Yes
5044	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Angotti, Donna	Yes
5045	8/30/2011	No	Bonnett, Andrea E	Yes
5046	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reames, Kelly L	Yes
5047	8/30/2011	No	Falbo-Negron, Jennifer A	Yes
5048	8/30/2011	No	moreno, olivia	Yes
5049	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Klass, David	Yes
5050	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Smock, Addie	Yes
5051	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Szczech, Julie A	Yes
5052	8/30/2011	No	Bennett, Linda G	Yes
5053	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morgan, Matthew R	Yes
5054	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5055	8/30/2011	No	Diaz, Barbara	Yes
5056	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCool, Melissa	Yes
5057	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Leech, Deborah	Yes
5058	8/30/2011	No	Abele, Lisa	Yes
5059	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hagiu, Ioana	Yes
5060	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Elizabeth T	Yes
5061	8/30/2011	No	Weber, Marissa	Yes
5062	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Candice	Yes
5063	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	LaPointe-Meyer, Drena	Yes
5064	8/30/2011	No	Vincent, Joseph I	Yes
5065	8/30/2011	No	Ramos, Joann	Yes

0013764

5066	8/30/2011	No	Bradley, Molly	Yes
5067	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5068	8/30/2011	No	Jackson, Frederick	Yes
5069	8/30/2011	No	Haider, Paul C	Yes
5070	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCoy, Tim J	Yes
5071	8/30/2011	No	Novey, George R	Yes
5072	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Auerbach, David	Yes
5073	8/30/2011	No	Butler, William A	Yes
5074	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Trina T	Yes
5075	8/30/2011	No	Haider, Pablo C	Yes
5076	8/30/2011	No	Bales, Mark	Yes
5077	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5078	8/30/2011	No (0)	Belz, Paul G	Yes
5079	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	King, Sara	Yes
5080	8/30/2011	No	Robertson, Pam	Yes
5081	8/30/2011	No	Simmons, Cynthia R	Yes
5082	8/30/2011	No	Grammatico, Angelina M	Yes
5083	8/30/2011	No	Thiel, Mathias NMN van Thiel	Yes
5084	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cathcart, Andrea L	Yes
5085	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5086	8/30/2011	No	RN, Rina D	Yes
5087	8/30/2011	No	Applebaum, Doris	Yes
5088	8/30/2011	No	sutter, patricia l	Yes
5089	8/30/2011	No	Thorne, Craig	Yes
5090	8/30/2011	No	Lombardo, Constance	Yes
5091	8/30/2011	No	Gumina, Gregory D	Yes
5092	8/30/2011	No	B, Jack	Yes
5093	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Keyser, MaryAnn	Yes
5094	8/30/2011	No	Boedeker, Deborah	Yes
5095	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5096	8/30/2011	No (0)	Zentarski, Joan M	Yes
5097	8/30/2011	No	Rogan, Nancy M	Yes
5098	8/30/2011	No (0)	McHenry, James D	Yes
5099	8/30/2011	No (0)	Peters, Christopher L	Yes
5100	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schuster, Philip A	Yes
5101	8/30/2011	No	Carson, Carol	Yes
5102	8/30/2011	No	Stahlhut, Karen E	Yes
5103	8/30/2011	No	Carpenter, susanne	Yes
5104	8/30/2011	No (0)	Moody, William A	Yes
5105	8/30/2011	No	Conrad-Antoville, K. A	Yes
5106	8/30/2011	No	Colombe, Audrey	Yes
5107	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5108	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Keltner, Allen L	Yes
5109	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5110	8/30/2011	No	Glasgow, Marilyn R	Yes
5111	8/30/2011	No	Coles, Roswell S	Yes
5112	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Antoville, Anthony	Yes
5113	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tchelka, Annette	Yes
5114	8/30/2011	No	Brimm, Martha C	Yes
5115	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Muratori, Elena M	Yes
5116	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chao, Jennie	Yes
5117	8/30/2011	No	Fusco, Carol A	Yes
5118	8/30/2011	Potential (609013)	Khakberdiev, Temur	Yes
5119	8/30/2011	No (0)	Fritzen, John	Yes

## 0013765

5120	8/30/2011	No	Annemarie, Roswitha	Yes
5121	8/30/2011	No	Buswell, Nahid	Yes
5122	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Chessa R	Yes
5123	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rose, Jay	Yes
5124	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Kay	Yes
5125	8/30/2011	No	Mann, Sandra L	Yes
5126	8/30/2011	No	kuhns, lynne	Yes
5127	8/30/2011	No	Sheline, Jonathan L	Yes
5128	8/30/2011	No	haon, carolyn	Yes
5129	8/30/2011	No	Senecal, nATALIE	Yes
5130	8/30/2011	No	Sheythe, Susan C	Yes
5131	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Karen K	Yes
5132	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fetch, Elena M	Yes
5133	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5134	8/30/2011	No	Weisser-Lee, Melinda	Yes
5135	8/30/2011	No	dauby, s l	Yes
5136	8/30/2011	No (0)	McCarten, Louis A	Yes
5137	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5138	8/30/2011	No (0)	Menefee, Mendi J	Yes
5139	8/30/2011	No (0)	Cubeta, Diana D	Yes
5140	8/30/2011	No	Graley, James T	Yes
5141	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kuselias, Lisa	Yes
5142	8/30/2011	No	walker, mark	Yes
5143	8/30/2011	No	Coupal, sally A	Yes
5144	8/30/2011	No	Sullivan, Diane G	Yes
5145	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5146	8/30/2011	No	Bowen, Ed	Yes
5147	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5148	8/30/2011	No	Bowdish, Caroline	Yes
5149	8/30/2011	No	Berg, Vicki L	Yes
5150	8/30/2011	No	Holloway, Randie	Yes
5151	8/30/2011	No	Byrne, Anthony T	Yes
5152	8/30/2011	No	Vyhnaal, Kristin K	Yes
5153	8/30/2011	No	DeSpain, Nicole~Marie C	Yes
5154	8/30/2011	No	Walker, Joan E	Yes
5155	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5156	8/30/2011	No (0)	Canepa, Judith K	Yes
5157	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Weilage, Krystal	Yes
5158	8/30/2011	No	Chai, sylvia A	Yes
5159	8/30/2011	No	Compton, Ms Carla S Compton	Yes
5160	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Travis A	Yes
5161	8/30/2011	No	Hodanish, Michael	Yes
5162	8/30/2011	No	A., William	Yes
5163	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wegweiser, art	Yes
5164	8/30/2011	No	Walding, Rebecca	Yes
5165	8/30/2011	No	Julian, Cecil G	Yes
5166	8/30/2011	No	Jorat, Zaida	Yes
5167	8/30/2011	No	Nevins, Laura	Yes
5168	8/30/2011	No	ballen, ira	Yes
5169	8/30/2011	No	Cathcart, Andrea L	Yes
5170	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dehnert, Chris D	Yes
5171	8/30/2011	No	Jr., Frank G	Yes
5172	8/30/2011	No	Fleishman, Ellen	Yes
5173	8/30/2011	No	HODIE, JAKE	Yes
5174	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gillanders, J D	Yes

## 0013766

5175	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Manes, Michael R	Yes
5176	8/30/2011	No	Carduner, Jordan	Yes
5177	8/30/2011	No (0)	Klein, Dory	Yes
5178	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pienciak, Sue	Yes
5179	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5180	8/30/2011	No	Stahl, Charlotte M	Yes
5181	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5182	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	hestich, diane e	Yes
5183	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	MD, Robert M Cohen MD	Yes
5184	8/30/2011	No	Erickson, Carol J	Yes
5185	8/30/2011	No	Coles, Roswell	Yes
5186	8/30/2011	No	Randall, Dorene J	Yes
5187	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Odelberg, Bruce	Yes
5188	8/30/2011	No	Stevning-Roe, Ann	Yes
5189	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	JASTRZEMBSKI, SUE	Yes
5190	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Pedersen, Denise	Yes
5191	8/30/2011	No	Alley, Lynn	Yes
5192	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	E., Gail	Yes
5193	8/30/2011	No	swiss, sue	Yes
5194	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Graham, Amanda	Yes
5195	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenstraus, Maurice	Yes
5196	8/30/2011	No (0)	Nae, Emma Elisabeth Si Nae	Yes
5197	8/30/2011	No (0)	Graves, Royal S	Yes
5198	8/30/2011	No	schuller, karenina	Yes
5199	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5200	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bialy, Carol	Yes
5201	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5202	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Button, Jerry	Yes
5203	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	simurdic, Dejan	Yes
5204	8/30/2011	No	Hicks, Connie	Yes
5205	8/30/2011	No	White, Sue A	Yes
5206	8/30/2011	No (0)	Vetek, Suzanne K	Yes
5207	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ranieri, Nancy	Yes
5208	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5209	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Becker, Barbara	Yes
5210	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Novak, Nancy J	Yes
5211	8/30/2011	No	C, Daniela I	Yes
5212	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Alley, Lynn	Yes
5213	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Maceira, David -	Yes
5214	8/30/2011	No	McMullen, Colleen	Yes
5215	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Baumgartner, Kurt	Yes
5216	8/30/2011	No	Fioravante, Sandra S	Yes
5217	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yarnell, Susan L	Yes
5218	8/30/2011	No	Pollard, Annegret F	Yes
5219	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5220	8/30/2011	No	Harris, Susan	Yes
5221	8/30/2011	No	Flynn, Jillian	Yes
5222	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5223	8/30/2011	No	Pons, Scott	Yes
5224	8/30/2011	No	Lachecki, Marge	Yes
5225	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5226	8/30/2011	No	M., Kristel	Yes
5227	8/30/2011	No	Zuckermann, Monika	Yes
5228	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Tassell, Kristen L. Van Tassell	Yes
5229	8/30/2011	No	Polisetti, Sneha M	Yes

0013767

5230	8/30/2011	No	sindelar, geo	Yes
5231	8/30/2011	No	haley, andy w	Yes
5232	8/30/2011	No	Hogan, Judith A	Yes
5233	8/30/2011	No	Stephenson, Neil D	Yes
5234	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jackson, Claire	Yes
5235	8/30/2011	No	Bernbeck, Lois I	Yes
5236	8/30/2011	No	Katz, Elisa	Yes
5237	8/30/2011	No	Morrison, Jill	Yes
5238	8/30/2011	No	MacMillan, Lawrie	Yes
5239	8/30/2011	No	Donaldson, Rosemary	Yes
5240	8/30/2011	No	Bergh, Darcy	Yes
5241	8/30/2011	No	Thomas, Dennis	Yes
5242	8/30/2011	No	Taylor, Rebecca J	Yes
5243	8/30/2011	No	Tetrault, Leslie	Yes
5244	8/30/2011	No	Owen, Marina F	Yes
5245	8/30/2011	No	Andersen, Yvonne	Yes
5246	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sellers, Jennifer	Yes
5247	8/30/2011	No	Hanley, Denise	Yes
5248	8/30/2011	No	blair, halie	Yes
5249	8/30/2011	No	lewison, linda c	Yes
5250	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5251	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5252	8/30/2011	No	Patrick, Jennifer	Yes
5253	8/30/2011	No	Stock, Michael K	Yes
5254	8/30/2011	No	engler, brett	Yes
5255	8/30/2011	No	Cotton, Crystal A	Yes
5256	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Button, Jerry	Yes
5257	8/30/2011	No	Driesen, Drew N	Yes
5258	8/30/2011	No	jacobsen, chrissy	Yes
5259	8/30/2011	No	Bremner, Krista	Yes
5260	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5261	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Margaret C	Yes
5262	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tomaszewski, Melissa	Yes
5263	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	ROBERT, ALAIN	Yes
5264	8/30/2011	No	bahnsen, patrice a	Yes
5265	8/30/2011	No	Dewit, John	Yes
5266	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Choi, Kay	Yes
5267	8/30/2011	No	Egertson, Erick R	Yes
5268	8/30/2011	No	Federici, Elaine	Yes
5269	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5270	8/30/2011	No	Koivisto, Ellen	Yes
5271	8/30/2011	No	Nelson, David D	Yes
5272	8/30/2011	No (0)	nierstedt, bill j	Yes
5273	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McCool, Melissa	Yes
5274	8/30/2011	No	Young, Ginger	Yes
5275	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Joan M	Yes
5276	8/30/2011	No	Douglas, Dianne	Yes
5277	8/30/2011	No	Richkus, John W	Yes
5278	8/30/2011	No	Lafouge, Ellen	Yes
5279	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5280	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Becky	Yes
5281	8/30/2011	No	arbour, carole j	Yes
5282	8/30/2011	No	Stark, Krystina L	Yes
5283	8/30/2011	No	caccia, david a	Yes
5284	8/30/2011	No	Nimptsch, Mary	Yes



0013768

5285	8/30/2011	No	Doyle, Kathleen	Yes
5286	8/30/2011	No	Saxe, Richard E	Yes
5287	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kay, Jen	Yes
5288	8/30/2011	No	Kane, Louise	Yes
5289	8/30/2011	No	Cappa, Karen	Yes
5290	8/30/2011	No	CONSTANTINO-MARTIN, PATTI	Yes
5291	8/30/2011	No	Gooch, Watson P	Yes
5292	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Watson, Michael T	Yes
5293	8/30/2011	No	Hudson, Sharlene	Yes
5294	8/30/2011	No	Gibson, Linda	Yes
5295	8/30/2011	No	Murphy-Whitcomb, Jennipher	Yes
5296	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kolstad, Patricia A	Yes
5297	8/30/2011	No (0)	Steen, Pamela S	Yes
5298	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5299	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Taylor, Ricky L	Yes
5300	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5301	8/30/2011	No	STOLZ, JIM L	Yes
5302	8/30/2011	No (0)	kolasa, joyce	Yes
5303	8/30/2011	No	Daniels, Carol	Yes
5304	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Oldread, Tim	Yes
5305	8/30/2011	No	Woodcock, Gordon R	Yes
5306	8/30/2011	No (0)	Quinlan, Sara R	Yes
5307	8/30/2011	No (0)	Cassidy, Rachel M	Yes
5308	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hansen, Linda D	Yes
5309	8/30/2011	No (0)	Squires, Joan	Yes
5310	8/30/2011	No (0)	White, Anne D	Yes
5311	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kahn, Lauren	Yes
5312	8/30/2011	No (0)	Sue, Barbara	Yes
5313	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wolfe, Kathleen A	Yes
5314	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brennan, Jamie L	Yes
5315	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Dunbar, Domenico	Yes
5316	8/30/2011	No	Boudreau, Lucinda T	Yes
5317	8/30/2011	No (0)	Clarke, Bruce B	Yes
5318	8/30/2011	No	Bettis, Sandra	Yes
5319	8/30/2011	No	Victoria, Anna K	Yes
5320	8/30/2011	No	Thoreaux, Gwendaline	Yes
5321	8/30/2011	No	Crickenberger, Ray S	Yes
5322	8/30/2011	No (0)	Fischman, Lawrence	Yes
5323	8/30/2011	No	Calhoun, Frank L	Yes
5324	8/30/2011	No (0)	Il, Frank E	Yes
5325	8/30/2011	No (0)	Roseberry, Bill	Yes
5326	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5327	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wilson-Seppa, Regina	Yes
5328	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Mistele, Barbara S	Yes
5329	8/30/2011	No	Nunn, Phyllis	Yes
5330	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Aldrich, Debi	Yes
5331	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Diaz, Mayra T	Yes
5332	8/30/2011	No	Sigmann, Peter	Yes
5333	8/30/2011	No	batson, charlene m	Yes
5334	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	ONeill, Calley	Yes
5335	8/30/2011	No (0)	Buckley, Johny	Yes
5336	8/30/2011	No	Stroupe, Kerri J	Yes
5337	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5338	8/30/2011	No	Layton, Jeanne M	Yes
5339	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bryte, Klementyna L	Yes

0013769

5340	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kramer-Dodd, Gay D	Yes
5341	8/30/2011	No	Barrett, Walter L	Yes
5342	8/30/2011	No	Keune, Tos A	Yes
5343	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Eyes, Stephanie	Yes
5344	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Albanese, Dawn M	Yes
5345	8/30/2011	No	STOLZ, JIM L	Yes
5346	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Michael S	Yes
5347	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DiLabio, G	Yes
5348	8/30/2011	No	Amalfitano, Gail	Yes
5349	8/30/2011	No	Wallace, Anne	Yes
5350	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Barrington, Tim	Yes
5351	8/30/2011	No (0)	White, Tim V	Yes
5352	8/30/2011	No	Caruso, Judith A	Yes
5353	8/30/2011	No	Dodson, Carrie	Yes
5354	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Elizabeth T	Yes
5355	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Clayton	Yes
5356	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5357	8/30/2011	No	Fishman, Jessica	Yes
5358	8/30/2011	No	lehman, orv	Yes
5359	8/30/2011	No	Gilpatrick, Katarzyna	Yes
5360	8/30/2011	No	Easton, Eileen D	Yes
5361	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Powers, Elena	Yes
5362	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Karen H	Yes
5363	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelly, JoAnne	Yes
5364	8/30/2011	No	Pompei, Emily	Yes
5365	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5366	8/30/2011	No	McDonnell, Glen	Yes
5367	8/30/2011	No	mcinnes, d a	Yes
5368	8/30/2011	No	Kalnoky, Anthony A	Yes
5369	8/30/2011	No	Dorsey, Danielle I	Yes
5370	8/30/2011	No	McGinnis, June F	Yes
5371	8/30/2011	No	Newton, Ann A	Yes
5372	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Powers, Thomas F	Yes
5373	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Martinez, Angela J	Yes
5374	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gehri-Bergman, Sandra	Yes
5375	8/30/2011	No	L, Linda	Yes
5376	8/30/2011	No	Barrett, Kadie K	Yes
5377	8/30/2011	No	Pompei, Emily	Yes
5378	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5379	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hagerty, MC	Yes
5380	8/30/2011	No	Stinar, Cheryl A	Yes
5381	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Heide, Noel	Yes
5382	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Land, Martha	Yes
5383	8/30/2011	No	Watson, Donna J	Yes
5384	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hall, Dennis	Yes
5385	8/30/2011	No	Tiefenbruck, Grant F	Yes
5386	8/30/2011	No	Nelson, Lucy J	Yes
5387	8/30/2011	No	Huber, Linda S	Yes
5388	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5389	8/30/2011	No	Kroll, Kathy L	Yes
5390	8/30/2011	No	West, Derek R	Yes
5391	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Echevarria, Carlos J	Yes
5392	8/30/2011	No	Nuttall, Christine	Yes
5393	8/30/2011	No	frederick, linda I	Yes
5394	8/30/2011	No	York, Jonathan M	Yes

0013770

5395	8/30/2011	No	Putnam, Bruce M	Yes
5396	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5397	8/30/2011	No	don, jerome	Yes
5398	8/30/2011	No	Nguyen, Cathy	Yes
5399	8/30/2011	No	Abbey-Carlton, Christine	Yes
5400	8/30/2011	No	SAYERS, LOIS	Yes
5401	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5402	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5403	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Bornstein, Brad	Yes
5404	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stepchuk, Ron	Yes
5405	8/30/2011	No	Wiltcher, Yvette A	Yes
5406	8/30/2011	No	Kiser, Mark	Yes
5407	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dallwitz, Alex v	Yes
5408	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	O'Neal, Tiffany A	Yes
5409	8/30/2011	No	McDonald, Colleen	Yes
5410	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Evans-Jones, Nancy K	Yes
5411	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McGill, Ann C	Yes
5412	8/30/2011	No	Hanzich, Dorian	Yes
5413	8/30/2011	No	D, Misty	Yes
5414	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Padmanabhan, Urmila	Yes
5415	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Beschler, Ellen	Yes
5416	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Valerie	Yes
5417	8/30/2011	No	Carpenter, Gary m	Yes
5418	8/30/2011	No	Young, Nicole	Yes
5419	8/30/2011	No	Breakstone, Lynne	Yes
5420	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	petrulias, linda r	Yes
5421	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Coulson, Elyse	Yes
5422	8/30/2011	No	Raj, Brian J	Yes
5423	8/30/2011	No	Weaver, Tammy	Yes
5424	8/30/2011	No	Wick, jodi	Yes
5425	8/30/2011	No	McQuade, Monica A	Yes
5426	8/30/2011	No	Schwartz, Donald R	Yes
5427	8/30/2011	No	Seitz, Suzanne D	Yes
5428	8/30/2011	No	Curtis, Jeanne	Yes
5429	8/30/2011	No	McDaniel, Lisa D	Yes
5430	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Jaegers, Martha	Yes
5431	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Sarah	Yes
5432	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lyle, J.	Yes
5433	8/30/2011	No	Houlgrave, Vanessa M	Yes
5434	8/30/2011	No	Delphinidae, Holly	Yes
5435	8/30/2011	No	Gural, William and Eleanor	Yes
5436	8/30/2011	No	Clark, Allan D	Yes
5437	8/30/2011	No	Peck, Laura L	Yes
5438	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5439	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wroton, John	Yes
5440	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bayens, Carol L	Yes
5441	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Barshis, Jan	Yes
5442	8/30/2011	No	Fahlgren, Vivian	Yes
5443	8/30/2011	No	Roedern, Sue v	Yes
5444	8/30/2011	No	Flanders, Kelly	Yes
5445	8/30/2011	No	Rogers, William	Yes
5446	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kimbrell, Holly	Yes
5447	8/30/2011	No	lanning, kathryn	Yes
5448	8/30/2011	No	wick, jodi	Yes
5449	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hutchins, Kimberly A	Yes

0013771

5450	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Boleky, Vaughan	Yes
5451	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Glover, Tim	Yes
5452	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5453	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goldberg, Daniel J	Yes
5454	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Buelow, Chris	Yes
5455	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Beemster, Tracy	Yes
5456	8/30/2011	No	Kroll, Kathy L	Yes
5457	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Shivar, Jeffrey	Yes
5458	8/30/2011	No	Shishim, Scott	Yes
5459	8/30/2011	No	Fiedler, Daniel	Yes
5460	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Evers, Marcia	Yes
5461	8/30/2011	No	Kopf, Inger	Yes
5462	8/30/2011	No	Jordan, Michael R	Yes
5463	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5464	8/30/2011	No	Dardarian, Denise T	Yes
5465	8/30/2011	No	Rabinowitz, Marla Z	Yes
5466	8/30/2011	No	Shea, Eric Yan Lung Shea	Yes
5467	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, Peter K	Yes
5468	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5469	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Holmes, Brian R	Yes
5470	8/30/2011	No	Carlile, N J	Yes
5471	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	mencik, jitka	Yes
5472	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivera, Sharon	Yes
5473	8/30/2011	No	OByrne, Cynthia A	Yes
5474	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fraser, Roxann	Yes
5475	8/30/2011	No	Webster, Suzanne K	Yes
5476	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rooney, Carmel	Yes
5477	8/30/2011	No	lewton, david	Yes
5478	8/30/2011	No	Kramer, Kip	Yes
5479	8/30/2011	No	Pagoulatos, Alexis	Yes
5480	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McClafferty, Kathleen	Yes
5481	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hurley, Bethany	Yes
5482	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Battersby, Robert	Yes
5483	8/30/2011	No	Wasfi, Ellen O	Yes
5484	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Saeger, Deb	Yes
5485	8/30/2011	No	Smaluk-Nix, Kathleen A	Yes
5486	8/30/2011	No	Compton, Ms Carla S	Yes
5487	8/30/2011	No	Ward, Jack W	Yes
5488	8/30/2011	No	Noto, Kate A	Yes
5489	8/30/2011	No	Harrington, Sue	Yes
5490	8/30/2011	No	Misquez, Michael A	Yes
5491	8/30/2011	No	Nafziger, Nikki R	Yes
5492	8/30/2011	No	Tanler, Ben	Yes
5493	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Weil, Judith	Yes
5494	8/30/2011	No	Wells, mary C	Yes
5495	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5496	8/30/2011	No	Winn, Laraine F	Yes
5497	8/30/2011	No	trowbridge-alford, julie a	Yes
5498	8/30/2011	No	Vance, Jordan	Yes
5499	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Bjornbak, Kristoffer E	Yes
5500	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Perkosky, Cheryl	Yes
5501	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	barbato, alessandro	Yes
5502	8/30/2011	No	johansen, elizabeth	Yes
5503	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sekulic, Vesna	Yes
5504	8/30/2011	No	frederick, linda l	Yes

0013772

5505	8/30/2011	No	Thompson, Andrea	Yes
5506	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gordon, Jonathan C	Yes
5507	8/30/2011	No	Khalsa, Keval K	Yes
5508	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5509	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5510	8/30/2011	No	pasqua, john	Yes
5511	8/30/2011	No	Baltuska, Jennifer	Yes
5512	8/30/2011	No	mcgarry, ann	Yes
5513	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5514	8/30/2011	No	Nafziger, Nikki R	Yes
5515	8/30/2011	No	biddison, bonnie j	Yes
5516	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Porretto, Dustin K	Yes
5517	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5518	8/30/2011	No (0)	Morris, Chrys	Yes
5519	8/30/2011	No	dePasquale, Margaret	Yes
5520	8/30/2011	No	E., Sally	Yes
5521	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frey, John	Yes
5522	8/30/2011	No	Milliman, Aileen	Yes
5523	8/30/2011	No	Page, Lane	Yes
5524	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Edmison, Brian	Yes
5525	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5526	8/30/2011	No (0)	Villadonga, Charmaine	Yes
5527	8/30/2011	No	Percival, Terry	Yes
5528	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Loseke, Rachel N	Yes
5529	8/30/2011	No	Eichler, Linda M	Yes
5530	8/30/2011	No (0)	Zimble, Joanne L	Yes
5531	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Mary E	Yes
5532	8/30/2011	No	Burns, Patrick	Yes
5533	8/30/2011	No	Godbey, Lauren	Yes
5534	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Markowitz, John C	Yes
5535	8/30/2011	No	Dearmont, Marjorie	Yes
5536	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5537	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wooten, Nancy G	Yes
5538	8/30/2011	No	hopkins, crysmyra	Yes
5539	8/30/2011	No	Broadwell, Tyler A	Yes
5540	8/30/2011	No (0)	Secrest, Summer	Yes
5541	8/30/2011	No	Asproyerakas, Artemis	Yes
5542	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kuppler, Curtis	Yes
5543	8/30/2011	No	wickens, stacey	Yes
5544	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Denbow, Anne E	Yes
5545	8/30/2011	No	Remirez, PILAR	Yes
5546	8/30/2011	No	Pribanic, Carl	Yes
5547	8/30/2011	No	Gilliland, ron P	Yes
5548	8/30/2011	No	albek, alicia	Yes
5549	8/30/2011	No (0)	Higbee, John E	Yes
5550	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ambler, Stuart	Yes
5551	8/30/2011	No	Rizzi, Tricia	Yes
5552	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Valentino, David	Yes
5553	8/30/2011	No	Stratton, Jewels	Yes
5554	8/30/2011	No (0)	Boom, Darryl & Diana	Yes
5555	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Khalsa, Dr. Mha Atma S Khalsa	Yes
5556	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5557	8/30/2011	No	Hitt, Suzanne J	Yes
5558	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	LoFurno, Susan K	Yes
5559	8/30/2011	No	Graff, Gretchen G	Yes

0013773

5560	8/30/2011	No	Denenberg, Harold J	Yes
5561	8/30/2011	No	Mary, Lillian	Yes
5562	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Murray, Kim I	Yes
5563	8/30/2011	No	O'Connell, Kathleen A	Yes
5564	8/30/2011	No	Clark, Morgan	Yes
5565	8/30/2011	No	Vicari, Laura A	Yes
5566	8/30/2011	No	Elliott, Valerie E	Yes
5567	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Valentino, David	Yes
5568	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Robert M	Yes
5569	8/30/2011	No	laughon, char	Yes
5570	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	ranado, stephen m	Yes
5571	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hackett, Linda S	Yes
5572	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ricketts, Carolyn E	Yes
5573	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lillard, Renee	Yes
5574	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Duncan, Bruce and Wendla	Yes
5575	8/30/2011	No	LoFurno, Susan K	Yes
5576	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Parker, Kevin M	Yes
5577	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wagner, Robert B	Yes
5578	8/30/2011	No	mohr, bruce m	Yes
5579	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5580	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Weinstein, Casey	Yes
5581	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5582	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Davis, Galen	Yes
5583	8/30/2011	No	Garlington, Katy	Yes
5584	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Schuhrke, Nancy E	Yes
5585	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Meza, Joel	Yes
5586	8/30/2011	No	Waltzer, Mark L	Yes
5587	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Sugarman, Kathy J	Yes
5588	8/30/2011	No	Curdts, Thom	Yes
5589	8/30/2011	No	Poirier, Peter	Yes
5590	8/30/2011	No	Perizzolo, Vicki C	Yes
5591	8/30/2011	No	Leshner, Andy R	Yes
5592	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wheeler, Jenny	Yes
5593	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Butler, Kristin L	Yes
5594	8/30/2011	No	Ting, Mary	Yes
5595	8/30/2011	No	Cusano, John	Yes
5596	8/30/2011	No	Montoya, Cathy	Yes
5597	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Viani, Lisa L. Owens Viani	Yes
5598	8/30/2011	No	Davin, Kelsey	Yes
5599	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Wiggins, Todd	Yes
5600	8/30/2011	No	Rogalin, Suzanne M	Yes
5601	8/30/2011	No (0)	Griffin, Scott	Yes
5602	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wolfe, Kathleen A	Yes
5603	8/30/2011	No	Overstreet, Annette S	Yes
5604	8/30/2011	No	Zager, Bill	Yes
5605	8/30/2011	No	Eppler, Ann	Yes
5606	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Choi, Kelly	Yes
5607	8/30/2011	No	Bauman, Marian	Yes
5608	8/30/2011	No	Marquardt, Michael R	Yes
5609	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kiser, Allison	Yes
5610	8/30/2011	No (0)	Turshman, Linda D	Yes
5611	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	betz, Brian	Yes
5612	8/30/2011	No	lynch, Gail	Yes
5613	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Gregory	Yes
5614	8/30/2011	No	SADEK, CARMEN S	Yes

0013774

5615	8/30/2011	No	Edmonston, Pandora P	Yes
5616	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Paul	Yes
5617	8/30/2011	No	G., Joan	Yes
5618	8/30/2011	No	Cullen, Bernard	Yes
5619	8/30/2011	No	Ahearn, Dennis	Yes
5620	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Angela	Yes
5621	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5622	8/30/2011	No	Adams, Laura E	Yes
5623	8/30/2011	No	Larson, Ariel	Yes
5624	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	betz, Brian	Yes
5625	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5626	8/30/2011	No	Bergman, Carol	Yes
5627	8/30/2011	No	butkiewicz, mike	Yes
5628	8/30/2011	No	Laurson, Seth	Yes
5629	8/30/2011	No	Dingleberry, Patricia	Yes
5630	8/30/2011	No	Nylen, Nell G	Yes
5631	8/30/2011	No	Morrell, Steven	Yes
5632	8/30/2011	No	King, Jean	Yes
5633	8/30/2011	No	Stanton, Mary	Yes
5634	8/30/2011	No	Schultz, Jennifer T	Yes
5635	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5636	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, Janet	Yes
5637	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Beer, Julie	Yes
5638	8/30/2011	No	B, Jennifer	Yes
5639	8/30/2011	No	Sundstrom, Karl E	Yes
5640	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruggiero, Emma	Yes
5641	8/30/2011	No	wisboro, judy	Yes
5642	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McCleary, Harriet C	Yes
5643	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kontrimas, Jean S	Yes
5644	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ferguson, Cheryl	Yes
5645	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kiser, Allison	Yes
5646	8/30/2011	No	noggle, jon	Yes
5647	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Meyer, Richard	Yes
5648	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5649	8/30/2011	No	Delama, Alejandra V	Yes
5650	8/30/2011	No	Rimler, Frank	Yes
5651	8/30/2011	No	Millar, Maria	Yes
5652	8/30/2011	No	Morris, S	Yes
5653	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5654	8/30/2011	No	colton, sara	Yes
5655	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Weisz, Russell B	Yes
5656	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Monroe, Molly	Yes
5657	8/30/2011	No	Strozier, Robby L	Yes
5658	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Miller, Donald	Yes
5659	8/30/2011	No	jamati, edna k	Yes
5660	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ryan, Robert	Yes
5661	8/30/2011	No	Gutman, James & Andrea	Yes
5662	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Frank	Yes
5663	8/30/2011	No	simons, anita	Yes
5664	8/30/2011	No	Graham, Terry B	Yes
5665	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5666	8/30/2011	No	Slater, Fay E	Yes
5667	8/30/2011	No	Perry, Gala	Yes
5668	8/30/2011	No	Dietrich, Chris O	Yes
5669	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Breckenridge, Bonnie	Yes

0013775

5670	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Allin, Roswitha	Yes
5671	8/30/2011	No	C, Cindy	Yes
5672	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bailey, Elizabeth N	Yes
5673	8/30/2011	No	Stamer, Linda	Yes
5674	8/30/2011	No	ROXANNE, WENDY	Yes
5675	8/30/2011	No	Flyer, Susan	Yes
5676	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cisna, Todd	Yes
5677	8/30/2011	No	Church, Cathy	Yes
5678	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Biurke, Rose	Yes
5679	8/30/2011	No	Renshaw, Martha	Yes
5680	8/30/2011	No	Hubbard, James	Yes
5681	8/30/2011	No	williams, kim j	Yes
5682	8/30/2011	No	toner, william	Yes
5683	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fox, Jody	Yes
5684	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Matheny, Jenna N	Yes
5685	8/30/2011	No	Brunton, James P	Yes
5686	8/30/2011	No	Karlovich, David	Yes
5687	8/30/2011	No	Stroupe, Frank L	Yes
5688	8/30/2011	No	Hellring, Siegfried B	Yes
5689	8/30/2011	No	Curry, Gail A	Yes
5690	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Halpern, Carol W	Yes
5691	8/30/2011	No	Wattman, Teckla J	Yes
5692	8/30/2011	No	MacDonald, Linda M	Yes
5693	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schiffman, Lauren	Yes
5694	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Steriti, Brandon J	Yes
5695	8/30/2011	No	Velker, Diane M	Yes
5696	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5697	8/30/2011	No	Sethna, Cyrus	Yes
5698	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Evans, Michael W	Yes
5699	8/30/2011	No	Sanchez, Manuel J	Yes
5700	8/30/2011	No	Linke, Heidi	Yes
5701	8/30/2011	No	Hahn, Kimberly A	Yes
5702	8/30/2011	No	Stone, Lisa	Yes
5703	8/30/2011	No	Brunton, James P	Yes
5704	8/30/2011	No	Ransom, Cat	Yes
5705	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Strickland, Tracy A	Yes
5706	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Matheny, Jenna N	Yes
5707	8/30/2011	No	Maxfield, Bruce W	Yes
5708	8/30/2011	No	Tenekedjiev, Ned	Yes
5709	8/30/2011	No	tryles, gael D	Yes
5710	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Heyneman, Amy	Yes
5711	8/30/2011	No	Biancalana, Jean	Yes
5712	8/30/2011	No (0)	Buenau, Lois D	Yes
5713	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Housel, Christine M	Yes
5714	8/30/2011	No	Gutelius, Danielle	Yes
5715	8/30/2011	No	Harper, Gerald E	Yes
5716	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilson, Loretta	Yes
5717	8/30/2011	No	Capuano, Joseph	Yes
5718	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCard, Jennifer J	Yes
5719	8/30/2011	No	Pearson, Lynn M	Yes
5720	8/30/2011	No	Christoforo, Memarie	Yes
5721	8/30/2011	No	Kraus, Mervin B	Yes
5722	8/30/2011	No	Baley, Patricia M	Yes
5723	8/30/2011	No	Lear, Nancy S	Yes
5724	8/30/2011	No	Lazar, Liz J	Yes



0013776

5725	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Barger, Julie A	Yes
5726	8/30/2011	No	Krause, Richard R	Yes
5727	8/30/2011	No	Carmola, Allison	Yes
5728	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Steeves, Robin	Yes
5729	8/30/2011	No	Kaluzhski, Alexandre	Yes
5730	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5731	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harbers, Lisa	Yes
5732	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Durgin, Terrie	Yes
5733	8/30/2011	No	Mayer, David C	Yes
5734	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Diane A	Yes
5735	8/30/2011	No	Bergen, Donna	Yes
5736	8/30/2011	No	Casillas, angie C	Yes
5737	8/30/2011	No	Little, Ruth	Yes
5738	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pistor, Christiane	Yes
5739	8/30/2011	No	Gilbert, Patricia C	Yes
5740	8/30/2011	No (0)	diantonio, bill	Yes
5741	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5742	8/30/2011	No	Crawford, Andra	Yes
5743	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burns, Norma D	Yes
5744	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5745	8/30/2011	No	Popio, Kenneth A	Yes
5746	8/30/2011	No	Wenzer, Kenneth	Yes
5747	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5748	8/30/2011	No	Champagne, Bianca M	Yes
5749	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ciliberti, Molly	Yes
5750	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5751	8/30/2011	No	Karnecki, Theresa	Yes
5752	8/30/2011	No (0)	Campbell, Benita	Yes
5753	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dollins, Randall	Yes
5754	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hilton, Daniel S	Yes
5755	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Etheridge, Kelly L	Yes
5756	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Teller, David B	Yes
5757	8/30/2011	No (0)	Faery, Rebecca B	Yes
5758	8/30/2011	No	Wood, Ruby	Yes
5759	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nagrani, Sanjay	Yes
5760	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bivona, Eric J	Yes
5761	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fuentes, Max	Yes
5762	8/30/2011	No (0)	Martinez, Michaellyn C	Yes
5763	8/30/2011	No	Temple, Susan L	Yes
5764	8/30/2011	No	Hardinger, Ruth	Yes
5765	8/30/2011	No	Greaser, Jena P	Yes
5766	8/30/2011	No	Hollens, Josh	Yes
5767	8/30/2011	No	Birkby, Stacey	Yes
5768	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	D'Imperio, Liz A	Yes
5769	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ekstrand, Maria L	Yes
5770	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5771	8/30/2011	No (0)	Levinkind, Susan	Yes
5772	8/30/2011	No	Standring, Anne G	Yes
5773	8/30/2011	No	Spinelli, Mary E	Yes
5774	8/30/2011	No	O'Connor, Chance	Yes
5775	8/30/2011	No	Baldwin, Valerie	Yes
5776	8/30/2011	No	Canizares, Lorenzo A	Yes
5777	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5778	8/30/2011	No	HAWLEY, WANDA J	Yes
5779	8/30/2011	No	Perron, Sara K	Yes

0013777

5780	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hann, Terry P	Yes
5781	8/30/2011	No	McCormick, Maureen	Yes
5782	8/30/2011	No (0)	Henderson, Jo A	Yes
5783	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	NELSON, DEBRA A	Yes
5784	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tilley, Kimberly J	Yes
5785	8/30/2011	No	Creedon, Daniel` F	Yes
5786	8/30/2011	No	Chapman, Lorraine G	Yes
5787	8/30/2011	No	May, Lana J	Yes
5788	8/30/2011	No	Greer, Helen G	Yes
5789	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Affayroux, Sean	Yes
5790	8/30/2011	No	leneman, cecile N	Yes
5791	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Seitz, Jason C	Yes
5792	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	WILSON, TODD	Yes
5793	8/30/2011	No	Neely, Marta	Yes
5794	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kern, Susan D	Yes
5795	8/30/2011	No	Hotop, Dean	Yes
5796	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5797	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Podendorf, Marilyn	Yes
5798	8/30/2011	No	Hamson, Ned	Yes
5799	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Berg, Karen J	Yes
5800	8/30/2011	No	Kennie, Julie L	Yes
5801	8/30/2011	No (0)	deForest, Deborah	Yes
5802	8/30/2011	No	Cantrell, Donna M	Yes
5803	8/30/2011	No	Lane, Zoe	Yes
5804	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McLaughlin, Janice	Yes
5805	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	L., Lynne	Yes
5806	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5807	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Taylor, Lillian C	Yes
5808	8/30/2011	No	Abuelo, Matthew E	Yes
5809	8/30/2011	No	Serio, Linda S	Yes
5810	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Susan E	Yes
5811	8/30/2011	No	Latta, Troy J	Yes
5812	8/30/2011	No	crane, julie	Yes
5813	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kindfield, Ann	Yes
5814	8/30/2011	No	Winegrad, Bernard	Yes
5815	8/30/2011	No	Ellis, mary A	Yes
5816	8/30/2011	No	Sullivan, Diane	Yes
5817	8/30/2011	No	cole, cal c	Yes
5818	8/30/2011	No	OLEXA, Deborah P	Yes
5819	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Aranda, Denise M	Yes
5820	8/30/2011	No	Glaub, Ginny	Yes
5821	8/30/2011	No	Watkins, Patricia A	Yes
5822	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilder, Diane	Yes
5823	8/30/2011	No	Melott, Stephen	Yes
5824	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Susan C	Yes
5825	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5826	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Ed S	Yes
5827	8/30/2011	No	Platt, Audrey D	Yes
5828	8/30/2011	No	Acoba, Adrienne	Yes
5829	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5830	8/30/2011	No	Garavaglia, Jo-Ann L	Yes
5831	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Poppa, Richard A	Yes
5832	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenberg, Allison	Yes
5833	8/30/2011	No	Pollard, Candice H	Yes
5834	8/30/2011	No	Marlin, K.	Yes

0013778

5835	8/30/2011	No	Speranzo-Golann, Karen J	Yes
5836	8/30/2011	No	siraco, adria	Yes
5837	8/30/2011	No	Butchino, Beth	Yes
5838	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wilson, Marilyn K	Yes
5839	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Courtney A	Yes
5840	8/30/2011	No	M, D	Yes
5841	8/30/2011	No	Palmer, Pamela S	Yes
5842	8/30/2011	No	Pasko, Dorothy	Yes
5843	8/30/2011	No	Resendes, Shannin	Yes
5844	8/30/2011	No	Wald, Bill	Yes
5845	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zandy, Pam	Yes
5846	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kruszynski, Yasiu	Yes
5847	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Eisenhart, Brenda K	Yes
5848	8/30/2011	No	Stuerzer-Rhodes, Merlene N	Yes
5849	8/30/2011	No	Pierson, Laura K	Yes
5850	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Khalepari, Sean M	Yes
5851	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Curren, Erik	Yes
5852	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Howard, Erin	Yes
5853	8/30/2011	No	Nicolia, Iina	Yes
5854	8/30/2011	No	Kafka, Eva -	Yes
5855	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Melissa	Yes
5856	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Marrie, Marc	Yes
5857	8/30/2011	No	Flowers, Deborah J	Yes
5858	8/30/2011	No	Kassel, Kerul	Yes
5859	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ricapito, Silvana	Yes
5860	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5861	8/30/2011	No	Donn, Gloria	Yes
5862	8/30/2011	No	Bailey, Angela D	Yes
5863	8/30/2011	No	Fonkert, Ryan P	Yes
5864	8/30/2011	No	Rehagen, Marirose	Yes
5865	8/30/2011	No	Larson, Becky	Yes
5866	8/30/2011	No	Stevens, Merthyr	Yes
5867	8/30/2011	No	Guillotel, Steven	Yes
5868	8/30/2011	No	Saladino, Pamela	Yes
5869	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Michele	Yes
5870	8/30/2011	No	O'Halloran, William D	Yes
5871	8/30/2011	No	Canavan, Margaret M	Yes
5872	8/30/2011	No	boyle, patricia a	Yes
5873	8/30/2011	No	aveni, virginia	Yes
5874	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morley, Dennis	Yes
5875	8/30/2011	No	Livesay, Corinne B	Yes
5876	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Raubenheimer, Carol	Yes
5877	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Vad, Chanda	Yes
5878	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Larrison, Elizabeth A	Yes
5879	8/30/2011	No	Lucas, Michael	Yes
5880	8/30/2011	No	Frederick, Roger	Yes
5881	8/30/2011	No	Conroy, Michael	Yes
5882	8/30/2011	No	Madeco-Smith, Mary J	Yes
5883	8/30/2011	No	Bergier, Barbara	Yes
5884	8/30/2011	No	Torrence, Paul F	Yes
5885	8/30/2011	No	Baldwin, Valerie	Yes
5886	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DuBow, Thea R	Yes
5887	8/30/2011	No	osborn, I	Yes
5888	8/30/2011	No	Clair, Delene S	Yes
5889	8/30/2011	No	ju, susan	Yes

0013779

5890	8/30/2011	No	Takaht, Cynthia M	Yes
5891	8/30/2011	No	Stephenson, Elizabeth-Jo	Yes
5892	8/30/2011	No	HARVEY, KELLY j	Yes
5893	8/30/2011	No (0)	Carrington, Peter H	Yes
5894	8/30/2011	No (0)	Peterson, Gayle D	Yes
5895	8/30/2011	No	Rossie, Christopher	Yes
5896	8/30/2011	No	wall, beverly a	Yes
5897	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Seaton, Chris	Yes
5898	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Kassel, Kerul	Yes
5899	8/30/2011	No	Damon, Lee	Yes
5900	8/30/2011	No	Sansone, Michael	Yes
5901	8/30/2011	No	Guidi, Rita	Yes
5902	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5903	8/30/2011	No	Rehner, Diane	Yes
5904	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DuClaud, Monica	Yes
5905	8/30/2011	No	maxwell, mindy	Yes
5906	8/30/2011	No	Rizzuto, Alexis	Yes
5907	8/30/2011	No	Jeffers, Sandra E	Yes
5908	8/30/2011	No	Frasche, Robey	Yes
5909	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schneider, Amy	Yes
5910	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	brown, lynn	Yes
5911	8/30/2011	No	Kasl, Ruth	Yes
5912	8/30/2011	No	Richard, Tyesha	Yes
5913	8/30/2011	No	Honhart, Anne	Yes
5914	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Young, Jo E	Yes
5915	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ajemian, Peter E	Yes
5916	8/30/2011	No	Brisco, Austin	Yes
5917	8/30/2011	No	Engman, Charles	Yes
5918	8/30/2011	No	Balogh, Holly H	Yes
5919	8/30/2011	No	Payne, Michael	Yes
5920	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Jennifer L	Yes
5921	8/30/2011	No	Meadow, Karen E	Yes
5922	8/30/2011	No	Gottlieb, David N	Yes
5923	8/30/2011	No (0)	Henzi, Bernie M	Yes
5924	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reid, Janet	Yes
5925	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gawlowski, Greg	Yes
5926	8/30/2011	No	Mullendore, Eric	Yes
5927	8/30/2011	No	Marshall, Laurie R	Yes
5928	8/30/2011	No	Bar-David, Ilana	Yes
5929	8/30/2011	No	Larsen, Monica	Yes
5930	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Osk, Kris	Yes
5931	8/30/2011	No	Cook, A.	Yes
5932	8/30/2011	No	Jacobs, Joan	Yes
5933	8/30/2011	No	Ralston, Valerie A	Yes
5934	8/30/2011	No	Brayton, Alfred T	Yes
5935	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Weiskott, Lee	Yes
5936	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gignac, Rebecca C	Yes
5937	8/30/2011	No	Richter, Elisabeth	Yes
5938	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5939	8/30/2011	No	Tepper, William D	Yes
5940	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Simon-Kissel, Alison V	Yes
5941	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Drain, Rick	Yes
5942	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lionetti, Marc	Yes
5943	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bauer, C.	Yes
5944	8/30/2011	No	Longley, Brian	Yes

0013780

5945	8/30/2011	No	Mendelsohn, Alex	Yes
5946	8/30/2011	No	Melott, Frances	Yes
5947	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Siegelski, Jaclyn	Yes
5948	8/30/2011	No	Chin, Joseph L	Yes
5949	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
5950	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Keyishian, Sarah	Yes
5951	8/30/2011	No	Hart, Carol Jane De Hart	Yes
5952	8/30/2011	No	Gegauff, Anthony	Yes
5953	8/30/2011	Yes (573580)	Lambert, Lana	Yes
5954	8/30/2011	No	Bartucca, Laura	Yes
5955	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Souza, Michael	Yes
5956	8/30/2011	No	laughon, char	Yes
5957	8/30/2011	No	Defee, Willie M	Yes
5958	8/30/2011	No	Troutman, Ruby J	Yes
5959	8/30/2011	No	Deutsch, Jennifer	Yes
5960	8/30/2011	No	resnick, karelina	Yes
5961	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Andrea	Yes
5962	8/30/2011	No	Thomas, Tucker	Yes
5963	8/30/2011	No	knudsen, barry	Yes
5964	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Richards, Fiona R	Yes
5965	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goodwin, Hill K	Yes
5966	8/30/2011	No	rollo, mike v	Yes
5967	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Uecker, Robert J	Yes
5968	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5969	8/30/2011	No	Schapa, Eleanor	Yes
5970	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5971	8/30/2011	No	griffiths, jane	Yes
5972	8/30/2011	No	DUNNE, BERNADINE	Yes
5973	8/30/2011	No	pollack, steven r	Yes
5974	8/30/2011	No	Clair, Delene S	Yes
5975	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Trevor, Stephanie Anne Harris	Yes
5976	8/30/2011	No	Arneson, Carla A	Yes
5977	8/30/2011	No	Kraft, Tessa A	Yes
5978	8/30/2011	No	Quackenbush, Kay P	Yes
5979	8/30/2011	No	Ritchie, Shann and Dennis	Yes
5980	8/30/2011	No	Owens, Mark	Yes
5981	8/30/2011	No	Harvey, Renee D	Yes
5982	8/30/2011	No	Perez, Rosa	Yes
5983	8/30/2011	No	russo, melissa a	Yes
5984	8/30/2011	No	Henke, Margaret A	Yes
5985	8/30/2011	No	Knipe, Nancy	Yes
5986	8/30/2011	No	Grace, Carrie E	Yes
5987	8/30/2011	No	Ruth, Joy	Yes
5988	8/30/2011	No	Pierce, Glenn	Yes
5989	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
5990	8/30/2011	No	Gilbert, Lillie R	Yes
5991	8/30/2011	No	Lawson, Lorraine M	Yes
5992	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	K, Angela	Yes
5993	8/30/2011	No	KELLER, SANDRA L	Yes
5994	8/30/2011	No	Isaacs, Ed	Yes
5995	8/30/2011	No	Rusk, Bob	Yes
5996	8/30/2011	No	McGuire, Michael D	Yes
5997	8/30/2011	No	Elewski, Chester	Yes
5998	8/30/2011	No	Orloff, Travis C	Yes
5999	8/30/2011	No	Wolfe, LaRene J	Yes

0013781

6000	8/30/2011	No (0)	Sinclair, John C	Yes
6001	8/30/2011	No	Patey, Mary A	Yes
6002	8/30/2011	No	Mannering, Natalie	Yes
6003	8/30/2011	No	Manderscheid, B. N	Yes
6004	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Garrett, Mike	Yes
6005	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ciorbett, Patricia A	Yes
6006	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Weaver, Roxie	Yes
6007	8/30/2011	No	GILBERT, JO A	Yes
6008	8/30/2011	No	Mundstock, Louise K	Yes
6009	8/30/2011	No	mcintire, joyce	Yes
6010	8/30/2011	No	Smith, MaryAnn	Yes
6011	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Goryn, Olivia	Yes
6012	8/30/2011	No	Barb, Billie C	Yes
6013	8/30/2011	No	Thornburgh, allen C	Yes
6014	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6015	8/30/2011	No	Holland, L. D	Yes
6016	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Owen, Karyon	Yes
6017	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6018	8/30/2011	No	Lima, Adrey	Yes
6019	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fahy, Elizabeth A	Yes
6020	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6021	8/30/2011	No	kim, tod	Yes
6022	8/30/2011	No	Fox, Patricia L	Yes
6023	8/30/2011	No	Mabrouk, Sarah	Yes
6024	8/30/2011	No	Weisenbach, Deanne	Yes
6025	8/30/2011	No	miles, jack	Yes
6026	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Susan s	Yes
6027	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hays, Jess W	Yes
6028	8/30/2011	No	Elliott, Shannon	Yes
6029	8/30/2011	No	Butler, Christine	Yes
6030	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Jason M	Yes
6031	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hayes, Autumn A	Yes
6032	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6033	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6034	8/30/2011	No	Kierecka, Dominika	Yes
6035	8/30/2011	No	Wood, Margaret	Yes
6036	8/30/2011	No	bloom, marilela p	Yes
6037	8/30/2011	No	Harrison, Jan	Yes
6038	8/30/2011	No	Mincin, Ronald J	Yes
6039	8/30/2011	No	Gandulla, Julie	Yes
6040	8/30/2011	No	Amundson, Beret	Yes
6041	8/30/2011	No	Poole, Jim	Yes
6042	8/30/2011	No	Gaffney, J P	Yes
6043	8/30/2011	No	Scott, Erica	Yes
6044	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Federman, Steven	Yes
6045	8/30/2011	No	Rhine, M.	Yes
6046	8/30/2011	No	Rule, Juliann	Yes
6047	8/30/2011	No	Cook-Stroud, Cathy	Yes
6048	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Svenson, Joan E	Yes
6049	8/30/2011	No (0)	Price, Stephen G	Yes
6050	8/30/2011	No	noonan, c k	Yes
6051	8/30/2011	No	Rhew, D	Yes
6052	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dobbe, Karrin	Yes
6053	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wood, Cynthia	Yes
6054	8/30/2011	No	MERCADO, FRANCISCO	Yes

0013782

6055	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Casey	Yes
6056	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	McMaster, Belle M	Yes
6057	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mansbridge, Beth A	Yes
6058	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burnette, Thornton G	Yes
6059	8/30/2011	No	Fein, Ethan	Yes
6060	8/30/2011	No	Bredig, Bernard	Yes
6061	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brodnax, David	Yes
6062	8/30/2011	No	Stebbins, Diana	Yes
6063	8/30/2011	No	hunt, george h	Yes
6064	8/30/2011	No	Kulakofsky, Michael	Yes
6065	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6066	8/30/2011	No	Palmer, Carolyn S	Yes
6067	8/30/2011	No	Wescoat, Ruby	Yes
6068	8/30/2011	No	Blain, Richard	Yes
6069	8/30/2011	No	kay, karen	Yes
6070	8/30/2011	No	Bodenmann, Olivier	Yes
6071	8/30/2011	No (0)	Barnes, Adam	Yes
6072	8/30/2011	No	Wollman, Barbara L	Yes
6073	8/30/2011	No	Collins, John J	Yes
6074	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	B&eacute;ard, Herv&eacute;	Yes
6075	8/30/2011	No	Lambert, Audra	Yes
6076	8/30/2011	No	Ruscigno, John	Yes
6077	8/30/2011	No	cupple, peter p	Yes
6078	8/30/2011	No (0)	Koenig, Lisa	Yes
6079	8/30/2011	No	Andrew, Barbara J	Yes
6080	8/30/2011	No	Hinds, Stephen A	Yes
6081	8/30/2011	No (0)	Radcliffe, Stevens J	Yes
6082	8/30/2011	No	Cornish, Pamela	Yes
6083	8/30/2011	No	Sipp, Joseph	Yes
6084	8/30/2011	No	Colegrove, Louise	Yes
6085	8/30/2011	No	Watling, May	Yes
6086	8/30/2011	No	Meredith, William w	Yes
6087	8/30/2011	No	Quentin, Margaret S	Yes
6088	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wisch, Christine	Yes
6089	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Safron, Rebecca	Yes
6090	8/30/2011	No	Henry, Anne M	Yes
6091	8/30/2011	No	fadden, maureen m	Yes
6092	8/30/2011	No	Thryft, Ann R	Yes
6093	8/30/2011	No	Agen, Matthew	Yes
6094	8/30/2011	No	weiske, lynne	Yes
6095	8/30/2011	No	sessa, andrew	Yes
6096	8/30/2011	No (0)	Marie, Jeanine S	Yes
6097	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dickenson, Marie	Yes
6098	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sanchez, Tony	Yes
6099	8/30/2011	No	Wolfe, LaRene J	Yes
6100	8/30/2011	No (0)	Giunta, Lou A	Yes
6101	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wolfe, LaRene J	Yes
6102	8/30/2011	No	Andrews, Geneva	Yes
6103	8/30/2011	No	FARNSWORTH, VINCENT	Yes
6104	8/30/2011	No	Gewiss, Linda S	Yes
6105	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kersey, Julie A	Yes
6106	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lentz, Barry	Yes
6107	8/30/2011	No	bromley, bonnie j	Yes
6108	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Epshteyn, Aneah	Yes
6109	8/30/2011	No	Kelly, Frances	Yes

0013783

6110	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6111	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Muniere, Suzanne L	Yes
6112	8/30/2011	No	altschuler, joanne	Yes
6113	8/30/2011	No	Oliver, Kathyryn	Yes
6114	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6115	8/30/2011	No	goldstein, roz	Yes
6116	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Krantz, David A	Yes
6117	8/30/2011	No	williams, Erin c	Yes
6118	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rutkowski, Robert E	Yes
6119	8/30/2011	No	Blain, Richard	Yes
6120	8/30/2011	No	NEWKIRK, reita m	Yes
6121	8/30/2011	No	Kritner, Pamela Y	Yes
6122	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rodriguez, Adriana	Yes
6123	8/30/2011	No	Roberts, Judith A	Yes
6124	8/30/2011	No	Spruit, Jeff D	Yes
6125	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hansen, Karen	Yes
6126	8/30/2011	No	Tannenbaum, David R	Yes
6127	8/30/2011	No	Miluski, Barbara	Yes
6128	8/30/2011	No	filoni, cinzia	Yes
6129	8/30/2011	No	Nethercutt, George E	Yes
6130	8/30/2011	No	H., Jesse	Yes
6131	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Helms, Greg	Yes
6132	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McClelland, Kimberly A	Yes
6133	8/30/2011	No	Redleaf, Kelly	Yes
6134	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Messer, Tara J	Yes
6135	8/30/2011	No (0)	Clouspy, Mallory J	Yes
6136	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hawkinson, Anne	Yes
6137	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mullen, Timothy I	Yes
6138	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6139	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reeser, Alex	Yes
6140	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nord, Randall C	Yes
6141	8/30/2011	No	Zaha, Barbara	Yes
6142	8/30/2011	No	Potter, Arlyn R	Yes
6143	8/30/2011	No	Thompson, Diane	Yes
6144	8/30/2011	No	Neste, Lisa A	Yes
6145	8/30/2011	No	Vetter, Dawn	Yes
6146	8/30/2011	No	Dern, Jacqueline	Yes
6147	8/30/2011	No	Sweeny, Peter B	Yes
6148	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Stephen	Yes
6149	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6150	8/30/2011	No	Thryft, Ann R	Yes
6151	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	OWENS, DEBRA L	Yes
6152	8/30/2011	No	Iverson, robert	Yes
6153	8/30/2011	No (0)	dutra, rc	Yes
6154	8/30/2011	No	Tucker, Meredith C	Yes
6155	8/30/2011	No	huntley, susan	Yes
6156	8/30/2011	No	Zimmerman, Susan	Yes
6157	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lundy, Monica	Yes
6158	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Strano-Triglia, Sara J	Yes
6159	8/30/2011	No	Hardin, Joseph	Yes
6160	8/30/2011	No	McIlvane, MaryElizabeth	Yes
6161	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6162	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sipe, Charlene	Yes
6163	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6164	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harlow, Whitney	Yes



0013784

6165	8/30/2011	Yes (556464)	Mattila, Elizabeth	Yes
6166	8/30/2011	No	Smith, David H	Yes
6167	8/30/2011	No	Jatchett, Meredith J	Yes
6168	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6169	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6170	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fernandez, Lind A	Yes
6171	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Lawrence, Suzy	Yes
6172	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Auman, Michelle A	Yes
6173	8/30/2011	No	Pierce, Kim M	Yes
6174	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Patricia	Yes
6175	8/30/2011	No (0)	cordell, mona	Yes
6176	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ziemak, Cheryl	Yes
6177	8/30/2011	No (0)	craciun, george j	Yes
6178	8/30/2011	No	Walters, Linda W	Yes
6179	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dinwiddie, Erin	Yes
6180	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6181	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6182	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chambers, Ute	Yes
6183	8/30/2011	No	H&auml;usle, Kathie	Yes
6184	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lewis, Janine	Yes
6185	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blum, Robin	Yes
6186	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dickenson, Marie	Yes
6187	8/30/2011	No	Holtfreter, Tim J	Yes
6188	8/30/2011	No	Bowen, Tiffany	Yes
6189	8/30/2011	No (0)	Mathras, Steve	Yes
6190	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kestler, Ronald	Yes
6191	8/30/2011	No	Yatco, Victor	Yes
6192	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rose, Denise	Yes
6193	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6194	8/30/2011	No	Soloway, Chris M	Yes
6195	8/30/2011	No	Gehrigg, Judy	Yes
6196	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tangi, Anna F	Yes
6197	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fay, Scott D	Yes
6198	8/30/2011	No	vandyke, marlene k	Yes
6199	8/30/2011	No	Moon, Diane	Yes
6200	8/30/2011	No	Steinberg, Todd	Yes
6201	8/30/2011	No	Summers, Robert B	Yes
6202	8/30/2011	No	Olsen, Kristi S	Yes
6203	8/30/2011	No	O'Neill, Cara	Yes
6204	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Laiche, Reagan	Yes
6205	8/30/2011	No	Shickman, Muriel	Yes
6206	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hasapidis, George	Yes
6207	8/30/2011	No	Hollyfield, Ann	Yes
6208	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jr, Dani L Larivey Jr	Yes
6209	8/30/2011	No	Worthington, George M	Yes
6210	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DeGrace, Lisa M	Yes
6211	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Arnold, Tina M	Yes
6212	8/30/2011	No	Doss, Heide M	Yes
6213	8/30/2011	No	Wittry, Pauline A	Yes
6214	8/30/2011	No	Richards, Loretta	Yes
6215	8/30/2011	No	McCracken, Jacqueline	Yes
6216	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cecile, Scott	Yes
6217	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lattanzio, Therese	Yes
6218	8/30/2011	No	music, mully	Yes
6219	8/30/2011	No	Ridgeway, Judith A	Yes

## 0013785

6220	8/30/2011	No	Jr, W Reese Garrard, Jr	Yes
6221	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Salvato, Holly L	Yes
6222	8/30/2011	No	Jabaily, Rachel S	Yes
6223	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kite, MF	Yes
6224	8/30/2011	No	Federico, Katherine B	Yes
6225	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brightly, Frances	Yes
6226	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McConoughey, Tamra	Yes
6227	8/30/2011	No	Henry, M	Yes
6228	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bragg, Kelly	Yes
6229	8/30/2011	No	Tomassi, paula	Yes
6230	8/30/2011	No	Edwards, Patricia G	Yes
6231	8/30/2011	No	statz, pam	Yes
6232	8/30/2011	No	Rufo, Lisa	Yes
6233	8/30/2011	No	Roper, Rachel L	Yes
6234	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	goldfarb, georgia	Yes
6235	8/30/2011	No	apramian, Lisa	Yes
6236	8/30/2011	No	Morris, Linda Morris Kathleen	Yes
6237	8/30/2011	No	Howard, Judith A	Yes
6238	8/30/2011	No	Fulton, Elizabeth	Yes
6239	8/30/2011	No	Talbert, Barbara A	Yes
6240	8/30/2011	No	Keith, Vilas	Yes
6241	8/30/2011	No (0)	Foster, Brian D	Yes
6242	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6243	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6244	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Fielding, Mickey	Yes
6245	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McLain, Soline D	Yes
6246	8/30/2011	No	Gutierrez, Nichole M	Yes
6247	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6248	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hyland, Levi	Yes
6249	8/30/2011	No	Esson, Genevieve	Yes
6250	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6251	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Jonathan	Yes
6252	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6253	8/30/2011	No	Houser, Osalyn B	Yes
6254	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Elizabeth	Yes
6255	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6256	8/30/2011	No	Hollander, Brian L	Yes
6257	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blanchard, Jennifer N	Yes
6258	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6259	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Krosnoff, Cam	Yes
6260	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reese, Sarah E	Yes
6261	8/30/2011	No	Stansbury, Sydney L	Yes
6262	8/30/2011	No	Angles, Mariposa de Los	Yes
6263	8/30/2011	No	Nerenstone, Marti	Yes
6264	8/30/2011	No	Hecht, alicia a	Yes
6265	8/30/2011	No	Loveday, Richard	Yes
6266	8/30/2011	No (0)	Fox, Jacqueline S	Yes
6267	8/30/2011	No	sears, kathleen	Yes
6268	8/30/2011	No	Shrewsbury, Sidney T	Yes
6269	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brenner, h	Yes
6270	8/30/2011	No	Waldoch, Mark	Yes
6271	8/30/2011	No	Newsom, Sylvia C	Yes
6272	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wright, Paul	Yes
6273	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kappler, Gerri	Yes
6274	8/30/2011	No	soraghan, c	Yes

0013786

6275	8/30/2011	No	Butler, Kathleen A	Yes
6276	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kraus, Melody J	Yes
6277	8/30/2011	No (0)	Zeiler, Eric M	Yes
6278	8/30/2011	No	McWiggins, Kate	Yes
6279	8/30/2011	No	Asbury, Luther S	Yes
6280	8/30/2011	No	Oceguera, Allison L	Yes
6281	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pirwitz, Amanda	Yes
6282	8/30/2011	No	Reinitz, Kimberly	Yes
6283	8/30/2011	No	Tarbox, William S	Yes
6284	8/30/2011	No	Henry, Janice J	Yes
6285	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ziemak, Ann	Yes
6286	8/30/2011	No	Brittain, Susan	Yes
6287	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6288	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Reese, Sarah E	Yes
6289	8/30/2011	No	Millet, Saralaine E	Yes
6290	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Perry, Kimberly	Yes
6291	8/30/2011	No	Restaino, Barbara	Yes
6292	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chase, Maia	Yes
6293	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schrader, Denise	Yes
6294	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hobbs, Christine	Yes
6295	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6296	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schroeder, Jon	Yes
6297	8/30/2011	No	Bonk, Marliese	Yes
6298	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wooden, John	Yes
6299	8/30/2011	No	Phon, Renee	Yes
6300	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Biggane, Michele	Yes
6301	8/30/2011	No (0)	M., Geoffrey	Yes
6302	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Susan	Yes
6303	8/30/2011	No	Kelm, Kathleen L	Yes
6304	8/30/2011	No	saracho, ana	Yes
6305	8/30/2011	No	Curtis, Janell	Yes
6306	8/30/2011	No	Brown, Deb	Yes
6307	8/30/2011	No	Townsley, Mavis A	Yes
6308	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Seiler, Nikki	Yes
6309	8/30/2011	No	Kinzie, Janell	Yes
6310	8/30/2011	No	Hoffman, Andrew	Yes
6311	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mather, Paula M	Yes
6312	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Norwood, Elizabeth R	Yes
6313	8/30/2011	No	Black, Angela	Yes
6314	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morren, Jay D	Yes
6315	8/30/2011	No	Chase, Gib	Yes
6316	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frey, Oren T	Yes
6317	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6318	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Diane M	Yes
6319	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Monica	Yes
6320	8/30/2011	No	Gathing, Nancy A	Yes
6321	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Moberg, Sarah P	Yes
6322	8/30/2011	No	arnold, sharon	Yes
6323	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Kathy A	Yes
6324	8/30/2011	No	Weyhrich, Patty A	Yes
6325	8/30/2011	No	Noah, Ian S	Yes
6326	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Brophy, Tracyb	Yes
6327	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6328	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Brenda	Yes
6329	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013787

6330	8/30/2011	No	Welsh, June	Yes
6331	8/30/2011	No	Godbold, Leslie	Yes
6332	8/30/2011	No	Dowdell, Irene W	Yes
6333	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6334	8/30/2011	No	Champe, Christine	Yes
6335	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoskin, Cornelia	Yes
6336	8/30/2011	No	Corby, Kathleen	Yes
6337	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	McGlone, Colleen	Yes
6338	8/30/2011	No	Brandes, Susan J	Yes
6339	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Deshayes, Thierry	Yes
6340	8/30/2011	No	Schmitt, Julie	Yes
6341	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6342	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Justice, Greg G	Yes
6343	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Carol L	Yes
6344	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Garlena, Sharon	Yes
6345	8/30/2011	No	Schneider, Alisa J	Yes
6346	8/30/2011	No	loy, joan	Yes
6347	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Howard, Travis K	Yes
6348	8/30/2011	No	Palmer, Janet G	Yes
6349	8/30/2011	No	Beringer, Elizabeth	Yes
6350	8/30/2011	No	Thorne, Yvonne L	Yes
6351	8/30/2011	No	Messino, Dina	Yes
6352	8/30/2011	No	Vacek, Doug	Yes
6353	8/30/2011	No	Green, Sandra K	Yes
6354	8/30/2011	No	sobel, karen	Yes
6355	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Larkins, Jennifer	Yes
6356	8/30/2011	No	Fisher, Kurt C	Yes
6357	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kinchen, Harold I	Yes
6358	8/30/2011	No	Manheim, Lynn	Yes
6359	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Keaney, Anna	Yes
6360	8/30/2011	No	Rothwell, Robert B	Yes
6361	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Salchli, Jeanne	Yes
6362	8/30/2011	No	Ball, Armand B	Yes
6363	8/30/2011	No	boll, marian I	Yes
6364	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Barker, Claire	Yes
6365	8/30/2011	No	Caudill, Richard	Yes
6366	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6367	8/30/2011	No	Rogers, Karen S	Yes
6368	8/30/2011	No	Blanco, Chad	Yes
6369	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6370	8/30/2011	No	Novak, Jan and Judith Novak	Yes
6371	8/30/2011	No	Sova, Gerard A	Yes
6372	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pedroncelli, Larisa	Yes
6373	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Oswald, Sarah	Yes
6374	8/30/2011	No	Yaco, L.	Yes
6375	8/30/2011	No	Dean, Janette N	Yes
6376	8/30/2011	No	Palmer, Reed	Yes
6377	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Jill G	Yes
6378	8/30/2011	No	Caraballo, Patricia F	Yes
6379	8/30/2011	No	bolin, meb	Yes
6380	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	aalto, anthony	Yes
6381	8/30/2011	No	Mulholland, Christine	Yes
6382	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ouellette, Tracy J	Yes
6383	8/30/2011	No	A, W	Yes
6384	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013788

6385	8/30/2011	No	Hartwig, Gaye A	Yes
6386	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6387	8/30/2011	No	Katinsky, Matthew	Yes
6388	8/30/2011	No	Windham, Dallas S	Yes
6389	8/30/2011	No	Elliott, Lynn M	Yes
6390	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Marshall, Rick	Yes
6391	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Markus-Walczak, Kathleen M	Yes
6392	8/30/2011	No	Krebs, Wolf	Yes
6393	8/30/2011	No	Germano, Katherine A	Yes
6394	8/30/2011	No	Powell, Gary d	Yes
6395	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Kaye	Yes
6396	8/30/2011	No	Cutler, Dean C	Yes
6397	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Linda A	Yes
6398	8/30/2011	No	Retzlaff, Rachel A	Yes
6399	8/30/2011	No	Phipps-Bouwens, Chrissy	Yes
6400	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6401	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Spencer, Arlene	Yes
6402	8/30/2011	No	Burns, Danny B	Yes
6403	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoyer, Mark T	Yes
6404	8/30/2011	No	Kennedy, Robert	Yes
6405	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nikolich-Zugich, Tijana	Yes
6406	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6407	8/30/2011	No	Howard, Sarah	Yes
6408	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sawyer, Jesse	Yes
6409	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Scott	Yes
6410	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Davies, Nigel	Yes
6411	8/30/2011	No	Hartnett, Patrick	Yes
6412	8/30/2011	No	McDonald, Emily	Yes
6413	8/30/2011	No	harshaw, julie	Yes
6414	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	fruth, jodi	Yes
6415	8/30/2011	No	Burgess, Candice C	Yes
6416	8/30/2011	No	Sibley, Scott	Yes
6417	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6418	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	FARHOUD, AISHA	Yes
6419	8/30/2011	No	Reher, Daniel J	Yes
6420	8/30/2011	No	Skill, jacqui m	Yes
6421	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cummings, Leslie	Yes
6422	8/30/2011	No	Glenn, Frances	Yes
6423	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cargman, Jered	Yes
6424	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Woodbridge, Jennifer	Yes
6425	8/30/2011	No	Bedzyk, Catherine J	Yes
6426	8/30/2011	No	Lorraine, Hilary	Yes
6427	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Heaton, Alexis	Yes
6428	8/30/2011	No	Nowak, Julianne M	Yes
6429	8/30/2011	No	Champion, Laurie	Yes
6430	8/30/2011	No	Egan, Veronica E	Yes
6431	8/30/2011	No	Brooks, Robert	Yes
6432	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Shacklock, Chrystal m	Yes
6433	8/30/2011	No	Daniels, Tim E	Yes
6434	8/30/2011	No	Bailey, Janice E	Yes
6435	8/30/2011	No	DeShaies, Cheryl	Yes
6436	8/30/2011	No	Meehan, Nancy L	Yes
6437	8/30/2011	No	Diss, Marybeth	Yes
6438	8/30/2011	No	Brehm, Julie	Yes
6439	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013789

6440	8/30/2011	No	Hackney, Adrian J	Yes
6441	8/30/2011	No	Mathis, Margaret	Yes
6442	8/30/2011	No	Olander, Alan F	Yes
6443	8/30/2011	No	Noel, Denise	Yes
6444	8/30/2011	No	Wesselink, Miriam	Yes
6445	8/30/2011	No	Burgoyne, Janet A	Yes
6446	8/30/2011	No	Schindele, Paulette	Yes
6447	8/30/2011	No	Kelly, Kathy	Yes
6448	8/30/2011	No	Butler, Dottie	Yes
6449	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pointer, Nancy	Yes
6450	8/30/2011	No (0)	Sotis, Linda L	Yes
6451	8/30/2011	No	Jadin, Robert C	Yes
6452	8/30/2011	No (0)	Acker, Robert L	Yes
6453	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Martin, Elijah H	Yes
6454	8/30/2011	No	Wycoff, Denise	Yes
6455	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	robinson, richard m	Yes
6456	8/30/2011	No	Walden, Susan	Yes
6457	8/30/2011	No	Buckson, Linda	Yes
6458	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Casella, Matthew G	Yes
6459	8/30/2011	No	Gottschalk, Pat C	Yes
6460	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hermanns, David A	Yes
6461	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rhyne, Jennifer	Yes
6462	8/30/2011	No (0)	Sanchez, NoahDM	Yes
6463	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kersten, Mary	Yes
6464	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hoehlein, Jill	Yes
6465	8/30/2011	No	Ross, Mike	Yes
6466	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Henry, Mallika	Yes
6467	8/30/2011	No	Willoh, Jennifer	Yes
6468	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cortes, Sarah H	Yes
6469	8/30/2011	No	Powell, Wendy B	Yes
6470	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Linda P	Yes
6471	8/30/2011	No	Levine, Mimi	Yes
6472	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Price, Gary	Yes
6473	8/30/2011	No (0)	Chambers, Derrell	Yes
6474	8/30/2011	No	WolffWood, Jennifer	Yes
6475	8/30/2011	No	meister, mike	Yes
6476	8/30/2011	No	Cole, Thomas	Yes
6477	8/30/2011	No	Trippsmith, Kym L	Yes
6478	8/30/2011	No	Petry, Roger L	Yes
6479	8/30/2011	No	Sekhar, Priyanka	Yes
6480	8/30/2011	No	Edgar, Mary C	Yes
6481	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kittner, Ben D	Yes
6482	8/30/2011	No	Moran, Mike	Yes
6483	8/30/2011	No	Smale, Mary A	Yes
6484	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hedrick, Ashley	Yes
6485	8/30/2011	No (0)	Stanley, Priscilla B	Yes
6486	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6487	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kumar, Chetan	Yes
6488	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bailey, Martin A	Yes
6489	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burgess, William	Yes
6490	8/30/2011	No	Davidson, Noelle	Yes
6491	8/30/2011	No	Wallis, Monique	Yes
6492	8/30/2011	No	Stibitz, Susan	Yes
6493	8/30/2011	No	Hoening, Irwin D	Yes
6494	8/30/2011	No	Fritzler, Deb	Yes

0013790

6495	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6496	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ezerman, Elizabeth B	Yes
6497	8/30/2011	No	Grosso, Rachel E	Yes
6498	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	D'Asto, John	Yes
6499	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	coyle, nora e	Yes
6500	8/30/2011	No	vaughan, bob	Yes
6501	8/30/2011	No	NUGENT, KARA	Yes
6502	8/30/2011	No	Woody, Eric J	Yes
6503	8/30/2011	No	Lefler, Scott	Yes
6504	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jovais, Cas	Yes
6505	8/30/2011	No	Watts, Robert	Yes
6506	8/30/2011	No	Fischer, Karin	Yes
6507	8/30/2011	No	cielukowski, john	Yes
6508	8/30/2011	No	Eaton, Kathleen	Yes
6509	8/30/2011	No	Stoddard, R.	Yes
6510	8/30/2011	No	Duley, Frank L	Yes
6511	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McAnulty, Richard D	Yes
6512	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6513	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Kathleen	Yes
6514	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6515	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hinson, Katherine	Yes
6516	8/30/2011	No	Fay, Sharon	Yes
6517	8/30/2011	No	Pavlic, J.W.	Yes
6518	8/30/2011	No	Breedlove, cory	Yes
6519	8/30/2011	No	Farm, Jodi	Yes
6520	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6521	8/30/2011	No	James, Donna M	Yes
6522	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	King, Paul S	Yes
6523	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6524	8/30/2011	No	T., Mary	Yes
6525	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6526	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6527	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	gritsch, maria	Yes
6528	8/30/2011	No	MRKVICKA, EDWARD G	Yes
6529	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cook, Vanessa	Yes
6530	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hodes, Harold T	Yes
6531	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gunther, Susan	Yes
6532	8/30/2011	No	McMillan, Margaret R	Yes
6533	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Francis, Kimberly S	Yes
6534	8/30/2011	No	riley, n	Yes
6535	8/30/2011	No	Grotjan, Gloria	Yes
6536	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Joos, Sandra	Yes
6537	8/30/2011	No	Stoltz, Gary C	Yes
6538	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6539	8/30/2011	No	Roussell, Pam	Yes
6540	8/30/2011	No	(Dorothy), Daisy	Yes
6541	8/30/2011	No	HALM, ALISON E	Yes
6542	8/30/2011	No	Abbott, Basil n	Yes
6543	8/30/2011	No (0)	Stringham, Debby	Yes
6544	8/30/2011	No	Love, Lindsley	Yes
6545	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Walters, Chau	Yes
6546	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6547	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6548	8/30/2011	No	Miranda, Claudia	Yes
6549	8/30/2011	No	thompson, lewis p	Yes

0013791

6550	8/30/2011	No	Viola, Peter V	Yes
6551	8/30/2011	No	Klatt, Emily L	Yes
6552	8/30/2011	No	Chieco, Eileen	Yes
6553	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6554	8/30/2011	No	Richerson, Ethan	Yes
6555	8/30/2011	No	Lindsay, Daniel R	Yes
6556	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hernandez, Tara	Yes
6557	8/30/2011	No	Orbine, J	Yes
6558	8/30/2011	No	Bursick, Patricia	Yes
6559	8/30/2011	No	Smithers, Jacquelyn A	Yes
6560	8/30/2011	No	Etchison, Craig	Yes
6561	8/30/2011	No	Reichardt, Dorothy M	Yes
6562	8/30/2011	No	Coogan, Kym	Yes
6563	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Firester, Megan	Yes
6564	8/30/2011	No	palladino, josephine	Yes
6565	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Liles, David T	Yes
6566	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Vannelli, Stephen	Yes
6567	8/30/2011	No	Hallett, Mark	Yes
6568	8/30/2011	No	Bowen, Patty	Yes
6569	8/30/2011	No	Picron, Mireille	Yes
6570	8/30/2011	No	peck, amanda b	Yes
6571	8/30/2011	No	Cain, Joe	Yes
6572	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Genin, Merideth K	Yes
6573	8/30/2011	No	Stuart, Andrea	Yes
6574	8/30/2011	No	Fields, Joslin H	Yes
6575	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Curtis, Chris	Yes
6576	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Karanjawala, Eric & Armin	Yes
6577	8/30/2011	No	Nejman, Shoshana	Yes
6578	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6579	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6580	8/30/2011	No	Bard, Kathleen	Yes
6581	8/30/2011	No	Cullen, Dayne	Yes
6582	8/30/2011	No	DIGIANDOMENICO, J J	Yes
6583	8/30/2011	No	Hammer, Marsha	Yes
6584	8/30/2011	No	dinkins, marlene	Yes
6585	8/30/2011	No	Kuss, Marie F	Yes
6586	8/30/2011	No	arteaga, jose d	Yes
6587	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lugauskas, Matthew T	Yes
6588	8/30/2011	No	Alexander, Charles A	Yes
6589	8/30/2011	No	SHARPBACK, JACKIE L	Yes
6590	8/30/2011	No	Christy, Jeff	Yes
6591	8/30/2011	No	Kennard, Mary	Yes
6592	8/30/2011	No	Clements, Rhonda R	Yes
6593	8/30/2011	No	Walker, Carol A	Yes
6594	8/30/2011	No	Siket, Stephen L	Yes
6595	8/30/2011	No	Schless, Laurits H	Yes
6596	8/30/2011	No	Masucci, Coleen P	Yes
6597	8/30/2011	No	friedman, nancy	Yes
6598	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bertaccini, Megan	Yes
6599	8/30/2011	No	starr, lawrence	Yes
6600	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kinthead, Sheila E	Yes
6601	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6602	8/30/2011	No	Moats, Michael	Yes
6603	8/30/2011	No	Rochester, Arthur	Yes
6604	8/30/2011	No	Brush-Hoover, Juliette E	Yes



0013792

6605	8/30/2011	No	Muelder, Caitlin	Yes
6606	8/30/2011	No	PETROVICH, MARIAN	Yes
6607	8/30/2011	No	Gulick, Elizabeth	Yes
6608	8/30/2011	No (0)	Berglund, Vicki L	Yes
6609	8/30/2011	No	Hartman, Suesie	Yes
6610	8/30/2011	No	Davidson, Dawn	Yes
6611	8/30/2011	No	DeCarlo, Anthony W	Yes
6612	8/30/2011	No	Kries, Karl W von Kries	Yes
6613	8/30/2011	No	J., Donald	Yes
6614	8/30/2011	No	Peterson, Beau	Yes
6615	8/30/2011	No	Miyasaka, Jeanne R	Yes
6616	8/30/2011	No	Gilman, Marie	Yes
6617	8/30/2011	No	Dowling, David O	Yes
6618	8/30/2011	No	Vilchez, Jordan	Yes
6619	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Rita A	Yes
6620	8/30/2011	No	Akers, Anna M	Yes
6621	8/30/2011	No	Fay, John H	Yes
6622	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	evans, joe	Yes
6623	8/30/2011	No	McGaughey, Laura	Yes
6624	8/30/2011	No	Barron, Julie m	Yes
6625	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6626	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	FORD, ERICA	Yes
6627	8/30/2011	No	Eriksson, Peter	Yes
6628	8/30/2011	No	Purcell, Caroline	Yes
6629	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bengel, Anna	Yes
6630	8/30/2011	No	Withers, Lynell B	Yes
6631	8/30/2011	No	Zeidler, Marie E	Yes
6632	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6633	8/30/2011	No	dawn, david -	Yes
6634	8/30/2011	No	Edmundson, Jacqueline	Yes
6635	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6636	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Locke, Vicky	Yes
6637	8/30/2011	No	sanders, charlotte	Yes
6638	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ratliff, Amy	Yes
6639	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilcox, Kimerly J	Yes
6640	8/30/2011	No	Rivers, Margaret P	Yes
6641	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6642	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ridgway, Christine	Yes
6643	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Covell, Sandi	Yes
6644	8/30/2011	No	Pilley, Marilyn F	Yes
6645	8/30/2011	No	Rabon, Joyce	Yes
6646	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Godes, Robert E	Yes
6647	8/30/2011	No	Swan, Susan M	Yes
6648	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Adrian	Yes
6649	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kvaka, Deborah A	Yes
6650	8/30/2011	No	Ramsey, Betty	Yes
6651	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Nancy E	Yes
6652	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Alford, Cassie L	Yes
6653	8/30/2011	No	Erickson, Suzanne	Yes
6654	8/30/2011	No	Bennett, Virginia H	Yes
6655	8/30/2011	No	Touster, Helen D	Yes
6656	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilson, David J	Yes
6657	8/30/2011	No	Rinaldo, Arlene	Yes
6658	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yorke, Sue R	Yes
6659	8/30/2011	No	Weid, Magan	Yes

0013793

6660	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6661	8/30/2011	No	Varichak, Michael	Yes
6662	8/30/2011	No	Houston, Janet M	Yes
6663	8/30/2011	No	Henkelman, Doreen L	Yes
6664	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Horton, Michael J	Yes
6665	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Moon, Lauri A	Yes
6666	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Downey, Kristin	Yes
6667	8/30/2011	No	Korach, Douglas L	Yes
6668	8/30/2011	No	atar, mohan	Yes
6669	8/30/2011	No	Wenger, Beverly	Yes
6670	8/30/2011	No	Remington, Spencer	Yes
6671	8/30/2011	No	Wilk, April	Yes
6672	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cronin, Donald M	Yes
6673	8/30/2011	No	suttman, john	Yes
6674	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Scheer, Steve	Yes
6675	8/30/2011	No	Rogers, Thomas	Yes
6676	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	nahas, celine	Yes
6677	8/30/2011	No	frank, sharon m	Yes
6678	8/30/2011	No	Morey, Joseph F	Yes
6679	8/30/2011	No	Yuen, Shirley	Yes
6680	8/30/2011	No	ROBINSON-ANDERSON,	Yes
6681	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Julie	Yes
6682	8/30/2011	No	Chenoweth, Emily	Yes
6683	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ludke, Susan	Yes
6684	8/30/2011	No	Borg, Julia	Yes
6685	8/30/2011	No	Borst, Laura	Yes
6686	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6687	8/30/2011	No	Rogers, Tani	Yes
6688	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6689	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Singletary, Amy	Yes
6690	8/30/2011	No	Torres, VitaMarie	Yes
6691	8/30/2011	No	A., Mary	Yes
6692	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hochwald, Bari	Yes
6693	8/30/2011	No	Marshall, Linda M	Yes
6694	8/30/2011	No	Scholl, Nancy L	Yes
6695	8/30/2011	No	Gaebe, Gail I	Yes
6696	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	MacNeil, Sharon	Yes
6697	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6698	8/30/2011	No	Watson, Carol A	Yes
6699	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ames, Sarah A	Yes
6700	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hawthorne, Christina M	Yes
6701	8/30/2011	No	Repass, John F	Yes
6702	8/30/2011	No	wilson, sandy s	Yes
6703	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Axt, Benjamin	Yes
6704	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nielsen, Lessli	Yes
6705	8/30/2011	No	Lundemo, Charlotte	Yes
6706	8/30/2011	No (0)	Zalesak, Margie E	Yes
6707	8/30/2011	No	Leon, Mary A	Yes
6708	8/30/2011	No	Fontaine, Cheryl A	Yes
6709	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wedow, Nancy J	Yes
6710	8/30/2011	No	HW, D L	Yes
6711	8/30/2011	No	Ayala, Elizabeth A	Yes
6712	8/30/2011	No	Smith, JoAnn	Yes
6713	8/30/2011	No	Symonds, Lawrence H	Yes
6714	8/30/2011	No	olson, diane c	Yes

0013794

6715	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sweet, Justin	Yes
6716	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Magee, Catherine S	Yes
6717	8/30/2011	No	Tuttle Jr., Frederick S	Yes
6718	8/30/2011	No	fay, nancy e	Yes
6719	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6720	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Reaver, Diane	Yes
6721	8/30/2011	No	Mueller, Marcia R	Yes
6722	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rodgers, Patricia M	Yes
6723	8/30/2011	No	leary, kenneth r	Yes
6724	8/30/2011	No	Sutherland, Lester A	Yes
6725	8/30/2011	No	Wilkosz, Gina	Yes
6726	8/30/2011	No	hegeman, dr e	Yes
6727	8/30/2011	No	Hooper, Karen	Yes
6728	8/30/2011	No	HARRISON, GUY Q	Yes
6729	8/30/2011	No	Lom, Keaven V	Yes
6730	8/30/2011	No	Kochis, Jim	Yes
6731	8/30/2011	No	Raven, Ann -	Yes
6732	8/30/2011	No	Knippel, Kurt	Yes
6733	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Glutzberger, Helmuth	Yes
6734	8/30/2011	No	Mihalek, Jeannine	Yes
6735	8/30/2011	No	Dehler, Patricia A	Yes
6736	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6737	8/30/2011	No	Dawley, Thomas R	Yes
6738	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6739	8/30/2011	No	Carlson, Judith B	Yes
6740	8/30/2011	No	Lynch, Kelli J	Yes
6741	8/30/2011	No	rutherford, mateo	Yes
6742	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Henderson, Zorika	Yes
6743	8/30/2011	No	Bowman, Kris	Yes
6744	8/30/2011	No	P., Joyce	Yes
6745	8/30/2011	No	Blount, Susan	Yes
6746	8/30/2011	No	klafta, Kevin	Yes
6747	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Ferrell, Judith C	Yes
6748	8/30/2011	No	hauer, tina m	Yes
6749	8/30/2011	No (0)	Stewart, L.	Yes
6750	8/30/2011	No (0)	Stern, Kathy	Yes
6751	8/30/2011	No	Ladd, Tina	Yes
6752	8/30/2011	No	Goetz, Denny	Yes
6753	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	L., John	Yes
6754	8/30/2011	No	Godfrey, Emily	Yes
6755	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brooks, Patricia	Yes
6756	8/30/2011	No	Chittim, Veroune L	Yes
6757	8/30/2011	No	Farris, Cathy	Yes
6758	8/30/2011	No	Bernard, William J	Yes
6759	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Franks, Beverly F	Yes
6760	8/30/2011	No	Trout, Bob	Yes
6761	8/30/2011	No	Taylor, Steven T	Yes
6762	8/30/2011	No	Kempe, Juanita R	Yes
6763	8/30/2011	No (0)	Watkins, Bill	Yes
6764	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ashbaugh, Lauren	Yes
6765	8/30/2011	No (0)	Cammack, Vernon F	Yes
6766	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Mag	Yes
6767	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynch, Brian P	Yes
6768	8/30/2011	No	Philips, Sharon K	Yes
6769	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mitchell, Desiree	Yes

0013795

6770	8/30/2011	No	Ostro, Kristen	Yes
6771	8/30/2011	No	Liller, Linda	Yes
6772	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sebesta, Doyle W	Yes
6773	8/30/2011	No	Molina, Norma M	Yes
6774	8/30/2011	No (0)	zi, x s	Yes
6775	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6776	8/30/2011	No	NELSON, EMILY T	Yes
6777	8/30/2011	No	spencer, cathy c	Yes
6778	8/30/2011	No	Kaiser, Katherine	Yes
6779	8/30/2011	No	Stakes, Jennifer M	Yes
6780	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	K., Charles	Yes
6781	8/30/2011	No (0)	Schmierer, Robert G	Yes
6782	8/30/2011	No	Hemman, Scott T	Yes
6783	8/30/2011	No	Reames, Synde	Yes
6784	8/30/2011	No	Verret, Cathy	Yes
6785	8/30/2011	No	Roiz, Lenore	Yes
6786	8/30/2011	No	Wood, Douglas C	Yes
6787	8/30/2011	No	Mosen, Nancy M	Yes
6788	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fues, Lisa	Yes
6789	8/30/2011	No	Tassell, Erica Ann Van Tassell	Yes
6790	8/30/2011	No	Steensma, Monica	Yes
6791	8/30/2011	No (0)	Custard, Jennifer E	Yes
6792	8/30/2011	No	Judy, Karol A	Yes
6793	8/30/2011	No	Travis, Terence	Yes
6794	8/30/2011	No	Kelly, Patricia W	Yes
6795	8/30/2011	No	Andrzejcik, Charlene E	Yes
6796	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCulloch, Jamie Y	Yes
6797	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6798	8/30/2011	No	Lyons, Donna	Yes
6799	8/30/2011	No	Antoniewicz, Helena S	Yes
6800	8/30/2011	No	Dalka, R	Yes
6801	8/30/2011	No	Morrow, Penny A	Yes
6802	8/30/2011	No	Abalo, Aimee	Yes
6803	8/30/2011	No (0)	Battle, Dianne P	Yes
6804	8/30/2011	No	Wooldridge, Vanessa H	Yes
6805	8/30/2011	No	Mantas, Nicholas	Yes
6806	8/30/2011	No	Saunders, David L	Yes
6807	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Frey, Lisa J	Yes
6808	8/30/2011	No	Robertson, B & L Robertson	Yes
6809	8/30/2011	No	Pancieria, Jeffrey J	Yes
6810	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lehr, stephanie a	Yes
6811	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6812	8/30/2011	No	Carroll, Bryanna	Yes
6813	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6814	8/30/2011	No	Harte, Mary	Yes
6815	8/30/2011	No (0)	Marie, Rose	Yes
6816	8/30/2011	No	Morris, Peggy R	Yes
6817	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kelley, Barbara L	Yes
6818	8/30/2011	No	Andrews, Donna L	Yes
6819	8/30/2011	No	Cole, Anne Victoria Milano-	Yes
6820	8/30/2011	No	hempel, drew	Yes
6821	8/30/2011	No	roslan, sandra	Yes
6822	8/30/2011	No	larrabee, consuelo	Yes
6823	8/30/2011	No	McCorry, Eileen M	Yes
6824	8/30/2011	No	SANJANA, JAMES	Yes

0013796

6825	8/30/2011	No	Vaca, Galicia B	Yes
6826	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rice, Steven j	Yes
6827	8/30/2011	No	Rost, Cheryl C	Yes
6828	8/30/2011	No	Rysavy, Robin	Yes
6829	8/30/2011	No	Berger, Karen E	Yes
6830	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	DAUBER, LIBBY	Yes
6831	8/30/2011	No	O'Brien, Carolyn	Yes
6832	8/30/2011	No	dimitrijevic, sanja	Yes
6833	8/30/2011	No	Leming, Chad	Yes
6834	8/30/2011	No	Zawaski, Joan	Yes
6835	8/30/2011	No	Couturier, Miguel -	Yes
6836	8/30/2011	No	Watkins, Lynn	Yes
6837	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Milan, Becky J	Yes
6838	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Razmara, Kristina	Yes
6839	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Setyawan, Liani	Yes
6840	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6841	8/30/2011	No	Cox, Bonnie	Yes
6842	8/30/2011	No	Schoenberg, Marc J	Yes
6843	8/30/2011	No	Scuttaro, Mary A	Yes
6844	8/30/2011	No	Feldman, Mark	Yes
6845	8/30/2011	No	Bellak, Nina F	Yes
6846	8/30/2011	No	Volk, R F	Yes
6847	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mugglestone, Lindsay E	Yes
6848	8/30/2011	No	Westover, Phyllis G	Yes
6849	8/30/2011	No	Christi, Cale A	Yes
6850	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jennings, Rita	Yes
6851	8/30/2011	No	Thomas, Wesley	Yes
6852	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	William, Eric	Yes
6853	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Neuhauser, Alice	Yes
6854	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Alan	Yes
6855	8/30/2011	No	Bleckinger, Dana	Yes
6856	8/30/2011	No	Bohl, Shelby	Yes
6857	8/30/2011	No	Driskill, Justin	Yes
6858	8/30/2011	No	Bock, Walter J	Yes
6859	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6860	8/30/2011	No	Wicks, Robert	Yes
6861	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cramer, Aaron M	Yes
6862	8/30/2011	No	Melchiorre, Marysharon	Yes
6863	8/30/2011	No	Steward, Joyce	Yes
6864	8/30/2011	No	Gaynor, Victoria	Yes
6865	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hurley, Maureen	Yes
6866	8/30/2011	No	Moyer, Lisa M	Yes
6867	8/30/2011	No	McLaughlin, Susan E	Yes
6868	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Howard, Ruth	Yes
6869	8/30/2011	No (0)	Clayton, Stephen P	Yes
6870	8/30/2011	No (0)	Betts, Heidi	Yes
6871	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Mills, Lesia	Yes
6872	8/30/2011	No	Dyer, Micah	Yes
6873	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCurdy, Dan	Yes
6874	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Hall-Bodie, Adrienne	Yes
6875	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6876	8/30/2011	No	Westergaard, Angela	Yes
6877	8/30/2011	No	Marion, Helene	Yes
6878	8/30/2011	No	Morck, Lindsey	Yes
6879	8/30/2011	No	Hobbins, Melody J	Yes

0013797

6880	8/30/2011	No	gomez, mary c	Yes
6881	8/30/2011	No	Kestler, Carol S	Yes
6882	8/30/2011	No	Schmidt, Justin	Yes
6883	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hendrickson, Kristi	Yes
6884	8/30/2011	No	Betts, Anne	Yes
6885	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kentnor, Elen	Yes
6886	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Valentine, Kathy L	Yes
6887	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6888	8/30/2011	No	Crawford, Margaret M	Yes
6889	8/30/2011	No	watkins, jon	Yes
6890	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Conroy, Thomas	Yes
6891	8/30/2011	No	Hoffman, Carleton	Yes
6892	8/30/2011	No	swopes, eileen g	Yes
6893	8/30/2011	No (0)	Scarpati, Rodolfo	Yes
6894	8/30/2011	No	Burruss, Elizabeth M	Yes
6895	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morey, Gabrielle	Yes
6896	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Esparza-Johnson, Estrella A	Yes
6897	8/30/2011	No (0)	Snavely, Marie L	Yes
6898	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tompkins, Bob	Yes
6899	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Phillips, Danny	Yes
6900	8/30/2011	No	Lockett, Jennifer k	Yes
6901	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	jacobs, john j	Yes
6902	8/30/2011	No	Langton, Kimber J	Yes
6903	8/30/2011	No	Palmejar, Phillip A	Yes
6904	8/30/2011	No	loewenstein, cathy	Yes
6905	8/30/2011	No	Moritz, Karl	Yes
6906	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rice, Rose	Yes
6907	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Kitty	Yes
6908	8/30/2011	No (0)	Anackwer, Celeste M	Yes
6909	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hafer, Sarah	Yes
6910	8/30/2011	No	Sullivan, Joseph S	Yes
6911	8/30/2011	No	sailor, nancie l	Yes
6912	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pokorny, Tamir	Yes
6913	8/30/2011	No	craig, kim p	Yes
6914	8/30/2011	No	Doulos, Peggy J	Yes
6915	8/30/2011	No	Lochner, jan	Yes
6916	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Herb, Emily J	Yes
6917	8/30/2011	No	Sullivan, Terry	Yes
6918	8/30/2011	No	Lima, Julita Fernandes Alves	Yes
6919	8/30/2011	No	Colton, Susan	Yes
6920	8/30/2011	No	Hauer, Martha M	Yes
6921	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6922	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Elisabeth	Yes
6923	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kaiser, Katie	Yes
6924	8/30/2011	No	Bravemn, Jennifer L	Yes
6925	8/30/2011	No	Roberson, Rick W	Yes
6926	8/30/2011	No	Newhouse, Sandra	Yes
6927	8/30/2011	No	Fitzgerald, Judith M	Yes
6928	8/30/2011	No	Sanchez, Tom	Yes
6929	8/30/2011	No	Maloney, L&iacute;am	Yes
6930	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6931	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Aikens, Sonja	Yes
6932	8/30/2011	No	Harris-King, Mary E	Yes
6933	8/30/2011	No	Lenc, Dr Milton Frank Lenc	Yes
6934	8/30/2011	No	Chambers, Bruce W	Yes

0013798

6935	8/30/2011	No	Milloy, Melissa	Yes
6936	8/30/2011	No	Sercombe, Sarah H	Yes
6937	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6938	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tassell, Kristen L. Van Tassell	Yes
6939	8/30/2011	No	Silver, Victoria A	Yes
6940	8/30/2011	No	Eckstein, Alissa	Yes
6941	8/30/2011	No	Osborne, Martin	Yes
6942	8/30/2011	No	foley, john m	Yes
6943	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wakefield, Marie	Yes
6944	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Riley, Jessica	Yes
6945	8/30/2011	No	reed, kathy	Yes
6946	8/30/2011	No	Cobbett, A	Yes
6947	8/30/2011	No	Schiller, Gabe	Yes
6948	8/30/2011	No	Labarre, Abra	Yes
6949	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6950	8/30/2011	No	Jutila, Calvin	Yes
6951	8/30/2011	No	Velasquez, Vivian	Yes
6952	8/30/2011	No	Velasquez, Vivian	Yes
6953	8/30/2011	No	Vandervoort, Martha L	Yes
6954	8/30/2011	No	Edwards, Denise	Yes
6955	8/30/2011	No	dynnik, judy	Yes
6956	8/30/2011	No	Crockett, Marjorie	Yes
6957	8/30/2011	No	jones, ron d	Yes
6958	8/30/2011	No	Jurczewski, Carol	Yes
6959	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6960	8/30/2011	No	Brewster, Thomas S	Yes
6961	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Lorie	Yes
6962	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6963	8/30/2011	No	Petosa, Jennifer	Yes
6964	8/30/2011	No	Coolidge, Anita M	Yes
6965	8/30/2011	No	Asturino, Frank	Yes
6966	8/30/2011	No	Knox, Mayumi	Yes
6967	8/30/2011	No	Hardison, Diana D	Yes
6968	8/30/2011	No	Sheehy, Steve	Yes
6969	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Doll, Joan	Yes
6970	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
6971	8/30/2011	No	Goff, Frances A	Yes
6972	8/30/2011	No	Rosinski, Katrin A	Yes
6973	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Acosta, Alberto	Yes
6974	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hickox, Ann E	Yes
6975	8/30/2011	No	Straub, Carolyn A	Yes
6976	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
6977	8/30/2011	No	McMullen, Laura A	Yes
6978	8/30/2011	No	LaHart, Val	Yes
6979	8/30/2011	No	Rosenthal, Elizabeth J	Yes
6980	8/30/2011	No	Moore, Margaret A	Yes
6981	8/30/2011	No	richendollar, dawn m	Yes
6982	8/30/2011	No	Mucklow, David A	Yes
6983	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Thomas	Yes
6984	8/30/2011	No	Bemis, E	Yes
6985	8/30/2011	No	Reilly, Joanne E	Yes
6986	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, Elizabeth	Yes
6987	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chaine, Brenda	Yes
6988	8/30/2011	No	L, Gail	Yes
6989	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013799

6990	8/30/2011	No	sinadinos, augustine r	Yes
6991	8/30/2011	No	Mundy, Kenneth	Yes
6992	8/30/2011	No	MacDonald, David	Yes
6993	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hauser, Barb	Yes
6994	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Williams, Morgan M	Yes
6995	8/30/2011	No	Winston, Jennifer	Yes
6996	8/30/2011	No	Sloss, Jeff and Susan Sloss	Yes
6997	8/30/2011	No	Barbieri, Deborah	Yes
6998	8/30/2011	No	Daniels, Elaine C	Yes
6999	8/30/2011	No	Battaglia, Alisa	Yes
7000	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Robert	Yes
7001	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7002	8/30/2011	No	Overstreet, Amanda M	Yes
7003	8/30/2011	No (0)	Barnett, April	Yes
7004	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Langes, Rae A	Yes
7005	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7006	8/30/2011	No	Godwin, Mary	Yes
7007	8/30/2011	No	Senkel, Patrick Jeremy De La	Yes
7008	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7009	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pena, Amber	Yes
7010	8/30/2011	No (0)	Morgan, David L	Yes
7011	8/30/2011	No	Miele, Dolores	Yes
7012	8/30/2011	No (0)	Vella, Joseph	Yes
7013	8/30/2011	No	Yarger, Andrea	Yes
7014	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chotiner, Renee D	Yes
7015	8/30/2011	No	ashcraft, james m	Yes
7016	8/30/2011	No	Hawkins, Kate	Yes
7017	8/30/2011	No	Merkel, Alison G	Yes
7018	8/30/2011	No	Cohen, Steve J	Yes
7019	8/30/2011	No	Morrow, Valerie	Yes
7020	8/30/2011	No	MD, HOWARD C	Yes
7021	8/30/2011	No	Eiffler, Jeffrey A	Yes
7022	8/30/2011	No	Kuntz, Laurie	Yes
7023	8/30/2011	No	Maurin, Margaret S	Yes
7024	8/30/2011	No	dohert, pat	Yes
7025	8/30/2011	No	Foster, Beverly	Yes
7026	8/30/2011	No	Pessar, Joshua A	Yes
7027	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7028	8/30/2011	No	Peters, Jeanne L	Yes
7029	8/30/2011	No	Spingler, Catherine Q	Yes
7030	8/30/2011	No	Denham, Edwin C	Yes
7031	8/30/2011	No	Paul, Dr. Kay Arline Paul	Yes
7032	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Michael B	Yes
7033	8/30/2011	No	MORENA, dina m	Yes
7034	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bruner, Scott M	Yes
7035	8/30/2011	No	Coffee, Eva S	Yes
7036	8/30/2011	Yes (573580)	Majors, Kathryn L	Yes
7037	8/30/2011	No	Willis, Dorianne	Yes
7038	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Forrest, William J	Yes
7039	8/30/2011	No	Morgan, Lori	Yes
7040	8/30/2011	No	Meehan, Margaret J	Yes
7041	8/30/2011	No	Tollefson, Karl	Yes
7042	8/30/2011	No (0)	Murphy, Maureen	Yes
7043	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Selvage, Kimberly A	Yes
7044	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Baratta, Melissa	Yes



0013800

7045	8/30/2011	No	Cooper-Keil, Lisa	Yes
7046	8/30/2011	No (0)	Coleman, Thomas R	Yes
7047	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7048	8/30/2011	No	A, vance	Yes
7049	8/30/2011	No	Wasoff, Cherie	Yes
7050	8/30/2011	No	Brady, Sandra S	Yes
7051	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brown, Ronald H	Yes
7052	8/30/2011	No	Daugherty, Andrew	Yes
7053	8/30/2011	No	Hartmann, Paula R	Yes
7054	8/30/2011	No	Elias, Ralph G	Yes
7055	8/30/2011	No	Stufflebeam, J	Yes
7056	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Klein, Laura	Yes
7057	8/30/2011	No	Steinhart, Carol	Yes
7058	8/30/2011	No	Komisarof, Jeff	Yes
7059	8/30/2011	No	ferrari, elizabeth	Yes
7060	8/30/2011	No	Teitelbaum, Joshua	Yes
7061	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Long, Kathy	Yes
7062	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7063	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Roell, Estra E	Yes
7064	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rausch, William D	Yes
7065	8/30/2011	No	Copithorn, Fred H	Yes
7066	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	SMITH, AMY L	Yes
7067	8/30/2011	No	Arnold, Matthew	Yes
7068	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wozniak, Renee C	Yes
7069	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7070	8/30/2011	No	Muehlhof, Charles	Yes
7071	8/30/2011	No	thomsen, scott h	Yes
7072	8/30/2011	No (0)	Martinez, Jennifer L	Yes
7073	8/30/2011	No	Brooker, Eric	Yes
7074	8/30/2011	No	sigler, Dean R	Yes
7075	8/30/2011	No	Bowser, Richard	Yes
7076	8/30/2011	No	Hormiotis, Pamela J	Yes
7077	8/30/2011	No	johansson, ilsa	Yes
7078	8/30/2011	No	Shah, Brijesh	Yes
7079	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7080	8/30/2011	No	THOMAS, RAYNEE J	Yes
7081	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7082	8/30/2011	No	Dressler, Judi	Yes
7083	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hagerman, Karey	Yes
7084	8/30/2011	No	Galindo, Lauryn	Yes
7085	8/30/2011	No	Bramblett, Sharon S	Yes
7086	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Yancey, Linda J	Yes
7087	8/30/2011	No	Rader, Bob	Yes
7088	8/30/2011	No	Cooley, Richard E	Yes
7089	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7090	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Darling, Harold	Yes
7091	8/30/2011	No (0)	Weiner, Andrew D	Yes
7092	8/30/2011	No	Hazlett, Tamara	Yes
7093	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Nancy L	Yes
7094	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, m.	Yes
7095	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Levey, Laura	Yes
7096	8/30/2011	No	Kennedy, Gena A	Yes
7097	8/30/2011	No	Dunn, Elmo	Yes
7098	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wheeler, Maureen	Yes
7099	8/30/2011	No	little, christina	Yes

## 0013801

7100	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Zukas, Alex	Yes
7101	8/30/2011	No (0)	Venegas, Fernando	Yes
7102	8/30/2011	No	Odell, Natalie	Yes
7103	8/30/2011	No	Cufau de, Tara M	Yes
7104	8/30/2011	No	Wells, Marjorie S	Yes
7105	8/30/2011	No	Fuhrer, John P	Yes
7106	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Struckus, Joseph E	Yes
7107	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dahlinghaus, Marcia	Yes
7108	8/30/2011	No	MacDopnald, John A	Yes
7109	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Murphy, Maralena	Yes
7110	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7111	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7112	8/30/2011	No	Spence, N. B	Yes
7113	8/30/2011	No	A, Penelope	Yes
7114	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McClosky, David	Yes
7115	8/30/2011	No	romero, belinda	Yes
7116	8/30/2011	No	Lovegren, Sven	Yes
7117	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Oswald, Sarah	Yes
7118	8/30/2011	No	C, J	Yes
7119	8/30/2011	No	jhangiani, a. k	Yes
7120	8/30/2011	No	Wortman, Mary E	Yes
7121	8/30/2011	No	Buchan, Martha	Yes
7122	8/30/2011	No	Intagliata, Tim	Yes
7123	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Browder, Rodger S	Yes
7124	8/30/2011	No	Grammer, Robert C	Yes
7125	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Goben, Ken	Yes
7126	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Levey, Laura	Yes
7127	8/30/2011	No	Pine, Pamela S	Yes
7128	8/30/2011	No	Bragg, Dawn	Yes
7129	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Carothers, Kim	Yes
7130	8/30/2011	No (0)	Olson, Andrew	Yes
7131	8/30/2011	No	Millan, Joan	Yes
7132	8/30/2011	No	Perry, Cyrle H	Yes
7133	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7134	8/30/2011	No	querner, kathleen	Yes
7135	8/30/2011	No	Herholz, Heidi J	Yes
7136	8/30/2011	No	Landmesser, Fred	Yes
7137	8/30/2011	No	Reed, Mary S	Yes
7138	8/30/2011	No	Steininger, Lorenz	Yes
7139	8/30/2011	No	Hilden, Nancy	Yes
7140	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stevens, Kimberly	Yes
7141	8/30/2011	No	Kelly, Dawn N	Yes
7142	8/30/2011	No	Miller, David A	Yes
7143	8/30/2011	No	Chianis, Mr & Mrs Andrew &	Yes
7144	8/30/2011	No	Lifton-Schwerner, Claudia E	Yes
7145	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Inzer, Brian	Yes
7146	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Jo A	Yes
7147	8/30/2011	No	Ownby, Mary F	Yes
7148	8/30/2011	No	Yancey, Bob S	Yes
7149	8/30/2011	No	Bridges-Zywusko, Kim E	Yes
7150	8/30/2011	No	witt, stella	Yes
7151	8/30/2011	No	rowe, john a	Yes
7152	8/30/2011	No	Ledvina, Anne N	Yes
7153	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7154	8/30/2011	No	Ted, Jo a	Yes

## 0013802

7155	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Brown, Courtney	Yes
7156	8/30/2011	No	burger, jennifer b	Yes
7157	8/30/2011	No	Tyndall, Marie	Yes
7158	8/30/2011	No	Price, Charlotte	Yes
7159	8/30/2011	No	Harrison, Patricia J	Yes
7160	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7161	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kidd, Lori B	Yes
7162	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7163	8/30/2011	No	Engles, Diane	Yes
7164	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Sakkas, Lillian W	Yes
7165	8/30/2011	No	Craven, Josh	Yes
7166	8/30/2011	No	spratling, cathy	Yes
7167	8/30/2011	No	magnin, didi	Yes
7168	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7169	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	york, gary	Yes
7170	8/30/2011	No	Graeber, Nichole	Yes
7171	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sandel, Morris	Yes
7172	8/30/2011	No	larocca, janet	Yes
7173	8/30/2011	No	Davis, Kathleen L	Yes
7174	8/30/2011	No	Burns, Daniel R	Yes
7175	8/30/2011	No	Ferrick, Kim	Yes
7176	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7177	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7178	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7179	8/30/2011	No	Vermillion, James	Yes
7180	8/30/2011	No	Kelly, Dawn N	Yes
7181	8/30/2011	No	Jacobus, Joan	Yes
7182	8/30/2011	No	Campanaro, Vincent	Yes
7183	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7184	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7185	8/30/2011	No	Celano, Christina R	Yes
7186	8/30/2011	No	Seip, Ann	Yes
7187	8/30/2011	No	Brusca, Joe	Yes
7188	8/30/2011	No	Fuchs, Robet	Yes
7189	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7190	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Konrad, Chip	Yes
7191	8/30/2011	No	Binkley, Cameron	Yes
7192	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7193	8/30/2011	No	Tovar, Irene	Yes
7194	8/30/2011	No	Schildcrout, Nicole	Yes
7195	8/30/2011	No	Anders, Cindy R	Yes
7196	8/30/2011	No	Campanaro, Vincent	Yes
7197	8/30/2011	No	rosa, clarence	Yes
7198	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Thomason, Sharon L	Yes
7199	8/30/2011	No	Adler, Marisa	Yes
7200	8/30/2011	No	Adler, Marisa	Yes
7201	8/30/2011	No	Rosenkranz, Ginny	Yes
7202	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ackerman, Caitlin	Yes
7203	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Steininger, Lorenz	Yes
7204	8/30/2011	No	Bender, Noel	Yes
7205	8/30/2011	No	Merlin, Arthur	Yes
7206	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Pedersen, Stephen L	Yes
7207	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gardner, Gabriel J	Yes
7208	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Small, Sally	Yes
7209	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013803

7210	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hinson, Rodney W	Yes
7211	8/30/2011	No	Traub, Don C	Yes
7212	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pham, Christina	Yes
7213	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miles, Kelly	Yes
7214	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kurko, Dianne M	Yes
7215	8/30/2011	No	Boegner, Jennifer	Yes
7216	8/30/2011	No	wilke, wendy c	Yes
7217	8/30/2011	No	Kalman, Jeffrey L	Yes
7218	8/30/2011	No	Barnes, Sue	Yes
7219	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kwayana, Kwame-Alaf	Yes
7220	8/30/2011	No	Deane, Alan C	Yes
7221	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bell, Jodi	Yes
7222	8/30/2011	No	Bergner, Martha H	Yes
7223	8/30/2011	No	friend, doug	Yes
7224	8/30/2011	No	Drew, Larry A	Yes
7225	8/30/2011	No	B., P.	Yes
7226	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Clark, Carol L	Yes
7227	8/30/2011	No	Wilburn, Ruth M	Yes
7228	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Clearihue, Annalisa	Yes
7229	8/30/2011	No	Felix, Susan B	Yes
7230	8/30/2011	No	Greene, Jere M	Yes
7231	8/30/2011	No	Holtz, Barbara	Yes
7232	8/30/2011	No	walker, shaun	Yes
7233	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Laspia, Cecilia	Yes
7234	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Sally O	Yes
7235	8/30/2011	No	Nguyen, Tuan D	Yes
7236	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dorfman, Ellen J	Yes
7237	8/30/2011	No	D'Andrea, Lisa C	Yes
7238	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Escobar, Victor	Yes
7239	8/30/2011	No	Morgan, Robert R	Yes
7240	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Daitch, Lindsay	Yes
7241	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Quinlan, Sean	Yes
7242	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Farris, Juli	Yes
7243	8/30/2011	No	Balestrieri, Jason R	Yes
7244	8/30/2011	No	kennedy, rob b	Yes
7245	8/30/2011	No	Brandt, Vicky L	Yes
7246	8/30/2011	No	Mettie, Bonna J	Yes
7247	8/30/2011	No	Rutter, Ginna	Yes
7248	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McKenzie, Ross	Yes
7249	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Cheek, Debra A	Yes
7250	8/30/2011	No	Stewart, B.	Yes
7251	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Koscielski, Bianca	Yes
7252	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sigwart, Sara	Yes
7253	8/30/2011	No	Nguyen, Vu	Yes
7254	8/30/2011	No	frankel, arnold	Yes
7255	8/30/2011	No	Springer, Steven	Yes
7256	8/30/2011	No	C., Richard	Yes
7257	8/30/2011	No	Schofield, Meg	Yes
7258	8/30/2011	No	Mclaughlin, Kathleen a	Yes
7259	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7260	8/30/2011	No	Neel, Donna F Greathouse	Yes
7261	8/30/2011	No	essig, matilda l	Yes
7262	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burke, Bonnie M	Yes
7263	8/30/2011	No	Bradley, Marla	Yes
7264	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hughes, Christy	Yes

0013804

7265	8/30/2011	No	Summers, Beverly A	Yes
7266	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7267	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Cheek, Debra A	Yes
7268	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	gelina, althea	Yes
7269	8/30/2011	No	watters, Jeff	Yes
7270	8/30/2011	No	Frank, Harriette M	Yes
7271	8/30/2011	No	hipworth, danielle	Yes
7272	8/30/2011	No	Rush, Meg	Yes
7273	8/30/2011	No	Cunningham, Storm	Yes
7274	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Harrison, Christine L	Yes
7275	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Heidi M	Yes
7276	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Newcomb, Toni	Yes
7277	8/30/2011	No	Perlman, Frances	Yes
7278	8/30/2011	No	Ritzman, Rolanda L	Yes
7279	8/30/2011	No	Fries, Penny L	Yes
7280	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Butler, Linda M	Yes
7281	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7282	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jenny, Megan	Yes
7283	8/30/2011	No	Wade, Patricia B	Yes
7284	8/30/2011	No	Maier, Joann	Yes
7285	8/30/2011	No	Mello, Lisa K	Yes
7286	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Mullen, Edna	Yes
7287	8/30/2011	No	Lee, Tsee	Yes
7288	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Taylor, Andrea	Yes
7289	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7290	8/30/2011	No	Gould, Dona	Yes
7291	8/30/2011	No	Nathanson, Seth	Yes
7292	8/30/2011	No	Rijn, Shathi v	Yes
7293	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Burke, Bonnie M	Yes
7294	8/30/2011	No	Hardin, Delores R	Yes
7295	8/30/2011	No	Yonts, Chris	Yes
7296	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dufort, Cathryn	Yes
7297	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Garbrick, Kathe	Yes
7298	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Arnold, Ben	Yes
7299	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7300	8/30/2011	No	Vardan, Sara C	Yes
7301	8/30/2011	No	Byrne, Brenda	Yes
7302	8/30/2011	No	Thigpin, Stephanie	Yes
7303	8/30/2011	No	Volk, Shelley	Yes
7304	8/30/2011	No	Imperiale, Yvonne	Yes
7305	8/30/2011	No	Hoff, Richard M	Yes
7306	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Butler, Mark	Yes
7307	8/30/2011	No	Lampman, Nora K	Yes
7308	8/30/2011	No	Watkins, Marilyn L	Yes
7309	8/30/2011	No (0)	Heath, William T	Yes
7310	8/30/2011	No	Kahn, Rebecca	Yes
7311	8/30/2011	No	Taravella, Gina	Yes
7312	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Magdalene, Lilithe	Yes
7313	8/30/2011	No (0)	Biggers, Sara	Yes
7314	8/30/2011	No	cox, brian e	Yes
7315	8/30/2011	No	Hoffman, T. A	Yes
7316	8/30/2011	No	Friedman, Susan	Yes
7317	8/30/2011	No	Sennott, Pamela A	Yes
7318	8/30/2011	No	schneider, carl	Yes
7319	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hargrove, J	Yes

## 0013805

7320	8/30/2011	No	Wolney, Kathleen M	Yes
7321	8/30/2011	No	Desmond, William P	Yes
7322	8/30/2011	No	Powers, James	Yes
7323	8/30/2011	No	Kangas, J	Yes
7324	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Nichols, Ambrey	Yes
7325	8/30/2011	No	Hying, Lolly V	Yes
7326	8/30/2011	No	Andrews, Betsy	Yes
7327	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Warrillow, Jennifer	Yes
7328	8/30/2011	No	Flowers, Brandy	Yes
7329	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7330	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7331	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7332	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7333	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Watene, Veronica E	Yes
7334	8/30/2011	No	Morton, Margaret J	Yes
7335	8/30/2011	No	Angara, Frances H	Yes
7336	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Gerber, Lauren M	Yes
7337	8/30/2011	No	Gross, Todd J	Yes
7338	8/30/2011	No	Sennello, Patrick I	Yes
7339	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bridgman, Elena	Yes
7340	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cucharero, Marli G	Yes
7341	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Labaska, Valerie	Yes
7342	8/30/2011	No	Janicki, Joyce E	Yes
7343	8/30/2011	No	Baranowski, Pam	Yes
7344	8/30/2011	No	Briggs, David A	Yes
7345	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dendinger, Reuben	Yes
7346	8/30/2011	No	Sheldon, Piper	Yes
7347	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sonnenberg, Eileen	Yes
7348	8/30/2011	No	Bell, Jim	Yes
7349	8/30/2011	No	Maynard, & G	Yes
7350	8/30/2011	No	Gayler, Elaine S	Yes
7351	8/30/2011	No	carey, wayne	Yes
7352	8/30/2011	No	O'Connor, John T	Yes
7353	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Marks, Keith	Yes
7354	8/30/2011	No	Hendrick, George D	Yes
7355	8/30/2011	No	biggs, susan I	Yes
7356	8/30/2011	No	Cleveland, Jerry	Yes
7357	8/30/2011	No	Maddalena, Cinzia	Yes
7358	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dubois, Annette	Yes
7359	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	DeBellis, Michael	Yes
7360	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wright, Jill	Yes
7361	8/30/2011	No	Bash, Jusy	Yes
7362	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7363	8/30/2011	No (0)	Alexander, Belinda	Yes
7364	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Aslam, Nayeem	Yes
7365	8/30/2011	No	Dickerson, Suzanne	Yes
7366	8/30/2011	No	Parrish, Roberta L	Yes
7367	8/30/2011	No	Dopp, Paul W	Yes
7368	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dixon, Kathleen M	Yes
7369	8/30/2011	No	Homoya, Wesley	Yes
7370	8/30/2011	No	Rearden, Chance A	Yes
7371	8/30/2011	No	Lemon, Sheri B	Yes
7372	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	ohanesian, danielle	Yes
7373	8/30/2011	No	Cherubin, Margaret	Yes
7374	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Nita	Yes

0013806

7375	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Joseph A	Yes
7376	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Bear, Nicole	Yes
7377	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Leibowitz, Ariel	Yes
7378	8/30/2011	No	Kratzke, Judith	Yes
7379	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McDonough, Rebecca	Yes
7380	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	desjarlais, g k	Yes
7381	8/30/2011	No	farr, allison j	Yes
7382	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ford, Alan	Yes
7383	8/30/2011	No	Delaney, Dan	Yes
7384	8/30/2011	No	Abrams, Wendy P	Yes
7385	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Bloch, William	Yes
7386	8/30/2011	No	Daniel, Laura	Yes
7387	8/30/2011	No	M, N V	Yes
7388	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Manroe, Beverly A	Yes
7389	8/30/2011	No	Hoyer, Eric	Yes
7390	8/30/2011	No	Woodland, Kimberly	Yes
7391	8/30/2011	No	Ward, Marvin J	Yes
7392	8/30/2011	Potential (609013)	Kropp, Henry R	Yes
7393	8/30/2011	No	Barron, Carla M	Yes
7394	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	mason, robert e	Yes
7395	8/30/2011	No	Lomas, Avril G	Yes
7396	8/30/2011	No	Cowin, Caryn L	Yes
7397	8/30/2011	No	boltz, connie j	Yes
7398	8/30/2011	No	GEORGE, CLYDE	Yes
7399	8/30/2011	No	Wisniewski, Lilian	Yes
7400	8/30/2011	No	McLaughlin, Michael J	Yes
7401	8/30/2011	No	abshire, kendra	Yes
7402	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7403	8/30/2011	No	Simone, Louise P	Yes
7404	8/30/2011	No	Bennett, Ruth A	Yes
7405	8/30/2011	No	Davies, Brad	Yes
7406	8/30/2011	No	Byrnes, William M	Yes
7407	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	L., John	Yes
7408	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7409	8/30/2011	No	Abrams, Wendy P	Yes
7410	8/30/2011	No	daniels, patricia	Yes
7411	8/30/2011	No	martin, chas f	Yes
7412	8/30/2011	No	McDonnell-Patocka, Melody Z	Yes
7413	8/30/2011	No	MSW, Judith G	Yes
7414	8/30/2011	No	Meehan, Mary	Yes
7415	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ochmanek, Edwin J	Yes
7416	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Bradbury, Joan	Yes
7417	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hegner, Lindsay	Yes
7418	8/30/2011	No	Vedder, William H	Yes
7419	8/30/2011	No	Sachter, Judy	Yes
7420	8/30/2011	No	Wolf, Andrea	Yes
7421	8/30/2011	No	Gayden, Jim P	Yes
7422	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Arrington, Melba E	Yes
7423	8/30/2011	No	Huang, Virginia	Yes
7424	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Smith, Stephanie M	Yes
7425	8/30/2011	No	biggs, susan l	Yes
7426	8/30/2011	No	F., Holly	Yes
7427	8/30/2011	No	Hahn, Virginia	Yes
7428	8/30/2011	No	O'Neill, Valjean F	Yes

0013807

7429	8/30/2011	No	Feldman, Paula R	Yes
7430	8/30/2011	No	Henninger, Maryann	Yes
7431	8/30/2011	No	Herfurth, Caryn M	Yes
7432	8/30/2011	No	Murphy, Shannon	Yes
7433	8/30/2011	No	ROBINSON, SHIRLEY	Yes
7434	8/30/2011	No	Gyurin, Erica E	Yes
7435	8/30/2011	No	Potter, Doris	Yes
7436	8/30/2011	No	Lazzarini, Howard	Yes
7437	8/30/2011	No	Futrell, Peg	Yes
7438	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCreless, Erin	Yes
7439	8/30/2011	No	Young, Julie	Yes
7440	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Stephanie M	Yes
7441	8/30/2011	No	Levitan, Lauren	Yes
7442	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zhu, Allen	Yes
7443	8/30/2011	No	Petak, WENDY m	Yes
7444	8/30/2011	No	Lawry, Maureen	Yes
7445	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Malkerson, Joel W	Yes
7446	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7447	8/30/2011	No	Walbrecht, Wendy	Yes
7448	8/30/2011	No	Zarbano, Angela N	Yes
7449	8/30/2011	No	Slesinger, Art E	Yes
7450	8/30/2011	No	Estes, Douglas	Yes
7451	8/30/2011	No	Sandberg, Glen	Yes
7452	8/30/2011	No	swiss, sue	Yes
7453	8/30/2011	No	Storace, Michelle	Yes
7454	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kay, Susan S	Yes
7455	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Scott	Yes
7456	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7457	8/30/2011	No	Frederick, Rowan O	Yes
7458	8/30/2011	No	Hanson, Barbara	Yes
7459	8/30/2011	No	McNulty, Barbara J	Yes
7460	8/30/2011	No	Jorgensen, Bob	Yes
7461	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Richardson, Gail C	Yes
7462	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Moore, Brian	Yes
7463	8/30/2011	No	DArce, Sara	Yes
7464	8/30/2011	No	Pitt, Tom W	Yes
7465	8/30/2011	No	Lerner, Lynne	Yes
7466	8/30/2011	No	Aftab, Kathleen M	Yes
7467	8/30/2011	No	Brandstetter, Catherine L	Yes
7468	8/30/2011	No	Richman, Melyn J	Yes
7469	8/30/2011	No	Hodson, Roberta J	Yes
7470	8/30/2011	No	chai, carol	Yes
7471	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hunt, Katarina	Yes
7472	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Murphy, Shannon	Yes
7473	8/30/2011	No	Mahig, Nancy V	Yes
7474	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blake, Jocelyn M	Yes
7475	8/30/2011	No	Bogovich, Dr. K	Yes
7476	8/30/2011	No	Taylor, Laura P	Yes
7477	8/30/2011	No	Alberhasky, Craig A	Yes
7478	8/30/2011	No	juarez, myrna	Yes
7479	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7480	8/30/2011	No	Daughton, Madeline A	Yes
7481	8/30/2011	No	Richards, Charles	Yes
7482	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Leibovitz, Morris	Yes
7483	8/30/2011	No	Mitchell, Jonathan D	Yes



## 0013808

7484	8/30/2011	No	Gonzalez-Green, Vanessa	Yes
7485	8/30/2011	No	Soto, Eloino	Yes
7486	8/30/2011	No	Gomez, Armando A	Yes
7487	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hadsell, Veronica	Yes
7488	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7489	8/30/2011	No	Gibson, Trish Trish A. Gibson	Yes
7490	8/30/2011	No	Shames, Bruce	Yes
7491	8/30/2011	No	Lanane, Robert A	Yes
7492	8/30/2011	No	Molamphy, Michael	Yes
7493	8/30/2011	No	furlong, sharon	Yes
7494	8/30/2011	No	Mitchell, Phillip (	Yes
7495	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kjar, Brenden	Yes
7496	8/30/2011	No	schmid, alice	Yes
7497	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cubala, Ken	Yes
7498	8/30/2011	Yes (573580)	Janssen-Governanti, Leah M	Yes
7499	8/30/2011	No	Williams, Beverly E	Yes
7500	8/30/2011	No	Graeb, Shery D	Yes
7501	8/30/2011	No	Conzelmann, Paul	Yes
7502	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miles, Vic J	Yes
7503	8/30/2011	No	Mitsuka, Joan K	Yes
7504	8/30/2011	No	Lynge, Dean	Yes
7505	8/30/2011	No	Flack, Barbara C	Yes
7506	8/30/2011	No	King, Laurie	Yes
7507	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Popescu, Vlad	Yes
7508	8/30/2011	No	Harris, E T	Yes
7509	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Conroy, Laurie	Yes
7510	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Osten, Mary C	Yes
7511	8/30/2011	No	Ramos, Paul D	Yes
7512	8/30/2011	No	Menchell, David L	Yes
7513	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7514	8/30/2011	No	Cathcart, Melissa	Yes
7515	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Taylor, Imogen	Yes
7516	8/30/2011	No	Guse, Sheila K	Yes
7517	8/30/2011	No	Goldenberg, Helen A	Yes
7518	8/30/2011	No	Bremer, John	Yes
7519	8/30/2011	No	Scott, Erik M	Yes
7520	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	needler, carrie	Yes
7521	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ng, Kitwa	Yes
7522	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kissinger, Cathryn	Yes
7523	8/30/2011	No	Masi, Janie	Yes
7524	8/30/2011	No	burns, n	Yes
7525	8/30/2011	No	Petersen, Nicolas C	Yes
7526	8/30/2011	No	Block, Mary E	Yes
7527	8/30/2011	No	Shiple, Betty L	Yes
7528	8/30/2011	No	Gade, Hilarie	Yes
7529	8/30/2011	No	Gordon, Debra K	Yes
7530	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Fleming, Lori M	Yes
7531	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7532	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Andersen, Evette	Yes
7533	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	dalal, namita	Yes
7534	8/30/2011	No	Bailey, William R	Yes
7535	8/30/2011	No	Maslonka, Teresa	Yes
7536	8/30/2011	No	Riontino, Mary/Gabriel	Yes
7537	8/30/2011	No	Fox, Debra p	Yes
7538	8/30/2011	No	Carlson, Bob	Yes

0013809

7539	8/30/2011	No	Ciamarella, susan G	Yes
7540	8/30/2011	No	Bailey, Michael E	Yes
7541	8/30/2011	No	Haresign, Andrea	Yes
7542	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Tremper, Irene	Yes
7543	8/30/2011	No	Emerick, Roger	Yes
7544	8/30/2011	No	Cook, Eleanor L	Yes
7545	8/30/2011	No	Fitzgerald, Martin E	Yes
7546	8/30/2011	No	Griggs, John W	Yes
7547	8/30/2011	No	benson, jillian j	Yes
7548	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lowry, Lindsay M	Yes
7549	8/30/2011	No	Coufal, Jeanette D	Yes
7550	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Rodney	Yes
7551	8/30/2011	No	Jackson, Leila L	Yes
7552	8/30/2011	No	Oertel, Sue	Yes
7553	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Stanton, Bev	Yes
7554	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Shores, Michael W	Yes
7555	8/30/2011	No	Bellenot, Ellen R	Yes
7556	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Low, Sammy	Yes
7557	8/30/2011	No	Romer, Todd	Yes
7558	8/30/2011	No	Patch, Frances	Yes
7559	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Scheller, Emil	Yes
7560	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chavez, Phyllis J	Yes
7561	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7562	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Holtsclaw, Maryann	Yes
7563	8/30/2011	No	vanstrien, r v	Yes
7564	8/30/2011	No	Loop, Gillian	Yes
7565	8/30/2011	No	Suto, John A	Yes
7566	8/30/2011	No	gronemeyer, kimberly	Yes
7567	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Redmond, Sean	Yes
7568	8/30/2011	No	Stover, Stephanie A	Yes
7569	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nicol, Tiffany	Yes
7570	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lake, Jennifer	Yes
7571	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7572	8/30/2011	No	Banks, Jerry L	Yes
7573	8/30/2011	No	smith, diane	Yes
7574	8/30/2011	No	Teller, Ellen	Yes
7575	8/30/2011	No	Guntheroth, Warren G	Yes
7576	8/30/2011	No	Dellinger, Robert C	Yes
7577	8/30/2011	No	Rykowski, Jennifer L	Yes
7578	8/30/2011	No	Laurson, Ed J	Yes
7579	8/30/2011	No	Collins, William J	Yes
7580	8/30/2011	No	Shafchuk, Patsy	Yes
7581	8/30/2011	No	Gerhard, Delia J	Yes
7582	8/30/2011	No	Collins, Debbie W	Yes
7583	8/30/2011	No	Cox, Darleen E	Yes
7584	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chin, Jason	Yes
7585	8/30/2011	No	pilcher, teresa a	Yes
7586	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hundt, Heather	Yes
7587	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chin, Jason	Yes
7588	8/30/2011	No	Miner, Judith	Yes
7589	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	needler, carrie	Yes
7590	8/30/2011	No	Buch, Linda J	Yes
7591	8/30/2011	No	Searcy, Teri J	Yes
7592	8/30/2011	No	Jordan, Y.D.	Yes
7593	8/30/2011	No	Penprase, Sharon	Yes

## 0013810

7594	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Maitland, Richard M	Yes
7595	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Jr, David Ross Fellner Jr	Yes
7596	8/30/2011	No	Akiba, Lorraine H	Yes
7597	8/30/2011	No	HEFFINGER-HERNANDEZ,	Yes
7598	8/30/2011	No	Quance, Bob	Yes
7599	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Dorothy M. W. Baker	Yes
7600	8/30/2011	No	chai, carol	Yes
7601	8/30/2011	No	Shriner, Sally	Yes
7602	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7603	8/30/2011	No	Matthew, Antonia	Yes
7604	8/30/2011	No	Lehti, Steve	Yes
7605	8/30/2011	No	Pylypowycz, Christine	Yes
7606	8/30/2011	No	Gillis, Diana	Yes
7607	8/30/2011	No	Raebeck, Wendy	Yes
7608	8/30/2011	No	Young, Pam	Yes
7609	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Godwin, Kim	Yes
7610	8/30/2011	No	Grone, Lori	Yes
7611	8/30/2011	No	Snively, James C	Yes
7612	8/30/2011	No	Pinczewski-Sweet, Lisa C	Yes
7613	8/30/2011	No	Carlino, Thomas	Yes
7614	8/30/2011	No	spinozzi, margaret s	Yes
7615	8/30/2011	No	Kugler, Judy K	Yes
7616	8/30/2011	No	Hancock, Martha	Yes
7617	8/30/2011	No	Russell, Linda	Yes
7618	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Richards, Lawrence S	Yes
7619	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Feemster, Gary W	Yes
7620	8/30/2011	No	Jaenecke, Scott M	Yes
7621	8/30/2011	No	Corsini, Jeanette	Yes
7622	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gallagher, Sarah W	Yes
7623	8/30/2011	No	Webber, Storme G	Yes
7624	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7625	8/30/2011	No	McCulloch, Arch H	Yes
7626	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	File, Jessica	Yes
7627	8/30/2011	No	Brennan, Robert E	Yes
7628	8/30/2011	No	marsh, bonnie l	Yes
7629	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Park, Phyllis E	Yes
7630	8/30/2011	No	Padma-Munyon, Leashya A	Yes
7631	8/30/2011	No	Thomsen, Natasha	Yes
7632	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Riddle, Elizabeth A	Yes
7633	8/30/2011	No	Parker, Tracey L	Yes
7634	8/30/2011	No	Wright, Lawrence N	Yes
7635	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Petersen, John	Yes
7636	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cole, Mary	Yes
7637	8/30/2011	No	Duvert, Elizabeth M	Yes
7638	8/30/2011	No	Mercier, Julia	Yes
7639	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kopczak, Tom	Yes
7640	8/30/2011	No	Herten, Margaret	Yes
7641	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kaminski, Judi L	Yes
7642	8/30/2011	No	Archer, E J	Yes
7643	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Philip T	Yes
7644	8/30/2011	No	Stander, Kathe	Yes
7645	8/30/2011	No	Factor, Rev. Dr. Beverly A	Yes
7646	8/30/2011	No	Tolley, Gary w	Yes
7647	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pooler, Carole	Yes
7648	8/30/2011	No	garofalo, angela	Yes

## 0013811

7649	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7650	8/30/2011	No	Shah, Manesh J	Yes
7651	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7652	8/30/2011	No	Maron-Friend, Judith A	Yes
7653	8/30/2011	No	stuart, signe	Yes
7654	8/30/2011	No	thom, camille	Yes
7655	8/30/2011	No	Baumgardner, David A	Yes
7656	8/30/2011	No	JOHNSON, MARJORIE A	Yes
7657	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Riddle, Elizabeth A	Yes
7658	8/30/2011	No	Samsonoff, Mary	Yes
7659	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7660	8/30/2011	No	Kronholm, Linda M	Yes
7661	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zook, Theodore A	Yes
7662	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	calvinperez, martha	Yes
7663	8/30/2011	No	Bailey, Tina M	Yes
7664	8/30/2011	No	Twist, Oliver	Yes
7665	8/30/2011	No	Bates, Janice T	Yes
7666	8/30/2011	No	Buch, Linda J	Yes
7667	8/30/2011	No	Shannon, James R	Yes
7668	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McKenna, Sarah	Yes
7669	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Firth, Richard W. W. Firth	Yes
7670	8/30/2011	No	Garvey, Jenna E	Yes
7671	8/30/2011	No	Deleebeeck, Barbara L	Yes
7672	8/30/2011	No	Junck, Larry	Yes
7673	8/30/2011	No	Melton, Kathryn	Yes
7674	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cialini, Norman & Shirley	Yes
7675	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	correnti, sylvia	Yes
7676	8/30/2011	No	Pfeifer, Ivan W	Yes
7677	8/30/2011	No	Middlebrook, Gigi	Yes
7678	8/30/2011	No	harris, theronda	Yes
7679	8/30/2011	No	Elsinger, Joe	Yes
7680	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Reed, Alison V	Yes
7681	8/30/2011	No	Brun, Leland	Yes
7682	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rothstein, Jamie	Yes
7683	8/30/2011	No	Ouellette, Lorraine	Yes
7684	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Lewis, Erma	Yes
7685	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rider, Debbie	Yes
7686	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	LaVallee, Aaron	Yes
7687	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	cobb, robert	Yes
7688	8/30/2011	No	evans, dinda s	Yes
7689	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, T A	Yes
7690	8/30/2011	No	Vanlandingham, Mike	Yes
7691	8/30/2011	No	Karnofsky, William M	Yes
7692	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wagner, Elissa	Yes
7693	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wenzer, Kenneth	Yes
7694	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rider, Michael	Yes
7695	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wu, Blake C	Yes
7696	8/30/2011	No	Arsenault, Paula	Yes
7697	8/30/2011	No	Phillips, Kimberly L	Yes
7698	8/30/2011	No	Ward, Mildredan H	Yes
7699	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lunn, Sally A	Yes
7700	8/30/2011	No	Lombardi, John	Yes
7701	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	whitford, erin i	Yes
7702	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	BUNESS, CYNTHIA w	Yes
7703	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Moshrefi, Ali-Reza	Yes

## 0013812

7704	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rodefer, Terrell E	Yes
7705	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	parker, corey	Yes
7706	8/30/2011	No	Giese, Robert	Yes
7707	8/30/2011	No	Swanson, Rebecca	Yes
7708	8/30/2011	No	Lovejoy, Patricia and Michael	Yes
7709	8/30/2011	No	C, Veronica	Yes
7710	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DaVanzo, Joan E	Yes
7711	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Wayne E	Yes
7712	8/30/2011	No (0)	jensen, jennifer s	Yes
7713	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Grabow, Gail L	Yes
7714	8/30/2011	No	Sanderson, Roger W	Yes
7715	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bergalis, Anna	Yes
7716	8/30/2011	No (0)	Swensen, Donna C	Yes
7717	8/30/2011	No (0)	McElheny, Barbara L	Yes
7718	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tuttle, Steve C	Yes
7719	8/30/2011	No (0)	Evans, Patricia A	Yes
7720	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dawson, Katie D	Yes
7721	8/30/2011	No	McKnight, Shaen M	Yes
7722	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Gasco, Christine J	Yes
7723	8/30/2011	No	Thomas, J	Yes
7724	8/30/2011	No	Copeland, Laurel A	Yes
7725	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, David	Yes
7726	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Desy, Roger	Yes
7727	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Morris, Wendy E	Yes
7728	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	brown, tracy g	Yes
7729	8/30/2011	No	Thiel, Alicia	Yes
7730	8/30/2011	No	Iacone, Randy	Yes
7731	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Burkhart, David	Yes
7732	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chapman, Susan P	Yes
7733	8/30/2011	No	Allen, Cynthia D	Yes
7734	8/30/2011	No	Stewart, Margie	Yes
7735	8/30/2011	No	Zerzan, Paula	Yes
7736	8/30/2011	No	Barnhart, Robert J	Yes
7737	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7738	8/30/2011	No	Martin, Drew	Yes
7739	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Molly M	Yes
7740	8/30/2011	No	Livingston, James	Yes
7741	8/30/2011	No	d, j	Yes
7742	8/30/2011	No	Little, Brandon H	Yes
7743	8/30/2011	No	Stowe-Longchamp, Joyce	Yes
7744	8/30/2011	No	Dawson, Patricia	Yes
7745	8/30/2011	No	Hoyt, Brian	Yes
7746	8/30/2011	No	woolsey, david a	Yes
7747	8/30/2011	No	Goldstein, Linda E	Yes
7748	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burton, Abigail	Yes
7749	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	McCarty, Garret A	Yes
7750	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Doherty, William	Yes
7751	8/30/2011	No	Croft, Dianne	Yes
7752	8/30/2011	No	Pauling, Lynda M	Yes
7753	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Zook, Theodore A	Yes
7754	8/30/2011	No	McGovern, Donlon G	Yes
7755	8/30/2011	No	Quasha, George George	Yes
7756	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7757	8/30/2011	No	Bergen, Joanne	Yes
7758	8/30/2011	No	Talbot, Teresa	Yes

## 0013813

7759	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Glaston, Joe	Yes
7760	8/30/2011	No	Banci, Lewis F	Yes
7761	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Littell, Hadley	Yes
7762	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, Marcia	Yes
7763	8/30/2011	No	DeChinistso, Deborah	Yes
7764	8/30/2011	No	Siegrist, Toni M	Yes
7765	8/30/2011	No	Cochran, Regina	Yes
7766	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Burkhart, David	Yes
7767	8/30/2011	No	Priemel, Doris	Yes
7768	8/30/2011	No	Douglas, Shawn	Yes
7769	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zaric, Olga	Yes
7770	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Kurman, Michael	Yes
7771	8/30/2011	No	Phillips, Becky	Yes
7772	8/30/2011	No	Tefft, Susan C	Yes
7773	8/30/2011	No	Nowack, James S	Yes
7774	8/30/2011	No	Adam, John	Yes
7775	8/30/2011	No	Every, Kathleen V	Yes
7776	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ellis, Megan	Yes
7777	8/30/2011	No	Shaw, Justin	Yes
7778	8/30/2011	No	Flack, Robin J	Yes
7779	8/30/2011	No	Hogan, Linda	Yes
7780	8/30/2011	No	Siegfried, Heidi J	Yes
7781	8/30/2011	No	Hirsch, Deborah C	Yes
7782	8/30/2011	No	LINTNER, LAWRENCE W	Yes
7783	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7784	8/30/2011	No	Bloch, Alice	Yes
7785	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Carola, Hugh M	Yes
7786	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Bukowski, Joy M	Yes
7787	8/30/2011	No	Lovell, Cathryn A	Yes
7788	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McCarty, Garret	Yes
7789	8/30/2011	No	Willett, Susan	Yes
7790	8/30/2011	No	Miller, Mary E	Yes
7791	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Killebrew, Jim G	Yes
7792	8/30/2011	No	Irving-Mills, Wendy	Yes
7793	8/30/2011	No	Stern, Linda A	Yes
7794	8/30/2011	No	Powell, Jessie	Yes
7795	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Norris, George T	Yes
7796	8/30/2011	No	Daniel, Roger L	Yes
7797	8/30/2011	No	Pritchard, Joan	Yes
7798	8/30/2011	No	martinez, john f	Yes
7799	8/30/2011	No	alvin, ray	Yes
7800	8/30/2011	No	Godown, Tricia M	Yes
7801	8/30/2011	No	cox, adele	Yes
7802	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	SMITH, JANELL	Yes
7803	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sabo, Corinne	Yes
7804	8/30/2011	No	Altman, Jason	Yes
7805	8/30/2011	No	Novkov, Russell J	Yes
7806	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lawson, Emma	Yes
7807	8/30/2011	No (0)	Mignone, Naomi	Yes
7808	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wilson, Judith M	Yes
7809	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hassett, Ellen J	Yes
7810	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	mittchell, gary	Yes
7811	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Siegel, Larry M	Yes
7812	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nitsch, Jessica M	Yes
7813	8/30/2011	No	Johnson, Debra L	Yes

## 0013814

7814	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7815	8/30/2011	No	WELLS, KAREN E	Yes
7816	8/30/2011	No	hayden, gary	Yes
7817	8/30/2011	No	Poulson, Judi	Yes
7818	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Nix, Richard E	Yes
7819	8/30/2011	No	hunter, tana	Yes
7820	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7821	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Brad	Yes
7822	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sefton, Gloria D	Yes
7823	8/30/2011	No (0)	albery, cathy t	Yes
7824	8/30/2011	No (0)	Sims, Millicent K	Yes
7825	8/30/2011	No (0)	Boydston, Charlene D	Yes
7826	8/30/2011	No	FORSBERG, WENDY	Yes
7827	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Macdonald, angus m	Yes
7828	8/30/2011	No	D'Ambra, John A	Yes
7829	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7830	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Saunders, Marilyn	Yes
7831	8/30/2011	No	Schwartz, Kelli L	Yes
7832	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tarleton, Tim J	Yes
7833	8/30/2011	No (0)	Croft, Dianne	Yes
7834	8/30/2011	No	Fields, Diane M	Yes
7835	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	sarasvati, soraja	Yes
7836	8/30/2011	No	Helman, Harriet J	Yes
7837	8/30/2011	No	Batiste, Syreeta	Yes
7838	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Jenn	Yes
7839	8/30/2011	No	Comer, Patrick	Yes
7840	8/30/2011	No	Westervelt, Susan M	Yes
7841	8/30/2011	No (0)	Brass, Jan	Yes
7842	8/30/2011	No (0)	E., GRACE	Yes
7843	8/30/2011	No (0)	Levin, Jodie	Yes
7844	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7845	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Macdonald, angus m	Yes
7846	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lemer, Jonathan	Yes
7847	8/30/2011	No	Pope, Cheryle	Yes
7848	8/30/2011	No (0)	brewer, jak	Yes
7849	8/30/2011	No (0)	Moncada, Jessica	Yes
7850	8/30/2011	No	Hedrick, Colleen H	Yes
7851	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, Marcia	Yes
7852	8/30/2011	No	Santon, Germaine T	Yes
7853	8/30/2011	No	Harrie, Susan P	Yes
7854	8/30/2011	No	Weil, Sarah E	Yes
7855	8/30/2011	No	toma, hadel	Yes
7856	8/30/2011	No (0)	Olson, Lee	Yes
7857	8/30/2011	No	Nylen, eric	Yes
7858	8/30/2011	No (0)	Vermillion, John	Yes
7859	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bruce, Jen	Yes
7860	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stopa, Martha	Yes
7861	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Webb, Linda	Yes
7862	8/30/2011	No (0)	Austerberry, Gloria	Yes
7863	8/30/2011	No	Berkowitz, Rose M	Yes
7864	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Dirrenberger, Jonathan	Yes
7865	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Zielinski, Nikki	Yes
7866	8/30/2011	No	Bell, Tony	Yes
7867	8/30/2011	No	thoms, gary j	Yes
7868	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013815

7869	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kitchen, Michael	Yes
7870	8/30/2011	No	M, J	Yes
7871	8/30/2011	No (0)	Henthorne, Hilaire	Yes
7872	8/30/2011	No	Karriker-Jaffe, Kate	Yes
7873	8/30/2011	No	Goad, Laura M	Yes
7874	8/30/2011	No (0)	Malone, Marisa	Yes
7875	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Altar, Kristin A	Yes
7876	8/30/2011	No	Lujan, Cynthia	Yes
7877	8/30/2011	No	Robinson, Ben	Yes
7878	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jablow, Lisa	Yes
7879	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kenion, Rita B	Yes
7880	8/30/2011	No	Brady, Kevin	Yes
7881	8/30/2011	No (0)	boucher, daniele	Yes
7882	8/30/2011	No	Hurley, Kristin	Yes
7883	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Ortt, Marilyn W	Yes
7884	8/30/2011	No	Schutz, Arna S	Yes
7885	8/30/2011	No	Bannister, Susan	Yes
7886	8/30/2011	No (0)	Abell, Martha A	Yes
7887	8/30/2011	No (0)	rios, susan	Yes
7888	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Peterson, Kyle L	Yes
7889	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
7890	8/30/2011	No (0)	Biddle, Lynn	Yes
7891	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hargrove, Chris	Yes
7892	8/30/2011	No	Mendrinis, Christina A	Yes
7893	8/30/2011	No	Guest, Sarah	Yes
7894	8/30/2011	No (0)	Scotton, Bruce W	Yes
7895	8/30/2011	No	swenson, ingrid e	Yes
7896	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Amelia	Yes
7897	8/30/2011	No (0)	Moller, Richard P	Yes
7898	8/30/2011	No	J, Debra	Yes
7899	8/30/2011	No	Hallberg, Cici	Yes
7900	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hoff, Val R	Yes
7901	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Eiceman, Connie	Yes
7902	8/30/2011	No	Jr., Bruce Richard Pfeiffer, Jr.	Yes
7903	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, David R	Yes
7904	8/30/2011	No (0)	mothley, drucilla t	Yes
7905	8/30/2011	No	Cobb, Sandra M	Yes
7906	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	williams, mary w	Yes
7907	8/30/2011	No	Mills, Irene	Yes
7908	8/30/2011	No	Schultz, Gillian	Yes
7909	8/30/2011	No	Simmons, Eve	Yes
7910	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Ray, Elise	Yes
7911	8/30/2011	No	Dean, Derry L	Yes
7912	8/30/2011	No	Butler, Doug L	Yes
7913	8/30/2011	No	Metje, Fran E	Yes
7914	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Pasichnyk, Richard	Yes
7915	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Grey, Susan H	Yes
7916	8/30/2011	No	Faszczewski, Joan P	Yes
7917	8/30/2011	No (0)	Wieland, Martin	Yes
7918	8/30/2011	No	marquette, christine a	Yes
7919	8/30/2011	No	Cowart, Mary	Yes
7920	8/30/2011	No	Columbia, Gail	Yes
7921	8/30/2011	No	Urla, Paul G	Yes
7922	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Clack, Musty	Yes
7923	8/30/2011	No (0)	Tzeng, Yang-Sheng	Yes



0013816

7924	8/30/2011	No	Cook, E M	Yes
7925	8/30/2011	No	Pallazola, Joseph L	Yes
7926	8/30/2011	No	Holst, Mamie S	Yes
7927	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7928	8/30/2011	No	Lazarus, Anne	Yes
7929	8/30/2011	No	MacLagan, Lynette K	Yes
7930	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	DeGrandis, Kathryn	Yes
7931	8/30/2011	No	Diss, Michelle A	Yes
7932	8/30/2011	No	Geigenberger, Ingobert	Yes
7933	8/30/2011	No	Clark, Patricia D	Yes
7934	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Le, Luan V	Yes
7935	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7936	8/30/2011	No	roohi, julie	Yes
7937	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Walker, Lee A	Yes
7938	8/30/2011	No	Deibel, Carolyn R	Yes
7939	8/30/2011	No	Fruth, Lori	Yes
7940	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jeffries, Lynne	Yes
7941	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	fifield, wilbert h	Yes
7942	8/30/2011	No	Coey, Marjorie B	Yes
7943	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Rotcher, Michael	Yes
7944	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dean, Abigail	Yes
7945	8/30/2011	No	MICHAUD, NOREEN R	Yes
7946	8/30/2011	No	Maher, Linda	Yes
7947	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Escamilla, John P	Yes
7948	8/30/2011	No (0)	Brennig, Sheri	Yes
7949	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Avila, Ron	Yes
7950	8/30/2011	No (0)	Weidenbacher, Irene L	Yes
7951	8/30/2011	No	Spratley, Richard B	Yes
7952	8/30/2011	No	Wojnaroski, Sabrina	Yes
7953	8/30/2011	No	Craig, Patrick	Yes
7954	8/30/2011	No	Meck, Wanda	Yes
7955	8/30/2011	No	adsit, roy	Yes
7956	8/30/2011	No (0)	schumann, char	Yes
7957	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Hirsch, Catherine	Yes
7958	8/30/2011	No (0)	resa, gloria	Yes
7959	8/30/2011	No	Lauer, Mary F	Yes
7960	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Simpkins, Dulcey L	Yes
7961	8/30/2011	No	Sperry, Adam D	Yes
7962	8/30/2011	No	Bacon, Patrick	Yes
7963	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Rasmussen, Jeanne M	Yes
7964	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7965	8/30/2011	No (0)	Dryer, Ellen	Yes
7966	8/30/2011	No	Crandall-Bear, Joanne	Yes
7967	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hirsch, Catherine	Yes
7968	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gandy, Terri	Yes
7969	8/30/2011	No	Hall, Linnea Fronce & Thomas	Yes
7970	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pennay, Robyn	Yes
7971	8/30/2011	No	winchell, peggy j	Yes
7972	8/30/2011	No	Kato, Pearl M	Yes
7973	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McEwen, Cindy	Yes
7974	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
7975	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Jenne, Jeffrey F	Yes
7976	8/30/2011	No	Lietzau, Lucille	Yes
7977	8/30/2011	No	Roberson, Marika	Yes
7978	8/30/2011	No	Hollon, Hollie	Yes

0013817

7979	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kawalkowski, Pawel	Yes
7980	8/30/2011	No	Sahr, Patricic M	Yes
7981	8/30/2011	No	URBAN, MALLENA M	Yes
7982	8/30/2011	No	Foster, Marlene	Yes
7983	8/30/2011	No (0)	CERNIK, Eva	Yes
7984	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hering, Janine	Yes
7985	8/30/2011	No	winchell, peggy j	Yes
7986	8/30/2011	No (0)	Garvey, Lydia	Yes
7987	8/30/2011	No	Wagstaff, Sam	Yes
7988	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Morgan, Linda M	Yes
7989	8/30/2011	No (0)	veneri, maura k	Yes
7990	8/30/2011	No	Kosec, Dawn	Yes
7991	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Aimone, Jessica	Yes
7992	8/30/2011	No	Jones, Loren R	Yes
7993	8/30/2011	No	Zimmer, Arlene C	Yes
7994	8/30/2011	No (573580)	Blum, Dorothy S	Yes
7995	8/30/2011	No	Flannery, Deborah S	Yes
7996	8/30/2011	No	Coddington, Susan	Yes
7997	8/30/2011	No	adsit, roy e	Yes
7998	8/30/2011	No	Desrosiers, Martha L	Yes
7999	8/30/2011	No	Boehmer, Carey	Yes
8000	8/30/2011	No	D., Dana	Yes
8001	8/30/2011	No	Wegmann, Elizabeth	Yes
8002	8/30/2011	No	sugerman, alvin	Yes
8003	8/30/2011	No	COLLINS, brenda l	Yes
8004	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Beeson, Jack	Yes
8005	8/30/2011	No (0)	Mathew, Linda	Yes
8006	8/30/2011	No (0)	Lakin, Cecelia M	Yes
8007	8/30/2011	No	Masanz, Timothy J	Yes
8008	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8009	8/30/2011	No	Lackey, Mercedes	Yes
8010	8/30/2011	No (0)	Blackburn, Jolie K	Yes
8011	8/30/2011	No	Willis, Lisa	Yes
8012	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ray, Ashley D	Yes
8013	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8014	8/30/2011	No (0)	Ballantine, ALEXANDRA	Yes
8015	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stanley, Edh	Yes
8016	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8017	8/30/2011	No	Zausner, Tobi	Yes
8018	8/30/2011	No	COLLINS, brenda l	Yes
8019	8/30/2011	No	Olson, Tricha A	Yes
8020	8/30/2011	No	Steiner, John T	Yes
8021	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8022	8/30/2011	No (0)	Duda, Tim	Yes
8023	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Maruki-Fox, Setsuko	Yes
8024	8/30/2011	No (0)	Albert, Shan	Yes
8025	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Stratmann, Johannes	Yes
8026	8/30/2011	No (0)	Hayslip, Margaret W	Yes
8027	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8028	8/30/2011	No	Kohler, John L	Yes
8029	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Capella, Marina	Yes
8030	8/30/2011	No (0)	Shen, Gloria	Yes
8031	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Henderson, Doris	Yes
8032	8/30/2011	No (0)	Alvarado, Arlene	Yes
8033	8/30/2011	No	Ghenoiu, Paul E	Yes

## 0013818

8034	8/30/2011	No (0)	Pierson, James	Yes
8035	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wells, Della	Yes
8036	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Saunders, Marilyn	Yes
8037	8/30/2011	No (0)	Smith, Rita P	Yes
8038	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Herrera, Perry	Yes
8039	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sutphin, Andrew	Yes
8040	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Derbigny, Rodney J	Yes
8041	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8042	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Coon, Matt S	Yes
8043	8/30/2011	No	lee, dominique	Yes
8044	8/30/2011	No	Holzman, Michael J	Yes
8045	8/30/2011	No	Scaff, Beverly J	Yes
8046	8/30/2011	No	Ottenberg, Kim	Yes
8047	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Huntley, C. K	Yes
8048	8/30/2011	No	Hayes, Linda M	Yes
8049	8/30/2011	No	Jagiello, Linda M	Yes
8050	8/30/2011	No	Varvel, Sandra	Yes
8051	8/30/2011	No (0)	Spotts, Richard A	Yes
8052	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Merljak, Julija	Yes
8053	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Krell-Bates, Diane	Yes
8054	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Amato, Nicole	Yes
8055	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jewell, Ken H	Yes
8056	8/30/2011	No	Bege, Lorraine M	Yes
8057	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Flanagan, Lynn G	Yes
8058	8/30/2011	No	Levin, Veronica B	Yes
8059	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8060	8/30/2011	No	MD, Robert L Sain, MD	Yes
8061	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chinn, Jason	Yes
8062	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8063	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8064	8/30/2011	No	caglioti, stephanie m	Yes
8065	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bunt, Laraine P	Yes
8066	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Schwartz, Phillip J	Yes
8067	8/30/2011	No	Bergstrom, Bo	Yes
8068	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8069	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Araujo, Isabel	Yes
8070	8/30/2011	No (0)	Anderson, Stephen D	Yes
8071	8/30/2011	No	Brinkley, Randi L	Yes
8072	8/30/2011	No	Bartlett, Mary B	Yes
8073	8/30/2011	No	Russo, Donald D	Yes
8074	8/30/2011	No (0)	Walcroft, Linda S	Yes
8075	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Haynes, polly	Yes
8076	8/30/2011	No	Hendricks, Elizabeth A	Yes
8077	8/30/2011	No	Meider, Sarah K	Yes
8078	8/30/2011	No	Bruyn, M d	Yes
8079	8/30/2011	No	Smith, Ryan	Yes
8080	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Blumrich, Matthias	Yes
8081	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8082	8/30/2011	No	Cotter, Nancy L	Yes
8083	8/30/2011	No	Jobe, Laura	Yes
8084	8/30/2011	No	galantis, meri	Yes
8085	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Sells, Greg	Yes
8086	8/30/2011	No (0)	HINRICHS, PAM	Yes
8087	8/30/2011	No (0)	Jones, Richard R	Yes
8088	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wasgatt, Ann E	Yes

## 0013819

8089	8/30/2011	No	Dornhecker, Mary J	Yes
8090	8/30/2011	No	shank, nancy j	Yes
8091	8/30/2011	No (0)	Kuticka, Sheri L	Yes
8092	8/30/2011	No	Lawrence, Rhett	Yes
8093	8/30/2011	No	J, Michael	Yes
8094	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Spiegel, Karen E	Yes
8095	8/30/2011	No (0)	Humphrey, Sandra L	Yes
8096	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8097	8/30/2011	No	Dosch, Mary E	Yes
8098	8/30/2011	No	Ohlendorf, Carol	Yes
8099	8/30/2011	No (0)	Winstead, Annie	Yes
8100	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gelinas, Kim	Yes
8101	8/30/2011	No	Bizzell, Mary A	Yes
8102	8/30/2011	No	goldberg, Clarissa	Yes
8103	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Davis, Terri	Yes
8104	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McGuire, Jessica M	Yes
8105	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	WoodConstable, Mary	Yes
8106	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Charlton, Kevin	Yes
8107	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8108	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8109	8/30/2011	No	Romer, Cynthia	Yes
8110	8/30/2011	No (0)	Pena, Yolanda	Yes
8111	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Aiken, Mark	Yes
8112	8/30/2011	No	Whitworth, Daniel C	Yes
8113	8/30/2011	No	brownrigg, sarah a	Yes
8114	8/30/2011	No	Hope, Katherine F	Yes
8115	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	fridge, charles d	Yes
8116	8/30/2011	No (0)	Brown, Cecilia E	Yes
8117	8/30/2011	No (0)	Curtis, Glenn	Yes
8118	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8119	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Spengler, Brett W	Yes
8120	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	McClurg, Daviann	Yes
8121	8/30/2011	No	doughtie, dan g	Yes
8122	8/30/2011	No (0)	Peterson, Guy	Yes
8123	8/30/2011	No	Bresilge, Heidi c	Yes
8124	8/30/2011	No	Stone-Meyer, Virginia	Yes
8125	8/30/2011	No	Domanico, Barbara A	Yes
8126	8/30/2011	No	Denny, Stephanie A	Yes
8127	8/30/2011	No	Frost, Lisa A	Yes
8128	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Strayer, Karen	Yes
8129	8/30/2011	No	Graham, Guy P	Yes
8130	8/30/2011	No	DiGiandomenico, Angela	Yes
8131	8/30/2011	No	Jackson, Helen	Yes
8132	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Fish, Debra L	Yes
8133	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8134	8/30/2011	No	Kramer, Rob	Yes
8135	8/30/2011	No	Reichert, Robyn L	Yes
8136	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kiefer, Bob	Yes
8137	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kritzman, Philip	Yes
8138	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Stewart, Tamsin	Yes
8139	8/30/2011	No	Sherwood, Jay	Yes
8140	8/30/2011	No	Bleha, Patricia C	Yes
8141	8/30/2011	No	Fields, Jill	Yes
8142	8/30/2011	No	Carson, Carol	Yes
8143	8/30/2011	No (0)	Chambers, Angelique D	Yes

0013820

8144	8/30/2011	No	Quesada, Armando Ernesto de	Yes
8145	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Pagenkopf, Kris	Yes
8146	8/30/2011	No (0)	ASH, KAREN E	Yes
8147	8/30/2011	No (0)	Rehkopf, katie C	Yes
8148	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8149	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Pekin, Patrick M	Yes
8150	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8151	8/30/2011	No	Matthews, Charlotte	Yes
8152	8/30/2011	No	Gauga, Blaise	Yes
8153	8/30/2011	No	Zimmer, Howard h	Yes
8154	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8155	8/30/2011	No (0)	Thompson, Sarah	Yes
8156	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8157	8/30/2011	No	Stickel, Ann E	Yes
8158	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Thomae, Laura	Yes
8159	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Felix, Cathy L	Yes
8160	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Lewis, Erma	Yes
8161	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8162	8/30/2011	No	Spiering, Nicole	Yes
8163	8/30/2011	No	Upshaw, Chad	Yes
8164	8/30/2011	No (0)	Cafagna, Carl G	Yes
8165	8/30/2011	No	Kreider, Connie	Yes
8166	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8167	8/30/2011	No	Anderson, Margaret A	Yes
8168	8/30/2011	No	pelton, b	Yes
8169	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Beschler, Marc	Yes
8170	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kuenning, Jennifer	Yes
8171	8/30/2011	No (0)	Meassick, rva	Yes
8172	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8173	8/30/2011	No	Redpath, Gerard T	Yes
8174	8/30/2011	No	Rickel, Justine	Yes
8175	8/30/2011	No	Scott, Willard J	Yes
8176	8/30/2011	No (0)	Buck, Sharon E	Yes
8177	8/30/2011	No	Lipp, Cara c	Yes
8178	8/30/2011	No	Pennington, Constance A	Yes
8179	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8180	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hale, Casey	Yes
8181	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Leaman, Keri	Yes
8182	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Wyrostok, Charles	Yes
8183	8/30/2011	No	Pancher, Jasonmm	Yes
8184	8/30/2011	No	marquez, tatiana	Yes
8185	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Carlson, Ronald C	Yes
8186	8/30/2011	No (0)	MD, Joseph K	Yes
8187	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Tignanelli, Doreen	Yes
8188	8/30/2011	No	Humowiecki, Jennifer	Yes
8189	8/30/2011	No	Dernoga, Matt	Yes
8190	8/30/2011	No	Adcock, Robert C	Yes
8191	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	LaSchiava, Dona S	Yes
8192	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8193	8/30/2011	No	Blaisdell, Judy	Yes
8194	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8195	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8196	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Guard, Mary B	Yes
8197	8/30/2011	No	Busold, Kristen	Yes
8198	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Carlson, Ronald C	Yes

0013821

8199	8/30/2011	No	Willer-Vescio, Jackie	Yes
8200	8/30/2011	No	Baker, Alison M	Yes
8201	8/30/2011	No	Browne, Michael	Yes
8202	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cave, Robin R	Yes
8203	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	cponn, craig	Yes
8204	8/30/2011	No	Katz, Ronald S	Yes
8205	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8206	8/30/2011	No	Burke, Patricia R	Yes
8207	8/30/2011	No (0)	Schietzelt, Randall	Yes
8208	8/30/2011	No	O'Keefe, Maureen	Yes
8209	8/30/2011	No (0)	Klein, Jill	Yes
8210	8/30/2011	No	Wilson, Holly	Yes
8211	8/30/2011	No (0)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8212	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Smith, Tara L	Yes
8213	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Chrisman, Wendy	Yes
8214	8/30/2011	No	Steinke, Kris	Yes
8215	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Cruse, Leighton T	Yes
8216	8/30/2011	No (0)	Pepper, Teresa D	Yes
8217	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Wyatt, Ashley	Yes
8218	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	Spence, James B	Yes
8219	8/30/2011	No	Rieve, Theresa	Yes
8220	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	Wilson, Kari	Yes
8221	8/30/2011	No (0)	Owens, Sharon E	Yes
8222	8/30/2011	No	yanke, brian p	Yes
8223	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8224	8/30/2011	No	Karpen, Leah R	Yes
8225	8/30/2011	No	Sklar, Michael S	Yes
8226	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8227	8/30/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8228	8/30/2011	No	Kirsch, Elaine	Yes
8229	8/30/2011	No	Greco, Andrea	Yes
8230	8/30/2011	Yes (602261)	BURKE, WILLIAM M	Yes
8231	8/30/2011	No	Estel, K	Yes
8232	8/30/2011	No	Ridge, Mary J	Yes
8233	8/30/2011	No	meuer, rita m	Yes
8234	8/30/2011	No	ingram, Cathy r	Yes
8235	8/30/2011	No	Stevesand, Pat M	Yes
8236	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Ballot, Michael	Yes
8237	8/30/2011	No	lloyd, k. a	Yes
8238	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Krassenstein, Diane	Yes
8239	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Edllund, Tara R	Yes
8240	8/30/2011	No	Darif, Martha H	Yes
8241	8/30/2011	No	Graf, Rosemary A	Yes
8242	8/30/2011	No	Jason, Eric	Yes
8243	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8244	8/30/2011	No	Strobel, Jeanine E	Yes
8245	8/30/2011	No	Sabin, Cynthia	Yes
8246	8/30/2011	No	Chapman, Margaret A	Yes
8247	8/30/2011	No	Muhudinov, Leon	Yes
8248	8/30/2011	No	Boyce, Robert	Yes
8249	8/30/2011	No (0)	Salone, Daphne M	Yes
8250	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8251	8/30/2011	No	Schoenhofer, Robert	Yes
8252	8/30/2011	No	lane, debbie j	Yes
8253	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Culbreth, Lynne D	Yes

## 0013822

8254	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Alasti, Isabella	Yes
8255	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivera, Sergio M	Yes
8256	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8257	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoffinger, Renee	Yes
8258	8/30/2011	No	GONDOS, NINA N	Yes
8259	8/30/2011	No (0)	Berman, Jean	Yes
8260	8/30/2011	No (0)	Story, Andrea	Yes
8261	8/30/2011	No (0)	Gasdaglis, Barbara L	Yes
8262	8/30/2011	No	Stamper, Nancy J	Yes
8263	8/30/2011	No	Kasdan, Mark I	Yes
8264	8/30/2011	No	Alvarado, Rebecca J	Yes
8265	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Hale, Jim	Yes
8266	8/30/2011	No	Wanserski, Toni	Yes
8267	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kofler, Michelle	Yes
8268	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Allison, Gail M	Yes
8269	8/30/2011	No	Flynn, Katarina	Yes
8270	8/30/2011	No	Dobesh, Don L	Yes
8271	8/30/2011	No	White, Martha	Yes
8272	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Haddock, Sara	Yes
8273	8/30/2011	No	Pooler, Jason H	Yes
8274	8/30/2011	No	Charlton, James W	Yes
8275	8/30/2011	No (0)	Bauer, David	Yes
8276	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Kistler, Andrew J	Yes
8277	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Druseikis, Marina	Yes
8278	8/30/2011	No	Fox-Oliphant, Karen L	Yes
8279	8/30/2011	No	Mar, Jeff	Yes
8280	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8281	8/30/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8282	8/30/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, TJ	Yes
8283	8/30/2011	No	Blakeney, Arvin L	Yes
8284	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Browne, Patricia	Yes
8285	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Marcantel, Jonathan A	Yes
8286	8/31/2011	Master (617010)	Morris, Margaret E	Yes
8287	8/30/2011	No	Lewis, Patti	No
8288	8/22/2011	No	Mills, Billy	No
8289	8/22/2011	No	Sloop, James H	No
8290	8/24/2011	No	Bullard, David	No
8291	8/24/2011	No	Lineweaver, Michael	No
8292	8/30/2011	No	Shelton, Henry	No
8293	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lareau, Edmond H	Yes
8294	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burke, Carol R	Yes
8295	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Larivee, Carole C	Yes
8296	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morgan, Starla C	Yes
8297	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shortland, Rose	Yes
8298	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Diamond, Ali L	Yes
8299	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Steven	Yes
8300	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Toronchuk, Natalia E	Yes
8301	8/31/2011	No	Jakobson, Krista	Yes
8302	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hopper, Chelsea R	Yes
8303	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LYMAN, MICHAEL C	Yes
8304	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Louise, Barbara G	Yes
8305	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Manganelli, Amy	Yes
8306	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Flynn, Kelly M	Yes
8307	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Richards, V.	Yes
8308	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Devendorf, Linda J	Yes

0013823

8309	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dowling, Beth H	Yes
8310	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Swan, Linda L	Yes
8311	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shortland, Rose	Yes
8312	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sturgeon, Mitchell G	Yes
8313	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Apperson, Robert M	Yes
8314	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mansfield, Clarissa J	Yes
8315	8/31/2011	No	Sharfman, William L	Yes
8316	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hurt, Erin M	Yes
8317	8/31/2011	No	Kyde, Marion M	Yes
8318	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hagsten, Katherine A	Yes
8319	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Keller, J R	Yes
8320	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schilmann, Tiffany	Yes
8321	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sanders, Selga	Yes
8322	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8323	8/31/2011	No	Sanner, Peggy A	Yes
8324	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ward, Donnie L	Yes
8325	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Adams, Sarah B	Yes
8326	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Benda, Linda M	Yes
8327	8/31/2011	No	Schultz, Lawrence B	Yes
8328	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rothrock, Gayle I	Yes
8329	8/31/2011	No	Noire, Anne	Yes
8330	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Eastwood, Pam	Yes
8331	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scheelen, Robert and Dolores	Yes
8332	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Green, Alva L	Yes
8333	8/31/2011	No	Standafer, Joan K	Yes
8334	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8335	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8336	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Carter, Marian M	Yes
8337	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8338	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crosby, Donald A	Yes
8339	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Trufan, Hal	Yes
8340	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rivers, Sue J	Yes
8341	8/31/2011	No	DelPizzo, Alice M	Yes
8342	8/31/2011	No	Elzweig, Lynn C	Yes
8343	8/31/2011	No	Pedersen, Anette	Yes
8344	8/31/2011	No	Schnoor, Monica L	Yes
8345	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Perez, Douglas J	Yes
8346	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Stephanie	Yes
8347	8/31/2011	No	Strouble, Jacalyn L	Yes
8348	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lee, Rand B	Yes
8349	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Block, Bruce P	Yes
8350	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Nothdurft, Anja B	Yes
8351	8/31/2011	No	Delanoy, Katherine	Yes
8352	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dickstein, Stephen H	Yes
8353	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	George, Marvin I	Yes
8354	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Holliday, T	Yes
8355	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Whyman, John S	Yes
8356	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Dana A	Yes
8357	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Holm, Brenda R	Yes
8358	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bing, Paul A	Yes
8359	8/31/2011	No	Andregg, S.	Yes
8360	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Downey, Patricia A	Yes
8361	8/31/2011	No	Corrales, Marietta	Yes
8362	8/31/2011	No	Sullivan, Eileen	Yes
8363	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Joseph H	Yes



0013824

8364	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sweany, Kathleen C	Yes
8365	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gault, Ramona D	Yes
8366	8/31/2011	No	jacobson, art	Yes
8367	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Franklin, John L	Yes
8368	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shorie, Becky	Yes
8369	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Huizenga, Annette B	Yes
8370	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	gillono, mark	Yes
8371	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Landeo, Eva V	Yes
8372	8/31/2011	No	Lamb, Nancy	Yes
8373	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Corey, Sheffield	Yes
8374	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Cynthia A	Yes
8375	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoyt, John	Yes
8376	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stuarat, Ava	Yes
8377	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barrett, Carol	Yes
8378	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Kathleen M	Yes
8379	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8380	8/31/2011	No	OCONNELL, JAMES E	Yes
8381	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Packer, Patti	Yes
8382	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoke, Carol	Yes
8383	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8384	8/31/2011	No	Murphey, Robin	Yes
8385	8/31/2011	No	Blair, Elaine M	Yes
8386	8/31/2011	No	haegele, william	Yes
8387	8/31/2011	No	amiad, emma	Yes
8388	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clack, Jason	Yes
8389	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stokes, Jessica L	Yes
8390	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Macdonald, Kevin	Yes
8391	8/31/2011	No	Fox, Charles	Yes
8392	8/31/2011	No	Berman, Cathy L	Yes
8393	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Culp, Pamela	Yes
8394	8/31/2011	No	Simpson, Rusty	Yes
8395	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Packer, Patti	Yes
8396	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Jenna	Yes
8397	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Aiken, Edwin	Yes
8398	8/31/2011	No	Polson, Lois N	Yes
8399	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jordan, Beth	Yes
8400	8/31/2011	No	Riley, Russell	Yes
8401	8/31/2011	No	Babbitt, Susan M	Yes
8402	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hinckley, Alissa	Yes
8403	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Hara, Katherine	Yes
8404	8/31/2011	No	Oates, Margaret	Yes
8405	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Meier, Dan	Yes
8406	8/31/2011	No	Dittemer, Brenda	Yes
8407	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Todd, A.	Yes
8408	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Turner, Andreea	Yes
8409	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cornely, John E	Yes
8410	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8411	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8412	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Peterson, Sandra	Yes
8413	8/31/2011	No	Crouse, Gerrit	Yes
8414	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Davenport, David E	Yes
8415	8/31/2011	No	Pugh, James E	Yes
8416	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Naper, Charlene	Yes
8417	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Templemire, Aaron N	Yes

## 0013825

8418	8/31/2011	Potential (603687)	Kalfin, Alexandre M	Yes
8419	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	moss, lauren e	Yes
8420	8/31/2011	No	Moriarity, Ann	Yes
8421	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schwartz, Jack	Yes
8422	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ryan, Hubert W	Yes
8423	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McLendon, Cynthia	Yes
8424	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8425	8/31/2011	No	Garloch, Jason	Yes
8426	8/31/2011	No	Wallin, Lan E	Yes
8427	8/31/2011	No	Siciliano, Vincent	Yes
8428	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Michael, Kim	Yes
8429	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Grant E	Yes
8430	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nowacki, Amy	Yes
8431	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Farano, Shirley	Yes
8432	8/31/2011	No	haegele, william	Yes
8433	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wells, Jeffrey	Yes
8434	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Eckman, Michelle	Yes
8435	8/31/2011	Potential (617010)	Hagen, Sandra G	Yes
8436	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McCreary, Jan C	Yes
8437	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolf, Jillian	Yes
8438	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bogin, Ronald	Yes
8439	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Davis, Kathleen	Yes
8440	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kindland, Suzanne M	Yes
8441	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McGoldrick, William	Yes
8442	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ruedin, Louise E	Yes
8443	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barron, Fernando	Yes
8444	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bleyer, Jon	Yes
8445	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burdick, Dennis	Yes
8446	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miles, Toni	Yes
8447	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zaslow, Kenneth	Yes
8448	8/31/2011	No	Webber, R	Yes
8449	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Henry, Paul	Yes
8450	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilkey, Pamela	Yes
8451	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Broadstone, Raina L	Yes
8452	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Packer, Patti	Yes
8453	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Faith-Smith, Bonnie J	Yes
8454	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8455	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8456	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mason, Stella	Yes
8457	8/31/2011	No	Fidler, Gabriel	Yes
8458	8/31/2011	No	Finn, Linda	Yes
8459	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Byers, Barbara	Yes
8460	8/31/2011	No	Bruckenstein, Alice	Yes
8461	8/31/2011	No	Flatto, Janice S	Yes
8462	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Askey, Linda	Yes
8463	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bartley, James E	Yes
8464	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Kuykens, Luc G	Yes
8465	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	karsch, diane	Yes
8466	8/31/2011	No	Koetz, Bette	Yes
8467	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	biales, adam	Yes
8468	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Jennifer M	Yes
8469	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Prior, Meghan N	Yes
8470	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moses, Cate	Yes

0013826

8471	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ellenberger, David	Yes
8472	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	benbow, sangeeta	Yes
8473	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kelps, Sandy	Yes
8474	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Anthony, Michelle	Yes
8475	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bohlmann, Arthur	Yes
8476	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Browder, Rodger S	Yes
8477	8/31/2011	No	blake, cynthia	Yes
8478	8/31/2011	No	Artemis, Diana	Yes
8479	8/31/2011	No	Kline, Carol A	Yes
8480	8/31/2011	No	Yost, Gaylord C	Yes
8481	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McMurrian, Wesley C	Yes
8482	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ashford, Elizabeth A	Yes
8483	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bostick, Carol S	Yes
8484	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weatherford, BethAnne	Yes
8485	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Spurrier, Debbie	Yes
8486	8/31/2011	No	Albrecht, Brittany B	Yes
8487	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barrett, Catherine	Yes
8488	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hagar, Michael	Yes
8489	8/31/2011	No	Purdy, Bruce L	Yes
8490	8/31/2011	No	Rupert, Greg	Yes
8491	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shulters, Jacqueline C	Yes
8492	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8493	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Palmese, Jane	Yes
8494	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bennett, Virginia H	Yes
8495	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Adams, Brittany	Yes
8496	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McKinley, Patricia	Yes
8497	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vania, Jennifer	Yes
8498	8/31/2011	No	Osborn, Richard	Yes
8499	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Klingberg, Rachel K	Yes
8500	8/31/2011	No	Ill, Thomas O. Cowdrey III	Yes
8501	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rossi, Noreen M	Yes
8502	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	J, jennifer s	Yes
8503	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Childs, Nat	Yes
8504	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Houseman, Chris J	Yes
8505	8/31/2011	No	Watkoski, Joseph M	Yes
8506	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Snyder, Todd	Yes
8507	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wood, Carolyn E	Yes
8508	8/31/2011	No	Crews, Anne	Yes
8509	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8510	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Luciana T	Yes
8511	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lindqvist, Annika	Yes
8512	8/31/2011	No	Proud, Donald J	Yes
8513	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Paul V	Yes
8514	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parenti, Noel M	Yes
8515	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Statz, Pam	Yes
8516	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8517	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cole, Dana D	Yes
8518	8/31/2011	No	Hunter, Mark	Yes
8519	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pech, Bruce M	Yes
8520	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8521	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bacorn, Tommy	Yes
8522	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sharp, Judith	Yes
8523	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McNeil, Elizabeth	Yes
8524	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	DelPizzo, Brian T	Yes
8525	8/31/2011	No	Seaman, Barbara	Yes

0013827

8526	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Esther H	Yes
8527	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8528	8/31/2011	No	Hills, Sally J	Yes
8529	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Loveland, Sherri	Yes
8530	8/31/2011	No	Potter-Smith, M	Yes
8531	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gilbert, Camille	Yes
8532	8/31/2011	No	Amundson, Beret	Yes
8533	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cockerham, Joyce M	Yes
8534	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Beischel, Adrienne L	Yes
8535	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cammack, Andrew	Yes
8536	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hornung, Tracie A	Yes
8537	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	K, Ashley	Yes
8538	8/31/2011	No	Gillham, Lucien R	Yes
8539	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kisselburg, Desiree	Yes
8540	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dulski, Bob	Yes
8541	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bernhard, Sherri L	Yes
8542	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lawrence, Lore	Yes
8543	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Curtis, Marnelle	Yes
8544	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tabat, Gregory	Yes
8545	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Holter, Norbert	Yes
8546	8/31/2011	No	Kline, Burt	Yes
8547	8/31/2011	No	Cambray, Joan M	Yes
8548	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Knowles, Charles T	Yes
8549	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Galbreath, James	Yes
8550	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bucher, Donna M	Yes
8551	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schreiber, Elizabeth A	Yes
8552	8/31/2011	No	Kerstner, Patricia L	Yes
8553	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Comer, Wendy J	Yes
8554	8/31/2011	No	Seaman, Gerda n	Yes
8555	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ravenwoode, Anna R	Yes
8556	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	weis, vincent l	Yes
8557	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pine, Pamela S	Yes
8558	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	toutonghi, annette m	Yes
8559	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mroczkowski, David A	Yes
8560	8/31/2011	No	Rizzo, Yvonne	Yes
8561	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gauger, D. J	Yes
8562	8/31/2011	No	Stoner, Dorothy A	Yes
8563	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	kettig, georgie a	Yes
8564	8/31/2011	No	Wallace, Anne	Yes
8565	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Luffman, Jennifer	Yes
8566	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schlenoff, Debbie	Yes
8567	8/31/2011	No	Nauful, George	Yes
8568	8/31/2011	No	Salgado, Maria A	Yes
8569	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kirton, Pam J	Yes
8570	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moussa, Susan R	Yes
8571	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Parenti, Noel and Meghan	Yes
8572	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8573	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lee, Emily A	Yes
8574	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schutt, Paul l	Yes
8575	8/31/2011	No	sofield, jessie k	Yes
8576	8/31/2011	No	Mikolich, Lisa M	Yes
8577	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Butler, Erik	Yes
8578	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ruth, Pamela	Yes
8579	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pepple, John	Yes
8580	8/31/2011	No	Siegel, Ann	Yes

0013828

8581	8/31/2011	No	Road, Helen King 133 Adams	Yes
8582	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Chintamani, Nama	Yes
8583	8/31/2011	No	Asteinza, Josef	Yes
8584	8/25/2011	No	Nelms, Lois	No
8585	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8586	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Driss, Adel	Yes
8587	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Proffitt, Missy	Yes
8588	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Knecht, Cheryl	Yes
8589	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stanton, Amy	Yes
8590	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gregory, Nancy E	Yes
8591	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gallagher, Sue	Yes
8592	8/31/2011	No	Kotka, Keith B	Yes
8593	8/31/2011	No	Cassell, Lara	Yes
8594	8/31/2011	No	Vogt, Susan L	Yes
8595	8/31/2011	No	Cadman, Lynne	Yes
8596	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8597	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dougherty, Theresa	Yes
8598	8/31/2011	No	Lowell, Nancy E	Yes
8599	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pittluck, Richard	Yes
8600	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wingate, Julie	Yes
8601	8/31/2011	Potential (617010)	DiNardo, Judith M	Yes
8602	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Aiello, Grace	Yes
8603	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Joseph, Carmen	Yes
8604	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paradiso, Nancy M	Yes
8605	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Poppe, Dorothy	Yes
8606	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jacobs, Teri	Yes
8607	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Fehrmann, Marilyn L	Yes
8608	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Page, Nicholas	Yes
8609	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mason, Roger S	Yes
8610	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Heather	Yes
8611	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8612	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rizzolo, James	Yes
8613	8/31/2011	No	Korosy, Marianne	Yes
8614	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gray, Richard O	Yes
8615	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hickman, Elizabe5th I	Yes
8616	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	watters, cheryl	Yes
8617	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Catinna, Anne B	Yes
8618	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Krause, Karl E	Yes
8619	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8620	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dayton, Ruth A	Yes
8621	8/31/2011	No	DEVIER, KAYLEEN A	Yes
8622	8/31/2011	No	Burnham, Gerald	Yes
8623	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mushkat, Mark A	Yes
8624	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fraser, Evelyn S	Yes
8625	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lewis, Larry	Yes
8626	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Faery, Rebecca B	Yes
8627	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Fara	Yes
8628	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rauch, Cheryl R	Yes
8629	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cox, Stefanie	Yes
8630	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Head, Murray	Yes
8631	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Alexander, Gerald	Yes
8632	8/31/2011	No	Brewer, Vickie J	Yes
8633	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sorensen, Rachel	Yes
8634	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Purtzer, Laura	Yes

0013829

8635	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martinez, Katherine	Yes
8636	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Voytas, Paul	Yes
8637	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cox, Bruce M	Yes
8638	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Reum, Patricia A	Yes
8639	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8640	8/31/2011	No	brewer, molly a	Yes
8641	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Seniawski, Charles	Yes
8642	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rivera-Herrera, Luis J	Yes
8643	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Ann	Yes
8644	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolf, Shanon	Yes
8645	8/31/2011	No	wilson, martha and glen	Yes
8646	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	family, Victoria De Goff and	Yes
8647	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Isaacs, Ed	Yes
8648	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Reineke, Doug	Yes
8649	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Alaway, Brian	Yes
8650	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hartman, Catherine	Yes
8651	8/31/2011	No	Karasic, Dave	Yes
8652	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8653	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boyles, Dottie C	Yes
8654	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Burger, Traci	Yes
8655	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	family, Richard Sherman and	Yes
8656	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rafferty, John P	Yes
8657	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Belloso, Leslie	Yes
8658	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arcayan, Athena F	Yes
8659	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arcayan, Athena F	Yes
8660	8/31/2011	No	Shelton, (Ms) Donnie Z	Yes
8661	8/31/2011	No	Remick-Simkins, Stacey	Yes
8662	8/31/2011	No	Mahlis, Larry	Yes
8663	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gumberg, Shirilyn	Yes
8664	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gregg, Kristy	Yes
8665	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Fraser, Evelyn S	Yes
8666	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dell, Sara	Yes
8667	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bacon, Kim	Yes
8668	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Karen M	Yes
8669	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Fraser, Evelyn S	Yes
8670	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vazquez, Martha A	Yes
8671	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lewis, Harris	Yes
8672	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dell, Sara	Yes
8673	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rust, Gerald A	Yes
8674	8/31/2011	No	Colton, Steve	Yes
8675	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Nicholas M	Yes
8676	8/31/2011	No	Ryker, Nancy	Yes
8677	8/31/2011	No	Patten, Leslie J	Yes
8678	8/31/2011	No	MONTGOMERY, A	Yes
8679	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	gray, john c	Yes
8680	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Keister, Rucker	Yes
8681	8/31/2011	No	Elaine, Vickie	Yes
8682	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	MacNeil, Elizabeth F	Yes
8683	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	maker, janet	Yes
8684	8/31/2011	No	Williams, Martha	Yes
8685	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	reilly, barbara n	Yes
8686	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Law, Angela Neville, Attorney	Yes
8687	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Al-Tukhaim, Mary J	Yes
8688	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8689	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013830

8690	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Singleton, Jonathon J	Yes
8691	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clark, Donna	Yes
8692	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Woodall, Sandra S	Yes
8693	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McNew, Sandra L	Yes
8694	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Netherton, Jonathan	Yes
8695	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8696	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parnell, Kristine L	Yes
8697	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Buksa, Sarah	Yes
8698	8/31/2011	No	Snow, Jean C	Yes
8699	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	henley, marie a	Yes
8700	8/31/2011	No	Catlin, David	Yes
8701	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chance, Mel	Yes
8702	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonzalez, Jose D	Yes
8703	8/31/2011	No	Wood, Barbara	Yes
8704	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morrison, Frances	Yes
8705	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Matini, Carolyn J	Yes
8706	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grimm, Isaac A	Yes
8707	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dixon, James R	Yes
8708	8/31/2011	No	cohen, patty l	Yes
8709	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morarre, Pam	Yes
8710	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Capece, Raymond J	Yes
8711	8/31/2011	No	Schue, Shirley G	Yes
8712	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	schumacher, amy	Yes
8713	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scalesse, Carol	Yes
8714	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Filice, Gregory	Yes
8715	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mead, Maggie	Yes
8716	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weil, Emily P	Yes
8717	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8718	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wights, Reanna R	Yes
8719	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zentarski, Joan M	Yes
8720	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ramirez, Hank R	Yes
8721	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ehlert, Joan D	Yes
8722	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Dietz, Mary T	Yes
8723	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fallow, Dave	Yes
8724	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jolly, Isabelle	Yes
8725	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weigel, Molly	Yes
8726	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Kristina Mari Becker	Yes
8727	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mackay, John	Yes
8728	8/31/2011	No	Foote, Wayne W	Yes
8729	8/31/2011	No	Hanratty, Kathryn	Yes
8730	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ebner, Martha J	Yes
8731	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lyles, Jeff	Yes
8732	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Grace	Yes
8733	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	easton, carol	Yes
8734	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ketcherside, Sharon	Yes
8735	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Yeldon, Catrina M	Yes
8736	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Greenwood, Stephanie	Yes
8737	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bubel, Sharon J	Yes
8738	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Richard	Yes
8739	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shimmel, Martin	Yes
8740	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	thomas, maghon r	Yes
8741	8/31/2011	No	GREEN, SHELLEY r	Yes
8742	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Demerjian, Bonnie L	Yes
8743	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chumbley, Jim B	Yes
8744	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	GOGAN, K.	Yes

## 0013831

8745	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fuglewicz, Joan E	Yes
8746	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moore, Christopher W	Yes
8747	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moore, Nancy	Yes
8748	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	watters, whitney	Yes
8749	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Tanishia	Yes
8750	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ausman, Candi	Yes
8751	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kepner, Susan R	Yes
8752	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Perkowitz, Marc T	Yes
8753	8/31/2011	No	block, roma	Yes
8754	8/31/2011	No	Moonshadow, MS	Yes
8755	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	pearson, nancy e	Yes
8756	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grani, Gretchen	Yes
8757	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Church, Terry	Yes
8758	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bergstrom, Ruth A	Yes
8759	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Corliss, Mary J	Yes
8760	8/31/2011	No	Wemer, Kim S	Yes
8761	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parsons, Leslie L	Yes
8762	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McMahon, Gail	Yes
8763	8/31/2011	No	Phillips, Marlene J	Yes
8764	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goden, Gay M	Yes
8765	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8766	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sobol, Mary	Yes
8767	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pitsker, Polly D	Yes
8768	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Re, John	Yes
8769	8/31/2011	No	Capece, Raymond J	Yes
8770	8/31/2011	No	Chianis, Mr & Mrs Andrew &	Yes
8771	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Friedman, Donna S	Yes
8772	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cahill, Eugene J	Yes
8773	8/31/2011	No	Bergman, Ron	Yes
8774	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Donahue, Hannah M	Yes
8775	8/31/2011	No	Carroll, Chris	Yes
8776	8/31/2011	No	Trufan, Hal	Yes
8777	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Murphy, Kimberly	Yes
8778	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Youngman, Julie	Yes
8779	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hurst, Stacy J	Yes
8780	8/31/2011	No	Daniels, Courtney E	Yes
8781	8/31/2011	No	Badella, Gloria	Yes
8782	8/31/2011	No	Wilson, Mary D	Yes
8783	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Robert W	Yes
8784	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ware, Alycia	Yes
8785	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Witt, Brody M	Yes
8786	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Anderson, Alison A	Yes
8787	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lawrence, Jean	Yes
8788	8/31/2011	No	W., Thomas	Yes
8789	8/31/2011	No	Polo, John	Yes
8790	8/31/2011	No	Dreskin, Wendy	Yes
8791	8/31/2011	No	Lauderman, David B	Yes
8792	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sylvester, Robert	Yes
8793	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zook, Theodore A	Yes
8794	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	campagna, anna	Yes
8795	8/31/2011	No	Cott, Ann Elise Van Cott	Yes
8796	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Steen, Earl	Yes
8797	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	sprayregen, ann	Yes
8798	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8799	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marvil, Rebecca	Yes



0013832

8800	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Langdon, Angela G	Yes
8801	8/31/2011	No	Ravitts, Ricki G	Yes
8802	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schrader, Melanie	Yes
8803	8/31/2011	No	REED, ANET	Yes
8804	8/31/2011	No	Dunton, Alexis R	Yes
8805	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	clancy, Susan	Yes
8806	8/31/2011	No	Bobnick, Jacqueline	Yes
8807	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	walters, wendy	Yes
8808	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, David	Yes
8809	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Armer, Joan and Paul Armer	Yes
8810	8/31/2011	No	Thorpe, Nita	Yes
8811	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Caetano, Jess	Yes
8812	8/31/2011	No	Sherrill, Diane	Yes
8813	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	brinkman, john	Yes
8814	8/31/2011	No	Sunderland, K	Yes
8815	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Polley, Heather	Yes
8816	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Christiansen, David	Yes
8817	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fein, Ethan	Yes
8818	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bayegan, Gilda B	Yes
8819	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wang, Hope	Yes
8820	8/31/2011	No	Cox, Darleen E	Yes
8821	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gershen, Bart	Yes
8822	8/31/2011	No	Mayer, Susan A	Yes
8823	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Giuliani, Lynda	Yes
8824	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gandolfo, Celine	Yes
8825	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zimmerman, Paulette	Yes
8826	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonzalez, Elisa	Yes
8827	8/31/2011	No	Byrum, Nancy B	Yes
8828	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Osman, Kristen	Yes
8829	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McCarthy, Laura A	Yes
8830	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brimm, Martha	Yes
8831	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8832	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bowen, Joyce	Yes
8833	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	busi, nuni	Yes
8834	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Poss, Jane E	Yes
8835	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rosenberg, Nancy	Yes
8836	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	desonia, bruce I	Yes
8837	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Mike	Yes
8838	8/31/2011	No	Gleason, Barbara L	Yes
8839	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Finnerty, Margaret	Yes
8840	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Currin, Bena	Yes
8841	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Love, Darcy J	Yes
8842	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kleeman, Glenda	Yes
8843	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Willey, Hermine	Yes
8844	8/31/2011	No	Doner, Leslee	Yes
8845	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewmon, Lori	Yes
8846	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jr., Joseph Michael Walsh, Jr.	Yes
8847	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bechmann, Elisabeth D	Yes
8848	8/31/2011	No	Franklin, Constance A	Yes
8849	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gathing, Nancy	Yes
8850	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pedersen, Denise	Yes
8851	8/31/2011	No	Nolde, Frances D	Yes
8852	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McGratty, Chris	Yes
8853	8/31/2011	No	Stevenson, C W	Yes
8854	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Barry	Yes

0013833

8855	8/31/2011	No	White, Elizabeth L	Yes
8856	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Thomas	Yes
8857	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mauro, Andrew A	Yes
8858	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Koppel, Evelyn	Yes
8859	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8860	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McElfresh, W. R	Yes
8861	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hughes, Tamara	Yes
8862	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8863	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shank, Daniel	Yes
8864	8/31/2011	No	North, Lindsey	Yes
8865	8/31/2011	No	Bryan, Alex	Yes
8866	8/31/2011	No	Capece, Raymond J	Yes
8867	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fissinger, Kaye	Yes
8868	8/31/2011	No	Feild, Georgia E	Yes
8869	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Warshawsky, Jason	Yes
8870	8/31/2011	No	Atwood, Edwin and Karen	Yes
8871	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Maslow, Peggy	Yes
8872	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8873	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schwarz, Don	Yes
8874	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	London, Ellin	Yes
8875	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8876	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gorra, Brian J	Yes
8877	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garbrick, Kathe	Yes
8878	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rodgers, Kendra	Yes
8879	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caro, Christopher M	Yes
8880	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	North, Lindsey	Yes
8881	8/31/2011	No	Tucker, Carol	Yes
8882	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goodman, Emily N	Yes
8883	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caramello, Anne O	Yes
8884	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	hakimbashi, milad	Yes
8885	8/31/2011	No	milller, tom	Yes
8886	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Davis, Galen	Yes
8887	8/31/2011	No	kelman, illisa	Yes
8888	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cornelia, Jared	Yes
8889	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Togni, Lisa K	Yes
8890	8/31/2011	Yes (556464)	Whitmore, Carolyn J	Yes
8891	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Taylor, J. H	Yes
8892	8/31/2011	No	Shen, Lilian	Yes
8893	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Allen, Richard	Yes
8894	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miner, Marcia C	Yes
8895	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	spaeth, ed	Yes
8896	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8897	8/31/2011	No	Carlson, Lois D	Yes
8898	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nowak, Bruce L	Yes
8899	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Mihok, Brian	Yes
8900	8/31/2011	No	Hunter, Craig	Yes
8901	8/31/2011	No	poulos, john	Yes
8902	8/31/2011	No	SNIDERMAN, SONIA	Yes
8903	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	L., Barbara	Yes
8904	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lapetino, Carol	Yes
8905	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ripplinger, George	Yes
8906	8/31/2011	No	Konrad, Robin	Yes
8907	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8908	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	thomas, maghon r	Yes
8909	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ladiana, Elizabeth M	Yes

0013834

8910	8/31/2011	No	Byrne, Gretchen A	Yes
8911	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barfield, Bonnie	Yes
8912	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Davies-Sigmund, Steven	Yes
8913	8/31/2011	No	Crowe, Edith	Yes
8914	8/31/2011	No	Buck, Sheryl D	Yes
8915	8/31/2011	No	Mitchell, Charles S	Yes
8916	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Krakow, Jessica	Yes
8917	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mueller, Mark	Yes
8918	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8919	8/31/2011	No	Spadt, Valerie	Yes
8920	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mutch, Mary L	Yes
8921	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Blake, Rachel	Yes
8922	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	amos, dawn	Yes
8923	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chait, Sallie H	Yes
8924	8/31/2011	No	Kanzler, Deborah	Yes
8925	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
8926	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gerlach, Trudy D	Yes
8927	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8928	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stevens, Elaine	Yes
8929	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nordenberg, Barbara	Yes
8930	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8931	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Buckwald, Jan	Yes
8932	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fisher, Josi G	Yes
8933	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Antony, Anil	Yes
8934	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harris, Melissa A	Yes
8935	8/31/2011	No	O'Connell, Timothy J	Yes
8936	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Mathur, Anubhuti S	Yes
8937	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8938	8/31/2011	No	Wood, Justin E	Yes
8939	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Whittle, Scott	Yes
8940	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Despins, Rachel A	Yes
8941	8/31/2011	No	Desiderio, Lisa	Yes
8942	8/31/2011	No	Kuttner, Peter	Yes
8943	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Blansett, Susan P	Yes
8944	8/31/2011	No	Allen, Bonnie	Yes
8945	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pritchard, Jennifer	Yes
8946	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8947	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mehaffy, Erica C	Yes
8948	8/31/2011	No	Putnam, Donald G	Yes
8949	8/31/2011	No	Sanders, Joe	Yes
8950	8/31/2011	No	Gilbert, Kristina A	Yes
8951	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pierson, Dana	Yes
8952	8/31/2011	No	wolf, pauline a	Yes
8953	8/31/2011	No	Shindel, Marci	Yes
8954	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Oppenheim, Jennifer	Yes
8955	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Russell, michael	Yes
8956	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stroud, Lynne	Yes
8957	8/31/2011	No	Fuller, Gustave R	Yes
8958	8/31/2011	No	Daley, Paula J	Yes
8959	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shliselberg, Aviva	Yes
8960	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Jacob P	Yes
8961	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zaccari, Cheryl L	Yes
8962	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Knowles, Linda W	Yes
8963	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8964	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013835

8965	8/31/2011	No	Nuss, wILLIAM o	Yes
8966	8/31/2011	No	Kirwin, Carol	Yes
8967	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Taylor, Kristian	Yes
8968	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Curtis, Loretta	Yes
8969	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Larsen, Lars	Yes
8970	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	parrish, scott	Yes
8971	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Branch, Peter	Yes
8972	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robbins, Steven C	Yes
8973	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Foster, Susan	Yes
8974	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guidi, Rita	Yes
8975	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hass, Ramon L	Yes
8976	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hass, Ann F	Yes
8977	8/31/2011	No	Wilson, Thomas A	Yes
8978	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Russell, Jill M	Yes
8979	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
8980	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Henkel, Thomas	Yes
8981	8/31/2011	No	O'Reilly, Dan	Yes
8982	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Michael R	Yes
8983	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Loud, Jacqueline L	Yes
8984	8/31/2011	No	Onofrey, Shea	Yes
8985	8/31/2011	No	Tweedell, Joan	Yes
8986	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	MERCADO, FRANCISCO	Yes
8987	8/31/2011	No	wOOD, verna	Yes
8988	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	johnson, stephen	Yes
8989	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Toomey, Philip	Yes
8990	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Purbrick-Illek, Sally A	Yes
8991	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Zatroch, Don A	Yes
8992	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lynne, Gladwin A	Yes
8993	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bickel, Bettina	Yes
8994	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Upton, Beth A	Yes
8995	8/31/2011	No	Muniz, Zaida	Yes
8996	8/31/2011	No	Foss, Maryann	Yes
8997	8/31/2011	No	Kaplan, Mary J	Yes
8998	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Polczynski, Jamie	Yes
8999	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paulett, Patrick J	Yes
9000	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Catlin, Linda	Yes
9001	8/31/2011	No	Martin, Robert H	Yes
9002	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ginoli, Vicki S	Yes
9003	8/31/2011	No	Lips, Stu	Yes
9004	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fellows, Leslie	Yes
9005	8/31/2011	No	Sullivan, Kathleen	Yes
9006	8/31/2011	No	Fey, sonia m	Yes
9007	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kessler, Martha C	Yes
9008	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carlson, Rita S	Yes
9009	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Allison, Kelly	Yes
9010	8/31/2011	No	AMINGER, VICTOR	Yes
9011	8/31/2011	No	Simmons, Jock T	Yes
9012	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Treece, Jessica P	Yes
9013	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carnahan, Walter H	Yes
9014	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hatfield, Carol	Yes
9015	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stinson, Gregory E	Yes
9016	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Conlan, Robert J	Yes
9017	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cadman, Robert	Yes
9018	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garcia, Craig C	Yes
9019	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	silver, ron	Yes

## 0013836

9020	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sims, Phil	Yes
9021	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Landau, Doug	Yes
9022	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harrington, Thomas E	Yes
9023	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Alyssa	Yes
9024	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Okun, Joan S	Yes
9025	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zazow, Jamie	Yes
9026	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grover, Janice M	Yes
9027	8/31/2011	No	Chesterfield, Kyran M	Yes
9028	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ridder, Lynette	Yes
9029	8/31/2011	No	e, Marika	Yes
9030	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grmoja, Ivana	Yes
9031	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	White, Lynda F	Yes
9032	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Raine, JoAnn W	Yes
9033	8/31/2011	No	Muchowski, Val	Yes
9034	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caballero, Shelley	Yes
9035	8/31/2011	No	Hertzog, Christine	Yes
9036	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hackney, Margaret K	Yes
9037	8/31/2011	No	Webb, David	Yes
9038	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kufrin, Joan Y	Yes
9039	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Piombetti, Tania	Yes
9040	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McCarthy, Kathleen S	Yes
9041	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hanna, Jeremy	Yes
9042	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sart, Marci Janine De Sart	Yes
9043	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	lifson, robert	Yes
9044	8/31/2011	No	Wagner, Donald J	Yes
9045	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paul, Gavin	Yes
9046	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Beaver, Erin R	Yes
9047	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hackney, Margaret K	Yes
9048	8/31/2011	No	Bariana, Ava	Yes
9049	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Millar, Helen H	Yes
9050	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Natalie J	Yes
9051	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Doyel, John W	Yes
9052	8/31/2011	No	Diamond, Trudie	Yes
9053	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Maddox, Joan	Yes
9054	8/31/2011	No	Morelli, Nanette	Yes
9055	8/31/2011	No	Bradshaw, Jane	Yes
9056	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Adelman, Howard	Yes
9057	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Mouzourakis, Nicholas T	Yes
9058	8/31/2011	No	Rogers, Geoffrey	Yes
9059	8/31/2011	No	GOorley, Charlotte G	Yes
9060	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Makurat, Joan	Yes
9061	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heidenfelder, Randy	Yes
9062	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	IV, Richard A	Yes
9063	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9064	8/31/2011	No	Lambusta, Jo A	Yes
9065	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9066	8/31/2011	No	Nine, Harmon S	Yes
9067	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rasmussen, Dorothy	Yes
9068	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grove, Shel L	Yes
9069	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9070	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carothers, Kim	Yes
9071	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heidenfelder, Randy	Yes
9072	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	wolf, alice m	Yes
9073	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kaufman, Denise Denise	Yes
9074	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hammer, T.	Yes

0013837

9075	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Upson, Roger	Yes
9076	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harris, Gary	Yes
9077	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carothers, Steve	Yes
9078	8/31/2011	No	PhD, Thomas P. Knecht, MD,	Yes
9079	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9080	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bensen, Tiffany	Yes
9081	8/31/2011	No	Steinbach, Marian M	Yes
9082	8/31/2011	No	Parrone, Cindy J	Yes
9083	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Keogh, Faye	Yes
9084	8/31/2011	No	Laver, Sylvia	Yes
9085	8/31/2011	No	M., Mary	Yes
9086	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cuesta, Karen de la Cuesta	Yes
9087	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dooley, Leila	Yes
9088	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jr, Harvey Lee Brown Jr	Yes
9089	8/31/2011	No	Harder, Jacquelynn J	Yes
9090	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	DVM, Larissa B	Yes
9091	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	hayden, gary	Yes
9092	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LIGON, H.L. ( BUDDY ) LIGON	Yes
9093	8/31/2011	No	Ellison, Richard	Yes
9094	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cruz, Nicole	Yes
9095	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cuesta, Karen de la Cuesta	Yes
9096	8/31/2011	No	O'Connell, Maxine	Yes
9097	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Leonard, John P	Yes
9098	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Rosalie Grace	Yes
9099	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9100	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	brown, susan k	Yes
9101	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bjornbak, Kristoffer E	Yes
9102	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zarr, Debra R	Yes
9103	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lawrence, Preston	Yes
9104	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	echols, dana	Yes
9105	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9106	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ross, Bill	Yes
9107	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jarzembowski, Astrid	Yes
9108	8/31/2011	No	Vanderhill, Margo	Yes
9109	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Antonacci, Jean C	Yes
9110	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Greaves, Denise D	Yes
9111	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ann, Jill	Yes
9112	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Bogios, Constantine	Yes
9113	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heaton, Selina	Yes
9114	8/31/2011	No	Pugh, Helen J	Yes
9115	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	corder, joella	Yes
9116	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vaught, Kevin	Yes
9117	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Soulliere, Dacia	Yes
9118	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynn, Jeremiah C	Yes
9119	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Yates, Cindy	Yes
9120	8/31/2011	No	Garrett, Tudy	Yes
9121	8/31/2011	No	Courte, Sara J	Yes
9122	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schroeder, Sara	Yes
9123	8/31/2011	No	hollister, david d	Yes
9124	8/31/2011	No	Sleeper, Stephen	Yes
9125	8/31/2011	No	Mairs, Nancy	Yes
9126	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brooke, Paola	Yes
9127	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Oser, Wendy	Yes
9128	8/31/2011	No	Leibowitz, Ruth M	Yes
9129	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boyd, Elizabeth L	Yes

## 0013838

9130	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9131	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9132	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schnierle, Michael C	Yes
9133	8/31/2011	No	nydick, martin	Yes
9134	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wright, Monica Lou Kelly	Yes
9135	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Perry, Virginia H	Yes
9136	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crawford, Kathy	Yes
9137	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Mary	Yes
9138	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	WILSON, TODD	Yes
9139	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bird, Clarence T	Yes
9140	8/31/2011	No	Manuel, Margie	Yes
9141	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arnold, Kathleen M	Yes
9142	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Whittaker, Kara A	Yes
9143	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McLaughlin, Marshall R	Yes
9144	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shahbegan, Floss	Yes
9145	8/31/2011	No	Clark, Matthew	Yes
9146	8/31/2011	No	Stevens, Kathleen D	Yes
9147	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hazard, Evan B	Yes
9148	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Britton, Eric	Yes
9149	8/31/2011	No	Howell, Linda S	Yes
9150	8/31/2011	No	Champion, Margaret	Yes
9151	8/31/2011	No	Tugadi, Denise A	Yes
9152	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9153	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoffman, Susanne	Yes
9154	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Jonathan	Yes
9155	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zorn, Karen j	Yes
9156	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ross, Marsha	Yes
9157	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	aversa, thomas j	Yes
9158	8/31/2011	No	Krach, Judy K	Yes
9159	8/31/2011	No	McCracken, Gloria	Yes
9160	8/31/2011	No	Gunter, Karlene	Yes
9161	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hydeman, Jinx	Yes
9162	8/31/2011	No	Bourgeois, Paula S	Yes
9163	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Handwerker, Steven	Yes
9164	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Andazola, James R	Yes
9165	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mossbarger, Tina	Yes
9166	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Anne B	Yes
9167	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Deters, G.	Yes
9168	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	fuller, haulani	Yes
9169	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonzalez, William G	Yes
9170	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Estes, Douglas C	Yes
9171	8/31/2011	No	Marsik, Linda A	Yes
9172	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ARNOLD, LARRY B	Yes
9173	8/31/2011	No	Hall, John E	Yes
9174	8/31/2011	No	Magrath, Samantha	Yes
9175	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mitchell, Nancy E	Yes
9176	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phelps, Bruce H	Yes
9177	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ostapowicz, Frank	Yes
9178	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9179	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cowin, Caryn L	Yes
9180	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Willette, Thomas L	Yes
9181	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lewis, Erma	Yes
9182	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barrett, Joan E	Yes
9183	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McMullen, Ann	Yes
9184	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ribordy, Michael	Yes

0013839

9185	8/31/2011	No	Croft, Samuel	Yes
9186	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jamison, Duane G	Yes
9187	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scoville, P	Yes
9188	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Albury, Judy A	Yes
9189	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Campanini, Thomas	Yes
9190	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Campbell, Patricia	Yes
9191	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Kathy J	Yes
9192	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Watts, Valerie	Yes
9193	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guthrie, Barbara	Yes
9194	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morgan, Pam	Yes
9195	8/31/2011	No	Limberg, Leslie N	Yes
9196	8/31/2011	No	Walker, Paula	Yes
9197	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scott, W. E	Yes
9198	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DiFrancesco, Joseph M	Yes
9199	8/31/2011	No	Nielsen, Amelia M	Yes
9200	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vinnacombe, Frances A	Yes
9201	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Symanski, Sally	Yes
9202	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bloom, Richard	Yes
9203	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Georgelis, Alice	Yes
9204	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Almaguer, Regina	Yes
9205	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lidgett, Sierra M	Yes
9206	8/31/2011	No	Duman, Bonnie	Yes
9207	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dirr, Jeanie M	Yes
9208	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dobra, Arlene H	Yes
9209	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9210	8/31/2011	No	Schmidt, Nina	Yes
9211	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	eich, jack l	Yes
9212	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Lesem, Ken	Yes
9213	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Black, Sylvia	Yes
9214	8/31/2011	No	Prygon, Catherine	Yes
9215	8/31/2011	No	Heavnner, Hattie L	Yes
9216	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9217	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Love, Harry	Yes
9218	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	bush, Steve c	Yes
9219	8/31/2011	No	Edwards, Libby	Yes
9220	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Serruto, Mike	Yes
9221	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ebright, Jeffrey N	Yes
9222	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parnell, Cynthia	Yes
9223	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martinez, Sidney R	Yes
9224	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hafen, Thomas G	Yes
9225	8/31/2011	No	Feulner, Jackie	Yes
9226	8/31/2011	No	Bhattacharji, Somdev and Lee	Yes
9227	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heinold, Christian L	Yes
9228	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Jonathan D	Yes
9229	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jacobson, Don S	Yes
9230	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	giamona, k	Yes
9231	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morgan, Samantha Y	Yes
9232	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9233	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arnett, Barbara	Yes
9234	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fusilier, Gilda	Yes
9235	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	porsch, angela	Yes
9236	8/31/2011	No	McConnell, James S	Yes
9237	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Simmons, Jennifer E	Yes
9238	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Sarah B	Yes
9239	8/31/2011	No	Jellema, John	Yes



## 0013840

9240	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Argani, Sholey	Yes
9241	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Manis, Laurie J	Yes
9242	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Lips, Stu	Yes
9243	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rosenberger, Paul C	Yes
9244	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Steen, Kari M	Yes
9245	8/31/2011	No	Butterworth, Linda L	Yes
9246	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Unruh, Lisa M	Yes
9247	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Busterna, Rosemary G	Yes
9248	8/31/2011	No	CIULLO, VIRGILIO	Yes
9249	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rendigs, Kim	Yes
9250	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LaDore, Lisa A	Yes
9251	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Borden, Jason J	Yes
9252	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goodwin, Billie J	Yes
9253	8/31/2011	No	E., Raymond	Yes
9254	8/31/2011	No	Bonnet, Debbie J	Yes
9255	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Graziosa, Sara	Yes
9256	8/31/2011	No	Waldref, Lois	Yes
9257	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heidelberger, Ellen	Yes
9258	8/31/2011	No	Murphy, Linda	Yes
9259	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	MARTINEZ, MARY E	Yes
9260	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sedlecky, Elliot C	Yes
9261	8/31/2011	No	roberts, amanda l	Yes
9262	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heinz, Ursula	Yes
9263	8/31/2011	No	Marinaccio, Patricia A	Yes
9264	8/31/2011	No	Baldi, Joseph M	Yes
9265	8/31/2011	No	Mountjoy, Janet H	Yes
9266	8/31/2011	No	Whipple, Tom R	Yes
9267	8/31/2011	No	Dryer, James D	Yes
9268	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9269	8/31/2011	No	Perlman, Janine	Yes
9270	8/31/2011	No	Kingery, Urling & Hugh Kingery	Yes
9271	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	James, Lorren	Yes
9272	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crowell, Margarita	Yes
9273	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Becker, Jaime	Yes
9274	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Laudon, Alice J	Yes
9275	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9276	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Trim, Hannah	Yes
9277	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rankin, Karen L	Yes
9278	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rodin, Nick	Yes
9279	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, M.	Yes
9280	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Briner, Mary L	Yes
9281	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Peterson, William	Yes
9282	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Allan, Rita	Yes
9283	8/31/2011	No	mulder, joel d	Yes
9284	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schnitzler, Carrie	Yes
9285	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9286	8/31/2011	No	baier, dawn	Yes
9287	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Aronson, Marilyn S	Yes
9288	8/31/2011	No	Mohan, Debi	Yes
9289	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Howie, Mary E	Yes
9290	8/31/2011	No	Rystrom, Barbarar	Yes
9291	8/31/2011	No	Jakusz, Darlene	Yes
9292	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Blain, Richard	Yes
9293	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jennings, Linda A	Yes
9294	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Borie, Edith	Yes

## 0013841

9295	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berg, Deborah H	Yes
9296	8/31/2011	No	Bowman, Martha L	Yes
9297	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hirano, Arleen	Yes
9298	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cancell, June C	Yes
9299	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	kruse, jason	Yes
9300	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Olsen, K.	Yes
9301	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Crockett, Paula J	Yes
9302	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kirby, Liane	Yes
9303	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9304	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chapman, Terri L	Yes
9305	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garcia, Marc D	Yes
9306	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frauman, Thomas	Yes
9307	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bryant, Donna	Yes
9308	8/31/2011	No	Ratcliff, Philip J	Yes
9309	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Beverly, J	Yes
9310	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Logue, Michael	Yes
9311	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Demarest, Richard	Yes
9312	8/31/2011	No	Hailey, Heather A	Yes
9313	8/31/2011	No	Hervert, Carla	Yes
9314	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Benson, Don H	Yes
9315	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	J, Phillip	Yes
9316	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Button, James	Yes
9317	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Webb, T. E	Yes
9318	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Callahan, Gloria A	Yes
9319	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Maier, McKenna	Yes
9320	8/31/2011	No	Cohen, Sonny	Yes
9321	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	STIMPSON, SANDRA	Yes
9322	8/31/2011	No	Bailey, Shirley J	Yes
9323	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lips, Stu	Yes
9324	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Goldfeder, TJ	Yes
9325	8/31/2011	No	ra, orchid c	Yes
9326	8/31/2011	No	Rooyackers, Marge	Yes
9327	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Leiker, Renae K	Yes
9328	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thomas, Marty J	Yes
9329	8/31/2011	No	Lucas, Mary	Yes
9330	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	dolwick, suzanne	Yes
9331	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hanson, Kate	Yes
9332	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Borgeson, Dean A	Yes
9333	8/31/2011	No	Dawes-Jones, Julieta	Yes
9334	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	jeffries, lynne	Yes
9335	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Knipping, Anie E	Yes
9336	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	AURIGEMMA, KAYE B	Yes
9337	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stearns, Geoffrey B	Yes
9338	8/31/2011	No	outte, Lawrence A	Yes
9339	8/31/2011	No	Dixon, Beverly A	Yes
9340	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cossins, Sue	Yes
9341	8/31/2011	No	McGlone, Colleen	Yes
9342	8/31/2011	No	Bowdery, Lynn E	Yes
9343	8/31/2011	No	McClain, Al	Yes
9344	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kinney, Michael P	Yes
9345	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9346	8/31/2011	No	Bryant, Marsha L	Yes
9347	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rivera, Javier D	Yes
9348	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Biehler, Randy	Yes
9349	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Theresa	Yes

## 0013842

9350	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sarraille, Marijeanne	Yes
9351	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Meisner, Lora D	Yes
9352	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Drake, Donald R	Yes
9353	8/31/2011	No	Miller, Jack D	Yes
9354	8/31/2011	Potential (617010)	Currie, Marianne D	Yes
9355	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marsh, David S	Yes
9356	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Virginia L	Yes
9357	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Baucco, Matthew E	Yes
9358	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Porter, Betsey	Yes
9359	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nichol, Gina B	Yes
9360	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wechsler, Susan	Yes
9361	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cherubin, Margaret	Yes
9362	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fay, Robert H	Yes
9363	8/31/2011	No	Phillips, Ida	Yes
9364	8/31/2011	No	Porter, James R	Yes
9365	8/31/2011	No	Durr, Rebecca	Yes
9366	8/31/2011	No	Black, Heather	Yes
9367	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Leader-Anderson, Teresa L	Yes
9368	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Riddle, Carolyn M	Yes
9369	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolf, Margaret	Yes
9370	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9371	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Housman, Ruth E	Yes
9372	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cervantes, Louie P	Yes
9373	8/31/2011	No	Mehta, Amal K	Yes
9374	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Silimkhan, Lina	Yes
9375	8/31/2011	No	Laine, Alexis	Yes
9376	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Morgan, Pam	Yes
9377	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Olivares, Carlos	Yes
9378	8/31/2011	No	diaz, samuel I	Yes
9379	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Armitage, Kevin C	Yes
9380	8/31/2011	No	Ingalls, Libby	Yes
9381	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wheeler, Jessica A	Yes
9382	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arnold, Ben	Yes
9383	8/31/2011	No	Bowers, Kay	Yes
9384	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9385	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Surve, Triptaa	Yes
9386	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9387	8/31/2011	No	Schmidt, Ruth A	Yes
9388	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Tina M	Yes
9389	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoganson, Jerome L	Yes
9390	8/31/2011	No	Mathews, Mary	Yes
9391	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Armour, Peggy	Yes
9392	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jean, Philip Elias St. Jean	Yes
9393	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kempton, Sue E	Yes
9394	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9395	8/31/2011	No	RIDER, ALFRED	Yes
9396	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Peterson, Kelly C	Yes
9397	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jean, Lena Christine St. Jean	Yes
9398	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clark, Leilani	Yes
9399	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barshis, Don	Yes
9400	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dorfman, Nicole D	Yes
9401	8/31/2011	No	Platt, Janan J	Yes
9402	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tallmadge, Scot	Yes
9403	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rogers, Celeste A	Yes

## 0013843

9404	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Jeff A	Yes
9405	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jr, Cornelius B YOUNG, Jr	Yes
9406	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Meagan k	Yes
9407	8/31/2011	No	Sr, J B Pearce Sr	Yes
9408	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Callahan, Gloria A	Yes
9409	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stoopman, Sean	Yes
9410	8/31/2011	No	Shurgot, Michael W	Yes
9411	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McGowan, WEndy	Yes
9412	8/31/2011	No	McIntyre, Kate	Yes
9413	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Borchering, Becky	Yes
9414	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McDermott, Ann F	Yes
9415	8/31/2011	No	Graves, Michelle	Yes
9416	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kost, Nancy	Yes
9417	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9418	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	T., Ryan	Yes
9419	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Colson, Clay G	Yes
9420	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wynn, Bobby	Yes
9421	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, D	Yes
9422	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sagitto, Sandra J	Yes
9423	8/31/2011	No	Kozinski, Stefan	Yes
9424	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9425	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rejsek, Gary	Yes
9426	8/31/2011	No	Pederson, Kay	Yes
9427	8/31/2011	No	Collins, Anne B	Yes
9428	8/31/2011	No	Kinder, Kenneth W	Yes
9429	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Khalsa, Dr. Mha Atma S Khalsa	Yes
9430	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Philips, Sharon K	Yes
9431	8/31/2011	No	Duerr, D.J.	Yes
9432	8/31/2011	No	Leonard, Cami	Yes
9433	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9434	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Blair, Paula	Yes
9435	8/31/2011	No	Ballentine, Wanda S	Yes
9436	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gaede, Marc	Yes
9437	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stanton, Mary	Yes
9438	8/31/2011	No	Sickels, Donna J	Yes
9439	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hall, Keith R	Yes
9440	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Duryea, Jodi L	Yes
9441	8/31/2011	No	Pehrson, Betsy L	Yes
9442	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9443	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ph.D., T. W. White-Henry	Yes
9444	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clarke, Barbara M	Yes
9445	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Legan, Christian	Yes
9446	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bege, Lorraine M	Yes
9447	8/31/2011	No	logan, t l	Yes
9448	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tajdari, Amy	Yes
9449	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burgdorf, Jeri L	Yes
9450	8/31/2011	No	Kozakow, Andrew D	Yes
9451	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wakefield, William W	Yes
9452	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Maddalena, Cinzia	Yes
9453	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Reisner, Rebecca	Yes
9454	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	harding, pat	Yes
9455	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garbacz, Kimberly	Yes
9456	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dempsey, Kathleen B	Yes
9457	8/31/2011	No	Just, Lin	Yes
9458	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoyer, Eric	Yes

0013844

9459	8/31/2011	No	Wallon, Linda	Yes
9460	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jordan, Y.D.	Yes
9461	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sears, Margaret	Yes
9462	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vernon, Kevin Y	Yes
9463	8/31/2011	No	Berkeley, Carol A	Yes
9464	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	lee, mark	Yes
9465	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Taylor, Imogen	Yes
9466	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Zoss, Jennifer	Yes
9467	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	MacAlpine, Gordon M	Yes
9468	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9469	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Singer, Debbie	Yes
9470	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Friel, Jacqueline	Yes
9471	8/31/2011	No	Drew, Barbara	Yes
9472	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Minerva, Mary L	Yes
9473	8/31/2011	No	Drew, Barbara	Yes
9474	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mantas, Nicholas	Yes
9475	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Broll, Carol	Yes
9476	8/31/2011	No	Patterson, Christopher	Yes
9477	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	williams, mary w	Yes
9478	8/31/2011	No	Gruber, Jezanna L	Yes
9479	8/31/2011	No	Jr, Ted H Voth Jr	Yes
9480	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mendez, German R	Yes
9481	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Douglass, Andronetta N	Yes
9482	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ichino, Estelle	Yes
9483	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hill, Susan	Yes
9484	8/31/2011	No	Kyyro, Kevin M	Yes
9485	8/31/2011	No	W, Suzanne	Yes
9486	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Casey, Kimberly	Yes
9487	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Michele M	Yes
9488	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hill, Jim J	Yes
9489	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9490	8/31/2011	No	Ward, Pamela	Yes
9491	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Judy	Yes
9492	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hook, Holly H	Yes
9493	8/31/2011	No	Acker, Kathleen L	Yes
9494	8/31/2011	No	Koch, John B	Yes
9495	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9496	8/31/2011	No	Dailey, Laura	Yes
9497	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Schuch, Andrew A	Yes
9498	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gerster, Edward A	Yes
9499	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Andrade, Claudia A	Yes
9500	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bindseil, Eric J	Yes
9501	8/31/2011	No	Clune, Caroline	Yes
9502	8/31/2011	No	Schenk, Terry M	Yes
9503	8/31/2011	No	Cribbs, Jan	Yes
9504	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nikula, Karen E	Yes
9505	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Woods, Judith D	Yes
9506	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9507	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lee, Christopher	Yes
9508	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Turner, Jeffrey A	Yes
9509	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bergum, Leslie	Yes
9510	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Willis, Francille & Donald	Yes
9511	8/31/2011	No	moore, silvi	Yes
9512	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Templin, Orletta W	Yes
9513	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lawler, Laurie A	Yes

## 0013845

9514	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	James, Judith V	Yes
9515	8/31/2011	No	Potthoff, Rick	Yes
9516	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nicol, William R	Yes
9517	8/31/2011	No	Ruben, Yvonne	Yes
9518	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cain, Barbara L	Yes
9519	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Epstein, Nick	Yes
9520	8/31/2011	No	Ostrer, Allison	Yes
9521	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9522	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Collinson, Kristin A	Yes
9523	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ryan, Dennis M	Yes
9524	8/31/2011	No	Morgan, Sharon A	Yes
9525	8/31/2011	No	Oleson, Tami L	Yes
9526	8/31/2011	No	xavier, marjorie	Yes
9527	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Elliott, Marvin B	Yes
9528	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roberts, Virginia A	Yes
9529	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lovell, Stephanie	Yes
9530	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roberts, P A	Yes
9531	8/31/2011	No	winholtz, betty	Yes
9532	8/31/2011	No	Burton, Phil	Yes
9533	8/31/2011	No	yarrobino, erin	Yes
9534	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ziesemer, gerald	Yes
9535	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kolter, Art L	Yes
9536	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moore-Stevens, Kuwana	Yes
9537	8/31/2011	No	Timmons, Barbara J	Yes
9538	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Jane A	Yes
9539	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Langlois, Ann M	Yes
9540	8/31/2011	No	James, Wendy	Yes
9541	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fisk, William A	Yes
9542	8/31/2011	No	Renton, Barbara M	Yes
9543	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Black, Cristina M	Yes
9544	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	liddle, bill	Yes
9545	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Schaub, John P	Yes
9546	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Talbot, Frank R	Yes
9547	8/31/2011	No	Buxton, Raquel	Yes
9548	8/31/2011	No	Wilson, Priscilla H	Yes
9549	8/31/2011	No	Taggart, John J	Yes
9550	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barreras, Terri	Yes
9551	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	FAISAL, DANIEL	Yes
9552	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lochner, jan	Yes
9553	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	schremmer, alain g	Yes
9554	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	wolf, darlene	Yes
9555	8/31/2011	No	FOX, PATRICIA	Yes
9556	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Landress, Judy	Yes
9557	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mirabella, August	Yes
9558	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	cusick, madeleine	Yes
9559	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9560	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Persons, Charlotte C	Yes
9561	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9562	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9563	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parker, Cathy A	Yes
9564	8/31/2011	No	Embler, Kyle	Yes
9565	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	campbell, lana d	Yes
9566	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9567	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cohenour, Melinda E	Yes
9568	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Naeseth, Joan S	Yes

## 0013846

9569	8/31/2011	No	Hubbell, Brad	Yes
9570	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9571	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Toler-Elayazra, Jessica L	Yes
9572	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ritchings, Frances A	Yes
9573	8/31/2011	No	Pahl, Aleta L	Yes
9574	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brum, Morris	Yes
9575	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Olsen, Earl M	Yes
9576	8/31/2011	No	Patrick, Janice J	Yes
9577	8/31/2011	No	Rosenblatt, Jon L	Yes
9578	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McHenry, Steve	Yes
9579	8/31/2011	No	Marlow, Margaret	Yes
9580	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9581	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vaughan, Brian	Yes
9582	8/31/2011	No	Hendricks, Kathrynne	Yes
9583	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Brooks, Steven	Yes
9584	8/31/2011	No	Clingan, Rob C	Yes
9585	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	weil, carrie e	Yes
9586	8/31/2011	No	McGuire, Sharon	Yes
9587	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hocking, Laura D	Yes
9588	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Laura	Yes
9589	8/31/2011	No	Walker, Beverly	Yes
9590	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9591	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9592	8/31/2011	No	beck, meridith	Yes
9593	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gosker, Wendy	Yes
9594	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kirkland, Jacqueline D	Yes
9595	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lanskey, Marcus J	Yes
9596	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Simpson, E. S	Yes
9597	8/31/2011	No	Hess, Kathleen D	Yes
9598	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9599	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DAnna, Marie	Yes
9600	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mioduski, Bette	Yes
9601	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Brenda	Yes
9602	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Donovan, Stephan M	Yes
9603	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	yarrobino, erin	Yes
9604	8/31/2011	No	Rebb, Karen M	Yes
9605	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Ramo, Carol G	Yes
9606	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Baird, Hope E	Yes
9607	8/31/2011	No	Lepage, Colette	Yes
9608	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9609	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Allison C	Yes
9610	8/31/2011	No	Buthe, Rita	Yes
9611	8/31/2011	No	Remick, Judith	Yes
9612	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Roberts, Judith C	Yes
9613	8/31/2011	No	Strange, Michelle M	Yes
9614	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	SWYDEN, BARBARA K	Yes
9615	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9616	8/31/2011	No	Martin, Susan D	Yes
9617	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Olyphant, Cathy	Yes
9618	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fenimore, Cynthia	Yes
9619	8/31/2011	No	Hormann, Peggy	Yes
9620	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fox, Chris R	Yes
9621	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wong, Michele	Yes
9622	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Topac, Elvine	Yes
9623	8/31/2011	No	Panos, Gregory	Yes

0013847

9624	8/31/2011	No	Pinyan, Parrie J	Yes
9625	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Hunter, Linda S	Yes
9626	8/31/2011	No	Campion, G.	Yes
9627	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lowans, Jennifer	Yes
9628	8/31/2011	No	DeWall, Andrea D	Yes
9629	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jungkuntz, Amanda	Yes
9630	8/31/2011	No	Provance, D	Yes
9631	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	J, Robert	Yes
9632	8/31/2011	No	Andes-Georges, Linda	Yes
9633	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Asbury, Craig L	Yes
9634	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crowden, Michael	Yes
9635	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Staudt, Deb	Yes
9636	8/31/2011	No	Baker, Terry	Yes
9637	8/31/2011	No	Moore, Connie	Yes
9638	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gaile, Geo	Yes
9639	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9640	8/31/2011	No	Martin, Robert H	Yes
9641	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pascual, Pat	Yes
9642	8/31/2011	No	DuPre, Lisa	Yes
9643	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Babiak, Katherine M	Yes
9644	8/31/2011	No	Vliet, Mary V	Yes
9645	8/31/2011	No	Skaggs, Albert c	Yes
9646	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roberts, Bruce A	Yes
9647	8/31/2011	No	Fritz-Debord, Annette	Yes
9648	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kelege, Sherry J	Yes
9649	8/31/2011	No	Chinn, Marilyn	Yes
9650	8/31/2011	No	Angell, J	Yes
9651	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Welty, Patricia D	Yes
9652	8/31/2011	No	Place, Bob and Mary Place	Yes
9653	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	mchale, james	Yes
9654	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Echols, Stanley D	Yes
9655	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Andrea	Yes
9656	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	peirce, roger	Yes
9657	8/31/2011	No	Hilliard, Marion	Yes
9658	8/31/2011	No	MD, V R Sansone, MD	Yes
9659	8/31/2011	No	Aynilian, Katherine	Yes
9660	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rullmann, Gale	Yes
9661	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Walters, Pat	Yes
9662	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Lee G	Yes
9663	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stein, Marshall J	Yes
9664	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Anne	Yes
9665	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Brooker	Yes
9666	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9667	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Reeder, James	Yes
9668	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Potucek, Kimberly A	Yes
9669	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9670	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Merrill, Bill W	Yes
9671	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Price, Roberta	Yes
9672	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fitzgerald, Mary A	Yes
9673	8/31/2011	No	Sansone, Robert	Yes
9674	8/31/2011	No	Beach, Robyn	Yes
9675	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	stephens, james	Yes
9676	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ivey, Roxanne	Yes
9677	8/31/2011	No	Cohen, Hanna	Yes
9678	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Dalton, Megan	Yes



## 0013848

9679	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brummer, Kelly	Yes
9680	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wedel, Eric	Yes
9681	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Braithwaite, Georgia	Yes
9682	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Giblin, Frank J	Yes
9683	8/31/2011	No	Alexander, June C	Yes
9684	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kaiser, Harvey	Yes
9685	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	yarrobino, erin	Yes
9686	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kriska, Geraldine	Yes
9687	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fortin, Kim	Yes
9688	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9689	8/31/2011	No	Gorman, Julie D	Yes
9690	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weeden, Noreen	Yes
9691	8/31/2011	No	curto, faust a	Yes
9692	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Evans, Judith	Yes
9693	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Lanskey, Marcus J	Yes
9694	8/31/2011	No	Arrick, Rebecca L	Yes
9695	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mamangakis, Marina	Yes
9696	8/31/2011	No	Howard, Cynthia J	Yes
9697	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	lewis, o	Yes
9698	8/31/2011	No	Callahan, Nancy S	Yes
9699	8/31/2011	No	mcbrearty, mike j	Yes
9700	8/31/2011	No	West, Laura	Yes
9701	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Higgins, Deborah A	Yes
9702	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Estes, Susan H	Yes
9703	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chapman, Alexander	Yes
9704	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Browning, Cassandra	Yes
9705	8/31/2011	No	Pechuzal, Emilie	Yes
9706	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Reza, Javier	Yes
9707	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goodin, Ben	Yes
9708	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9709	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonta, Marianne	Yes
9710	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	FitzSimons, Mary &	Yes
9711	8/31/2011	No	Hixenbaugh, Brenda K	Yes
9712	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Barbara	Yes
9713	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guiler, Tanya L	Yes
9714	8/31/2011	No	Caton, Barbara c	Yes
9715	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jeffrey, James D	Yes
9716	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Soeten, Jill K	Yes
9717	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Downing, Matthew	Yes
9718	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lytle, Denise	Yes
9719	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ewaskey, april	Yes
9720	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bellefontaine, Julia D	Yes
9721	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Horeth, Rosina G	Yes
9722	8/31/2011	No	Chase, Linda	Yes
9723	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelly, Alice	Yes
9724	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9725	8/31/2011	No	Johnson, Scott	Yes
9726	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Curia, Peter	Yes
9727	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jr., Kenneth G	Yes
9728	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Draper, Michael A	Yes
9729	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lawrence, Mary E	Yes
9730	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stevenson, Lynda D	Yes
9731	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	pratt, john	Yes
9732	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Havan, Artineh	Yes
9733	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	CRANE, JILL S	Yes

0013849

9734	8/31/2011	No	Salazar-Huffaker, Denise E	Yes
9735	8/31/2011	No	Scotese, Karen F	Yes
9736	8/31/2011	No	Evans, Rebecca	Yes
9737	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Simpson, Colleen	Yes
9738	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Willmarth, Greg	Yes
9739	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Lytle, Denise	Yes
9740	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Colodney, Ellen J	Yes
9741	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garth, Ann	Yes
9742	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9743	8/31/2011	No	M.D., Schuyler Van Dusen Hilts	Yes
9744	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rozsics, Michael S	Yes
9745	8/31/2011	No	Hobbs, Carol	Yes
9746	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dennis, Ted E	Yes
9747	8/31/2011	No	Woller, W. J	Yes
9748	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Josephson, Cliff	Yes
9749	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pace, Chris	Yes
9750	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stark, Krystina L	Yes
9751	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roumeliotis, Linda	Yes
9752	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9753	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	SEBASTIAN, ROBERTA M	Yes
9754	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hernandez, Anita	Yes
9755	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Dillon, Christopher N	Yes
9756	8/31/2011	No	Hill, Pamela	Yes
9757	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	murrell, pat	Yes
9758	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bender, Laurie	Yes
9759	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	OREWYLER, WENDY	Yes
9760	8/31/2011	No	Gross, Julie A	Yes
9761	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	SCHEIDT, NANCY S	Yes
9762	8/31/2011	No	gamble, bill	Yes
9763	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9764	8/31/2011	No	WILLIAMS, ANGIE L	Yes
9765	8/31/2011	No	Hidalgo, Leota	Yes
9766	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Espino, Linda	Yes
9767	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marchetti, Anthony	Yes
9768	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garraffa, Theresa	Yes
9769	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9770	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gillanders, J. D	Yes
9771	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marchetti, Anthony	Yes
9772	8/31/2011	No	Edwards, Leslie	Yes
9773	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	WILLIAMS, ANGIE L	Yes
9774	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Collins, Randall	Yes
9775	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Myers, Dorothy S	Yes
9776	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Woods, Kathi	Yes
9777	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Machin, Rick	Yes
9778	8/31/2011	No	Riley, Kevin	Yes
9779	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoozer, Helen L. Van Hoozer	Yes
9780	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gaines-Williams, Cecilia E	Yes
9781	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mizera, Christopher T.	Yes
9782	8/31/2011	No	Rozsics, Michael	Yes
9783	8/31/2011	No	Winsor, Rebecca	Yes
9784	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barr, David B	Yes
9785	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Koenig, Jeanine B	Yes
9786	8/31/2011	No	Digges, Diana	Yes
9787	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Howard, MR and MRS Dallas	Yes
9788	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hutchison, Gail	Yes

0013850

9789	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	FitzHoward, Sean	Yes
9790	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brock, Katherine	Yes
9791	8/31/2011	No	Limberty, Justin M	Yes
9792	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sevigny, Marie	Yes
9793	8/31/2011	No	Crawford, A.	Yes
9794	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Oliver, Frances	Yes
9795	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Brooker, Eric G	Yes
9796	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burgess, Madelaine L	Yes
9797	8/31/2011	No	Peyton, Heather J	Yes
9798	8/31/2011	No	Rutherdale, Jay D	Yes
9799	8/31/2011	No	Porter, Sharron V	Yes
9800	8/31/2011	No	Gaitskill, Martha	Yes
9801	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	wade, lowell e	Yes
9802	8/31/2011	No	Partida, Joseph	Yes
9803	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9804	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Merljak, Julija	Yes
9805	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bourgeois, Paula S	Yes
9806	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9807	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chiong, Lauren I	Yes
9808	8/31/2011	No	Jensen, Ashley	Yes
9809	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McDonough, Brad	Yes
9810	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Beard, Lara	Yes
9811	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Harrell, S	Yes
9812	8/31/2011	No	Evans, Deborah B	Yes
9813	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McDonough, Brad	Yes
9814	8/31/2011	No	Erschen, Katie	Yes
9815	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Swenski, Patti	Yes
9816	8/31/2011	No	Carmichael, Jady	Yes
9817	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Patterson, Carol J	Yes
9818	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smolinsky, Gerald	Yes
9819	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bihler, Chris	Yes
9820	8/31/2011	No	Johannsen, Charles	Yes
9821	8/31/2011	No	Hayes, Marian	Yes
9822	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Oetzel, George	Yes
9823	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shanahan, Timothy C	Yes
9824	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shimer, Sue W	Yes
9825	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Minneman, Barbara L	Yes
9826	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Turner, John	Yes
9827	8/31/2011	No	Tomko, Lisa	Yes
9828	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	costa, lynn d	Yes
9829	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9830	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Spencer, Martha P	Yes
9831	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Targosz, Teresa A	Yes
9832	8/31/2011	No	Kotin, Muriel S	Yes
9833	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Waltman, Martha	Yes
9834	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	colebank, darryl	Yes
9835	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wales, Diana	Yes
9836	8/31/2011	No	Isham, Elbert H	Yes
9837	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schultz, Alan R	Yes
9838	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stroman, Grace C	Yes
9839	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brewer, Richard	Yes
9840	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Yttri, Russ A	Yes
9841	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Malcolm, Karen K	Yes
9842	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	painter, dana	Yes
9843	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013851

9844	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Konopacki, Gail and Rick	Yes
9845	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shermock, Maggie M	Yes
9846	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Swenski, Patti	Yes
9847	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9848	8/31/2011	No	Spates, Georgeanne	Yes
9849	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	G., Joan	Yes
9850	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Daniels, Michael D	Yes
9851	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grossman, Lois	Yes
9852	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dougherty, Paul M	Yes
9853	8/31/2011	No	Turnbull, Karen R	Yes
9854	8/31/2011	No	Conrich, Bob	Yes
9855	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Krueger, Don J	Yes
9856	8/31/2011	No	reinhardt, p a	Yes
9857	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frisco, Katherine	Yes
9858	8/31/2011	No	Speck-Bartynski, Daphne	Yes
9859	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Forrester, Gabriella R	Yes
9860	8/31/2011	No	Macy, Kenton	Yes
9861	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	gillett, michael c	Yes
9862	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Traweek, Cody A	Yes
9863	8/31/2011	No	Aranibar, Patricia	Yes
9864	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brooks, Cindy	Yes
9865	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Philipson, Tricia J	Yes
9866	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Spence, Kathryn K	Yes
9867	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Palmquist, Hester J	Yes
9868	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jaime, Tina	Yes
9869	8/31/2011	No	Campbell, Marilyn M	Yes
9870	8/31/2011	No	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
9871	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nishioka, Joy	Yes
9872	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9873	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
9874	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kossow-Quinn, Phaedra	Yes
9875	8/31/2011	No	Richards, Charles	Yes
9876	8/31/2011	No	West, Paul	Yes
9877	8/31/2011	No	LaVallee, Alison H	Yes
9878	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9879	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Neuendorf, Mary	Yes
9880	8/31/2011	No	Connors, Michael	Yes
9881	8/31/2011	No	Patterson, Carol J	Yes
9882	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shapiro, Jack	Yes
9883	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gardner, Brandi	Yes
9884	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wald, Gilbert K	Yes
9885	8/31/2011	No	Bayer, John	Yes
9886	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Cunningham, Debra	Yes
9887	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Truman, Erin E	Yes
9888	8/31/2011	No	Fleming, Maria-Francesca P	Yes
9889	8/31/2011	No	Negr&iacuten; Juan R	Yes
9890	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Elizabeth A	Yes
9891	8/31/2011	No	Abe, Kimberly R	Yes
9892	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9893	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martin, Mary E	Yes
9894	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Truman, Erin E	Yes
9895	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Packard, Roger	Yes
9896	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Sundra R	Yes
9897	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pitt, Terry L	Yes
9898	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schlecker, Rose G	Yes

## 0013852

9899	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	III, Joseph H Rawlings III	Yes
9900	8/31/2011	No	Kellum, Amy D	Yes
9901	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Elia, Marguerite R	Yes
9902	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gosker, Erika	Yes
9903	8/31/2011	No	Ponce, Deena	Yes
9904	8/31/2011	No	Peterson, Terry	Yes
9905	8/31/2011	No	Peasley, Rodney S	Yes
9906	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Swanberg, Gabrielle C	Yes
9907	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	hardack, richard	Yes
9908	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Hanson, Natalie	Yes
9909	8/31/2011	No	adam, sondra	Yes
9910	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Goodwin, Mary S	Yes
9911	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	sieving, ted c	Yes
9912	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9913	8/31/2011	No	Robinson, Ron L	Yes
9914	8/31/2011	No	McGrath, Carol A	Yes
9915	8/31/2011	No	kanzer, michaelain	Yes
9916	8/31/2011	No	Sta, C	Yes
9917	8/31/2011	No	Ogilvy, Heather M	Yes
9918	8/31/2011	No	Fanizzo, Nancy	Yes
9919	8/31/2011	No	Schmidt, Steven E	Yes
9920	8/31/2011	No	Canning, Thomas M	Yes
9921	8/31/2011	No	Johnson, Andrew	Yes
9922	8/31/2011	No	Josephs, William	Yes
9923	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Huerta, John E	Yes
9924	8/31/2011	No	Wiesner, Joseph	Yes
9925	8/31/2011	No	New, Robert	Yes
9926	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lloyd, Donna	Yes
9927	8/31/2011	No	Berteaux, Elizabeth	Yes
9928	8/31/2011	No	Finley, Michael	Yes
9929	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	McGregor, Cheryl	Yes
9930	8/31/2011	No	Vicars, J.J.	Yes
9931	8/31/2011	No	Laurer, Elizabeth B	Yes
9932	8/31/2011	No	Talbert, Reverend K	Yes
9933	8/31/2011	No	Roberson, April J	Yes
9934	8/31/2011	No	spain, sheryl	Yes
9935	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9936	8/31/2011	No	LaCognata, Dale	Yes
9937	8/31/2011	No	Linder, Nancy J	Yes
9938	8/31/2011	No	Johnston, Tracy M	Yes
9939	8/31/2011	No	Patterson, Dale P	Yes
9940	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Kukkonen, Holly A	Yes
9941	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
9942	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Staton, Carrie S	Yes
9943	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9944	8/31/2011	No	Brushaber, Marcie E	Yes
9945	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Rooney, Diane B	Yes
9946	8/31/2011	No	Ibarra, Susan F	Yes
9947	8/31/2011	No	Kuebodeaux, Audrey L	Yes
9948	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Chwalisz, Bart	Yes
9949	8/31/2011	No	Ward, Benjamin A	Yes
9950	8/31/2011	No	Foster, Genette	Yes
9951	8/31/2011	No	Loupe, Juli A	Yes
9952	8/31/2011	No	Patterson, Dale P	Yes
9953	8/31/2011	No	Wood-Brown, Lana	Yes

0013853

9954	8/31/2011	No	smith, roger j	Yes
9955	8/31/2011	No	Hilzenrath, Oshri	Yes
9956	8/31/2011	No	Hirtle, John	Yes
9957	8/31/2011	No	Nemeth, kyle	Yes
9958	8/31/2011	No	matz, patricia r	Yes
9959	8/31/2011	No	Geyer, Monica G	Yes
9960	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Stein, Mary-Margaret	Yes
9961	8/31/2011	No	Lopez, Stella	Yes
9962	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Soler, Sharon	Yes
9963	8/31/2011	No	Sidoti, George	Yes
9964	8/31/2011	No	Fordyce, Jennifer O	Yes
9965	8/31/2011	No	Hanson, Art	Yes
9966	8/31/2011	No	Foerster, Enell	Yes
9967	8/31/2011	No	Hillis, Marcia L	Yes
9968	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Joseph	Yes
9969	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	wettberg, Eric j Von wettberg	Yes
9970	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Townsend, Shirley	Yes
9971	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9972	8/31/2011	No	Schoeffel, Melissa	Yes
9973	8/31/2011	No	Volmensky, Vitaly & June	Yes
9974	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stern, Elana	Yes
9975	8/31/2011	No	Goss, Alice	Yes
9976	8/31/2011	No	Kleiman, David	Yes
9977	8/31/2011	No	Goetz, Melissa	Yes
9978	8/31/2011	No	Shero, Dale	Yes
9979	8/31/2011	No	kruger, suzanne	Yes
9980	8/31/2011	No	Watters, Christa R	Yes
9981	8/31/2011	No	Zvonik, Kaaren A	Yes
9982	8/31/2011	No	adams, barbara	Yes
9983	8/31/2011	No	R, jen	Yes
9984	8/31/2011	No	HARDWICK, Nick A	Yes
9985	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	K., C.	Yes
9986	8/31/2011	No	Paddock, Margaret A	Yes
9987	8/31/2011	No	Hublitz, Louise	Yes
9988	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Olsson, Amy	Yes
9989	8/31/2011	No	CARVER, JULIE A	Yes
9990	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9991	8/31/2011	No	Rodrigues, Alana	Yes
9992	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Brutzman, Joseph L	Yes
9993	8/31/2011	No	Sanchez, Daniel & Robin	Yes
9994	8/31/2011	No	Armer, Brian	Yes
9995	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
9996	8/31/2011	No	McNamara, Robert	Yes
9997	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Fajzi, Ester	Yes
9998	8/31/2011	No	Mednis, Sandra	Yes
9999	8/31/2011	No	Mitchell, Julie	Yes
10000	8/31/2011	No	Kiec, Nancy	Yes
10001	8/31/2011	No	Buck, Bradley D	Yes
10002	8/31/2011	No	MacMillan, Gail L	Yes
10003	8/31/2011	No	Hopper, Linda B	Yes
10004	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10005	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Corless, Brett	Yes
10006	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Jorgensen, James H	Yes
10007	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	JAMES, PHIL	Yes
10008	8/31/2011	No	Audesirk, Teresa E	Yes

0013854

10009	8/31/2011	No	Bullock, Debbie H	Yes
10010	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Mahan, James C	Yes
10011	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Buck, Bradley D	Yes
10012	8/31/2011	No	daly, peggy l	Yes
10013	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10014	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Renard, Jennifer	Yes
10015	8/31/2011	No	dye, michele	Yes
10016	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10017	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Keske, Kathleen	Yes
10018	8/31/2011	No	Davidson-Miles, Barara	Yes
10019	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10020	8/31/2011	No	Orion, Lynn	Yes
10021	8/31/2011	No	395, annie steele po box 395	Yes
10022	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Keller, Arthur	Yes
10023	8/31/2011	No	Charvat, Jan	Yes
10024	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10025	8/31/2011	No	McCage, Melissa K	Yes
10026	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bendush, Cindy	Yes
10027	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wexler-Romig, Stevan C	Yes
10028	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Susi	Yes
10029	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Villodas, Abigail	Yes
10030	8/31/2011	No	Garcia, Paula R	Yes
10031	8/31/2011	No	Reed, Sandie	Yes
10032	8/31/2011	No	Cunningham, Leslie A	Yes
10033	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bennett, Henry	Yes
10034	8/31/2011	No	Gibbons, Irene	Yes
10035	8/31/2011	No	Garno, Ryan M	Yes
10036	8/31/2011	No	gold, carol	Yes
10037	8/31/2011	No	Aber, Thomas C	Yes
10038	8/31/2011	No	anderson, pete	Yes
10039	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Cohen, Howard J	Yes
10040	8/31/2011	No	Glass, Robert L	Yes
10041	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10042	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hilborn, Doug D	Yes
10043	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Troutner, Tim	Yes
10044	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10045	8/31/2011	No	Redding, Yardley	Yes
10046	8/31/2011	No	Archer, Tracey	Yes
10047	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	DeNight, Louise	Yes
10048	8/31/2011	No	McGough, Alice	Yes
10049	8/31/2011	No	logan, linda	Yes
10050	8/31/2011	No	rossi, ines	Yes
10051	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Yates, Shirley R	Yes
10052	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Coger, Stephen Michael	Yes
10053	8/31/2011	No	Flynn, Susan Marie Stremel	Yes
10054	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Belcher, Edith	Yes
10055	8/31/2011	No	Inano, Barbara	Yes
10056	8/31/2011	No	Strange, Maleada M	Yes
10057	8/31/2011	No	Kelly, Megaen	Yes
10058	8/31/2011	No	Hines, Laurel L	Yes
10059	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	ozkan, dogan	Yes
10060	8/31/2011	No	Thornhill, Robert E	Yes
10061	8/31/2011	No	Knapp, Tracy L	Yes
10062	8/31/2011	No	Swander-Reed, Jill	Yes
10063	8/31/2011	No	Silverio, Alexander	Yes

## 0013855

10064	8/31/2011	No	Alemayehu, Worku	Yes
10065	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Westbrook, Julia	Yes
10066	8/31/2011	No	Bates, Margaret S	Yes
10067	8/31/2011	No	Winkler, Linda B	Yes
10068	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rudolph, Holly	Yes
10069	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Perkins, Joel	Yes
10070	8/31/2011	No	Davey, Emma M	Yes
10071	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, Thomas W	Yes
10072	8/31/2011	No	Kuhn, Susan	Yes
10073	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Lee, Shirley	Yes
10074	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Novak, Samantha	Yes
10075	8/31/2011	No	McD, Ruth	Yes
10076	8/31/2011	No	Barnett, John	Yes
10077	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Voorhies, Eric J	Yes
10078	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nash, Heyward L	Yes
10079	8/31/2011	No	Olson, Donna	Yes
10080	8/31/2011	No	Leake, Sandra	Yes
10081	8/31/2011	No	marley, yvonne k	Yes
10082	8/31/2011	No	W, B F	Yes
10083	8/31/2011	No	Havel, Peter	Yes
10084	8/31/2011	No	W, B F	Yes
10085	8/31/2011	No	Thiele, Jacob J	Yes
10086	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10087	8/31/2011	No	Berger, Trent A	Yes
10088	8/31/2011	No	Woller, W. J	Yes
10089	8/31/2011	No	Walters, Denise	Yes
10090	8/31/2011	No	herbruck, janet	Yes
10091	8/31/2011	No	Newman-Perskin, Marcie j	Yes
10092	8/31/2011	No	mensing, max	Yes
10093	8/31/2011	No	Tagliaferro, Debra A	Yes
10094	8/31/2011	No	Williams, LIZ	Yes
10095	8/31/2011	No	Mejia, Irma D	Yes
10096	8/31/2011	No	Whitehair, Dianne	Yes
10097	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10098	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Kozakiewicz, Nathan	Yes
10099	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10100	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Perkins, Joel	Yes
10101	8/31/2011	No	Sajdak, Lynn M	Yes
10102	8/31/2011	No	Curran, Larry J	Yes
10103	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10104	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bagheri, Aaron R	Yes
10105	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Spencer, John M	Yes
10106	8/31/2011	No	Gosche, Jennie E	Yes
10107	8/31/2011	No	Stanger, Janice	Yes
10108	8/31/2011	No	Dhakal, Dr. Shiva Ram Dhakal	Yes
10109	8/31/2011	No	Davis, Galen	Yes
10110	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Knudsen, Ben	Yes
10111	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Parker, Anna	Yes
10112	8/31/2011	No	Davis, Erika	Yes
10113	8/31/2011	No	Lappo, Robert C	Yes
10114	8/31/2011	No	Finley, Robert D	Yes
10115	8/31/2011	No	Medzihradsky, Oliver	Yes
10116	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10117	8/31/2011	No	Spickler, Julie	Yes
10118	8/31/2011	No	Medina, Esther	Yes



## 0013856

10119	8/31/2011	No	Little, Angela M	Yes
10120	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	King, Justine	Yes
10121	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Womack, Kristin	Yes
10122	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10123	8/31/2011	No	Harris, Laurel	Yes
10124	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10125	8/31/2011	No	Toma, Daniel	Yes
10126	8/31/2011	No	Holzbach, Windy	Yes
10127	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Coomes, John	Yes
10128	8/31/2011	No	Darst, Caroline G	Yes
10129	8/31/2011	No	Fonder, Sarah	Yes
10130	8/31/2011	No	Miller, Dr. Craig A. Miller	Yes
10131	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Lobel, Colleen P	Yes
10132	8/31/2011	No	T.A, Soheil	Yes
10133	8/31/2011	No	Mills, Chris	Yes
10134	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Maureen C	Yes
10135	8/31/2011	No	Sternberg, Laura	Yes
10136	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10137	8/31/2011	No	Austin, Jeni D	Yes
10138	8/31/2011	No	Gaponoff, Sharma L	Yes
10139	8/31/2011	No	Chaney, Judith	Yes
10140	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Cunningham, Paul	Yes
10141	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Ferraro, Mary T	Yes
10142	8/31/2011	No	Jones, Chris	Yes
10143	8/31/2011	No	schad, simone	Yes
10144	8/31/2011	No	Lee, Monet	Yes
10145	8/31/2011	No	Rasmussen, David	Yes
10146	8/31/2011	No	Brinker, Renee N	Yes
10147	8/31/2011	No	Lebo, Harlan J	Yes
10148	8/31/2011	No	Delahunt, Pierce	Yes
10149	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Ewing, Ann	Yes
10150	8/31/2011	No	Douglas, Brian E	Yes
10151	8/31/2011	No	Douglas, Brian E	Yes
10152	8/31/2011	No	Darst, Caroline G	Yes
10153	8/31/2011	No	Whalley, Rachel	Yes
10154	8/31/2011	No	cornell, p	Yes
10155	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Frasieur, Forest L	Yes
10156	8/31/2011	No	grossman, lana j	Yes
10157	8/31/2011	No	small, gretchen	Yes
10158	8/31/2011	No	floress, suzi m	Yes
10159	8/31/2011	No	Fox, Robin e	Yes
10160	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nunez, Lauren	Yes
10161	8/31/2011	No	mussini, giuseppe	Yes
10162	8/31/2011	No	Vosloo, Geradine F	Yes
10163	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10164	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Evans, Michael W	Yes
10165	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Reno, Danica	Yes
10166	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10167	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Justice, Mary-Ellen	Yes
10168	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Reynolds, Peter	Yes
10169	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Perini, Louise	Yes
10170	8/31/2011	No	Turner, Susan	Yes
10171	8/31/2011	No	Reutimann, Wesley M	Yes
10172	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Madden, Susanne M	Yes
10173	8/31/2011	No	Hulett, Colette	Yes

0013857

10174	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Claire S	Yes
10175	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Wilson, Nathan	Yes
10176	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Davis, Lynne	Yes
10177	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	nieters, lenore i	Yes
10178	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	FERRARO, MARY T	Yes
10179	8/31/2011	No	Harrison, M	Yes
10180	8/31/2011	No	Catris, Sophie	Yes
10181	8/31/2011	No	Slaven, Joseph R	Yes
10182	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10183	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Donna	Yes
10184	8/31/2011	No	Martinez, Keiko	Yes
10185	8/31/2011	No	Mejia, Karla P	Yes
10186	8/31/2011	No	Aronson, Marsha	Yes
10187	8/31/2011	No	Patelli, Cristina	Yes
10188	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Maci <del>A</del> ga, Diana	Yes
10189	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Redish, Maryellen	Yes
10190	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McKinney, Tom	Yes
10191	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	lesmond, susan	Yes
10192	8/31/2011	No	Shaneor, Ramona	Yes
10193	8/31/2011	No	Dorfman, Ellen J	Yes
10194	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10195	8/31/2011	No	Woolsey, Amber	Yes
10196	8/31/2011	No	Simmons, Robert P	Yes
10197	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Deapen, Kristopher n	Yes
10198	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Linback, Peter A	Yes
10199	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nielsen, Steven	Yes
10200	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Carrino-Bednarski, Katherine	Yes
10201	8/31/2011	No	IOSUPOVICI, MIRIAM L	Yes
10202	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Learn, Gerald	Yes
10203	8/31/2011	No	Marks, Joan	Yes
10204	8/31/2011	No	schaichet, catherine	Yes
10205	8/31/2011	No	Ng, Carol	Yes
10206	8/31/2011	No	Stuebben, Angela	Yes
10207	8/31/2011	No	voris, kirsten	Yes
10208	8/31/2011	No	Cameron, Barbara	Yes
10209	8/31/2011	No	Freedland, Nancy	Yes
10210	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Romero, Sydney L	Yes
10211	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wegelin, Mary	Yes
10212	8/31/2011	No	Jackson, Carol M	Yes
10213	8/31/2011	No	Osborne, Elizabeth	Yes
10214	8/31/2011	No	Kohler, William L	Yes
10215	8/31/2011	No	Glover, Robert and Julia Kenny	Yes
10216	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10217	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10218	8/31/2011	No	Walsh, Maria A	Yes
10219	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10220	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Curatola, Kacey A	Yes
10221	8/31/2011	No	Lowentrou, Mark L	Yes
10222	8/31/2011	No	Fighera, Linda A	Yes
10223	8/31/2011	No	FALLON, JANINE M	Yes
10224	8/31/2011	No	hoppe, lindy m	Yes
10225	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10226	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Alguacil, Oscar R	Yes
10227	8/31/2011	No	martin, delphine	Yes
10228	8/31/2011	No	Campino, Renato	Yes

## 0013858

10229	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hudak, Lesley A	Yes
10230	8/31/2011	No	bez, anne e	Yes
10231	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Osgood, Katrina	Yes
10232	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Alguacil, Oscar R	Yes
10233	8/31/2011	No	Taylor, Trent R	Yes
10234	8/31/2011	No	Griggs, Richard S	Yes
10235	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nguyen, Emily	Yes
10236	8/31/2011	No	Talbot, Thomas N	Yes
10237	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	TOOBERT, MICHAEL A	Yes
10238	8/31/2011	No	craig, lillian a	Yes
10239	8/31/2011	No	gal, joh fans emman gal	Yes
10240	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sherman, David	Yes
10241	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ring, Henriette	Yes
10242	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Marken, Alec	Yes
10243	8/31/2011	No	Patricks, Tamara	Yes
10244	8/31/2011	No	Moore, Richard	Yes
10245	8/31/2011	No	Snyder, Anne E	Yes
10246	8/31/2011	No	Kenneally, Mary	Yes
10247	8/31/2011	No	williams, freddie	Yes
10248	8/31/2011	No	Scott, Alex	Yes
10249	8/31/2011	No	fischer, Katie J	Yes
10250	8/31/2011	No	Vinkovskaya, Ekaterina	Yes
10251	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stephan, Dorothea	Yes
10252	8/31/2011	No	Marchel, Doug	Yes
10253	8/31/2011	No	Sala, David	Yes
10254	8/31/2011	No	Riddle, Iva L	Yes
10255	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Haslick, Brandon	Yes
10256	8/31/2011	No	Duffy, Robert	Yes
10257	8/31/2011	No	C., Leia	Yes
10258	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Scotti, Alyson	Yes
10259	8/31/2011	No	Altman, China	Yes
10260	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10261	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10262	8/31/2011	No	Lands, Lark	Yes
10263	8/31/2011	No	Rettig, wm R	Yes
10264	8/31/2011	No	Bateman, Guy D	Yes
10265	8/31/2011	No	gold, carol	Yes
10266	8/31/2011	No	MacLeod, Ramsay A	Yes
10267	8/31/2011	No	Mscr, Hamid	Yes
10268	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10269	8/31/2011	No	Congdon, Deb L	Yes
10270	8/31/2011	No	Hinterlong, Judy J	Yes
10271	8/31/2011	No	Silverstone, Desiree	Yes
10272	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McCoy, Kim	Yes
10273	8/31/2011	No	Gonzalez, Teresita	Yes
10274	8/31/2011	No	Olsen, Lisa M	Yes
10275	8/31/2011	No	Myhre, Dennis T	Yes
10276	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Pimentel, Matthew	Yes
10277	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilkinson, Cliff	Yes
10278	8/31/2011	No	mullins, stacey m	Yes
10279	8/31/2011	No	Ocamb, jananne B	Yes
10280	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	long, steve	Yes
10281	8/31/2011	No	Gyetko, Stephen C	Yes
10282	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	James, Karen	Yes
10283	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Weston, Bill	Yes

0013859

10284	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Royalty, Debbie	Yes
10285	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Leavitt, Meaghan L	Yes
10286	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	matthews, barb a	Yes
10287	8/31/2011	No	Malina, Matt	Yes
10288	8/31/2011	No	Saenz, Selma	Yes
10289	8/31/2011	No	Chismar, Nancy	Yes
10290	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McFarland, Randy	Yes
10291	8/31/2011	No	Warden, Richard E	Yes
10292	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stevens, Lorraine	Yes
10293	8/31/2011	No	Mager, Mike S	Yes
10294	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Janet L	Yes
10295	8/31/2011	No	Moschopoulos, Charity H	Yes
10296	8/31/2011	No	Gorby, Terry L	Yes
10297	8/31/2011	No	Gonzales, V	Yes
10298	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Patterson, Andrew G	Yes
10299	8/31/2011	No	Brotherton, Pauline	Yes
10300	8/31/2011	No	Painter, Carol J	Yes
10301	8/31/2011	No	Tyree, Sylvia L	Yes
10302	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10303	8/31/2011	No	Harris, Laura	Yes
10304	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Schmalzer, Paul A	Yes
10305	8/31/2011	No	Lehrbach, Otto	Yes
10306	8/31/2011	No	Allen, Wanda M	Yes
10307	8/31/2011	No	fusco, jeff	Yes
10308	8/31/2011	No	Giammarco, Karen M	Yes
10309	8/31/2011	No	epps, e	Yes
10310	8/31/2011	No	Norman, Kara A	Yes
10311	8/31/2011	No	Perkins, Stuart	Yes
10312	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Robert T	Yes
10313	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Brody, Cathi	Yes
10314	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Granfortuna, Nancy	Yes
10315	8/31/2011	No	Mallon, Barbara	Yes
10316	8/31/2011	No	Zahrbock, Patricia K	Yes
10317	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Watson, Chris E	Yes
10318	8/31/2011	No	McCollum, Marlis C	Yes
10319	8/31/2011	No	Owen, Robert L	Yes
10320	8/31/2011	No	Schmidt, Cara	Yes
10321	8/31/2011	No	Roon, Nicholas	Yes
10322	8/31/2011	No	Meegan, Maureen	Yes
10323	8/31/2011	No	Hedges, Ken	Yes
10324	8/31/2011	No	Kanter, David	Yes
10325	8/31/2011	No	freund, julia	Yes
10326	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Wilk, April	Yes
10327	8/31/2011	No	Chevrier, Marie C	Yes
10328	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10329	8/31/2011	No	Wilcox, Georgeanne M	Yes
10330	8/31/2011	No	Wilson, Greg	Yes
10331	8/31/2011	No	Watson, Bruce	Yes
10332	8/31/2011	No	Leary-Bova, Michela	Yes
10333	8/31/2011	No	Frost-Hewitt, Naomi J	Yes
10334	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10335	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10336	8/31/2011	No	Chase, Linda	Yes
10337	8/31/2011	No	Slimak, Mary L	Yes
10338	8/31/2011	No	Morrison, Cindy	Yes

## 0013860

10339	8/31/2011	No	Chiltern, Michael H	Yes
10340	8/31/2011	No	Bobek, Joanne	Yes
10341	8/31/2011	No	Mackowski, Frank J	Yes
10342	8/31/2011	No	Pacosz, Christina V	Yes
10343	8/31/2011	No	Wood, Margaret	Yes
10344	8/31/2011	No	gallagher, kate	Yes
10345	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Kowalewski, Christina	Yes
10346	8/31/2011	No	DeSantis, Robin	Yes
10347	8/31/2011	No	Leigh, Tahoe	Yes
10348	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10349	8/31/2011	No	Smgelski, Linda J	Yes
10350	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Gutenson, Otto (	Yes
10351	8/31/2011	No	kelly-Hoffman, kathleen e	Yes
10352	8/31/2011	No	Gover, Pat & Gary Gover	Yes
10353	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10354	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	carey, susan	Yes
10355	8/31/2011	No	Tornatore, Marianne	Yes
10356	8/31/2011	No	Flowers, Bobbie D	Yes
10357	8/31/2011	No	Boka, Erika	Yes
10358	8/31/2011	No	Liss, Marilyn B	Yes
10359	8/31/2011	No	saylor, nigel t	Yes
10360	8/31/2011	No	Swain, Megan	Yes
10361	8/31/2011	No	Chatterton, Joan	Yes
10362	8/31/2011	No	Hyde, Bette E	Yes
10363	8/31/2011	No	Boisvert, MaryLynne	Yes
10364	8/31/2011	No	neal, john Kelly mc neal	Yes
10365	8/31/2011	No	Cali, Tom	Yes
10366	8/31/2011	No	Zade-Routier, Sylvia T	Yes
10367	8/31/2011	No	Gohn, Lisa A	Yes
10368	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Vicki L	Yes
10369	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoffmaster, Debra K	Yes
10370	8/31/2011	No	Skala, Emily H	Yes
10371	8/31/2011	No	Paul, Patricia A	Yes
10372	8/31/2011	No	Davis, Ronald L	Yes
10373	8/31/2011	No	Woodiwiss, Kim	Yes
10374	8/31/2011	No	Howell, Beth	Yes
10375	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Salltzman, Susan C	Yes
10376	8/31/2011	No	Dupuis, Joyce	Yes
10377	8/31/2011	No	Hasapidis, George	Yes
10378	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Peggy	Yes
10379	8/31/2011	No	Burrell, Charles C	Yes
10380	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Shah, Shilpa	Yes
10381	8/31/2011	No	Elkins, Anne	Yes
10382	8/31/2011	No	Olson, Cheryl	Yes
10383	8/31/2011	No	Bialous, Carrie	Yes
10384	8/31/2011	No	Akers, Frederick E	Yes
10385	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Croft, Carrie C	Yes
10386	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Carstei, Mihaela	Yes
10387	8/31/2011	No	Becekt, Lucky	Yes
10388	8/31/2011	No	Spesick, Anne	Yes
10389	8/31/2011	No	Swittlinger, Marianne	Yes
10390	8/31/2011	No	Sutton, Gloria F	Yes
10391	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ehrlich, Ian A	Yes
10392	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	lavin, julianna e	Yes
10393	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Gail	Yes

## 0013861

10394	8/31/2011	No	duncan, karla	Yes
10395	8/31/2011	No	Brunell, Jennifer S	Yes
10396	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Horan, Debbie	Yes
10397	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Camorati, Nancy A	Yes
10398	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gallo, Patricia L	Yes
10399	8/31/2011	No	Carpenter, Matthew	Yes
10400	8/31/2011	No	Varchena, Irina	Yes
10401	8/31/2011	No	Burton, Ben	Yes
10402	8/31/2011	No	Tucker, Donn M	Yes
10403	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Wei, Annie	Yes
10404	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Breakwell, Amy L	Yes
10405	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10406	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	meccio, Peter B	Yes
10407	8/31/2011	No	Hale, Pamela L	Yes
10408	8/31/2011	No	Brooks, Nancy H	Yes
10409	8/31/2011	No	Stricker, Robert E	Yes
10410	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10411	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10412	8/31/2011	No	Litow, Philip M	Yes
10413	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ropke, Melissa	Yes
10414	8/31/2011	No	Lee, Rex	Yes
10415	8/31/2011	No	Lewis, Roger A	Yes
10416	8/31/2011	No	alderman, Sabrina	Yes
10417	8/31/2011	No	Gindhart, Denise	Yes
10418	8/31/2011	No	Herder, Jacqueline	Yes
10419	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Fetting, Jessica	Yes
10420	8/31/2011	No	Picchetti, Gloria	Yes
10421	8/31/2011	No	HARRISON, GEORGE T	Yes
10422	8/31/2011	No	Hinant, Susanna L	Yes
10423	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Morton, Shanda L	Yes
10424	8/31/2011	No	Varchena, Galina	Yes
10425	8/31/2011	No	Hinant, Susanna L	Yes
10426	8/31/2011	No	Schwan, Bettina B	Yes
10427	8/31/2011	No	Leone, Stephen	Yes
10428	8/31/2011	No	jr., leonard michael bildstein	Yes
10429	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Dunlop, Brian	Yes
10430	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Cole, Beth L	Yes
10431	8/31/2011	No	Meserve, John C	Yes
10432	8/31/2011	No	Shotwell, andreia	Yes
10433	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rough, Anna	Yes
10434	8/31/2011	No	Kinsella, John S	Yes
10435	8/31/2011	No	Seguin, Joan T	Yes
10436	8/31/2011	No	Chan, Marie S	Yes
10437	8/31/2011	No	Clark, Michele	Yes
10438	8/31/2011	No	seto, myrna e	Yes
10439	8/31/2011	No	Lynch, Susan A	Yes
10440	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10441	8/31/2011	No	McGillen, Christine	Yes
10442	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10443	8/31/2011	No	McGuckin, Charles O	Yes
10444	8/31/2011	No	Vaughn, Kevin L	Yes
10445	8/31/2011	No	Kelly, Matt	Yes
10446	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10447	8/31/2011	No	SHELLEY, ERGA	Yes
10448	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoffman, Ty C	Yes

## 0013862

10449	8/31/2011	No	Calabro, Bruce	Yes
10450	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Doucet, Lisha	Yes
10451	8/31/2011	No	Folk, Kim L	Yes
10452	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Duncan, Donna L	Yes
10453	8/31/2011	No	Leibowitz, Ruth M	Yes
10454	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bryce, Judy M	Yes
10455	8/31/2011	No	Nieman, Kimberly	Yes
10456	8/31/2011	No	Dutton-Schandelmaier, Krissa	Yes
10457	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Teevan, John P	Yes
10458	8/31/2011	No	Harbison, Candis M	Yes
10459	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10460	8/31/2011	No	Harris, Melissa	Yes
10461	8/31/2011	No	genglerr, paul	Yes
10462	8/31/2011	No	Krach, Judy K	Yes
10463	8/31/2011	No	Callaghan, Maureen	Yes
10464	8/31/2011	No	Ensor, Sandra J	Yes
10465	8/31/2011	No	Berry, Alicia	Yes
10466	8/31/2011	No	Martin, Tina L	Yes
10467	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Harris, Melissa	Yes
10468	8/31/2011	No	Couttolenc, Luis	Yes
10469	8/31/2011	No	Duncan, Barbara	Yes
10470	8/31/2011	No	tiberi, joan m	Yes
10471	8/31/2011	No	tracy, shelly m	Yes
10472	8/31/2011	No	Couttolenc, Luis	Yes
10473	8/31/2011	No	Wertz, Deborah	Yes
10474	8/31/2011	No	Crooms, Sandy B	Yes
10475	8/31/2011	No	Patrick, Richanna	Yes
10476	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Popielarczyk, Ed	Yes
10477	8/31/2011	No	McCarty, Patricia J	Yes
10478	8/31/2011	No	Elliott, Shannon	Yes
10479	8/31/2011	No	Ward, Heather	Yes
10480	8/31/2011	No	Panella, Ruth A	Yes
10481	8/31/2011	No	bogden, madeline c	Yes
10482	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10483	8/31/2011	No	Almand, Nicole	Yes
10484	8/31/2011	No	Schlam, Rhoda	Yes
10485	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Harris, Melissa	Yes
10486	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10487	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Onofrio, Lisa M	Yes
10488	8/31/2011	No	Heisel, Peggy L	Yes
10489	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Onofrio, Lisa M	Yes
10490	8/31/2011	No	Tart, Lisa	Yes
10491	8/31/2011	No	Guinnup, David R R. Guinnup	Yes
10492	8/31/2011	No	Foster, Ruth S	Yes
10493	8/31/2011	No	Ruth, MC	Yes
10494	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Pappas, Jessica Lynne	Yes
10495	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10496	8/31/2011	No	Haskett, Karen	Yes
10497	8/31/2011	No	heinrichs, cristy	Yes
10498	8/31/2011	No	Ratliff, Greta L	Yes
10499	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Krebs, Norman C	Yes
10500	8/31/2011	No	Fletcher, Carol E	Yes
10501	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Craig, Edward P	Yes
10502	8/31/2011	No	Campbell, Karolyn K	Yes
10503	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Brown, eric	Yes

0013863

10504	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bruce, John	Yes
10505	8/31/2011	No	Rutherford, Harry	Yes
10506	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10507	8/31/2011	No	Sader, Lia	Yes
10508	8/31/2011	No	bourks, claudia	Yes
10509	8/31/2011	No	Wesse, Tom	Yes
10510	8/31/2011	No	Boyce, PJ	Yes
10511	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Derrico, Nancy	Yes
10512	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10513	8/31/2011	No	Downing, Rosamund	Yes
10514	8/31/2011	No	BATTY, DAVID AND BETTY	Yes
10515	8/31/2011	No	Moore, Ken	Yes
10516	8/31/2011	No	Hover, Richard	Yes
10517	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Kensicki, Edward	Yes
10518	8/31/2011	No	Streett, Richard M	Yes
10519	8/31/2011	No	Lefebvre, Ellen M	Yes
10520	8/31/2011	No	Mulero, Reynolds	Yes
10521	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10522	8/31/2011	No	cOLLINS, Michelle M	Yes
10523	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Keddy, Pamela	Yes
10524	8/31/2011	No	Bowman, James A	Yes
10525	8/31/2011	No	Shulman, Beth	Yes
10526	8/31/2011	No	Ahring, Tracey L	Yes
10527	8/31/2011	No	Kelly, Neil	Yes
10528	8/31/2011	No	Santina, Maureen R	Yes
10529	8/31/2011	No	Canale, Jayne E	Yes
10530	8/31/2011	No	Labadie, Kevin P	Yes
10531	8/31/2011	No	HARDIN, PEGGY N	Yes
10532	8/31/2011	No	Darilek, Marilyn K	Yes
10533	8/31/2011	No	Conroy, Peggy K	Yes
10534	8/31/2011	No	Fleming, Maria-Francesca P	Yes
10535	8/31/2011	No	Keeler, Lynne A	Yes
10536	8/31/2011	No	Schlosser, Lynn	Yes
10537	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10538	8/31/2011	No	Schnirer, Dana	Yes
10539	8/31/2011	No	Burris, Kimberly A	Yes
10540	8/31/2011	No	Goddard, John	Yes
10541	8/31/2011	No	MacPherson, Ph.D., Malcolm	Yes
10542	8/31/2011	No	Kennedy, Kate	Yes
10543	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Crandall, Gary	Yes
10544	8/31/2011	No	stoike, richard c	Yes
10545	8/31/2011	No	Gordy, Nancy N	Yes
10546	8/31/2011	No	Taylor, Dulcie	Yes
10547	8/31/2011	No	Gross, Kim	Yes
10548	8/31/2011	No	Couston, Rosanne	Yes
10549	8/31/2011	No	Hoag, Helen	Yes
10550	8/31/2011	No	Jean, Lois	Yes
10551	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stankiewicz, Peter A	Yes
10552	8/31/2011	No	Clark, Diane Z	Yes
10553	8/31/2011	No	Chard, Sue Chard A. Chard	Yes
10554	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	gabbert, tim	Yes
10555	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Harp, Loyd J	Yes
10556	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Eberhardt, Steven R	Yes
10557	8/31/2011	No	Newman, Donna R	Yes
10558	8/31/2011	No	Teschendorf, Glenn	Yes



0013864

10559	8/31/2011	No	Stark, Diana	Yes
10560	8/31/2011	No	Goldthwait, Jane B	Yes
10561	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gawryszewski, Nancy	Yes
10562	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Fischer, Kristin	Yes
10563	8/31/2011	No	Payne, L E	Yes
10564	8/31/2011	No	Rodman, Elizabeth	Yes
10565	8/31/2011	No	Tholl, J. D	Yes
10566	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Burnett, Eva	Yes
10567	8/31/2011	No	fellman, dwight	Yes
10568	8/31/2011	No	Murawski, Edwin P	Yes
10569	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Griffin, Ginny	Yes
10570	8/31/2011	No	DuCoeur, Emerald	Yes
10571	8/31/2011	No	Warren, Rosalynn	Yes
10572	8/31/2011	No	DiPrima, Tiffany & Rob	Yes
10573	8/31/2011	No	Mitchell, Elaine K	Yes
10574	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, terrie C	Yes
10575	8/31/2011	No	Wilkinson, Art	Yes
10576	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Semaan, Kamie M	Yes
10577	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10578	8/31/2011	No	Ross, Gawaine C	Yes
10579	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	bennett, keri	Yes
10580	8/31/2011	No	Garratt, Elizabeth A	Yes
10581	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivard, Kristen	Yes
10582	8/31/2011	Potential (573580)	Kane, Erika B	Yes
10583	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	dugan, julia a	Yes
10584	8/31/2011	No	LaManna, Bernadette	Yes
10585	8/31/2011	No	Fannin, Valerie	Yes
10586	8/31/2011	No	Dratch, Sam B	Yes
10587	8/31/2011	No	Garratt, Elizabeth	Yes
10588	8/31/2011	No	Glaser, Susan	Yes
10589	8/31/2011	No	King, Debbie P	Yes
10590	8/31/2011	No	McGoldrick, William	Yes
10591	8/31/2011	No	Lorig, Constance J	Yes
10592	8/31/2011	No	Broll, Carol	Yes
10593	8/31/2011	No	dan, marc	Yes
10594	8/31/2011	No	Waksman, Richard J	Yes
10595	8/31/2011	No	Moen, Georgia A	Yes
10596	8/31/2011	No	Colmus, Ann M	Yes
10597	8/31/2011	No	GINGERESKY, JOAN	Yes
10598	8/31/2011	No	Danner, Rhonda L	Yes
10599	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Eric P	Yes
10600	8/31/2011	No	Benefiel, Margaret	Yes
10601	8/31/2011	No	wood, adam	Yes
10602	8/31/2011	No	Goss, Adam D	Yes
10603	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gray, Mary H	Yes
10604	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Schroepfer, Sharon	Yes
10605	8/31/2011	No	kozlowski, frances c	Yes
10606	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10607	8/31/2011	No	Thompson, Chris	Yes
10608	8/31/2011	No	Fritz-Birch, Marjorie	Yes
10609	8/31/2011	No	Barnes, Steven	Yes
10610	8/31/2011	No	Hart, Jordana	Yes
10611	8/31/2011	No	Butler, Dottie	Yes
10612	8/31/2011	No	Kratzer, Lia	Yes

0013865

10613	8/31/2011	No	Evans, Monica A	Yes
10614	8/31/2011	No	McNeill, Norma K	Yes
10615	8/31/2011	No	lawson, william d	Yes
10616	8/31/2011	No	McLain, Deanna	Yes
10617	8/31/2011	No	Morse, Ed	Yes
10618	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Norris, Jenifer	Yes
10619	8/31/2011	No	Stevenson, Kelly	Yes
10620	8/31/2011	No	Williams, Brigida L	Yes
10621	8/31/2011	No	Stenseth, Carolyn	Yes
10622	8/31/2011	No	Gremos, D K	Yes
10623	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	krause, doug	Yes
10624	8/31/2011	No	shadrawy, drew	Yes
10625	8/31/2011	No	Hill, Cynthia L	Yes
10626	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Craig, Edward P	Yes
10627	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Tapparo, Christine	Yes
10628	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	MD, Rhonda D Wright, MD	Yes
10629	8/31/2011	No	Miller, Alison L	Yes
10630	8/31/2011	No	Shisler, Gayle	Yes
10631	8/31/2011	No	CARPENTER, Lynda M	Yes
10632	8/31/2011	No	Jones, Peter T	Yes
10633	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10634	8/31/2011	No	Miller, Jane F	Yes
10635	8/31/2011	No	Hart, Jordana	Yes
10636	8/31/2011	No	Null, Michele L	Yes
10637	8/31/2011	No	King, Mary M	Yes
10638	8/31/2011	No	Polczynski, Jamie L	Yes
10639	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hamann, Craig R	Yes
10640	8/31/2011	No	Callaghan, Maeve	Yes
10641	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Haynes, Tyna	Yes
10642	8/31/2011	No	Zarr, Debra C	Yes
10643	8/31/2011	No	Raleigh, Walter	Yes
10644	8/31/2011	No	Motter, H E	Yes
10645	8/31/2011	No	J, cynthia	Yes
10646	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Leshaw, Hannah	Yes
10647	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10648	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McCartin, Michael W	Yes
10649	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hester, Leslie	Yes
10650	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sutton, patrizia	Yes
10651	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Ody, Nancy E	Yes
10652	8/31/2011	No	Director, Vijay	Yes
10653	8/31/2011	No	petro, lorraine m	Yes
10654	8/31/2011	No	VanHorn, Barbara	Yes
10655	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10656	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Murphy, Janelle M	Yes
10657	8/31/2011	No	Jensen, Joel	Yes
10658	8/31/2011	No	McDonnell, Carol	Yes
10659	8/31/2011	No	vinson, karen j	Yes
10660	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Park, Lisa C	Yes
10661	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ritsema, David	Yes
10662	8/31/2011	No	Dean, Eddie	Yes
10663	8/31/2011	No	Wolff, John C	Yes
10664	8/31/2011	No	mcevoy, jean l	Yes
10665	8/31/2011	No	Kiefer, Laura D	Yes
10666	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Kokesch, Tara	Yes
10667	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013866

10668	8/31/2011	No	Danforth, Adam A	Yes
10669	8/31/2011	No	Lawser, Robert J	Yes
10670	8/31/2011	No	Shillito, Dale R	Yes
10671	8/31/2011	No	Elster, Evelyn	Yes
10672	8/31/2011	No	Helton, Karen M	Yes
10673	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Weinzimmer, David P	Yes
10674	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Joseph, Aloysius	Yes
10675	8/31/2011	No	Tafari, Peter i	Yes
10676	8/31/2011	No	bick, bonnie	Yes
10677	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10678	8/31/2011	No	Smoke, Henry R	Yes
10679	8/31/2011	No	bowman, valorie d	Yes
10680	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stancliffe, Julia L	Yes
10681	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10682	8/31/2011	No	Potter, Sarah	Yes
10683	8/31/2011	No	VanMeakins, Susan	Yes
10684	8/31/2011	No	Brumleve, Charles	Yes
10685	8/31/2011	No	hong, erica	Yes
10686	8/31/2011	No	Crawford, Andrea M	Yes
10687	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Roberto, Robert	Yes
10688	8/31/2011	No	Rennie, Edwyna	Yes
10689	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Spiering, Kerri	Yes
10690	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Mahan, Connie	Yes
10691	8/31/2011	No	Joseph, Aloysius	Yes
10692	8/31/2011	No	Henderson, Jennifer	Yes
10693	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Adams, Brittany	Yes
10694	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10695	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gauthier, Michelle S	Yes
10696	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10697	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Cornelia, Jared	Yes
10698	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Chrol, Jenna	Yes
10699	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Smith, Eileen	Yes
10700	8/31/2011	No	Edwards, Charlotte E	Yes
10701	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Horowitz, Laura	Yes
10702	8/31/2011	No	Perry, Mary Ann --- Perry	Yes
10703	8/31/2011	No	Gerhardt, Franziska	Yes
10704	8/31/2011	No	Ford, Andrea	Yes
10705	8/31/2011	No	jones, m	Yes
10706	8/31/2011	No	Ferrier, R	Yes
10707	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Arauz, Jorge B	Yes
10708	8/31/2011	No	Trask, Jamie L	Yes
10709	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Scuder, Amanda	Yes
10710	8/31/2011	No	king, kathleen a	Yes
10711	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10712	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Vincent, Judith	Yes
10713	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ochal, Melissa	Yes
10714	8/31/2011	No	Scheffley, Helen C	Yes
10715	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rojas, Adriana	Yes
10716	8/31/2011	No	Sturbaum, Sue	Yes
10717	8/31/2011	No	Thompson, Rhiley C	Yes
10718	8/31/2011	No	Deutsch, Eileen	Yes
10719	8/31/2011	No	Mikulka, Donna	Yes
10720	8/31/2011	No	Everitt, Ruthie	Yes
10721	8/31/2011	No	Beilke, Julie	Yes
10722	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Harkins, Alicia	Yes

0013867

10723	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Schmidt, Susan A	Yes
10724	8/31/2011	No	Viken, Julie	Yes
10725	8/31/2011	No	Campbell, Grant	Yes
10726	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hunter, Travis	Yes
10727	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wagner, Alexa G	Yes
10728	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Apfelbaum, Sally	Yes
10729	8/31/2011	No	Breakfield, Sandra	Yes
10730	8/31/2011	No	Stephens, Tricia	Yes
10731	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Walley, Barbara	Yes
10732	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Brandenburg, Keli R	Yes
10733	8/31/2011	No	Furness, Amber	Yes
10734	8/31/2011	No	Irwin, Zachary T	Yes
10735	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10736	8/31/2011	No	Kinard, Sharon J	Yes
10737	8/31/2011	No	Hill, Valerie A	Yes
10738	8/31/2011	No	Loban, Greg	Yes
10739	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Schoen, Jessica	Yes
10740	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Katherine C	Yes
10741	8/31/2011	No	Yokers, Andre M	Yes
10742	8/31/2011	No	Nibbe, James	Yes
10743	8/31/2011	No	Elford, Jan	Yes
10744	8/31/2011	No	Rieck, Michael A	Yes
10745	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	hanley, nancy	Yes
10746	8/31/2011	No	Bailey, Sherry A	Yes
10747	8/31/2011	No	Reilly, Sheila	Yes
10748	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10749	8/31/2011	No	Young, Dwayne A	Yes
10750	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Cathryn	Yes
10751	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Duckworth, Lisa	Yes
10752	8/31/2011	No	Fleming, RONALD	Yes
10753	8/31/2011	No	Levitt, Lacey	Yes
10754	8/31/2011	No	M.D., Kleopatra O	Yes
10755	8/31/2011	No	Bailey, Sherry A	Yes
10756	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Baer, Howard	Yes
10757	8/31/2011	No	Usher, Rachel H	Yes
10758	8/31/2011	No	WHITE, CHARLOTTE	Yes
10759	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Fortin, Joanna	Yes
10760	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Avila, Diana P	Yes
10761	8/31/2011	No	Files, Edward J	Yes
10762	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	McMaster, Belle M	Yes
10763	8/31/2011	No	mukherjee, joan	Yes
10764	8/31/2011	No	Hein, Laurie	Yes
10765	8/31/2011	No	Schneider, Mary B	Yes
10766	8/31/2011	No	Molina, Tanya	Yes
10767	8/31/2011	No	Beierl, Barbara	Yes
10768	8/31/2011	No	clotworthy, shawn	Yes
10769	8/31/2011	No	Willmon, Sandra	Yes
10770	8/31/2011	No	McCarthy, James	Yes
10771	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10772	8/31/2011	No	Meeker, Rev. P	Yes
10773	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10774	8/31/2011	No	McMurray, Karen	Yes
10775	8/31/2011	No	Holtzman, Dorothy	Yes
10776	8/31/2011	No	bohan, jeff	Yes
10777	8/31/2011	No	Ward, Rosemary	Yes

0013868

10778	8/31/2011	No	O'Sullivan, Katherine	Yes
10779	8/31/2011	No	Leverich, Judge	Yes
10780	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Pott, Caroline M	Yes
10781	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cappuccio, Luisa	Yes
10782	8/31/2011	No	Holtzman, Dorothy	Yes
10783	8/31/2011	No	Belsley, Jim	Yes
10784	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Blakely, Robyn	Yes
10785	8/31/2011	No	osterhout, annalisa	Yes
10786	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	peirce, susan	Yes
10787	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cappuccio, Luisa	Yes
10788	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McKenna, Colleen	Yes
10789	8/31/2011	No	latini, eric	Yes
10790	8/31/2011	No	Dissette, Deborah K	Yes
10791	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10792	8/31/2011	No	sangster, carol	Yes
10793	8/31/2011	No	Richardson, Reta P	Yes
10794	8/31/2011	No	Fox, Michael S	Yes
10795	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Lind, Jenifer	Yes
10796	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hatfield, Robert II Edward	Yes
10797	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Ham, Lauren V	Yes
10798	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10799	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	M.D., Rael N	Yes
10800	8/31/2011	No	Childers, Victoria L	Yes
10801	8/31/2011	No	Finlayson, Alison	Yes
10802	8/31/2011	No	levy, tracey I	Yes
10803	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Turner, Debbie	Yes
10804	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Mejia, William D	Yes
10805	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hocking, Diana M	Yes
10806	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10807	8/31/2011	No	Blair, Ellen	Yes
10808	8/31/2011	No	Hedrick, Carole M	Yes
10809	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	P, J M	Yes
10810	8/31/2011	No	Clough, Cyndi K	Yes
10811	8/31/2011	No	Macfarland, Janie	Yes
10812	8/31/2011	No	conroy, kathleen	Yes
10813	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenblum, Roanne G	Yes
10814	8/31/2011	No	BOYLAN, KAT K	Yes
10815	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Simon, Jane C	Yes
10816	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nawrocki, Karen	Yes
10817	8/31/2011	No	Carey, Naomi C	Yes
10818	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nawrocki, Karen	Yes
10819	8/31/2011	No	Hancock, Charles	Yes
10820	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Henry, Mallika	Yes
10821	8/31/2011	No	Rochester, Ingrid	Yes
10822	8/31/2011	No	Grillot, Charlotte L	Yes
10823	8/31/2011	No	Garrett, Michele L	Yes
10824	8/31/2011	No	McMenamin, Sharon L	Yes
10825	8/31/2011	No	Graffell, Jess C	Yes
10826	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Grillot, Charlotte L	Yes
10827	8/31/2011	No	blier, robin	Yes
10828	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Grillot, Charlotte L	Yes
10829	8/31/2011	No	BENNETT, JAN	Yes
10830	8/31/2011	No	Long, Gretta	Yes
10831	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10832	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gonz&acute;lez, Maria	Yes

0013869

10833	8/31/2011	No	Graves, Joel	Yes
10834	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Roberta	Yes
10835	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lam, Shiao-Jiun	Yes
10836	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10837	8/31/2011	No	Leavell, Charles Z	Yes
10838	8/31/2011	No	Melton, Jerry	Yes
10839	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolfe, Mark and Nancy Wolfe	Yes
10840	8/31/2011	No	chaput, rachel	Yes
10841	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Dayton, Ruth A	Yes
10842	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10843	8/31/2011	No	Nagata, Ronald	Yes
10844	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scuder, Amanda	Yes
10845	8/31/2011	No	Foreman, Richard	Yes
10846	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Peterson, Christina L	Yes
10847	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Poorcker, Craig R	Yes
10848	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	carr, seth h	Yes
10849	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Swafford, Leilani	Yes
10850	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dulgeroff, Mary E	Yes
10851	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Larson, Karla J	Yes
10852	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	riehart, dale	Yes
10853	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10854	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Drew, Florence	Yes
10855	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heimbach, Shelby C	Yes
10856	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Anfinson, Jane O	Yes
10857	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Howes, Elaine	Yes
10858	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Herold, Ana	Yes
10859	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	CRUZ, AGNES	Yes
10860	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sill, Trystan	Yes
10861	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frost, Linda E	Yes
10862	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frey, Andrew	Yes
10863	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Piser, Daniel	Yes
10864	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hampson, James	Yes
10865	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10866	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10867	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schiffer, Marcia	Yes
10868	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hogan, Lora Janice Head	Yes
10869	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LaBonte, Amy	Yes
10870	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Christina	Yes
10871	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burkhart, David	Yes
10872	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Froiland, J.	Yes
10873	8/31/2011	No	Douglas, Dianne	Yes
10874	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Munson, Richard H	Yes
10875	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10876	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10877	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Taylor, Tim	Yes
10878	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Meuret, Evelyn	Yes
10879	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lewis, cheryl	Yes
10880	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Etgen, Benjamin	Yes
10881	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lovitch, Derek J	Yes
10882	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	L., John	Yes
10883	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McKnight, Shoshanah F	Yes
10884	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stephenson, Karen L	Yes
10885	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Glenn	Yes
10886	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10887	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Slavin, Zach	Yes

0013870

10888	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guess, Susan L	Yes
10889	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	MALECKAITE, VAIDA	Yes
10890	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Straus, Kim M	Yes
10891	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vine, Johanna	Yes
10892	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cao, Diana M	Yes
10893	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jablow, Lisa	Yes
10894	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Southerland, Ada L	Yes
10895	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ostrom, Gavin	Yes
10896	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Jen	Yes
10897	8/31/2011	No	Thomas, Jeffrey	Yes
10898	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weinstein, Naomi E	Yes
10899	8/31/2011	No	Phillips, Donald H	Yes
10900	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Whitney, Nicole	Yes
10901	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bass, Susan M	Yes
10902	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	weinberg, norman	Yes
10903	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morton, Barbara	Yes
10904	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sibley, Robert	Yes
10905	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rigby, Cheryl V	Yes
10906	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	OBrien, K	Yes
10907	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
10908	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martel, Krissi L	Yes
10909	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	smith, christine	Yes
10910	8/31/2011	No	Lange, Olga	Yes
10911	8/31/2011	No	Phillips, holly L	Yes
10912	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berger, Keith	Yes
10913	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sederstrom, Sarah	Yes
10914	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miles, Albie F	Yes
10915	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McCool, Melissa	Yes
10916	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goode, Sharon	Yes
10917	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10918	8/31/2011	No	pszanka, james r	Yes
10919	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boyd, Jan L	Yes
10920	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Birkey, Shae	Yes
10921	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10922	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kennedy, Elizabeth A	Yes
10923	8/31/2011	No	Piotrowski, Nancy	Yes
10924	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Perkins, Lela	Yes
10925	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Trump, Richard M	Yes
10926	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dally, Leta A	Yes
10927	8/31/2011	No	Gonzalez, Diane A	Yes
10928	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thomas, Randy	Yes
10929	8/31/2011	No	Ashcraft, Hugh G	Yes
10930	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morgan, Gwen	Yes
10931	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fuller, Erik	Yes
10932	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10933	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frisk, Charles L	Yes
10934	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burian, Christine J	Yes
10935	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	wojcicki, m	Yes
10936	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tosch, Helen E	Yes
10937	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dahlman, Jill	Yes
10938	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morris, Gregg H	Yes
10939	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Evans, Judith D	Yes
10940	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	burger, ryan a	Yes
10941	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sage, Jean A	Yes
10942	8/31/2011	No	Mack, Erin	Yes

0013871

10943	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morris, Gerald P	Yes
10944	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Verstraete, Frank J	Yes
10945	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chrisman, Wendy	Yes
10946	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Alan	Yes
10947	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hershberger, Wil	Yes
10948	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jacobs, Barbara J	Yes
10949	8/31/2011	No	Knutsen, Maureen	Yes
10950	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dubinsky, Jeffrey	Yes
10951	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Glickman, Margery	Yes
10952	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rigby, Cheryl V	Yes
10953	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Whitefeather, Angelica	Yes
10954	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Colwell, Elizabeth	Yes
10955	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Oliver, Cindy	Yes
10956	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Keene, Patricia E	Yes
10957	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Eileen	Yes
10958	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McMenamin, Sharon L	Yes
10959	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moskovitz, Pamela	Yes
10960	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	May, Dorothea E	Yes
10961	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10962	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Friedman, Leanne J	Yes
10963	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Myers, Keith A	Yes
10964	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tremmel, Diane M	Yes
10965	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Delgado, Dru A	Yes
10966	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Windingstad, Ronald M	Yes
10967	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McDermott, Reta	Yes
10968	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hearon, Sarah B	Yes
10969	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barker, Christine E	Yes
10970	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fedorow, Dinah L	Yes
10971	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Sanford	Yes
10972	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Blue, Donna R	Yes
10973	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
10974	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Summerton, Laurita C	Yes
10975	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mersereau, John C	Yes
10976	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	leight, p	Yes
10977	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hanson, Amy	Yes
10978	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Solano, Renee	Yes
10979	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hartsell, Jim	Yes
10980	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Swoiskin, Mark	Yes
10981	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Windrum, Kenneth G	Yes
10982	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	kessler, ric	Yes
10983	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McGonigle, Brian	Yes
10984	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rhoads, Kirk	Yes
10985	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DEDDY, JOHN M	Yes
10986	8/31/2011	No	Guzy, Karin E	Yes
10987	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carey, Naomi C	Yes
10988	8/31/2011	No	gaspar, p	Yes
10989	8/31/2011	No	Bartoli, Mary A	Yes
10990	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	duran, cecelia	Yes
10991	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lordnose, Chas	Yes
10992	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gundrum, Lois E	Yes
10993	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jonah, Jean	Yes
10994	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Senn, Irene	Yes
10995	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mendelson, Valorie W	Yes
10996	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lewis, Susan	Yes
10997	8/31/2011	No	Konrad, Donna	Yes



0013872

10998	8/31/2011	No	KANTOR, RUTH W	Yes
10999	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schepman, Dennis W	Yes
11000	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Buschow, Barry	Yes
11001	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arkema, Carroll E	Yes
11002	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schwartz, J	Yes
11003	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gregorian, Arthur	Yes
11004	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mayo, Alberta	Yes
11005	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	capstick, hilary	Yes
11006	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Beltran, Beatriz	Yes
11007	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Winckler, Robert A	Yes
11008	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Westlund, Mel	Yes
11009	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carpenter, Annie	Yes
11010	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	SILVA, TERESA M	Yes
11011	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cooper, Isabella L	Yes
11012	8/31/2011	No	Hudleson, Shirley A	Yes
11013	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Canada, AM	Yes
11014	8/31/2011	No	Kalb, Mary Primrose Saylor	Yes
11015	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bauer, David	Yes
11016	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Serifsoy, Ipek	Yes
11017	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fuhrer, Corey I	Yes
11018	8/31/2011	No	Madden, Elizabeth M	Yes
11019	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Eerd, Debra H Van Eerd	Yes
11020	8/31/2011	No	Steinhart, Carol	Yes
11021	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hatfield, Robert II Edward	Yes
11022	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crist, Ed L	Yes
11023	8/31/2011	No	Malik, Rosalie J	Yes
11024	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tabbott, Diane and Jerry	Yes
11025	8/31/2011	No	Iam, J.	Yes
11026	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Collins, Carol L	Yes
11027	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Burian, Christine J	Yes
11028	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	wolf, darlene	Yes
11029	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilford, joe	Yes
11030	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nielsen, Jennifer	Yes
11031	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kodete, Stefan	Yes
11032	8/31/2011	No	Mack, Anne	Yes
11033	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bondi, D	Yes
11034	8/31/2011	No	Handley, William J	Yes
11035	8/31/2011	No	BENNETT, JAN	Yes
11036	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Karjalainen, Terry	Yes
11037	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shprintzen, Rachel	Yes
11038	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Krol, Susan M	Yes
11039	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cardillo, David David Cardillo	Yes
11040	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Katsaros, Jean B	Yes
11041	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Andrews, Matt R	Yes
11042	8/31/2011	No	Tolski, Stefanie	Yes
11043	8/31/2011	No	Gardner, Andrea	Yes
11044	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Osborn, Celine	Yes
11045	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burby, Leslie	Yes
11046	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cook, Terry M	Yes
11047	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Khalsa, Guru Sandesh S.	Yes
11048	8/31/2011	No	Hammerli, Ed	Yes
11049	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moore, Melinda A	Yes
11050	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gardner, Stacy	Yes
11051	8/31/2011	No	Kaohelaulii, Anna	Yes
11052	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gliva, Stephen	Yes

0013873

11053	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Esmahan, Christina	Yes
11054	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Branson, Barbara	Yes
11055	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Keohane, Mackenzie A	Yes
11056	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Edwards, Cynthia L	Yes
11057	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	May, Andrew	Yes
11058	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vega, Teresa A	Yes
11059	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	boisgard, isa	Yes
11060	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shelton, Janet	Yes
11061	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rosen, Molly A	Yes
11062	8/31/2011	No	Gibson, David	Yes
11063	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Orkin, Linda J	Yes
11064	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Diamant, Dottie H	Yes
11065	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11066	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Dzikowski, David	Yes
11067	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Turner, Donald W	Yes
11068	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Renouf, Johanna	Yes
11069	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Adler, Christopher	Yes
11070	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Greco, Jose L	Yes
11071	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	spurr, robert g	Yes
11072	8/31/2011	No	Spinks, Dollie	Yes
11073	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boren, Jane	Yes
11074	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stabler, Jessica L	Yes
11075	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mugglestone, Lindsay E	Yes
11076	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Madigan, Kathleen A	Yes
11077	8/31/2011	No	McBride, Maria	Yes
11078	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Sarah B	Yes
11079	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sessa, Neena N	Yes
11080	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bell, James T	Yes
11081	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Meyer, Leonard N	Yes
11082	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Bok, Regina	Yes
11083	8/31/2011	No	Costa, Demelza	Yes
11084	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11085	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11086	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Coffman, Lynne Y	Yes
11087	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Murphy, Virginia D	Yes
11088	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frew, Dorothy	Yes
11089	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caylor, Jule A	Yes
11090	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Langan, Marian	Yes
11091	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Abraham, Jane L	Yes
11092	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stoneman, Josh	Yes
11093	8/31/2011	No	Merritt, Tina n	Yes
11094	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gobert, Carole P	Yes
11095	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ralls, Wilma	Yes
11096	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nord, Randall C	Yes
11097	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fourman, Brian	Yes
11098	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilkins, Christopher	Yes
11099	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pringle, Linda	Yes
11100	8/31/2011	No	Roberts, Kathleen J	Yes
11101	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stimac, Patricia	Yes
11102	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Jan S	Yes
11103	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vaughan, L	Yes
11104	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stortz, Libby	Yes
11105	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fitzgerald, Judith M	Yes
11106	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Raney, Cindy K	Yes
11107	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vinz, Michele	Yes

0013874

11108	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Compitus, Katherine	Yes
11109	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pfeifer, Nezka	Yes
11110	8/31/2011	No	Stracchino, Keith	Yes
11111	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Schneid, Pace L	Yes
11112	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolk, Stanley	Yes
11113	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harris, Melissa	Yes
11114	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	maruschak, jill a	Yes
11115	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wally, Liz	Yes
11116	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scott, Jennifer	Yes
11117	8/31/2011	No	Lundstrom, Evelyn C	Yes
11118	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wontor, Debra	Yes
11119	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11120	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dietrich, Ashley A	Yes
11121	8/31/2011	No	Schottlaender, Sherri	Yes
11122	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Galloway, C	Yes
11123	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Coombes, Susan M	Yes
11124	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dougherty, Colin	Yes
11125	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hearn, B. L	Yes
11126	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Trammell, Steve	Yes
11127	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bonner, Lawrence E	Yes
11128	8/31/2011	No	colton, sara	Yes
11129	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fitzgerald, Judith M	Yes
11130	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Macaluso, Alessandra	Yes
11131	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rodriguez, Ray D	Yes
11132	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heinrich, Lynne L	Yes
11133	8/31/2011	No	VanValkenburg, Scott	Yes
11134	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ARAGONA, LISA J	Yes
11135	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11136	8/31/2011	No	Sefton, Nancy n	Yes
11137	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Leekwijck, Natalie V	Yes
11138	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	McDonald, Emily	Yes
11139	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LaSala, Susan B	Yes
11140	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vojtko, Martha	Yes
11141	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Louie, Josephine	Yes
11142	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Highstreet, Kathy	Yes
11143	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DeRhodes, Sherri	Yes
11144	8/31/2011	No	Blomstrom, Laurie	Yes
11145	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ashley, Jennifer M	Yes
11146	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carlson, Ronald C	Yes
11147	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robert, Lance	Yes
11148	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fernandez, Karen	Yes
11149	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoef-Bouchard, Fran	Yes
11150	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kobler, Linda	Yes
11151	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hadlock, Carol A	Yes
11152	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ryan, Kathleen M	Yes
11153	8/31/2011	No	buckley, alison m	Yes
11154	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Twining, Holly	Yes
11155	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Eichler, Nancy	Yes
11156	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Orcholski, Gerald	Yes
11157	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marshall, Jacqueline	Yes
11158	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gunn, Jenny L	Yes
11159	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Parmer, Jess H	Yes
11160	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cavallo, Janet	Yes
11161	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	King-Rediger, Pamela S	Yes
11162	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013875

11163	8/31/2011	No	Roka, Ruthann	Yes
11164	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lawlor, Michael	Yes
11165	8/31/2011	No	Johnson, Marianne	Yes
11166	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	White, Karina	Yes
11167	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tortorici, Eleanor L	Yes
11168	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	sullivan, jacqueline m	Yes
11169	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Satchell, John A	Yes
11170	8/31/2011	No	koenig, james e	Yes
11171	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bonini, Lee	Yes
11172	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hopkins, David	Yes
11173	8/31/2011	No	Rego-Ross, Sande R	Yes
11174	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Briccetti, Eleanor	Yes
11175	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Klusman, Kathleen P	Yes
11176	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fahy, Elizabeth A	Yes
11177	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Black, Angela	Yes
11178	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	C, Sophia	Yes
11179	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schriock, Beth	Yes
11180	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gardiner, Trish	Yes
11181	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Montgomery, Kim I	Yes
11182	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Collins, Josh D	Yes
11183	8/31/2011	No	Brinton, Valerie R	Yes
11184	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Badger, Brad	Yes
11185	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Elliker, Susan	Yes
11186	8/31/2011	No	Howes, Elaine	Yes
11187	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chapdelaine, Perry A	Yes
11188	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11189	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hinshaw, Donna	Yes
11190	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Riblett, Mary	Yes
11191	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11192	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	CONSTANTINO-MARTIN, PATTI	Yes
11193	8/31/2011	No	Smokey, Sherry L	Yes
11194	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vaillancourt, Michele D	Yes
11195	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gardiner, Alan	Yes
11196	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Linda	Yes
11197	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11198	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rinaldo, Arlene	Yes
11199	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berry, Vida	Yes
11200	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LeCronier, Micki S	Yes
11201	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Linda S	Yes
11202	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolf, Rachel	Yes
11203	8/31/2011	No	Connor, Corky	Yes
11204	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Slikas, Beth	Yes
11205	8/31/2011	No	Schlotte, Jack	Yes
11206	8/31/2011	No	Kempf, Clay	Yes
11207	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	mcrae, michael k	Yes
11208	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hirst, Gerri	Yes
11209	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Botkins, Rodman P	Yes
11210	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Peggy J	Yes
11211	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stier, Nancy E	Yes
11212	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11213	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	REYNOLDS, KEVIN B	Yes
11214	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	klapper, marcy	Yes
11215	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McRoberts, James C	Yes
11216	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11217	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	beam, john d	Yes

0013876

11218	8/31/2011	No	Renville, Michael	Yes
11219	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Mandell-Rice, Bonnie	Yes
11220	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chase, Mary	Yes
11221	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lubicz-Nawrocki, Chris	Yes
11222	8/31/2011	No	Thiessen, Derinda J	Yes
11223	8/31/2011	No	Eisler, Laurie	Yes
11224	8/31/2011	No	Gheta, Peggy A	Yes
11225	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harris-Wolff, Ishamea	Yes
11226	8/31/2011	No	BAUER, PHILIP M	Yes
11227	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Austin, Diana	Yes
11228	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11229	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	kamper, joi	Yes
11230	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	peniche, lori	Yes
11231	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McClelland, Vannessa	Yes
11232	8/31/2011	No	Biondo, Kay	Yes
11233	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nowell, Lee N	Yes
11234	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marien, Veronique	Yes
11235	8/31/2011	No	Mesa, Rebecca	Yes
11236	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Esselstyn, Eugenia M	Yes
11237	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Efffinger, John W	Yes
11238	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Struse, Amanda	Yes
11239	8/31/2011	No	Finnegan, Cynthia	Yes
11240	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	shelton, sandra h	Yes
11241	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sutton, Lee	Yes
11242	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Westre, Nicole	Yes
11243	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rothrock, Rhonda S	Yes
11244	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sandel, Morris	Yes
11245	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Evangelista, Tony and Jackie	Yes
11246	8/31/2011	No	Bhusari, cindy l	Yes
11247	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hammett, Lori A	Yes
11248	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wurrey, Charles J	Yes
11249	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Farr, Donna	Yes
11250	8/31/2011	No	Jolly, Sandra H	Yes
11251	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11252	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bazer, Toni	Yes
11253	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ward, Jane	Yes
11254	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Voldstad, Richard F	Yes
11255	8/31/2011	No	OToole, RoseMary	Yes
11256	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11257	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fellner, Robin	Yes
11258	8/31/2011	No	Reilly, Ed	Yes
11259	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kittrell, Mike	Yes
11260	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paiger, Gina	Yes
11261	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Meinhold, Mary	Yes
11262	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	rudin, lee	Yes
11263	8/31/2011	No	Kirwin, Brendan K	Yes
11264	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	franck, faith	Yes
11265	8/31/2011	No	Kaplan, Richard	Yes
11266	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	enstrom, karen l	Yes
11267	8/31/2011	No	Farinato, Sue	Yes
11268	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Murray, Kristen a	Yes
11269	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Malley, Karen	Yes
11270	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ungar, jill	Yes
11271	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jensen, Dr. A	Yes
11272	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sedor, Patricia	Yes

0013877

11273	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scharnhorst, Angie	Yes
11274	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rogewitz, Joseph	Yes
11275	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11276	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martin, Lara M	Yes
11277	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	parker, greg	Yes
11278	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Caroline S	Yes
11279	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garcia, Rolando R	Yes
11280	8/31/2011	No	Stiehl, Joan	Yes
11281	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mooney, Letitia P	Yes
11282	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McCormick, Devin	Yes
11283	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Porter, Cynthia	Yes
11284	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Orlofske, Sarah A	Yes
11285	8/31/2011	No	Marcus, Marilyn T	Yes
11286	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vince, David E	Yes
11287	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boyd, Christopher	Yes
11288	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moran, Thomas	Yes
11289	8/31/2011	No	Tucker, Virginia A	Yes
11290	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11291	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Althage, Craig L	Yes
11292	8/31/2011	No	brandt, pamela	Yes
11293	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11294	8/31/2011	No	O'Connor, Ellen	Yes
11295	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11296	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11297	8/31/2011	No	mcevoy, j	Yes
11298	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Suarez, Liz	Yes
11299	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	vandyke, marlene k	Yes
11300	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11301	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11302	8/31/2011	No	Rucker, Scott	Yes
11303	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Capobianco, Anthony A	Yes
11304	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Jennifer	Yes
11305	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roessler, Leslie	Yes
11306	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brietzke,, Adrienne H Hrnicek	Yes
11307	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shane, Karen	Yes
11308	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berry, Jason P	Yes
11309	8/31/2011	No	Roberts, Peter A	Yes
11310	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goin, Lynda	Yes
11311	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Black, Monica n/a Latka Black	Yes
11312	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mooney, Letitia P	Yes
11313	8/31/2011	No	Gilliland, Kenneth K	Yes
11314	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11315	8/31/2011	No	Nelson, Nicola A	Yes
11316	8/31/2011	No	pasek, greg	Yes
11317	8/31/2011	No	Hurley, Megan	Yes
11318	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parker, Ashley L	Yes
11319	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Karlson, Fred A	Yes
11320	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morris, Kathleen S	Yes
11321	8/31/2011	No	graf, beverly	Yes
11322	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11323	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kochevar, Renee	Yes
11324	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Davis, BYRON & CAROL Davis	Yes
11325	8/31/2011	No	Bright, Julia D	Yes
11326	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Alexander, J.	Yes
11327	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weber, Nicole	Yes

0013878

11328	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Harry F	Yes
11329	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cantor, Francine	Yes
11330	8/31/2011	No	DeHerrera, Joy L	Yes
11331	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Blackstone, Ellen	Yes
11332	8/31/2011	No	Shrewsbury, Laura A	Yes
11333	8/31/2011	No	hicks, robert	Yes
11334	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Runco, Guy P	Yes
11335	8/31/2011	No	DiGuilio, Theresa	Yes
11336	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brenner, Nancy	Yes
11337	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mooney, Letitia P	Yes
11338	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rice, Gina M	Yes
11339	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Godfrey, Susan	Yes
11340	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bauman, Deane T	Yes
11341	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cole, Peggy	Yes
11342	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robertson, Jennifer	Yes
11343	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11344	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chieco, Eileen	Yes
11345	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Edwards, Eric	Yes
11346	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hirsch, Rifka	Yes
11347	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Underwood, Todd J	Yes
11348	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cloud, Michael J	Yes
11349	8/31/2011	No	Streckfus, Marjorie E	Yes
11350	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	ogilvie, alan m	Yes
11351	8/31/2011	No	Lundy, Lorene K	Yes
11352	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Armer, Kendra	Yes
11353	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	dalal, namita	Yes
11354	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Secord, David M	Yes
11355	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	leon, marlene	Yes
11356	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lowry, Lindsay	Yes
11357	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ray, Kacy	Yes
11358	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Keyishian, Sarah	Yes
11359	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Videbaek, Bente	Yes
11360	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mainelli, Margaret	Yes
11361	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Welton, Deborah A	Yes
11362	8/31/2011	No	Sessions, Larry C	Yes
11363	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Petrov, Kim G	Yes
11364	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stephens, Leslie R	Yes
11365	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kinney, Ann	Yes
11366	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guse, Kevin L	Yes
11367	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Eiff, Judith A. Von Eiff	Yes
11368	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thomas, Bob	Yes
11369	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Page, Sandra	Yes
11370	8/31/2011	No	Eastman, Jeanne E	Yes
11371	8/31/2011	No	Cornelius, Donald	Yes
11372	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ghirlanda, Barbara A	Yes
11373	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Samaan, Sarah	Yes
11374	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Valent, Cassandra	Yes
11375	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolski, Michael S	Yes
11376	8/31/2011	No	Ferguson, Beatriz R	Yes
11377	8/31/2011	No	hall, robert mac naught hall	Yes
11378	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Alexander, Jenifer	Yes
11379	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Testa, Joseph	Yes
11380	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hickey, P	Yes
11381	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ozias, Julie L	Yes
11382	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McEnerney, Kathleen	Yes

0013879

11383	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Laurencell, Carol	Yes
11384	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Langham, Jeri M	Yes
11385	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Ramona L	Yes
11386	8/31/2011	No	Wakelee, Richard H	Yes
11387	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bonometti, Dr. Bobby &	Yes
11388	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hall, Dennis	Yes
11389	8/31/2011	No	Gentry, Rita E	Yes
11390	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Richard, Elisabeth	Yes
11391	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McClellan, Elizabeth A	Yes
11392	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Speciale, Samuel	Yes
11393	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hyatt, Nina	Yes
11394	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Haines, Kyle	Yes
11395	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Olson, Larry	Yes
11396	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weber, Alfred J	Yes
11397	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kassel, Kerul L	Yes
11398	8/31/2011	No	Deck, Robert T	Yes
11399	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sommer, Lucy	Yes
11400	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lemaire, Nicole	Yes
11401	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11402	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ivanisevic, Patricia	Yes
11403	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	fazzari, angela	Yes
11404	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Orr, barbara J	Yes
11405	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harris, Debra	Yes
11406	8/31/2011	No	Reyes, Susan M	Yes
11407	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Garriga, Jorge	Yes
11408	8/31/2011	No	Lippert, Amy	Yes
11409	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	hickey, P	Yes
11410	8/31/2011	No	multer, Karen	Yes
11411	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Morris, Eric	Yes
11412	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Carolyn B	Yes
11413	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Volness, Kirsten	Yes
11414	8/31/2011	No	McClain, Barbara A	Yes
11415	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mancuso, Heather M	Yes
11416	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	RESS, TOM	Yes
11417	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fano, Emily	Yes
11418	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Leigh, Jordan	Yes
11419	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	joly, frederique	Yes
11420	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Genin, Merideth K	Yes
11421	8/31/2011	No	Nicholson, Jane A	Yes
11422	8/31/2011	No	Dobosz, David A	Yes
11423	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Sally A	Yes
11424	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Everette, Walker	Yes
11425	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Morway, Josie	Yes
11426	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McAlister, Katherine	Yes
11427	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scriver, Brad Eugene van	Yes
11428	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Herz, Dayna	Yes
11429	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schampel, Julie	Yes
11430	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	updyke, Lauren D	Yes
11431	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Currence, Anna	Yes
11432	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wagner, Vickie M	Yes
11433	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dwyer, Sarah	Yes
11434	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mandell-Rice, Bonnie	Yes
11435	8/31/2011	No	Litwak, Taina R	Yes
11436	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Yagid, Joy	Yes
11437	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Snope, David	Yes



## 0013880

11438	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Donnelly, Stephen M	Yes
11439	8/31/2011	No	Karen, Rachel	Yes
11440	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Horlings, Rachel L	Yes
11441	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DeRogatis, Dana	Yes
11442	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Atkinson, Debbi	Yes
11443	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gooden, Carole	Yes
11444	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ostrand, Thomas	Yes
11445	8/31/2011	No	Jordan, Sarah E	Yes
11446	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Doty, PauLa Doty - Doty	Yes
11447	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hawley, Clifford L	Yes
11448	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gervais, Julie A	Yes
11449	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Raisor, Lisa	Yes
11450	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scheiman, Daniel	Yes
11451	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Minutaglio, Aubrey	Yes
11452	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Marjorie L	Yes
11453	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Anderson, Charles	Yes
11454	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ranallo, Kari	Yes
11455	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DiStaso, C.	Yes
11456	8/31/2011	No	Wootten, Eleanor G	Yes
11457	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dowson, Eleanor	Yes
11458	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	untalan, meris	Yes
11459	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Howald, Williamn N	Yes
11460	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robins, Jennifer	Yes
11461	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rutkowski, Robert E	Yes
11462	8/31/2011	No	Graettinger, Barbara	Yes
11463	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Youngman, Barbara	Yes
11464	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Friedrich, Kristen	Yes
11465	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dorosz, Julie	Yes
11466	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harris, Susan E	Yes
11467	8/31/2011	No	Petty, Carlene L	Yes
11468	8/31/2011	No	Lyles, Nancy A	Yes
11469	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Merz, Terri J	Yes
11470	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burton, Robert E	Yes
11471	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Greene, Edward F	Yes
11472	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	bailey, wes	Yes
11473	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Joan R	Yes
11474	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ridgway, Christine	Yes
11475	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tucker, Meredith C	Yes
11476	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Spence, Betty	Yes
11477	8/31/2011	No	berman, siegrid	Yes
11478	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pappas, Melissa R	Yes
11479	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Beidel, Sandy S	Yes
11480	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	katoozian, alex	Yes
11481	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Agostino, Christa	Yes
11482	8/31/2011	No	Juelfs, Martin S	Yes
11483	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11484	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Worzel, Howard	Yes
11485	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jensen, Bruce H	Yes
11486	8/31/2011	No	Cosby, Scott	Yes
11487	8/31/2011	No	Casmay, Davd E	Yes
11488	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	White, Carlene M	Yes
11489	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tassell, Kristen L. Van Tassell	Yes
11490	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chase, Jayni	Yes
11491	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Giese, Mark M	Yes
11492	8/31/2011	No	Briney, Michael R	Yes

## 0013881

11493	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	cole, janet	Yes
11494	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hammer, M	Yes
11495	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mills, Ann M	Yes
11496	8/31/2011	No	Roberts, Denene C	Yes
11497	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gwinn, Dawn	Yes
11498	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Skloven, Lydia	Yes
11499	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Critchlow, Lisa	Yes
11500	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lee, Jane	Yes
11501	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zerefos, Stephen	Yes
11502	8/31/2011	No	griffin, kathleen d	Yes
11503	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Alseth, Barbara J	Yes
11504	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heyneman, Amy	Yes
11505	8/31/2011	No	Jesnes, Suzanne	Yes
11506	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11507	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Dameta	Yes
11508	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Morris, Eric	Yes
11509	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Oglesby, George W	Yes
11510	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ovard, Charlotte W	Yes
11511	8/31/2011	No	Krizan, Kim A	Yes
11512	8/31/2011	No	Darley, Henry M	Yes
11513	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Poggio, Christina	Yes
11514	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11515	8/31/2011	No	Wagner, Matthew "	Yes
11516	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Murphey, Paul A	Yes
11517	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barels, Gail	Yes
11518	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Saravay, Richard	Yes
11519	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guilbault, Aubrey K	Yes
11520	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fitzgerald, Pat	Yes
11521	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11522	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lyles, Nancy A	Yes
11523	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	H, JILL	Yes
11524	8/31/2011	No	Sept, Melodie	Yes
11525	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Richman, Jacob C	Yes
11526	8/31/2011	No	Heinemann, Denine V	Yes
11527	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roane, Christine	Yes
11528	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fobes, Kris	Yes
11529	8/31/2011	No	Ranstrom, Patricia	Yes
11530	8/31/2011	No	Casmay, David E	Yes
11531	8/31/2011	No	Poland, Dianne	Yes
11532	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Curtis, Barbara	Yes
11533	8/31/2011	No	Prather, Wendy	Yes
11534	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Warren, Deborah A	Yes
11535	8/31/2011	No	Kofler, Roger H	Yes
11536	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lopez, Sheila	Yes
11537	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Waters, Kristine	Yes
11538	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mitchell, Robert W	Yes
11539	8/31/2011	No	Sutherland, Peter	Yes
11540	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ackerman, Caitlin	Yes
11541	8/31/2011	No	Henderson, Sam H	Yes
11542	8/31/2011	No	Meduna, Linda	Yes
11543	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	kanzer, michaelain	Yes
11544	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Sandra L	Yes
11545	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Doherty, Beth G	Yes
11546	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11547	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelman, Mary F	Yes

## 0013882

11548	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cockrell, Marti	Yes
11549	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Almeida, Stephanie	Yes
11550	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Riesenburg, Regina	Yes
11551	8/31/2011	No	Evilsizer, kathy a	Yes
11552	8/31/2011	No	Stinson, Constance Amy Robin	Yes
11553	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Terrazas, Ana L	Yes
11554	8/31/2011	No	kreiman, cindy a	Yes
11555	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11556	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Viney, Michelle L	Yes
11557	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	romano, maureen a	Yes
11558	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gardner, Len	Yes
11559	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wood, Tina	Yes
11560	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11561	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Pamela	Yes
11562	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11563	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carey, Edward	Yes
11564	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11565	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stahelin, Sarah M	Yes
11566	8/31/2011	No	Shields, Maureen	Yes
11567	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bogart, Barbara	Yes
11568	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wald, sandra	Yes
11569	8/31/2011	No	Galasko, Douglas R	Yes
11570	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Marilyn R	Yes
11571	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11572	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	kendall, will I	Yes
11573	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sokolowski, Karen L	Yes
11574	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Andregg, S.	Yes
11575	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11576	8/31/2011	No	Harden, Ronald D	Yes
11577	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Roan, Mary B	Yes
11578	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ingram, Carole	Yes
11579	8/31/2011	No	Hissom, Jill	Yes
11580	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Babcock, Reb L	Yes
11581	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11582	8/31/2011	No	Moss, Glenn A	Yes
11583	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11584	8/31/2011	No	Sullivan, Judith	Yes
11585	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Madden, Susan	Yes
11586	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grant, Floyd	Yes
11587	8/31/2011	No	Bechtel, Donald H	Yes
11588	8/31/2011	No	Ryan, Kevin L	Yes
11589	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hutson, Kevin & Jayne	Yes
11590	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Deskin, Rik L	Yes
11591	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dwyer, William T	Yes
11592	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Curtis, Kevin L	Yes
11593	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11594	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Zimmerman, Susan	Yes
11595	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	rollo, pat	Yes
11596	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hiller, Brian J	Yes
11597	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Long, Vivian R	Yes
11598	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grace, Miranda R	Yes
11599	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	farley, kathey	Yes
11600	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goldstein, Nancy	Yes
11601	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Curtis, Lisa	Yes
11602	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clifford, Rosemary	Yes

## 0013883

11603	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harbers, Lisa	Yes
11604	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Santoliquido, Geoffrey C	Yes
11605	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fisher, Dave W	Yes
11606	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Haake, David	Yes
11607	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dowling, Deborah	Yes
11608	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	charles, marta m	Yes
11609	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kotch, Arthur B	Yes
11610	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Carter, Marian M	Yes
11611	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11612	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Newcomb, Jen	Yes
11613	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McGaughey, Laura	Yes
11614	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Danna	Yes
11615	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Robert L	Yes
11616	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pardoe, David H	Yes
11617	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wald, Su	Yes
11618	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Longa, Jaime G	Yes
11619	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bell, Jessica	Yes
11620	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Killeen, Peter	Yes
11621	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Halvorson, Heather	Yes
11622	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Homeyer, Diane C	Yes
11623	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parris, Dr. B	Yes
11624	8/31/2011	No	Jobe, Laura	Yes
11625	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tarlton, Jason	Yes
11626	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Freson, Neil	Yes
11627	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Vega, Alejandra	Yes
11628	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoelzeman, Jennifer	Yes
11629	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Levy, Alice D	Yes
11630	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mandell, Risa	Yes
11631	8/31/2011	No	Telford, Rebecca	Yes
11632	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	AGOSTINI, LUISA D	Yes
11633	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Good, Deirdre	Yes
11634	8/31/2011	No	Giese, Mark M	Yes
11635	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clement, Audrey	Yes
11636	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Wayne V	Yes
11637	8/31/2011	No	Bates, Karen	Yes
11638	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ciesielski, Veronique	Yes
11639	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Watson, Laurel	Yes
11640	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hiller, Terry	Yes
11641	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Potts, Catherine	Yes
11642	8/31/2011	No	Presutti, Kaye	Yes
11643	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McGinn, Michele	Yes
11644	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11645	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	ege, leslie e	Yes
11646	8/31/2011	No	mujica, bernardo a	Yes
11647	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mosier, Scott T	Yes
11648	8/31/2011	No	Mendelsohn, Todd	Yes
11649	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fleming, Sabrina W	Yes
11650	8/31/2011	No	Strickwerda, Chris D	Yes
11651	8/31/2011	No	Knudsen, Julie E	Yes
11652	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Buttitta, Harold M	Yes
11653	8/31/2011	No	zwarun, andrew	Yes
11654	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frey, Lisa J	Yes
11655	8/31/2011	No	Rothchild, Patricia E	Yes
11656	8/31/2011	No	Kurcz-Easom, Susan	Yes
11657	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, May	Yes

0013884

11658	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11659	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clason, Susanna S	Yes
11660	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gay, Don C	Yes
11661	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lowe, Leslie W	Yes
11662	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chung, Gay	Yes
11663	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Winter, Blake	Yes
11664	8/31/2011	No	Becker, Elaine	Yes
11665	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11666	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bescript, Cherie	Yes
11667	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	lanzman, deborah	Yes
11668	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Burnett, Brenda B	Yes
11669	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hardman, Peggy	Yes
11670	8/31/2011	No	Hover, Richard C	Yes
11671	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11672	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bird, Gazelle	Yes
11673	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Donnell, Renee	Yes
11674	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nunes, Ty	Yes
11675	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bissonnette, Raymond T	Yes
11676	8/31/2011	No	M., Phyllis	Yes
11677	8/31/2011	No	Hamilton, Bill F	Yes
11678	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	schiegg, erika s	Yes
11679	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	gopalakrishnan, saritha	Yes
11680	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Weaver, Jan S	Yes
11681	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Selvage, Kimberly	Yes
11682	8/31/2011	No	desmarais, carolyn a	Yes
11683	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ginkel, Paul v	Yes
11684	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cambridge, Teresa P	Yes
11685	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	E., Roberta	Yes
11686	8/31/2011	No	Husic, Diane W	Yes
11687	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Oliva, Richard J	Yes
11688	8/31/2011	No	Cossu-Ferrara, Danielle E	Yes
11689	8/31/2011	No	Ownby, Helen E	Yes
11690	8/31/2011	No	Rosendahl, Kristee	Yes
11691	8/31/2011	No	Smith, Brian C	Yes
11692	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Adams", Mikanuk "Larry D.	Yes
11693	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kohlsdorf, Kai	Yes
11694	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cadwallader, & Terry D	Yes
11695	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paradise, Brian G	Yes
11696	8/31/2011	No	Truran, Phyllis	Yes
11697	8/31/2011	No	Bygott, John D	Yes
11698	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dionne, Denis	Yes
11699	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Monroe, Tracey	Yes
11700	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Euclide, Mark A	Yes
11701	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tucker, Jessica	Yes
11702	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fossan, Karen I Van Fossan	Yes
11703	8/31/2011	No	Hafeez, Naumaan	Yes
11704	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Rose M	Yes
11705	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Infantino, Chuck	Yes
11706	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	king, dawn m	Yes
11707	8/31/2011	No	Murtha, Sean	Yes
11708	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Butler, Edward	Yes
11709	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brant, Alan E	Yes
11710	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LaGoy, Ann	Yes
11711	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	RAMON, ALBERTO	Yes
11712	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bressler, David	Yes

## 0013885

11713	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Susan	Yes
11714	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crisalli, Marguerite	Yes
11715	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bourns, Julie	Yes
11716	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Layher, April D	Yes
11717	8/31/2011	No	Morris, Daniel J	Yes
11718	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Locker, Georgia	Yes
11719	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scarpati, Rodolfo	Yes
11720	8/31/2011	No	Paul, Lori L	Yes
11721	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bannister, Susan G	Yes
11722	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hanneman, Marc D	Yes
11723	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	sher, bret	Yes
11724	8/31/2011	No	Hegedus, Barbaras	Yes
11725	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dinescu, Carmen	Yes
11726	8/31/2011	No	Nerad, Lindsay R	Yes
11727	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Halberstadt, Carol S	Yes
11728	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	TITS, VIVIANE	Yes
11729	8/31/2011	No	Fensch, Janis A	Yes
11730	8/31/2011	No	Turner, Sue E	Yes
11731	8/31/2011	No	Godinez, art	Yes
11732	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Riddle, Iva L	Yes
11733	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11734	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Jenny A	Yes
11735	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martin, Jeff	Yes
11736	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Parrish, Roberta L	Yes
11737	8/25/2011	No	Shoaf, Richard C	No
11738	8/30/2011	No	Fisher, Carl M	No
11739	8/30/2011	No	Price, Janet F	No
11740	8/30/2011	No	Hagy, Rhonda	No
11741	8/30/2011	No	Hagy, Rhonda	No
11742	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sellers, Larry R	Yes
11743	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hawkins, Paula	Yes
11744	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wood, Craig	Yes
11745	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sweeney, Wesa-Asgaya K	Yes
11746	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McCormack, Regina M	Yes
11747	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Swek, Susan	Yes
11748	8/31/2011	No	Reif, Patricia	Yes
11749	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lundell-Harper, Hadith C	Yes
11750	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11751	8/31/2011	No	Oswald, Fred	Yes
11752	8/31/2011	No	Roush, Kimberly D	Yes
11753	8/31/2011	No	Dann, Donnie R	Yes
11754	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Landis, Dana	Yes
11755	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Meza, Joel	Yes
11756	8/31/2011	No	norton, suzanne m	Yes
11757	8/31/2011	No	Arsenault, Rev. Elizabeth S.	Yes
11758	8/31/2011	No	volk, deborah j	Yes
11759	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Conca, Joan M	Yes
11760	8/31/2011	No	Strait, Felina C	Yes
11761	8/31/2011	No	Bell, Emma	Yes
11762	8/31/2011	No	Riley, Paul S	Yes
11763	8/31/2011	No	Barcilon, Danielle	Yes
11764	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11765	8/31/2011	No	Estep, Linda M	Yes
11766	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Haim, Carla	Yes
11767	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berman, Richard	Yes

## 0013886

11768	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ashton, Chris	Yes
11769	8/31/2011	No	Raine, Michelle	Yes
11770	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robertson, Larry and Barbara	Yes
11771	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	storrs, andrea	Yes
11772	8/31/2011	No	Pickett, Shelly C	Yes
11773	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Nancy H	Yes
11774	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Friedman, Howard N	Yes
11775	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rodriguez, Abraham H	Yes
11776	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lanning, LouAnn	Yes
11777	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Holman, Virginia	Yes
11778	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	riCHARDS, Mary S	Yes
11779	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Klitzke, William R	Yes
11780	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11781	8/31/2011	No	Woods, Sam	Yes
11782	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fosburgh, Eric	Yes
11783	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	parker, corey	Yes
11784	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	holby, hazel a	Yes
11785	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Guion, William S	Yes
11786	8/31/2011	No	lamberger, Paul H	Yes
11787	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	citty, krystal	Yes
11788	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11789	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rossignol, Marianne D	Yes
11790	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mardones, Nano -	Yes
11791	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paterson, Valerie J	Yes
11792	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11793	8/31/2011	No	LeVesque, Jeanette r	Yes
11794	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Abbrecht, Peter & Dianna	Yes
11795	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	HARRISON, GEORGE T	Yes
11796	8/31/2011	No	Johnson, Vicki	Yes
11797	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dorn, Carolyn V	Yes
11798	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mulligan, Margi	Yes
11799	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11800	8/31/2011	No	rebar, andra	Yes
11801	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Serfess, S.	Yes
11802	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gardner, Teresa	Yes
11803	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11804	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	PEARISO, Sharon K	Yes
11805	8/31/2011	No	Weems, George H	Yes
11806	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Woodbury, Ruth C	Yes
11807	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goss, Allison K	Yes
11808	8/31/2011	No	Burchell, Shanna D	Yes
11809	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Paynter, David M	Yes
11810	8/31/2011	No	Seil, Fredrick J	Yes
11811	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Serfess, S	Yes
11812	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McCarty, Matthew C	Yes
11813	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hogan, Judith A	Yes
11814	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schick, Deborah	Yes
11815	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	danielson, toni	Yes
11816	8/31/2011	No	glasgow, barbara j	Yes
11817	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	MacKenzie, Mary J	Yes
11818	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Myers, Dorothy S	Yes
11819	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11820	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bredemeyer, Eric A	Yes
11821	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Haywood, John W	Yes
11822	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kline, Kimberly	Yes

0013887

11823	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Riddle, Carolyn M	Yes
11824	8/31/2011	No	Harpe, Barbara J	Yes
11825	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11826	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bowers, Peggy	Yes
11827	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tankersley, Dan	Yes
11828	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Day, Althea M	Yes
11829	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	meyen, sofia	Yes
11830	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rivera-Shapiro, Mirian	Yes
11831	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McDonald, Caroline	Yes
11832	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kiefer, Bob	Yes
11833	8/31/2011	No	Ross, Steph	Yes
11834	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11835	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	canalizo, dorian s	Yes
11836	8/31/2011	No	A, Nils	Yes
11837	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilder, Dee	Yes
11838	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11839	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Batovsky, Natalie	Yes
11840	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McIntyre, Dr. Blodwyn	Yes
11841	8/31/2011	No	Tully, Ian C	Yes
11842	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
11843	8/31/2011	No	Scheidler, Ruth A	Yes
11844	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nunez, P	Yes
11845	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guben, Merry B	Yes
11846	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Whaley, Susan	Yes
11847	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Waltershausen, Margaret R	Yes
11848	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Manning, Jesse T	Yes
11849	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bellows, Jacqueline L	Yes
11850	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heneghan, John	Yes
11851	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Saam, Thomas J	Yes
11852	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Castner, Emily S	Yes
11853	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Tavernia, Brian	Yes
11854	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rosen, Barbara A	Yes
11855	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Deapen, Kristopher n	Yes
11856	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lincoln, Deborah	Yes
11857	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	WOODS, BILLY	Yes
11858	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nowak, Mary L	Yes
11859	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fay, Moira E	Yes
11860	8/31/2011	No	Sander, Susan L	Yes
11861	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moy, Cary P	Yes
11862	8/31/2011	No	Pavletic, Terry	Yes
11863	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Chapman, Susan P	Yes
11864	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Laskin, Diane	Yes
11865	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kennedy, Robert	Yes
11866	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jorgensen, James H	Yes
11867	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Peariso, Sharon K	Yes
11868	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Larch, Patricia L	Yes
11869	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tipton, Wilma	Yes
11870	8/31/2011	No	Fuller, Marsha	Yes
11871	8/31/2011	No	foster, deborah a	Yes
11872	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Salts, Carey	Yes
11873	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rich, Sharon B	Yes
11874	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11875	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	klinkenberg, kathleen	Yes
11876	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11877	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Robert g	Yes



## 0013888

11878	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sharland, Bryan	Yes
11879	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Aranibar, Patricia	Yes
11880	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Irene J	Yes
11881	8/31/2011	No	Flygar, Rita	Yes
11882	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hassenger, Carol	Yes
11883	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mabrey, Edd	Yes
11884	8/31/2011	No	Laskin, Diane	Yes
11885	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lehnherr, Kelly L	Yes
11886	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	stalker, rachael	Yes
11887	8/31/2011	No	Stevens, Lisa	Yes
11888	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McNamara, Catherine	Yes
11889	8/31/2011	No	mayer, ken a	Yes
11890	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Huber, Charles	Yes
11891	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11892	8/31/2011	No	Berrian, Denise R	Yes
11893	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LeGendre, Denise A	Yes
11894	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McLaren, Janet M	Yes
11895	8/31/2011	No	Brenner, Thomas L	Yes
11896	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gardner, Edward J	Yes
11897	8/31/2011	No	Williams, Daniel P	Yes
11898	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Abrahamson, Warren G	Yes
11899	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tyler, Jeanne M	Yes
11900	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goff, Karen P	Yes
11901	8/31/2011	No	Tausek, Nicholas	Yes
11902	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11903	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berger, Lisa C	Yes
11904	8/31/2011	No	Sirk, Katie	Yes
11905	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kraynak, Ed	Yes
11906	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11907	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Guh, Hsiao-Huei	Yes
11908	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	sirk, katie	Yes
11909	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11910	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Williamson, Cristy	Yes
11911	8/31/2011	No	Higley, Erika B	Yes
11912	8/31/2011	No	Hertenstein, Rhonda	Yes
11913	8/31/2011	No	Meredith, Gary S	Yes
11914	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stiefel, Nancy A	Yes
11915	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Crow, Jacqueline A	Yes
11916	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Maurer, Jim	Yes
11917	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caudill, Claire P	Yes
11918	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Benyk, Georgia	Yes
11919	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	lindsay, yvonne	Yes
11920	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Campbell, Allan	Yes
11921	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Black, Celeste A	Yes
11922	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11923	8/31/2011	No	Ronquillo, Elena	Yes
11924	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Yarnell, Jodi B	Yes
11925	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Kirkwood, Anne	Yes
11926	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martinez, Roxana	Yes
11927	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lipsch, Jerald B	Yes
11928	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Stewart, Sarah b	Yes
11929	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Ralph and Jeannette	Yes
11930	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	walturz, crissi	Yes
11931	8/31/2011	No	Hayes, Linda M	Yes
11932	8/31/2011	No	Zahakos, Jim	Yes

0013889

11933	8/31/2011	No	Addison, Lindsay	Yes
11934	8/31/2011	No	duon, mariavictoria g	Yes
11935	8/31/2011	No	Bryant, Carmen L	Yes
11936	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Doyle, Jo A	Yes
11937	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Friedman, Nancy M	Yes
11938	8/31/2011	No	Mozen, Harry	Yes
11939	8/31/2011	No	Davis, Jeffrey	Yes
11940	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arnold, Alison	Yes
11941	8/31/2011	No	Widell, Janet	Yes
11942	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boekell, Steve C	Yes
11943	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	D.D.S., Garry L Grimes, D.D.S.	Yes
11944	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Maize, Cristine	Yes
11945	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hansen, Christine	Yes
11946	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Ariani, Kade	Yes
11947	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	WERT, SHANNON L VAN WERT	Yes
11948	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grunden, Shelley S	Yes
11949	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Martin, Michele L	Yes
11950	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Magathan, Pamela C	Yes
11951	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jackson, Claire	Yes
11952	8/31/2011	No	bush, john	Yes
11953	8/31/2011	No	Stewart, Sarah B	Yes
11954	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Greenley, Deborah Y	Yes
11955	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clark, Barbara L	Yes
11956	8/31/2011	No	Martin, Patrice	Yes
11957	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pienciak, Sue	Yes
11958	8/31/2011	No	butkiewicz, mike	Yes
11959	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Raymond, Michael J	Yes
11960	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Grubb, Robert R	Yes
11961	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	McClatchey, Walter P	Yes
11962	8/31/2011	No	Sartoris, Elaine and John	Yes
11963	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	behrens, elizabeth	Yes
11964	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Orr, barbara J	Yes
11965	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Harrison, Randy	Yes
11966	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wedow, Nancy J	Yes
11967	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	POHLMAN, CATHY	Yes
11968	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marcy, Barton C	Yes
11969	8/31/2011	No	Adamski, Thomas	Yes
11970	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Intemann, Raymond	Yes
11971	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lukas, James	Yes
11972	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gibbs, Pat	Yes
11973	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	selquist, donna j	Yes
11974	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Capshaw, Anita	Yes
11975	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Diegelman, Margie	Yes
11976	8/31/2011	No	McKelovey, Gerald H	Yes
11977	8/31/2011	No	Birdwell, Nikki	Yes
11978	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caspers, Mary-Jane	Yes
11979	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Honeychuck, Nancy A	Yes
11980	8/31/2011	No	Libbey, Donna	Yes
11981	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	oliver, reed r	Yes
11982	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Luebke, Brenda A	Yes
11983	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Bill and Joyce	Yes
11984	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
11985	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dengler-Germain, Bethany	Yes
11986	8/31/2011	No	Auslander, Joe A	Yes
11987	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Turick, Pamela	Yes

## 0013890

11988	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Billewicz, Andrea	Yes
11989	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McLean, Stanley C	Yes
11990	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Frank, Lee	Yes
11991	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schwartz, Karen	Yes
11992	8/31/2011	No	Kunkle, Dan	Yes
11993	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	pate, nathan	Yes
11994	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Turk, Patricia A	Yes
11995	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cianelli, Don A	Yes
11996	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Nevers, Michelle	Yes
11997	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mills, David M	Yes
11998	8/31/2011	No	Schroeder, K. J	Yes
11999	8/31/2011	No	gOMBEROFF, Ismael A	Yes
12000	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Adamski, Thomas	Yes
12001	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	levinw, edie	Yes
12002	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brigham, Rick	Yes
12003	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Scritchfield, Roberta G	Yes
12004	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bugliarelli, Diane T	Yes
12005	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Savage, Dorothy	Yes
12006	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lindner, Bill	Yes
12007	8/31/2011	No	Heidt, Lin J	Yes
12008	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sellers, Hope	Yes
12009	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Goedhart, Hester M	Yes
12010	8/31/2011	No	Almond, Wayne N	Yes
12011	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Yellin-Waldron, Rhonda B	Yes
12012	8/31/2011	No	Mogg, Margaret L	Yes
12013	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12014	8/31/2011	No	Ramos, Sigrid E	Yes
12015	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ambrose, Melissa	Yes
12016	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	T, Meggie	Yes
12017	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heilman, Arthur	Yes
12018	8/31/2011	No	Sanni, Mike L	Yes
12019	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Alexander, Kathleen	Yes
12020	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gault, Carol A	Yes
12021	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Karnofsky, William M	Yes
12022	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tolleson, Connie	Yes
12023	8/31/2011	No	DeLauro, Joan	Yes
12024	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moen, Jennifer	Yes
12025	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12026	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Stumpf, Lawrence	Yes
12027	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shadrack, Roxann	Yes
12028	8/31/2011	No	Dorsten, Mark J	Yes
12029	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Donna	Yes
12030	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Levey, Laura	Yes
12031	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Pampu, David J	Yes
12032	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12033	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clancy, Marty	Yes
12034	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Buelow, Christopher	Yes
12035	8/31/2011	No	Burazer, George D	Yes
12036	8/31/2011	No	Harrington, Joyce	Yes
12037	8/31/2011	No	baker, theodora	Yes
12038	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Donna J	Yes
12039	8/31/2011	No	McClain, Barbara A	Yes
12040	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Stanton, Sue A	Yes
12041	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Leahy, Susan	Yes
12042	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Coe, Michael R	Yes

## 0013891

12043	8/31/2011	No	Tarlowe, Paul	Yes
12044	8/31/2011	No	weaver, Charles V	Yes
12045	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Chris	Yes
12046	8/31/2011	No	leeman, cavin	Yes
12047	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	davenport, robert c	Yes
12048	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Laporta, Penny	Yes
12049	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Slade, Suzanne	Yes
12050	8/31/2011	No	Lux-Kosiewicz, Lynnea	Yes
12051	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wheeler, Jean S	Yes
12052	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Boardman, Ian	Yes
12053	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Phillip D	Yes
12054	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Klaput, Donna	Yes
12055	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pedersen, John R	Yes
12056	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Gilbert, Linda	Yes
12057	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bason, Kate	Yes
12058	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sullivan, James	Yes
12059	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cooper, Lynne	Yes
12060	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fischman, Lawrence	Yes
12061	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McCartin, Laurie S	Yes
12062	8/31/2011	No	McIntosh, Beverly C	Yes
12063	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Romano, Karen L	Yes
12064	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	marquardt, naomi c	Yes
12065	8/31/2011	No	Jr, Kenneth S Coley Jr	Yes
12066	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Keller, Marcia D	Yes
12067	8/31/2011	No	apt, barbara	Yes
12068	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moya, Eleonora M	Yes
12069	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12070	8/31/2011	No	rueben, a.dirk v	Yes
12071	8/31/2011	No	Slater-Giglioli, Julie	Yes
12072	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Fleeman, Mark	Yes
12073	8/31/2011	No	Wilcken, Lois E	Yes
12074	8/31/2011	No	Nord, Cynthia M	Yes
12075	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ricks, Linda M	Yes
12076	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	McGowan, James	Yes
12077	8/31/2011	No	cantu, jesus	Yes
12078	8/31/2011	No	Rattigan, Christine	Yes
12079	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mobasher, Nooshy	Yes
12080	8/31/2011	No	Cockerham, Glenn	Yes
12081	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	weiland, sherry	Yes
12082	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12083	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	C, Melissa	Yes
12084	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Lenz, Michelle	Yes
12085	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Floyd, Debra S	Yes
12086	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	WoodConstable, Mary E	Yes
12087	8/31/2011	No	Davis, Edith M	Yes
12088	8/31/2011	No	Brown, Marilyn	Yes
12089	8/31/2011	No	Taylor, Carolyn L	Yes
12090	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lindstom, Hal	Yes
12091	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	wick, jodi	Yes
12092	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	hodge, teri	Yes
12093	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12094	8/31/2011	No	Gasher, Aurelia D	Yes
12095	8/31/2011	No	Frohn, Joyce E	Yes
12096	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12097	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Standard, Steven W	Yes

0013892

12098	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	SCHLAGMAN, DANIEL	Yes
12099	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12100	8/31/2011	No	Guertin, Daphne V	Yes
12101	8/31/2011	No	Stoner, Cynthia J	Yes
12102	8/31/2011	No	marquardt, naomi c	Yes
12103	8/31/2011	No	ingham, ken	Yes
12104	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rivenburg, Debbie	Yes
12105	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Schlesinger, Sybil	Yes
12106	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DeVane, Margaret	Yes
12107	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	Bowsher, Kathleen S	Yes
12108	8/31/2011	No	Petersen, Nicolas C	Yes
12109	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Thomas, Kim	Yes
12110	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cherry, Christel	Yes
12111	8/31/2011	No	Draper, Barb J	Yes
12112	8/31/2011	No	Huston, Jessica	Yes
12113	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12114	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Dolloff, Jacoba	Yes
12115	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wozniak, Rhonda A	Yes
12116	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoch, Lisa J	Yes
12117	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	L, Donna	Yes
12118	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Herbert, Wendy A	Yes
12119	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Good, Ronda	Yes
12120	8/31/2011	No	Todd, Janis B	Yes
12121	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Haidinger, Shirley	Yes
12122	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12123	8/31/2011	No	Heckman, Richard	Yes
12124	8/31/2011	No	McCLeary, Robert S	Yes
12125	8/31/2011	Yes (609013)	McCLeary, Robert S	Yes
12126	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Marks, Jeremy N	Yes
12127	8/31/2011	No	Davidson, Robin L	Yes
12128	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12129	8/31/2011	No	Phillips, Shawna	Yes
12130	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Connor, Myrene B	Yes
12131	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	holmes, brad	Yes
12132	8/31/2011	No	Newman, Matthew	Yes
12133	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, William C	Yes
12134	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Weidemann, Tamryn	Yes
12135	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	golstd, carol	Yes
12136	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Reis, Jane C	Yes
12137	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hesselink, Joanne D	Yes
12138	8/31/2011	No	Noll, Rebecca C	Yes
12139	8/31/2011	No	Vogelsang, Judith	Yes
12140	8/31/2011	No	Handelsman, Robert	Yes
12141	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hilyer, Lisa	Yes
12142	8/31/2011	No	Hall, Betsy A	Yes
12143	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	d'angelo, melissa	Yes
12144	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Robert	Yes
12145	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Britton-Simmons, Mary S	Yes
12146	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Loupe, Juli A	Yes
12147	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Talik, Diane M	Yes
12148	8/31/2011	No	Fass, Arline	Yes
12149	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Loupe, Juli A	Yes
12150	8/31/2011	No	Hartzler, Margaret	Yes
12151	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bartolomeo, Kathleen	Yes
12152	8/31/2011	No	Hink, Lani	Yes

0013893

12153	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	gamel, matt r	Yes
12154	8/31/2011	No	Mignaud, Jan M	Yes
12155	8/31/2011	No	Jr., James M. Bates, Jr.	Yes
12156	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Simms, Charles	Yes
12157	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pruitt, Patricia A	Yes
12158	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12159	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Putnam, Lynn	Yes
12160	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Shamy, Susanna	Yes
12161	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tombleson, Barbara	Yes
12162	8/31/2011	No	Karls, Kristi	Yes
12163	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Arroyo, Sergio M	Yes
12164	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Rapaport, Lisa	Yes
12165	8/31/2011	No	Whitesides, Susan B	Yes
12166	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	DeSpain, Juell	Yes
12167	8/31/2011	No	Hardy, Mitzi M	Yes
12168	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Banik, Lisa	Yes
12169	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bezdek, Marjorie	Yes
12170	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moon, Carolyn G	Yes
12171	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Tucker, David W	Yes
12172	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Black, Daren	Yes
12173	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Caminiti, Rosemarie	Yes
12174	8/31/2011	No	Stanford, Suzanne M	Yes
12175	8/31/2011	No	Dart, James N	Yes
12176	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Leming, Chad T	Yes
12177	8/31/2011	No	Gibson, Phyllis	Yes
12178	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Votava, Kathleen	Yes
12179	8/31/2011	No	Casey, Gene and Lizbeth Casey	Yes
12180	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wimsatt, David M	Yes
12181	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Clouser, Marcia A	Yes
12182	8/31/2011	No	Wood, Joy	Yes
12183	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12184	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hargraves, Missy	Yes
12185	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Ruth	Yes
12186	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Flowers, Deborah J	Yes
12187	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Baxter, Paul	Yes
12188	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Frame, Laura	Yes
12189	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Middleton, Tim o	Yes
12190	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12191	8/31/2011	No	Gilbert, John M	Yes
12192	8/31/2011	No	Dillon, Laure	Yes
12193	8/31/2011	No	Ellison, Claudia	Yes
12194	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	BRYANT, ELIZABETH	Yes
12195	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Moran, Kathy J	Yes
12196	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Poxon, Judith L	Yes
12197	8/31/2011	No	ERICSON, DEL	Yes
12198	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Martire, R	Yes
12199	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Petersen, John	Yes
12200	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Brenda S	Yes
12201	8/31/2011	No	Elliott, Tracy	Yes
12202	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Epstein, Marc	Yes
12203	8/31/2011	No	Geiss, J	Yes
12204	8/31/2011	No	heiselr, rebeccaheisler a	Yes
12205	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, James	Yes
12206	8/31/2011	No	Copeland, Arvina L	Yes
12207	8/31/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013894

12208	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Tracy L	Yes
12209	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Steinschreiber, Collette	Yes
12210	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	Prichard, Pam	Yes
12211	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Finney, Lucia E	Yes
12212	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Cantalupo, Nancy	Yes
12213	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hauck, Molly P	Yes
12214	8/31/2011	No	Monson, Todd C	Yes
12215	8/31/2011	No	chlebowski, clinton j	Yes
12216	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12217	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Wagner, Vickie M	Yes
12218	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12219	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Feldman, Tracy S	Yes
12220	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12221	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, James	Yes
12222	8/31/2011	No	Garvy, V.	Yes
12223	8/31/2011	No	Alsafi, Catherine	Yes
12224	8/31/2011	No	Huynh, Chi	Yes
12225	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Coleman, Edidth G	Yes
12226	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Nowak, Robin	Yes
12227	8/31/2011	Yes (602261)	K, Ashley	Yes
12228	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolford, Kathryn	Yes
12229	8/31/2011	No	lentz, eve d	Yes
12230	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Tony	Yes
12231	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Coleman, Edith G	Yes
12232	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Payne, Bernadette	Yes
12233	8/31/2011	No	Spencer, Linda	Yes
12234	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	LaCorte, Shannon	Yes
12235	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Hueber, Amy	Yes
12236	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Sellars, Melissa	Yes
12237	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Lesley, Jayne F	Yes
12238	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Barankovich, AmyLeo	Yes
12239	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Berteaux, Elizabeth	Yes
12240	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	berario, myra	Yes
12241	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Long, Judy A	Yes
12242	8/31/2011	No	Brosnan, Melissa A	Yes
12243	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McGrarw, Mary S	Yes
12244	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mason, Marcie	Yes
12245	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gabriel, Judith A	Yes
12246	8/31/2011	No	HARBISON, ANDREW R	Yes
12247	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Baudrand, Cherie A	Yes
12248	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Mugglestone, Tom A	Yes
12249	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jackson, Leila L	Yes
12250	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Gillings, David K	Yes
12251	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Shaw, Stephanie	Yes
12252	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Worley, David	Yes
12253	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12254	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12255	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Estaris, Janelle F	Yes
12256	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Wagner, P.	Yes
12257	8/31/2011	No	Robie, Stephen B	Yes
12258	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Pownall, Linda	Yes
12259	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Eskra, j	Yes
12260	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Mugglestone, Tom A	Yes
12261	8/31/2011	No	Pollmann, Kate	Yes
12262	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Jackson, Pamela H	Yes

## 0013895

12263	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sopher, Nancy N	Yes
12264	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	WIGGINS, NANCY H	Yes
12265	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	szeto, andrea	Yes
12266	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Cisna, Todd	Yes
12267	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Grabowski, Kathy	Yes
12268	8/31/2011	No	Kacskos, Suzanne M	Yes
12269	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12270	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Rizer, William D	Yes
12271	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Katherine J	Yes
12272	8/31/2011	No	Hooper, Robert W	Yes
12273	8/31/2011	No	Steenwyk, Delores Jeanne Van	Yes
12274	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Callaway, Michael S	Yes
12275	8/31/2011	No	Rout, Les	Yes
12276	8/31/2011	No	Lee, Gloria	Yes
12277	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Va, Stina	Yes
12278	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Sims, Millicent K	Yes
12279	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	McRae, Jane B	Yes
12280	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Heishman, Jill L	Yes
12281	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Asnes, Ann R	Yes
12282	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Skryja, David	Yes
12283	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Ware, Donald M	Yes
12284	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Evans, Michael W	Yes
12285	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	Quammen, Parker	Yes
12286	8/31/2011	Yes (603687)	Muller, Sheldon	Yes
12287	8/31/2011	No	Quinlan, Susan	Yes
12288	8/31/2011	No	Gale, Daryl	Yes
12289	8/31/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12290	8/31/2011	No	Parsons, Nancy	Yes
12291	8/31/2011	No	Bean, Brandon M m Bean	Yes
12292	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Darrah, Brooks D	Yes
12293	9/1/2011	No	Scaglia, Maureen A	Yes
12294	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Soffler, Judy W	Yes
12295	9/1/2011	No	hines, whitney	Yes
12296	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12297	9/1/2011	No	Bean, Brandon M m Bean	Yes
12298	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Osborne, Molly A	Yes
12299	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Kranowski, Steven	Yes
12300	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Reilly, Catherine M	Yes
12301	9/1/2011	No	Henry, Michelle	Yes
12302	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12303	9/1/2011	No	Tabler, Barbara A	Yes
12304	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Dutra, Marleen	Yes
12305	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Conner, Kristen	Yes
12306	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hilgeman, Mary A	Yes
12307	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	DESMOND, JEANETTE F	Yes
12308	9/1/2011	No	Evans, Linda L	Yes
12309	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Matore, Shannon	Yes
12310	9/1/2011	No	Rogers, Tracey	Yes
12311	9/1/2011	No	M, Nadia	Yes
12312	9/1/2011	No	Tower, Bill	Yes
12313	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lee, Amanda	Yes
12314	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Naskova, Elena	Yes
12315	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Harasym, William	Yes
12316	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Murray, Lisa	Yes
12317	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Fish, Jason	Yes



## 0013896

12318	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Richard, Anita	Yes
12319	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kurtz, Maya C	Yes
12320	9/1/2011	No	Hill, Carolee D	Yes
12321	9/1/2011	No	Cooper, Ruth H	Yes
12322	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Saltzer, Bruce L	Yes
12323	9/1/2011	No	Goeckermann, John	Yes
12324	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Dickerson, Gay	Yes
12325	9/1/2011	No	Barber, Michael	Yes
12326	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Minault, Kent	Yes
12327	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hodge, Sonya L	Yes
12328	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Taylor, James E	Yes
12329	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Soler, Verner	Yes
12330	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sidbury, Mercy	Yes
12331	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Unruh, Larry	Yes
12332	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Messinas, Kipp S	Yes
12333	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Spruill, Eugene G	Yes
12334	9/1/2011	No	Council, Nina	Yes
12335	9/1/2011	No	Derby, Marian	Yes
12336	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Paul A	Yes
12337	9/1/2011	No	Silverman, Deidre	Yes
12338	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Gruner, Horst	Yes
12339	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Fighera, Linda A	Yes
12340	9/1/2011	No	Graham-Gardner, Rosemary	Yes
12341	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	FitzGerald, Lisa M	Yes
12342	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Klopp, Basey N	Yes
12343	9/1/2011	No	Hermann, Linda M	Yes
12344	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Gregory	Yes
12345	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hardesty, Amanda	Yes
12346	9/1/2011	No	wu, susan j	Yes
12347	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wein, Wendy	Yes
12348	9/1/2011	No	Ushakoff, Vladimir Michael	Yes
12349	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Burch, Travis W	Yes
12350	9/1/2011	No	Reeves, Darla	Yes
12351	9/1/2011	No	roberts, mark	Yes
12352	9/1/2011	No	Bosch, Denise	Yes
12353	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Ginger	Yes
12354	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Fish, Jason	Yes
12355	9/1/2011	No	Dormont, Mitchell S	Yes
12356	9/1/2011	No	Andrews, Steve	Yes
12357	9/1/2011	No	Robey, Steve	Yes
12358	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Battaglia, Alisa	Yes
12359	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12360	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Webster, William D	Yes
12361	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	kanter, fredrica s	Yes
12362	9/1/2011	No	Joshua, Sholom	Yes
12363	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Morseth, Wendy K	Yes
12364	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Biser, James D	Yes
12365	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Weihofen, Susan A	Yes
12366	9/1/2011	No	McGehee, Emily	Yes
12367	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12368	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Arauz, Jorge B	Yes
12369	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Biser, James D	Yes
12370	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wallach, Aleta	Yes
12371	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Benamou, Catherine	Yes
12372	9/1/2011	No	Valdes, Leandro	Yes

0013897

12373	9/1/2011	No	Hurt, Luc	Yes
12374	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hurley, Gaylene	Yes
12375	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	You, Lucia	Yes
12376	9/1/2011	No	Allen, Jan	Yes
12377	9/1/2011	No	Taylor, Carol A	Yes
12378	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wolfe, Kathleen A	Yes
12379	9/1/2011	No	small, gretchen	Yes
12380	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bowles, Elizabeth J	Yes
12381	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	forster, lynn	Yes
12382	9/1/2011	No	Chang, Patricia D	Yes
12383	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Crews, Janna	Yes
12384	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Minton, Billie	Yes
12385	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Novak, Nancy J	Yes
12386	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Windsor, Andrew	Yes
12387	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lowentrou, Mark L	Yes
12388	9/1/2011	No	Leather, Rose M	Yes
12389	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Glover, Robert and Julia Kenny	Yes
12390	9/1/2011	No	Laiti, Jared	Yes
12391	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Austin, Kim S	Yes
12392	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	murray, henya n	Yes
12393	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Titilah, Jennifer	Yes
12394	9/1/2011	No	Harrison, Norma J F	Yes
12395	9/1/2011	No	Marquez, Johnny	Yes
12396	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Story, Tiffany B	Yes
12397	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Barbash, Noel M	Yes
12398	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Fahrenkamp, Peggy A	Yes
12399	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Trufan, Hal	Yes
12400	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gathing, Nancy	Yes
12401	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Eisbach, David O	Yes
12402	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hong, Celeste	Yes
12403	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mendieta, Vince	Yes
12404	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Debi	Yes
12405	9/1/2011	No	KAPUSTKA, FRANKLIN J	Yes
12406	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hales, Jay	Yes
12407	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Henderson, Jennifer C	Yes
12408	9/1/2011	No	King, Sue J	Yes
12409	9/1/2011	No	Simo, Tarya E	Yes
12410	9/1/2011	No	Miller, Leslie	Yes
12411	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Jackson, Leila L	Yes
12412	9/1/2011	No	Moravcov&aacute;,;	Yes
12413	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12414	9/1/2011	No	Altman, Susan R	Yes
12415	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Montanez-Salas, Alida	Yes
12416	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	O'Keeffe, Patrick	Yes
12417	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Otto, Veanne	Yes
12418	9/1/2011	No	Glover, Robert and Julia Kenny	Yes
12419	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonzalez, Veronica	Yes
12420	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Evans, Rebecca	Yes
12421	9/1/2011	No	Hoffmeister, Carl W	Yes
12422	9/1/2011	No	Willis, Jen S	Yes
12423	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Caralla, Alyssa	Yes
12424	9/1/2011	No	Wortham, Michael	Yes
12425	9/1/2011	No	Birch, Joan M	Yes
12426	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Rockwood, Christopher	Yes
12427	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Winter, Matthew	Yes

## 0013898

12428	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	mechem, lorraine	Yes
12429	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Leong, Robin Lung Chien	Yes
12430	9/1/2011	No	Butlwe, Dee	Yes
12431	9/1/2011	No	barouch, melissa	Yes
12432	9/1/2011	No	Curtis, Richard	Yes
12433	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12434	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	McDevitt, Mary	Yes
12435	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jennings, Beverly	Yes
12436	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Asbury, Luther S	Yes
12437	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Jaeger, Priska	Yes
12438	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	LaVake, Sheldon	Yes
12439	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12440	9/1/2011	No	Elia, Marguerite R	Yes
12441	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Mendieta, Vince	Yes
12442	9/1/2011	No	Hartzog, Jeanne A	Yes
12443	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Simmons, Amy	Yes
12444	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Wiley, Kim	Yes
12445	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Bailey, Morgan	Yes
12446	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Farr, Donna	Yes
12447	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Mendieta, Vince	Yes
12448	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cygrymus, Loree	Yes
12449	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Georgiades, Kallya	Yes
12450	9/1/2011	No	Machan, Callie	Yes
12451	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gulyy, Vladimir V	Yes
12452	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	LoBianco, Roman	Yes
12453	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	dortch, jo a	Yes
12454	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12455	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hudak, Lesley A	Yes
12456	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Gimblet, J.R.	Yes
12457	9/1/2011	No	Hasbach, Corinna	Yes
12458	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Willett-Shaw, Stephanie	Yes
12459	9/1/2011	No	Powell, Karen	Yes
12460	9/1/2011	No	Selwood, Sally	Yes
12461	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Kamm, Monika	Yes
12462	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Hyland, Kristina	Yes
12463	9/1/2011	No	Meinhardt, Ken	Yes
12464	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mann, Galia	Yes
12465	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Haines, Trevolyn	Yes
12466	9/1/2011	No	Schirra, Sarah	Yes
12467	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Montgomery, Alexis	Yes
12468	9/1/2011	No	A, Candice	Yes
12469	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Felver, Linda	Yes
12470	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Paul	Yes
12471	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Attard, Joanna	Yes
12472	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Joiner, Tara R	Yes
12473	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jenks, Robert	Yes
12474	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wenzer, Kenneth	Yes
12475	9/1/2011	No	Duffy, Robert f	Yes
12476	9/1/2011	No	Hickman, Scott C	Yes
12477	9/1/2011	No	Biel, Catherine L	Yes
12478	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	cleaves, Robyn	Yes
12479	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Li, Carole	Yes
12480	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Dykema, Cornelius	Yes
12481	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Linn, Priscilla B	Yes
12482	9/1/2011	No	Humphreys, John E	Yes

0013899

12483	9/1/2011	No	TUPA, JANETTE W	Yes
12484	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bennington, s	Yes
12485	9/1/2011	No	Milthorpe, Patricia K	Yes
12486	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gilmore, Linda	Yes
12487	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Tufford, Cindy	Yes
12488	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12489	9/1/2011	No	Butler, Dan	Yes
12490	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wehrmeister, Kim	Yes
12491	9/1/2011	No	curti, manuela	Yes
12492	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Kinney, Patrick M	Yes
12493	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Marinilli, Jennifer	Yes
12494	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ryland, Barbara	Yes
12495	9/1/2011	No	Shaw, Ingrid	Yes
12496	9/1/2011	No	sloterdike, michael	Yes
12497	9/1/2011	No	Strewe, Marie-Luise	Yes
12498	9/1/2011	No	Crider, Nancy M	Yes
12499	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Barnes, Valerie B	Yes
12500	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Arnold, Arlene	Yes
12501	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Russell, Brenda A	Yes
12502	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kipen, Ken & Ethel Kipen	Yes
12503	9/1/2011	No	Tweeton, Tanya L	Yes
12504	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Mary B	Yes
12505	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Lunsford, Jimmie	Yes
12506	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Balsai, Michael	Yes
12507	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Schihl, Michal L	Yes
12508	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	martorano, joan	Yes
12509	9/1/2011	No	Funke, Patrice L	Yes
12510	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	MATTHEWS, BARB A	Yes
12511	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12512	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12513	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Peck, Naomi G	Yes
12514	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12515	9/1/2011	No	Riechert, John D	Yes
12516	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	White, Kim	Yes
12517	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bean, Krisallen	Yes
12518	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Marcotullio, Louis P	Yes
12519	9/1/2011	No	Cleary, Gregory P	Yes
12520	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12521	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Knowles, Andrea M	Yes
12522	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bromer, Peter R	Yes
12523	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12524	9/1/2011	No	Casillas, Ida E	Yes
12525	9/1/2011	No	Fontanarosa, Lisa M	Yes
12526	9/1/2011	No	Corey-Olszewski, Bonnie A	Yes
12527	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoess, Joseph J	Yes
12528	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hoke, Beth	Yes
12529	9/1/2011	No	Filipy, Lisa	Yes
12530	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Pfeffer, Donna	Yes
12531	9/1/2011	No	Leiker, Diane	Yes
12532	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Raymond, Mike	Yes
12533	9/1/2011	No	Beaver, FloAmm	Yes
12534	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Pfeffer, Donna	Yes
12535	9/1/2011	No	Sellitto, Antoinette	Yes
12536	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Naples, Jean M	Yes
12537	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kerns, Dr M	Yes

## 0013900

12538	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Beeler, Clara	Yes
12539	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Parker, Maxcine L	Yes
12540	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Powers, Paula J	Yes
12541	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12542	9/1/2011	No	Martz, Russell E	Yes
12543	9/1/2011	No	Reber-Thomason, Lynne	Yes
12544	9/1/2011	No	messina, ronald a	Yes
12545	9/1/2011	No	Moore, Beverly C	Yes
12546	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12547	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Keiser, Robert B	Yes
12548	9/1/2011	No	andrus, bj	Yes
12549	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	filley, sue ellen johnson filley	Yes
12550	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bartosik, Mark B	Yes
12551	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hammer, Signe -	Yes
12552	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Saunders, Britton R	Yes
12553	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Stenger, Lori	Yes
12554	9/1/2011	No	Berge, Patricia A	Yes
12555	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lockwood, Kathleen	Yes
12556	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Burrell, Charles C	Yes
12557	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Munnik, Faye S	Yes
12558	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Lippert, Amy	Yes
12559	9/1/2011	No	c, vicky	Yes
12560	9/1/2011	Yes (573760)	Jaros, Kathleen A	Yes
12561	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Parker, Shelley W	Yes
12562	9/1/2011	No	Church, Janet	Yes
12563	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	EDROSA, LORELEI	Yes
12564	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bolwerk, Beth	Yes
12565	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Minchew, Tessa	Yes
12566	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Jackie	Yes
12567	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12568	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sychra, Marilyn	Yes
12569	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Sandra T	Yes
12570	9/1/2011	No	ray, kristy b	Yes
12571	9/1/2011	No	Gabig, Kathryn M	Yes
12572	9/1/2011	No	Shope, Dave T	Yes
12573	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Zellers, Sandra	Yes
12574	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Patrizzi, Lee	Yes
12575	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Somers, Ami	Yes
12576	9/1/2011	No	Fifer, Nancy G	Yes
12577	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Walter, Janet-Jo	Yes
12578	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bjornbak, Sharron	Yes
12579	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sterrett, Frank S	Yes
12580	9/1/2011	No	Ohane, Jackson	Yes
12581	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Winkler, Lana E	Yes
12582	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Nielsen, Nora M	Yes
12583	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	owen, amy	Yes
12584	9/1/2011	No	Ard, Debbie	Yes
12585	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Baltimore, Terry S	Yes
12586	9/1/2011	No	Washburn, Kelly	Yes
12587	9/1/2011	No	Ordman, Jessica	Yes
12588	9/1/2011	No	Jones, Robert H	Yes
12589	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bowling, Beverly A	Yes
12590	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Guerard, Marcel J	Yes
12591	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lehman, Frank M	Yes
12592	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Clapper, David L	Yes

## 0013901

12593	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Savett, Adam	Yes
12594	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	House, Sarah E	Yes
12595	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Buteau, Gail	Yes
12596	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonce, Rebecca	Yes
12597	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Russell, Sherry R	Yes
12598	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12599	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Greenberg, Frances	Yes
12600	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Tanner, Tyree W	Yes
12601	9/1/2011	No	Hungerford, Rhonda S	Yes
12602	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Grant, Susan M	Yes
12603	9/1/2011	No	Bullock, Richard D	Yes
12604	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Higgins, Patti R	Yes
12605	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Nancy	Yes
12606	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Mickowski, Francis	Yes
12607	9/1/2011	No	mishcon, judy a	Yes
12608	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mastropole, Kristen	Yes
12609	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Lori T	Yes
12610	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Boshart, Sasha Jung Ae	Yes
12611	9/1/2011	No	selquist, donna j	Yes
12612	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Teller, Judy	Yes
12613	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Watson, Jennifer	Yes
12614	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Barrows, Carol S	Yes
12615	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Davis-Castro, Carla	Yes
12616	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	waleski, rebecca	Yes
12617	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hagamaier, Lois R	Yes
12618	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Tsirikos, Tracy	Yes
12619	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Chase, Karen A	Yes
12620	9/1/2011	No	Keyser, William M	Yes
12621	9/1/2011	No	JUSTICE, LOUIS D	Yes
12622	9/1/2011	No	Ikenberry, Nelda and Gilford B	Yes
12623	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Cogar, Nicole	Yes
12624	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	dougherty, lee f	Yes
12625	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cappuccio, Sharon	Yes
12626	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12627	9/1/2011	No	Coz, Ann	Yes
12628	9/1/2011	No	Andersen, Elaine	Yes
12629	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Henry, Jan	Yes
12630	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Steel, Carlene K	Yes
12631	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Labby, Daniel F	Yes
12632	9/1/2011	No	Williamson, Bridget A	Yes
12633	9/1/2011	No	Kuzmuk, Eric A	Yes
12634	9/1/2011	No	Lang, Linda L	Yes
12635	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Angel, Capri D	Yes
12636	9/1/2011	No	selquist, donna j	Yes
12637	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ehlers, Lynne L	Yes
12638	9/1/2011	No	phillips, maxine	Yes
12639	9/1/2011	Potential (617010)	abel, kathryn s	Yes
12640	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Marks, Janice	Yes
12641	9/1/2011	No	Walder, E G	Yes
12642	9/1/2011	No	Newlin, Virginia S	Yes
12643	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12644	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	VourosCallahan, Pamela	Yes
12645	9/1/2011	No	Aja, Loretta	Yes
12646	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Korso, Marlene	Yes

## 0013902

12647	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	McNicoll, Colleen	Yes
12648	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Steele, Yoyi	Yes
12649	9/1/2011	No	Schaal, Dhana	Yes
12650	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Monforti, Nicole H	Yes
12651	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	MacDonald, Roberta R	Yes
12652	9/1/2011	No	Forster, Carol M	Yes
12653	9/1/2011	No	Gordy, Nancy	Yes
12654	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	branyan, jane e	Yes
12655	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Burkhart, Jens N	Yes
12656	9/1/2011	No	Gillich, John G	Yes
12657	9/1/2011	No	Hoffert, John C	Yes
12658	9/1/2011	No	Essex, Ted and Fran Essex	Yes
12659	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Leckert, Mark	Yes
12660	9/1/2011	No	Craig, Elizabeth Y	Yes
12661	9/1/2011	No	Thomas, Cyndi C	Yes
12662	9/1/2011	No	Steckervetz, Lori	Yes
12663	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Swiatek, Malgorzata L	Yes
12664	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Pou, Tessa S	Yes
12665	9/1/2011	No	Gibbs, Cornelia C	Yes
12666	9/1/2011	No	Murphy, M.	Yes
12667	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Voitik, Terri E	Yes
12668	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Buckalew, Claudia J	Yes
12669	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Leas, Rebecca R	Yes
12670	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Taraska, Sharon L	Yes
12671	9/1/2011	No	Young, Pamela S	Yes
12672	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	E., Gary	Yes
12673	9/1/2011	No	Smith, Angele B	Yes
12674	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Castle, Pamela D	Yes
12675	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Phillips, Patricia A	Yes
12676	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Materi, Sandra L	Yes
12677	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Armstrong, Richard	Yes
12678	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	McClain, Al	Yes
12679	9/1/2011	No	Miles, Ms S	Yes
12680	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Prevost, Virginia	Yes
12681	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Islam, Carol A	Yes
12682	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Peterie, Darren	Yes
12683	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Rhindress, Meredith	Yes
12684	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Scheiman, Samantha	Yes
12685	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Joseph, Aloysius	Yes
12686	9/1/2011	No	Hanner, Donald S	Yes
12687	9/1/2011	No	Koenig, James E	Yes
12688	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Golowacz, Annalisa	Yes
12689	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ward, Sheila	Yes
12690	9/1/2011	No	reed, natalie h	Yes
12691	9/1/2011	No	Vogelbacher, Jutta	Yes
12692	9/1/2011	No	Jr, James Edward Shifflett Jr	Yes
12693	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Schoppe, Bruce E	Yes
12694	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Vincent, Judith	Yes
12695	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12696	9/1/2011	No	Swinehart, Lorin D	Yes
12697	9/1/2011	No	Holcomb, Sherry	Yes
12698	9/1/2011	No	drabkin, william	Yes
12699	9/1/2011	No	Schuster, Gabriele	Yes
12700	9/1/2011	No	Waltman, Karen	Yes
12701	9/1/2011	No	Mainwaring, Constance W	Yes

## 0013903

12702	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	BEARDLSEY, REBECCA J	Yes
12703	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	McGlone, Colleen	Yes
12704	9/1/2011	No	Boone, Merrill D	Yes
12705	9/1/2011	No	roberson, mary I	Yes
12706	9/1/2011	No	Mainwaring, Constance W	Yes
12707	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Silveri, Janis A	Yes
12708	9/1/2011	No	Kurtz, Thomas P	Yes
12709	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Watson, Charles N	Yes
12710	9/1/2011	No	Edelman, William W	Yes
12711	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Richie, Judith R	Yes
12712	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Daly, Susan	Yes
12713	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Shrago, Devon L	Yes
12714	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Spencer, Sandra S	Yes
12715	9/1/2011	No	LIPSON, HOWARD	Yes
12716	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12717	9/1/2011	No	Kurtz, Thomas P	Yes
12718	9/1/2011	No	Smith, Jo A	Yes
12719	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ansel, Anne	Yes
12720	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Pirie, Cynthia	Yes
12721	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Patterson, Carol	Yes
12722	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Mayfield-Chapin, Shannon	Yes
12723	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Pollina, Ron	Yes
12724	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Stefanich, Julia L	Yes
12725	9/1/2011	No	ALFONSO, Emilio F	Yes
12726	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hall, Bettina B	Yes
12727	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sievers, Karen A	Yes
12728	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mlynczak, Raymond	Yes
12729	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hardin, Deborah	Yes
12730	9/1/2011	No	ROOT, ELIZABETH E	Yes
12731	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Kassel, Kerul	Yes
12732	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12733	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Tawnamaia, Leslie	Yes
12734	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Fry, Barbara	Yes
12735	9/1/2011	No	BROBST, JOHN	Yes
12736	9/1/2011	No	Major, Roy F	Yes
12737	9/1/2011	No	Simmons, Catherine	Yes
12738	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Haworth, Holly	Yes
12739	9/1/2011	No	EMBER, PETER	Yes
12740	9/1/2011	No	Ickes, Henry A	Yes
12741	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ferris, Llsa A	Yes
12742	9/1/2011	No	Coe, Barbara W	Yes
12743	9/1/2011	No	Dooney, Gerard T	Yes
12744	9/1/2011	No	Vanderplow, Pat	Yes
12745	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Babson, Leslie	Yes
12746	9/1/2011	No	McCabe, Rita	Yes
12747	9/1/2011	Yes (573760)	Carroll, Elisabeth	Yes
12748	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Tays, Shawn	Yes
12749	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Dowling, Deborah	Yes
12750	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Couchman, Susan C	Yes
12751	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Upp, Cynthia M	Yes
12752	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Logan, Scott	Yes
12753	9/1/2011	No	Smith, Michele	Yes
12754	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Trupin, Joel S	Yes
12755	9/1/2011	No	Haney, Linda T	Yes
12756	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Skillings, Sue	Yes



## 0013904

12757	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Barry, Annie	Yes
12758	9/1/2011	No	Davey, Chris	Yes
12759	9/1/2011	No	Scheuermann, Karen	Yes
12760	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hackos, JoAnn T	Yes
12761	9/1/2011	No	Rhoads, Angela V	Yes
12762	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Glenn, Julie M	Yes
12763	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Holland, Candy M	Yes
12764	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Larson, Brian H	Yes
12765	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Miller, James S	Yes
12766	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sanders, Debbie J	Yes
12767	9/1/2011	No	Jackson, richard s	Yes
12768	9/1/2011	No	Gilligan, Michael	Yes
12769	9/1/2011	No	Hurvitz, Hannah E	Yes
12770	9/1/2011	No	Mortimer, Jill P	Yes
12771	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ruhlander, Julie	Yes
12772	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12773	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ruhlander, Julie	Yes
12774	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Nealon, Sandra	Yes
12775	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	SADEK, CARMEN S	Yes
12776	9/1/2011	No	GaynoD, Robert	Yes
12777	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12778	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12779	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Stone, Mary E	Yes
12780	9/1/2011	No	Savoie, Toby J	Yes
12781	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Allina-Turnauer, Esti	Yes
12782	9/1/2011	No	Avins, Jeremiah	Yes
12783	9/1/2011	No	moss, rhea	Yes
12784	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bonnet, Debbie J	Yes
12785	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bregenzer, W B	Yes
12786	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12787	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	OConnor, Penny	Yes
12788	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Vitale, Barbara	Yes
12789	9/1/2011	No	munroe, mj	Yes
12790	9/1/2011	No	Bennett, Larry H	Yes
12791	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bistak, Leo T	Yes
12792	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Trapani, Tara	Yes
12793	9/1/2011	No	Poole, Virginia J	Yes
12794	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sisk, Sarah	Yes
12795	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12796	9/1/2011	No	Weisenfeld, Jody	Yes
12797	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mattes, Dale B	Yes
12798	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Heinzig, Dennis	Yes
12799	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12800	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Harting, Emily B	Yes
12801	9/1/2011	No	arikat, amin	Yes
12802	9/1/2011	No	Ciancio, Barbara J	Yes
12803	9/1/2011	No	Harms, Sibyl m	Yes
12804	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Morgan, Michael R	Yes
12805	9/1/2011	No	Tyers, Randall G	Yes
12806	9/1/2011	No	Campbell, Connie m	Yes
12807	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	ward, brandy	Yes
12808	9/1/2011	No	Watson, Elizabeth D	Yes
12809	9/1/2011	No	Pooler, Carole	Yes
12810	9/1/2011	No	Sweet, Selina	Yes
12811	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cordes, Jeff	Yes

## 0013905

12812	9/1/2011	No	Endersen, Terence	Yes
12813	9/1/2011	No	egeler, michael	Yes
12814	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12815	9/1/2011	No	PURDY, MATTHEW D	Yes
12816	9/1/2011	No	Mathis, Patricia	Yes
12817	9/1/2011	No	Woody, Diane R	Yes
12818	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Zebra, Alice L	Yes
12819	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Fetz, Margot	Yes
12820	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hart, Donna L	Yes
12821	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	laid, jessica j	Yes
12822	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brunton, James P	Yes
12823	9/1/2011	No	Taylor, Jeff	Yes
12824	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Steever, Christie A	Yes
12825	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Shaughnessy, Nina M	Yes
12826	9/1/2011	No	Sommerville, Carolyn	Yes
12827	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gregg, Amy	Yes
12828	9/1/2011	No	fioramanti, jeri l	Yes
12829	9/1/2011	No	Schommer, Amy R	Yes
12830	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	quezada, jessica m	Yes
12831	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Greenberg, Jay R	Yes
12832	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lee, Donna	Yes
12833	9/1/2011	No	Thomas, Carla J	Yes
12834	9/1/2011	No	myers, Donna	Yes
12835	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Koon, Traci L	Yes
12836	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Scheurer, Linda S	Yes
12837	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lefler, Susan	Yes
12838	9/1/2011	No	Seifried, Richard D	Yes
12839	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Henry, Christopher	Yes
12840	9/1/2011	No	Price, Leecia C	Yes
12841	9/1/2011	No	Werner, Heidi P	Yes
12842	9/1/2011	No	LOWERY, GLORIA G	Yes
12843	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bienen, Laura	Yes
12844	9/1/2011	No	Gallitano, Lena B	Yes
12845	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Grimes, Cindy	Yes
12846	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12847	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Macy, Linda	Yes
12848	9/1/2011	No	Novak, Martha B	Yes
12849	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Graham, Lance	Yes
12850	9/1/2011	No	Natrop, Jo A	Yes
12851	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Acker, Barbara F	Yes
12852	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Fukuda-Schmid, Kristina	Yes
12853	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mitros, Gosia	Yes
12854	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Vandrovec, Bryan M	Yes
12855	9/1/2011	No	Michaud, Michelle	Yes
12856	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Huff-Sandstrom, Athena	Yes
12857	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Dell, Sister F	Yes
12858	9/1/2011	No	Haskell, Michael	Yes
12859	9/1/2011	No	ferguson, mike	Yes
12860	9/1/2011	No	Tran, Alexan	Yes
12861	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cole, Sandra	Yes
12862	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mortimer, Rob	Yes
12863	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Arago, Marybeth	Yes
12864	9/1/2011	No	Snyder, CAS	Yes
12865	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Horne, Jenifer M	Yes
12866	9/1/2011	No	Schena, Susan	Yes

## 0013906

12867	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Leadem, Carole L	Yes
12868	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Witkowski, Allen T	Yes
12869	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12870	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Koeberl, Dwight	Yes
12871	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12872	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Cato, Mary E	Yes
12873	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cecco, Jorge D	Yes
12874	9/1/2011	No	HANNA, Jean	Yes
12875	9/1/2011	No	Addison, David	Yes
12876	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Giese, Mark M	Yes
12877	9/1/2011	No	Glassman, Tressa L	Yes
12878	9/1/2011	No	Emerick, Lane L	Yes
12879	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12880	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Skinner, Matthew G	Yes
12881	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mazza, Melissa P	Yes
12882	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	boyd, rod	Yes
12883	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	shevis, aron	Yes
12884	9/1/2011	No	Carroll, Mark M	Yes
12885	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12886	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Diaz, Vanessa	Yes
12887	9/1/2011	No	Sart, Marci Janine de Sart	Yes
12888	9/1/2011	No	Casselberry, Jo A	Yes
12889	9/1/2011	No	fioramanti, jeri l	Yes
12890	9/1/2011	No	Saez, Zandra L	Yes
12891	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	guecia, audra	Yes
12892	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	skinner, edwin w	Yes
12893	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Clendenen, Louise	Yes
12894	9/1/2011	No	Walters, Sandra F	Yes
12895	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	schultz, sharon	Yes
12896	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Beck, Peter	Yes
12897	9/1/2011	No	Gault, Carol A	Yes
12898	9/1/2011	No	PIPE, CATHERINE M	Yes
12899	9/1/2011	No	Hire, Donald G	Yes
12900	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Cohen, Judy A	Yes
12901	9/1/2011	No	Rose, Mike	Yes
12902	9/1/2011	No	Reichard, Mary E	Yes
12903	9/1/2011	No	McDonald, Sandra K	Yes
12904	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lynn, Dolores B	Yes
12905	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bowen, Joyce	Yes
12906	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Gauthier, Dawn	Yes
12907	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Juhlin, Jon	Yes
12908	9/1/2011	No	Estrada, Lisa	Yes
12909	9/1/2011	No	Hammock, Thomas S	Yes
12910	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	senyszyn, paul	Yes
12911	9/1/2011	No	Davis, Robert B	Yes
12912	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12913	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lemoine, Kathryn K	Yes
12914	9/1/2011	No	Boyce, briBrian R	Yes
12915	9/1/2011	No	Gast, Richard	Yes
12916	9/1/2011	No	lake, maura	Yes
12917	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Vandehey, Roberta D	Yes
12918	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Gerbitz, Gordon J	Yes
12919	9/1/2011	No	Schramm, Catherine	Yes
12920	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
12921	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brizzi, Paul	Yes

0013907

12922	9/1/2011	No	Heinzelman, Stephen J	Yes
12923	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Guy C	Yes
12924	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ortenzo, Michelene E	Yes
12925	9/1/2011	No	Schomaker, Judy	Yes
12926	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Ferries, Alexander G	Yes
12927	9/1/2011	No	Placone, Richard C	Yes
12928	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Liao, James	Yes
12929	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	hendricks, judi	Yes
12930	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Marks, Michael C. Ford and	Yes
12931	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sill, David R	Yes
12932	9/1/2011	No	Lafferty, Amy L	Yes
12933	9/1/2011	No	ASH, SUSAN	Yes
12934	9/1/2011	No	Gerbitz, Gordon J	Yes
12935	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	R, Ashley	Yes
12936	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Keister, Robin A	Yes
12937	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12938	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Barnes, Sheryl	Yes
12939	9/1/2011	No	Schwartz, Barbara B	Yes
12940	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Dusek, Matthew T	Yes
12941	9/1/2011	No	Fisher, Jini L	Yes
12942	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12943	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12944	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Robert V	Yes
12945	9/1/2011	No	Cruz, Christina G	Yes
12946	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Chan, Sonja	Yes
12947	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Ferries, Robin del Pino Ferries	Yes
12948	9/1/2011	No	Closson, Patricia	Yes
12949	9/1/2011	No	shaw, caroline m	Yes
12950	9/1/2011	No	Fox, Cynthia A	Yes
12951	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Niemeyer, Stacy	Yes
12952	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Saudek, Karen F	Yes
12953	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	D'Antonio, Lisa M	Yes
12954	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Balitsaris-Fortier, Michael P	Yes
12955	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Moissant, Helen	Yes
12956	9/1/2011	No	Giardino, Margot B	Yes
12957	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Garrity, Michael	Yes
12958	9/1/2011	No	Couch, Sandra L	Yes
12959	9/1/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
12960	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12961	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Pannenbacker, Maria	Yes
12962	9/1/2011	No	Marro, Bertino	Yes
12963	9/1/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
12964	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Keisler, Kelly J	Yes
12965	9/1/2011	No	cianfaro, danielle	Yes
12966	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Valentine, Jennifer	Yes
12967	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Angel, Capri D	Yes
12968	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Keisler, Kelly J	Yes
12969	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
12970	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Macdonald, Lisa M	Yes
12971	9/1/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
12972	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Macdonald, Lisa M	Yes
12973	9/1/2011	No	Caird, Heather L	Yes
12974	9/1/2011	No	Groome, Malcolm	Yes
12975	9/1/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
12976	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	gomez, toni t	Yes

## 0013908

12977	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Niles, Gretchen	Yes
12978	9/1/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
12979	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Shaw, Mary E	Yes
12980	9/1/2011	No	Chen, Christina Jia Yi Chen	Yes
12981	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Thorne, Laura C	Yes
12982	9/1/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
12983	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Carico, David P	Yes
12984	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lord, Herbert A	Yes
12985	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Menkes, c	Yes
12986	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnston, Susan G	Yes
12987	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Gallagher, Diane M	Yes
12988	9/1/2011	No	Sperry, Thyra	Yes
12989	9/1/2011	No	Wood, Bonnie S	Yes
12990	9/1/2011	No	Reed, Jen A	Yes
12991	9/1/2011	No	boehlje, susan	Yes
12992	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Skup, Debra	Yes
12993	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Frakes, Melissa	Yes
12994	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Longencker, Twila	Yes
12995	9/1/2011	No	Malone, Margaret A	Yes
12996	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Stagman, Dr. Robert and Gail	Yes
12997	9/1/2011	No	Galvez, Amarili	Yes
12998	9/1/2011	No	Varnado, Jesse L	Yes
12999	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13000	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13001	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kay, Kiesa	Yes
13002	9/1/2011	No	Clarke, Rachelle	Yes
13003	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Schultz, Wm	Yes
13004	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Little, Godfrey L	Yes
13005	9/1/2011	No	Rossi, Patricia A	Yes
13006	9/1/2011	No	Hacker, Gloria L	Yes
13007	9/1/2011	No	Goltz, Romy M	Yes
13008	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Nutt, Julie A	Yes
13009	9/1/2011	No	steinke, andrea	Yes
13010	9/1/2011	No	Ax, Mary	Yes
13011	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Edwards, Leslie D	Yes
13012	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Thorne, Trischa B	Yes
13013	9/1/2011	No	Witt, Rose Ann H. Witt	Yes
13014	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Graf, Rosemary	Yes
13015	9/1/2011	No	evenson, marilyn	Yes
13016	9/1/2011	No	SLOANE, JUDITH N	Yes
13017	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mikkelsen, David R	Yes
13018	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cope, Joyce L	Yes
13019	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Phare, Jeanna	Yes
13020	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Hassett, Todd	Yes
13021	9/1/2011	No	Johnson, G G	Yes
13022	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Norton, Patricia A	Yes
13023	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Patterson, Tracy B	Yes
13024	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Shiple, Renee	Yes
13025	9/1/2011	No	Evans, Rich	Yes
13026	9/1/2011	No	Vermeulen, mary	Yes
13027	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13028	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Minson, Carolyn S	Yes
13029	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Powers, Toby	Yes
13030	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Statman, Paul	Yes
13031	9/1/2011	No	Russell, Gordon S	Yes

## 0013909

13032	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Stoakes, Michael	Yes
13033	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Howe, Linda L	Yes
13034	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lahiff, Maureen	Yes
13035	9/1/2011	No	Potter, Dave G	Yes
13036	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Zimmer, Douglas	Yes
13037	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Broadhurst, Heather	Yes
13038	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Coiner, Diane	Yes
13039	9/1/2011	No	mombourquette, cliff g	Yes
13040	9/1/2011	No	Broecker, Ingrid	Yes
13041	9/1/2011	No	kladke, robin	Yes
13042	9/1/2011	No	Bixby, Winona	Yes
13043	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Rupel, Arthur J	Yes
13044	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Badics, Scott	Yes
13045	9/1/2011	No	andres, krystof	Yes
13046	9/1/2011	No	Roloff, S	Yes
13047	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Calzada, Beatriz	Yes
13048	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	skinner, edwin w	Yes
13049	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Muscat, Juliette	Yes
13050	9/1/2011	No	Gearhart, Ann L	Yes
13051	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Halberstadt, Carol S	Yes
13052	9/1/2011	No	Matzek, Mary	Yes
13053	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lees, Aubrey	Yes
13054	9/1/2011	No	Reynolds, Barbara C	Yes
13055	9/1/2011	No	Magruder, Karen	Yes
13056	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Armstrong, Nicola J	Yes
13057	9/1/2011	No	Triggs, Lori L	Yes
13058	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Kathryn	Yes
13059	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Schwartz, Robert K	Yes
13060	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Vayu, Satya	Yes
13061	9/1/2011	No	Blanchard, Melissa	Yes
13062	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13063	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Craig	Yes
13064	9/1/2011	No	Rothstein, Betsy M	Yes
13065	9/1/2011	No	Rowland, Richard M	Yes
13066	9/1/2011	No	sweeney, gayle m	Yes
13067	9/1/2011	No	Vance, Patricia K	Yes
13068	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13069	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Rysavy, Robin M	Yes
13070	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Marcussen, Paul G	Yes
13071	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hill, William K	Yes
13072	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	breier, rene	Yes
13073	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13074	9/1/2011	No	Buck, Larry M	Yes
13075	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Garrett, Laura S	Yes
13076	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jaffee, Prof. Leonard R. Jaffee	Yes
13077	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Richardson, Gail and John	Yes
13078	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mattiace, Gaia	Yes
13079	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Dolan, Mark	Yes
13080	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Kohlsaas-Sweitzer, Hannah	Yes
13081	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kramer, Lucinda B	Yes
13082	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Northrup, Richard	Yes
13083	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Dyer, Liz	Yes
13084	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Kipen, Ken & Ethel Kipen	Yes
13085	9/1/2011	No	Carroll, Susan	Yes
13086	9/1/2011	No	gray, lynn l	Yes

## 0013910

13087	9/1/2011	No	Somenek, Marcy	Yes
13088	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Nagarkar, Mita	Yes
13089	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	frigo, dina t	Yes
13090	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	guest, tammy d	Yes
13091	9/1/2011	No	Dignazio, Teri R	Yes
13092	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Posinoff, Mona	Yes
13093	9/1/2011	No	Lucero, Emily	Yes
13094	9/1/2011	No	Howell-Gritsch, Erin	Yes
13095	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Katherine D	Yes
13096	9/1/2011	No	Thompson, Lawrence H	Yes
13097	9/1/2011	No	moore, sandra l	Yes
13098	9/1/2011	No	Alexander, Jeffrey P	Yes
13099	9/1/2011	No	Moral-Cervantes, Alfonso	Yes
13100	9/1/2011	No	Janes, Saskia	Yes
13101	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Adams, Marsha	Yes
13102	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Hertl, Julia	Yes
13103	9/1/2011	No	Jr., A. Perry Morgan, Jr.	Yes
13104	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Holmes, Mary J	Yes
13105	9/1/2011	No	Perenne, Luise I	Yes
13106	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jr, John Henry Bridwell, Jr	Yes
13107	9/1/2011	No	Maisano, Aimee	Yes
13108	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Yun, Allen	Yes
13109	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Unruh, Glen	Yes
13110	9/1/2011	No	B1, Liz Dyer 6604 10th St B1	Yes
13111	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Gawreluk, Alexandra M	Yes
13112	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Frank, Harriette M	Yes
13113	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Yun, Allen	Yes
13114	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	DeHaven, Laura	Yes
13115	9/1/2011	No	Lotz, Elizabeth	Yes
13116	9/1/2011	No	Ash, Cheryl K	Yes
13117	9/1/2011	No	Cruz, Marlen O	Yes
13118	9/1/2011	No	dubois, gilles a	Yes
13119	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Freytag, Chris	Yes
13120	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Lansing, Jim C	Yes
13121	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Scribner, Denee S	Yes
13122	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Barnes, Robyn L	Yes
13123	9/1/2011	No	Stec, Paula J	Yes
13124	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bertoni, Marcia L	Yes
13125	9/1/2011	No	McDonough, Lucy M	Yes
13126	9/1/2011	No	Ryan, M. D	Yes
13127	9/1/2011	No	Shefik, David K	Yes
13128	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13129	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Welsh, Stanley M	Yes
13130	9/1/2011	No	leverton, wayne J	Yes
13131	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, David L	Yes
13132	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Devender, Lisa V	Yes
13133	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Shah, Hiten	Yes
13134	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13135	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	WILLIAMS, MARIAN	Yes
13136	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Liz	Yes
13137	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Sharma, Dian K	Yes
13138	9/1/2011	No	Rowan, Jerry F	Yes
13139	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	boltz, connie j	Yes
13140	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Banton, Dixie L	Yes
13141	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lumpkin, Lee A	Yes

## 0013911

13142	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Duran, Janet P	Yes
13143	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Raper, Connie K	Yes
13144	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Elliott, Lynn M	Yes
13145	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13146	9/1/2011	No	Banton, Dixie L	Yes
13147	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Bailey, Catherine J	Yes
13148	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Newton, Alissa W	Yes
13149	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cupani, Shirley	Yes
13150	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	II, Walter J Schmitt II	Yes
13151	9/1/2011	No	Ruiz, Angie	Yes
13152	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Bishop, Nancy P	Yes
13153	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Frater, Dirk	Yes
13154	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13155	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Powell, Stewart	Yes
13156	9/1/2011	No	Folkes, Heather	Yes
13157	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Mary	Yes
13158	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13159	9/1/2011	No	Geller, Phyllis	Yes
13160	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Jones, Michelle	Yes
13161	9/1/2011	No	Gayou, Deborah L	Yes
13162	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Arcila, Natalia	Yes
13163	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	McDonough, Rebecca	Yes
13164	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Starr, Leslie E	Yes
13165	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Field, Christy	Yes
13166	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Stokes, Rachel	Yes
13167	9/1/2011	No	W, Melissa	Yes
13168	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Adams, David J	Yes
13169	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sheldon, Laurie E	Yes
13170	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Spradlin, Michael C	Yes
13171	9/1/2011	No	Leone, Mike	Yes
13172	9/1/2011	No	Caruso, Shannon	Yes
13173	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	McCreery, Carolyn F	Yes
13174	9/1/2011	No	beck, mary	Yes
13175	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13176	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Matthew S	Yes
13177	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13178	9/1/2011	No	Gillis, Virginia K	Yes
13179	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Setterlin, Susan A	Yes
13180	9/1/2011	No	Kubinak, Kathleen M	Yes
13181	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13182	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	McDonald, Kimberly f	Yes
13183	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Shaffer-O'Connell, Melissa	Yes
13184	9/1/2011	No	Turner, Joseph	Yes
13185	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Michael T	Yes
13186	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Kendall, Donna	Yes
13187	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13188	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Biela, Christine	Yes
13189	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	DelGiudice, Barbara A	Yes
13190	9/1/2011	No	Balog, Nancy L	Yes
13191	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13192	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Rigney, J	Yes
13193	9/1/2011	No	Alexander, Jane	Yes
13194	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	kramer, helmut G	Yes
13195	9/1/2011	No	Steele, William K	Yes
13196	9/1/2011	No	Zinn, Robert	Yes



## 0013912

13197	9/1/2011	No	Ramos, Oneida	Yes
13198	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Shaffer-O'Connell, Melissa	Yes
13199	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	thorn, debbie	Yes
13200	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Bannon, Amy	Yes
13201	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cody, Laurie	Yes
13202	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kelly, Alexa	Yes
13203	9/1/2011	No	Thorn, Debbie	Yes
13204	9/1/2011	No	rauch, robin l	Yes
13205	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13206	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Suzanne W	Yes
13207	9/1/2011	No	Mason, Mary	Yes
13208	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Yakoban, Steve	Yes
13209	9/1/2011	No	Jacoby, Kathryn	Yes
13210	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Kohr, Cheryl	Yes
13211	9/1/2011	No	Durant, Dwane	Yes
13212	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	rabinowitz, rebecca	Yes
13213	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Green, Elizabeth C	Yes
13214	9/1/2011	No	Hildebrandt, Joel	Yes
13215	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	armstrong, marsha f	Yes
13216	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kinslow, Janis	Yes
13217	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Linda (	Yes
13218	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Clarkson, Richard	Yes
13219	9/1/2011	No	MARTIN, A.	Yes
13220	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ullman, Kyle	Yes
13221	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Tooley, Claudette K	Yes
13222	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	testa, jon d	Yes
13223	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	M.D., Margaret McClave	Yes
13224	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Aydelott, Steve C	Yes
13225	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Macfarlane, Linda M	Yes
13226	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	butler, ruth	Yes
13227	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Moore, Kathy	Yes
13228	9/1/2011	No	Bernstein, Claudia M	Yes
13229	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Andersen, Kristen	Yes
13230	9/1/2011	No	FITZPATRICK-WACKER, ERIN	Yes
13231	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	coughlin, sharon	Yes
13232	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Benson, Kristen m	Yes
13233	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Aydelott, Steve C	Yes
13234	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Owens, Mary	Yes
13235	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Turner, Jackie	Yes
13236	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	McWhirter, Carol L	Yes
13237	9/1/2011	No	eberwein, victoria	Yes
13238	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Nargiz, Jason R	Yes
13239	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Kirks, James H	Yes
13240	9/1/2011	No	Starr, Madonna K	Yes
13241	9/1/2011	No	SZIRAKI, SUSANNA	Yes
13242	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Meyer, Caitlin E	Yes
13243	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Robison, Dale	Yes
13244	9/1/2011	No	D'Angelo, Janis	Yes
13245	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ballentine, Wanda s	Yes
13246	9/1/2011	No	watkinson, carolyn m	Yes
13247	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13248	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Zaitlin, Linda	Yes
13249	9/1/2011	No	Ackley, Barbara C	Yes
13250	9/1/2011	No	Konar, Deborah	Yes
13251	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013913

13252	9/1/2011	No	Rizzuti, Greta M	Yes
13253	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Moosbruker, Jane B	Yes
13254	9/1/2011	No	Bergalis, Anna K	Yes
13255	9/1/2011	No	Hughes, Melvin L	Yes
13256	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Hilton, Christine	Yes
13257	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13258	9/1/2011	No	Kosek, Raphael H	Yes
13259	9/1/2011	No	Patterson, Cynthia	Yes
13260	9/1/2011	No	Livera, Phyllis F	Yes
13261	9/1/2011	No	Martin, Barbara L	Yes
13262	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Heather	Yes
13263	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Mehrkens, Patricia	Yes
13264	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13265	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	McGonigle, Lisa	Yes
13266	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13267	9/1/2011	No	paradis, bettie c	Yes
13268	9/1/2011	No	Thew, Janet	Yes
13269	9/1/2011	No	Wilborn, Beth	Yes
13270	9/1/2011	No	nord, jill A	Yes
13271	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wiesman, Janet R	Yes
13272	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13273	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Milne, Martha W	Yes
13274	9/1/2011	No	Fugate, Peggy A	Yes
13275	9/1/2011	No	Blackbuirn, Barbara J	Yes
13276	9/1/2011	No	Griffin, Edith	Yes
13277	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Thacker, Lindsay	Yes
13278	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13279	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	nagy, sarah	Yes
13280	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Krebs, Chelsea	Yes
13281	9/1/2011	No	Wimer, Mary C	Yes
13282	9/1/2011	No	Margulis, Elise P	Yes
13283	9/1/2011	No	Bromelmeier, Gale F	Yes
13284	9/1/2011	No	lopez, josie	Yes
13285	9/1/2011	No	Marguils, Elise P	Yes
13286	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Estes, John	Yes
13287	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wood, Elena H	Yes
13288	9/1/2011	No	schleimer, madeline	Yes
13289	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	delaup, ashley c	Yes
13290	9/1/2011	No	Smith, A	Yes
13291	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	bassoff, Trina B	Yes
13292	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Peckman, Kristin	Yes
13293	9/1/2011	No	Francis-Swayze, Carole A	Yes
13294	9/1/2011	No	Christon, T. M	Yes
13295	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hale, Cindy	Yes
13296	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Francis, Victoria	Yes
13297	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13298	9/1/2011	No	Tisi, Diana J	Yes
13299	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ulrich, Cheryl L	Yes
13300	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Novak, Nancy J	Yes
13301	9/1/2011	No	Burney, Christopher W	Yes
13302	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Hurd, Lorna C	Yes
13303	9/1/2011	No	Thornbrugh, Gary C	Yes
13304	9/1/2011	No	Raper, Connie k	Yes
13305	9/1/2011	No	Elliott, Lynn m	Yes
13306	9/1/2011	No	Francis, Victoria	Yes

## 0013914

13307	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Ede, Richard	Yes
13308	9/1/2011	No	KURTZ, DONNA M	Yes
13309	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	McNeal, Jacob A	Yes
13310	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Hutcheson, Madalena E	Yes
13311	9/1/2011	No	Schrader, Zach	Yes
13312	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Goodman, Margaret S	Yes
13313	9/1/2011	No	BLAIR, JANE	Yes
13314	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Dash, Andrea	Yes
13315	9/1/2011	No	Christoffersen, Kelly	Yes
13316	9/1/2011	No	Kohl, Sybil	Yes
13317	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13318	9/1/2011	No	Gurstein, Scott E	Yes
13319	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Derrick, Jane L	Yes
13320	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Kelly, Alice	Yes
13321	9/1/2011	No	davis, s k	Yes
13322	9/1/2011	No	Pugzles, John A	Yes
13323	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Jennings, Linda A	Yes
13324	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lucas, Vincent P	Yes
13325	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	Hagewood, Ralph	Yes
13326	9/1/2011	No	He, Monica	Yes
13327	9/1/2011	No	Newman, Judith	Yes
13328	9/1/2011	No	Corr, Laura A	Yes
13329	9/1/2011	No	Kozak, Fred	Yes
13330	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Sutton, Ellyn	Yes
13331	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13332	9/1/2011	No	garlisi, laura m	Yes
13333	9/1/2011	Yes (602261)	seyfarth, gordon	Yes
13334	9/1/2011	No	Toll, Charles H	Yes
13335	9/1/2011	No	Simpson, Laura	Yes
13336	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Jinkerson, Guyton N	Yes
13337	9/1/2011	No	Ill, John A	Yes
13338	9/1/2011	No	Agasi, Ann C	Yes
13339	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13340	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13341	9/1/2011	No	Rinck, Richard	Yes
13342	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Roberts, Julie	Yes
13343	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Lynch, Sandy L	Yes
13344	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Cavataio, Debbie	Yes
13345	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Cohn, Barbara M	Yes
13346	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	schweiss, kraig	Yes
13347	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Baker, Nourman T	Yes
13348	9/1/2011	No	Castens, David	Yes
13349	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Franzen, Ellen L	Yes
13350	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Trasoff, Stephanie A	Yes
13351	9/1/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13352	9/1/2011	No	pruitt, carolyn d	Yes
13353	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Dirnbach, Boris	Yes
13354	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Wells, Karena	Yes
13355	9/1/2011	Yes (609013)	Hinson, Wendy	Yes
13356	9/1/2011	Yes (617010)	Esteve, Gregory V	Yes
13357	9/1/2011	No	Carroll, Katy C	Yes
13358	9/1/2011	No	Gallo, Bebe C	Yes
13359	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Sutton, Ellyn	Yes
13360	9/1/2011	No	Rosser, Katharine	Yes
13361	9/1/2011	Yes (603687)	Fargel, Shannon	Yes

## 0013915

13362	8/21/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia L	No
13363	8/24/2011	No	Davies, Robert b	No
13364	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13365	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Whipple, Alan	Yes
13366	9/6/2011	Yes (602261)	Pheasant, Natalie	Yes
13367	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13368	9/6/2011	No	Fiore, Katherine	Yes
13369	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Clark, Carol	Yes
13370	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Wittig, Patricia	Yes
13371	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Watt, Kay	Yes
13372	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Fitzgerald, Gerry	Yes
13373	9/6/2011	No	Fong, Susan K	Yes
13374	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13375	9/6/2011	No	Raysses, Michael G	Yes
13376	9/6/2011	No	Golding, Jeffrey	Yes
13377	9/6/2011	No	Navidad, Susan	Yes
13378	9/6/2011	No	Cygrymus, Loree	Yes
13379	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Springer, Jim E	Yes
13380	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Springer, Jim E	Yes
13381	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	March, Sylvia	Yes
13382	9/6/2011	No	Brookie, John W	Yes
13383	9/6/2011	No	Falbowski, Ellen M	Yes
13384	9/6/2011	No	Benard, Tammy J	Yes
13385	9/6/2011	No	Bowden, Zolly C	Yes
13386	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Myers, Stephanie L	Yes
13387	9/6/2011	No	Albright, Alice	Yes
13388	9/6/2011	No	Roark, Mike W	Yes
13389	9/6/2011	No	Jr, Charles A	Yes
13390	9/6/2011	Yes (609013)	A, Kimberly	Yes
13391	9/6/2011	No	nolan, nancy	Yes
13392	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	A, Kimberly	Yes
13393	9/6/2011	No	Coker, Karen	Yes
13394	9/6/2011	No	Cubarney, Linda	Yes
13395	9/6/2011	No	Volk, Sherri	Yes
13396	9/6/2011	No	Rinehart, Worth	Yes
13397	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Daniels, Amy	Yes
13398	9/6/2011	No	McKinnon, Dawn M	Yes
13399	9/6/2011	No	mintz, ashley j	Yes
13400	9/6/2011	No	BECKER, Joan B	Yes
13401	9/6/2011	No	Parker, Julie	Yes
13402	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Winters, Jennifer	Yes
13403	9/6/2011	No	Kozer, Autumn M	Yes
13404	9/6/2011	No	Blackwell, Samantha P	Yes
13405	9/6/2011	No	Hartsell, Pamela C	Yes
13406	9/6/2011	No	Kaylor, Michael R	Yes
13407	9/6/2011	No	Reyes, Marilyn	Yes
13408	9/6/2011	No	Babcock, B	Yes
13409	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Minor, Shannon	Yes
13410	9/6/2011	No	Greenway, Lumina	Yes
13411	9/6/2011	No	Richardson, Karen	Yes
13412	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Regele, Deborah	Yes
13413	9/6/2011	No	Clark, Lisa H	Yes
13414	9/6/2011	Yes (609013)	Stinton, Lorey	Yes
13415	9/6/2011	No	Shortridge, Aaron L	Yes
13416	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Moseley, Maja	Yes

## 0013916

13417	9/6/2011	No	Jr., Robert Edward Barritt, Jr.	Yes
13418	9/6/2011	No	Hilliard, James R	Yes
13419	9/6/2011	No	Whittler, Cynthia A	Yes
13420	9/6/2011	No	swain, andrew I	Yes
13421	9/6/2011	No	Abirached, Pamela L	Yes
13422	9/6/2011	No	Watkins, ROSE E	Yes
13423	9/6/2011	No	Sasaki, Yuki	Yes
13424	9/6/2011	No	Odezynskyj, Maria	Yes
13425	9/6/2011	No	daniel, dottie	Yes
13426	9/6/2011	No	Strasser, Gaby	Yes
13427	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Stanek, Marsha	Yes
13428	9/6/2011	No	Jr., William Edward Godwin,	Yes
13429	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13430	9/6/2011	No	Read, Seth A	Yes
13431	9/6/2011	No	Calderon, Julia M	Yes
13432	9/6/2011	No	Tomlinson, Carol	Yes
13433	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Molnar, Katharine	Yes
13434	9/6/2011	No	Snead, Donna E	Yes
13435	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Zinn, Andrea	Yes
13436	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	hall, krisztina	Yes
13437	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Fabing, Keith F	Yes
13438	9/6/2011	No	Catalfamo, Paul	Yes
13439	9/6/2011	No	Cooper, Charlene	Yes
13440	9/6/2011	No	Guymon, Ruth A	Yes
13441	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13442	9/6/2011	No	Trumpp, Leon H	Yes
13443	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Laub, Carina	Yes
13444	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Laub, Tiffany	Yes
13445	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Laub, Peter	Yes
13446	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Laub, Cornelia	Yes
13447	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Laub, Peter	Yes
13448	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Kirchner, Ria	Yes
13449	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Engeroff, Irmgard	Yes
13450	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Sziel, Fritz	Yes
13451	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Engeroff, Robin	Yes
13452	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Wittstadt, Kurt	Yes
13453	9/6/2011	No	Matherly, Thomas A	Yes
13454	9/6/2011	No	Kinzel, Frederick W	Yes
13455	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Urquhart, Andrew W	Yes
13456	9/6/2011	No	hoskins, linda b	Yes
13457	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Leganza, Gene	Yes
13458	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Colley, Ann	Yes
13459	9/6/2011	No	Blessing, Wayne	Yes
13460	9/6/2011	No	Schrader, Karen G	Yes
13461	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Morrissey, Christine	Yes
13462	9/6/2011	No	Arkin, Susan R	Yes
13463	9/6/2011	No	Khor, Suzanne	Yes
13464	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Harding, Eleanor	Yes
13465	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Goldman, Scott	Yes
13466	9/6/2011	No	Brown, Kriste	Yes
13467	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13468	9/6/2011	No	Winters, Charles W	Yes
13469	9/6/2011	No	Saunders, Ronald L	Yes
13470	9/6/2011	No	Hilliard, Misty D	Yes
13471	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Richardson, Debra H	Yes

0013917

13472	9/6/2011	No	Arons, RoseMarie D	Yes
13473	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Pusch, Elizabeth A	Yes
13474	9/6/2011	No	Wadsworth, Andrew L	Yes
13475	9/6/2011	No	Breakstone, Enid	Yes
13476	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilford, Joe	Yes
13477	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Jefferies, Kathleen	Yes
13478	9/6/2011	No	ROWE, RICK B	Yes
13479	9/6/2011	No	Saunders, Ronald L	Yes
13480	9/6/2011	No	Overton, Irene B	Yes
13481	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13482	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13483	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Priestley, Meredith	Yes
13484	9/6/2011	No	Blessing, Wayne	Yes
13485	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13486	9/6/2011	No	Hardin, James E	Yes
13487	9/6/2011	No	Blessing, Wayne	Yes
13488	9/6/2011	Yes (602261)	Patel, Nikkita G	Yes
13489	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Witmer, Tiffany	Yes
13490	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13491	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Strahinich, Helen C	Yes
13492	9/6/2011	No	Bozell, L. B	Yes
13493	9/6/2011	No	Muccillo, K	Yes
13494	9/6/2011	No	Wheeler, Hope R	Yes
13495	9/6/2011	No	Lish, Christopher	Yes
13496	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13497	9/6/2011	No	Garrison, Cassandra	Yes
13498	9/6/2011	No	Metz, Wilbur	Yes
13499	9/6/2011	No	Dunivan, David	Yes
13500	9/6/2011	No	Kenna, Serena	Yes
13501	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13502	9/6/2011	No	Ansley, Shannon E	Yes
13503	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13504	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13505	9/6/2011	No	Gallagher, John C	Yes
13506	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13507	9/6/2011	No	moody, charles s	Yes
13508	9/6/2011	Yes (609013)	Odhner, Dewey	Yes
13509	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13510	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Servello, Ande	Yes
13511	9/6/2011	No	Spohrer, John B	Yes
13512	9/6/2011	No	King, Galan	Yes
13513	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Rengers, Edward	Yes
13514	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	M, Lindsay	Yes
13515	9/6/2011	No	WILLIAMS, ANGELA N	Yes
13516	9/6/2011	Yes (602261)	Odle, Megan	Yes
13517	9/6/2011	No	Brown, William H	Yes
13518	9/6/2011	No	Holcomb, Katherine	Yes
13519	9/6/2011	No	Todd, Thomas E	Yes
13520	9/6/2011	No	Gooding, Terry	Yes
13521	9/6/2011	No	Vessicchio, Susan P	Yes
13522	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Combs, John G	Yes
13523	9/6/2011	No	Holford, Lisa	Yes
13524	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Dryden, Kristin	Yes
13525	9/6/2011	No	Pearson, Nancy C	Yes
13526	9/6/2011	No	Gao, Yongmei	Yes

## 0013918

13527	9/6/2011	No	Hiestand, Carol	Yes
13528	9/6/2011	No	Ivy, Elizabeth A	Yes
13529	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Roland, Matthew J	Yes
13530	9/6/2011	No	Grover, Ravi	Yes
13531	9/6/2011	No	Vactor, Alex	Yes
13532	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	wenger, warren s	Yes
13533	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Dennis, Cathy J	Yes
13534	9/6/2011	No	Waxmunski, John	Yes
13535	9/6/2011	No	zirkle, thomas n	Yes
13536	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13537	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Rodriguez, Maria	Yes
13538	9/6/2011	No	scott, tom	Yes
13539	9/6/2011	No	Emmons, Mary E	Yes
13540	9/6/2011	No	Mitchell, Tommy	Yes
13541	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Miller, Elaine	Yes
13542	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Doutre, Emily	Yes
13543	9/6/2011	No	Wilson, Lee	Yes
13544	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Hudak, Michelle	Yes
13545	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13546	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Pelletier, Anne	Yes
13547	9/6/2011	Yes (602261)	Duncan, Janine	Yes
13548	9/6/2011	No	Hoffmann, Sherrie L	Yes
13549	9/6/2011	No	Doutre, Emily	Yes
13550	9/6/2011	No	Bishop, Caroline	Yes
13551	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Fiedor, Mary L	Yes
13552	9/6/2011	No	Hulett, Lisa A	Yes
13553	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Picklesimer, Claudette M	Yes
13554	9/6/2011	No	Davenport, Constance W	Yes
13555	9/6/2011	No	Wrenn, Kevin	Yes
13556	9/6/2011	No	Flanigan, David G	Yes
13557	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Doutre, Emily	Yes
13558	9/6/2011	No	Hudson, Gary M	Yes
13559	9/6/2011	No	McArtor, Robert M	Yes
13560	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Capowich, Suzanne	Yes
13561	9/6/2011	No	Ilett, Rosalind M	Yes
13562	9/6/2011	No	Jones, Jennifer	Yes
13563	9/6/2011	No	Alaimo, Julie	Yes
13564	9/6/2011	No	Roberson, Ralph	Yes
13565	9/6/2011	No	moratz, yolani	Yes
13566	9/6/2011	No	Lorenz, Kurt D	Yes
13567	9/6/2011	No	Taylor, Laurence J	Yes
13568	9/6/2011	No	White, William R	Yes
13569	9/6/2011	No	Kensicki, Marybeth	Yes
13570	9/6/2011	No	Christensen, Maureen	Yes
13571	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Colasurdo, Christine A	Yes
13572	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13573	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Grames, Patricia A	Yes
13574	9/6/2011	No	HICKMAN, CHRISTOPHER M	Yes
13575	9/6/2011	No	Hart, Tony	Yes
13576	9/6/2011	No	Youngman, Julia F	Yes
13577	9/6/2011	No	Fenwick, George H	Yes
13578	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Canfield, Chris J	Yes
13579	9/6/2011	No	vanstrien, r	Yes
13580	9/6/2011	No	HICKMAN, CHRISTOPHER M	Yes
13581	9/6/2011	No	Roche, Jeanne T	Yes

## 0013919

13582	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	sharbaugh, thomas	Yes
13583	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Bell, Susie A	Yes
13584	9/6/2011	No	Higham, James J	Yes
13585	9/6/2011	No	Collins, David A	Yes
13586	9/6/2011	No	Finkelstein, C. R	Yes
13587	9/6/2011	No	Galvin, Diane	Yes
13588	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13589	9/6/2011	No	Blackshear, David A	Yes
13590	9/6/2011	No	Smith, Marietta	Yes
13591	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	WATKINS, LAURIE	Yes
13592	9/6/2011	No	Cahill, Lea	Yes
13593	9/6/2011	No	Cohen, Gittel	Yes
13594	9/6/2011	No	Fortney, Clark D	Yes
13595	9/6/2011	No	Jr, Dan Fondwell Denton, Jr	Yes
13596	9/6/2011	No	Elton, Wallace M	Yes
13597	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Denney, Jerrold R	Yes
13598	9/6/2011	No	Smith, Kim	Yes
13599	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Esq., Elliot T	Yes
13600	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Lyons, Martha	Yes
13601	9/6/2011	No	Rist, Joanne L	Yes
13602	9/6/2011	No	Rist, Mark J	Yes
13603	9/6/2011	No	Rist, Joanne	Yes
13604	9/6/2011	No	Dayboch, Pamela L	Yes
13605	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13606	9/6/2011	No	white, william g	Yes
13607	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Zinn, Robert	Yes
13608	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Hess, Kathryn J	Yes
13609	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Sennetts, The	Yes
13610	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Loveless, Karen	Yes
13611	9/6/2011	No	Saunders, Haley D	Yes
13612	9/6/2011	No	Clontz, Christopher M	Yes
13613	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Jorabchi, Hadi	Yes
13614	9/6/2011	No	Fox, Ellen	Yes
13615	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Lundquist, Judy M	Yes
13616	9/6/2011	No	Melligon, Alex	Yes
13617	9/6/2011	No	Sain, Claude S	Yes
13618	9/6/2011	No	Berry, Russell S	Yes
13619	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Pinckard, David M	Yes
13620	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13621	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Salazar, Joe	Yes
13622	9/6/2011	No	Aldrich, Mildred M	Yes
13623	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Almeida, Erika	Yes
13624	9/6/2011	No	Fell, Cynthia	Yes
13625	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	malyon, hilary	Yes
13626	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	FOSTER, CJ	Yes
13627	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13628	9/6/2011	No	hoyt, eileen	Yes
13629	9/6/2011	No	boswell, james	Yes
13630	9/6/2011	No	Gray, Dennis A	Yes
13631	9/6/2011	No	Pope, Earla J	Yes
13632	9/6/2011	No	Willard, Daniel J	Yes
13633	9/6/2011	No	Bawn, Damien O	Yes
13634	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13635	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Day, Theresa	Yes
13636	9/6/2011	No	Scott, Barry D	Yes



## 0013920

13637	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13638	9/6/2011	No	Bjornsen, Thomas J	Yes
13639	9/6/2011	No	Nordsven, Ryan	Yes
13640	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13641	9/6/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13642	9/6/2011	No	Holmes, Donnie J	Yes
13643	9/6/2011	No	meyers, wilma a	Yes
13644	9/6/2011	No	Jr., James Ignatius Brown Jr.	Yes
13645	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Logan, Jenni	Yes
13646	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	PhD, George P	Yes
13647	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Pilon, Thomas	Yes
13648	9/6/2011	No	Peterkin, John D	Yes
13649	9/6/2011	No	Bain, Claude	Yes
13650	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Deeg, Mary A	Yes
13651	9/6/2011	No	Wentz, Benjamin F	Yes
13652	9/6/2011	No	Bergalis, Anna	Yes
13653	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Wells, Jane M	Yes
13654	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Szczepanik, Danna K	Yes
13655	9/6/2011	No	Jr., Robert C. Rhodes, Jr.	Yes
13656	9/6/2011	Yes (617010)	Drew, Emily	Yes
13657	9/6/2011	No	Elgin, Richard G	Yes
13658	9/6/2011	No	Hutcheson, Joan	Yes
13659	9/6/2011	No	wood, scott	Yes
13660	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Creamean, Sarah	Yes
13661	9/6/2011	No	Marie, Jenna	Yes
13662	9/6/2011	No	Burleson, Corey A	Yes
13663	9/6/2011	No	Davis, Stuart D	Yes
13664	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Lipschik, Matthew	Yes
13665	9/6/2011	Yes (603687)	Lieberman, Andrea	Yes
13666	9/6/2011	No	Reetz, Pauline P	Yes
13667	9/6/2011	No	Serio, Joetta P	Yes
13668	9/6/2011	No	Schleicher, Charles J	Yes
13669	9/6/2011	No	Worthington, Jonathan F	Yes
13670	9/6/2011	No	Handley, Nick	Yes
13671	9/6/2011	No	Bradshaw, Becca L	Yes
13672	9/6/2011	No	Russo, Leslie K	Yes
13673	9/6/2011	Yes (609013)	Bockino, Alida N	Yes
13674	9/6/2011	No	II, Mike Alan Voliva II	Yes
13675	9/6/2011	No	Machen, Danette A	Yes
13676	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hollars, Frances A	Yes
13677	9/2/2011	No	Doineau, Emma	Yes
13678	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Friessen, Michelle	Yes
13679	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13680	9/2/2011	No	Kissel, Linda	Yes
13681	9/2/2011	No	Oggiono, Nanette	Yes
13682	9/2/2011	No	blankenship, melia a	Yes
13683	9/2/2011	No	Vogel, Sally E	Yes
13684	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Swagerty, Lydia	Yes
13685	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Skinner, Carol	Yes
13686	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Gray, William E	Yes
13687	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Doherty, Beth	Yes
13688	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	THomae, Laura	Yes
13689	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Poland, Michael R	Yes
13690	9/2/2011	No	S, Rebecca	Yes
13691	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013921

13692	9/2/2011	No	Devore, Carol	Yes
13693	9/2/2011	No	McCleary, Harriet C	Yes
13694	9/2/2011	No	goldsmith, dell	Yes
13695	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Schrader, susan	Yes
13696	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Hayman, Elizabeth S	Yes
13697	9/2/2011	No	Tinker, Debra	Yes
13698	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	gorsline, Sally M	Yes
13699	9/2/2011	No	Enerson, Hal L	Yes
13700	9/2/2011	No	Campos, Kyle A	Yes
13701	9/2/2011	Yes (609013)	schweiss, kraig	Yes
13702	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Sutker, Aliza	Yes
13703	9/2/2011	No	Rein, Maryann	Yes
13704	9/2/2011	No	McVey, Susan	Yes
13705	9/2/2011	No	Drummond, Grace G	Yes
13706	9/2/2011	No	Thomason, Jo C	Yes
13707	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cumming, Cheyne L	Yes
13708	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Zabiere, Kristina	Yes
13709	9/2/2011	No	Bentley, Melissa	Yes
13710	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Barker, Anne	Yes
13711	9/2/2011	No	Kennedy, Joanna	Yes
13712	9/2/2011	No	Bentley, Melissa	Yes
13713	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hammond, Teresa A	Yes
13714	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	law, tina	Yes
13715	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Sutherland, Megan	Yes
13716	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Meigs, Emily L	Yes
13717	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	YOSHIDA, IZUMI	Yes
13718	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Hemery, Kristell	Yes
13719	9/2/2011	No	fray, antje	Yes
13720	9/2/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13721	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	thorn, debbie	Yes
13722	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	McClay, Mauria	Yes
13723	9/2/2011	No	bordelon, tika	Yes
13724	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Argentino, Marian	Yes
13725	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hamilton, Carol	Yes
13726	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Stillwater, Tracy	Yes
13727	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Sebastian, Joseph A	Yes
13728	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Wallach, Aleta	Yes
13729	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13730	9/2/2011	No	Kately, William J	Yes
13731	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Arthur K	Yes
13732	9/2/2011	No	stammer, lou	Yes
13733	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Chance, Tracey A	Yes
13734	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Reisman, David C	Yes
13735	9/2/2011	No	Strawn, Michael J	Yes
13736	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Behl-Whiting, Kathy M	Yes
13737	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	conger, shane o	Yes
13738	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	McKaig, Kevin	Yes
13739	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Ahearn, Dennis	Yes
13740	9/2/2011	No	Williams, Taffy L	Yes
13741	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Griffioen, I	Yes
13742	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Kimberly	Yes
13743	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Gerle, Eileen	Yes
13744	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Floyd, Branden J	Yes
13745	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Azar, Michelle	Yes
13746	9/2/2011	No	Parsons, Susan L	Yes

0013922

13747	9/2/2011	No	Stoneback, Sharon	Yes
13748	9/2/2011	No	Williams, Taffy L	Yes
13749	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Neill, Bernadette	Yes
13750	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Quinlan, Jo	Yes
13751	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hostetler, Joseph H	Yes
13752	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Wasserman, Marcia	Yes
13753	9/2/2011	No	Long, Elizabeth A De Long	Yes
13754	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Raum, Sharon K	Yes
13755	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Crowell, Kenneth L	Yes
13756	9/2/2011	No	Dabrowski, Sally A	Yes
13757	9/2/2011	No	Odyniec, Bernadette H	Yes
13758	9/2/2011	No	Narbutovskih, Paula E	Yes
13759	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cheney, G. W	Yes
13760	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13761	9/2/2011	No	maloney, gail	Yes
13762	9/2/2011	No	Ginn, Dana A	Yes
13763	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Briccetti, Christine E	Yes
13764	9/2/2011	No	Ratelle, Sue	Yes
13765	9/2/2011	No	Klosner, Bruce R	Yes
13766	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Barrows, A	Yes
13767	9/2/2011	No	Avisar, Naomi	Yes
13768	9/2/2011	Yes (602261)	Carter, Rachel D	Yes
13769	9/2/2011	No	pavelle, suzanna	Yes
13770	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Perkins, Polly	Yes
13771	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13772	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Wainwright, Carly	Yes
13773	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Tremaine, lisa	Yes
13774	9/2/2011	No	michaelson, Liv m	Yes
13775	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Lohuis, Mary	Yes
13776	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Salazar, Ismel	Yes
13777	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	skinner, edwin w	Yes
13778	9/2/2011	No	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
13779	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Roland, Jelica	Yes
13780	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
13781	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Sharron	Yes
13782	9/2/2011	No	DuCharme, Christy	Yes
13783	9/2/2011	No	Eppig, Laura M	Yes
13784	9/2/2011	No	Hamp-Lane, Sandra	Yes
13785	9/2/2011	No	Kenney, Mke	Yes
13786	9/2/2011	No	Ogden, John C	Yes
13787	9/2/2011	No	patterson, marilyn f	Yes
13788	9/2/2011	No	sandham, valerie j	Yes
13789	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Harrison, Ann	Yes
13790	9/2/2011	No	Klosner, Bruce R	Yes
13791	9/2/2011	No	Mills, Billy	Yes
13792	9/2/2011	No	Malone, Kevin	Yes
13793	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Eppig, Laura M	Yes
13794	9/2/2011	No	Aschmoneit, Thomas	Yes
13795	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cannon, Peter	Yes
13796	9/2/2011	No	Burke, Barbara	Yes
13797	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Ostler, Joni	Yes
13798	9/2/2011	No	Nakajima, Yuko	Yes
13799	9/2/2011	No	Donnelly, Mary	Yes
13800	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Barr, Alison Leigh Hinton Barr	Yes
13801	9/2/2011	No	Hutter, michelle	Yes

0013923

13802	9/2/2011	No	Hay, Douglas N	Yes
13803	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	McGlone, Gail	Yes
13804	9/2/2011	No	Hay, Linda	Yes
13805	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Seney, Clysta	Yes
13806	9/2/2011	No	Wehr, Paula	Yes
13807	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Davis, William	Yes
13808	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hopson, David & Shelly	Yes
13809	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Nebel, Bob	Yes
13810	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Brasher, Jay	Yes
13811	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Dodd, Francesca	Yes
13812	9/2/2011	No	Cortez, Natalie M	Yes
13813	9/2/2011	No	Sherman, Remy	Yes
13814	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Dunne, Heather	Yes
13815	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hutchins, Michele	Yes
13816	9/2/2011	No	Sherman, Remy	Yes
13817	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Bruno, Joanna R	Yes
13818	9/2/2011	No	Shuttleworth, Natalie	Yes
13819	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Griffin, Melissa	Yes
13820	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Semmens, Christy	Yes
13821	9/2/2011	No	Heider, Mary L	Yes
13822	9/2/2011	No	Sherman, Remy	Yes
13823	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Shuttleworth, Natalie	Yes
13824	9/2/2011	Yes (602261)	Quaritus, Karen A	Yes
13825	9/2/2011	No	Berezansky, Nick	Yes
13826	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Searles, Dave	Yes
13827	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	chappel, philip	Yes
13828	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Twiss, Frances W	Yes
13829	9/2/2011	No	Emami, Babak	Yes
13830	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Owens, Mary	Yes
13831	9/2/2011	Yes (609013)	Mowbray, Robert N	Yes
13832	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	VanDerzee, Susan	Yes
13833	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Stead, Lisa	Yes
13834	9/2/2011	No	WALLERSTEIN, immanuel	Yes
13835	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Armstrong, Lisa	Yes
13836	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Ekholt, Stephen A	Yes
13837	9/2/2011	No	Burns, Carolyn D	Yes
13838	9/2/2011	No	Birdwell, Amy C	Yes
13839	9/2/2011	No	Williams, Cyndy	Yes
13840	9/2/2011	No	Pisek, Helen	Yes
13841	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenkotter, Barbara	Yes
13842	9/2/2011	No	Weeks, Kristine	Yes
13843	9/2/2011	No	Mueller, Helmut C	Yes
13844	9/2/2011	No	Romans, Jennifer	Yes
13845	9/2/2011	No	Mueller, Nancy S	Yes
13846	9/2/2011	No	Gilbert, Sibyll	Yes
13847	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Lapointe, Serge	Yes
13848	9/2/2011	No	Freistadt, Norane and Robert	Yes
13849	9/2/2011	No	flynt, susan I	Yes
13850	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Phail, Laura	Yes
13851	9/2/2011	No	Freudenberg, Lynn A	Yes
13852	9/2/2011	No	Bilzor, Marie	Yes
13853	9/2/2011	No	Ecken, Angela V	Yes
13854	9/2/2011	No	Cassidy, Rebecca	Yes
13855	9/2/2011	No	dezendorf, andrea	Yes
13856	9/2/2011	No	Anzelmo, Denise L	Yes

0013924

13857	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Cozad, Bren	Yes
13858	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Hahn, Deborah B	Yes
13859	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Perkins, Joel	Yes
13860	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Abbott, Lawrence	Yes
13861	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	FORREST, KARLA	Yes
13862	9/2/2011	No	Plott, Marilyn R	Yes
13863	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Hanes, Matthew S	Yes
13864	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Morales, Marisa V	Yes
13865	9/2/2011	No	zola, matt j	Yes
13866	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Wozny, Lacey R	Yes
13867	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Monroe, Molly	Yes
13868	9/2/2011	No	Wurm, Tara	Yes
13869	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13870	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13871	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Platizky, Franklin	Yes
13872	9/2/2011	No	McCombe, Bridget	Yes
13873	9/2/2011	No	Dubendorff, John	Yes
13874	9/2/2011	No	Barker, Carolyn S	Yes
13875	9/2/2011	No	Ayers, Shanna L	Yes
13876	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Rhoades, Sandra	Yes
13877	9/2/2011	No	Dale, Byron	Yes
13878	9/2/2011	Yes (609013)	Platizky, Franklin	Yes
13879	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13880	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Barber, Clara Estell Vera	Yes
13881	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Kenny, Gertrude S	Yes
13882	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Wettstein, J.	Yes
13883	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Tervydis, Jan	Yes
13884	9/2/2011	No	Goltz, Romy	Yes
13885	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Lawson, Carol	Yes
13886	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Witmer, Tiffany	Yes
13887	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Velamati, Asha	Yes
13888	9/2/2011	No	Charlton, Nancy B	Yes
13889	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Coe, Barbara W	Yes
13890	9/2/2011	No	Johnson, Lynne M	Yes
13891	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Lynne M	Yes
13892	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Daniels-Dattilo, Stacey L	Yes
13893	9/2/2011	No	Alan, Douglas	Yes
13894	9/2/2011	No	Rich, Samantha L	Yes
13895	9/2/2011	No	Guyette, Michael J	Yes
13896	9/2/2011	No	Johnson, Joyce A	Yes
13897	9/2/2011	No	Sobotka-Briner, George W	Yes
13898	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Stuerzer-Rhodes, Merlene n	Yes
13899	9/2/2011	No	Danik, Alex M	Yes
13900	9/2/2011	No	Fleming, Mary A	Yes
13901	9/2/2011	No	Abrams, Eric	Yes
13902	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13903	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	fradelakis, k	Yes
13904	9/2/2011	No	Patterson, Eric H	Yes
13905	9/2/2011	No	Hirsch, Robin M	Yes
13906	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Hein, Mark	Yes
13907	9/2/2011	No	Mills, Irene	Yes
13908	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	D'Amato, Paul J	Yes
13909	9/2/2011	No	fancher, brooke	Yes
13910	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13911	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013925

13912	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Patten, Rachel	Yes
13913	9/2/2011	No	Burdo, Richard T	Yes
13914	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Dart, John S	Yes
13915	9/2/2011	No	Sobotka-Briner, George W	Yes
13916	9/2/2011	No	Murphy, Sally R	Yes
13917	9/2/2011	No	sommerfeld, barb	Yes
13918	9/2/2011	No	Erwin, Jeffrey	Yes
13919	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Connors, Jennifer B	Yes
13920	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Hickman-Heyner, Liz	Yes
13921	9/2/2011	No	O'Hearn, William P	Yes
13922	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Cesarino, Christine	Yes
13923	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	McCandless, Heather	Yes
13924	9/2/2011	No	Molinari, Victoria	Yes
13925	9/2/2011	No	Siegfried, Rick	Yes
13926	9/2/2011	No	Cowan, Carrol A	Yes
13927	9/2/2011	No	Schwarz, Kurt R	Yes
13928	9/2/2011	No	Sobotka-Briner, George W	Yes
13929	9/2/2011	No	Unger, E.	Yes
13930	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Jr, Philip R	Yes
13931	9/2/2011	No	Smith, Steve	Yes
13932	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Straw, Jeanne	Yes
13933	9/2/2011	No	bass, patti w	Yes
13934	9/2/2011	No	Ford, Savannah	Yes
13935	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Bertsch, Dar	Yes
13936	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	LEMASTER, SHEILA	Yes
13937	9/2/2011	No	Frey, Kim	Yes
13938	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13939	9/2/2011	No	Hurd, Herbert E	Yes
13940	9/2/2011	No	Morello, Phyl	Yes
13941	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Abney, Mary A	Yes
13942	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Nace, Janet L	Yes
13943	9/2/2011	No	Davies, Matthew P	Yes
13944	9/2/2011	No	Jones, Sylvia	Yes
13945	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	III, Hugh M. Curtler III	Yes
13946	9/2/2011	No	Medina, Kathleen A	Yes
13947	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13948	9/2/2011	No	Addison, David A	Yes
13949	9/2/2011	No	khalsa, Nam N	Yes
13950	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Nelson, Peggy J	Yes
13951	9/2/2011	No	Lippa, Rebecca	Yes
13952	9/2/2011	No	Moss, Sharon K	Yes
13953	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13954	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Reid, John	Yes
13955	9/2/2011	No	Schwank, Eleanor j	Yes
13956	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Binder, Randy C	Yes
13957	9/2/2011	No	Wolff, Robert A	Yes
13958	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Fuson, Deborah J	Yes
13959	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13960	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Lolio, Linda E	Yes
13961	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Rantala, Mervi	Yes
13962	9/2/2011	No	Lippa, Rebecca	Yes
13963	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hollis-Franklyn, Candace	Yes
13964	9/2/2011	No	Lane, David A	Yes
13965	9/2/2011	No	Marie, Colette	Yes
13966	9/2/2011	No	Ray, Chris	Yes

0013926

13967	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Kriel, Ronald J	Yes
13968	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13969	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Keller, Joy	Yes
13970	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Barr, Deb	Yes
13971	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Brown, Constance F	Yes
13972	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Senour, Jon	Yes
13973	9/2/2011	No	Jones, Henry R	Yes
13974	9/2/2011	No	Emerick, John L	Yes
13975	9/2/2011	No	porto, d	Yes
13976	9/2/2011	No	Northern, Connie	Yes
13977	9/2/2011	No	Hiers, Richard H	Yes
13978	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cicchelli, Don	Yes
13979	9/2/2011	No	Wilp, Ludger	Yes
13980	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Harold E	Yes
13981	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13982	9/2/2011	No	Dinsen, Susan	Yes
13983	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	McQuillan, Bebe D	Yes
13984	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13985	9/2/2011	No	Cerro, Stephen	Yes
13986	9/2/2011	No	spence, kathryn k	Yes
13987	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
13988	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Beard, Ronald & Judie	Yes
13989	9/2/2011	No	dunn, kathy	Yes
13990	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Roberts, Edward B	Yes
13991	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Manz, Laura L	Yes
13992	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Solomon, Jeff	Yes
13993	9/2/2011	No	Primmer, P.	Yes
13994	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Kwon, Judy	Yes
13995	9/2/2011	No	Shaw, Barbara	Yes
13996	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
13997	9/2/2011	No	wilbur, susanne j	Yes
13998	9/2/2011	No	Voelcker, Elsa	Yes
13999	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hancock, Martha	Yes
14000	9/2/2011	No	Buckley, Johny	Yes
14001	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Neil, Daniel W	Yes
14002	9/2/2011	Yes (609013)	Gluckman, Geoffrey	Yes
14003	9/2/2011	No	Shawver, Donna S	Yes
14004	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Rantala, Mervi	Yes
14005	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Roane, Amanda	Yes
14006	9/2/2011	No	Young, Dennis E	Yes
14007	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	simons, anita	Yes
14008	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14009	9/2/2011	No	Lenard, Clint	Yes
14010	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Books, Judy E	Yes
14011	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14012	9/2/2011	No	Lord, Gail	Yes
14013	9/2/2011	No	BATCHELOR, LAURA J	Yes
14014	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Potucek, Kimberly A	Yes
14015	9/2/2011	No	Collins, Barrie T	Yes
14016	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Matheson, Neill	Yes
14017	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Giezentanner, John	Yes
14018	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Brent C	Yes
14019	9/2/2011	No	Hayes, Christine B	Yes
14020	9/2/2011	No	Craig, Ella M	Yes
14021	9/2/2011	No	Hayes, Christine B	Yes

0013927

14022	9/2/2011	No	Bousquet, Bob	Yes
14023	9/2/2011	No	Gann, Donna T	Yes
14024	9/2/2011	No	Grillas, Dominick A	Yes
14025	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Cohen, Ann M	Yes
14026	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Haresign, Andrea	Yes
14027	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Kagan, Rebecca A	Yes
14028	9/2/2011	No	Rooks, James T	Yes
14029	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Bousquet, Bob	Yes
14030	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Graham, Thomas G	Yes
14031	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Faraldo, Adriana	Yes
14032	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Bushnell, Martha W D	Yes
14033	9/2/2011	No	Kirkwood, Bonnie	Yes
14034	9/2/2011	No	Caton, Barbara	Yes
14035	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14036	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Galletti, Bijou	Yes
14037	9/2/2011	No	Long, Douglas	Yes
14038	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Reynolds, Peter	Yes
14039	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Perini, Louise	Yes
14040	9/2/2011	No	Boone, James L	Yes
14041	9/2/2011	No	Kaiser, Alisha R	Yes
14042	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cummins, Melissa	Yes
14043	9/2/2011	No	Ball, Eldon	Yes
14044	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Lovett, Julie L	Yes
14045	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Mulski, Tara	Yes
14046	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	turner, dawn	Yes
14047	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Sajdak, Lynn M	Yes
14048	9/2/2011	No	Hudak, Hailey	Yes
14049	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Hansen, Grace	Yes
14050	9/2/2011	No	NARDIZZI, JOSEPH L	Yes
14051	9/2/2011	No	Smith, Mark D	Yes
14052	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Fisher, Scott	Yes
14053	9/2/2011	No	Danner, Jennifer	Yes
14054	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Danner, Jennifer	Yes
14055	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Beck, Peggy V	Yes
14056	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Norris, Shelby D	Yes
14057	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Kautz, Katherine M	Yes
14058	9/2/2011	No	RAMOS, GABRIELA	Yes
14059	9/2/2011	No	Bratton, Teresa S	Yes
14060	9/2/2011	No	Walsh, Dianne	Yes
14061	9/2/2011	No	davis, silvi	Yes
14062	9/2/2011	No	Bir, Mark	Yes
14063	9/2/2011	No	Consbruck, Barbara	Yes
14064	9/2/2011	Yes (602261)	D, Jennifer	Yes
14065	9/2/2011	No	Bevans, Phillip R	Yes
14066	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Coker, Courtney	Yes
14067	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Church, Rhonda L	Yes
14068	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	TARDIF, STEVE	Yes
14069	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Schuch, Elizabeth C	Yes
14070	9/2/2011	No	Rosenkrantz, Stewart	Yes
14071	9/2/2011	No	mizerek, stephen c	Yes
14072	9/2/2011	No	Beers, Sydney	Yes
14073	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Vaughan, Lisa	Yes
14074	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Reiher, Linda	Yes
14075	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Delaney, Sean P	Yes
14076	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes



## 0013928

14077	9/2/2011	No	Tonjes, Stephen D	Yes
14078	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Harasack, Faye A	Yes
14079	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Brewer, Heidi M	Yes
14080	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Corbett, Eileen D	Yes
14081	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	ranado, stephen	Yes
14082	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	bishop, Linda m	Yes
14083	9/2/2011	No	Brewer, Heidi M	Yes
14084	9/2/2011	No	lorenz, laird w	Yes
14085	9/2/2011	No	Sanders, Catharine D	Yes
14086	9/2/2011	Yes (609013)	yang, lily	Yes
14087	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cross, Dave and Rita Rex Cross	Yes
14088	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Cassels, Carol R	Yes
14089	9/2/2011	No	Hansen, John C	Yes
14090	9/2/2011	No	Hahn, Todd T	Yes
14091	9/2/2011	Yes (602261)	Chapman, Karen A	Yes
14092	9/2/2011	No	Doshna, Anastasia	Yes
14093	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14094	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Savage, Linda R	Yes
14095	9/2/2011	Yes (602261)	Dunham, Frances W	Yes
14096	9/2/2011	No	Moma, Leslie R	Yes
14097	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Bezella, Andrew	Yes
14098	9/2/2011	Yes (603687)	Huddlestone, Laura	Yes
14099	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Dubno-Hammer, Danielle	Yes
14100	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14101	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	MCMILLAN, Kymberly Y	Yes
14102	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Fiekowsky, Elisabeth	Yes
14103	9/2/2011	No	Sroat, Ena M	Yes
14104	9/2/2011	No	O'Halloran-Miller, Karen L	Yes
14105	9/2/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14106	9/2/2011	Yes (617010)	Beer, Julie	Yes
14107	9/2/2011	No	Dodge, Jeffers R	Yes
14108	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Burroughs, Karen	Yes
14109	9/3/2011	No	Garvey, Lydia	Yes
14110	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Doster, Robert H	Yes
14111	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14112	9/3/2011	No	Taylor, Gigi D	Yes
14113	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Byers, Nancy S	Yes
14114	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Kennan, Eden	Yes
14115	9/3/2011	No	Lameier, Daun	Yes
14116	9/3/2011	No	Weber, Merris	Yes
14117	9/3/2011	No	Taylor, Kathleen	Yes
14118	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	milford, joan	Yes
14119	9/3/2011	No	Kennan, Eden	Yes
14120	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Ross, Jean	Yes
14121	9/3/2011	No	Andrews, Larry	Yes
14122	9/3/2011	No	PARISI, LYNNE	Yes
14123	9/3/2011	No	parron, max	Yes
14124	9/3/2011	No	Keilman, Diane M	Yes
14125	9/3/2011	No	delgado, addys	Yes
14126	9/3/2011	No	Sennello, Patrick L	Yes
14127	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Davlanges, Nany	Yes
14128	9/3/2011	No	BOGLIONI, ELEONORA	Yes
14129	9/3/2011	No	Sprinkel, Juli	Yes
14130	9/3/2011	No	Henry, Jane	Yes
14131	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013929

14132	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14133	9/3/2011	No	Perez, Luis D	Yes
14134	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Felix, Sherry L	Yes
14135	9/3/2011	No	Boynton, Dalton	Yes
14136	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Degree, Dagmar	Yes
14137	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Degree, Dagmar	Yes
14138	9/3/2011	No	Ave, Nicole Wood 141 W	Yes
14139	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Burgess, Jane	Yes
14140	9/3/2011	No	Dosch, Carole	Yes
14141	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Clark, Susan G	Yes
14142	9/3/2011	No	Thomas, Lori	Yes
14143	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Kane, Diane J	Yes
14144	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Bogardus, Judi	Yes
14145	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14146	9/3/2011	No	Martin, Adam	Yes
14147	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Morehead, Teresa	Yes
14148	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Korell, Lori	Yes
14149	9/3/2011	No	Luttinen, Russell and Carole P.	Yes
14150	9/3/2011	No	Batzer, Paula	Yes
14151	9/3/2011	No	salzmann, david g	Yes
14152	9/3/2011	No	Colopy, Michele	Yes
14153	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	devecka, cheryl	Yes
14154	9/3/2011	No	Beard, Lara	Yes
14155	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Whalen, Chris O	Yes
14156	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Blount, Susan	Yes
14157	9/3/2011	No	Converse, Maryah R	Yes
14158	9/3/2011	Yes (602261)	Reed, Mary	Yes
14159	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Reed, Mary	Yes
14160	9/3/2011	No	Bjorndal, Karen A	Yes
14161	9/3/2011	No	Denney, Dana J	Yes
14162	9/3/2011	No	Giffin, Therese	Yes
14163	9/3/2011	No	Conley, Christine L	Yes
14164	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Harten, Patricia B. Von Harten	Yes
14165	9/3/2011	No	Watson, Courtney	Yes
14166	9/3/2011	No	holguin, mark	Yes
14167	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	montgomery, william	Yes
14168	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Jr, Charles Thomas Barnard Jr	Yes
14169	9/3/2011	No	Pollock, Janelle	Yes
14170	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Perreault, Andrea	Yes
14171	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Mayer, Glenna L	Yes
14172	9/3/2011	No	Keith, Robert L	Yes
14173	9/3/2011	No	Jones, Carol M	Yes
14174	9/3/2011	No	O'Hella, Nina T	Yes
14175	9/3/2011	No	Morris, Beth	Yes
14176	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Bergmann, Amy S	Yes
14177	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	GRAHAM, PHIL	Yes
14178	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	B, Priscilla	Yes
14179	9/3/2011	No	Augustine, Lisa	Yes
14180	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Moulton, Cynthia A	Yes
14181	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Fulcher, Kaye	Yes
14182	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	pedersen, bruce and lana	Yes
14183	9/3/2011	No	Walker, Joan M	Yes
14184	9/3/2011	No	Trumpp, Leon H	Yes
14185	9/3/2011	No	Gates, Nancy J	Yes
14186	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Savia, Susan L	Yes

0013930

14187	9/3/2011	No	Quinn, Tom	Yes
14188	9/3/2011	No	Eubanks, Steven C	Yes
14189	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Follet, Diane	Yes
14190	9/3/2011	Yes (602261)	raich, marcy	Yes
14191	9/3/2011	No	Whiteheart, Rusty	Yes
14192	9/3/2011	No	Winn, John	Yes
14193	9/3/2011	No	Neill, Theresa A	Yes
14194	9/3/2011	No	Bagley, Dean A	Yes
14195	9/3/2011	No	Gorres, Mark	Yes
14196	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Paprocki, Douglas W	Yes
14197	9/3/2011	No	Plaut, Judith M	Yes
14198	9/3/2011	No	Dr.med.vet., Peter S	Yes
14199	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14200	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Myles, Paula M	Yes
14201	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Greene, Shannon T	Yes
14202	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Platzky, Franklin	Yes
14203	9/3/2011	No	Grinavic, Tierney E	Yes
14204	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Platzky, Franklin	Yes
14205	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Hasty, Steven	Yes
14206	9/3/2011	No	Stansbury, Katherine A	Yes
14207	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Claar, Alexis J	Yes
14208	9/3/2011	No	Paponetti, Giovanna	Yes
14209	9/3/2011	No	Preda, Livia	Yes
14210	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Zuckerman, Bonnie	Yes
14211	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Venter, Susan	Yes
14212	9/3/2011	No	Tomlinson, Khristi	Yes
14213	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Tedore, Cynthia	Yes
14214	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Sheryl	Yes
14215	9/3/2011	No	Jones, Reg	Yes
14216	9/3/2011	No	Chepko, Gloria	Yes
14217	9/3/2011	No	Cassidy, Leslie A	Yes
14218	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Nicola	Yes
14219	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Patton, Todd C	Yes
14220	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Glover, Kris A	Yes
14221	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14222	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Gliva, Stephen	Yes
14223	9/3/2011	No	Gibson, Donna M	Yes
14224	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14225	9/3/2011	No	Linebarger, Charlie J	Yes
14226	9/3/2011	No	Davidow, Soni	Yes
14227	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Guran, Donna	Yes
14228	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Loller, J R	Yes
14229	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Orban, Nancy	Yes
14230	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Zuurdeeg, Walt M	Yes
14231	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Patton, Yvonne E	Yes
14232	9/3/2011	No	Donaghy, Mary	Yes
14233	9/3/2011	No	gray, lynn l	Yes
14234	9/3/2011	No	Schimmer, William J	Yes
14235	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14236	9/3/2011	No	Oldham, DL	Yes
14237	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Webber, Sheila L	Yes
14238	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	H, Marilyn	Yes
14239	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Wood, Victoria	Yes
14240	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Stauffer, Gayle T	Yes
14241	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	wible, karen m	Yes

## 0013931

14242	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Dippre, Dawn	Yes
14243	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14244	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14245	9/3/2011	No	Gunay, Zeki	Yes
14246	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Rubio, Julie	Yes
14247	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Shelsky, Jeanne	Yes
14248	9/3/2011	No	howe, melyssa	Yes
14249	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Larrick, Judy F	Yes
14250	9/3/2011	No	Perrote, Anne S	Yes
14251	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Sell, Sharron J	Yes
14252	9/3/2011	No	Eddy, Ethan C	Yes
14253	9/3/2011	No	Tody, Mary J	Yes
14254	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Knight, Edmund T	Yes
14255	9/3/2011	No	Schuman, Paul F	Yes
14256	9/3/2011	No	Waterworth, Laura	Yes
14257	9/3/2011	No	Sena, Mary S	Yes
14258	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Piaskowski, Victoria D	Yes
14259	9/3/2011	No	Meier, Tim J	Yes
14260	9/3/2011	No	colvin, ann l	Yes
14261	9/3/2011	No	Sullivan, Patricia A	Yes
14262	9/3/2011	No	pizarro, judy	Yes
14263	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Mauri, Sara	Yes
14264	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Sherwood, Dean G	Yes
14265	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14266	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14267	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Frost, Chris	Yes
14268	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Love, Reeve	Yes
14269	9/3/2011	No	Refes, Necia	Yes
14270	9/3/2011	No	Whalen, Agnes M	Yes
14271	9/3/2011	No	Gibson, Bruce	Yes
14272	9/3/2011	No	Smith, Lynda E	Yes
14273	9/3/2011	No	Miner, Jay	Yes
14274	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	flynn, patricia a	Yes
14275	9/3/2011	Yes (602261)	Santos, Saskia	Yes
14276	9/3/2011	No	evans, dinda s	Yes
14277	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Murray, Sandra	Yes
14278	9/3/2011	No	Clift, Martha J	Yes
14279	9/3/2011	No	Simpson, Edward &	Yes
14280	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Royce, Lesley J	Yes
14281	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenblum, Stephen	Yes
14282	9/3/2011	No	Glover, Robert	Yes
14283	9/3/2011	No	Martin, Amanda L	Yes
14284	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14285	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Tekel, Michael G	Yes
14286	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Luft, Muriel M	Yes
14287	9/3/2011	No	Bisbee, John	Yes
14288	9/3/2011	No	Morris, Louis C	Yes
14289	9/3/2011	No	Ludi, Gary A	Yes
14290	9/3/2011	No	Upton, James D	Yes
14291	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Stramiello, Andrea Q	Yes
14292	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Eaton, Chris R	Yes
14293	9/3/2011	No	Upton, James D	Yes
14294	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Dempsey, Janis	Yes
14295	9/3/2011	No	Toshalis, Barbara K	Yes
14296	9/3/2011	No	Humphrey, John H	Yes

0013932

14297	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14298	9/3/2011	No	Laikona, Tsuneo	Yes
14299	9/3/2011	No	kamp, lucy m	Yes
14300	9/3/2011	No	Ibb, Lo	Yes
14301	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	neville, caroline b	Yes
14302	9/3/2011	No	Murphy, Annie	Yes
14303	9/3/2011	No	WindRiver, Winifred	Yes
14304	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	McCage, Melissa K	Yes
14305	9/3/2011	No	Schneider, Jordan	Yes
14306	9/3/2011	No	Williams, Robert B	Yes
14307	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	keeley, chrissy	Yes
14308	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	DeAngelis, T	Yes
14309	9/3/2011	No	kraft, bobbie	Yes
14310	9/3/2011	No	maroon, marcia k	Yes
14311	9/3/2011	No	Wilson, Polly M	Yes
14312	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	MCGILLIGAN, MARY E	Yes
14313	9/3/2011	No	Clark, Shane	Yes
14314	9/3/2011	No	Rierson, Barbara M	Yes
14315	9/3/2011	No	Straub, Gwen P	Yes
14316	9/3/2011	No	Harrod, Florence A	Yes
14317	9/3/2011	No	Sims, Vicki	Yes
14318	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Wilson, Mike	Yes
14319	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14320	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Burger, Bitsa	Yes
14321	9/3/2011	No	paulesc, melissa a	Yes
14322	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Schweiss, Kraig	Yes
14323	9/3/2011	No	Jack, Joe J	Yes
14324	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Serrano, Denyse M	Yes
14325	9/3/2011	No	Balser, Gail W	Yes
14326	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Gemma, Donna	Yes
14327	9/3/2011	No	Leven, Marie	Yes
14328	9/3/2011	No	mogel, shawn	Yes
14329	9/3/2011	No	Kelly, Sharon S	Yes
14330	9/3/2011	No	Lynne, Sherrie	Yes
14331	9/3/2011	No	Jordan, Mark	Yes
14332	9/3/2011	No	SHERIDAN, LESLIE M	Yes
14333	9/3/2011	No	McShane, Bonnie	Yes
14334	9/3/2011	No	lynne, martha	Yes
14335	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Baier, Mary A	Yes
14336	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14337	9/3/2011	No	Madre, Jeffrey C	Yes
14338	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Albert, Amber J	Yes
14339	9/3/2011	No	susi, lise h	Yes
14340	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Hull, Cynthia	Yes
14341	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Cook, Barbara	Yes
14342	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Wright, Ginny	Yes
14343	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Mobley, Liisa	Yes
14344	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Morrison, Edward R	Yes
14345	9/3/2011	No	Boyles, Melissa C	Yes
14346	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Fulton, Martha A	Yes
14347	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14348	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Scharenberg, Susan	Yes
14349	9/3/2011	No	Clark, Alan W	Yes
14350	9/3/2011	No	Wood, Barbara	Yes
14351	9/3/2011	No	Spencer, Gayle	Yes

0013933

14352	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14353	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Samantha	Yes
14354	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Brooks, Sandra L	Yes
14355	9/3/2011	No	Becker, Richard L	Yes
14356	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	syed, mushtaq a	Yes
14357	9/3/2011	No	Prouty, Perrie'Lee	Yes
14358	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Kim, Seulgi	Yes
14359	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Bikoff, Louise	Yes
14360	9/3/2011	No	Midgett, Richard A	Yes
14361	9/3/2011	No	Curry, Denise	Yes
14362	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Lambert, Gwen	Yes
14363	9/3/2011	No	Andrea, Andrea D	Yes
14364	9/3/2011	No	Emilio, Sir	Yes
14365	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Loveless, Todd	Yes
14366	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Cummings, Kady	Yes
14367	9/3/2011	No	Lambert, Gwen	Yes
14368	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Roed, Ingrid M	Yes
14369	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, Judith S	Yes
14370	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Owens, Katherine A	Yes
14371	9/3/2011	No	Walsh, Mary A	Yes
14372	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Lebich, Jeanette	Yes
14373	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	McGovern, Mary F	Yes
14374	9/3/2011	No	M, Jennifer	Yes
14375	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Ramos, Hazel	Yes
14376	9/3/2011	No	COMISKEY, WILLIAM F	Yes
14377	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Vice, Daniel	Yes
14378	9/3/2011	No	Toscani, Maureen	Yes
14379	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Kaufman, Jane R	Yes
14380	9/3/2011	No	Garneski, Catherine J	Yes
14381	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Holmes, Donald	Yes
14382	9/3/2011	No	Bronk, Eva v	Yes
14383	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Emory, Benjamin R	Yes
14384	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Grice, Gary	Yes
14385	9/3/2011	No	McNally, Deborah A	Yes
14386	9/3/2011	No	richmond, lonna	Yes
14387	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Friedman, Carolyn H	Yes
14388	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14389	9/3/2011	No	Palladine, Michelle	Yes
14390	9/3/2011	No	Richters, Gregorey	Yes
14391	9/3/2011	No	Hales, Julie	Yes
14392	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Debra	Yes
14393	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Clayton, Ronald M	Yes
14394	9/3/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14395	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Morresi, Gian A	Yes
14396	9/3/2011	Yes (602261)	rabb, sylvia	Yes
14397	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	burges, sylvia e	Yes
14398	9/3/2011	No	Pray, Peter C	Yes
14399	9/3/2011	No	Noll, Sandra J	Yes
14400	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Carl E	Yes
14401	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Bosnos, Lorna L	Yes
14402	9/3/2011	No	Commons, Sandy	Yes
14403	9/3/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14404	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Eynon, Paige	Yes
14405	9/3/2011	No	Artin, Thomas	Yes
14406	9/3/2011	No	Stupler, Joan	Yes

0013934

14407	9/3/2011	No	Stein, Herbert M	Yes
14408	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Morton, Jeffery A	Yes
14409	9/3/2011	Yes (602261)	Sart, Marci Janine De Sart	Yes
14410	9/3/2011	No	Commons, Sandy	Yes
14411	9/3/2011	No	Kendrick, Cindy	Yes
14412	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Young, Lowell J	Yes
14413	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Kish, Marsha	Yes
14414	9/3/2011	No	gaudreau, brenda	Yes
14415	9/3/2011	No	Bost, Barry G	Yes
14416	9/3/2011	No	Jordan, Errikka	Yes
14417	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Lippman, Cynthia M	Yes
14418	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Commons, Sandy	Yes
14419	9/3/2011	Yes (603687)	Saravanan, Bhavani	Yes
14420	9/3/2011	No	Madrigal, Felicia	Yes
14421	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	quinlan, lola f	Yes
14422	9/3/2011	No	Cloud, Doris L	Yes
14423	9/3/2011	Yes (617010)	Morris, S	Yes
14424	9/3/2011	Yes (609013)	Lippman, Cynthia M	Yes
14425	9/4/2011	No	Bost, Jill K	Yes
14426	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14427	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Ries, IE	Yes
14428	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14429	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Fergeson, Cheryl	Yes
14430	9/4/2011	No	Gilginas, Kathleen M	Yes
14431	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Penfold, Hill	Yes
14432	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14433	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Ochsner, John	Yes
14434	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Byrne, Lindsay	Yes
14435	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Kaplan, Paulette	Yes
14436	9/4/2011	No	Matwichuk, Gail	Yes
14437	9/4/2011	No	schultz, jennifer t	Yes
14438	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Terry E	Yes
14439	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	barr, allison	Yes
14440	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Borcherding, Paul A	Yes
14441	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14442	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Goot, Yvette M	Yes
14443	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Thompson, Erik R	Yes
14444	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Aldrich, William P	Yes
14445	9/4/2011	No	Fraser, Josh P	Yes
14446	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Schloss, Lisa	Yes
14447	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Ashley V	Yes
14448	9/4/2011	No	Hathaway, Nancy	Yes
14449	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Lenthall, Kate	Yes
14450	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Coffman, Lisa M	Yes
14451	9/4/2011	No	barrie, lori	Yes
14452	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Zivicki, Kelly	Yes
14453	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Haskell, Eric	Yes
14454	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Ervin, Florene S	Yes
14455	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Miller, Catherine G	Yes
14456	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Byars, Carol	Yes
14457	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Verzino, Sima	Yes
14458	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Fitch, Lindsay A	Yes
14459	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Nelson, Jeffrey D	Yes
14460	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Whitney, Jane	Yes
14461	9/4/2011	No	Wade, Chris J	Yes

0013935

14462	9/4/2011	No	Boogs, James S	Yes
14463	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	rhoads, sharon-marie	Yes
14464	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Holmes, Lee	Yes
14465	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Taylor, Kat	Yes
14466	9/4/2011	No	Oboruemuh, Abraham O	Yes
14467	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	McCarthy, Kelly E	Yes
14468	9/4/2011	No	Taylor, Kathy	Yes
14469	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	MATHES, BARB A	Yes
14470	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Wright, Kirstin E	Yes
14471	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14472	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Wright, Kirstin E	Yes
14473	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Stephens, Larry	Yes
14474	9/4/2011	No	Konczal, Eddie	Yes
14475	9/4/2011	No	Brooks, Cheryl	Yes
14476	9/4/2011	No	Elliott, Bobby E	Yes
14477	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Ervin, Alice M	Yes
14478	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Snavely, Joe	Yes
14479	9/4/2011	No	Andracchio, Richard	Yes
14480	9/4/2011	No	Atherton, Eric	Yes
14481	9/4/2011	No	agerter, carol j	Yes
14482	9/4/2011	No	DiGirolamo, Stephen	Yes
14483	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14484	9/4/2011	No	Elliott, Bobby E	Yes
14485	9/4/2011	No	Dawes, Anne L	Yes
14486	9/4/2011	No	Miller, Barbara M	Yes
14487	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	schiafone, cheryl	Yes
14488	9/4/2011	No	Johnston, Jeff	Yes
14489	9/4/2011	No	Capaccione, Jerilyn R	Yes
14490	9/4/2011	No	Heard, Ted	Yes
14491	9/4/2011	No	Alexander, Jerry	Yes
14492	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Gallo, Patricia L	Yes
14493	9/4/2011	No	LePage, R	Yes
14494	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Nunley, K.A.	Yes
14495	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14496	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Minear, Beth	Yes
14497	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Riussell, Linda	Yes
14498	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Antonucci, Rachel	Yes
14499	9/4/2011	No	Gemmill, Robert	Yes
14500	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14501	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Barnes, Mary	Yes
14502	9/4/2011	No	Dole, John M	Yes
14503	9/4/2011	No	MD, G Matthew Stearley, MD	Yes
14504	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Eurs, Valerie J	Yes
14505	9/4/2011	No	Tapon, Janet	Yes
14506	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Heard, Ted	Yes
14507	9/4/2011	No	Yeager, Patricia R	Yes
14508	9/4/2011	No	Fraini, Kathleen M	Yes
14509	9/4/2011	No	Gage, Jacqueline S	Yes
14510	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Fusaro, Amelia	Yes
14511	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Porter, Julie C	Yes
14512	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Fusaro, Amelia	Yes
14513	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Dabanian, Kathy	Yes
14514	9/4/2011	No	Rice, Lisa M	Yes
14515	9/4/2011	No	Fitzpatrick, sienna j	Yes
14516	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Furnish, Shearle	Yes



0013936

14517	9/4/2011	No	hiller, steve	Yes
14518	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Laskey, Brian	Yes
14519	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Goldsmith, Ken	Yes
14520	9/4/2011	No	Isbell, Linda	Yes
14521	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Bradley, Kenn	Yes
14522	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Cisneros, Alexis	Yes
14523	9/4/2011	No	Brandmeyer, Jo E	Yes
14524	9/4/2011	No	Joy, Jean	Yes
14525	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Doshan, Martina	Yes
14526	9/4/2011	No	Overstreet, Romy E	Yes
14527	9/4/2011	No	Brady, Veronica A	Yes
14528	9/4/2011	No	Shields, Daniel J	Yes
14529	9/4/2011	No	MOSTOV, ELIZABETH	Yes
14530	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Walter-Fromson, Ann E	Yes
14531	9/4/2011	No	owenby, sarah m	Yes
14532	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Zarr, Debra R	Yes
14533	9/4/2011	No	Whitsell, Marisa	Yes
14534	9/4/2011	No	MacArthur, Nancy J	Yes
14535	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Page, Nicholas	Yes
14536	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Whitney, Nancy R	Yes
14537	9/4/2011	No	Weeg, Sue	Yes
14538	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Harbaugh, Mishelle A	Yes
14539	9/4/2011	No	Fortin, Eileen M	Yes
14540	9/4/2011	No	smith, sue e	Yes
14541	9/4/2011	No	Switalski, Diane M	Yes
14542	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Schrey, Natalie	Yes
14543	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14544	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Mazzarella, Kristen	Yes
14545	9/4/2011	No	D'Onofrio, Adam R	Yes
14546	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Tirrito, Paula R	Yes
14547	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Holder, Linda	Yes
14548	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14549	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Grice, Gary	Yes
14550	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Fulton, Randall	Yes
14551	9/4/2011	No	Gleason, Jeff	Yes
14552	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14553	9/4/2011	No	mrozewski, sylvia	Yes
14554	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Horta, Josmanny	Yes
14555	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Nave, Diane	Yes
14556	9/4/2011	No	Celaya, Anthony R	Yes
14557	9/4/2011	No	Evon, letitia M	Yes
14558	9/4/2011	No	Gooch, Laura C	Yes
14559	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Brower, Amelia	Yes
14560	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14561	9/4/2011	No	Miller, Dennis W	Yes
14562	9/4/2011	No	Myers, Corinne	Yes
14563	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Marti	Yes
14564	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Stewart, Linda C	Yes
14565	9/4/2011	No	scalone, janis	Yes
14566	9/4/2011	No	Dvorak, Bill	Yes
14567	9/4/2011	No	patterson, marilyn f	Yes
14568	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Sessa, Neena	Yes
14569	9/4/2011	No	Lacroix, Suzanne	Yes
14570	9/4/2011	No	Sowden, Bruce	Yes
14571	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013937

14572	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14573	9/4/2011	No	Lange, Marlena F	Yes
14574	9/4/2011	No	Starr, Barbara A	Yes
14575	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Krohling, Benjamin	Yes
14576	9/4/2011	No	Jezorek, John R	Yes
14577	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Baisley, Margarete A	Yes
14578	9/4/2011	No	Heller, Beth	Yes
14579	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Quast, C A	Yes
14580	9/4/2011	No	Hageman, Warren L	Yes
14581	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Myers, Edythe	Yes
14582	9/4/2011	No	Kepner, Susan R	Yes
14583	9/4/2011	No	Kalukin, Andrew R	Yes
14584	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Singleton, Thomas L	Yes
14585	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Sands, Kris	Yes
14586	9/4/2011	No	Richtarsic, Carol	Yes
14587	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Singleton, Erma	Yes
14588	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Singleton, Thomas	Yes
14589	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Eckles, Sabrina	Yes
14590	9/4/2011	No	Ricciardi, Sue A	Yes
14591	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14592	9/4/2011	No	Koehler, Angela	Yes
14593	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Fromson, Kathryn	Yes
14594	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Stephan, Elise	Yes
14595	9/4/2011	No	Oakley, Rickey P	Yes
14596	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Bierman, Elaine	Yes
14597	9/4/2011	No	Furr, Aaron K	Yes
14598	9/4/2011	No	Fluor, Christine	Yes
14599	9/4/2011	No	Williams, George M. M.	Yes
14600	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	McClatchey, Walter P	Yes
14601	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Messer, Cile	Yes
14602	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Campbell, Liz	Yes
14603	9/4/2011	No	NOvy, Linda	Yes
14604	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Reid, William D	Yes
14605	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Berberich, Gloria-Jean	Yes
14606	9/4/2011	No	Stock, Linda J	Yes
14607	9/4/2011	No	schaar, Sue a	Yes
14608	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Liz	Yes
14609	9/4/2011	No	Fraissl, Stephanie	Yes
14610	9/4/2011	No	Kazdan, David	Yes
14611	9/4/2011	No	S, Mary	Yes
14612	9/4/2011	No	Entz, Peggy M	Yes
14613	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Karr, Amy L	Yes
14614	9/4/2011	No	Stritto, Joseph D	Yes
14615	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Connors, Joe	Yes
14616	9/4/2011	No	Jurcak, Kim	Yes
14617	9/4/2011	No	Collier, Pat	Yes
14618	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Hryc, Michele	Yes
14619	9/4/2011	Potential (617010)	Diaz, Susan	Yes
14620	9/4/2011	No	Guzynski, Elizabeth	Yes
14621	9/4/2011	No	Alexander, Melissa	Yes
14622	9/4/2011	No	Fromson, Paul M	Yes
14623	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Schumacher, John	Yes
14624	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonzalez, Isel	Yes
14625	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Soto, Merian	Yes

0013938

14626	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	Oakes, Dorothy J	Yes
14627	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	McCarthy, Debbie	Yes
14628	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Humphrey, Janice	Yes
14629	9/4/2011	No	Vanacore, vice and sandi J.	Yes
14630	9/4/2011	No	Saucier, Jessie M	Yes
14631	9/4/2011	No	Castro, Julimar	Yes
14632	9/4/2011	No	donato, jeanette	Yes
14633	9/4/2011	No	Tice, Janet	Yes
14634	9/4/2011	No	Wenrich, Thomas C	Yes
14635	9/4/2011	No	Wicklen, Betty J. Van Wicklen	Yes
14636	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14637	9/4/2011	No	Wilkins, Marcelle	Yes
14638	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Ostrowski, Angie	Yes
14639	9/4/2011	No	Lachenmaier, Ralph	Yes
14640	9/4/2011	No	Draper, Ryan M	Yes
14641	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Stubert, Lisa	Yes
14642	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Thacker, Cheryl A	Yes
14643	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Pemberton, Donna L	Yes
14644	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Gelfand, Dale	Yes
14645	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Holt, Debi	Yes
14646	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Neilson, Heidi	Yes
14647	9/4/2011	No	Kindel, Karen S	Yes
14648	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Graffagnino, Mary Ann and	Yes
14649	9/4/2011	No	Olinger, Mark A	Yes
14650	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	shepard, amy	Yes
14651	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	White, Kathleen M	Yes
14652	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Reynolds, Kyle	Yes
14653	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14654	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	ROBERTS, JANET M	Yes
14655	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Caron, Charles D	Yes
14656	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14657	9/4/2011	No	Judd, Linda P	Yes
14658	9/4/2011	No	White, Kathleen M	Yes
14659	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	HAYES, RANDY D	Yes
14660	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Plummer, Stephen R	Yes
14661	9/4/2011	No	Politis, George	Yes
14662	9/4/2011	No	Bolsen, Kathy	Yes
14663	9/4/2011	No	Garcia, Yolanda	Yes
14664	9/4/2011	No	Buchman, Heather R	Yes
14665	9/4/2011	Yes (609013)	harris, laurie c	Yes
14666	9/4/2011	No	Vetter, Janet E	Yes
14667	9/4/2011	No	Stuemke, Roberta L	Yes
14668	9/4/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14669	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14670	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Dart, John S	Yes
14671	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Becker, Brandon	Yes
14672	9/4/2011	No	Gulovsen, Laura M	Yes
14673	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14674	9/4/2011	No	Poissant, Barbara G	Yes
14675	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Blackwell, Bruce A	Yes
14676	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Rizzo, Paul	Yes
14677	9/4/2011	No	Rapp, Lanette	Yes
14678	9/4/2011	Yes (602261)	Mager, Marlowe	Yes
14679	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Dailey, Christa D	Yes
14680	9/4/2011	No	Palecek, Bridget	Yes

0013939

14681	9/4/2011	No	Bourdlaies, Allison	Yes
14682	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Washington, Leslie	Yes
14683	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Mogielnicki, Marion	Yes
14684	9/4/2011	No	bryn, john	Yes
14685	9/4/2011	No	RYAN, STEPHEN	Yes
14686	9/4/2011	No	Linarez, Karen	Yes
14687	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Acosta, Joseph	Yes
14688	9/4/2011	No	Foster, Sharron	Yes
14689	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Diegoli, Debra L	Yes
14690	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Jeanne	Yes
14691	9/4/2011	No	evans, jerry l	Yes
14692	9/4/2011	No	Smart, Charles	Yes
14693	9/4/2011	No	Adams, Vicki M	Yes
14694	9/4/2011	No	Radcliffe, Brian A	Yes
14695	9/4/2011	No	erbach, kristin	Yes
14696	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Sisler, Stephanie	Yes
14697	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Fahey, Nancy H	Yes
14698	9/4/2011	No	Richardson, Todd H	Yes
14699	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14700	9/4/2011	No	Artemis, Diana	Yes
14701	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Baumhauer, Richard Norman	Yes
14702	9/4/2011	No	Schochet, Joy	Yes
14703	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Herne, Korin	Yes
14704	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Johnson, Janice c	Yes
14705	9/4/2011	Yes (617010)	Waltman, Donald A	Yes
14706	9/4/2011	No	Vinson, William S	Yes
14707	9/4/2011	Yes (603687)	Heath-Elliott, Julie A	Yes
14708	9/4/2011	No	Gillis, Virginia K	Yes
14709	9/5/2011	No	Stevens, Nike G	Yes
14710	9/5/2011	No	Bryer, Gladys N	Yes
14711	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14712	9/5/2011	No	Pollom, Emily	Yes
14713	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Simons, Jean B	Yes
14714	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Moon, David T	Yes
14715	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Rein, Maryann	Yes
14716	9/5/2011	No	Grobe, Nicola C	Yes
14717	9/5/2011	No	Johnson, James D	Yes
14718	9/5/2011	No	Perini, Ann	Yes
14719	9/5/2011	No	Jebidiah, Jericho	Yes
14720	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Alvarez, Vincent	Yes
14721	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Foley, Erin C	Yes
14722	9/5/2011	No	Mauropoulou, Afrodith	Yes
14723	9/5/2011	No	Warfield, Laurie A	Yes
14724	9/5/2011	No	Mauropoulou, Afridith	Yes
14725	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Kavara, Cathy R	Yes
14726	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Stucki, Elizabeth and Curtis	Yes
14727	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Myers, Janice L	Yes
14728	9/5/2011	No	Foster, Carol	Yes
14729	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Ponicki, Minnie	Yes
14730	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Reidinger, Melinda	Yes
14731	9/5/2011	No	Vick, Jason	Yes
14732	9/5/2011	No	Roland, Lorinda	Yes
14733	9/5/2011	No	Kriel, Alexis J	Yes
14734	9/5/2011	No	Brown, Andrea	Yes
14735	9/5/2011	No	Sneddon, Laura	Yes

## 0013940

14736	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Hill, Stuart	Yes
14737	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Bottner, Sheryl	Yes
14738	9/5/2011	No	Jordan, Nancy M	Yes
14739	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	bradburn, mindy	Yes
14740	9/5/2011	No	Ferguson, Phillip A	Yes
14741	9/5/2011	No	Cruz, Tina d	Yes
14742	9/5/2011	No	parker, charles v	Yes
14743	9/5/2011	No	Sents, Jason C	Yes
14744	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Moody, James	Yes
14745	9/5/2011	No	faltin, rose	Yes
14746	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Walthers, Terri l	Yes
14747	9/5/2011	No	burke, sharon l	Yes
14748	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14749	9/5/2011	No	WALLACH, DAVID	Yes
14750	9/5/2011	No	Sexton, Rebecca M	Yes
14751	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Christian, Terrie P	Yes
14752	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Worden, Camilla	Yes
14753	9/5/2011	No	Mart&iacute;nez, Eva M	Yes
14754	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14755	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14756	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Myers, Robert	Yes
14757	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14758	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14759	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14760	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14761	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Erlandson, Karen L	Yes
14762	9/5/2011	No	Luizer, Virginia	Yes
14763	9/5/2011	No	Ansoff, Mary R	Yes
14764	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	evans, jerry l	Yes
14765	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Kerri	Yes
14766	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14767	9/5/2011	No	Russell, Meriel	Yes
14768	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Gallagher, Andrea	Yes
14769	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Kolek, Brian	Yes
14770	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Bradford, Moira	Yes
14771	9/5/2011	No	Fiato, Michael	Yes
14772	9/5/2011	No	bewsee, michaelann	Yes
14773	9/5/2011	No	Aliberti, Julie L	Yes
14774	9/5/2011	No	Gianikos, Cathy L	Yes
14775	9/5/2011	No	Addison, Peggy E	Yes
14776	9/5/2011	No	Sweeney, Mickey	Yes
14777	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14778	9/5/2011	No	Myers, Susan	Yes
14779	9/5/2011	No	Neff, Kim	Yes
14780	9/5/2011	No	Addison, Keith D	Yes
14781	9/5/2011	No	Gudell, Christine J	Yes
14782	9/5/2011	No	Wang, Julie C	Yes
14783	9/5/2011	No	Salter, Robin S	Yes
14784	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14785	9/5/2011	No	Kroehler, Corbett M	Yes
14786	9/5/2011	No	Noyes, Arthur E	Yes
14787	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Shorr, Victoria	Yes
14788	9/5/2011	No	mittchell, jane	Yes
14789	9/5/2011	No	Jenkins, Jacqueline A	Yes
14790	9/5/2011	No	Hopton, Charles	Yes

## 0013941

14791	9/5/2011	Yes (602261)	Robertson, Myles	Yes
14792	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Crane, Elisabeth	Yes
14793	9/5/2011	No	Stoudemire, Sue S	Yes
14794	9/5/2011	No	Guise, Karen D	Yes
14795	9/5/2011	No	Cameron, Rory	Yes
14796	9/5/2011	No	Kovatch, Laura A	Yes
14797	9/5/2011	Yes (602261)	Cleveland, Joel P	Yes
14798	9/5/2011	No	Whitehair, Barbara A	Yes
14799	9/5/2011	No	Grout, Nancy E	Yes
14800	9/5/2011	Yes (602261)	Harland, Donald	Yes
14801	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Paradiso, Lisa A	Yes
14802	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Weber, Carol E	Yes
14803	9/5/2011	No	Whitely, Melissa	Yes
14804	9/5/2011	No	Dawley, Daniel	Yes
14805	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Rooney, Diane B	Yes
14806	9/5/2011	No	Keevins, Raymond J	Yes
14807	9/5/2011	No	Carroll, James B	Yes
14808	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Petrie, Lisa	Yes
14809	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14810	9/5/2011	No	Merchant, Connie L	Yes
14811	9/5/2011	No	Kewitz, Dale G	Yes
14812	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Bourns, David	Yes
14813	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Bray, Sammi J	Yes
14814	9/5/2011	No	Janosik, Brian	Yes
14815	9/5/2011	No	Cattarius, Mel	Yes
14816	9/5/2011	No	Stanley, Richard	Yes
14817	9/5/2011	No	Haniford, James	Yes
14818	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Harlan, jack	Yes
14819	9/5/2011	No	Weir, Dallas M	Yes
14820	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Sandholdt, Kim	Yes
14821	9/5/2011	No	Weir, Dallas M	Yes
14822	9/5/2011	No	Weir, Dallas M	Yes
14823	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14824	9/5/2011	No	Weir, Dallas M	Yes
14825	9/5/2011	No	Isaksen, Ingrid	Yes
14826	9/5/2011	No	fee, SHARON A	Yes
14827	9/5/2011	No	Smiga-Klein, Ellen M	Yes
14828	9/5/2011	No	Eberle, Martha J	Yes
14829	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Jenks, Bonnie L	Yes
14830	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivers, Michelle O	Yes
14831	9/5/2011	No	Webster, Earlene H	Yes
14832	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Ball, Evelyn	Yes
14833	9/5/2011	No	Coppedge, Teri J	Yes
14834	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14835	9/5/2011	No	Bonette, Andrea M	Yes
14836	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Gottfried, Susan	Yes
14837	9/5/2011	No	Stanley, Richard	Yes
14838	9/5/2011	No	Kellermann, Thomasin	Yes
14839	9/5/2011	No	Sutherland, Gale P	Yes
14840	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14841	9/5/2011	No	Vila, B	Yes
14842	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Gross, Beatrice	Yes
14843	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14844	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Harrelson, Craig	Yes
14845	9/5/2011	No	Jansen, W	Yes

## 0013942

14846	9/5/2011	No	Loveday, Charles H	Yes
14847	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14848	9/5/2011	No	Sheridan, Michelle L	Yes
14849	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	bohannan, jill	Yes
14850	9/5/2011	No	Warder, Shelly	Yes
14851	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Link, Elizabeth	Yes
14852	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Wright, Kirstin E	Yes
14853	9/5/2011	No	Blackmon, Mark	Yes
14854	9/5/2011	No	Kinnell, Sterling M	Yes
14855	9/5/2011	No	Croom, Carolyn B	Yes
14856	9/5/2011	No	Pritchard, Sharon J	Yes
14857	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Kennedy, Hannelore	Yes
14858	9/5/2011	No	Bartholomew, Judith E	Yes
14859	9/5/2011	No	Holman, R K	Yes
14860	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Ehrlich, Marion F	Yes
14861	9/5/2011	No	Arcaro, Cynthia M	Yes
14862	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14863	9/5/2011	No	Bartholomew, Judith E	Yes
14864	9/5/2011	No	Dalka, R	Yes
14865	9/5/2011	No	Martinez, Judy A	Yes
14866	9/5/2011	No	Curtis, Pam A	Yes
14867	9/5/2011	No	Martinez, Judy	Yes
14868	9/5/2011	No	Baumgardner, David	Yes
14869	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	isler, valerie v	Yes
14870	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Moss, Paul	Yes
14871	9/5/2011	No	Moulesong, Jon	Yes
14872	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Fiorentino, Doris S	Yes
14873	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Linn, Karen D	Yes
14874	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Tatton, Jessica	Yes
14875	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	dunn, mary K	Yes
14876	9/5/2011	No	Cudzilo, John E	Yes
14877	9/5/2011	No	Herbert, Robert	Yes
14878	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Schuberth, Rebecca	Yes
14879	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	KLine, Steven B	Yes
14880	9/5/2011	No	Freund, Kate	Yes
14881	9/5/2011	No	Finberg, Sharon G	Yes
14882	9/5/2011	No	Tatton, Jessica	Yes
14883	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Allen, Janet	Yes
14884	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Tatton, Jessica	Yes
14885	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Gianni, PA	Yes
14886	9/5/2011	No	s, v	Yes
14887	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Andrade, Robert	Yes
14888	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Metzler, Douglas	Yes
14889	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Crow, Benita L	Yes
14890	9/5/2011	No	Rose, Walter E	Yes
14891	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14892	9/5/2011	No	Townsley, Yvonne	Yes
14893	9/5/2011	No	Phillips, Theresa J	Yes
14894	9/5/2011	No	Joy, Barry F	Yes
14895	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Simpson, Linda	Yes
14896	9/5/2011	No	Cannon, Thomas M	Yes
14897	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Winski, Barbara	Yes
14898	9/5/2011	No	Gery, Michael E	Yes
14899	9/5/2011	No	Jones, David B	Yes
14900	9/5/2011	No	Friedman, Seth H	Yes

## 0013943

14901	9/5/2011	No	Nowlen, Jenny K	Yes
14902	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Freeman, Joseph P	Yes
14903	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Samuel F	Yes
14904	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Spivack, Robert L	Yes
14905	9/5/2011	No	Houston, Ann E	Yes
14906	9/5/2011	No	Helwig, Emily	Yes
14907	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Gravelle, Willis	Yes
14908	9/5/2011	No	Williams, Nora H	Yes
14909	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Robinson, Nicola	Yes
14910	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Klein, Lorraine	Yes
14911	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Gisselquist, Carol	Yes
14912	9/5/2011	No	Sauvigne, Peter C	Yes
14913	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Denis, Katherine Marie St	Yes
14914	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Nadeau, Annette C	Yes
14915	9/5/2011	No	Albertson, Terry G	Yes
14916	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Becker, Morgan J	Yes
14917	9/5/2011	No	flanagan, Marianne	Yes
14918	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Jones, Laurie	Yes
14919	9/5/2011	No	Stott, Lee Allaby Rives Stott	Yes
14920	9/5/2011	No	McLain, Phil	Yes
14921	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Ferry, Stephen J	Yes
14922	9/5/2011	No	Sizer, Louis	Yes
14923	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14924	9/5/2011	No	Machen, Richard E	Yes
14925	9/5/2011	No	Ryerson, Deborah	Yes
14926	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Chen, Evelyn D	Yes
14927	9/5/2011	No	Carswell, Deborah B	Yes
14928	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14929	9/5/2011	No	Saunders, Ron and Cecile	Yes
14930	9/5/2011	No	Vachet, Dave	Yes
14931	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14932	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Adkins, Roger	Yes
14933	9/5/2011	No	Sereque, Richard	Yes
14934	9/5/2011	No	Grob, Diana L	Yes
14935	9/5/2011	No	Weyandt, Kenneth J	Yes
14936	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Hottenstein, Tara	Yes
14937	9/5/2011	No	Grob, Diana L	Yes
14938	9/5/2011	No	Bradbury, Jeanne C	Yes
14939	9/5/2011	No	Frizzell, Donna L	Yes
14940	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Hottenstein, Tara	Yes
14941	9/5/2011	No	McClure, Kim R	Yes
14942	9/5/2011	No	Payne, Heather	Yes
14943	9/5/2011	No	Medford, Glenda L	Yes
14944	9/5/2011	No	kayota, stephen w	Yes
14945	9/5/2011	No	Bacon, Pam	Yes
14946	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Rautus, Toni R	Yes
14947	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Eagle, Nee	Yes
14948	9/5/2011	No	Hertel, Lorraine	Yes
14949	9/5/2011	No	Wishner, Susan L	Yes
14950	9/5/2011	No	Woll, Monica	Yes
14951	9/5/2011	No	Turner, William J	Yes
14952	9/5/2011	No	Wishner, Susan L	Yes
14953	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
14954	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Glover, Tim	Yes
14955	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes



## 0013944

14956	9/5/2011	No	CLAYPOOL, ROBERTA A	Yes
14957	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	May, Kari L	Yes
14958	9/5/2011	No	Couch, John B	Yes
14959	9/5/2011	No	Pickering, Eric S	Yes
14960	9/5/2011	No	Vickhouse, Michael F	Yes
14961	9/5/2011	No	Sickels, Bryan	Yes
14962	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Metje, Melodie A	Yes
14963	9/5/2011	No	Saretsky, Richard	Yes
14964	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Houghton, Jocelyne B	Yes
14965	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Richey, Betty	Yes
14966	9/5/2011	No	Feltner, Mark	Yes
14967	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Richey, Betty	Yes
14968	9/5/2011	No	Goss, Kristin M	Yes
14969	9/5/2011	No	Hartnett, John	Yes
14970	9/5/2011	No	Cavins, John F	Yes
14971	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14972	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Getter, Camile	Yes
14973	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	Getter, Camile	Yes
14974	9/5/2011	No	Ries, Donald J	Yes
14975	9/5/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
14976	9/5/2011	No	Scott, Kari L	Yes
14977	9/5/2011	No	Osborne, Sharon L	Yes
14978	9/5/2011	No	Hartnett, Jennifer	Yes
14979	9/5/2011	No	Couch, John B	Yes
14980	9/5/2011	No	Yasechko, Jodi	Yes
14981	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Tamm, Sharon R	Yes
14982	9/5/2011	Yes (603687)	FIELD, JAMES T	Yes
14983	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Kiefer, Joseph R	Yes
14984	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Klein, Anne	Yes
14985	9/5/2011	No	Barrett, MJ	Yes
14986	9/5/2011	Yes (617010)	Schacht, Timothy A	Yes
14987	9/5/2011	No	lyons, david	Yes
14988	9/5/2011	Yes (609013)	Schacht, Timothy A	Yes
14989	9/9/2011	No	Love, Jeremy M	Yes
14990	9/9/2011	No	Smith, Pam	Yes
14991	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Presutti, Nora D	Yes
14992	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	white, mary	Yes
14993	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	mason, marcia	Yes
14994	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	mason, m	Yes
14995	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Zylberberg, Maxine	Yes
14996	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Stillwater, Tai	Yes
14997	9/9/2011	No	detmer, chris	Yes
14998	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Canty, Claudia M	Yes
14999	9/9/2011	No	Detmer, Dan	Yes
15000	9/9/2011	No	Lake, Alison	Yes
15001	9/9/2011	No	French, Jonathan E	Yes
15002	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Giardino, M.	Yes
15003	9/9/2011	No	Staton, Emily	Yes
15004	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Green, Amy	Yes
15005	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
15006	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
15007	9/9/2011	Yes (617010)	Streitz, Erin	Yes
15008	9/9/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
15009	9/9/2011	No	Eastman, Jerry R	Yes
15010	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Feltman, Alan Alan Feltman	Yes

## 0013945

15011	9/10/2011	Yes (602261)	Hochstadt, Naomi	Yes
15012	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Oberlin, Rebecca	Yes
15013	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
15014	9/10/2011	No	Allen, Carole H	Yes
15015	9/10/2011	No	Amarena, Frances	Yes
15016	9/10/2011	No	Shapiro, and Mark P. Shapiro	Yes
15017	9/10/2011	No	Kripli, Paul	Yes
15018	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Towey, Shawn K	Yes
15019	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Lisafeld, Monica M	Yes
15020	9/10/2011	No	D'Angelo, Heather	Yes
15021	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Baker, Stan P	Yes
15022	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Ballard, Margaret M	Yes
15023	9/10/2011	No	Farmer, Dana T	Yes
15024	9/10/2011	No	Brady, julie	Yes
15025	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Hutchinson, Terrance A	Yes
15026	9/10/2011	Yes (617010)	Duey, Ann L	Yes
15027	9/10/2011	No	Denneen, Bill	Yes
15028	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	smith, paul s	Yes
15029	9/11/2011	No	o'neill, james p	Yes
15030	9/11/2011	No	Tipton, William	Yes
15031	9/11/2011	Yes (602261)	Moav, Or	Yes
15032	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	Moldenhauer, RaeAnn	Yes
15033	9/11/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
15034	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	Curcio, Wendi	Yes
15035	9/11/2011	No	Davis, Robert B	Yes
15036	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	Linder, Tami	Yes
15037	9/11/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
15038	9/11/2011	No	Higham, James J	Yes
15039	9/11/2011	No	Thomas, Erik R	Yes
15040	9/11/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
15041	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	Crescioli, Chris	Yes
15042	9/11/2011	No	Farrell, Stephen A	Yes
15043	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	Raper, Connie k	Yes
15044	9/11/2011	Yes (617010)	Elliott, Lynn m	Yes
15045	9/11/2011	No	Jakob, Frank A	Yes
15046	9/12/2011	No	Brittingham, B	Yes
15047	9/12/2011	Yes (617010)	Books, Jennifer A	Yes
15048	9/12/2011	No	Schweinefuss, Thomas J	Yes
15049	9/12/2011	No	Houghton, Jim	Yes
15050	9/12/2011	No	Gross, Charles	Yes
15051	9/12/2011	Yes (617010)	Kohl, Katie	Yes
15052	9/12/2011	No	Eakes, Bob	No
15053	9/8/2011	Master (631153)	Pierce, James	No
15054	9/8/2011	Yes (631153)	White, Kirk	No
15055	9/8/2011	Yes (631153)	Hughell, Scott	No
15056	9/8/2011	Yes (631153)	Geddes, Donna	No
15057	9/8/2011	Yes (631153)	White, Holly	No
15058	9/8/2011	Yes (631153)	Geddes, Matthew	No
15059	9/8/2011	Yes (631153)	Woodburn, Mark	No
15060	9/12/2011	No	Oden, Dan G	Yes
15061	9/12/2011	Yes (617010)	McConoughey, Tamra	Yes
15062	9/12/2011	Yes (617010)	gertz, jay s	Yes
15063	9/12/2011	No	Garrett, Randal H	Yes
15064	9/12/2011	No	Bradley, Vernon L	Yes
15065	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Conlon, Suzanne J	Yes

## 0013946

15066	9/13/2011	No	Maples, Mike E	Yes
15067	9/13/2011	No	Bendler, Jeremy	Yes
15068	9/13/2011	No	Moerman-Herzog, Andrea	Yes
15069	9/13/2011	No	Regnery, Rebecca	Yes
15070	9/13/2011	No	Barton, Douglas	Yes
15071	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	DeLorenzo, Rachele	Yes
15072	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	BRAUS, SHIRLEY R	Yes
15074	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Kirk, Paula	Yes
15076	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Szeszol, Susan	Yes
15078	9/13/2011	No	Simon, Janice	Yes
15080	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Stewart, Anita H	Yes
15082	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
15084	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Rymkiewicz, Matthew L	Yes
15086	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Flanagan, Gary M	Yes
15087	9/13/2011	No	Fahey, Michael S	Yes
15088	9/13/2011	No	Fahey, Michael S	Yes
15089	9/13/2011	No	Hayes, Susan K	Yes
15091	9/13/2011	Yes (556464)	Pillatsch, Kent	Yes
15093	9/13/2011	No	McGrath, Daryl	Yes
15094	9/13/2011	No	qua, robert	Yes
15096	9/13/2011	Yes (556464)	Schluter, Paul	Yes
15098	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Leesekamp, Kristine L	Yes
15099	9/13/2011	No	HOGATE, ART D	Yes
15101	9/13/2011	No	Doebley, Gene	Yes
15103	9/13/2011	No	HOGATE, ART D	Yes
15105	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Parmelee, Laura	Yes
15107	9/13/2011	No	Kurtz, Michael D	Yes
15109	9/13/2011	No	brown, george	Yes
15110	9/13/2011	No	Schutte, Jay	Yes
15113	9/13/2011	No	Hill, james k	Yes
15116	9/13/2011	Yes (617010)	Wild, Carrie	Yes
15118	9/13/2011	No	Biery, James S	Yes
15121	9/13/2011	Yes (556464)	Biery, Jamie	Yes
15124	9/13/2011	No	Lineberger, Joel P	Yes
15125	9/13/2011	No	Whatley, Ben	Yes
15128	9/13/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
15130	9/13/2011	No	Morrow, Eric C	Yes
15132	9/13/2011	No	Gittleman, Joseph	Yes
15136	9/13/2011	No	hollingsworth, barry v	Yes
15139	9/13/2011	No	Slousky, Andrew J	Yes
15140	9/13/2011	No	Eudy, Roger G	Yes
15144	9/13/2011	No	Lewis, Jack	Yes
15148	9/13/2011	Yes (602261)	N/A, N/A	Yes
15149	9/13/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
15152	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Hess, David B	Yes
15155	9/14/2011	No	Granieri, Frank P	Yes
15158	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
15159	9/14/2011	No	Smith, David	Yes
15160	9/15/2011	No	Joyner, David	No
15161	9/19/2011	No	Couch, John	No
16966	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Hess, David B	Yes
16967	9/14/2011	No	Granieri, Frank P	Yes
16968	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
16969	9/14/2011	No	Smith, David	Yes
16970	9/14/2011	No	WIDGEON, DAVID	Yes

0013947

16971	9/14/2011	No	DAPKINS, JOHN	Yes
16972	9/14/2011	Yes (556464)	Williamson, Chris	Yes
16973	9/14/2011	No	Keto, Ray	Yes
16974	9/14/2011	No	Holloman, Eric	Yes
16975	9/14/2011	No	Petrey, Dustin L	Yes
16976	9/14/2011	No	matherne, perry a	Yes
16977	9/14/2011	No	riggs, richard m	Yes
16978	9/14/2011	No	Mulvaney, Dion M	Yes
16979	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Uzsak, Adrienn	Yes
16980	9/14/2011	No	Adell, Genevieve	Yes
16981	9/14/2011	No	Strine-Zuroski, Collin P	Yes
16982	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ostuno, Ernie	Yes
16983	9/14/2011	No	Wheeler, Mark Mark Wheeler	Yes
16984	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Klingberg, Rachel	Yes
16985	9/14/2011	No	Woodson-Boulton, Amy	Yes
16986	9/14/2011	No	vogel, nathan	Yes
16987	9/14/2011	No	campbell, Amy L	Yes
16988	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
16989	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rhoads, Kirk	Yes
16990	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Herman F	Yes
16991	9/14/2011	No	Weatherby, Brian	Yes
16992	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
16993	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wolfe, Kathleen A	Yes
16994	9/14/2011	No	Fulmer-Scales, Karen	Yes
16995	9/14/2011	No	Ibbotson, D'Averil	Yes
16996	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stankrauff, Alison H	Yes
16997	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tidwell, Amber	Yes
16998	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gardner, Annah J	Yes
16999	9/14/2011	No	Nunn, Phyllis	Yes
17000	9/14/2011	No	Dugaw, Anne	Yes
17001	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Magnuson, Christine	Yes
17002	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	jessler, darynne	Yes
17003	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Garber, Marc	Yes
17004	9/14/2011	No	Ellis, Catherine	Yes
17005	9/14/2011	No	Thompson, Heather	Yes
17006	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Walsh, Elizabeth	Yes
17007	9/14/2011	No	Heneghan, Jennifer	Yes
17008	9/14/2011	No	dash, sandy L	Yes
17009	9/14/2011	No	Payne, Rachael E	Yes
17010	9/14/2011	No	Dorsey, Sarah B	Yes
17011	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Kerby A	Yes
17012	9/14/2011	No	mesch, kathy m	Yes
17013	9/14/2011	No	Hatrick, Gloria E	Yes
17014	9/14/2011	No	KING, ELAINE	Yes
17015	9/14/2011	No	Wright, Laura	Yes
17016	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17017	9/14/2011	No	Olson, Rosede A	Yes
17018	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Scheer, Steve	Yes
17019	9/14/2011	No	Fiuza, Filomena	Yes
17020	9/14/2011	No	Baca, DL	Yes
17021	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Azar, M.L.	Yes
17022	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17023	9/14/2011	No	Beckett, Lillian M	Yes
17024	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kamysz, Patty A	Yes
17025	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kornstein, Barry	Yes

## 0013948

17026	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderies, Angela J	Yes
17027	9/14/2011	No	Riley, Kate	Yes
17028	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Graves, Caryn	Yes
17029	9/14/2011	No	Reece, Monique	Yes
17030	9/14/2011	No	lee, kathleen b	Yes
17031	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Richey, Sarah W	Yes
17032	9/14/2011	No	Cohen, Harriet	Yes
17033	9/14/2011	No	AMIJI, Husein	Yes
17034	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Castaneda-Mendez, Kicab	Yes
17035	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	L., John	Yes
17036	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lux-Thompson, Laura	Yes
17037	9/14/2011	No	Steen, marcie	Yes
17038	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Karbassi, Dana	Yes
17039	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nesbitt, Lynda	Yes
17040	9/14/2011	No	Morris, Wendy E	Yes
17041	9/14/2011	No	Grunebaum, Luiza	Yes
17042	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Brenda	Yes
17043	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Susan P	Yes
17044	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17045	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Baker, John	Yes
17046	9/14/2011	No	Eckardt, Miriam	Yes
17047	9/14/2011	No	Dudas, Brittany	Yes
17048	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wedow, Nancy J	Yes
17049	9/14/2011	No	Campbell, Benita	Yes
17050	9/14/2011	No	Fitzsimmons, Jennifer	Yes
17051	9/14/2011	No	Brazil, Diane	Yes
17052	9/14/2011	No	Cable, Laurence	Yes
17053	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bedford, Theresa L	Yes
17054	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17055	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	David, Jack	Yes
17056	9/14/2011	No	Terrano, Carleen A	Yes
17057	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gandolfi, Stefanie Y	Yes
17058	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dobbe, Karrin	Yes
17059	9/14/2011	No	walson, v a	Yes
17060	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ahmed, Leila	Yes
17061	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Esposito, Rhea M	Yes
17062	9/14/2011	No	Pavlin, Jasmina	Yes
17063	9/14/2011	No	Lusk, Ginger B	Yes
17064	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	NUGENT, KARA	Yes
17065	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Meltzer, Rachel	Yes
17066	9/14/2011	No	Maruzo, Hope	Yes
17067	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Padgett, Lenay M	Yes
17068	9/14/2011	No	gaspar, p	Yes
17069	9/14/2011	No	Mericle, S L	Yes
17070	9/14/2011	No	DeCoursey, Bernadette	Yes
17071	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hedrick, Ashley	Yes
17072	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frankfurter, Aryeh J	Yes
17073	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dollard, Nancy	Yes
17074	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Martin, Meg	Yes
17075	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Livingston, Deborah L	Yes
17076	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brown, Deb	Yes
17077	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pfeifer, Nezka	Yes
17078	9/14/2011	No	Brunnemann, Savannah	Yes
17079	9/14/2011	No	Nabors, Rosalie I	Yes
17080	9/14/2011	No	Thorpe, Kristina	Yes

0013949

17081	9/14/2011	No	Gibson, Bruce M	Yes
17082	9/14/2011	No	coleman, cayla	Yes
17083	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	zylka, chris l	Yes
17084	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Benson, Cara	Yes
17085	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hawkins, Marta D	Yes
17086	9/14/2011	No	Maurer, Josephine P	Yes
17087	9/14/2011	No	Heroux, Crystal	Yes
17088	9/14/2011	No	Greenberg, Jon	Yes
17089	9/14/2011	No	Corby, Kathleen	Yes
17090	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17091	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17092	9/14/2011	No	McMahon, Yvonne r	Yes
17093	9/14/2011	No	Marsh, Cindy	Yes
17094	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Freel, Elizabeth S	Yes
17095	9/14/2011	No	kucek, peg	Yes
17096	9/14/2011	No	Peariso, Sharon M	Yes
17097	9/14/2011	No	Milowe, Layne	Yes
17098	9/14/2011	No	Grounds, Shari	Yes
17099	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17100	9/14/2011	No	Gindhart, Denise	Yes
17101	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	DiGusta, Linda	Yes
17102	9/14/2011	No	Perry, Deborah	Yes
17103	9/14/2011	No	Cooper, Lynne B	Yes
17104	9/14/2011	No	Benard, Stefany	Yes
17105	9/14/2011	No	Mowery-Stevens, Michele D	Yes
17106	9/14/2011	No	Marks, Daniel	Yes
17107	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	metterle, tracee	Yes
17108	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	summers, lela	Yes
17109	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17110	9/14/2011	No	Oswald, Linda L	Yes
17111	9/14/2011	No	Sauer, Patty	Yes
17112	9/14/2011	No	Dupree, Louis J	Yes
17113	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Senra-Sargent, Elissa	Yes
17114	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ostrom, Gavin	Yes
17115	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17116	9/14/2011	No	Donohue, Colin	Yes
17117	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Katano, Alicia	Yes
17118	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Morris, P	Yes
17119	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bedard, Peter	Yes
17120	9/14/2011	No	Powers, Eva	Yes
17121	9/14/2011	No	Bower, Virginia L	Yes
17122	9/14/2011	No	tessel, terza	Yes
17123	9/14/2011	No	Reeck, Thomas	Yes
17124	9/14/2011	No	Alexander, Sheila K	Yes
17125	9/14/2011	No	Gillander, Nellie L	Yes
17126	9/14/2011	No	Dixon, Beverly A	Yes
17127	9/14/2011	No	White, Robbie	Yes
17128	9/14/2011	No	Shepard, William	Yes
17129	9/14/2011	No	Ellison, MaryAnn	Yes
17130	9/14/2011	No	Simeon, Sandy	Yes
17131	9/14/2011	No	Nels, Em	Yes
17132	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Swan, Linda	Yes
17133	9/14/2011	No	kumar, jen	Yes
17134	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Riblett, Mary	Yes
17135	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Davis, Galen	Yes

## 0013950

17136	9/14/2011	No	ames, nannette I	Yes
17137	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jensen, Donna	Yes
17138	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	bowman, toni	Yes
17139	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Majewski, Catherine M	Yes
17140	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17141	9/14/2011	No	Rubin, Nancy and Errol Rubin	Yes
17142	9/14/2011	No	Piatek, Alice	Yes
17143	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17144	9/14/2011	No	Burns, Joanne	Yes
17145	9/14/2011	No	Darst, Cynthia	Yes
17146	9/14/2011	No	Toner, Pat	Yes
17147	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Claire S	Yes
17148	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17149	9/14/2011	No	Barrow, Marian L	Yes
17150	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Russell, Robin	Yes
17151	9/14/2011	No	Skloven, Lydia J	Yes
17152	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	REYNOLDS, KEVIN B	Yes
17153	9/14/2011	No	D, Mia	Yes
17154	9/14/2011	No	Lerner, Lynne	Yes
17155	9/14/2011	No	Bertuccio, Lucille	Yes
17156	9/14/2011	No	Brekken, Rosanne M	Yes
17157	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Toker, Rachel	Yes
17158	9/14/2011	No	Donnelly, Denis	Yes
17159	9/14/2011	No	Swan, Susan	Yes
17160	9/14/2011	No	Crooke, Carolyn J	Yes
17161	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Isbell, Elizabeth	Yes
17162	9/14/2011	No	Boucher-Romano, Monica L	Yes
17163	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mendieta, Barbara	Yes
17164	9/14/2011	No	Margathe, Martine	Yes
17165	9/14/2011	No	Rosoff, Cheryl	Yes
17166	9/14/2011	No	Oliver, Ann	Yes
17167	9/14/2011	No	Espinosa, Sally	Yes
17168	9/14/2011	No	Webber, Lynn	Yes
17169	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lumley, Stacey L	Yes
17170	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Vanessa R	Yes
17171	9/14/2011	No	Glovier, Ann L	Yes
17172	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17173	9/14/2011	No	Graves, Michelle	Yes
17174	9/14/2011	No	Crognale, Adrienne	Yes
17175	9/14/2011	No	Starling, Julie	Yes
17176	9/14/2011	No	Butts, Ryan	Yes
17177	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Vimmer, Mor	Yes
17178	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Teller, David	Yes
17179	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17180	9/14/2011	No	Cherry, Connie	Yes
17181	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Candy	Yes
17182	9/14/2011	No	DuCharme, Christy	Yes
17183	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nienkamp, Karen M	Yes
17184	9/14/2011	No	H, Chandira	Yes
17185	9/14/2011	No	Tarallo, Mary M	Yes
17186	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17187	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17188	9/14/2011	No	Dalia, Julie	Yes
17189	9/14/2011	No	Djordjevic, B.	Yes
17190	9/14/2011	No	Dewey, Marcella S	Yes

## 0013951

17191	9/14/2011	No	Chase, Jayne	Yes
17192	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivera, Sergio M	Yes
17193	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Co, Elizabeth	Yes
17194	9/14/2011	No	shevis, aron	Yes
17195	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rapaport, Lisa	Yes
17196	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jastrzebski, Abigail	Yes
17197	9/14/2011	No	Doerr, Helena	Yes
17198	9/14/2011	No	Webster, Ty	Yes
17199	9/14/2011	No	Swanson, J	Yes
17200	9/14/2011	No	Deveneau, Jacqui	Yes
17201	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hayes, Veronica	Yes
17202	9/14/2011	No	Price, Diane H	Yes
17203	9/14/2011	No	Sisson, Donna L	Yes
17204	9/14/2011	No	Stolk, Evan	Yes
17205	9/14/2011	No	Gaffney, Bill	Yes
17206	9/14/2011	No	Orbine, J	Yes
17207	9/14/2011	No	florin, frank	Yes
17208	9/14/2011	No	Heitman, Kelly J	Yes
17209	9/14/2011	No	byrnes, kelly	Yes
17210	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Humphreys, Christine L	Yes
17211	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bartell, Karen	Yes
17212	9/14/2011	No	Stewart, Jenny	Yes
17213	9/14/2011	No	Holmgren, Mark G	Yes
17214	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17215	9/14/2011	No	Marrone, Vito	Yes
17216	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bean, Ryan	Yes
17217	9/14/2011	No	Jacobs, Joan	Yes
17218	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17219	9/14/2011	No	Kellum, Amy D	Yes
17220	9/14/2011	No	Lapd, Gary G	Yes
17221	9/14/2011	No	Sympson, Penny	Yes
17222	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hancock, Steven E	Yes
17223	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17224	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Holter, Norbert	Yes
17225	9/14/2011	No	nord, jill	Yes
17226	9/14/2011	No	Streby, Phillip	Yes
17227	9/14/2011	No	Devine, Lauren	Yes
17228	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Berg, Karen J	Yes
17229	9/14/2011	No	Blumenthal, Harry	Yes
17230	9/14/2011	No	Olander, Alan F	Yes
17231	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17232	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17233	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	wendler, jeff s	Yes
17234	9/14/2011	No	Stenseth, Carolyn	Yes
17235	9/14/2011	No	SIMS, KIM O	Yes
17236	9/14/2011	No	MACDONALD, PATTY A	Yes
17237	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Groff, Robert	Yes
17238	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Kathleen	Yes
17239	9/14/2011	No	SZUMAL, TERRI L	Yes
17240	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dent, Christopher A	Yes
17241	9/14/2011	No	motsinger, audrey s	Yes
17242	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conner, Kristen	Yes
17243	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mullen, Lynne M	Yes
17244	9/14/2011	No	k, shivani	Yes
17245	9/14/2011	No	Adaba, Nellie K	Yes



0013952

17246	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cook, Vanessa	Yes
17247	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Zachary D	Yes
17248	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17249	9/14/2011	No	Naylor, Paul D	Yes
17250	9/14/2011	No	Hatton, John W	Yes
17251	9/14/2011	No	Thibault, Gail B	Yes
17252	9/14/2011	No	Owhadi, Siamak	Yes
17253	9/14/2011	No	Gabler, Audra	Yes
17254	9/14/2011	No	Marinakis, Marie	Yes
17255	9/14/2011	No	Carroll, Bryanna	Yes
17256	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sotomayor, Tanya	Yes
17257	9/14/2011	No	Braunig, Linda J	Yes
17258	9/14/2011	No	Beaman, Lisa	Yes
17259	9/14/2011	No	Padgett, Sandy	Yes
17260	9/14/2011	No	Trias, Deanna	Yes
17261	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Charles, Stanley R	Yes
17262	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	bilangino, david	Yes
17263	9/14/2011	No	Maxey, Ashley	Yes
17264	9/14/2011	No	Iverson, Robert W	Yes
17265	9/14/2011	No	Masucci, Cara J	Yes
17266	9/14/2011	No	Marbury, Edward	Yes
17267	9/14/2011	No	Petrella, Beverly	Yes
17268	9/14/2011	No	Gath, Caitlin	Yes
17269	9/14/2011	No	Lea, Debra A	Yes
17270	9/14/2011	No	Still, Christopher	Yes
17271	9/14/2011	No	Altman, China n	Yes
17272	9/14/2011	No	Mouzourakis, Nicholas T	Yes
17273	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Keller, Arthur	Yes
17274	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Grifo, Melisa	Yes
17275	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	nieters, lenore	Yes
17276	9/14/2011	No	Fricano, Marian	Yes
17277	9/14/2011	No	Jasper, Herbert N	Yes
17278	9/14/2011	No	Bravo, Eleanor	Yes
17279	9/14/2011	No	Wargo, Kerry A	Yes
17280	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	MacPhail, Kristyn	Yes
17281	9/14/2011	No	Wild, Laurin	Yes
17282	9/14/2011	No	Silva, Teresa	Yes
17283	9/14/2011	No	Meyer, Colonel R	Yes
17284	9/14/2011	No	fowler, sesame	Yes
17285	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17286	9/14/2011	No	Gilmore, Timothy	Yes
17287	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tranfaglia, Twyla L	Yes
17288	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Chalker, Mikki	Yes
17289	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17290	9/14/2011	No	Davidoff, Nora	Yes
17291	9/14/2011	No	schiafone, cherie a	Yes
17292	9/14/2011	No	Joern, Sara	Yes
17293	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, Dee	Yes
17294	9/14/2011	No	Coven, Michelle	Yes
17295	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schoen, Jessica	Yes
17296	9/14/2011	No	Socolow, Dottie	Yes
17297	9/14/2011	No	McCreight, Chris	Yes
17298	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Berry, Nina	Yes
17299	9/14/2011	No	Mangan, Deborah	Yes
17300	9/14/2011	No	Marshall, David L	Yes

0013953

17301	9/14/2011	No	Turco, Michelle	Yes
17302	9/14/2011	No	good, Sherrie	Yes
17303	9/14/2011	No	Mathieu, M.	Yes
17304	9/14/2011	No	danziger, Barbara	Yes
17305	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Crandall, AnaLisa C	Yes
17306	9/14/2011	No	Donabed, Sargon	Yes
17307	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bailey, Kurt V	Yes
17308	9/14/2011	No	Otterby, Lon	Yes
17309	9/14/2011	No	King, John D	Yes
17310	9/14/2011	No	Pavlidis, Gregory	Yes
17311	9/14/2011	No	Spanski, Linda	Yes
17312	9/14/2011	No	Herkowitz, Esther	Yes
17313	9/14/2011	No	Abel, Denise	Yes
17314	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17315	9/14/2011	No	DeMars, Louis R	Yes
17316	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Parker, James C	Yes
17317	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Davenport, Susan M	Yes
17318	9/14/2011	No	LaPere, Jaime	Yes
17319	9/14/2011	No	Evanick, Sharon	Yes
17320	9/14/2011	No	sloterdike, michael h	Yes
17321	9/14/2011	No	Robinson, Kathleen	Yes
17322	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17323	9/14/2011	No	schleimer, sy	Yes
17324	9/14/2011	No	Vaughan, Deborah	Yes
17325	9/14/2011	No	a, sherry	Yes
17326	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Valerie L	Yes
17327	9/14/2011	No	cook, maggie a	Yes
17328	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17329	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Deshayes, Thierry	Yes
17330	9/14/2011	No	Honigman, Wendy	Yes
17331	9/14/2011	No	Blevins, Janis	Yes
17332	9/14/2011	No	hanneken, donna k	Yes
17333	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jackson, Russell	Yes
17334	9/14/2011	No	Bartoli, Mary A	Yes
17335	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Connolly, Ryan	Yes
17336	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17337	9/14/2011	No	Sheets, K	Yes
17338	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Rae	Yes
17339	9/14/2011	No	Dardarian, Denise T	Yes
17340	9/14/2011	No	Hauck, Molly P	Yes
17341	9/14/2011	No	lecat, andree	Yes
17342	9/14/2011	No	Kelly, Tamsin L	Yes
17343	9/14/2011	No	Little, Ryan	Yes
17344	9/14/2011	No	MacConnell, Elaine	Yes
17345	9/14/2011	No	Kuyper, Carol D	Yes
17346	9/14/2011	No	Leboeuf, Penny C	Yes
17347	9/14/2011	No	Lawrence, Jaen	Yes
17348	9/14/2011	No	Kruszynski, Yasiu	Yes
17349	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17350	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Plese, Margaret	Yes
17351	9/14/2011	No	Onorato, Lynn	Yes
17352	9/14/2011	No	McKinney, Mary	Yes
17353	9/14/2011	No	Davis, Pat	Yes
17354	9/14/2011	No	Golter, Lindsay	Yes
17355	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Parker, Deborah M	Yes

## 0013954

17356	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bouchard, Kim R	Yes
17357	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Primiano, Bob and Carolyn	Yes
17358	9/14/2011	No	Kenna, Serena	Yes
17359	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bickham, Rebecca A	Yes
17360	9/14/2011	No	Rigby, Cheryl V	Yes
17361	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mariger, Heather	Yes
17362	9/14/2011	No	hill, christy	Yes
17363	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Simonet, S	Yes
17364	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17365	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fromholz, Eric	Yes
17366	9/14/2011	No	Zehring, Fred	Yes
17367	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Vasquez, Kelly	Yes
17368	9/14/2011	No	Cole, Marcelle	Yes
17369	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17370	9/14/2011	No	dow, sarah	Yes
17371	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17372	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Andrea	Yes
17373	9/14/2011	No	Knight, Barbara A	Yes
17374	9/14/2011	No	Hamilton, Cynthia	Yes
17375	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17376	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gliva, Stephen	Yes
17377	9/14/2011	No	Stanley, Roger W	Yes
17378	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	MD, Rhonda D Wright, MD	Yes
17379	9/14/2011	No	Anacker, Celeste M	Yes
17380	9/14/2011	No	Burkhart, Alice v	Yes
17381	9/14/2011	No	Wachter, Andrew J	Yes
17382	9/14/2011	No	Hemman, Scott T	Yes
17383	9/14/2011	No	Schraub, Jola	Yes
17384	9/14/2011	No	Rodriguez, Lauren A	Yes
17385	9/14/2011	No	Aktay, Mary A	Yes
17386	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	FRITTS, DEIRDRE	Yes
17387	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Scheiderer, Heather	Yes
17388	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kaddi, Keven B	Yes
17389	9/14/2011	No	Kendall-Morwick, Karalyn	Yes
17390	9/14/2011	No	torres, Alexandra m	Yes
17391	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Oleksa-Reiss, Barbara A	Yes
17392	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Marshall, Laurie R	Yes
17393	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bakanec, Marni	Yes
17394	9/14/2011	No	Key, Christopher	Yes
17395	9/14/2011	No	Radinovsky, Kathryn M	Yes
17396	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Torbit, Heather	Yes
17397	9/14/2011	No	Blanchette, Mary L	Yes
17398	9/14/2011	No	Ko, Ellen	Yes
17399	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bermudez, Juan F	Yes
17400	9/14/2011	No	Lowell, Linda L	Yes
17401	9/14/2011	No	Karlovich, David R	Yes
17402	9/14/2011	No	Rinaldo, Arlene	Yes
17403	9/14/2011	No	Cauthon-Beavers, Priscilla K	Yes
17404	9/14/2011	No	Farrell, Hilary	Yes
17405	9/14/2011	No	Baumann, Rob	Yes
17406	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Evan W	Yes
17407	9/14/2011	No	Schrader, Wanda W	Yes
17408	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brookahire, Dale A	Yes
17409	9/14/2011	No	Maguire, Heidi	Yes
17410	9/14/2011	No	Hatchion, Christie	Yes

## 0013955

17411	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hendin, Judith D	Yes
17412	9/14/2011	No	Webb, Martha	Yes
17413	9/14/2011	No	Kewan, Bobby	Yes
17414	9/14/2011	No	Schatz, David S	Yes
17415	9/14/2011	No	Weinberger, Mark	Yes
17416	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Richard, Josh	Yes
17417	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	VanGorkom, Kristie	Yes
17418	9/14/2011	No	Gregorat, C	Yes
17419	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mahoney-Watson, William	Yes
17420	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Boehling, Robert	Yes
17421	9/14/2011	No	spisak, d.j.	Yes
17422	9/14/2011	No	Ownby, Charlotte L	Yes
17423	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Watson, Angela	Yes
17424	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17425	9/14/2011	No	shaffer, mark	Yes
17426	9/14/2011	No	Heineken, Amy J	Yes
17427	9/14/2011	No	Twiss, Cathy	Yes
17428	9/14/2011	No	KECKLER, MARY	Yes
17429	9/14/2011	No	Pavia, Rose	Yes
17430	9/14/2011	No	Jenkins, Johanna	Yes
17431	9/14/2011	No	Albert, Shan	Yes
17432	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17433	9/14/2011	No	woods, roth	Yes
17434	9/14/2011	No	s, k	Yes
17435	9/14/2011	No	Nowell, Anita C	Yes
17436	9/14/2011	No	hodges, john b	Yes
17437	9/14/2011	No	Robertshaw, Mary J	Yes
17438	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17439	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dunn, Mona	Yes
17440	9/14/2011	No	Treacy, Carol R	Yes
17441	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	shirley, Delia a	Yes
17442	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	LaTrecchia, Dawn M	Yes
17443	9/14/2011	No	Clearihue, Annalisa	Yes
17444	9/14/2011	No	Fromberg, Jeff	Yes
17445	9/14/2011	No	Hood, Leigh H	Yes
17446	9/14/2011	No	Fortier, Cornelia	Yes
17447	9/14/2011	No	sailor, nancie l	Yes
17448	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17449	9/14/2011	No	Webster, Jamie	Yes
17450	9/14/2011	No	Weddeke, Sunshine	Yes
17451	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17452	9/14/2011	No	Heyman, Errrin	Yes
17453	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Singleton, Jonathon J	Yes
17454	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17455	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Trout, Larry	Yes
17456	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Reiss, Dick	Yes
17457	9/14/2011	No	Resendes, Shannin	Yes
17458	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frantz, Glenn	Yes
17459	9/14/2011	No	Sullivan, Diane	Yes
17460	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brennan, Sean	Yes
17461	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Barber, Amie	Yes
17462	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fishel, Parker	Yes
17463	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Karen	Yes
17464	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	pinto, cheryl r	Yes
17465	9/14/2011	No	Prudoff, Barbara M	Yes

## 0013956

17466	9/14/2011	No	Koerner, Rhoon	Yes
17467	9/14/2011	No	Niendorf, John R	Yes
17468	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Casper, Chris	Yes
17469	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Siciliani, Amy	Yes
17470	9/14/2011	No	Quimby, Patricia	Yes
17471	9/14/2011	No	R, Marie	Yes
17472	9/14/2011	No	Lehr, Stephanie A	Yes
17473	9/14/2011	No	malyon, hilary	Yes
17474	9/14/2011	No	Moschopoulos, Charity H	Yes
17475	9/14/2011	No	Bowe, Aimee	Yes
17476	9/14/2011	No	Khosrovi, Tori D	Yes
17477	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17478	9/14/2011	No	Fudala, Barbara A	Yes
17479	9/14/2011	No	Katsuura, Hidefumi	Yes
17480	9/14/2011	No	Peniazek, Anne	Yes
17481	9/14/2011	No	Two-Eagle, Carel	Yes
17482	9/14/2011	No	Schermer, Garrick	Yes
17483	9/14/2011	No	Barrickman, Nancy	Yes
17484	9/14/2011	No	V., Dewey	Yes
17485	9/14/2011	No	Thomas, Maggie	Yes
17486	9/14/2011	No	Allee, Suzanne	Yes
17487	9/14/2011	No	Boyce, Robert	Yes
17488	9/14/2011	No	Weyhrich, Patty a	Yes
17489	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sagitto, Sandra J	Yes
17490	9/14/2011	No	bosch, eileen	Yes
17491	9/14/2011	No	Waring, Claire J	Yes
17492	9/14/2011	No	Shinsky, Michael E	Yes
17493	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Harkins, Alicia	Yes
17494	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rubino, Matthew	Yes
17495	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17496	9/14/2011	No	Nolan, Molly	Yes
17497	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Amato, Nicole	Yes
17498	9/14/2011	No	Smead, Christy A	Yes
17499	9/14/2011	No	Ingram, Michael	Yes
17500	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rajkovic, Kelly C	Yes
17501	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Jan M	Yes
17502	9/14/2011	No	Werbner, Laurie	Yes
17503	9/14/2011	No	Albery, cathy t	Yes
17504	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conner, Helen D	Yes
17505	9/14/2011	No	Cavanagh, Robert M	Yes
17506	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sardi, Silvia M	Yes
17507	9/14/2011	No	Groff, Martin	Yes
17508	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dowling, Christopher	Yes
17509	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kovarik, Donna	Yes
17510	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17511	9/14/2011	No	OWENS, DEBRA L	Yes
17512	9/14/2011	No	Rubio, Mike A	Yes
17513	9/14/2011	No	B., P.	Yes
17514	9/14/2011	No	Chapo, Jesse	Yes
17515	9/14/2011	No	Scharankov, Jane	Yes
17516	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Norwood, Elizabeth R	Yes
17517	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kiesel, Alexis	Yes
17518	9/14/2011	No	Haldeman, Jamie	Yes
17519	9/14/2011	No	Healey, Simone	Yes
17520	9/14/2011	No	Evans, Dinda S	Yes

0013957

17521	9/14/2011	No	doherty, pat	Yes
17522	9/14/2011	No	Rozehnal, Robert	Yes
17523	9/14/2011	No	Delidow, B	Yes
17524	9/14/2011	No	Moody, Joy J	Yes
17525	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jurec, Diane L	Yes
17526	9/14/2011	No	G, Sarah	Yes
17527	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Keddy, Pamela	Yes
17528	9/14/2011	No	Craft, Sean L	Yes
17529	9/14/2011	No	Andrus, Melanie	Yes
17530	9/14/2011	No	Saja, Jean T	Yes
17531	9/14/2011	No	ARRINGTON, APRIL J	Yes
17532	9/14/2011	No	Weiss, Lizette	Yes
17533	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Robotta, Gail	Yes
17534	9/14/2011	No	Coffee, Eva S	Yes
17535	9/14/2011	No	Levy, Noel	Yes
17536	9/14/2011	No	Il, Robert Allison Hyer II	Yes
17537	9/14/2011	No	Bowen, Andrea C	Yes
17538	9/14/2011	No	Chapman, Mary X	Yes
17539	9/14/2011	No	Nicklaw, Cynthia	Yes
17540	9/14/2011	No	McHugh, Margaret C	Yes
17541	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Taroli, Alison M	Yes
17542	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenblum, Michele	Yes
17543	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mullane, Sharon A	Yes
17544	9/14/2011	No	Burrows, Sarah	Yes
17545	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Neumeier, Karen	Yes
17546	9/14/2011	No	Nahigian, Kenneth E	Yes
17547	9/14/2011	No	Chionis, John	Yes
17548	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Billington, Danielle	Yes
17549	9/14/2011	No	Davick, Liv K	Yes
17550	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Patricia	Yes
17551	9/14/2011	No	Maestro, Betsy an Giulio	Yes
17552	9/14/2011	No	bufano, frank	Yes
17553	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lohan, Lars	Yes
17554	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17555	9/14/2011	No	Rawlins, Pamela	Yes
17556	9/14/2011	No	Davies, Nigel	Yes
17557	9/14/2011	No	Weber, Alfred J	Yes
17558	9/14/2011	No	Crawford, Andra	Yes
17559	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Markulis, Jakub	Yes
17560	9/14/2011	No	Fletcher, Mary A	Yes
17561	9/14/2011	No	Moffett, Maryanne A	Yes
17562	9/14/2011	No	mCcARTHY, sandra C	Yes
17563	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17564	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17565	9/14/2011	No	Kritner, Pamela Y	Yes
17566	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	bell, gena	Yes
17567	9/14/2011	No	toporek, julian h	Yes
17568	9/14/2011	No	Johansson, Verla	Yes
17569	9/14/2011	No	Mendenhall, Barbara	Yes
17570	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson-Lee, Jeff	Yes
17571	9/14/2011	No	Conwell, Pamela	Yes
17572	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Blair, Lynda	Yes
17573	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tarbet, Amanda	Yes
17574	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hobbs, Christine	Yes
17575	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Becker, Lesley A	Yes

0013958

17576	9/14/2011	No	Hanson, Jim	Yes
17577	9/14/2011	No	Garfield, Dave	Yes
17578	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith-Roe, Stephanie	Yes
17579	9/14/2011	No	Drake-Hueston, Tara E	Yes
17580	9/14/2011	No	Engle, Constance	Yes
17581	9/14/2011	No	Bostic, Byron M	Yes
17582	9/14/2011	No	jimenez, alicia del Pilar	Yes
17583	9/14/2011	No	Boatwright, Mary T	Yes
17584	9/14/2011	No	Pearson, Lynn M	Yes
17585	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	yanke, brian p	Yes
17586	9/14/2011	No	Smiljanic, Melinda	Yes
17587	9/14/2011	No	Kuzmuk, eric	Yes
17588	9/14/2011	No	Strober, Ann B	Yes
17589	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Chantal S	Yes
17590	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17591	9/14/2011	No	George, G.G. n	Yes
17592	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Roberta	Yes
17593	9/14/2011	No	olle, christine	Yes
17594	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Near, Alida R	Yes
17595	9/14/2011	No	Fox, Sheila M	Yes
17596	9/14/2011	No	Mokelke, Susan	Yes
17597	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17598	9/14/2011	No	Romano, Tara	Yes
17599	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Koogler, Sharon	Yes
17600	9/14/2011	No	Dawson, Chris D	Yes
17601	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mar, Connie	Yes
17602	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ausman, Candi	Yes
17603	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Caltabellotta, Jennifer D	Yes
17604	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kriberney, Amanda P	Yes
17605	9/14/2011	No	Danko, Lori	Yes
17606	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Montel, loana	Yes
17607	9/14/2011	No	markis, phillip	Yes
17608	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Obarski, Kathleen A	Yes
17609	9/14/2011	No	Bonfante, Gail M	Yes
17610	9/14/2011	No	Davis, L.	Yes
17611	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Traub, Judith A	Yes
17612	9/14/2011	No	DWIRE, janet E	Yes
17613	9/14/2011	No	Freeman, Alyssa	Yes
17614	9/14/2011	No	Hollens, Josh	Yes
17615	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bloomquist, Carroll R	Yes
17616	9/14/2011	No	Dillon, Sharon	Yes
17617	9/14/2011	No	Sova, Gerard A	Yes
17618	9/14/2011	No	Adams, Karen S	Yes
17619	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Foster, D	Yes
17620	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17621	9/14/2011	No	koenig, james e	Yes
17622	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17623	9/14/2011	No	Holz, Lenore	Yes
17624	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17625	9/14/2011	No	Weed, Jacqueline	Yes
17626	9/14/2011	No	Middlebrook, Gigi D	Yes
17627	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17628	9/14/2011	No	callender, nokomis	Yes
17629	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McClelland, Kimberly	Yes
17630	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013959

17631	9/14/2011	No	Andersson, Linda L	Yes
17632	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kearns, Devin	Yes
17633	9/14/2011	No	Bade, Jackie	Yes
17634	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pointer, Nancy	Yes
17635	9/14/2011	No	Murphy, Patricia M	Yes
17636	9/14/2011	No	Knecht, Thomas P	Yes
17637	9/14/2011	No	hastings, helen j	Yes
17638	9/14/2011	No	Free, Angela	Yes
17639	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Borriello, Christy	Yes
17640	9/14/2011	No	Cole, Bruce	Yes
17641	9/14/2011	No	collimore, robert t	Yes
17642	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17643	9/14/2011	No	Harrison, Jan	Yes
17644	9/14/2011	No	Kennedy, Sharon J	Yes
17645	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Catherine R	Yes
17646	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Geier, Eric	Yes
17647	9/14/2011	No	Bartl, Alan	Yes
17648	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Henning, Brian	Yes
17649	9/14/2011	No	Spremulli, David	Yes
17650	9/14/2011	No	Holihan-Collazo, Jennifer A	Yes
17651	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17652	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruperti, Cathy	Yes
17653	9/14/2011	No	Black, Heather	Yes
17654	9/14/2011	No	Clugston, J	Yes
17655	9/14/2011	No	Dysart, Deb	Yes
17656	9/14/2011	No	Seegert, Frances J	Yes
17657	9/14/2011	No	knight, raiden l	Yes
17658	9/14/2011	No	Koda, Richard J	Yes
17659	9/14/2011	No	Martinez, O C	Yes
17660	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Carey, Nancy	Yes
17661	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17662	9/14/2011	No	Krampe, Claude	Yes
17663	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Liles, David T	Yes
17664	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	samay, patrick	Yes
17665	9/14/2011	No	fatzinger, craig r	Yes
17666	9/14/2011	No	Wood, Carolyn E	Yes
17667	9/14/2011	No	Davis, Shellee	Yes
17668	9/14/2011	No	Bergman, Ron	Yes
17669	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Weldon, Joan A	Yes
17670	9/14/2011	No	Nieman, Valerie G	Yes
17671	9/14/2011	No	Gray, Myrna	Yes
17672	9/14/2011	No	Oulman, Lynne	Yes
17673	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bonk, Marliese	Yes
17674	9/14/2011	No	Mussetter, jani	Yes
17675	9/14/2011	No	Horter, Patricia	Yes
17676	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17677	9/14/2011	No	Fonder, Sarah	Yes
17678	9/14/2011	No	Young, Jean E	Yes
17679	9/14/2011	No	Kovach, Darlene	Yes
17680	9/14/2011	No	Roo, J	Yes
17681	9/14/2011	No	Lipnick, Rebecca L	Yes
17682	9/14/2011	No	Gillenwater, Tina	Yes
17683	9/14/2011	No	Thurston, Richard T	Yes
17684	9/14/2011	No	Beiber, Jeaninep P	Yes
17685	9/14/2011	No	Panter, Rich	Yes



0013960

17686	9/14/2011	No	Harteau, Emily	Yes
17687	9/14/2011	No	Reher, Daniel J	Yes
17688	9/14/2011	No	Sams, Donna	Yes
17689	9/14/2011	No	Cesar, Promise	Yes
17690	9/14/2011	No	Washington, Morgana	Yes
17691	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17692	9/14/2011	No	Molson, Liz	Yes
17693	9/14/2011	No	Talbert, Barbara	Yes
17694	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17695	9/14/2011	No	Chen, Hsun	Yes
17696	9/14/2011	No	stoike, richard c	Yes
17697	9/14/2011	No	kleber, craig	Yes
17698	9/14/2011	No	Nadler, Adam	Yes
17699	9/14/2011	No	Potter, Janis	Yes
17700	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	King, Jack	Yes
17701	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, Catherine	Yes
17702	9/14/2011	No	Stahlman, Shelley	Yes
17703	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Owen, Robert L	Yes
17704	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	DeGrandis, Kathryn	Yes
17705	9/14/2011	No	McDaniel, Katherine	Yes
17706	9/14/2011	No	Nuetzmann, Gary L	Yes
17707	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cahill, Eugene J	Yes
17708	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hogarth, Peter T	Yes
17709	9/14/2011	No	Brocius, Pamela A	Yes
17710	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, David W	Yes
17711	9/14/2011	No	Upshaw, Charles	Yes
17712	9/14/2011	No	Wildwind, Landry	Yes
17713	9/14/2011	No	Martin, Crystal P	Yes
17714	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17715	9/14/2011	No	Fenimore, Deb M	Yes
17716	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Puza, Adrienne M	Yes
17717	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Medina, Leslie S	Yes
17718	9/14/2011	No	WARNER, DONNA K	Yes
17719	9/14/2011	No	Beck, Anne M	Yes
17720	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ehrlich, Heater M	Yes
17721	9/14/2011	No	Cerello, Robert M	Yes
17722	9/14/2011	No	Kraus, Melody J	Yes
17723	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17724	9/14/2011	No	Moss, Charles	Yes
17725	9/14/2011	No	Avouris, John C	Yes
17726	9/14/2011	No	Rey, Ulrik	Yes
17727	9/14/2011	No	Rowe, Barbara C	Yes
17728	9/14/2011	No	Wilson, Marcia	Yes
17729	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17730	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Theresa, Mary	Yes
17731	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Countryman, Jeremy	Yes
17732	9/14/2011	No	Bloch, Victoria	Yes
17733	9/14/2011	No	Rosenblith, Carol	Yes
17734	9/14/2011	No	Griswold, William G	Yes
17735	9/14/2011	No	Lindemann, Jean N	Yes
17736	9/14/2011	No	McCleary, Harriet C	Yes
17737	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Andrichyn, Amanda	Yes
17738	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	klinkenberg, kathleen	Yes
17739	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	schafer, sherry	Yes
17740	9/14/2011	No	Seeley, Ellen E	Yes

## 0013961

17741	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kosowicz, Aleks	Yes
17742	9/14/2011	No	key, kate	Yes
17743	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	ellsworth, marcie	Yes
17744	9/14/2011	No	trescastro, Rose E	Yes
17745	9/14/2011	No	Shannon, Lucinda	Yes
17746	9/14/2011	No	WILT, denise W	Yes
17747	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sawyer, Jesse	Yes
17748	9/14/2011	No	Mouginis, Tamyra L	Yes
17749	9/14/2011	No	Waldrn, Denise M	Yes
17750	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17751	9/14/2011	No	Fender, Flo	Yes
17752	9/14/2011	No	mapel, mary	Yes
17753	9/14/2011	No	Schurr, Beverly Frances Shea	Yes
17754	9/14/2011	No	Halbe, Denise	Yes
17755	9/14/2011	No	Berland, Paul	Yes
17756	9/14/2011	No	Trumpp, Leon H	Yes
17757	9/14/2011	No	Lugauskas, Matthew T	Yes
17758	9/14/2011	No	Robins, Jennifer	Yes
17759	9/14/2011	No	MacDougall, BRenda	Yes
17760	9/14/2011	No	walters, karla D	Yes
17761	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Crowell, John	Yes
17762	9/14/2011	No	mckee, shelley	Yes
17763	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Easterday, John	Yes
17764	9/14/2011	No	Swisher, Diana E	Yes
17765	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conroy, Laurie	Yes
17766	9/14/2011	No	Heaton, Sam A	Yes
17767	9/14/2011	No	Harvey, Dawn	Yes
17768	9/14/2011	No	Hanson, Connie J	Yes
17769	9/14/2011	No	woods, melody	Yes
17770	9/14/2011	No	Seeber, Mary	Yes
17771	9/14/2011	No	Pritikin, Harry M	Yes
17772	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17773	9/14/2011	No	MacCready, Rosalie K	Yes
17774	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17775	9/14/2011	No	davis, sharon a	Yes
17776	9/14/2011	No	Garlena, Sharon	Yes
17777	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelly-Harris, Deborah J	Yes
17778	9/14/2011	No	Mathras, Steve	Yes
17779	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Boe, Mary	Yes
17780	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Knopf, Alexander	Yes
17781	9/14/2011	No	McGill, Becky	Yes
17782	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lloyd, Thomas C	Yes
17783	9/14/2011	No	Cacopardo, Stephanie	Yes
17784	9/14/2011	No	Neville, Paula L	Yes
17785	9/14/2011	No	Bradbury, Bonnie	Yes
17786	9/14/2011	No	Han, Richard	Yes
17787	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brenner, Ryan M	Yes
17788	9/14/2011	No	HAWLEY, WANDA J	Yes
17789	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	DAITCH, ARNOLD J	Yes
17790	9/14/2011	No	Kron, Kathy A	Yes
17791	9/14/2011	No	Bouton, Todd Hunter Le	Yes
17792	9/14/2011	No	Waller, Kelly	Yes
17793	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jeffrey, James D	Yes
17794	9/14/2011	No	June, Laurent a	Yes
17795	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wheelock, Jean A	Yes

0013962

17796	9/14/2011	No	Falk, Karen	Yes
17797	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nagrani, Sanjay	Yes
17798	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17799	9/14/2011	No	HALM, ALISON	Yes
17800	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17801	9/14/2011	No	Shannon, Julie A	Yes
17802	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gardner, Len	Yes
17803	9/14/2011	No	Bloom, Steve	Yes
17804	9/14/2011	No	Spinelli, Mary	Yes
17805	9/14/2011	No	ray, kerry	Yes
17806	9/14/2011	No	Oachs, Vicki	Yes
17807	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Debra	Yes
17808	9/14/2011	No	Cotton, Melinda	Yes
17809	9/14/2011	No	Halbeisen, Eric J	Yes
17810	9/14/2011	No	Khor, Suzanne	Yes
17811	9/14/2011	No	Previte, Paul	Yes
17812	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Owen, Joseph A	Yes
17813	9/14/2011	No	Henson, Lynne K	Yes
17814	9/14/2011	No	Sperling, Linda	Yes
17815	9/14/2011	No	Landress, Judy	Yes
17816	9/14/2011	No	Armigo, Victoria	Yes
17817	9/14/2011	No	evans, Chris r	Yes
17818	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Walker, Lee A	Yes
17819	9/14/2011	No	rosa, samantha	Yes
17820	9/14/2011	No	barone, lindy	Yes
17821	9/14/2011	No	Andreas, Connirae	Yes
17822	9/14/2011	No	Brooks, Betty L	Yes
17823	9/14/2011	No	Gawryszewski, Nancy	Yes
17824	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wittman, Gail	Yes
17825	9/14/2011	No	Widman, Nancy J	Yes
17826	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bahmanyar, Mir	Yes
17827	9/14/2011	No	Erwin, Diane E	Yes
17828	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ferraro, Lou	Yes
17829	9/14/2011	No	witlin, anthony	Yes
17830	9/14/2011	No	Donavan, Laura	Yes
17831	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bickel, Bettina	Yes
17832	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17833	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17834	9/14/2011	No	Hollingsworth, Presly d	Yes
17835	9/14/2011	No	Patterson, Marjorie R	Yes
17836	9/14/2011	No	Foster, J.	Yes
17837	9/14/2011	No	Weil, Richard	Yes
17838	9/14/2011	No	Zeller, Lori J	Yes
17839	9/14/2011	No	Hildebrand, Ron	Yes
17840	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	toups, ryan a	Yes
17841	9/14/2011	No	Zelcer, Brook	Yes
17842	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoskin, Cornelia	Yes
17843	9/14/2011	No	olsewski, kevin l	Yes
17844	9/14/2011	No	Desrosiers, Martha L	Yes
17845	9/14/2011	No	Clair, Taylor S	Yes
17846	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Emily H	Yes
17847	9/14/2011	No	Hargrove, Reba	Yes
17848	9/14/2011	No	Chavarria, Frances	Yes
17849	9/14/2011	No	Diamond, Bob	Yes
17850	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013963

17851	9/14/2011	No	Ziegler, Lynn	Yes
17852	9/14/2011	No	Hall, Bernice S	Yes
17853	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Brooker	Yes
17854	9/14/2011	No	Milles, DoRi	Yes
17855	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Poerschke, Annette	Yes
17856	9/14/2011	No	oetken, n. m	Yes
17857	9/14/2011	No	Colombe, Dr. A	Yes
17858	9/14/2011	No	Welsh, June	Yes
17859	9/14/2011	No	Nylen, Dorothy R	Yes
17860	9/14/2011	No	Root, Brady	Yes
17861	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	gillono, mark	Yes
17862	9/14/2011	No	t, rick	Yes
17863	9/14/2011	No	Fitzwilliam, Jane B	Yes
17864	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brinton, Christiana T	Yes
17865	9/14/2011	No	lips, stu	Yes
17866	9/14/2011	No	Kozuh, Fiona	Yes
17867	9/14/2011	No	bourgeois, patricia a	Yes
17868	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17869	9/14/2011	No	Gann, Donna T	Yes
17870	9/14/2011	No	Yates, William L	Yes
17871	9/14/2011	No	Duda, Karen	Yes
17872	9/14/2011	No	mav, anne	Yes
17873	9/14/2011	No	Gaffner, Lee	Yes
17874	9/14/2011	No	Quinn, Clark	Yes
17875	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilson, Cynthia	Yes
17876	9/14/2011	No	Olivarez, Bob	Yes
17877	9/14/2011	No	TWO-HAWKS, ROB c	Yes
17878	9/14/2011	No	Hitchens, Christy A	Yes
17879	9/14/2011	No	Brewer, Cheryl J	Yes
17880	9/14/2011	No	Baum, Rhona	Yes
17881	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Heath-Elliott, Julie A	Yes
17882	9/14/2011	No	Farmer, Dennis R	Yes
17883	9/14/2011	No	Mellman, Mitch	Yes
17884	9/14/2011	No	Bossut, Daniel	Yes
17885	9/14/2011	No	Whitten, Deborah L	Yes
17886	9/14/2011	No	Boonin, Joy E	Yes
17887	9/14/2011	No	shanahan, pat	Yes
17888	9/14/2011	No	therrell, joe	Yes
17889	9/14/2011	No	Mewkill, Susan M	Yes
17890	9/14/2011	No	V, Jason	Yes
17891	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17892	9/14/2011	No	Parks, Jennifer G	Yes
17893	9/14/2011	No	Knutson, Dana M	Yes
17894	9/14/2011	No	Allen, Maureen D	Yes
17895	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Centanni, Evan	Yes
17896	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brydges, Sara H	Yes
17897	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17898	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Sarah	Yes
17899	9/14/2011	No	Lorig, Constance	Yes
17900	9/14/2011	No	Marcarian, Myrna m	Yes
17901	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17902	9/14/2011	No	Perlman, Frances	Yes
17903	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lowenstein, Scott D	Yes
17904	9/14/2011	No	Nakonieczny, Tomasz	Yes
17905	9/14/2011	No	Page, John	Yes

0013964

17906	9/14/2011	No	hill, irene m	Yes
17907	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17908	9/14/2011	No	Robertson, Dianne P	Yes
17909	9/14/2011	No	Smallwood, Carol A	Yes
17910	9/14/2011	No	mensing, max	Yes
17911	9/14/2011	No	Jackson, R L	Yes
17912	9/14/2011	No	Bennett, Virginia	Yes
17913	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17914	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Callaghan, Meaghan L	Yes
17915	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Travis, Terence -	Yes
17916	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Adams, Mary A	Yes
17917	9/14/2011	No	Shields, Frank R	Yes
17918	9/14/2011	No	McCabe, Christine	Yes
17919	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mugglestone, Lindsay E	Yes
17920	9/14/2011	No	Davis, Monica L	Yes
17921	9/14/2011	No	Long, Lauren A Vreeland Long	Yes
17922	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Owen, Karyon	Yes
17923	9/14/2011	No	Webster, Desiree A	Yes
17924	9/14/2011	No	Kynaston, Mary	Yes
17925	9/14/2011	No	Jacob, Jill B	Yes
17926	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	laura_furman@yahoo.com,	Yes
17927	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Elaine K	Yes
17928	9/14/2011	No	Evans, Catherine C	Yes
17929	9/14/2011	No	Turner, Paul F	Yes
17930	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kyle, K	Yes
17931	9/14/2011	No	Kramer, Laura	Yes
17932	9/14/2011	No	Gregg, Kristy	Yes
17933	9/14/2011	No	Tidwell, Marion L	Yes
17934	9/14/2011	No	MD, Eric L	Yes
17935	9/14/2011	No	Koman, Jessica R	Yes
17936	9/14/2011	No	MFT, Clayton Charles Barbeau,	Yes
17937	9/14/2011	No	Bailey, Sherry A	Yes
17938	9/14/2011	No	ferree, david w	Yes
17939	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17940	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Britton, Belinda	Yes
17941	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
17942	9/14/2011	No	Roberts, David T	Yes
17943	9/14/2011	No	Ladd, Phylana D	Yes
17944	9/14/2011	No	Swem, Robert T	Yes
17945	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kolter, Art L	Yes
17946	9/14/2011	No	Ellis, W D	Yes
17947	9/14/2011	No	Gulledge, Carolyn S	Yes
17948	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nielsen, Jennifer	Yes
17949	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Belknap, Robert E	Yes
17950	9/14/2011	No	Pudwell, Erich A	Yes
17951	9/14/2011	No	Schaefer, Stacey M	Yes
17952	9/14/2011	No	Butch, Bridget	Yes
17953	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Karen K	Yes
17954	9/14/2011	No	crosmun, d j	Yes
17955	9/14/2011	No	Plank, Gene	Yes
17956	9/14/2011	No	Reichard, Dave	Yes
17957	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, Michelle	Yes
17958	9/14/2011	No	Coyle, Kathleen	Yes
17959	9/14/2011	No	Larson, Karla j	Yes
17960	9/14/2011	No	Tyson, Nathan T	Yes

## 0013965

17961	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dominguez, Francisco	Yes
17962	9/14/2011	No	Carapetian, Armen	Yes
17963	9/14/2011	No	Harris, Deborah	Yes
17964	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Getter, Camile	Yes
17965	9/14/2011	No	Courtney, Courtney	Yes
17966	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17967	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rowen, Martha	Yes
17968	9/14/2011	No	Clement, S.	Yes
17969	9/14/2011	No	Wilkendorf, Jonathan A	Yes
17970	9/14/2011	No	Butterfield, Char	Yes
17971	9/14/2011	No	Haage, Lori D	Yes
17972	9/14/2011	No	Betser, Alexander	Yes
17973	9/14/2011	No	Bernier, Richard E	Yes
17974	9/14/2011	No	Richbourg, Charlottee B	Yes
17975	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Keller, J. R	Yes
17976	9/14/2011	No	Joaquin, Claire	Yes
17977	9/14/2011	No	Barbieri, Mike R	Yes
17978	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cogar, Nicole M	Yes
17979	9/14/2011	No	Raiman, Rosa	Yes
17980	9/14/2011	No	Rooney, S. O	Yes
17981	9/14/2011	No	ENCISO, CAROLINA	Yes
17982	9/14/2011	No	Foster, Evelyn G	Yes
17983	9/14/2011	No	walls, erin m	Yes
17984	9/14/2011	No	McDonald, Stacey L	Yes
17985	9/14/2011	No	Waltman, Karen M	Yes
17986	9/14/2011	No	maiorca, michelle	Yes
17987	9/14/2011	No	Lynch, Kelli J	Yes
17988	9/14/2011	No	Bartlett, Bob F	Yes
17989	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fahy, Elizabeth	Yes
17990	9/14/2011	No	Colton, Lisa N	Yes
17991	9/14/2011	No	Severn, Percy H	Yes
17992	9/14/2011	No	Ayers, Melissa R	Yes
17993	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Carothers, Kim K	Yes
17994	9/14/2011	No	Funk, Ilse	Yes
17995	9/14/2011	No	Suddes, Matthew	Yes
17996	9/14/2011	No	Pravic, Margaret	Yes
17997	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
17998	9/14/2011	No	laving, julianna e	Yes
17999	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18000	9/14/2011	No	Va, Stina	Yes
18001	9/14/2011	No	Hegedus, Barbara	Yes
18002	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	MCGUINN, DEBORAH	Yes
18003	9/14/2011	No	Watson, Donna J	Yes
18004	9/14/2011	No	Polacca, Brenda	Yes
18005	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burnett, Eva	Yes
18006	9/14/2011	No	Endersen, Terence	Yes
18007	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Carella, Susana	Yes
18008	9/14/2011	No	Dunand, Angela	Yes
18009	9/14/2011	No	Farrokhi, Farideh	Yes
18010	9/14/2011	No	fishman, judy	Yes
18011	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tibbetts, Erica	Yes
18012	9/14/2011	No	Tucker, Terry A	Yes
18013	9/14/2011	No	Sereque, Richard	Yes
18014	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dobrowolski, Rafal	Yes
18015	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Otto, Matt W	Yes

0013966

18016	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Flora, David	Yes
18017	9/14/2011	No	rodman, constance m	Yes
18018	9/14/2011	No	Kupferschnmid, Mary	Yes
18019	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hollowell, Heather	Yes
18020	9/14/2011	No	roebuck, Marge	Yes
18021	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Carothers, Steve	Yes
18022	9/14/2011	No	Adams, Michael	Yes
18023	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gulbrandsen, Sara B	Yes
18024	9/14/2011	No	Sarris, Dorian	Yes
18025	9/14/2011	No	Brooks, Paul D	Yes
18026	9/14/2011	No	Tennis, Samuel K	Yes
18027	9/14/2011	No	Kalb, Marilyn	Yes
18028	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Willoh, Jennifer	Yes
18029	9/14/2011	No	Barthel, Carolyn C	Yes
18030	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mooney, Letitia P	Yes
18031	9/14/2011	No	Cahn, Elaine R	Yes
18032	9/14/2011	No	Wollman, Barbara L	Yes
18033	9/14/2011	No	german, dennis	Yes
18034	9/14/2011	No	Hagner, Robert G	Yes
18035	9/14/2011	No	Collins, Joseph	Yes
18036	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18037	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Swanson, Cynthia J	Yes
18038	9/14/2011	No	Blackwell, Lisa S	Yes
18039	9/14/2011	No	ellis, mary a	Yes
18040	9/14/2011	No	O'Connell, Keri	Yes
18041	9/14/2011	No	carne, carol	Yes
18042	9/14/2011	No	Powell, Emily	Yes
18043	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hogan, Maura	Yes
18044	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Setyawan, Liani	Yes
18045	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bejgrowicz, Thomas J	Yes
18046	9/14/2011	No	Morgan, Steven G	Yes
18047	9/14/2011	No	Kolodziej-Zincio, Maria C	Yes
18048	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hammersley, Ross A	Yes
18049	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Denison, Mr/Mrs J	Yes
18050	9/14/2011	No	Hayn, Claude	Yes
18051	9/14/2011	No	Nieto, Ofelia	Yes
18052	9/14/2011	No	plummer, sarah	Yes
18053	9/14/2011	No	Houston, Marjorie L	Yes
18054	9/14/2011	No	Provenzano, Mary J	Yes
18055	9/14/2011	No	Gaponoff, Sharma	Yes
18056	9/14/2011	No	Hope, Sharron	Yes
18057	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18058	9/14/2011	No	Clements, Melissa A	Yes
18059	9/14/2011	No	Saeks, Joel	Yes
18060	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18061	9/14/2011	No	Mooney, Maureen	Yes
18062	9/14/2011	No	McHugh, Patricia A	Yes
18063	9/14/2011	No	Balgemann, Elaine	Yes
18064	9/14/2011	No	Avellan, Jennifer	Yes
18065	9/14/2011	No	Church, Janelle	Yes
18066	9/14/2011	No	Reinhart, Robin	Yes
18067	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilson, Susan	Yes
18068	9/14/2011	No	Hendrickson, Kristi	Yes
18069	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wells, April L	Yes
18070	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013967

18071	9/14/2011	No	Callow, Tracy K	Yes
18072	9/14/2011	No	Neuman, Tom R	Yes
18073	9/14/2011	No	Cooper, Margaret L	Yes
18074	9/14/2011	No	bosch, roland	Yes
18075	9/14/2011	No	Rubino, Sandra B	Yes
18076	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18077	9/14/2011	No	West, Kevin L	Yes
18078	9/14/2011	No	Kitzinger, John M	Yes
18079	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Clark, Donna	Yes
18080	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dubendorff, John	Yes
18081	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Quinlan, Sean	Yes
18082	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Boysen, Christine	Yes
18083	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18084	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18085	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sims, Millicent K	Yes
18086	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18087	9/14/2011	No	McIntosh, Barbara E	Yes
18088	9/14/2011	No	Devi, Swaha	Yes
18089	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18090	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Witmer, Tiffany	Yes
18091	9/14/2011	No	McFarland, Mary A	Yes
18092	9/14/2011	No	sears, kathleen	Yes
18093	9/14/2011	No	McDermott, Ann	Yes
18094	9/14/2011	No	Furnari, Theresa A	Yes
18095	9/14/2011	No	Doll, Joan	Yes
18096	9/14/2011	No	Kantmann, Hillary A	Yes
18097	9/14/2011	No	music, mully	Yes
18098	9/14/2011	No	ALCALA, IRMA	Yes
18099	9/14/2011	No	Hebert, Jeanne F	Yes
18100	9/14/2011	No	Kaplan, Jill	Yes
18101	9/14/2011	No	Eaton, Jennifer	Yes
18102	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cunha, Fawn	Yes
18103	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Claar, Alexis J	Yes
18104	9/14/2011	No	Holabird, Rhoda	Yes
18105	9/14/2011	No	Swann, Sandra	Yes
18106	9/14/2011	No	Martin, Susan D	Yes
18107	9/14/2011	No	Hojer, Miloslav	Yes
18108	9/14/2011	No	Gyetko, Nora	Yes
18109	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	watters, cheryl b	Yes
18110	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cobb, FJ	Yes
18112	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	johnson, Melanie R	Yes
18114	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Farnum, Michael W	Yes
18116	9/14/2011	No	Kavruck, Deborah	Yes
18117	9/14/2011	No	Fast, Wendy n	Yes
18119	9/14/2011	No	Firth, Arthur J	Yes
18120	9/14/2011	No	Kavruck, Deborah	Yes
18122	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Murray, Sandra M	Yes
18127	9/14/2011	No	Gargiulo, Kimberly	Yes
18129	9/14/2011	No	Robinson, Stephen L	Yes
18131	9/14/2011	No	Zemel, Andrea	Yes
18133	9/14/2011	No	dyson, sharon	Yes
18135	9/14/2011	No	reom, carol	Yes
18136	9/14/2011	No	Armao, nancy	Yes
18138	9/14/2011	No	Kavruck, Deborah	Yes
18143	9/14/2011	No	Brannon, Marilyn E	Yes



## 0013968

18145	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Logsdon, Jay	Yes
18147	9/14/2011	No	grossman, lana j	Yes
18148	9/14/2011	No	Yasui, Yvette L	Yes
18149	9/14/2011	No	Blakeney, Arivn L	Yes
18151	9/14/2011	No	Vaught, Montez	Yes
18153	9/14/2011	No	Kline, Matt	Yes
18155	9/14/2011	No	Vise, Pamela	Yes
18157	9/14/2011	No	McBride, Tina	Yes
18159	9/14/2011	No	Chianis, Mr & Mrs Andrew &	Yes
18160	9/14/2011	No	Marean, Abigail	Yes
18163	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Meiers, Susan T	Yes
18164	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Swanson, Kristen	Yes
18166	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Morris, Eric	Yes
18168	9/14/2011	No	Lewis, Sherry R	Yes
18170	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18172	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	D'Angelo, Claire	Yes
18177	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Moudy, Rebecca Lynn	Yes
18187	9/14/2011	No	Lahouze, Andrea	Yes
18189	9/14/2011	No	Donovan, Stephan M	Yes
18191	9/14/2011	No	Goff, Frances A	Yes
18193	9/14/2011	No	Goltz, Romy	Yes
18196	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	deane, amy l	Yes
18197	9/14/2011	No	Giordano, Pamela	Yes
18198	9/14/2011	No	Lewis, Bridget C	Yes
18200	9/14/2011	No	Alfaro, Lilliam	Yes
18207	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18209	9/14/2011	No	Alexander, June C	Yes
18211	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Krakow, Jessica	Yes
18214	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Carol	Yes
18216	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Grech, Rhyan N	Yes
18218	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rowe, Brendan D	Yes
18220	9/14/2011	No	Thompson, Diane	Yes
18222	9/14/2011	No	Sonenstein, Joann	Yes
18224	9/14/2011	No	Long, Jeffrey C	Yes
18226	9/14/2011	No	Schweiger, Nan	Yes
18228	9/14/2011	No	Henry, Alyssa	Yes
18230	9/14/2011	No	Poulos, Olympia	Yes
18232	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Michael L	Yes
18235	9/14/2011	No	Baucco, Matthew E	Yes
18236	9/14/2011	No	Hardin, Joseph	Yes
18237	9/14/2011	No	Nix, Kathy	Yes
18238	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	o'connell, judy	Yes
18239	9/14/2011	No	Stevenson, Jan	Yes
18240	9/14/2011	No	Dixon, Joyce H	Yes
18241	9/14/2011	No	Mesec, Elizabeth H	Yes
18242	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoffman, Cathy	Yes
18243	9/14/2011	No	Denham, Edwin	Yes
18244	9/14/2011	No	O'HAGAN, IAN	Yes
18245	9/14/2011	No	Diaz, Susan M	Yes
18246	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Zerefos, Stephen	Yes
18247	9/14/2011	No	Pugh, Kathy F	Yes
18248	9/14/2011	No	Kurmas, Paul	Yes
18249	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18250	9/14/2011	No	Caraco, Virginia L	Yes
18251	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013969

18252	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conlan, Robert J. Joseph	Yes
18253	9/14/2011	No	Cargman, Jered	Yes
18254	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	works, shelly h	Yes
18255	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18256	9/14/2011	No	Jackson, richard s	Yes
18257	9/14/2011	No	weinberg, norman	Yes
18258	9/14/2011	No	Darling, Donna	Yes
18259	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Reilly, Michele	Yes
18260	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hale, Angela	Yes
18261	9/14/2011	No	Garner, Lynne D	Yes
18262	9/14/2011	No	Steiger, Nashira A	Yes
18263	9/14/2011	No	Scheri, Andrea	Yes
18264	9/14/2011	No	Rago, Marie	Yes
18265	9/14/2011	No	Michnovetz, Matt	Yes
18266	9/14/2011	No	Wolf, Mary M	Yes
18267	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18268	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Judith D	Yes
18269	9/14/2011	No	rooke, susan c	Yes
18270	9/14/2011	No	Weitzman, Marilyn J	Yes
18271	9/14/2011	No	McMullin, William	Yes
18272	9/14/2011	No	Bernal, Athena	Yes
18273	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McCurdy, Dan	Yes
18274	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18275	9/14/2011	No	Sutton, Brian K	Yes
18276	9/14/2011	No	Epstein, Judy	Yes
18277	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Peters, Sarah	Yes
18278	9/14/2011	No	Quinby, Amanda	Yes
18279	9/14/2011	No	Cook, Joy and Mike	Yes
18280	9/14/2011	No	Peterson, Richard I	Yes
18281	9/14/2011	No	Whitaker, Eugene A	Yes
18282	9/14/2011	No	Janicki, Joyce E	Yes
18283	9/14/2011	No	Peipert, Jacqueline K	Yes
18284	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Black, Laurie L	Yes
18285	9/14/2011	No	Dobson, Carol	Yes
18286	9/14/2011	No	Guidry, Annette	Yes
18287	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bruner, Scott M	Yes
18288	9/14/2011	No	Jones-Umberger, Stanley	Yes
18289	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Leonard, Amanda	Yes
18290	9/14/2011	No	Fairchild, Claire	Yes
18291	9/14/2011	No	Mansaray, Celia S	Yes
18292	9/14/2011	No	Brunger, Marilyn	Yes
18293	9/14/2011	No	Patterson, Alice C	Yes
18294	9/14/2011	No	Hudson, Kathryn W	Yes
18295	9/14/2011	No	Montapert, Anthony	Yes
18296	9/14/2011	No	Roberson, William	Yes
18297	9/14/2011	No	Bowen, John	Yes
18298	9/14/2011	No	Teel, S.	Yes
18299	9/14/2011	No	Hamb-Jett, Lara	Yes
18300	9/14/2011	No	Robbins, Peter J	Yes
18301	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Selvage, Kimberly A	Yes
18302	9/14/2011	No	Antoniades, Ellen	Yes
18303	9/14/2011	No	Dolan, Kathy	Yes
18304	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	LEMASTER, SHEILA	Yes
18305	9/14/2011	No	Brewster, Thomas S	Yes
18306	9/14/2011	No	Dederer, Mary	Yes

0013970

18307	9/14/2011	No	becker, erin k	Yes
18308	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Minutaglio, Aubrey	Yes
18309	9/14/2011	No	Malone, Patrick B	Yes
18310	9/14/2011	No	Tyler, Jeanne M	Yes
18311	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sofka, Frantisek	Yes
18312	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burroughs, David	Yes
18313	9/14/2011	No	Wanser, Heather	Yes
18314	9/14/2011	No	Kirch, Dawn M	Yes
18315	9/14/2011	No	Ferris, Monique	Yes
18316	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Raska, Joyce D	Yes
18317	9/14/2011	No	Simrell, Louise	Yes
18318	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Grey, Susan	Yes
18319	9/14/2011	No	Hickey, Terry A	Yes
18320	9/14/2011	No	ridbro, Katherine	Yes
18321	9/14/2011	No	Baker, Deborah	Yes
18322	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Birch, Sharon	Yes
18323	9/14/2011	No	Kim, Ji-Young	Yes
18324	9/14/2011	No	Eber, Franziska N	Yes
18325	9/14/2011	No	Griffin, Nicola	Yes
18326	9/14/2011	No	Michaels, Kathleen E	Yes
18327	9/14/2011	No	mulder, joel d	Yes
18328	9/14/2011	No	harris, Melissa j	Yes
18329	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Westrich, Jennifer	Yes
18330	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18331	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McLaughlin, Janet H	Yes
18332	9/14/2011	No	Mauney, Harry F	Yes
18333	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tachna, Heather	Yes
18334	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wainschel, Ida C	Yes
18335	9/14/2011	No	Small, Barbara L	Yes
18336	9/14/2011	No	Short, Chari L	Yes
18337	9/14/2011	No	Dichristofano, Jeanine M	Yes
18338	9/14/2011	No	Snider, Dorothy	Yes
18339	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dougherty, Colin	Yes
18340	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Konopacki, Gail	Yes
18341	9/14/2011	No	Ricketts, Laura	Yes
18342	9/14/2011	No	Stallings, Steve	Yes
18343	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kernchen, Andreas H	Yes
18344	9/14/2011	No	Gassel, Samuel M	Yes
18345	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conour, Toni	Yes
18346	9/14/2011	No	Farneth, Sara W	Yes
18347	9/14/2011	No	bergeron, b	Yes
18348	9/14/2011	No	Collins, Anne B	Yes
18349	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18350	9/14/2011	No	Min, Sun Young Michelle Min	Yes
18351	9/14/2011	No	Crawford, Peter C	Yes
18352	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Peters, Kathleen A	Yes
18353	9/14/2011	No	Adams, Tom	Yes
18354	9/14/2011	No	Albanese, Dawn M	Yes
18355	9/14/2011	No	Craig, Patrick	Yes
18356	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Bob	Yes
18357	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	hamlin, leslie	Yes
18358	9/14/2011	No	kay, karen	Yes
18359	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	dalal, namita	Yes
18360	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Malone, Margaret	Yes
18361	9/14/2011	No	fassler, cary a	Yes

0013971

18362	9/14/2011	No	Novkov, Russell J	Yes
18363	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Raffa, Jacqueline	Yes
18364	9/14/2011	No	Rosenblum, Stephen	Yes
18365	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wright, Less	Yes
18366	9/14/2011	No	Needham, Sally	Yes
18367	9/14/2011	No	Ickes, Henry A	Yes
18368	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Caldwell, Gina A	Yes
18369	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	IV, Richard A	Yes
18370	9/14/2011	No	Cunningham, Holly J	Yes
18371	9/14/2011	No	Worden, Karen K	Yes
18372	9/14/2011	No	Shultz, Jacqueline	Yes
18373	9/14/2011	No	VanDerWyst, Rachelle	Yes
18374	9/14/2011	No	hipworth, d	Yes
18375	9/14/2011	No	archard, lee	Yes
18376	9/14/2011	No	williams, mary b	Yes
18377	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18378	9/14/2011	No	Donham, Dexter	Yes
18379	9/14/2011	No	Taylor, DJ	Yes
18380	9/14/2011	No	Watts, Nancy	Yes
18381	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Knights, Jennifer	Yes
18382	9/14/2011	No	Fuller, Victoria	Yes
18383	9/14/2011	No	Dinkins, Lisa	Yes
18384	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18385	9/14/2011	No	craven, julia m	Yes
18386	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gorski, F J	Yes
18387	9/14/2011	No	Zucker, Marguery L	Yes
18388	9/14/2011	No	Kelso, Craig	Yes
18389	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bax, Lauren M	Yes
18390	9/14/2011	No	Garcia, Lisa A	Yes
18391	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sappelli, Carin	Yes
18392	9/14/2011	No	turmell, susan	Yes
18393	9/14/2011	No	Lifton-Schwerner, Claudia E	Yes
18394	9/14/2011	No	Mendelson, Michael	Yes
18395	9/14/2011	No	Whitmore, Rosamond D	Yes
18396	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Vera, Laura L	Yes
18397	9/14/2011	No	public, jean	Yes
18398	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mayer, Ramona	Yes
18399	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	tate, ty	Yes
18400	9/14/2011	No	Stinson, G.E.	Yes
18401	9/14/2011	No	Morgan, Linda M	Yes
18402	9/14/2011	No	Foss, Maryann	Yes
18403	9/14/2011	No	Hawkes, Rachael Amy	Yes
18404	9/14/2011	No	McGee, Aaron	Yes
18405	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Silvia A	Yes
18406	9/14/2011	No	Tracy, Lyne	Yes
18407	9/14/2011	No	Kurland, Anthony	Yes
18408	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18409	9/14/2011	No	lajoie, dara l	Yes
18410	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ridd, Sue	Yes
18411	9/14/2011	No	pillow, ellen	Yes
18412	9/14/2011	No	Vandel, Diana G	Yes
18413	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fornia, Charles M	Yes
18414	9/14/2011	No	Weaver, Joan S	Yes
18415	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Durgin, Terrie	Yes
18416	9/14/2011	No	Beams, Kay	Yes

0013972

18417	9/14/2011	No	jordan, mel	Yes
18418	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Davis, William	Yes
18419	9/14/2011	No	bowden, Joan N	Yes
18420	9/14/2011	No	Freeman, Myrna	Yes
18421	9/14/2011	No	Jarvis, Linda	Yes
18422	9/14/2011	No	alleen, denise m	Yes
18423	9/14/2011	No	Michot, Beatrice	Yes
18424	9/14/2011	No	Wheeler, Joanne S	Yes
18425	9/14/2011	No	Rosch, PJ	Yes
18426	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cannon, John R	Yes
18427	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bartos, Doreen	Yes
18428	9/14/2011	No	Rust, Tom G	Yes
18429	9/14/2011	No	Rauchweld, Henry	Yes
18430	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Weinstein, Casey M	Yes
18431	9/14/2011	No	Laspisa, Cecilia	Yes
18432	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Munoz, Julie L	Yes
18433	9/14/2011	No	Maduro, Kristie	Yes
18434	9/14/2011	No	Gentry, David W	Yes
18435	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, David C	Yes
18436	9/14/2011	No	Eddy, Roger	Yes
18437	9/14/2011	No	Minuto, Susan T	Yes
18438	9/14/2011	No	Grimes, Cindy	Yes
18439	9/14/2011	No	Brait, Elizabeth E	Yes
18440	9/14/2011	No	Bechmann, Elisabeth D	Yes
18441	9/14/2011	No	Germain, Bonnie S	Yes
18442	9/14/2011	No	Cornelius, Bernard F	Yes
18443	9/14/2011	No	Solano, Daniel J	Yes
18444	9/14/2011	No	Gaskill, Sharon C	Yes
18445	9/14/2011	No	naden, judith	Yes
18446	9/14/2011	No	Allison, Kelly	Yes
18447	9/14/2011	No	Risley, Coel	Yes
18448	9/14/2011	No	langenfeld, Debbie	Yes
18449	9/14/2011	No	Forsythe, Rebecca K	Yes
18450	9/14/2011	No	LaMagna, Leticia	Yes
18451	9/14/2011	No	Hansen, Tina	Yes
18452	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, James E	Yes
18453	9/14/2011	No	o'leary, michael g	Yes
18454	9/14/2011	No	Alvarado, Rebecca J	Yes
18455	9/14/2011	No	Burton, Elza & Robert Burton	Yes
18456	9/14/2011	No	Sharp, Alma J	Yes
18457	9/14/2011	No	Haller, Alayne F Day Haller	Yes
18458	9/14/2011	No	Kline, Thomas E	Yes
18459	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	jones, tiffany	Yes
18460	9/14/2011	No	Hewitt, Roger	Yes
18461	9/14/2011	No	Council, Nina	Yes
18462	9/14/2011	No	Catanese, Erika L	Yes
18463	9/14/2011	No	Pratt, Cynthia K	Yes
18464	9/14/2011	No	Cummings, Rebecca	Yes
18465	9/14/2011	No	Rhinier, Angie	Yes
18466	9/14/2011	No	Coddington, Tom	Yes
18467	9/14/2011	No	Barmon, Alicia	Yes
18468	9/14/2011	No	Downes, Eric M	Yes
18469	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Poore, Nicole	Yes
18470	9/14/2011	No	olson, Tricha a	Yes
18471	9/14/2011	No	Parker, Deborah A	Yes

0013973

18472	9/14/2011	No	Staub, Glenn C	Yes
18473	9/14/2011	No	Gates, Kathleen E	Yes
18474	9/14/2011	No	Juskiewicz, Barbara M	Yes
18475	9/14/2011	No	Lao, I-Ching	Yes
18476	9/14/2011	No	Pfeiffer, Peter	Yes
18477	9/14/2011	No	Hammel, Eleanor	Yes
18478	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Loren R	Yes
18479	9/14/2011	No	Gefter, Marcy	Yes
18480	9/14/2011	No	Austin, Johnny	Yes
18481	9/14/2011	No	Chinburg, Marilyn J	Yes
18482	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Adams, Patricia	Yes
18483	9/14/2011	No	Dunn, Edward	Yes
18484	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18485	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Watkins, Kevin E	Yes
18486	9/14/2011	No	Comfort, Lisa	Yes
18487	9/14/2011	No	Hamilton, Tricia	Yes
18488	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	KELLEY, TRACEY R	Yes
18489	9/14/2011	No	Powell, Charles V	Yes
18490	9/14/2011	No	Smithson, Susie	Yes
18491	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Green, Angela	Yes
18492	9/14/2011	No	Ellis, Kathryn J	Yes
18493	9/14/2011	No	Davis, Virginia A	Yes
18494	9/14/2011	No	Huibregtse, Anne	Yes
18495	9/14/2011	No	rollo, mike v	Yes
18496	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynch, Tina	Yes
18497	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Krebs, Norman C	Yes
18498	9/14/2011	No	kelly, david I	Yes
18499	9/14/2011	No	Williams, Taffy	Yes
18500	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Southerland, Ada L	Yes
18501	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Andersen, Evette	Yes
18502	9/14/2011	No	bragman, jeffrey	Yes
18503	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Barger, Julie A	Yes
18504	9/14/2011	No	weiner, cathie	Yes
18505	9/14/2011	No	Sparlin, Shauna	Yes
18506	9/14/2011	No	marchese, franca	Yes
18507	9/14/2011	No	Mueller, Paul Robert Maiden	Yes
18508	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Scott	Yes
18509	9/14/2011	No	Kulauzovic, Kelly A	Yes
18510	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18511	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McGinty, Alison	Yes
18512	9/14/2011	No	Snelling, Paul W	Yes
18513	9/14/2011	No	Chandran, Gisele M	Yes
18514	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wunder, Phillip A	Yes
18515	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, William L	Yes
18516	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Spencer, Martha P	Yes
18517	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18518	9/14/2011	No	Everett, Greg	Yes
18519	9/14/2011	No	lash, cal	Yes
18520	9/14/2011	No	Hanson, Wendy	Yes
18521	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18522	9/14/2011	No	Mielke, Howard	Yes
18523	9/14/2011	No	White, Lynne D	Yes
18524	9/14/2011	No	Campbell, Maya S	Yes
18525	9/14/2011	No	Gunther, Susan	Yes
18526	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

0013974

18527	9/14/2011	No	Cosaboom, Mary & James	Yes
18528	9/14/2011	No	Hasapidis, George	Yes
18529	9/14/2011	No	Lear, Kirsten C	Yes
18530	9/14/2011	No	bowman, donna j	Yes
18531	9/14/2011	No	Moore, Randall	Yes
18532	9/14/2011	No	Jacobs, Mark W	Yes
18533	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	finamore, scott j	Yes
18534	9/14/2011	No	Barry, Susan R	Yes
18535	9/14/2011	No	truman, mary l	Yes
18536	9/14/2011	No	Banks, Wesley D	Yes
18537	9/14/2011	No	Snavely, Marie	Yes
18538	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	D, Joel	Yes
18539	9/14/2011	No	Tays, Kimberly	Yes
18540	9/14/2011	No	carr, iris s	Yes
18541	9/14/2011	No	Colvert, Lara	Yes
18542	9/14/2011	No	Mas&oacute;, Rosalia C	Yes
18543	9/14/2011	No	Tran, Danielle	Yes
18544	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Garbrick, Kathe	Yes
18545	9/14/2011	No	Squires, Sandra	Yes
18546	9/14/2011	No	Gregory, Todd L	Yes
18547	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Esq., Steven A. Saporito, Esq.	Yes
18548	9/14/2011	No	Wouk, Fay	Yes
18549	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Jeanine	Yes
18550	9/14/2011	No	Hamilton, Sharon L	Yes
18551	9/14/2011	No	Lopez-Farragher, Sheryl A	Yes
18552	9/14/2011	No	Brebner, Linda B	Yes
18553	9/14/2011	No	Kuhn, Gerald K	Yes
18554	9/14/2011	No	schneider, carl	Yes
18555	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Karanjawala, Eric &	Yes
18556	9/14/2011	No	Mendez, Mary	Yes
18557	9/14/2011	No	Steel, Carlene	Yes
18558	9/14/2011	No	Gagliardo, Pamela	Yes
18559	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lorimer, Michael	Yes
18560	9/14/2011	No	baier, dawn m	Yes
18561	9/14/2011	No	Chamberlain, Richard H	Yes
18562	9/14/2011	No	Lemer, Richard	Yes
18563	9/14/2011	No	Abell, Martha A	Yes
18564	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Longley, Richard L	Yes
18565	9/14/2011	No	white, pamela r	Yes
18566	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	DeVore, Deborah N	Yes
18567	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lowans, Jennifer	Yes
18568	9/14/2011	No	Barragan, Veronica	Yes
18569	9/14/2011	No	Arnold, Lee	Yes
18570	9/14/2011	No	Colton, Wendy	Yes
18571	9/14/2011	No	CRISTELLI, JUDY L	Yes
18572	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	christensen, deb l	Yes
18573	9/14/2011	No	Pritchett, Bret	Yes
18574	9/14/2011	No	Chenoweth, Mark L	Yes
18575	9/14/2011	No	Howard, Janine	Yes
18576	9/14/2011	No	Reeves, Ella	Yes
18577	9/14/2011	No	Garrison, Susan	Yes
18578	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	mahlis, Larry	Yes
18579	9/14/2011	No	Stark, mary mary stark Stark	Yes
18580	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18581	9/14/2011	No	Cohen, Jennifer	Yes

## 0013975

18582	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Behrens, Joanna	Yes
18583	9/14/2011	No	Miles, Micah C	Yes
18584	9/14/2011	No	Berkeley, Paula R	Yes
18585	9/14/2011	No	SANJANA, JAMES	Yes
18586	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18587	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Chris	Yes
18588	9/14/2011	No	Grimes, Jane	Yes
18589	9/14/2011	No	Hill, Carol R	Yes
18590	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	hamlin, leslie	Yes
18591	9/14/2011	No	HINES, JAMES O	Yes
18592	9/14/2011	No	CLOUD, DON E	Yes
18593	9/14/2011	No	Mills, & G	Yes
18594	9/14/2011	No	SMITH, Polly P	Yes
18595	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ramirez, Ena E	Yes
18596	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Janet	Yes
18597	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18598	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Snelson, Debra G	Yes
18599	9/14/2011	No	Woods, Daniel	Yes
18600	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Witherell, Sarah C	Yes
18601	9/14/2011	No	Angress, Dina	Yes
18602	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivera, Marcie	Yes
18603	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18604	9/14/2011	No	Gray, Thea	Yes
18605	9/14/2011	No	dykes, kelly	Yes
18606	9/14/2011	No	Gulick, Elizabeth	Yes
18607	9/14/2011	No	Martino, James J	Yes
18608	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18609	9/14/2011	No	Chaffin, Charles H	Yes
18610	9/14/2011	No	stockstill, rob a	Yes
18611	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Weatherford, John F	Yes
18612	9/14/2011	No	smith, mary	Yes
18613	9/14/2011	No	Raeshke, Cyndy	Yes
18614	9/14/2011	No	Meyer, David S	Yes
18615	9/14/2011	No	Bowditch, Christine	Yes
18616	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Loske, Ryk	Yes
18617	9/14/2011	No	Searight, Jane E	Yes
18618	9/14/2011	No	Skoll, Melah	Yes
18619	9/14/2011	No	Neubecker, Mary	Yes
18620	9/14/2011	No	Walker, Stephanie	Yes
18621	9/14/2011	No	Barber, Linda K	Yes
18622	9/14/2011	No	McLaren, Kirk W	Yes
18623	9/14/2011	No	thomason, karen j	Yes
18624	9/14/2011	No	Beringer, Theodore	Yes
18625	9/14/2011	No	Davis, Linda J	Yes
18626	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ciliberti, Molly	Yes
18627	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Kim L	Yes
18628	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Ronald L	Yes
18629	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Patterson, DeAnne	Yes
18630	9/14/2011	No	Boatner, Jane	Yes
18631	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Emily A	Yes
18632	9/14/2011	No	IRWIN, HALE	Yes
18633	9/14/2011	No	meyer, susan	Yes
18634	9/14/2011	No	Dales, Loring	Yes
18635	9/14/2011	No	Latorre, Katherine F	Yes
18636	9/14/2011	No	Morris, Wendy E	Yes



0013976

18637	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Carolyn	Yes
18638	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Angela D	Yes
18639	9/14/2011	No	Bosworth, Patricia	Yes
18640	9/14/2011	No	Peterson, Gayle D	Yes
18641	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Denise M	Yes
18642	9/14/2011	No	Eudy, Elaine W	Yes
18643	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burke, Donna	Yes
18644	9/14/2011	No	Zaric, Olga	Yes
18645	9/14/2011	No	riley, n	Yes
18646	9/14/2011	No	Austin, Renee	Yes
18647	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brewer, Suzanne	Yes
18648	9/14/2011	No	Oakes, Mary D	Yes
18649	9/14/2011	No	Thompson, Alim H	Yes
18650	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Parrish, Joan	Yes
18651	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18652	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18653	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kwon, Judy	Yes
18654	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Goodrich, Lisa	Yes
18655	9/14/2011	No	deForest, Deborah A	Yes
18656	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cato, Mary E	Yes
18657	9/14/2011	No	Gallou, Priscilla	Yes
18658	9/14/2011	No	Kastner, Ruth	Yes
18659	9/14/2011	No	Krasen, Bob	Yes
18660	9/14/2011	No	McElfresh, W. R	Yes
18661	9/14/2011	No	Pattillo, Dorothy E	Yes
18662	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, Patricia M	Yes
18663	9/14/2011	No	Guma, Karen	Yes
18664	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Herman, Jaimie	Yes
18665	9/14/2011	No	wilson, suzette	Yes
18666	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18667	9/14/2011	No	Noland, John C	Yes
18668	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Green, Kerstin	Yes
18669	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18670	9/14/2011	No	Kirby, Lee M	Yes
18671	9/14/2011	No	querner, kathleen	Yes
18672	9/14/2011	No	Willis, Caleb	Yes
18673	9/14/2011	No	martin, laure a	Yes
18674	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18675	9/14/2011	No	Pierce, Troy B	Yes
18676	9/14/2011	No	goldman, paula	Yes
18677	9/14/2011	No	Stricklin, Annie	Yes
18678	9/14/2011	No	Weeks, Nicholas	Yes
18679	9/14/2011	No	Meredith, Gay	Yes
18680	9/14/2011	No	Williams, Audrey A	Yes
18681	9/14/2011	No	Weidner, Karen S	Yes
18682	9/14/2011	No	Taylor, Shannon L	Yes
18683	9/14/2011	No	severese, patrizia	Yes
18684	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Einstein-Diez, Alia	Yes
18685	9/14/2011	No	hohmen, paul	Yes
18686	9/14/2011	No	Coss, Shelley A	Yes
18687	9/14/2011	No	Raven, ursela	Yes
18688	9/14/2011	No	Blakeman, Ramie	Yes
18689	9/14/2011	No	Barnes, RE	Yes
18690	9/14/2011	No	Geddes, B. S	Yes
18691	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Snope, David	Yes

0013977

18692	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18693	9/14/2011	No	Alexander, Charles	Yes
18694	9/14/2011	No	McGough, Laura M	Yes
18695	9/14/2011	No	Richards, Alice L	Yes
18696	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pinuelo, Lisa S	Yes
18697	9/14/2011	No	Ossenbeck, Claire M	Yes
18698	9/14/2011	No	CIAKY, JUDY	Yes
18699	9/14/2011	No	Ellison, Mike	Yes
18700	9/14/2011	No	Mold, Mary C	Yes
18701	9/14/2011	No	Lovejoy, Carlen	Yes
18702	9/14/2011	No	morena, dina	Yes
18703	9/14/2011	No	Scalice, Debra A	Yes
18704	9/14/2011	No	Leppla, Joan L	Yes
18705	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hagiu, ioana	Yes
18706	9/14/2011	No	Carrington, Jacqui A	Yes
18707	9/14/2011	No	Amendolagine, Karen	Yes
18708	9/14/2011	No	Letson, William	Yes
18709	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18710	9/14/2011	No	Morgan, Patti	Yes
18711	9/14/2011	No	Dam, Chad Martin Van Dam	Yes
18712	9/14/2011	No	Lyons, Donna J	Yes
18713	9/14/2011	No	Tully, Barbara J	Yes
18714	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18715	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	summers, donna m	Yes
18716	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Guiney, Dennis	Yes
18717	9/14/2011	No	Cohen, Lawrence I	Yes
18718	9/14/2011	No	Yarnell, Susan L	Yes
18719	9/14/2011	No	Brooks, James A	Yes
18720	9/14/2011	No	Tonzi, Eileen	Yes
18721	9/14/2011	No	Russ, JoAnne M	Yes
18722	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lovelace, Marcia	Yes
18723	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brooks, Patricia	Yes
18724	9/14/2011	No	McMullen, Laura	Yes
18725	9/14/2011	No	merker, michael j	Yes
18726	9/14/2011	No	Rekdal, Sheila	Yes
18727	9/14/2011	No	Boudreau, Lucinda	Yes
18728	9/14/2011	No	Moss, Sharon K	Yes
18729	9/14/2011	No	Lichtenecker, Penelope S	Yes
18730	9/14/2011	No	Brook, Kelly p	Yes
18731	9/14/2011	No	Merrill, Troy	Yes
18732	9/14/2011	No	McCracken, Linda	Yes
18733	9/14/2011	No	Duley, Frank L	Yes
18734	9/14/2011	No	Dopp, Paul	Yes
18735	9/14/2011	No	Jackson, Joanne	Yes
18736	9/14/2011	No	SUTTON, RODNEY C	Yes
18737	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Scott, Raeann K	Yes
18738	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Shew, Samantha	Yes
18739	9/14/2011	No	Risley, Megan	Yes
18740	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18741	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18742	9/14/2011	No	Epstein, Pamela	Yes
18743	9/14/2011	No	Robison, Cheryl F	Yes
18744	9/14/2011	No	Kenion, Rita B	Yes
18745	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fernandez, Linda A	Yes
18746	9/14/2011	No	Whitaker, Kerry L	Yes

## 0013978

18747	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Romero, Sydney L	Yes
18748	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, Nicole	Yes
18749	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hathaway, Susan	Yes
18750	9/14/2011	No	Parker, F. G	Yes
18751	9/14/2011	No	Fogarty, Dan/Paula	Yes
18752	9/14/2011	No	Weeks, Mary	Yes
18753	9/14/2011	No	Webster, Amanda R	Yes
18754	9/14/2011	No	Farrar, Janet R	Yes
18755	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruder, Cynthia	Yes
18756	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conroy, Faith	Yes
18757	9/14/2011	No	Riester, Shea	Yes
18758	9/14/2011	No	Eichler, Linda M	Yes
18759	9/14/2011	No	Stuckey, Richard J	Yes
18760	9/14/2011	No	Fox, Jennifer	Yes
18761	9/14/2011	No	McDowell, Karen A	Yes
18762	9/14/2011	No	Martinez, Maria E	Yes
18763	9/14/2011	No	Meriwether, Don B	Yes
18764	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kotch, Brant	Yes
18765	9/14/2011	No	Moore, Kenneth	Yes
18766	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Michael	Yes
18767	9/14/2011	No	Collins, Carol L	Yes
18768	9/14/2011	No	Larsen, Gaia	Yes
18769	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
18770	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Waybright, Nicole	Yes
18771	9/14/2011	No	Doucet, V	Yes
18772	9/14/2011	No	Churray, Richard J	Yes
18773	9/14/2011	No	Perez, Jennifer	Yes
18774	9/14/2011	No	Davidson, Amber E	Yes
18775	9/14/2011	No	Poland, Michael R	Yes
18776	9/14/2011	No	Fields, Joyce	Yes
18777	9/14/2011	No	Stephens, Martha	Yes
18778	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Henry R	Yes
18779	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dreier, Tammi	Yes
18780	9/14/2011	No	BRADSHAW, SUSAN L	Yes
18781	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Gale, Judy	Yes
18782	9/14/2011	No	rarick, ivan h	Yes
18783	9/14/2011	No	Lazarus, Craig S	Yes
18784	9/14/2011	No	Wolpa, Robert	Yes
18785	9/14/2011	No	Roland, Lorinda	Yes
18786	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Parrish, Roberta L	Yes
18787	9/14/2011	No	Riddle, Ryan R	Yes
18788	9/14/2011	No	Rubin, Donald	Yes
18789	9/14/2011	No	Ryan, Kathryn	Yes
18790	9/14/2011	No	magnin, didi	Yes
18791	9/14/2011	No	halstead, donna j	Yes
18792	9/14/2011	No	Avery, Bonnie	Yes
18793	9/14/2011	No	Parmly, Tim	Yes
18794	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gregorian, Arthur	Yes
18795	9/14/2011	No	Humbert, Laurie	Yes
18796	9/14/2011	No	Butler, Dee E	Yes
18797	9/14/2011	No	Holzman, Michael	Yes
18798	9/14/2011	No	Graff, Steve	Yes
18799	9/14/2011	No	Herbers, Jill	Yes
18800	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Curtis, sophie	Yes
18801	9/14/2011	No	Dodds, K	Yes

0013979

18802	9/14/2011	No	Balcom, Christine C	Yes
18803	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schultz, Alan R	Yes
18804	9/14/2011	No	Fuhrmann, Sheila	Yes
18805	9/14/2011	No	Spitler, Trish S	Yes
18806	9/14/2011	No	Mason, Brenda L	Yes
18807	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Alvey, Melinda	Yes
18808	9/14/2011	No	Preisser, Alexis	Yes
18809	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelman, Mary	Yes
18810	9/14/2011	No	WALRAVEN, GLORIA A	Yes
18811	9/14/2011	No	Scott, Leigh A	Yes
18812	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tomkosky, Brittany	Yes
18813	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	S., C.	Yes
18814	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Francesca	Yes
18815	9/14/2011	No	LaSchiava, Dona	Yes
18816	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gentes, Mija	Yes
18817	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18818	9/14/2011	No	Ericta, Natasha	Yes
18819	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18820	9/14/2011	No	fox, sandra	Yes
18821	9/14/2011	No	McKown, Teri J	Yes
18822	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hertges, Christine L	Yes
18823	9/14/2011	No	Boivin, Gary J	Yes
18824	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fruth, Jodi L	Yes
18825	9/14/2011	No	Ray, Rita	Yes
18826	9/14/2011	No	Craig, Robert M	Yes
18827	9/14/2011	No	Kessler, Marjorie A	Yes
18828	9/14/2011	No	Prichard, Roses	Yes
18829	9/14/2011	No	Feinstein, Veronica	Yes
18830	9/14/2011	No	Webb, Kimberly	Yes
18831	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Marlies	Yes
18832	9/14/2011	No	Graves, Jeanie L	Yes
18833	9/14/2011	No	wagner, charles e	Yes
18834	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bonk, Marliese	Yes
18835	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sloan, Joshua C	Yes
18836	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18837	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18838	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rucker, Rebecca K	Yes
18839	9/14/2011	No	Xiong, Jayne	Yes
18840	9/14/2011	No	Robinson, Bina A	Yes
18841	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Marjorie	Yes
18842	9/14/2011	No	Kitchen, Michael	Yes
18843	9/14/2011	No	Gordon, Miriam	Yes
18844	9/14/2011	No	Doscher, Patricia	Yes
18845	9/14/2011	No	Leigh, abby	Yes
18846	9/14/2011	No	kleinman, michael	Yes
18847	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18848	9/14/2011	No	Dailey, Laura	Yes
18849	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18850	9/14/2011	No	Sa'ena, Traci	Yes
18851	9/14/2011	No	Pavlic, J.W.	Yes
18852	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gilbert, Camille	Yes
18853	9/14/2011	No	Toriumi, Courtney	Yes
18854	9/14/2011	No	Venezia, Sherri	Yes
18855	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rouse, Rena K	Yes
18856	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Thornton, Tara	Yes

0013980

18857	9/14/2011	No	Bregman, Eric D	Yes
18858	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Raymond, Debra	Yes
18859	9/14/2011	No	Sylvester, Angee M	Yes
18860	9/14/2011	No	Adams, Jean B	Yes
18861	9/14/2011	No	Franzen, Ellen L	Yes
18862	9/14/2011	No	Jordan, Jennifer	Yes
18863	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruben, Martha	Yes
18864	9/14/2011	No	Rafferty, Rita	Yes
18865	9/14/2011	No	Harvey, Barbara	Yes
18866	9/14/2011	No	vinson, karen j	Yes
18867	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18868	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jamieson, Rebecca	Yes
18869	9/14/2011	No	MILLER, KENDRICK	Yes
18870	9/14/2011	No	Lowry, Lyn	Yes
18871	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18872	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mills, Melva	Yes
18873	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Curran, Pat	Yes
18874	9/14/2011	No	hink, Lani N	Yes
18875	9/14/2011	No	Farnsworth, Lauren	Yes
18876	9/14/2011	No	Suhayda, Merle	Yes
18877	9/14/2011	No	Runkel, K.	Yes
18878	9/14/2011	No	Rinehart, Alba	Yes
18879	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18880	9/14/2011	No	Krueger, Keith O	Yes
18881	9/14/2011	No	Corkern, C	Yes
18882	9/14/2011	No	Ray, Betsy A	Yes
18883	9/14/2011	No	Haney, Linda T	Yes
18884	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18885	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Kelly M	Yes
18886	9/14/2011	No	Wolf, Andrea	Yes
18887	9/14/2011	No	Erdeljac, Joseph E	Yes
18888	9/14/2011	No	parr, marianne	Yes
18889	9/14/2011	No	Keeney, Mary A	Yes
18890	9/14/2011	No	Woolsey, Genevieve	Yes
18891	9/14/2011	No	Dovala, joseph	Yes
18892	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	OConnor, Siobhan	Yes
18893	9/14/2011	No	Goodwin, Nancy	Yes
18894	9/14/2011	No	M., Susanne	Yes
18895	9/14/2011	No	Lefler, Terri	Yes
18896	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ridgway, Christine	Yes
18897	9/14/2011	No	Hellring, Siehgfried B	Yes
18898	9/14/2011	No	Zebell, Mary E	Yes
18899	9/14/2011	No	Young, Susan	Yes
18900	9/14/2011	No	Hansen, Siobhan M	Yes
18901	9/14/2011	No	Turk, Laraine	Yes
18902	9/14/2011	No	White, Bobbie D	Yes
18903	9/14/2011	No	cheatham, kathleen	Yes
18904	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gray, Jennifer	Yes
18905	9/14/2011	No	Kuticka, Sheri L	Yes
18906	9/14/2011	No	Van, Christina	Yes
18907	9/14/2011	No	Larsen, Monica	Yes
18908	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schaeffer, Holly	Yes
18909	9/14/2011	No	MSW, Judith G	Yes
18910	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Jennifer R	Yes
18911	9/14/2011	No	Leach, Dexter L	Yes

## 0013981

18912	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Trapasso, Margaret	Yes
18913	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schumacher, Amy	Yes
18914	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18915	9/14/2011	No	O'Connor, Jenifer J	Yes
18916	9/14/2011	No	Young, Ginger	Yes
18917	9/14/2011	No	Kennedy, Stacey R	Yes
18918	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Forrest, William J	Yes
18919	9/14/2011	No	Graff, Steve	Yes
18920	9/14/2011	No	Brooks, Caitlin A	Yes
18921	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lachance, Marcey	Yes
18922	9/14/2011	No	Furgiuele, Samuel	Yes
18923	9/14/2011	No	McD, Ruth	Yes
18924	9/14/2011	No	Luckowski, Susan C	Yes
18925	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Monica	Yes
18926	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	fuller, roy	Yes
18927	9/14/2011	No	niles, f.	Yes
18928	9/14/2011	No	Kwiatkowski, Susan	Yes
18929	9/14/2011	No	Newlin, Virginia S	Yes
18930	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bourne, H	Yes
18931	9/14/2011	No	Kite, Betty J	Yes
18932	9/14/2011	No	Kent, Ellen	Yes
18933	9/14/2011	No	Selznick, Stephanie S	Yes
18934	9/14/2011	No	Apo, Chris	Yes
18935	9/14/2011	No	Plimpton, Lease A	Yes
18936	9/14/2011	No	paradis, bettie c	Yes
18937	9/14/2011	No	Nelson, Jennifer A	Yes
18938	9/14/2011	No	HUGHES, charles E	Yes
18939	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lin, David	Yes
18940	9/14/2011	No	Kirkwood, Kaye G	Yes
18941	9/14/2011	No	Uecker, Robert J	Yes
18942	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Sheila	Yes
18943	9/14/2011	No	Buckwald, Jan	Yes
18944	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18945	9/14/2011	No	McQuinn, Don	Yes
18946	9/14/2011	No	Guh, Hsiao-Huei	Yes
18947	9/14/2011	No	Clair, Derlin G	Yes
18948	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Colin	Yes
18949	9/14/2011	No	Breakfield, Sandra	Yes
18950	9/14/2011	No	Bush, Jim D	Yes
18951	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Franklin, Constance A	Yes
18952	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Heck, Janet L	Yes
18953	9/14/2011	No	mobley, timothy c	Yes
18954	9/14/2011	No	Ruch, Mark	Yes
18955	9/14/2011	No	Eden, Jonathan R	Yes
18956	9/14/2011	No	Wilson, Pierre A	Yes
18957	9/14/2011	No	Watton, Trina	Yes
18958	9/14/2011	No	Sinks, Marian M	Yes
18959	9/14/2011	No	Kramer, Narda A	Yes
18960	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	flury, leigh	Yes
18961	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stout, Patrick T	Yes
18962	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Myers, Christina L	Yes
18963	9/14/2011	No	Metzger, Elinor S	Yes
18964	9/14/2011	No	Marten, Beth	Yes
18965	9/14/2011	No	Kaiser, Ruth A	Yes
18966	9/14/2011	No	Landa, Hazel	Yes

## 0013982

18967	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Olsen, Teresa	Yes
18968	9/14/2011	No	Roth, Twila R	Yes
18969	9/14/2011	No	Atterbury, Philippa	Yes
18970	9/14/2011	No	Swindell, Heather L	Yes
18971	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ortega, Natalie D	Yes
18972	9/14/2011	No	Collins, Marlene	Yes
18973	9/14/2011	No	Preston, Apryl C	Yes
18974	9/14/2011	No	Moritz, Karl	Yes
18975	9/14/2011	No	Morris, Shay H	Yes
18976	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18977	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rohrer, Laurel A	Yes
18978	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bolton, Anthony J	Yes
18979	9/14/2011	No	Bozied, Shary	Yes
18980	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Alexander C	Yes
18981	9/14/2011	No	Root, Annie F	Yes
18982	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Keske, Kathleen	Yes
18983	9/14/2011	No	Sampedro, Donna	Yes
18984	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hampson, James	Yes
18985	9/14/2011	No	Lyders, Tanya M	Yes
18986	9/14/2011	No	Rutishauser, Penny	Yes
18987	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, Audrey J	Yes
18988	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
18989	9/14/2011	No	Vliet, Mary V	Yes
18990	9/14/2011	No	Odehnal, Nikki	Yes
18991	9/14/2011	No	Walker, David H	Yes
18992	9/14/2011	No	cusick, madeleine	Yes
18993	9/14/2011	No	Gilman, Marie	Yes
18994	9/14/2011	No	Fife, Priscilla R	Yes
18995	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Newton, Kathleen S	Yes
18996	9/14/2011	No	Skogley, Dave B	Yes
18997	9/14/2011	No	Laughlin, Cindy	Yes
18998	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jewell, Wendy M	Yes
18999	9/14/2011	No	Evans, Rachael	Yes
19000	9/14/2011	No	Pat, Niels &	Yes
19001	9/14/2011	No	Bell, Gwendolyn H	Yes
19002	9/14/2011	No	Brainard, Verna M	Yes
19003	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19004	9/14/2011	No	Whitney, Stephen	Yes
19005	9/14/2011	No	Tollefson, Karl	Yes
19006	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ortega, Julio	Yes
19007	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	hill, Jennifer A	Yes
19008	9/14/2011	No	Drucker, Terry T	Yes
19009	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frederick, Melissa	Yes
19010	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19011	9/14/2011	No	Cullen, Bernard	Yes
19012	9/14/2011	No	Bergevin, Mary C	Yes
19013	9/14/2011	No	Lampman, Eugene & Marilee	Yes
19014	9/14/2011	No	Elsee, Allison	Yes
19015	9/14/2011	No	Waldoch, Mark	Yes
19016	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Higgins, Janice	Yes
19017	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Haysler, Stacey	Yes
19018	9/14/2011	No	bourks, claudia	Yes
19019	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19020	9/14/2011	No	Serra, Ruth	Yes
19021	9/14/2011	No	Pezzati, Mark P	Yes

## 0013983

19022	9/14/2011	No	Reed, Jennifer N	Yes
19023	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19024	9/14/2011	No	Quinn, James E	Yes
19025	9/14/2011	No	Taugog, Rebecca	Yes
19026	9/14/2011	No	Ballou, Carol G	Yes
19027	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	ziegler, cynthia	Yes
19028	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Culver, Angela	Yes
19029	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Jan M	Yes
19030	9/14/2011	No	Tchelka, Annette	Yes
19031	9/14/2011	No	Phillips, Laura P	Yes
19032	9/14/2011	No	Bond, Michael	Yes
19033	9/14/2011	No	Serafini, Linda L	Yes
19034	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19035	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pannenbacker, Maria	Yes
19036	9/14/2011	No	Stotko, Shirley	Yes
19037	9/14/2011	No	Mattes, Dale B	Yes
19038	9/14/2011	No	Beavers, Nancy H	Yes
19039	9/14/2011	No	Mutch, Mary L	Yes
19040	9/14/2011	No	Story, Andrea	Yes
19041	9/14/2011	No	wolf, pauline a	Yes
19042	9/14/2011	No	Evans, Diane A	Yes
19043	9/14/2011	No	Boyle, Sean	Yes
19044	9/14/2011	No	Horwitz, Martin A	Yes
19045	9/14/2011	No	Franklin, L G	Yes
19046	9/14/2011	No	crane, mark	Yes
19047	9/14/2011	No	Glatman, Themis Z	Yes
19048	9/14/2011	No	Green, Audley	Yes
19049	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19050	9/14/2011	No	andruskiewicz, donna m	Yes
19051	9/14/2011	No	Riker, Mary	Yes
19052	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jebidiah, Jericho	Yes
19053	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19054	9/14/2011	No	conarello, js	Yes
19055	9/14/2011	No	McKinney-Collins, Karen a	Yes
19056	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19057	9/14/2011	No	Meehan, Laura	Yes
19058	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nosbaum, Jeffrey	Yes
19059	9/14/2011	No	Blatt, Gail	Yes
19060	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bescript, Cherie	Yes
19061	9/14/2011	No	Camunas, Viola I	Yes
19062	9/14/2011	No	vandyke, marlene k	Yes
19063	9/14/2011	No	Orth, daniel b	Yes
19064	9/14/2011	No	Diegtel, Lynn	Yes
19065	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Suzanne	Yes
19066	9/14/2011	No	Fraley, Lawrence E	Yes
19067	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hill, Richard	Yes
19068	9/14/2011	No	Winegrad, Bernard	Yes
19069	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fitzgerald, Martin	Yes
19070	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Henry, Janice J	Yes
19071	9/14/2011	No	Hughes, Kevin	Yes
19072	9/14/2011	No	Cole, Alice G	Yes
19073	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19074	9/14/2011	No	Hofheins, Paul R	Yes
19075	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stacey, Karen S	Yes
19076	9/14/2011	No	Burky, Louise B	Yes



0013984

19077	9/14/2011	No	Cleland-Sipfle, Kathryn	Yes
19078	9/14/2011	No	Price, Jay C	Yes
19079	9/14/2011	No	Serra, Ruth	Yes
19080	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	lopez, luisa	Yes
19081	9/14/2011	No	Glavina, Sonja	Yes
19082	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19083	9/14/2011	No	Sharma, Dian K	Yes
19084	9/14/2011	No	Aronow, Myra	Yes
19085	9/14/2011	No	Malarney, Holly F	Yes
19086	9/14/2011	No	Gordy, Nancy N	Yes
19087	9/14/2011	No	Hensel, Charles H	Yes
19088	9/14/2011	No	Bressie, Jeannine	Yes
19089	9/14/2011	No	Schiess, Laura I	Yes
19090	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Donahue, James H	Yes
19091	9/14/2011	No	Stanley, Danielle	Yes
19092	9/14/2011	No	Ocamb, Jananne	Yes
19093	9/14/2011	No	Thomas, Patte	Yes
19094	9/14/2011	No	howe, melyssa	Yes
19095	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McMullen, Ann	Yes
19096	9/14/2011	No	Frank, Lee	Yes
19097	9/14/2011	No	Turner, Carolyn L	Yes
19098	9/14/2011	No	Tankersley, Janice G	Yes
19099	9/14/2011	No	Bakunas, Michael J	Yes
19100	9/14/2011	No	Stape, Geraldine L	Yes
19101	9/14/2011	No	Arp, Heidi	Yes
19102	9/14/2011	No	Meder, Laura D	Yes
19103	9/14/2011	No	Inglis, Charlene	Yes
19104	9/14/2011	No	Verna, Catherine	Yes
19105	9/14/2011	No	Simpson, Ed and Bee Simpson	Yes
19106	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Findell, Sharon	Yes
19107	9/14/2011	No	Arango, Federico	Yes
19108	9/14/2011	No	Nichols, Michael D	Yes
19109	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Catterton, Edward M	Yes
19110	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gilbert, Diana	Yes
19111	9/14/2011	No	Green, Steve	Yes
19112	9/14/2011	No	Mears, Winifred (	Yes
19113	9/14/2011	No	Graver, Charles E	Yes
19114	9/14/2011	No	Meisinger, Lisa	Yes
19115	9/14/2011	No	O'Malley, Polly	Yes
19116	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19117	9/14/2011	No	ddalena, Barb	Yes
19118	9/14/2011	No	Simmons, Ellen M	Yes
19119	9/14/2011	No	Marshall, Gabrielle	Yes
19120	9/14/2011	No	Federico, Katherine M	Yes
19121	9/14/2011	No	Elinoff, Amanda	Yes
19122	9/14/2011	No	Bristol, Glenn A	Yes
19123	9/14/2011	No	Thandi, Paul	Yes
19124	9/14/2011	No	Willia, C	Yes
19125	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19126	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kandinsky, Mischa	Yes
19127	9/14/2011	No	Querry, Cheryl	Yes
19128	9/14/2011	No	Vanek, Melissa	Yes
19129	9/14/2011	No	Lourie, Christine	Yes
19130	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ralston, Jeannette A	Yes
19131	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0013985

19132	9/14/2011	No	Nicolai, Elizabeth J	Yes
19133	9/14/2011	No	Mathew, Linda	Yes
19134	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Podendorf, Marilyn	Yes
19135	9/14/2011	No	Casperson, Carolina	Yes
19136	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19137	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Welsh, Caitlin	Yes
19138	9/14/2011	No	Horne, William C	Yes
19139	9/14/2011	No	clemson, g s	Yes
19140	9/14/2011	No	Kolman, Michael	Yes
19141	9/14/2011	No	voorhees, vici	Yes
19142	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Young, Margaret A	Yes
19143	9/14/2011	No	Yee, Holly G	Yes
19144	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Karen H	Yes
19145	9/14/2011	No	hazynski, chris	Yes
19146	9/14/2011	No	Mettie, Bonna J	Yes
19147	9/14/2011	No	Young, Dennis E	Yes
19148	9/14/2011	No	Yusishen, Karmann	Yes
19149	9/14/2011	No	Calderon, Brooke	Yes
19150	9/14/2011	No	Philbin, alison	Yes
19151	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19152	9/14/2011	No	Beard, Julie A	Yes
19153	9/14/2011	No	Stiles, Patricia	Yes
19154	9/14/2011	No	McGlone, Colleen	Yes
19155	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19156	9/14/2011	No	Klingenberg, Doug D	Yes
19157	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Golove, William H	Yes
19158	9/14/2011	No	Evans, Nancy	Yes
19159	9/14/2011	No	Winston, Abigail	Yes
19160	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hinely, Robert	Yes
19161	9/14/2011	No	Harrell, Jan	Yes
19162	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19163	9/14/2011	No	Berkowitz, Steve	Yes
19164	9/14/2011	No	Callahan, Gloria A	Yes
19165	9/14/2011	No	Carte, Jeff	Yes
19166	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bloemen, Dona v	Yes
19167	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Chessa R	Yes
19168	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bradley, Katharine H	Yes
19169	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ritchie, Beth	Yes
19170	9/14/2011	No	Jones, William T	Yes
19171	9/14/2011	No	Lichtenstein, Tamara	Yes
19172	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lee, Chih-Jie	Yes
19173	9/14/2011	No	Mazzola, Kimberly C	Yes
19174	9/14/2011	No	Marr, Betty w	Yes
19175	9/14/2011	No	g, j	Yes
19176	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Dr. Miltonj OLiver Clark	Yes
19177	9/14/2011	No	talbert, nancy	Yes
19178	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wang, Kevin	Yes
19179	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Krantz, David	Yes
19180	9/14/2011	No	Otte, Elizabeth	Yes
19181	9/14/2011	No	Stone, B	Yes
19182	9/14/2011	No	jensen, christina k	Yes
19183	9/14/2011	No	MacRae, Diann n	Yes
19184	9/14/2011	No	Chapman, Lorraine G	Yes
19185	9/14/2011	No	Bentson, James	Yes
19186	9/14/2011	No	Jernigan, Liz	Yes

## 0013986

19187	9/14/2011	No	Elpers, Mary J	Yes
19188	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Marilyn B	Yes
19189	9/14/2011	No	GREELEY, RON	Yes
19190	9/14/2011	No	Ludlam, Catherine P	Yes
19191	9/14/2011	No	Alegre, Carlos	Yes
19192	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Halizak, Kimberly A	Yes
19193	9/14/2011	No	Paradiso, Brenda T	Yes
19194	9/14/2011	No	Arnold, Tina	Yes
19195	9/14/2011	No	Booth, Carolie N	Yes
19196	9/14/2011	No	Rich, Philip G	Yes
19197	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rialon, Kristy L	Yes
19198	9/14/2011	No	Lupovitz, Laura	Yes
19199	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19200	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	merkel, alison g	Yes
19201	9/14/2011	No	Manire-Gatti, Eleanor R	Yes
19202	9/14/2011	No	Salhus, Jennifer	Yes
19203	9/14/2011	No	Dills, Dr. Charles Everard Dills	Yes
19204	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	rosenblood, jamie	Yes
19205	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19206	9/14/2011	No	Barnickel, Christopher	Yes
19207	9/14/2011	No	Beit-Aharon, Claudette	Yes
19208	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Graham, Dolores M	Yes
19209	9/14/2011	No	Moran, Joseph J	Yes
19210	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19211	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, Helen F	Yes
19212	9/14/2011	No	ROLL, KELLY M	Yes
19213	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19214	9/14/2011	No	Chrisos, Nick	Yes
19215	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Beverly	Yes
19216	9/14/2011	No	Gibson, Melissa	Yes
19217	9/14/2011	No	Coleman, barbara j	Yes
19218	9/14/2011	No	Grill, Thomas	Yes
19219	9/14/2011	No	Coddington, Susan	Yes
19220	9/14/2011	No	corry, william r	Yes
19221	9/14/2011	No	Levine, Christy	Yes
19222	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Shemisa, Yousef	Yes
19223	9/14/2011	No	Webber, Katherine	Yes
19224	9/14/2011	No	Stenzel, Jules W	Yes
19225	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wagner, Frank J	Yes
19226	9/14/2011	No	Roe, Patrice	Yes
19227	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dorsey, Jill	Yes
19228	9/14/2011	No	ii, Kenneth A. pURINGTON ii	Yes
19229	9/14/2011	No	lloyd, jon d	Yes
19230	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19231	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Vandiver, Diane	Yes
19232	9/14/2011	No	Lengel, Megan J	Yes
19233	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19234	9/14/2011	No	Lockerman, Weldon H	Yes
19235	9/14/2011	No	Weiss, Jeffrey M	Yes
19236	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19237	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hebberger, Jo A	Yes
19238	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	GUZMAN, DIANA E	Yes
19239	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conard, Judy	Yes
19240	9/14/2011	No	Heavner, Hattie	Yes
19241	9/14/2011	No	Koel, Leonard	Yes

0013987

19242	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Miller, Donald	Yes
19243	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19244	9/14/2011	No	thomas, stephen w	Yes
19245	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kleymeyer, Charles	Yes
19246	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Farano, Shirley A	Yes
19247	9/14/2011	No	McKibben, Ann	Yes
19248	9/14/2011	No	Millerlamb, Amy	Yes
19249	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Donna	Yes
19250	9/14/2011	No	Hoyt, B F	Yes
19251	9/14/2011	No	Fisher, Ann W	Yes
19252	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19253	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lake, Jennifer	Yes
19254	9/14/2011	No	Hirshon, Maynard J	Yes
19255	9/14/2011	No	Whalen, Patrick J	Yes
19256	9/14/2011	No	Scherer, Wendy	Yes
19257	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pliskin, Jack	Yes
19258	9/14/2011	No	Bellows, Jacqueline	Yes
19259	9/14/2011	No	kamper, joi	Yes
19260	9/14/2011	No	Meek, Margaret	Yes
19261	9/14/2011	No	Livingston, James	Yes
19262	9/14/2011	No	Schenk, Kathie	Yes
19263	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gottschalk, Jay R	Yes
19264	9/14/2011	No	Horwath, Pamela J	Yes
19265	9/14/2011	No	northcutt, sally	Yes
19266	9/14/2011	No	Schrader, Barbara J	Yes
19267	9/14/2011	No	Contor, Jasmine	Yes
19268	9/14/2011	No	Bleecker, Sam	Yes
19269	9/14/2011	No	Russell, Linda	Yes
19270	9/14/2011	No	Lewis, Kris	Yes
19271	9/14/2011	No	Rodrigue, Constance K	Yes
19272	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Kimberly	Yes
19273	9/14/2011	No	Batto, Sarah F	Yes
19274	9/14/2011	No	Minerva, Mary L	Yes
19275	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hourihan, Michael P	Yes
19276	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burke, Bonnie M	Yes
19277	9/14/2011	No	rodriguez, lauren	Yes
19278	9/14/2011	No	Walby, Jackie	Yes
19279	9/14/2011	No	Gerbitz, Gordon J	Yes
19280	9/14/2011	No	Palm, Dr. Paul W. Palm	Yes
19281	9/14/2011	No	Walrack, Evalinda	Yes
19282	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pena, Debra M	Yes
19283	9/14/2011	No	MacVittie, Patrick J	Yes
19284	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hinson, Rodney W	Yes
19285	9/14/2011	No	spar, jon	Yes
19286	9/14/2011	No	Bariana, Ava	Yes
19287	9/14/2011	No	Manke, Michelle	Yes
19288	9/14/2011	No	Vogelman, Diane	Yes
19289	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fisher, William E	Yes
19290	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19291	9/14/2011	No	Labandibar, Susan H	Yes
19292	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Thacker, Cheryl A	Yes
19293	9/14/2011	No	Junck, Larry	Yes
19294	9/14/2011	No	Waller, L	Yes
19295	9/14/2011	No	Nicola, Franco D	Yes
19296	9/14/2011	No	Pather, Ragubathee	Yes

## 0013988

19297	9/14/2011	No	sieper, jean	Yes
19298	9/14/2011	No	Biddle, Cordelia	Yes
19299	9/14/2011	No	RODRIGUEZ, LORI	Yes
19300	9/14/2011	No	Destler, Marge	Yes
19301	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Woolford, Ronald L	Yes
19302	9/14/2011	No	Audette, Jenni	Yes
19303	9/14/2011	No	Whiting, Carolyn R	Yes
19304	9/14/2011	No	DESBECKER, Claire	Yes
19305	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	fairless, caroline s	Yes
19306	9/14/2011	No	Moore, Kathy	Yes
19307	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Greenberg, Brittany	Yes
19308	9/14/2011	No	Hamilton, Adama	Yes
19309	9/14/2011	No	Gray, Lisa E	Yes
19310	9/14/2011	No	Story, VINCENT M	Yes
19311	9/14/2011	No	Robbins, Valerie -	Yes
19312	9/14/2011	No	Korsen, Georgette M	Yes
19313	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Suchy, Daniel	Yes
19314	9/14/2011	No	Alexander, Belinda	Yes
19315	9/14/2011	No	McConkey, James M	Yes
19316	9/14/2011	No	evans-hatton, kathleen m	Yes
19317	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Storar, Emily D	Yes
19318	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	strahinich, Helen C	Yes
19319	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	BOSSERMAN, IAN	Yes
19320	9/14/2011	No	Hendrickson, Alec p	Yes
19321	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Watts, Elizabeth	Yes
19322	9/14/2011	No	Campbell, Norma n	Yes
19323	9/14/2011	No	Johnston, Susan	Yes
19324	9/14/2011	No	Herbst, Tori	Yes
19325	9/14/2011	No	Esson, Genevieve	Yes
19326	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pearce, Justin	Yes
19327	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19328	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Wilson, Debbie	Yes
19329	9/14/2011	No	Dyer, Liz	Yes
19330	9/14/2011	No	Jarvi, Lois	Yes
19331	9/14/2011	No	Moderacki, Mary	Yes
19332	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Higgins, Bruce	Yes
19333	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nadeau, Jeanette A	Yes
19334	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rengers, Edward	Yes
19335	9/14/2011	No	Miedema, Cory A	Yes
19336	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	rossi, ines	Yes
19337	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19338	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19339	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	MacNeil, Elizabeth F	Yes
19340	9/14/2011	No	Escue, Lynne	Yes
19341	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Martin, Fred	Yes
19342	9/14/2011	No	Fifer, Nancy G	Yes
19343	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Maslonka, Teresa	Yes
19344	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19345	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	simmons, g l	Yes
19346	9/14/2011	No	Milano, Karen L	Yes
19347	9/14/2011	No	Wood, Amy	Yes
19348	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Karlovitz, Gerald	Yes
19349	9/14/2011	No	McGrath, Katie	Yes
19350	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19351	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lindstrom, Seann	Yes

0013989

19352	9/14/2011	No	Mansour, Jean V	Yes
19353	9/14/2011	No	Rowe, Sarah C	Yes
19354	9/14/2011	No	O'Connor, Lesley	Yes
19355	9/14/2011	No	Herman, Matt	Yes
19356	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19357	9/14/2011	No	Hamson, Ned	Yes
19358	9/14/2011	No	Garner, Monica	Yes
19359	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Leilani	Yes
19360	9/14/2011	No	Graziosa, Sara	Yes
19361	9/14/2011	No	M, N V	Yes
19362	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Madden, Susanne M	Yes
19363	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19364	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nguyen, Binh	Yes
19365	9/14/2011	No	Charlton, Nancy B	Yes
19366	9/14/2011	No	M.P.H., Avalon B	Yes
19367	9/14/2011	No	Santangelo, Stephen R	Yes
19368	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stewart, Margie	Yes
19369	9/14/2011	No	Tomek, Jamie H	Yes
19370	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Steen, Kari M	Yes
19371	9/14/2011	No	Rogers, Rosemary	Yes
19372	9/14/2011	No	Merlin, Arthur	Yes
19373	9/14/2011	No	Lemmert, Ronald D	Yes
19374	9/14/2011	No	Mathews, Megan	Yes
19375	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Priven, Louis	Yes
19376	9/14/2011	No	Valencia, Gustavo	Yes
19377	9/14/2011	No	Ryling, Kristin E	Yes
19378	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19379	9/14/2011	No	Ramos, Joann	Yes
19380	9/14/2011	No	Shivery, Linda L	Yes
19381	9/14/2011	No	Trengove, Thomas W	Yes
19382	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19383	9/14/2011	No	Lawson, Esther M	Yes
19384	9/14/2011	No	Hamilton, Charles	Yes
19385	9/14/2011	No	Gross, Kim	Yes
19386	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hunt, Jill B	Yes
19387	9/14/2011	No	Gaal, Tiffany	Yes
19388	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pienciak, Sue	Yes
19389	9/14/2011	No	ivory, janine b	Yes
19390	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Franke, Henry T	Yes
19391	9/14/2011	No	Lauenstein, Paul C	Yes
19392	9/14/2011	No	Nuesch, Raymond	Yes
19393	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Betty	Yes
19394	9/14/2011	No	Held, Heather E	Yes
19395	9/14/2011	No	Dempsey, Kathleen	Yes
19396	9/14/2011	No	White, Susan F	Yes
19397	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19398	9/14/2011	No	Morarre, Pam	Yes
19399	9/14/2011	No	reed, kathy	Yes
19400	9/14/2011	No	Zvonik, Kaaren A	Yes
19401	9/14/2011	No	Damrau, Robin	Yes
19402	9/14/2011	No	Bousquet, Bob	Yes
19403	9/14/2011	No	Dombeck, Maureen A	Yes
19404	9/14/2011	No	Philips, Sharon K	Yes
19405	9/14/2011	No	Lyons, Jon	Yes
19406	9/14/2011	No	Rider, Alan I	Yes

## 0013990

19407	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Tom r	Yes
19408	9/14/2011	No	Blain, Richard	Yes
19409	9/14/2011	No	hollis, barbara e	Yes
19410	9/14/2011	No	Hopkins, Melinda	Yes
19411	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dailey, Susan	Yes
19412	9/14/2011	No	Muetz, Percy E	Yes
19413	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Khan, Reema	Yes
19414	9/14/2011	No	McCauley, Susan	Yes
19415	9/14/2011	No	Naeseth, Joan S	Yes
19416	9/14/2011	No	Nims, Sheryl	Yes
19417	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Giffin, Therese	Yes
19418	9/14/2011	No	Contis, Leda	Yes
19419	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Brady, De L	Yes
19420	9/14/2011	No	Yasinitsky, Paul	Yes
19421	9/14/2011	No	Kofler, Roger H	Yes
19422	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Spiegler, Linda	Yes
19423	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lafleur, Donnette K	Yes
19424	9/14/2011	No	Fuller, Marilyn L	Yes
19425	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Junior, Airton M	Yes
19426	9/14/2011	No	Easternparkway, Reynolds Tenazas-norman 41	Yes
19427	9/14/2011	No	McCarthy, Frank J	Yes
19428	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Zelinski, Dawn	Yes
19429	9/14/2011	No	Frazier, Marty	Yes
19430	9/14/2011	No	Provost, Steven	Yes
19431	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19432	9/14/2011	No	Cunningham, Jennifer	Yes
19433	9/14/2011	No	Reynolds, James H	Yes
19434	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Amelia	Yes
19435	9/14/2011	No	Woodward, Deanna	Yes
19436	9/14/2011	No	Vizvary, Deborah	Yes
19437	9/14/2011	No	Kissam, Sandra	Yes
19438	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19439	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McVeigh, Myron	Yes
19440	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Koscielski, Bianca	Yes
19441	9/14/2011	No	Anthony, Anita J	Yes
19442	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Biggane, Michele	Yes
19443	9/14/2011	No	Sipf, Aaron	Yes
19444	9/14/2011	No	III, Donald Clark Noland, III	Yes
19445	9/14/2011	No	Ambler, Susan K	Yes
19446	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Aranibar, Patricia	Yes
19447	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Thomas	Yes
19448	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19449	9/14/2011	No	Tedesco, Greg	Yes
19450	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Aranibar, Patricia	Yes
19451	9/14/2011	No	Rpss, Nancy	Yes
19452	9/14/2011	No	Gooze, Elene	Yes
19453	9/14/2011	No	Pancieria, Jeffrey J	Yes
19454	9/14/2011	No	Phinizy, Alexandra	Yes
19455	9/14/2011	No	Zoah-Henderson, Richard	Yes
19456	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19457	9/14/2011	No	Pannala, Bharat	Yes
19458	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Becker, Carol	Yes
19459	9/14/2011	No	Patterson, Amy R	Yes
19460	9/14/2011	No	pawlowski, matt	Yes

## 0013991

19461	9/14/2011	No	Orcholski, Gerald	Yes
19462	9/14/2011	No	Newton, Alissa W	Yes
19463	9/14/2011	No	M., Betty	Yes
19464	9/14/2011	No	Fitzgerald, Macleod N	Yes
19465	9/14/2011	No	Guillermo, Maria E	Yes
19466	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Mary	Yes
19467	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Murdock, Lauren	Yes
19468	9/14/2011	No	Potter, Laurie	Yes
19469	9/14/2011	No	Mansbridge, Beth	Yes
19470	9/14/2011	No	coffey, gerry	Yes
19471	9/14/2011	No	Nelson, Victoria	Yes
19472	9/14/2011	No	Lilly, Evelyn M	Yes
19473	9/14/2011	No	kIRBY, mARY	Yes
19474	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Saeed, Nabeel	Yes
19475	9/14/2011	No	dix, jessica n	Yes
19476	9/14/2011	No	Vorachek, Mary A	Yes
19477	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Tina M	Yes
19478	9/14/2011	No	adams, carol	Yes
19479	9/14/2011	No	lewis, j	Yes
19480	9/14/2011	No	Dinsmore, Nancy	Yes
19481	9/14/2011	No	Nealon, Rosie	Yes
19482	9/14/2011	No	Clark, David F	Yes
19483	9/14/2011	No	Cowart, Rebecca T	Yes
19484	9/14/2011	No	Grimm, Barton	Yes
19485	9/14/2011	No	CHmielewski, Tom	Yes
19486	9/14/2011	No	Lepage, Colette D	Yes
19487	9/14/2011	No	Hyning, Lolly V	Yes
19488	9/14/2011	No	Sherwin, Boyce	Yes
19489	9/14/2011	No	Avery, Amanda L	Yes
19490	9/14/2011	No	Barris, Elizabeth	Yes
19491	9/14/2011	No	Holtzman, Dorothy	Yes
19492	9/14/2011	No	Nelson, Joy S	Yes
19493	9/14/2011	No	Carrier, Betty L	Yes
19494	9/14/2011	No	Bonnet, Debbie	Yes
19495	9/14/2011	No	Balder, Wendy	Yes
19496	9/14/2011	No	Massey, Irma G	Yes
19497	9/14/2011	No	Berkley, Mary A	Yes
19498	9/14/2011	No	Seaton, Howard P	Yes
19499	9/14/2011	No	Pheral, Teresa L	Yes
19500	9/14/2011	No	Lamb, Nancy	Yes
19501	9/14/2011	No	Iu, Carol	Yes
19502	9/14/2011	No	Nelson, William L	Yes
19503	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19504	9/14/2011	No	Ulrich, Albert J	Yes
19505	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jackson-Miller, Marie-Louise	Yes
19506	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lippner, Linda	Yes
19507	9/14/2011	No	Franklin, Audrey	Yes
19508	9/14/2011	No	harrison, M I	Yes
19509	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Boyer, David J	Yes
19510	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19511	9/14/2011	No	Goodwin, A.R.	Yes
19512	9/14/2011	No	Lightowler-Buck, Lynne	Yes
19513	9/14/2011	No	OGBORN, KEVIN D	Yes
19514	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Land, Karen	Yes
19515	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jones, Scott	Yes



## 0013992

19516	9/14/2011	No	Parker, Jacqueline Y	Yes
19517	9/14/2011	No	Fabbri, Leigh	Yes
19518	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tays, Shawn	Yes
19519	9/14/2011	No	braswell, karen b	Yes
19520	9/14/2011	No	Douglas, Lisa	Yes
19521	9/14/2011	No	Sheppard, Margaret C	Yes
19522	9/14/2011	No	Osburn, Gregory D	Yes
19523	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, David R	Yes
19524	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tooley, Claudette K	Yes
19525	9/14/2011	No	hildreth, c.	Yes
19526	9/14/2011	No	Solano, Anthony	Yes
19527	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nolta, Louise	Yes
19528	9/14/2011	No	Owen, Delores A	Yes
19529	9/14/2011	No	Howell, Jennifer L	Yes
19530	9/14/2011	No	Vaccaro, Anthony / Marie-	Yes
19531	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Marks, Michael C. Ford and	Yes
19532	9/14/2011	No	Chapman, Gary L	Yes
19533	9/14/2011	No	Stevens, L	Yes
19534	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19535	9/14/2011	No	Stine, Vanessa	Yes
19536	9/14/2011	No	Marler, Laura A	Yes
19537	9/14/2011	No	Reiter, Marcia E	Yes
19538	9/14/2011	No	richmond, Ionna	Yes
19539	9/14/2011	No	Seaman, Barbara A	Yes
19540	9/14/2011	No	Steinke, Kris	Yes
19541	9/14/2011	No	Pascual, Pat	Yes
19542	9/14/2011	No	Rilling, Gerald	Yes
19543	9/14/2011	No	Stetler, David	Yes
19544	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fabing, Keith F	Yes
19545	9/14/2011	No	Kelly, Ann	Yes
19546	9/14/2011	No	Stone-Meyer, Virginia	Yes
19547	9/14/2011	No	Crenshaw, Clifford W	Yes
19548	9/14/2011	No	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
19549	9/14/2011	No	dolbear, robin e	Yes
19550	9/14/2011	No	Gooze, Catherine	Yes
19551	9/14/2011	No	Ward, Virginia F	Yes
19552	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Giannetto, Michael J	Yes
19553	9/14/2011	No	Gerken, Dora V	Yes
19554	9/14/2011	No	Campanini, Thomas	Yes
19555	9/14/2011	No	Johanson, Winifred	Yes
19556	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Johnson, Yvonne M	Yes
19557	9/14/2011	No	Feldman, Tracy S	Yes
19558	9/14/2011	No	suskie, denise I	Yes
19559	9/14/2011	No	Landau, Doug	Yes
19560	9/14/2011	No	Llongueras, Angelina	Yes
19561	9/14/2011	No	Miller, A.	Yes
19562	9/14/2011	No	Stetzler, Lorene	Yes
19563	9/14/2011	No	Chapman, Susan P	Yes
19564	9/14/2011	No	Kiefer, Laura d	Yes
19565	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Matthews, Patrice S	Yes
19566	9/14/2011	No	Hopkins, Stan T	Yes
19567	9/14/2011	No	sands, abby	Yes
19568	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Grmoja, Ivana	Yes
19569	9/14/2011	No	Bloom, Scott R	Yes
19570	9/14/2011	No	Hahn, Virginia	Yes

0013993

19571	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19572	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Syverson, Janelle M	Yes
19573	9/14/2011	No	Heithaus, Patricia A	Yes
19574	9/14/2011	No	Alberhasky, Craig A	Yes
19575	9/14/2011	No	Rogers, Carol A	Yes
19576	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hundt, Heather	Yes
19577	9/14/2011	No	Ferguson, Roselani O	Yes
19578	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gore, Usha	Yes
19579	9/14/2011	No	apple, jacki	Yes
19580	9/14/2011	No	Lozar, Paula	Yes
19581	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jasper, Alan	Yes
19582	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kjar, Brenden	Yes
19583	9/14/2011	No	Boyer, Rae L	Yes
19584	9/14/2011	No	Cooksey, Keith E	Yes
19585	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19586	9/14/2011	No	Sall, Gloria A	Yes
19587	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Carol L	Yes
19588	9/14/2011	No	senn, edward c	Yes
19589	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Le, Luan V	Yes
19590	9/14/2011	No	Scheidle, Diane L	Yes
19591	9/14/2011	No	eckert, angela g	Yes
19592	9/14/2011	No	Yeh, John B	Yes
19593	9/14/2011	No	Donaldson, Ainsley G	Yes
19594	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19595	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bautista, Melvin F	Yes
19596	9/14/2011	No	Strom, Mary-Alice	Yes
19597	9/14/2011	No	Caton, Barbara	Yes
19598	9/14/2011	No	Fromm, Shelley	Yes
19599	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	fanelly, kim b	Yes
19600	9/14/2011	No	Milstein, Karen	Yes
19601	9/14/2011	No	Friday, Daniel M	Yes
19602	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Broz, Barbara	Yes
19603	9/14/2011	No	Clampitt, Ruth	Yes
19604	9/14/2011	No	Shepley, Michael J	Yes
19605	9/14/2011	No	King, Jeanette A	Yes
19606	9/14/2011	No	Timpany, Joan	Yes
19607	9/14/2011	No	Gallo, Adrianna	Yes
19608	9/14/2011	No	weinstock, jerry and donna jay	Yes
19609	9/14/2011	No	McMath, Cynthia W	Yes
19610	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sirk, Katie	Yes
19611	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bertaccini, Megan	Yes
19612	9/14/2011	No	Toor, Manmeet	Yes
19613	9/14/2011	No	Spokony, Irving B	Yes
19614	9/14/2011	No	Spratley, Richard	Yes
19615	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lemerle, Nelly	Yes
19616	9/14/2011	No	Krell, Thoomas M	Yes
19617	9/14/2011	No	biggs, susan	Yes
19618	9/14/2011	No	Adams, Gordon	Yes
19619	9/14/2011	No	Quesnel, Darcey R	Yes
19620	9/14/2011	No	strasheim, wendy	Yes
19621	9/14/2011	No	Zweiback, Elizabeth	Yes
19622	9/14/2011	No	Rios, Jen	Yes
19623	9/14/2011	No	Costello, Carol	Yes
19624	9/14/2011	No	Baker, Maureen	Yes
19625	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Ricks, Sallie W	Yes

## 0013994

19626	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Reisinger, Jay R	Yes
19627	9/14/2011	No	Ebersold, Deborah	Yes
19628	9/14/2011	No	Chu, J	Yes
19629	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, T A	Yes
19630	9/14/2011	No	Coste, Pstricia D	Yes
19631	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Catanzaro, Christopher J	Yes
19632	9/14/2011	No	Pearson, Jen	Yes
19633	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Raider, Philip	Yes
19634	9/14/2011	No	woodard, stephen j	Yes
19635	9/14/2011	No	Cox, Sian	Yes
19636	9/14/2011	No	Seeman, Carolyn	Yes
19637	9/14/2011	No	Estel, Karen	Yes
19638	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Kathy D	Yes
19639	9/14/2011	No	Lewis, Taryn	Yes
19640	9/14/2011	No	Lemoine, Kathryn K	Yes
19641	9/14/2011	No	Ramsey, Erik	Yes
19642	9/14/2011	No	Noto, Kate A	Yes
19643	9/14/2011	No	Hurst, Laura L	Yes
19644	9/14/2011	No	Harrison, Jeane	Yes
19645	9/14/2011	No	STOOLZ, JIM L	Yes
19646	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ward, Brandy	Yes
19647	9/14/2011	No	DeBos, Joyce M	Yes
19648	9/14/2011	No	Schneider, Judith	Yes
19649	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frisco, Christine	Yes
19650	9/14/2011	No	Worman, Charles G	Yes
19651	9/14/2011	No	Shaffer, Steve	Yes
19652	9/14/2011	No	Patty, Kate	Yes
19653	9/14/2011	No	Glassman, Tressa L	Yes
19654	9/14/2011	No	gerber, roberta l	Yes
19655	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Foot, Susie & Jimmy Foot	Yes
19656	9/14/2011	No	lyons, stephen	Yes
19657	9/14/2011	No	Gage, Jennifer	Yes
19658	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Saunders, Mariyn	Yes
19659	9/14/2011	No	McDonough, Lucy M	Yes
19660	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Rebecca A	Yes
19661	9/14/2011	No	Swygert-Smith, Regina	Yes
19662	9/14/2011	No	Gayler, Elaine S	Yes
19663	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19664	9/14/2011	No	Castiano, Judith A	Yes
19665	9/14/2011	No	Hoff, Val	Yes
19666	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stabler, Jessica	Yes
19667	9/14/2011	No	Lund, Nancy	Yes
19668	9/14/2011	No	Mantas, Nicholas	Yes
19669	9/14/2011	No	Payton, Fay	Yes
19670	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schultz, Peter F	Yes
19671	9/14/2011	No	BATTY, DAVID H	Yes
19672	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Zimmerman, Susan	Yes
19673	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoon, Danielle	Yes
19674	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19675	9/14/2011	No	Lyons, Michele E	Yes
19676	9/14/2011	No	Hanlon, Steve J	Yes
19677	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mariagrazia, Furlan	Yes
19678	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Katie D	Yes
19679	9/14/2011	No	Przyjemski, Anne	Yes
19680	9/14/2011	No	Angrist, Dorothy Z	Yes

## 0013995

19681	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schauffler-Vircsik, Jennifer	Yes
19682	9/14/2011	No	Lepthien, Theresa K	Yes
19683	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dossenback, Karyn L	Yes
19684	9/14/2011	No	Ziller-Caritey, Barbara	Yes
19685	9/14/2011	No	Nickum, Richard G	Yes
19686	9/14/2011	No	Findlay, Sharon A	Yes
19687	9/14/2011	No	Spiering, Nicole	Yes
19688	9/14/2011	No	Guerrero, Peter	Yes
19689	9/14/2011	No	foley, kathy m	Yes
19690	9/14/2011	No	Leduc, Suzanne M	Yes
19691	9/14/2011	No	marble, linda	Yes
19692	9/14/2011	No	McLain, linda	Yes
19693	9/14/2011	No	O'Connor, Patty	Yes
19694	9/14/2011	No	Wieser, Anne O	Yes
19695	9/14/2011	No	Hassett, Ellen J	Yes
19696	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kawalkowski, Pawel	Yes
19697	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kleber, Tracey L	Yes
19698	9/14/2011	No	streppa, art	Yes
19699	9/14/2011	No	Register, Shannon R	Yes
19700	9/14/2011	No	Bresilge, Heidi c	Yes
19701	9/14/2011	No	Redington, Caroline F	Yes
19702	9/14/2011	No	Thompson, Sue	Yes
19703	9/14/2011	No	Nord, Cynthia M	Yes
19704	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19705	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Simmons, Jennifer E	Yes
19706	9/14/2011	No	Stewart, Cindy J	Yes
19707	9/14/2011	No	Gasco, Christine J	Yes
19708	9/14/2011	No	Bauer, Becky	Yes
19709	9/14/2011	No	Brown, James M	Yes
19710	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19711	9/14/2011	No	Elman, Mark	Yes
19712	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Woodcock, Russell	Yes
19713	9/14/2011	No	Raebeck, Wendy	Yes
19714	9/14/2011	No	craig, kim p	Yes
19715	9/14/2011	No	Duryea, Nancy	Yes
19716	9/14/2011	No	RossFrye, Ian	Yes
19717	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sutera, Michael F	Yes
19718	9/14/2011	No	LeVay, Kianna	Yes
19719	9/14/2011	No	Young, Tammy A	Yes
19720	9/14/2011	No	WosnumHamlett, Sue E	Yes
19721	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Patalan, Jim	Yes
19722	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelly, Alice	Yes
19723	9/14/2011	No	Olson, Lee	Yes
19724	9/14/2011	No	Spensley, Brenda	Yes
19725	9/14/2011	No	OZ, KJ	Yes
19726	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sherrill, T	Yes
19727	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Montalbano, Chris	Yes
19728	9/14/2011	No	snyder, april j	Yes
19729	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stark, Amanda P	Yes
19730	9/14/2011	No	West, Derek R	Yes
19731	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gadway, Charles R	Yes
19732	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Collins, Elizabeth T	Yes
19733	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Scuder, Amanda	Yes
19734	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Broughton, Janet	Yes
19735	9/14/2011	No	Pylypowycz, Christine	Yes

## 0013996

19736	9/14/2011	No	Marsh, Danielle L	Yes
19737	9/14/2011	No	Waldeck, Nikki r	Yes
19738	9/14/2011	No	Haskin, Merla L	Yes
19739	9/14/2011	No	Ross-Miller, Lisa	Yes
19740	9/14/2011	No	S., Richard	Yes
19741	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19742	9/14/2011	No	Michaelis, Mary	Yes
19743	9/14/2011	No	anderson, laura e	Yes
19744	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hodes, Harold T	Yes
19745	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rough, Anna	Yes
19746	9/14/2011	No	Graves, Vivienne	Yes
19747	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tanner, Gail A	Yes
19748	9/14/2011	No	Chase, Felicia	Yes
19749	9/14/2011	No	Franceschi, Jean C Charles	Yes
19750	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Parks, Louisa	Yes
19751	9/14/2011	No	Jozwiak, Jessica A	Yes
19752	9/14/2011	No	Fowler-Kobylewski, Lisa B	Yes
19753	9/14/2011	No	Jason, Eric	Yes
19754	9/14/2011	No	Hall, Jenn	Yes
19755	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	sall, frederick w	Yes
19756	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Maizel, Joshua B	Yes
19757	9/14/2011	No	Beacham, Lynette	Yes
19758	9/14/2011	No	Haff, Harry	Yes
19759	9/14/2011	No	Caira, Maria J	Yes
19760	9/14/2011	No	Ellis, Sarah	Yes
19761	9/14/2011	No	Klatt, Emily L	Yes
19762	9/14/2011	No	Gakeler, Debra A	Yes
19763	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cannon, Stacey O	Yes
19764	9/14/2011	No	craig, lillian a	Yes
19765	9/14/2011	No	Shore, S	Yes
19766	9/14/2011	No	Gates, Jane K	Yes
19767	9/14/2011	No	Kelley, Ron D	Yes
19768	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jensen, Catherine	Yes
19769	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Menard, Brenda	Yes
19770	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Lisi	Yes
19771	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19772	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19773	9/14/2011	No	vatcha, Naheed	Yes
19774	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Neuhauser, Alice	Yes
19775	9/14/2011	No	Votta, Nicole	Yes
19776	9/14/2011	No	Cedillos, Marnie	Yes
19777	9/14/2011	No	Cox, Carolyn P	Yes
19778	9/14/2011	No	E., GRACE	Yes
19779	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Conroy, Thomas	Yes
19780	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19781	9/14/2011	No	Callegari, Debra A	Yes
19782	9/14/2011	No	vershum, judy a	Yes
19783	9/14/2011	No	J, Robert	Yes
19784	9/14/2011	No	Christ, Cathie M	Yes
19785	9/14/2011	No	Kauffman, Kathy	Yes
19786	9/14/2011	No	nylen, e	Yes
19787	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Jonathan D	Yes
19788	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19789	9/14/2011	No	Stott, Judith E	Yes
19790	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Garcia, Susan	Yes

0013997

19791	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Moreira, Nancy	Yes
19792	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	zuckerman, carol d	Yes
19793	9/14/2011	No	sant, sandy s van sant	Yes
19794	9/14/2011	No	Julian, Lesley T	Yes
19795	9/14/2011	No	Dalgliesh, Alison G	Yes
19796	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Godfrey, Will	Yes
19797	9/14/2011	No	Deboes, stephanie	Yes
19798	9/14/2011	No	taylor, steven h	Yes
19799	9/14/2011	No	aschwanden, mary l	Yes
19800	9/14/2011	No	Odani, Monica	Yes
19801	9/14/2011	No	Blasdell, Prudence	Yes
19802	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mummery, Donna B	Yes
19803	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19804	9/14/2011	No	Gilbert, Maryanne T	Yes
19805	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Guran, D.	Yes
19806	9/14/2011	No	Peterson, William B	Yes
19807	9/14/2011	No	albright, ned	Yes
19808	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Eaton, Jeannette A	Yes
19809	9/14/2011	No	Ayers, Cathi	Yes
19810	9/14/2011	No	Espino, Linda	Yes
19811	9/14/2011	No	Joos, Sandra K	Yes
19812	9/14/2011	No	essman, barbara c	Yes
19813	9/14/2011	No	Metcalf, C.	Yes
19814	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hargraves, Mark E	Yes
19815	9/14/2011	No	JD, THAD DAVID SOLLOWAY JD	Yes
19816	9/14/2011	No	Chase, Felicia	Yes
19817	9/14/2011	No	Nolan, Chloe K	Yes
19818	9/14/2011	No	Nikides, Joanne	Yes
19819	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Battersby, Robert	Yes
19820	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19821	9/14/2011	No	Miller, Carol L	Yes
19822	9/14/2011	No	Clinton, Pamela D	Yes
19823	9/14/2011	No	Carlile, NJ	Yes
19824	9/14/2011	No	gray, lynn	Yes
19825	9/14/2011	No	Mckenzie, Danielle	Yes
19826	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19827	9/14/2011	No	Greathouse, Rebecca J	Yes
19828	9/14/2011	No	Young, Fran	Yes
19829	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Appleman, Luisa	Yes
19830	9/14/2011	No	Ciresi, Sandra	Yes
19831	9/14/2011	No	Leopold, Estella B	Yes
19832	9/14/2011	No	Belau, Carol	Yes
19833	9/14/2011	No	Brockman, Bryan D	Yes
19834	9/14/2011	No	STANTON, LONNIE	Yes
19835	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burtnett, Frank	Yes
19836	9/14/2011	No	Goodrich, D'Arcy	Yes
19837	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Leavens, Pamela	Yes
19838	9/14/2011	No	Marco, Lesley S	Yes
19839	9/14/2011	No	Crawford, Lisa	Yes
19840	9/14/2011	No	Morris, Linda Morris Kathleen	Yes
19841	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19842	9/14/2011	No	Davenport, Mary B	Yes
19843	9/14/2011	No	Wakefield, Marie	Yes
19844	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19845	9/14/2011	No	Hazlett, Yuriko	Yes

0013998

19846	9/14/2011	No	Kirks, James H	Yes
19847	9/14/2011	No	Griffith, Gina D	Yes
19848	9/14/2011	No	Scott, Barbara A	Yes
19849	9/14/2011	No	canine, Dreyfus d	Yes
19850	9/14/2011	No	vuchinich, maria a	Yes
19851	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Goynes, Beverlee	Yes
19852	9/14/2011	No	Mauroff, James R	Yes
19853	9/14/2011	No	Beckham, Marie P	Yes
19854	9/14/2011	No	Reid, Donna	Yes
19855	9/14/2011	No	Meister, Mike	Yes
19856	9/14/2011	No	Riva, Tracy M	Yes
19857	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19858	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McGinnis, Martha S	Yes
19859	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19860	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Menke, Renee L	Yes
19861	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Arnold, David	Yes
19862	9/14/2011	No	Lamb, Bernie G	Yes
19863	9/14/2011	No	Bugliarelli, Diane	Yes
19864	9/14/2011	No	Canter, m.	Yes
19865	9/14/2011	No	Lawrence, Roberta	Yes
19866	9/14/2011	No	Angotti, Donna L	Yes
19867	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Woon, Mark	Yes
19868	9/14/2011	No	Ruiz, Sylvia	Yes
19869	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19870	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bates, Jennifer	Yes
19871	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dufort, Cathryn J	Yes
19872	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19873	9/14/2011	No	Hermann, Birgit	Yes
19874	9/14/2011	No	Sonson, Susan L	Yes
19875	9/14/2011	No	Kosek, Shirley	Yes
19876	9/14/2011	No	loewen, robert w	Yes
19877	9/14/2011	No	Johnston, Ruth E	Yes
19878	9/14/2011	No	Struse, Amanda	Yes
19879	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19880	9/14/2011	No	Bucher, Donna M	Yes
19881	9/14/2011	No	att, c s	Yes
19882	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Katherine D	Yes
19883	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Watene, Veronica E	Yes
19884	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McCorry, Eileen M	Yes
19885	9/14/2011	No	Brooker, Gary	Yes
19886	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19887	9/14/2011	No	Jr., Albert R. Utzig, Jr.	Yes
19888	9/14/2011	No	Keilman, Diane M	Yes
19889	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19890	9/14/2011	No	Pitchford, jayne m	Yes
19891	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19892	9/14/2011	No	Jacobsen, Kathleen	Yes
19893	9/14/2011	No	ready, caroline	Yes
19894	9/14/2011	No	Regli, Marabella	Yes
19895	9/14/2011	No	Dorfman, ellen J	Yes
19896	9/14/2011	No	SECREST, SUMMER -	Yes
19897	9/14/2011	No	Gedrim, Ronald J	Yes
19898	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19899	9/14/2011	No	Boylan, Kat	Yes
19900	9/14/2011	No	Thelander, Donna	Yes

## 0013999

19901	9/14/2011	No	fall, will	Yes
19902	9/14/2011	No	Collette, sharon p	Yes
19903	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19904	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19905	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19906	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lansing, Jim	Yes
19907	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Leutz, Troy L	Yes
19908	9/14/2011	No	Klose, Joanna L	Yes
19909	9/14/2011	No	Pate, Jessica	Yes
19910	9/14/2011	No	Havens, Marjorie	Yes
19911	9/14/2011	No	Fischl, Marjorie P	Yes
19912	9/14/2011	No	Jirge, Rosalyn J	Yes
19913	9/14/2011	No	Mayer, Heidi	Yes
19914	9/14/2011	No	Benton, Vivienne	Yes
19915	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19916	9/14/2011	No	Kinder, Kenneth	Yes
19917	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19918	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Trobridge, Tracy	Yes
19919	9/14/2011	No	Cordingley, Magda joy Palmer	Yes
19920	9/14/2011	No	Artin, Thomas	Yes
19921	9/14/2011	No	Tery, Eva M	Yes
19922	9/14/2011	No	canejo, theresa m	Yes
19923	9/14/2011	No	Allen, Robert C	Yes
19924	9/14/2011	No	Cumberledge, Patrick C	Yes
19925	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19926	9/14/2011	No	Reynolds, Kathleen A	Yes
19927	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Chaine, brenda	Yes
19928	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19929	9/14/2011	No	Rutherford, Richard	Yes
19930	9/14/2011	No	Dewey, Arwen K	Yes
19931	9/14/2011	No	Hilliard, James R	Yes
19932	9/14/2011	No	Catone, Adrienne	Yes
19933	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19934	9/14/2011	No	Baiocchi, Sharon	Yes
19935	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19936	9/14/2011	No	Tanner, Donna	Yes
19937	9/14/2011	No	Popixk, Rosalie C	Yes
19938	9/14/2011	No	Stanton, Liana	Yes
19939	9/14/2011	No	alpert, buzz	Yes
19940	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	GIRODAY, FRANCOIS DE LA	Yes
19941	9/14/2011	No	Jayson, Patricia I	Yes
19942	9/14/2011	No	Wiley, Diana	Yes
19943	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Susan G	Yes
19944	9/14/2011	No	Mosca-Clark, Vivianne G	Yes
19945	9/14/2011	No	Riddle, John D	Yes
19946	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Shalitta, Maryann	Yes
19947	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hertz, Marcia	Yes
19948	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Mary	Yes
19949	9/14/2011	No	Schmitt, Deborha	Yes
19950	9/14/2011	No	crafton, nancy	Yes
19951	9/14/2011	No	Steinert, Kathy B	Yes
19952	9/14/2011	No	Reynolds, Ruth	Yes
19953	9/14/2011	No	Vipond, Mathew	Yes
19954	9/14/2011	No	Reed, Brandon	Yes
19955	9/14/2011	No	Reich, Joshua	Yes



## 0014000

19956	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Rebecca	Yes
19957	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Matheny, Jenna N	Yes
19958	9/14/2011	No	Dykhuis, Shirley A	Yes
19959	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Deborah H	Yes
19960	9/14/2011	No	Poole, H M	Yes
19961	9/14/2011	No	Campbell, Marilyn	Yes
19962	9/14/2011	No	JD, John D Coffey JD	Yes
19963	9/14/2011	No	Taylor, Deborah	Yes
19964	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19965	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	rickenbach, deb	Yes
19966	9/14/2011	No	Lenat, Dale L	Yes
19967	9/14/2011	No	Sheldon, Dr D	Yes
19968	9/14/2011	No	Stakes, Jennifer M	Yes
19969	9/14/2011	No	Edmonston, Pandora	Yes
19970	9/14/2011	No	Schwartz, Elizabeth	Yes
19971	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Neuwirth, Marianne	Yes
19972	9/14/2011	No	G., Walter	Yes
19973	9/14/2011	No	Frost, Bill C	Yes
19974	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Levario, Kari L	Yes
19975	9/14/2011	No	Bailey, Ann M	Yes
19976	9/14/2011	No	Elliott, Lynne	Yes
19977	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gillanders, J. D	Yes
19978	9/14/2011	No	Clark, Martina	Yes
19979	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
19980	9/14/2011	No	Hanson, Charlotte A	Yes
19981	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
19982	9/14/2011	No	Gale, Daryl B	Yes
19983	9/14/2011	No	Krohngold, Joan M	Yes
19984	9/14/2011	No	MacInnis, Melinda	Yes
19985	9/14/2011	No	arbour, carole	Yes
19986	9/14/2011	No	Boehl, Ingrid F	Yes
19987	9/14/2011	No	Elmore, Mary C	Yes
19988	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Soto, Merian	Yes
19989	9/14/2011	No	Jenkins, Linda S	Yes
19990	9/14/2011	No	darby, jessica L	Yes
19991	9/14/2011	No	Paquet, Rebecca	Yes
19992	9/14/2011	No	Luneau, Anni E	Yes
19993	9/14/2011	No	Potter, Arlyn R	Yes
19994	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Guicheteau, Lauren C	Yes
19995	9/14/2011	No	cotter, alexandra j	Yes
19996	9/14/2011	No	Hilliard, Misty D	Yes
19997	9/14/2011	No	Balan, David E	Yes
19998	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frey, Lisa J	Yes
19999	9/14/2011	No	mittchell, charles g	Yes
20000	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Popoff, Kathy	Yes
20001	9/14/2011	No	Simpkins, H.	Yes
20002	9/14/2011	No	Geiger, Craig J	Yes
20003	9/14/2011	No	Jackson, Deborah D	Yes
20004	9/14/2011	No	Cowart, Mary	Yes
20005	9/14/2011	No	smith, florence l	Yes
20006	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20007	9/14/2011	No	Martin, Kathleen	Yes
20008	9/14/2011	No	dinkins, marlene	Yes
20009	9/14/2011	No	Hirsch, Robin M	Yes
20010	9/14/2011	No	Dunn, Kristi	Yes

## 0014001

20011	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20012	9/14/2011	No	Barrett, Keiko	Yes
20013	9/14/2011	No	Burger, Amanda M	Yes
20014	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Graham, Lance	Yes
20015	9/14/2011	No	Simon, Gary L	Yes
20016	9/14/2011	No	Ellis, Robert T	Yes
20017	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hall, Marilyn D	Yes
20018	9/14/2011	No	Lukasiewicz, Michelle L	Yes
20019	9/14/2011	No	Caswell, Aimee B	Yes
20020	9/14/2011	No	Wenzer, Kenneth	Yes
20021	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	peirce, Susan	Yes
20022	9/14/2011	No	Paterson, Valerie J	Yes
20023	9/14/2011	No	Armstrong, Stephan	Yes
20024	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cooper, Marcia	Yes
20025	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20026	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Powanda, Kim	Yes
20027	9/14/2011	No	Crawford, Kim	Yes
20028	9/14/2011	No	ODONNELL, ANNE	Yes
20029	9/14/2011	No	Schutt, Courtney E	Yes
20030	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20031	9/14/2011	No	Murray, Polly A	Yes
20032	9/14/2011	No	Sylvester, Daniel	Yes
20033	9/14/2011	No	Farris, Mary E	Yes
20034	9/14/2011	No	Wilson, Jacob	Yes
20035	9/14/2011	No	Foulds, Bonnie	Yes
20036	9/14/2011	No	Schapa, Eleanor	Yes
20037	9/14/2011	No	Comstock, Ginger	Yes
20038	9/14/2011	No	A, Patricia	Yes
20039	9/14/2011	No	Kennedy, Lynn	Yes
20040	9/14/2011	No	Scott, Walter C	Yes
20041	9/14/2011	No	Remsen, Petra	Yes
20042	9/14/2011	No	McElheny, Barbara L	Yes
20043	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Feokhari, Anton	Yes
20044	9/14/2011	No	Fabitz, Carol	Yes
20045	9/14/2011	No	Gould, Yvette L	Yes
20046	9/14/2011	No	attanasio, evelyn	Yes
20047	9/14/2011	No	French, Carl E	Yes
20048	9/14/2011	No	Hoyle, Jana P	Yes
20049	9/14/2011	No	Sanza, Joseph Carmine Di	Yes
20050	9/14/2011	No	Siegel, Ann	Yes
20051	9/14/2011	No	Franks, Faith	Yes
20052	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20053	9/14/2011	No	J, Phillip	Yes
20054	9/14/2011	No	Levinson, Ellis	Yes
20055	9/14/2011	No	Keowen-cox, Ellen c	Yes
20056	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20057	9/14/2011	No	Finch, kathleen A	Yes
20058	9/14/2011	No	newkirk, reita m	Yes
20059	9/14/2011	No	Renkel, Jamie W	Yes
20060	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schneider, Cathie M	Yes
20061	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20062	9/14/2011	No	Townsend, Phil	Yes
20063	9/14/2011	No	Cottle, Susan W	Yes
20064	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	DuPre, Lisa	Yes
20065	9/14/2011	No	Morey, Kathleen	Yes

## 0014002

20066	9/14/2011	No	Rizer, William D	Yes
20067	9/14/2011	No	Finnerty, Kathleen A	Yes
20068	9/14/2011	No	Block, Theo Heart Paulsell	Yes
20069	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mora, Rey	Yes
20070	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Carlson, Rita S	Yes
20071	9/14/2011	No	Wilson, Kay	Yes
20072	9/14/2011	No	thomas, eleanor	Yes
20073	9/14/2011	No	Summers, Beverly A	Yes
20074	9/14/2011	No	Whitcomb, Matthew S	Yes
20075	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Saltzman, Susan C	Yes
20076	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Ann S	Yes
20077	9/14/2011	No	Crider, Nancy	Yes
20078	9/14/2011	No	Harris, Shirley A	Yes
20079	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20080	9/14/2011	No	Gheta, Peggy	Yes
20081	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20082	9/14/2011	No	Sutton, Judy	Yes
20083	9/14/2011	No	Clerget, Julianne	Yes
20084	9/14/2011	No	Zwigoff, Terry E	Yes
20085	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Edwards, Eric	Yes
20086	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	SMITH, JANELL	Yes
20087	9/14/2011	No	Hilliard, James R	Yes
20088	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20089	9/14/2011	No	Tabb, Linda	Yes
20090	9/14/2011	No	Ullmer, Beth L	Yes
20091	9/14/2011	No	Anders, Constance	Yes
20092	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20093	9/14/2011	No	colerich, edward	Yes
20094	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20095	9/14/2011	No	Bloom, Richard	Yes
20096	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20097	9/14/2011	No	Friedland, sheldon	Yes
20098	9/14/2011	No	Drake, Misty	Yes
20099	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lowry, Marsha	Yes
20100	9/14/2011	No	smuth, roger j	Yes
20101	9/14/2011	No	Gerhard, Delia	Yes
20102	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fosburgh, Eric	Yes
20103	9/14/2011	No	Vassallo, Michelle	Yes
20104	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kinsman, Chelsea M	Yes
20105	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	hoang, xuandai	Yes
20106	9/14/2011	No	Mackenzie, Keeley T	Yes
20107	9/14/2011	No	Majlinger, Susanna	Yes
20108	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tomlinson, Linda R	Yes
20109	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Joslin, Karen	Yes
20110	9/14/2011	No	Newman, John F	Yes
20111	9/14/2011	No	Norwood, Judith N	Yes
20112	9/14/2011	No	Ridings, Judy N	Yes
20113	9/14/2011	No	Shliselberg, Aviva	Yes
20114	9/14/2011	No	Stadler, Loretta	Yes
20115	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20116	9/14/2011	No	Stanley, Edh	Yes
20117	9/14/2011	No	Janecki, Jessica	Yes
20118	9/14/2011	No	Renner, Bart	Yes
20119	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Escamilla, John	Yes
20120	9/14/2011	No	Dalferes, Gail A	Yes

## 0014003

20121	9/14/2011	No	Clark-McKitrick, Blythe	Yes
20122	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hargrove, Chris	Yes
20123	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jeffries, Lynne	Yes
20124	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20125	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Robnett, Christie J	Yes
20126	9/14/2011	No	Armstrong, Thomas J	Yes
20127	9/14/2011	No	Mink, Daniel G	Yes
20128	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	nicole.monforti@yahoo.com,	Yes
20129	9/14/2011	No	Jacobs, Lani	Yes
20130	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rider, Deb	Yes
20131	9/14/2011	No	Cassidy, Leslie A	Yes
20132	9/14/2011	No	Bird, Ann	Yes
20133	9/14/2011	No	Dressel, Janet L	Yes
20134	9/14/2011	No	Baldwin, Marilyn	Yes
20135	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20136	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	McCool, Wendy L	Yes
20137	9/14/2011	No	Lee, Chiyoung	Yes
20138	9/14/2011	No	wemigwans, terri l	Yes
20139	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20140	9/14/2011	No	Garnant, Gregory	Yes
20141	9/14/2011	No	SALUS, nancy a	Yes
20142	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Waldman, Elise V	Yes
20143	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Blaylock, Dawnell	Yes
20144	9/14/2011	No	Hood, Paula E	Yes
20145	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Armstrong, Paul	Yes
20146	9/14/2011	No	Capaccione, Jerilyn R	Yes
20147	9/14/2011	No	little, robyn	Yes
20148	9/14/2011	No	Kerr, Zachary A	Yes
20149	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kendall, Donna	Yes
20150	9/14/2011	No	Link, Virgene	Yes
20151	9/14/2011	No	Byrne, Anthony T	Yes
20152	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Vonwettberg, Eric J	Yes
20153	9/14/2011	No	Giammarco, Karen	Yes
20154	9/14/2011	No	Levine, Rebecca	Yes
20155	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ashton, Chris	Yes
20156	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kamm-Cohen, Julia	Yes
20157	9/14/2011	No	JOHN, LEE S	Yes
20158	9/14/2011	No	McNairn, Bonnie	Yes
20159	9/14/2011	No	Fried, Carmen V	Yes
20160	9/14/2011	No	Montgomery, Elizabeth M	Yes
20161	9/14/2011	No	Rushin, Nathaniel	Yes
20162	9/14/2011	No	Kaneko, Sylvia Y	Yes
20163	9/14/2011	No	Leming, Paul	Yes
20164	9/14/2011	No	Engel, Marjorie	Yes
20165	9/14/2011	No	Crowley, Valerie	Yes
20166	9/14/2011	No	Flygar, Rita	Yes
20167	9/14/2011	No	Paris, Kathy S	Yes
20168	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Susie E	Yes
20169	9/14/2011	No	Arteaga, Jose d	Yes
20170	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20171	9/14/2011	No	Yoder, Marjorie	Yes
20172	9/14/2011	No	McClellan, Autumn	Yes
20173	9/14/2011	No	Springer, Cynthia	Yes
20174	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Borland, Matt	Yes
20175	9/14/2011	No	French, Jeanette t	Yes

## 0014004

20176	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Youngs, Alex	Yes
20177	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Salazar, Joe	Yes
20178	9/14/2011	No	Coates, Portland H	Yes
20179	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burkhart, David	Yes
20180	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20181	9/14/2011	No	Draper, Barb J	Yes
20182	9/14/2011	No	Kavanaugh, Karla	Yes
20183	9/14/2011	No	McLean, Leslie D	Yes
20184	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Schroeder, Jon	Yes
20185	9/14/2011	No	Brabham, Richard	Yes
20186	9/14/2011	No	Bartone, Brenda C	Yes
20187	9/14/2011	No	Wouters, Danny	Yes
20188	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Trawick, Elsie	Yes
20189	9/14/2011	No	Gotlib, Eva	Yes
20190	9/14/2011	No	Oliveira, Maria	Yes
20191	9/14/2011	No	Martini, Denise A	Yes
20192	9/14/2011	No	jacobs, joh	Yes
20193	9/14/2011	No	Kaplan, Jeremy	Yes
20194	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Palmer, R. B	Yes
20195	9/14/2011	No	Sandler, Norma M	Yes
20196	9/14/2011	No	Field, Ian S	Yes
20197	9/14/2011	No	Hoag, Helen	Yes
20198	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lawrence, Matthew	Yes
20199	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20200	9/14/2011	No	HARBISON, ANDREW R	Yes
20201	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Edlund, Tara	Yes
20202	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Luckham, David	Yes
20203	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20204	9/14/2011	No	Washenko, Michael	Yes
20205	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, Michael J	Yes
20206	9/14/2011	No	Verzosa, Paul	Yes
20207	9/14/2011	No	Komisarof, Jeff	Yes
20208	9/14/2011	No	Crouch, Karren and Thomas	Yes
20209	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20210	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Reisman, David C	Yes
20211	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Jennifer	Yes
20212	9/14/2011	No	Adamski, Thomas	Yes
20213	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20214	9/14/2011	No	Manus, Melissa	Yes
20215	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20216	9/14/2011	No	Marold, Doran	Yes
20217	9/14/2011	No	Flecker, Manny	Yes
20218	9/14/2011	No	Morgan, Carolisa	Yes
20219	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20220	9/14/2011	No	Fortis, Laurie	Yes
20221	9/14/2011	No	Spencer, Karen J	Yes
20222	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Robertson, Kenneth C	Yes
20223	9/14/2011	No	Lockman, GerriAnn	Yes
20224	9/14/2011	No	butkiewicz, mike	Yes
20225	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Malinowski, Laura	Yes
20226	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Thomas, Lee H	Yes
20227	9/14/2011	No	Helke, Mary S	Yes
20228	9/14/2011	No	Chambers, Tara	Yes
20229	9/14/2011	No	Giebel, Robert G von Giebel	Yes
20230	9/14/2011	No	Polczynski, Jamie L	Yes

## 0014005

20231	9/14/2011	No	Purucker, Susanna	Yes
20232	9/14/2011	No	Cobb, Sandra M	Yes
20233	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	George, Ed J	Yes
20234	9/14/2011	No	Niles, Bob	Yes
20235	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20236	9/14/2011	No	Hershfield, Joshua D	Yes
20237	9/14/2011	No	Shafchuk, Patsy	Yes
20238	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Benschoter, John	Yes
20239	9/14/2011	No	Ralph, Karin L	Yes
20240	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	FACPM, Vincent Fonseca, MD,	Yes
20241	9/14/2011	No	reed, natalie h	Yes
20242	9/14/2011	No	Schroeder, Susan	Yes
20243	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Henderson, Doris	Yes
20244	9/14/2011	No	Heinlein, Philip	Yes
20245	9/14/2011	No	Loveless, Karyn	Yes
20246	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Shriver, Jennifer M	Yes
20247	9/14/2011	No	Atmore, Wendy	Yes
20248	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bikoff, Louise	Yes
20249	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	FitzGerald, Lia M	Yes
20250	9/14/2011	No	Parker, Cindy	Yes
20251	9/14/2011	No	Bellio, nikolette	Yes
20252	9/14/2011	No	Watrous, Frank T	Yes
20253	9/14/2011	No	Arnett, Brandon	Yes
20254	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Chris	Yes
20255	9/14/2011	No	Lugg, Loren L	Yes
20256	9/14/2011	No	goldtein, roz	Yes
20257	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20258	9/14/2011	No	Reichert, Robyn	Yes
20259	9/14/2011	No	McCarthy, Anne	Yes
20260	9/14/2011	No	Charshee, Pamela	Yes
20261	9/14/2011	No	MacDonald, John	Yes
20262	9/14/2011	No	Fukuchi, Cori	Yes
20263	9/14/2011	No	Hutchinson, George B	Yes
20264	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20265	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Oswald, Jamie	Yes
20266	9/14/2011	No	Sleva, Cathy	Yes
20267	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Day, Andrea M	Yes
20268	9/14/2011	No	Thomae, Laura	Yes
20269	9/14/2011	No	jordan, moira	Yes
20270	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Reid, John	Yes
20271	9/14/2011	No	Maranda, Susan	Yes
20272	9/14/2011	No	Michaels, Maria C	Yes
20273	9/14/2011	No	Jr, John D	Yes
20274	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Howard, Kristin	Yes
20275	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nord, Randall C	Yes
20276	9/14/2011	No	Lachecki, Marge	Yes
20277	9/14/2011	No	Babbitt, Susan	Yes
20278	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivera, Vivian	Yes
20279	9/14/2011	No	Davis, Dixie L	Yes
20280	9/14/2011	No	Winstead, Annie	Yes
20281	9/14/2011	No	DeBoni, Thomas M	Yes
20282	9/14/2011	No	REED, Natalie H	Yes
20283	9/14/2011	No	Applegate, Mary L	Yes
20284	9/14/2011	No	Wolfe, Laura A	Yes
20285	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Price, Lauren	Yes

## 0014006

20286	9/14/2011	No	Wilson, Sue and Bob Wilson	Yes
20287	9/14/2011	No	Lanskey, Marcus J	Yes
20288	9/14/2011	No	Winternheimer, Peg	Yes
20289	9/14/2011	No	Rubino, Karen A	Yes
20290	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Godfrey, Ronald J	Yes
20291	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	George, Marvin I	Yes
20292	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Mendieta, Vince	Yes
20293	9/14/2011	No	Coyle, Norm	Yes
20294	9/14/2011	No	Newman, Bill	Yes
20295	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20296	9/14/2011	No	Rogerson, Jamrs A	Yes
20297	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Delatte, M.	Yes
20298	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Seff, Joshua	Yes
20299	9/14/2011	No	Perera, Sriyantha	Yes
20300	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20301	9/14/2011	No	Stevens, Tatyán	Yes
20302	9/14/2011	No	McCreary, Jan C	Yes
20303	9/14/2011	No	Drummer, Shelli	Yes
20304	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Novak, Jessica	Yes
20305	9/14/2011	No	HARRISON, GEORGE T. T	Yes
20306	9/14/2011	No	Fitzsimmons, Marie M	Yes
20307	9/14/2011	No	Cox, Cindy a	Yes
20308	9/14/2011	No	Mills, Chip I	Yes
20309	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ashley, Jennifer M	Yes
20310	9/14/2011	No	Henke, Jill B and James S	Yes
20311	9/14/2011	No	Gooch, Watson P	Yes
20312	9/14/2011	No	Haskell, Michael	Yes
20313	9/14/2011	No	Joy, Greta M	Yes
20314	9/14/2011	No	PALMER, DAVID	Yes
20315	9/14/2011	No	Casad, Susan	Yes
20316	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Eiceman, Connie	Yes
20317	9/14/2011	No	Tomassi, paula	Yes
20318	9/14/2011	No	Rule, William	Yes
20319	9/14/2011	No	Fink, Chrisitne V	Yes
20320	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20321	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rabon, Joyce C	Yes
20322	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20323	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kriska, Geraldine	Yes
20324	9/14/2011	No	Watson, John S	Yes
20325	9/14/2011	No	Bronk, Eva v	Yes
20326	9/14/2011	No	Katz, Ronald S	Yes
20327	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Flebotte, Katharine	Yes
20328	9/14/2011	No	Terry, Barbara	Yes
20329	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Foster, Hilary	Yes
20330	9/14/2011	No	Wagner, Cheryl A	Yes
20331	9/14/2011	No	swopes, eileen g	Yes
20332	9/14/2011	No	Frost, David N	Yes
20333	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20334	9/14/2011	No	Scotese, karen F	Yes
20335	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20336	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20337	9/14/2011	No	finnerty, benjamin I	Yes
20338	9/14/2011	No	Jackson, Jeff A	Yes
20339	9/14/2011	No	Boland, Donna M	Yes
20340	9/14/2011	No	Kaylan, Stephanie	Yes

0014007

20341	9/14/2011	No	Davies, Judy V	Yes
20342	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Julien, Rene S	Yes
20343	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ph.D., Janet Elizabeth Colli,	Yes
20344	9/14/2011	No	R-Shaw, K.K.	Yes
20345	9/14/2011	No	laidlaw, julie a	Yes
20346	9/14/2011	No	Lloyd, Lelia	Yes
20347	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Little, Godfrey L	Yes
20348	9/14/2011	No	Blair, David	Yes
20349	9/14/2011	No	WHITMAN, FRANK L	Yes
20350	9/14/2011	No	meredith, judy e	Yes
20351	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20352	9/14/2011	No	Walton, Angela	Yes
20353	9/14/2011	No	Rustenbeck, Margaret	Yes
20354	9/14/2011	No	Mengak, Kathy	Yes
20355	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	duron, mariavictoria g	Yes
20356	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Heather	Yes
20357	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20358	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Coker, Courtney	Yes
20359	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20360	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gary, Sharon	Yes
20361	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Morris, Sharon	Yes
20362	9/14/2011	No	Rizer, Deborah K	Yes
20363	9/14/2011	No	Carmen, Bob a	Yes
20364	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Davies, Dorothy L	Yes
20365	9/14/2011	No	Loftis, Christopher B	Yes
20366	9/14/2011	No	Skelton, Jerry	Yes
20367	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rader, Shelly A	Yes
20368	9/14/2011	No	Gathing, Nancy A	Yes
20369	9/14/2011	No	Poe, Amy	Yes
20370	9/14/2011	No	Slater, Fay E	Yes
20371	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Hamby, Claudia	Yes
20372	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bubbers, Linda K	Yes
20373	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20374	9/14/2011	No	Gathing, Nancy	Yes
20375	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20376	9/14/2011	No	Buck, Margaret M	Yes
20377	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kraus, Andrea	Yes
20378	9/14/2011	No	Giacometti, Paula	Yes
20379	9/14/2011	No	Pandolfi, Sara & Roland	Yes
20380	9/14/2011	No	Warren, Charles	Yes
20381	9/14/2011	No	Jr, Artie S	Yes
20382	9/14/2011	No	Black, Angela	Yes
20383	9/14/2011	No	Osborne, Elizabeth	Yes
20384	9/14/2011	No	Weber, Nicole	Yes
20385	9/14/2011	No	Robards, Karen	Yes
20386	9/14/2011	No	Couch, Sandra L	Yes
20387	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jorgensen, James H	Yes
20388	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	goldfarb, georgia	Yes
20389	9/14/2011	No	Goodman, Samantha	Yes
20390	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dillon, Christi E	Yes
20391	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Klosner, Marc and Jill Klosner	Yes
20392	9/14/2011	No	Suhm, Morgan D	Yes
20393	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Patton, James J	Yes
20394	9/14/2011	No	Gandy, Terri	Yes
20395	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes



## 0014008

20396	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20397	9/14/2011	No	Pope, Shannon	Yes
20398	9/14/2011	No	Gathing, Nancy A	Yes
20399	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kobayashi, Anne M	Yes
20400	9/14/2011	No	Robbins, Steven C	Yes
20401	9/14/2011	No	golding, john t	Yes
20402	9/14/2011	No	Lantvet, Jason	Yes
20403	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Shaouy, Pam	Yes
20404	9/14/2011	No	Talbot, Ed	Yes
20405	9/14/2011	No	Jessup, Joanna D	Yes
20406	9/14/2011	No	Dees, Michel	Yes
20407	9/14/2011	No	Cliff-Evans, Kristina	Yes
20408	9/14/2011	No	Corkey, Peter	Yes
20409	9/14/2011	No	Lyll, G&B	Yes
20410	9/14/2011	No	wible, karen	Yes
20411	9/14/2011	No	Bolling, Kelly A	Yes
20412	9/14/2011	No	Howells, Judith B	Yes
20413	9/14/2011	No	Schlagal, R. C	Yes
20414	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rice, Julia	Yes
20415	9/14/2011	No	Spencer, Dawn J	Yes
20416	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20417	9/14/2011	No	Stockton, John C	Yes
20418	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Truax, Wayne	Yes
20419	9/14/2011	No	Krill, Suzanne	Yes
20420	9/14/2011	No	Carter, Pat	Yes
20421	9/14/2011	No	Kukkonen, Holly A	Yes
20422	9/14/2011	No	Mason, Stephanie L	Yes
20423	9/14/2011	No	Colgan, Anne R	Yes
20424	9/14/2011	No	Loiselle, David	Yes
20425	9/14/2011	No	Ruschmann, Margo	Yes
20426	9/14/2011	No	mimeau, Pat	Yes
20427	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Collier, Lucy J	Yes
20428	9/14/2011	No	Salerno, Marie J	Yes
20429	9/14/2011	No	Bryan, Pat	Yes
20430	9/14/2011	No	Bourgeois, Paula S	Yes
20431	9/14/2011	No	andrew, lorraine	Yes
20432	9/14/2011	No	fe, Rbert	Yes
20433	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Mack	Yes
20434	9/14/2011	No	mahuron, elizabeth a	Yes
20435	9/14/2011	No	LAIRD, DAVID L	Yes
20436	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Eaton, Chris R	Yes
20437	9/14/2011	No	aradio, virginia	Yes
20438	9/14/2011	No	Zimmerman, Laura	Yes
20439	9/14/2011	No	Dishman, Patricia B	Yes
20440	9/14/2011	No	Schwartz, Donald R	Yes
20441	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20442	9/14/2011	No	swenson, ingrid e	Yes
20443	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Salenger, Cathy C	Yes
20444	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tomaszewski, Melissa	Yes
20445	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rabin, Joyce	Yes
20446	9/14/2011	No	Malkin, Arlene	Yes
20447	9/14/2011	No	Nowicki, Linda M	Yes
20448	9/14/2011	No	baxter, Gina	Yes
20449	9/14/2011	No	Streiner, Rachael	Yes
20450	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Heck, James E	Yes

## 0014009

20451	9/14/2011	No	Bowman, James A	Yes
20452	9/14/2011	No	Nytes, Beth M	Yes
20453	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	greger, sabine	Yes
20454	9/14/2011	No	Gensler, Sarah	Yes
20455	9/14/2011	No	Vacek, Doug	Yes
20456	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	waters, michael	Yes
20457	9/14/2011	No	Wolpin, Lucy B	Yes
20458	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Catherine M	Yes
20459	9/14/2011	No	Gertz, Jeff	Yes
20460	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20461	9/14/2011	No	Coles, Roswell S	Yes
20462	9/14/2011	No	Turowski, Alison	Yes
20463	9/14/2011	No	Butler, Dottie	Yes
20464	9/14/2011	No	Biser, James	Yes
20465	9/14/2011	No	Shapira, Susan	Yes
20466	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Jackson, Claire	Yes
20467	9/14/2011	No	McLaughlin, Rohana	Yes
20468	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Gilbert, Sandy	Yes
20469	9/14/2011	No	Hoelker, Karen	Yes
20470	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20471	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Howell, Carol A	Yes
20472	9/14/2011	No	Hlavaty, Doreen	Yes
20473	9/14/2011	No	Cox, Leslie J	Yes
20474	9/14/2011	No	Palmer, Micheal W	Yes
20475	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rothstein, Jamie	Yes
20476	9/14/2011	No	miller, ann r	Yes
20477	9/14/2011	No	Roland, Samuel J	Yes
20478	9/14/2011	No	Swensen, Mike S	Yes
20479	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Potucek, Kimberly A	Yes
20480	9/14/2011	No	Foskett, MaryAnna	Yes
20481	9/14/2011	No	Gallagher, Sarah W	Yes
20482	9/14/2011	No	Oxley, Stephanie	Yes
20483	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20484	9/14/2011	No	Hollon, H	Yes
20485	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Picchetti, Gloria	Yes
20486	9/14/2011	No	spadafora, myriam	Yes
20487	9/14/2011	No	Myers, Jane	Yes
20488	9/14/2011	Yes (602261)	Griffin, Edith	Yes
20489	9/14/2011	No	Oehmann, Debra	Yes
20490	9/14/2011	No	speed, julie s	Yes
20491	9/14/2011	No	Cortez, Desiree	Yes
20492	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20493	9/14/2011	No	Barnes, Ann-Elizabeth	Yes
20494	9/14/2011	No	Nims, Cara A	Yes
20495	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	ROSE, B	Yes
20496	9/14/2011	No	Szydowski, Marilynn J	Yes
20497	9/14/2011	No	Barber, Ruth A	Yes
20498	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Warder, Shelly	Yes
20499	9/14/2011	No	Bailey, Michael E	Yes
20500	9/14/2011	No	McClay, Mauria	Yes
20501	9/14/2011	No	seidel, susanne	Yes
20502	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20503	9/14/2011	No	Massey, Cindy	Yes
20504	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kirkwood, Anne	Yes
20505	9/14/2011	No	Sosa, Gabriela	Yes

## 0014010

20506	9/14/2011	No	Simmons, Kathleen V	Yes
20507	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Pat	Yes
20508	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, Peter K	Yes
20509	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20510	9/14/2011	No	Armand, Patricia A	Yes
20511	9/14/2011	No	jamati, edna k	Yes
20512	9/14/2011	No	Hannah, Sharon M	Yes
20513	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20514	9/14/2011	No	Freund-Epstein, Robin	Yes
20515	9/14/2011	No	Ginter, Gene M	Yes
20516	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Levin, Eileen	Yes
20517	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Lorenson, Ray	Yes
20518	9/14/2011	No	Simone, Louise P	Yes
20519	9/14/2011	No	Willis, Lisa	Yes
20520	9/14/2011	No	finch, sharon	Yes
20521	9/14/2011	No	kelly, mike n	Yes
20522	9/14/2011	No	Lupenko, Andy A	Yes
20523	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosie, B	Yes
20524	9/14/2011	No	Pitts, Carol S	Yes
20525	9/14/2011	No	Hamel, Catherine E	Yes
20526	9/14/2011	No	Hillman, Lynn	Yes
20527	9/14/2011	No	Spiegelman, Robin	Yes
20528	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Carney, Marilyn E	Yes
20529	9/14/2011	No	Robertson, L & B Robertson	Yes
20530	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20531	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Alspaugh, Kristen	Yes
20532	9/14/2011	No	morehead, cynthia L	Yes
20533	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ostrander, Thorsten	Yes
20534	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Begley, Christina	Yes
20535	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dabanian, Kathy	Yes
20536	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Becker, Barbara	Yes
20537	9/14/2011	No	Weyland-Sabourin, Carol E	Yes
20538	9/14/2011	No	Staas, Bonita M	Yes
20539	9/14/2011	No	ASH, KAREN E	Yes
20540	9/14/2011	No	Blanchette, John G	Yes
20541	9/14/2011	No	Leuven, Phyllis E Van Leuven	Yes
20542	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Carol J	Yes
20543	9/14/2011	No	Farrell, Kelleen	Yes
20544	9/14/2011	No	krause, doug	Yes
20545	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20546	9/14/2011	No	Connolly, Beverley R	Yes
20547	9/14/2011	No	Bowman, Martha L	Yes
20548	9/14/2011	No	alvin, ray	Yes
20549	9/14/2011	No	Reiff, Marian	Yes
20550	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Burgess, William	Yes
20551	9/14/2011	No	Wells, Christine L	Yes
20552	9/14/2011	No	Aranda, Gail	Yes
20553	9/14/2011	No	reese, kathi	Yes
20554	9/14/2011	No	Niman, Darlene	Yes
20555	9/14/2011	No	Thomson, Patricia J	Yes
20556	9/14/2011	No	Groff, Francis	Yes
20557	9/14/2011	No	Jones, Jessica I	Yes
20558	9/14/2011	No	Espinal, Karla M	Yes
20559	9/14/2011	No	Kohr, Cheryl	Yes
20560	9/14/2011	No	Grajczyk, Joyce A	Yes

## 0014011

20561	9/14/2011	No	SmilingCoyote, Jean	Yes
20562	9/14/2011	No	Kline, Burton	Yes
20563	9/14/2011	No	Cole, Thomas	Yes
20564	9/14/2011	No	Hoggard, Jacquie K	Yes
20565	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ryerson, William &	Yes
20566	9/14/2011	No	O'Neill, Cara	Yes
20567	9/14/2011	No	Gingrich, Nancy	Yes
20568	9/14/2011	No	Campbell, Carol	Yes
20569	9/14/2011	No	CULBERT, LAURETTE	Yes
20570	9/14/2011	No	Ramella, Janet S	Yes
20571	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	wyatt, mia	Yes
20572	9/14/2011	No	Berger, Bruce N	Yes
20573	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20574	9/14/2011	No	Campbell, Liz	Yes
20575	9/14/2011	No	Zaparanick, David M	Yes
20576	9/14/2011	No	Medeiros, Ernest	Yes
20577	9/14/2011	No	Slater-Giglioli, Julie	Yes
20578	9/14/2011	No	Deromedi, Bridget	Yes
20579	9/14/2011	No	Bauch, Kathy	Yes
20580	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20581	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Columbia, James	Yes
20582	9/14/2011	No	Heinz, Tom	Yes
20583	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	diantonio, bill	Yes
20584	9/14/2011	No	Wilson, Larry	Yes
20585	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kay, Joel R	Yes
20586	9/14/2011	No	Ostoich, Julie A	Yes
20587	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20588	9/14/2011	No	artemis, diana	Yes
20589	9/14/2011	No	Bloomer, Jerry	Yes
20590	9/14/2011	No	Knutson, Carol J	Yes
20591	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Guion, William S	Yes
20592	9/14/2011	No	Singh-Bowman, Nan	Yes
20593	9/14/2011	No	Gamel, Sandra L	Yes
20594	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Bromen, Nicole	Yes
20595	9/14/2011	No	Suer, Lucas	Yes
20596	9/14/2011	No	Butterfield, Naomi N	Yes
20597	9/14/2011	No	Leong, Sarah J	Yes
20598	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	sob, mai s	Yes
20599	9/14/2011	No	Adams, Faye	Yes
20600	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20601	9/14/2011	No	Haynes, Tyna	Yes
20602	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20603	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Stanton, Sue A	Yes
20604	9/14/2011	No	Cook, David A	Yes
20605	9/14/2011	No	Beede, Jane	Yes
20606	9/14/2011	No	frederick, linda l	Yes
20607	9/14/2011	No	Koonce, Liz M	Yes
20608	9/14/2011	No	Saunders, Ella R	Yes
20609	9/14/2011	No	Shahbegian, Floss	Yes
20610	9/14/2011	No	McGuire-Conlon, Jeanne	Yes
20611	9/14/2011	No	souva, linda	Yes
20612	9/14/2011	No	Milloy, Melissa	Yes
20613	9/14/2011	No	Jandourek, Alexia L	Yes
20614	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20615	9/14/2011	No	Andersson, Pinke	Yes

## 0014012

20616	9/14/2011	No	maxwell, mindy	Yes
20617	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Levine, Beth	Yes
20618	9/14/2011	No	Patterson, Dale P	Yes
20619	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Swedlund, Denise	Yes
20620	9/14/2011	No	CARLSON, DEE	Yes
20621	9/14/2011	No	Tausek, Nicholas	Yes
20622	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cromer, Mary	Yes
20623	9/14/2011	No	Perkins, anne	Yes
20624	9/14/2011	No	Muta, C	Yes
20625	9/14/2011	No	Fein, Ethan	Yes
20626	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Yang, Emily	Yes
20627	9/14/2011	No	Skarada, Darcy T	Yes
20628	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20629	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Heynmeman, John P	Yes
20630	9/14/2011	No	wickens, stacey	Yes
20631	9/14/2011	No	Tovar, Irene	Yes
20632	9/14/2011	No	cobs, mary a	Yes
20633	9/14/2011	No	Speciale, Samuel	Yes
20634	9/14/2011	No	Thatcher, David B	Yes
20635	9/14/2011	No	Covell, Sandi	Yes
20636	9/14/2011	No	Menkes, B	Yes
20637	9/14/2011	No	Wright, Lisa	Yes
20638	9/14/2011	No	Mirams, F N	Yes
20639	9/14/2011	No	Ericson, Judy E	Yes
20640	9/14/2011	No	Young, Lance G	Yes
20641	9/14/2011	No	Kirby, Deb	Yes
20642	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20643	9/14/2011	No	Zeller, Kathy	Yes
20644	9/14/2011	No	McGrath, Carol A	Yes
20645	9/14/2011	No	Coe, Michael R	Yes
20646	9/14/2011	No	dennison, john	Yes
20647	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20648	9/14/2011	No	Forte, Lorraine A	Yes
20649	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Batovsky, Natalie	Yes
20650	9/14/2011	No	Nolan, Mark	Yes
20651	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Holt, Karen	Yes
20652	9/14/2011	No	Sable, Rosalie	Yes
20653	9/14/2011	No	garms, Rachel	Yes
20654	9/14/2011	No	Schwartz, Elizabeth	Yes
20655	9/14/2011	No	Mitchell, Judith	Yes
20656	9/14/2011	No	pasqua, john	Yes
20657	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Pelletier, Anne	Yes
20658	9/14/2011	No	Bock, Jennifer A	Yes
20659	9/14/2011	No	Hoehlein, Jill & Rich Hoehlein	Yes
20660	9/14/2011	No	Jarrell, Robin E	Yes
20661	9/14/2011	No	watt, celeste	Yes
20662	9/14/2011	No	Waters, Leo	Yes
20663	9/14/2011	No	Bezenar, Veronica	Yes
20664	9/14/2011	No	Schoenfield, Rick	Yes
20665	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ayyar, Adarsh	Yes
20666	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Fong, Christina B	Yes
20667	9/14/2011	No	Baumann, Linda	Yes
20668	9/14/2011	No	Weber, Carol	Yes
20669	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Reynolds, Patricia	Yes
20670	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Jeff P	Yes

## 0014013

20671	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Velarde, Mario	Yes
20672	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20673	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20674	9/14/2011	No	Stone, Donald	Yes
20675	9/14/2011	No	cuyler, peter	Yes
20676	9/14/2011	No	Messinger, Peggy	Yes
20677	9/14/2011	No	Huff, Cory	Yes
20678	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Simpson, Edith	Yes
20679	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Guise, Elizabeth Egan De Guise	Yes
20680	9/14/2011	No	Anderson, Elizabeth J	Yes
20681	9/14/2011	No	Reichardt, Dorothy M	Yes
20682	9/14/2011	No	rowlson-hall, ellen j	Yes
20683	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Valentino, David	Yes
20684	9/14/2011	No	Webster, Suzanne K	Yes
20685	9/14/2011	No	McGinnis, June F	Yes
20686	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frey, John	Yes
20687	9/14/2011	No	Swietek, Tracie N	Yes
20688	9/14/2011	No	Dunnam, Donna J	Yes
20689	9/14/2011	No	Beck, Taunja L	Yes
20690	9/14/2011	No	Sears, Carol A	Yes
20691	9/14/2011	No	Crotty, Elizabeth	Yes
20692	9/14/2011	No	Tholl, J. D	Yes
20693	9/14/2011	No	Hudson, Sharlene M	Yes
20694	9/14/2011	No	Swart, Stanley L	Yes
20695	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Frame, Laura	Yes
20696	9/14/2011	No	Hope, Katherine F	Yes
20697	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20698	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20699	9/14/2011	No	Connors, Michael	Yes
20700	9/14/2011	No	obrig, jill	Yes
20701	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20702	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20703	9/14/2011	No	Coulson, Elyse	Yes
20704	9/14/2011	No	Copeland, B	Yes
20705	9/14/2011	No	Clizer, Jan	Yes
20706	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20707	9/14/2011	No	GRAY, H	Yes
20708	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tassell, Kristen L. Van Tassell	Yes
20709	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Clark, Morgan	Yes
20710	9/14/2011	No	Loggins, Michael J	Yes
20711	9/14/2011	No	Johnson, Scott	Yes
20712	9/14/2011	No	Stewart, Nancy	Yes
20713	9/14/2011	No	Riley-Ingram, Natalie	Yes
20714	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20715	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20716	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20717	9/14/2011	No	Rice, Rose	Yes
20718	9/14/2011	No	Swenson, Anita D	Yes
20719	9/14/2011	No	Weingold, Edward R	Yes
20720	9/14/2011	No	Koch, Walter D	Yes
20721	9/14/2011	No	Brink, Thomas	Yes
20722	9/14/2011	No	Dearbeck, April	Yes
20723	9/14/2011	No	Swensen, Donna C	Yes
20724	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20725	9/14/2011	No	Kuter, Ann	Yes

## 0014014

20726	9/14/2011	No	English, Roger W	Yes
20727	9/14/2011	No	Rea, Melissa	Yes
20728	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20729	9/14/2011	No	Begley, Michael	Yes
20730	9/14/2011	No	Krueger, Robert	Yes
20731	9/14/2011	No	Gilliland, Tressa M	Yes
20732	9/14/2011	No	Young, Jo	Yes
20733	9/14/2011	No	Shepard, Dodie	Yes
20734	9/14/2011	No	Alexander, Judith L	Yes
20735	9/14/2011	No	McIntyre, Amy E	Yes
20736	9/14/2011	No	Roberts, James	Yes
20737	9/14/2011	No	Bradshaw, Lael	Yes
20738	9/14/2011	No	Stanton, Mary	Yes
20739	9/14/2011	No	Welke, Margaret	Yes
20740	9/14/2011	No	gay, kelly	Yes
20741	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Martire, R	Yes
20742	9/14/2011	No	McKeown, Mary M	Yes
20743	9/14/2011	No	French, Craig A	Yes
20744	9/14/2011	No	Paley, Jan K	Yes
20745	9/14/2011	No	Pneuman, Doug	Yes
20746	9/14/2011	No	rudnicki, susan	Yes
20747	9/14/2011	No	Rhyne, Jean E	Yes
20748	9/14/2011	No	Fenstemaker, Lois	Yes
20749	9/14/2011	No	Phipps, Mary N	Yes
20750	9/14/2011	No	Brown, Pamela A	Yes
20751	9/14/2011	No	gregory, maria c	Yes
20752	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Ownby, Mary F	Yes
20753	9/14/2011	No	Galvin, Catheriine A	Yes
20754	9/14/2011	No	chasen, kim	Yes
20755	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20756	9/14/2011	No	Widell, Janet	Yes
20757	9/14/2011	No	Eigner, Janet	Yes
20758	9/14/2011	No	Tyyler, Alison	Yes
20759	9/14/2011	No	Choker, Ashley	Yes
20760	9/14/2011	No	Fountain, Susan	Yes
20761	9/14/2011	No	Taggart, Ian	Yes
20762	9/14/2011	No	Covell, Sharon S	Yes
20763	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Favela, Monica A	Yes
20764	9/14/2011	No	Shalat, Harriet	Yes
20765	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20766	9/14/2011	No	Webber, Lee W	Yes
20767	9/14/2011	No	Skinner, Phoebe T	Yes
20768	9/14/2011	No	spencer, cathy c	Yes
20769	9/14/2011	No	McKnight, Megan	Yes
20770	9/14/2011	No	Will, Leona	Yes
20771	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Calvinperez, martha	Yes
20772	9/14/2011	No	Rose, Amanda	Yes
20773	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20774	9/14/2011	No	Harris, Christopher R	Yes
20775	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20776	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Peralta, Sharon	Yes
20777	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20778	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Parvaneh, Kian R	Yes
20779	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Santos, Sandra d	Yes
20780	9/14/2011	No	Forbes, William	Yes

## 0014015

20781	9/14/2011	No	Bullock, Debbie	Yes
20782	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20783	9/14/2011	No	Ward, Jack W	Yes
20784	9/14/2011	No	Franklin, Dawn	Yes
20785	9/14/2011	No	Green, Kate	Yes
20786	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Motz, David	Yes
20787	9/14/2011	No	gardner, bettyanne	Yes
20788	9/14/2011	No	Buck, Jordan	Yes
20789	9/14/2011	No	clark, helaine e	Yes
20790	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Rico, Janet	Yes
20791	9/14/2011	No	rabon, angela c	Yes
20792	9/14/2011	No	Ashley, Krystina	Yes
20793	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kupsaw, Wendy	Yes
20794	9/14/2011	No	Murray, Verona	Yes
20795	9/14/2011	No	Sain, Robert L	Yes
20796	9/14/2011	No	Tamer, Liza	Yes
20797	9/14/2011	Yes (617010)	Buser, Hajira	Yes
20798	9/14/2011	No	Laursen, Seth	Yes
20799	9/14/2011	No	Sugarman, Barbara	Yes
20800	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Martin, Anthony	Yes
20801	9/14/2011	No	Greenlaw, Jane	Yes
20802	9/14/2011	No	Loperfито, Tamara	Yes
20803	9/14/2011	No	Alfredson, Linda S	Yes
20804	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kocher, Ellyn M	Yes
20805	9/14/2011	No	Shaughnessy, Diane H	Yes
20806	9/14/2011	No	Harrison, Randy	Yes
20807	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kokesch, Tara A	Yes
20808	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20809	9/14/2011	No	Leviten, Jerome	Yes
20810	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Kelly, JoAnne	Yes
20811	9/14/2011	No	Congdon, Lois M	Yes
20812	9/14/2011	No	Beas, Nina	Yes
20813	9/14/2011	No	Reilly, Rita	Yes
20814	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20815	9/14/2011	No	Kosek, Raphael H	Yes
20816	9/14/2011	No	Koivisto, Ellen	Yes
20817	9/14/2011	No	Bryer, Gladys N	Yes
20818	9/14/2011	No	Young, Lowell J	Yes
20819	9/14/2011	No	petrulias, linda r	Yes
20820	9/14/2011	No	Schodt, Serena M	Yes
20821	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20822	9/14/2011	No	DeFord, Marion W	Yes
20823	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dwivedi, Anurag	Yes
20824	9/14/2011	No	Owens, Carly C	Yes
20825	9/14/2011	No	Lyon, Janet L	Yes
20826	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Erickson, Carol J	Yes
20827	9/14/2011	No	Dalka, R	Yes
20828	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20829	9/14/2011	No	Acropolis, Cheryl	Yes
20830	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Dawson, Patricia	Yes
20831	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Muns, Emily E	Yes
20832	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Cisna, Todd	Yes
20833	9/14/2011	No	Aber, Thomas C	Yes
20834	9/14/2011	No	Caliguri, sabina a	Yes
20835	9/14/2011	No	Schilling, Francis	Yes



## 0014016

20836	9/14/2011	No	Wallis, Steven	Yes
20837	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, Doug	Yes
20838	9/14/2011	No	yuhas, Jillian	Yes
20839	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Peoples, Vicki L	Yes
20840	9/14/2011	No	Dusen, Jan E. Van Dusen	Yes
20841	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20842	9/14/2011	No	McDonald, Colleen K	Yes
20843	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	C., Wendy	Yes
20844	9/14/2011	No	Butler, Betty W	Yes
20845	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Godwin, Kim	Yes
20846	9/14/2011	No	Small, Lynn	Yes
20847	9/14/2011	No	Rout, Les	Yes
20848	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20849	9/14/2011	No	Simms, Pam	Yes
20850	9/14/2011	No	olson, diane c	Yes
20851	9/14/2011	No	Levine, Steve	Yes
20852	9/14/2011	No	Brosnan, Melissa A	Yes
20853	9/14/2011	No	Matregrano, Theresa	Yes
20854	9/14/2011	No	ienna, carolyn	Yes
20855	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20856	9/14/2011	No	Weihofen, Susan A	Yes
20857	9/14/2011	No	Boardsen, Linda	Yes
20858	9/14/2011	No	dauby, s l	Yes
20859	9/14/2011	No	burgos, michelle	Yes
20860	9/14/2011	No	Hansen, Jan	Yes
20861	9/14/2011	No	Nerkowski, Jeanne	Yes
20862	9/14/2011	No	Smith, Julie A	Yes
20863	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20864	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Harrell, S G	Yes
20865	9/14/2011	No	Kasdan, Mark L	Yes
20866	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Syed, Linda J	Yes
20867	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, James	Yes
20868	9/14/2011	No	Lowe, Allan	Yes
20869	9/14/2011	No	enders, andrea n	Yes
20870	9/14/2011	No	Winslow, Lynda F	Yes
20871	9/14/2011	No	Guzman-Merodio, Diana	Yes
20872	9/14/2011	No	Flowers, Robbin L	Yes
20873	9/14/2011	No	Bracken, Fay L	Yes
20874	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20875	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Keyishian, Sarah	Yes
20876	9/14/2011	Yes (603687)	Tiktinsky, Laura	Yes
20877	9/14/2011	No	Capece, Raymond J	Yes
20878	9/14/2011	No	Shen, Salliane	Yes
20879	9/14/2011	No	Alba, Beverly A	Yes
20880	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20881	9/14/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20882	9/14/2011	No	Scatena, David F	Yes
20883	9/15/2011	No	Afa, Innocentia A	Yes
20884	9/15/2011	No	Papadopoulos, Renee	Yes
20885	9/15/2011	No	Ferrell, Judith C	Yes
20886	9/15/2011	No	Friel, Fran	Yes
20887	9/15/2011	No	laufman, gary	Yes
20888	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20889	9/15/2011	No	Goldberg, Grant	Yes
20890	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Check, Pamela	Yes

## 0014017

20891	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20892	9/15/2011	No	janov, andrea	Yes
20893	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Washton, Nancy M	Yes
20894	9/15/2011	No	McDaniel, Lisa D	Yes
20895	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	hakimbashi, milad	Yes
20896	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20897	9/15/2011	No	Dale, Denise R	Yes
20898	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Geiger, Lori L	Yes
20899	9/15/2011	No	Ewing, Virginia L	Yes
20900	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	barber, clara estella vera	Yes
20901	9/15/2011	No	Thompson, Connie	Yes
20902	9/15/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20903	9/15/2011	No	Fedas, Peter M	Yes
20904	9/15/2011	No	schwall, lee b	Yes
20905	9/15/2011	No	Darst, Caroline G	Yes
20906	9/15/2011	No	Goncalves, Luis C	Yes
20907	9/15/2011	No	Ford, Penny L	Yes
20908	9/15/2011	No	Levitt, Mary	Yes
20909	9/15/2011	No	Clark, Rachel U	Yes
20910	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20911	9/15/2011	No	Andrews, Leslie	Yes
20912	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Monroe, Molly	Yes
20913	9/15/2011	No	Roberts, Gail E	Yes
20914	9/15/2011	No	Wingfield, miriam	Yes
20915	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Evans, Michael W	Yes
20916	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Brock, Samson	Yes
20917	9/15/2011	No	Xerxes, Arthur	Yes
20918	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Moissant, Helen	Yes
20919	9/15/2011	No	Daystar, Elisabeth	Yes
20920	9/15/2011	No	harris, krystal d	Yes
20921	9/15/2011	No	toner, william	Yes
20922	9/15/2011	No	Graziano, Patrick R	Yes
20923	9/15/2011	No	Fischman, Lawrence	Yes
20924	9/15/2011	No	Silverman, Marc	Yes
20925	9/15/2011	No	Thatcher, Jeanne	Yes
20926	9/15/2011	No	Cueto, Emma	Yes
20927	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	White, Charmaine	Yes
20928	9/15/2011	No	Brand, Linda J	Yes
20929	9/15/2011	No	Fighera, Linda	Yes
20930	9/15/2011	No	Seelig, Erica J	Yes
20931	9/15/2011	No	Dufficy, Judy	Yes
20932	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Barker, Anne	Yes
20933	9/15/2011	No	Kampen, Arthur James Van	Yes
20934	9/15/2011	No	Hudson, Selena	Yes
20935	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Karpluk, Richard W	Yes
20936	9/15/2011	No	Wolf, Peter A	Yes
20937	9/15/2011	No	Polisher, Julia F	Yes
20938	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Meslar, Gerald	Yes
20939	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20940	9/15/2011	No	Hamlow, David	Yes
20941	9/15/2011	No	Artman, Thomas R	Yes
20942	9/15/2011	No	Beaver, Debra P	Yes
20943	9/15/2011	No	Eley, Trish S	Yes
20944	9/15/2011	No	Flynn, Susan M	Yes
20945	9/15/2011	No	tryles, gael D	Yes

## 0014018

20946	9/15/2011	No	Wotulo, Mona	Yes
20947	9/15/2011	No	McRae, Stacey	Yes
20948	9/15/2011	No	Rennacker, Ann	Yes
20949	9/15/2011	No	wick, jodi	Yes
20950	9/15/2011	No	Maresca, Suzanne	Yes
20951	9/15/2011	No	Williams, Melvin G	Yes
20952	9/15/2011	No	Yarnell, Stephen A	Yes
20953	9/15/2011	No	Chance, Tracey	Yes
20954	9/15/2011	No	Vasilia, Geri	Yes
20955	9/15/2011	No	Lewis, Victoria	Yes
20956	9/15/2011	No	GONDOS, NINA N	Yes
20957	9/15/2011	No	Carroll, Mark M	Yes
20958	9/15/2011	No	Maceira, David	Yes
20959	9/15/2011	No	Caldwell, Liz	Yes
20960	9/15/2011	No	Fox, Robin E	Yes
20961	9/15/2011	No	Johnson, Stefanie	Yes
20962	9/15/2011	No	Brown, Joanne	Yes
20963	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20964	9/15/2011	No	STOCK, LINDA J	Yes
20965	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20966	9/15/2011	No	parr, stacy	Yes
20967	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
20968	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	W, B	Yes
20969	9/15/2011	No	Yates, Karin S	Yes
20970	9/15/2011	No	McGough, Alice	Yes
20971	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	D'Andrea, Gloria	Yes
20972	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20973	9/15/2011	No	Lesire, Patricia A	Yes
20974	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Curatola, Kacey A	Yes
20975	9/15/2011	No	Brooks, Deborah	Yes
20976	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Otten, Eve K. Blanton Otten	Yes
20977	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Teevan, John P	Yes
20978	9/15/2011	No	Ibb, Lo	Yes
20979	9/15/2011	No	Rees, Michael D	Yes
20980	9/15/2011	No	Zazow, Jamie	Yes
20981	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Grillot, Charlotte L	Yes
20982	9/15/2011	No	Kramer-Dodd, Gay D	Yes
20983	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Fetter, Sharon	Yes
20984	9/15/2011	No	prezelj, klemen	Yes
20985	9/15/2011	No	Soddy, Diane B	Yes
20986	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Sorenson, Stan	Yes
20987	9/15/2011	No	Cunniff, Elizabeth A	Yes
20988	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Varekamp, Ronald A	Yes
20989	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lam, Jiun	Yes
20990	9/15/2011	No	Silber, Rachel	Yes
20991	9/15/2011	No	Stewart, Gordon D	Yes
20992	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
20993	9/15/2011	No	Fox, Debra	Yes
20994	9/15/2011	No	Jayanti, Amber	Yes
20995	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ajemian, Peter E	Yes
20996	9/15/2011	No	Masters, Mary J	Yes
20997	9/15/2011	No	Hicks, Connie M	Yes
20998	9/15/2011	No	Bowser, Richard	Yes
20999	9/15/2011	No	Cufaude, Tara M	Yes
21000	9/15/2011	No	Baker, Paul	Yes

## 0014019

21001	9/15/2011	No	thompson, jacqueline	Yes
21002	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Knight, John	Yes
21003	9/15/2011	No	Shelley, Emily	Yes
21004	9/15/2011	No	SEARLE, KERRY	Yes
21005	9/15/2011	No	Wise, Jake	Yes
21006	9/15/2011	No	stoermer, Riley	Yes
21007	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21008	9/15/2011	No	Mahan, James C	Yes
21009	9/15/2011	No	Chin, Winnie	Yes
21010	9/15/2011	No	McGee, Jean	Yes
21011	9/15/2011	No	Redd, Karen J	Yes
21012	9/15/2011	No	Cassell, Gregory V	Yes
21013	9/15/2011	No	Morris, Beth	Yes
21014	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Patri, Mano	Yes
21015	9/15/2011	No	Nash, Raymond R	Yes
21016	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21017	9/15/2011	No	Dungen, Simone V	Yes
21018	9/15/2011	No	Brown, Betsy	Yes
21019	9/15/2011	No	McGuire, Michael D	Yes
21020	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Phillips, Richard	Yes
21021	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Jackson, Leila L	Yes
21022	9/15/2011	No	Taylor, Steven	Yes
21023	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Douglas, Brian E	Yes
21024	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Voorhies, Eric J	Yes
21025	9/15/2011	No	beer, suzanne j	Yes
21026	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21027	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Linback, Peter	Yes
21028	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Prior, Meghan N	Yes
21029	9/15/2011	No	Marijke, Peeters	Yes
21030	9/15/2011	No	Herman, Lorraine	Yes
21031	9/15/2011	No	Kulakofsky, Michael	Yes
21032	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21033	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ramirez-Weaver, Melanie A	Yes
21034	9/15/2011	No	Moreno, Brian A	Yes
21035	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21036	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21037	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21038	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21039	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Gruner, Horst	Yes
21040	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Land, Martha	Yes
21041	9/15/2011	No	Species, Scott	Yes
21042	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	waters, n	Yes
21043	9/15/2011	No	Chiong, Lauren I	Yes
21044	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21045	9/15/2011	No	Lacy, Paoli	Yes
21046	9/15/2011	No	Bayer, John	Yes
21047	9/15/2011	No	Mendias, Dawn M	Yes
21048	9/15/2011	No	Keller, Janet E	Yes
21049	9/15/2011	No	Hendershott, Tracy	Yes
21050	9/15/2011	No	Cais, Sandra D	Yes
21051	9/15/2011	No	lentz, johan p	Yes
21052	9/15/2011	No	Brinker, Renee n	Yes
21053	9/15/2011	No	Zulaica, Maria A	Yes
21054	9/15/2011	No	Scott, Alex	Yes
21055	9/15/2011	No	hall, thomas c	Yes

## 0014020

21056	9/15/2011	No	Lee, Monet	Yes
21057	9/15/2011	No	Davoust, Suzanne M	Yes
21058	9/15/2011	No	small, gretchen	Yes
21059	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21060	9/15/2011	No	Pitts, Teresa	Yes
21061	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Harker, Jana	Yes
21062	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21063	9/15/2011	No	Karr, Mary D	Yes
21064	9/15/2011	No	barouch, melissa	Yes
21065	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Yearout, Doug	Yes
21066	9/15/2011	No	Reilly, Kristie	Yes
21067	9/15/2011	No	Acker, Annie	Yes
21068	9/15/2011	No	Billings, Leslie	Yes
21069	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Marshall, Carolyn	Yes
21070	9/15/2011	No	kuhl, M I	Yes
21071	9/15/2011	No	pelleg, joshua	Yes
21072	9/15/2011	No	Raisters, Rasma	Yes
21073	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Mahnic, Mark E	Yes
21074	9/15/2011	No	Neilands, Martin	Yes
21075	9/15/2011	No	Kayser-Grant, Lisa	Yes
21076	9/15/2011	No	hellmuth, cynthia r	Yes
21077	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Onel, Daniela	Yes
21078	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Nelson, Thomas W	Yes
21079	9/15/2011	No	paillard, christine	Yes
21080	9/15/2011	No	Buxton, Margaret	Yes
21081	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bruun, Walter	Yes
21082	9/15/2011	No	lanusse, steve	Yes
21083	9/15/2011	No	Steom, Reme	Yes
21084	9/15/2011	No	Brown, Isabel	Yes
21085	9/15/2011	No	A., Martina	Yes
21086	9/15/2011	No	Pedretti-Bell, Gillian	Yes
21087	9/15/2011	No	Sharick, Cami	Yes
21088	9/15/2011	No	Jacobus, Edward	Yes
21089	9/15/2011	No	winholtz, betty	Yes
21090	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Myers, Nathan T	Yes
21091	9/15/2011	No	Swiger, Edmund G	Yes
21092	9/15/2011	No	Hakim, Karim	Yes
21093	9/15/2011	No	furst, joel	Yes
21094	9/15/2011	No	Davlantes, Nancy	Yes
21095	9/15/2011	No	Kane, Sharon S	Yes
21096	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21097	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Henderson, David W	Yes
21098	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21099	9/15/2011	No	Lindau, Rebecka	Yes
21100	9/15/2011	No	King, Sue J	Yes
21101	9/15/2011	No	Robinson, Mick R	Yes
21102	9/15/2011	No	Houston-McMillan, Peta L	Yes
21103	9/15/2011	No	bolleurs, trish	Yes
21104	9/15/2011	No	chippi, k	Yes
21105	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Nedeau, Elden J	Yes
21106	9/15/2011	No	Simpson, Graeme	Yes
21107	9/15/2011	No	Mogavero, Carolyn T	Yes
21108	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Colombo, Jean	Yes
21109	9/15/2011	No	Chisholm, David J	Yes
21110	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bell, Tony	Yes

## 0014021

21111	9/15/2011	No	Schwank, Eleanor	Yes
21112	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	VourosCallahan, Pamela	Yes
21113	9/15/2011	No	Stevens, Rosemary R	Yes
21114	9/15/2011	No	Gilbert, Suzanne H	Yes
21115	9/15/2011	No	gold, carol	Yes
21116	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21117	9/15/2011	No	Malagisi, Rosa	Yes
21118	9/15/2011	No	Peterson, Andrew	Yes
21119	9/15/2011	No	Kelley, Mary L	Yes
21120	9/15/2011	No	Jackson, Carol M	Yes
21121	9/15/2011	No	Cooper, kathleen	Yes
21122	9/15/2011	No	Deshotels, James M	Yes
21123	9/15/2011	No	Winkel, Marguerite L	Yes
21124	9/15/2011	No	bez, anne e	Yes
21125	9/15/2011	No	martin, delphine	Yes
21126	9/15/2011	No	clifton, roy d	Yes
21127	9/15/2011	No	Hinterlong, Judy	Yes
21128	9/15/2011	No	Fenderson, Sharon E	Yes
21129	9/15/2011	No	Crupi, Kevin M	Yes
21130	9/15/2011	No	Hampson, Diana	Yes
21131	9/15/2011	No	jagasia, renu h	Yes
21132	9/15/2011	No	Borie, Edith	Yes
21133	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Simmons, Amy	Yes
21134	9/15/2011	No	stammer, lou	Yes
21135	9/15/2011	No	Lesmond, Sue	Yes
21136	9/15/2011	No	Lesmond, Beryl	Yes
21137	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Benet, Mercedes	Yes
21138	9/15/2011	No	Belknap, Jessica	Yes
21139	9/15/2011	No	watkinson, carolyn m	Yes
21140	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Taylor, Lynne	Yes
21141	9/15/2011	No	Reinhart, William R	Yes
21142	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21143	9/15/2011	No	Lang, Susan S	Yes
21144	9/15/2011	No	Shutter, Sandra J	Yes
21145	9/15/2011	No	Saunders, Morna	Yes
21146	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Wyatt, Ashley	Yes
21147	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Shah, Bobby	Yes
21148	9/15/2011	No	furlong, sharon a	Yes
21149	9/15/2011	No	gibson, bronwyn	Yes
21150	9/15/2011	No	Coates, Natalie A	Yes
21151	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Daniels, Amy	Yes
21152	9/15/2011	No	Haavind, Diane E	Yes
21153	9/15/2011	No	Yarker, Jan	Yes
21154	9/15/2011	No	Lowther, Rachel	Yes
21155	9/15/2011	No	MacLeod, Ramsay A	Yes
21156	9/15/2011	No	Edwards, Denise	Yes
21157	9/15/2011	No	Ali, Alice	Yes
21158	9/15/2011	No	Moeller, Robert	Yes
21159	9/15/2011	No	Schwaegerl, Dietrich W	Yes
21160	9/15/2011	No	Mauury, Sandra	Yes
21161	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21162	9/15/2011	No	Baglini, Sidne	Yes
21163	9/15/2011	No	ads, roy	Yes
21164	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21165	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Chotiner, Renee	Yes

## 0014022

21166	9/15/2011	No	Malina, Matt	Yes
21167	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21168	9/15/2011	No	kaplan, debra a	Yes
21169	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lauer, Candise	Yes
21170	9/15/2011	No	Dryden, Peter	Yes
21171	9/15/2011	No	Powell, Joshua	Yes
21172	9/15/2011	No	Hirt, Deb	Yes
21173	9/15/2011	No	harper, jenn	Yes
21174	9/15/2011	No	dehgan, charlotte a	Yes
21175	9/15/2011	No	Roseberry, Bill	Yes
21176	9/15/2011	No	Trimm, James	Yes
21177	9/15/2011	No	Scott, Kent L	Yes
21178	9/15/2011	No	Farace, Felicia	Yes
21179	9/15/2011	No	Aakesson, Alexander S	Yes
21180	9/15/2011	No	Duffy, Robert	Yes
21181	9/15/2011	No	Bolland, Robert F	Yes
21182	9/15/2011	No	Weston, Bill	Yes
21183	9/15/2011	No	Zabransky, Roberta	Yes
21184	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	papakonstandinou, eleni	Yes
21185	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Conners, Maureen A	Yes
21186	9/15/2011	No	dee, robin	Yes
21187	9/15/2011	No	bekenstein, stefanie	Yes
21188	9/15/2011	No	Maloney, L&iacute;am	Yes
21189	9/15/2011	No	Wilcox, Georgeanne M	Yes
21190	9/15/2011	No	Stockbridge, Nick	Yes
21191	9/15/2011	No	petrick, candy	Yes
21192	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Tetel, John G	Yes
21193	9/15/2011	No	Szafanski, Scott	Yes
21194	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Day, Tyler	Yes
21195	9/15/2011	No	SZIRAKI, SUSANNA	Yes
21196	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21197	9/15/2011	No	Wood, Margaret	Yes
21198	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoffmaster, Debra K	Yes
21199	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Moss, Paul	Yes
21200	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21201	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Dodson, Carrie	Yes
21202	9/15/2011	No	Mende, Alan	Yes
21203	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Haro, Grace d	Yes
21204	9/15/2011	No	Tucher, Robert H	Yes
21205	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynn, Andy	Yes
21206	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Vecchio, Diana	Yes
21207	9/15/2011	No	Banhart, Juliann M	Yes
21208	9/15/2011	No	Davis, Lisa E	Yes
21209	9/15/2011	No	McCarthy, James D	Yes
21210	9/15/2011	No	ohanian, roseann	Yes
21211	9/15/2011	No	K., Jeff	Yes
21212	9/15/2011	No	Sullivan, Terry	Yes
21213	9/15/2011	No	Lee, Rex	Yes
21214	9/15/2011	No	rossi, diego	Yes
21215	9/15/2011	No	O, Miriam	Yes
21216	9/15/2011	No	Thomas, Richard C	Yes
21217	9/15/2011	No	SCOTT, KAREN E	Yes
21218	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21219	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Schultz, Laura R	Yes
21220	9/15/2011	No	Walters, Kelcie L	Yes

## 0014023

21221	9/15/2011	No	Jetkiewicz, Kellye	Yes
21222	9/15/2011	No	Brady, Corinne	Yes
21223	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21224	9/15/2011	No	Tollner, Elise A	Yes
21225	9/15/2011	No	Blair, Clara	Yes
21226	9/15/2011	No	Maranville, Angela	Yes
21227	9/15/2011	No	lyden, chad	Yes
21228	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Klein, Randi E	Yes
21229	9/15/2011	No	Iesnikowski, Molly B	Yes
21230	9/15/2011	No	Cook, Eleanor L	Yes
21231	9/15/2011	No	Mcilhinney, Eileen	Yes
21232	9/15/2011	No	Fanning, Susan	Yes
21233	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21234	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	martin, helene g	Yes
21235	9/15/2011	No	Brown, Deborah	Yes
21236	9/15/2011	No	Kerr, Gale L	Yes
21237	9/15/2011	No	Chraniuk, Roksolana	Yes
21238	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21239	9/15/2011	No	Kipen, Ken & Ethel Kipen	Yes
21240	9/15/2011	No	Labadie, Margie B	Yes
21241	9/15/2011	No	Mead, Stacey	Yes
21242	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Cucharero, Marli G	Yes
21243	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Boleky, Vaughan	Yes
21244	9/15/2011	No	Bonomi, Erica	Yes
21245	9/15/2011	No	pierce, james e	Yes
21246	9/15/2011	No	Fox, Nancy	Yes
21247	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Beeler, Clara	Yes
21248	9/15/2011	No	catania-rachlin, christine	Yes
21249	9/15/2011	No	Reidhaar, Paula	Yes
21250	9/15/2011	No	Foss, Nolan W	Yes
21251	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Zarecky, Angel	Yes
21252	9/15/2011	No	Macy, Michelle K	Yes
21253	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21254	9/15/2011	No	Myers, Ruth	Yes
21255	9/15/2011	No	wisboro, judy	Yes
21256	9/15/2011	No	Maselli, June	Yes
21257	9/15/2011	No	nunes, maria l	Yes
21258	9/15/2011	No	Goldberg, Marshall R	Yes
21259	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hurley, Kathleen M	Yes
21260	9/15/2011	No	Almand, Nicole	Yes
21261	9/15/2011	No	Schulz, Tonni R	Yes
21262	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21263	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21264	9/15/2011	No	Freilich, Jeffrey N	Yes
21265	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21266	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ritsky, Marilyn I	Yes
21267	9/15/2011	No	Blackburn, J	Yes
21268	9/15/2011	No	Plant, silvio L	Yes
21269	9/15/2011	No	Buelow, Chris	Yes
21270	9/15/2011	No	Leibowitz, Ruth M	Yes
21271	9/15/2011	No	DeLisi, Cece	Yes
21272	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Balboa, Alex	Yes
21273	9/15/2011	No	Tangel, Cecilee M	Yes
21274	9/15/2011	No	Kupelov, Vladimir V	Yes
21275	9/15/2011	No	Mackowski, Frank J	Yes



0014024

21276	9/15/2011	No	gutierrez, eddie	Yes
21277	9/15/2011	No	Wetzel, Tiffany	Yes
21278	9/15/2011	No	brigitte, collard	Yes
21279	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Suda, Mary	Yes
21280	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	McGuire, Kathleen T	Yes
21281	9/15/2011	No	lacono, Lauren L	Yes
21282	9/15/2011	No	Huggins, Margie	Yes
21283	9/15/2011	No	Porter, Joelle E	Yes
21284	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Trzopek, Alicja	Yes
21285	9/15/2011	No	Blair, John I	Yes
21286	9/15/2011	No	Hughes, Lorraine M	Yes
21287	9/15/2011	No	Garner, Beverley L	Yes
21288	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21289	9/15/2011	No	Calvert, Bruce T	Yes
21290	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	steigerwaldt, samantha	Yes
21291	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	D'Innocenzo, Lisa	Yes
21292	9/15/2011	No	friedman, nancy	Yes
21293	9/15/2011	No	Mirabella, August	Yes
21294	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21295	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hinson, Katherine	Yes
21296	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Donohue, Tara Sue Miller	Yes
21297	9/15/2011	No	DiPrima, Tiffany	Yes
21298	9/15/2011	No	Sullivan, Gayle	Yes
21299	9/15/2011	No	McDonald, Kathy L	Yes
21300	9/15/2011	No	Jagels, Linda	Yes
21301	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21302	9/15/2011	No	Whelan, Richard H	Yes
21303	9/15/2011	No	Gurtz, Carol A	Yes
21304	9/15/2011	No	Bedick, Joshua	Yes
21305	9/15/2011	No	Brown, Jennifer	Yes
21306	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	McLane, Michael E	Yes
21307	9/15/2011	No	Carroll, Susan	Yes
21308	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	gabbert, tim	Yes
21309	9/15/2011	No	Cosgrove, Rachel	Yes
21310	9/15/2011	No	Lilla, Maureen E	Yes
21311	9/15/2011	No	shotwell, andreia	Yes
21312	9/15/2011	No	Zemaitis, Jean D	Yes
21313	9/15/2011	No	Combs, DeLana r	Yes
21314	9/15/2011	No	Wawrzyniak, Chad M	Yes
21315	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Longo, Carissa	Yes
21316	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21317	9/15/2011	No	Singleton, Bonnie L	Yes
21318	9/15/2011	No	Saravanan, Bhavani	Yes
21319	9/15/2011	No	Lawser, Robert J	Yes
21320	9/15/2011	No	McSwain, William D	Yes
21321	9/15/2011	No	Curtis, Pam A	Yes
21322	9/15/2011	No	Gutenson, Otto	Yes
21323	9/15/2011	No	Larive, Darlene G	Yes
21324	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Haag, Melanie v	Yes
21325	9/15/2011	No	Millsap, Diana C	Yes
21326	9/15/2011	No	rosen, kay	Yes
21327	9/15/2011	No	cielukowski, john	Yes
21328	9/15/2011	No	Jr, George Charles Molinski Jr	Yes
21329	9/15/2011	No	Bernhang, Judy	Yes
21330	9/15/2011	No	Nevins, Laura	Yes

## 0014025

21331	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21332	9/15/2011	No	Schrad, Anna	Yes
21333	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Fetting, Jessica	Yes
21334	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21335	9/15/2011	No	Pekrins, Stuart	Yes
21336	9/15/2011	No	hail, brittany l	Yes
21337	9/15/2011	No	Frandsen, Jennifer G	Yes
21338	9/15/2011	No	Croxson, Linda n	Yes
21339	9/15/2011	No	DeRoy, Al K	Yes
21340	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Davis, Byron L	Yes
21341	9/15/2011	No	Weeks, Chris	Yes
21342	9/15/2011	No	Petersen, M	Yes
21343	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21344	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21345	9/15/2011	No	Johnson, Mary J	Yes
21346	9/15/2011	No	Stedman, Jen	Yes
21347	9/15/2011	No	Perlman, Jacjk A	Yes
21348	9/15/2011	No	Twitmyer, Jane	Yes
21349	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Price, Martha	Yes
21350	9/15/2011	No	Savarda, Ray R	Yes
21351	9/15/2011	No	Davis, Jackie E	Yes
21352	9/15/2011	No	Engblom, Robert B	Yes
21353	9/15/2011	No	Brisco, Austin	Yes
21354	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21355	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	McCarty, Garret	Yes
21356	9/15/2011	No	Briere, Laure-Anne	Yes
21357	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Elliott, Shannon	Yes
21358	9/15/2011	No	Hernandez, Stephanie	Yes
21359	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Patten, Rachel	Yes
21360	9/15/2011	No	Holmgren, Jeanette	Yes
21361	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ross, B. E	Yes
21362	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynn, Candice	Yes
21363	9/15/2011	No	Falzone, Dominick	Yes
21364	9/15/2011	No	Morales, Stphanie j	Yes
21365	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Dart, Ryan	Yes
21366	9/15/2011	No	Luther, Karen	Yes
21367	9/15/2011	No	Sikes, Rebecca A	Yes
21368	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Berendonk, Karalee	Yes
21369	9/15/2011	No	Chapman, jennifer	Yes
21370	9/15/2011	No	Kearney, Laura	Yes
21371	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Frank, Robert D	Yes
21372	9/15/2011	No	Albers, Catherine	Yes
21373	9/15/2011	No	Mai, Laraine M	Yes
21374	9/15/2011	No	Vovk, Mary J	Yes
21375	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Packer, Patricia A	Yes
21376	9/15/2011	No	Gould, Dona	Yes
21377	9/15/2011	No	Leppala, Patricia A	Yes
21378	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Cozad, Bren	Yes
21379	9/15/2011	No	lanning, glendora s	Yes
21380	9/15/2011	No	Thomas, Melissa	Yes
21381	9/15/2011	No	Hays, Marilee	Yes
21382	9/15/2011	No	Winkler, Diane M	Yes
21383	9/15/2011	No	Leone, Stephen	Yes
21384	9/15/2011	No	Cjard, Sue A	Yes
21385	9/15/2011	No	dunn, bruce h	Yes

## 0014026

21386	9/15/2011	No	Phillips, Scott	Yes
21387	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bailey, Larry	Yes
21388	9/15/2011	No	Morse, Ed	Yes
21389	9/15/2011	No	O'Steen, Dorothea J	Yes
21390	9/15/2011	No	Bridges, Mary H	Yes
21391	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Muirhead, Vitina	Yes
21392	9/15/2011	No	Rockwell, John T	Yes
21393	9/15/2011	No	Wyenandt, Patricia	Yes
21394	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lattanzio, Therese	Yes
21395	9/15/2011	No	Hott, Leslie A	Yes
21396	9/15/2011	No	Isaacs, Ronnie D	Yes
21397	9/15/2011	No	Metje, Melodie A	Yes
21398	9/15/2011	No	Singer, Jennifer	Yes
21399	9/15/2011	No	Bentley, Rita	Yes
21400	9/15/2011	No	Horn, Andrew	Yes
21401	9/15/2011	No	Zucchi, Carlo	Yes
21402	9/15/2011	No	Bellobuono, Mary P	Yes
21403	9/15/2011	No	Querze, Susan	Yes
21404	9/15/2011	No	Bowden, Joan K	Yes
21405	9/15/2011	No	Walsh, Jane E	Yes
21406	9/15/2011	No	Vickers, Carleton C	Yes
21407	9/15/2011	No	Capell, Jodie	Yes
21408	9/15/2011	No	Barrett, Holly J	Yes
21409	9/15/2011	No	Fagan, Kathryn P	Yes
21410	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Holmes, Michelle	Yes
21411	9/15/2011	No	Brodfeld, Roberta	Yes
21412	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Kennedy, Gregory	Yes
21413	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynch, Wendy	Yes
21414	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Becki, Lenee	Yes
21415	9/15/2011	No	Richardson, Kirk	Yes
21416	9/15/2011	No	Cozzetta, Jessica	Yes
21417	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	freelove, rachelle	Yes
21418	9/15/2011	No	Swanson, Baird	Yes
21419	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Harris, m	Yes
21420	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Schwanke, Craig A	Yes
21421	9/15/2011	No	Goetz, Matthew	Yes
21422	9/15/2011	No	Langdon, Robin L	Yes
21423	9/15/2011	No	Goldin, Beth	Yes
21424	9/15/2011	No	Headley, Linda K	Yes
21425	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Higdon, Kristen N	Yes
21426	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Winnu, Debora	Yes
21427	9/15/2011	No	Kohlsaas-Sweitzer, Hannah C	Yes
21428	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Spurlin, Jacqueline	Yes
21429	9/15/2011	No	Wood, Sarah	Yes
21430	9/15/2011	No	Samp, Cecelia	Yes
21431	9/15/2011	No	johnson, soeren	Yes
21432	9/15/2011	No	Wright, Donald	Yes
21433	9/15/2011	No	Harnedy, Kacy	Yes
21434	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Kirchwey, Christopher	Yes
21435	9/15/2011	No	Blount, Susan	Yes
21436	9/15/2011	No	Currier, Nancy J	Yes
21437	9/15/2011	No	Etherton, S.	Yes
21438	9/15/2011	No	Clarke, Catherine	Yes
21439	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ann, Kimberly	Yes
21440	9/15/2011	No	Babson, Leslie	Yes

0014027

21441	9/15/2011	No	Biddle, Mary M	Yes
21442	9/15/2011	No	Granito, Ben	Yes
21443	9/15/2011	No	Maurer, Denise M	Yes
21444	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Elliker, Susn	Yes
21445	9/15/2011	No	Boyett, Marta A	Yes
21446	9/15/2011	No	Felix, A S	Yes
21447	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Usher, Rachel	Yes
21448	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Vincent, Judith	Yes
21449	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Wendel, Heather	Yes
21450	9/15/2011	No	bildstein, leonard m	Yes
21451	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21452	9/15/2011	No	Miller, Sharron A	Yes
21453	9/15/2011	No	Kite, MF	Yes
21454	9/15/2011	No	corro, megan c	Yes
21455	9/15/2011	No	vincent, wayne s	Yes
21456	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Isaacs, Ed	Yes
21457	9/15/2011	No	zouzaneas, sandy	Yes
21458	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Petrulis, Bryan	Yes
21459	9/15/2011	No	ousley, carrie	Yes
21460	9/15/2011	No	Gilmore, Julie	Yes
21461	9/15/2011	No	Corter, Mara L	Yes
21462	9/15/2011	No	Jean, Jessica S	Yes
21463	9/15/2011	No	Tussy, Gemma	Yes
21464	9/15/2011	No	Bacon, Patricia	Yes
21465	9/15/2011	No	Materi, Sandra L	Yes
21466	9/15/2011	No	Gritton, Melody L	Yes
21467	9/15/2011	No	Flanigan, Mickie	Yes
21468	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hunt, Heidi J	Yes
21469	9/15/2011	No	Marriott, Lukie	Yes
21470	9/15/2011	No	Woodmansee, Jane	Yes
21471	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bailey, J. A	Yes
21472	9/15/2011	No	Teschendorf, Glenn	Yes
21473	9/15/2011	No	Rall, Carol	Yes
21474	9/15/2011	No	Brandon, Judith M	Yes
21475	9/15/2011	No	Moore, Richard E	Yes
21476	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Carothers, Thomas	Yes
21477	9/15/2011	No	Jorgensen, Lauren	Yes
21478	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hart, Lyn	Yes
21479	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Roberto, Robert	Yes
21480	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, William R	Yes
21481	9/15/2011	No	Nolan, Heather A	Yes
21482	9/15/2011	No	Seaman, Patricia	Yes
21483	9/15/2011	No	Schwartz, Dody	Yes
21484	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21485	9/15/2011	No	DeMoss, Lessye	Yes
21486	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Broyles, Elizabeth	Yes
21487	9/15/2011	No	Marthaler, Ray	Yes
21488	9/15/2011	No	Giles, Joa	Yes
21489	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Griffioen, I	Yes
21490	9/15/2011	No	White, Sidney A., Jr. White	Yes
21491	9/15/2011	No	McMurtry, Angela C	Yes
21492	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bear, Rev. Andrew Edward	Yes
21493	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Prine, Deanna	Yes
21494	9/15/2011	No	Tokunaga, Barb W	Yes
21495	9/15/2011	No	McEvoy, Jean	Yes

## 0014028

21496	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Fargel, Shannon M	Yes
21497	9/15/2011	No	Travis, Jerry	Yes
21498	9/15/2011	No	Bredvik, Delane	Yes
21499	9/15/2011	No	Sellers, Margaret L	Yes
21500	9/15/2011	No	Smith, Kathy C	Yes
21501	9/15/2011	No	Ciaravella, Shannon K	Yes
21502	9/15/2011	No	Akers, Anna M	Yes
21503	9/15/2011	No	Crosby, Christina M	Yes
21504	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Phillips, Patricia	Yes
21505	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Carrasco, Bianca	Yes
21506	9/15/2011	No	Spinella, Nancy	Yes
21507	9/15/2011	No	Fremling, Dr. W	Yes
21508	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Baron, Lee	Yes
21509	9/15/2011	No	Walters, Sandra	Yes
21510	9/15/2011	No	Baker, Diana E	Yes
21511	9/15/2011	No	McPherson, Blayne S	Yes
21512	9/15/2011	No	Ugolik, Dr. Lori Lee Ugolik	Yes
21513	9/15/2011	No	Davis, Robin	Yes
21514	9/15/2011	No	Mack, Erin	Yes
21515	9/15/2011	No	Scott, Russell C	Yes
21516	9/15/2011	No	111, Robert Allen McLane 111	Yes
21517	9/15/2011	No	Cappuccio, Luisa	Yes
21518	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Koehn, Mary B	Yes
21519	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21520	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Kirby, Theodore	Yes
21521	9/15/2011	No	Germano, Katherine A	Yes
21522	9/15/2011	No	Bajinski, Dagmar	Yes
21523	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Vult, Deus	Yes
21524	9/15/2011	No	DEVIER, KAYLEEN A	Yes
21525	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Liszeo, Barbara	Yes
21526	9/15/2011	No	Caplan, Paula	Yes
21527	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Brincka, Frank A	Yes
21528	9/15/2011	No	Aminger, Maria A	Yes
21529	9/15/2011	No	Hanibal, Jeannine L	Yes
21530	9/15/2011	No	McCormick, Ellen O	Yes
21531	9/15/2011	No	Pittenger, Karen W	Yes
21532	9/15/2011	No	James, Donna m	Yes
21533	9/15/2011	No	Vanderplow, Pat	Yes
21534	9/15/2011	No	ingersoll, mina c	Yes
21535	9/15/2011	No	Liptak, Linda	Yes
21536	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21537	9/15/2011	No	Sigler, Dean R	Yes
21538	9/15/2011	No	jale, devin f	Yes
21539	9/15/2011	No	Churchill, Nancy	Yes
21540	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21541	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Aber, Cind	Yes
21542	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	dugan, julia a	Yes
21543	9/15/2011	No	Power, Kate E	Yes
21544	9/15/2011	No	Malone, Margaret A	Yes
21545	9/15/2011	No	Harris, Peggy K	Yes
21546	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hering, Janine	Yes
21547	9/15/2011	No	shevis, aaron	Yes
21548	9/15/2011	No	Saba, Diana	Yes
21549	9/15/2011	No	Berk, Heather	Yes
21550	9/15/2011	No	Schnirer, Dana	Yes

## 0014029

21551	9/15/2011	No	Trescone, Thomas K	Yes
21552	9/15/2011	No	block, tye a	Yes
21553	9/15/2011	No	Tanner, Joseph W	Yes
21554	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Doutre, Emily	Yes
21555	9/15/2011	No	Gilges, Peggy	Yes
21556	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Vanderpoel, William	Yes
21557	9/15/2011	No	Hale, Bonnie J	Yes
21558	9/15/2011	No	Young, Constance H	Yes
21559	9/15/2011	No	bedics, karen m	Yes
21560	9/15/2011	No	Yost, Charles F. Van Sistine -	Yes
21561	9/15/2011	No	Frostick, Sarah B	Yes
21562	9/15/2011	No	Bodde, Mary A	Yes
21563	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	slakmon, tsilla	Yes
21564	9/15/2011	No	Jannuzzi, Joseph D	Yes
21565	9/15/2011	No	Conti, John	Yes
21566	9/15/2011	No	Ward, Lonnie D	Yes
21567	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Sanchez, Jacqueline	Yes
21568	9/15/2011	No	Hill, Jerry C	Yes
21569	9/15/2011	No	Brosh, Linda	Yes
21570	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Iosif, Katherine A	Yes
21571	9/15/2011	No	Hansen, Martha I	Yes
21572	9/15/2011	No	Warren, Loretta F	Yes
21573	9/15/2011	No	PhD, Jennifer F Taylor, PhD	Yes
21574	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21575	9/15/2011	No	faltin, rob	Yes
21576	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Short, Kimberly	Yes
21577	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Steininger, Lorenz	Yes
21578	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21579	9/15/2011	No	Celano, Christina R	Yes
21580	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Turton, Angela	Yes
21581	9/15/2011	No	Budnicki, Barbara A	Yes
21582	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21583	9/15/2011	No	Thompson, Patty A	Yes
21584	9/15/2011	No	Laney-MacLuan, Caryn R	Yes
21585	9/15/2011	No	Stone, Lisa E	Yes
21586	9/15/2011	No	ROBIE, LISA	Yes
21587	9/15/2011	No	Morotti, Gloria J	Yes
21588	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Etgen, Benjamin	Yes
21589	9/15/2011	No	Walton, Marcia	Yes
21590	9/15/2011	No	Antczak, Elisse M	Yes
21591	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	fazzari, angela	Yes
21592	9/15/2011	No	McMullen, Colleen F	Yes
21593	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21594	9/15/2011	No	Fuentes, Max	Yes
21595	9/15/2011	No	Harshman, Christine O	Yes
21596	9/15/2011	No	DiPaolo, Angela M	Yes
21597	9/15/2011	No	fall, fred	Yes
21598	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21599	9/15/2011	No	Cagley, Michael	Yes
21600	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	ALEX, DEANN	Yes
21601	9/15/2011	No	Crosby, Jill A	Yes
21602	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Fuller, Aaron	Yes
21603	9/15/2011	No	Prather, Wendy	Yes
21604	9/15/2011	No	Bivens, Terrisa A	Yes
21605	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Tolson, Mark	Yes

## 0014030

21606	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Perinchief, Jana	Yes
21607	9/15/2011	No	Seekamp, Erin	Yes
21608	9/15/2011	No	Allen, Susan C	Yes
21609	9/15/2011	No	King, Jill J	Yes
21610	9/15/2011	No	wassell, ellie k	Yes
21611	9/15/2011	No	Brockway, Donald L	Yes
21612	9/15/2011	No	Moeller, Faith	Yes
21613	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Freitas, Raul	Yes
21614	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Gaede, Paige	Yes
21615	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Sistrunk, Phyllis	Yes
21616	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Tangi, Anna	Yes
21617	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Aulde, Susan W	Yes
21618	9/15/2011	No	Harland, Donald	Yes
21619	9/15/2011	No	Allen, Kristen	Yes
21620	9/15/2011	No	Gibeau, Diane	Yes
21621	9/15/2011	No	Alcumbrack, nancy	Yes
21622	9/15/2011	No	perryman, j	Yes
21623	9/15/2011	No	robb, paul	Yes
21624	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21625	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lindgren, Kristie	Yes
21626	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Zook, Theodore A	Yes
21627	9/15/2011	No	Cook, A.	Yes
21628	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Cook, Catherine	Yes
21629	9/15/2011	No	Anderson, Marketa B	Yes
21630	9/15/2011	No	Schmidt, Raymond L	Yes
21631	9/15/2011	No	GAROUTTE, CLAUDIA	Yes
21632	9/15/2011	No	meassick, eva m	Yes
21633	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Gillespie, Natalie	Yes
21634	9/15/2011	No	Orlos, Annette M	Yes
21635	9/15/2011	No	Jean, Carole G	Yes
21636	9/15/2011	No	Adams, Lisa	Yes
21637	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bivona, Eric	Yes
21638	9/15/2011	No	Stewart, Hattie Avis Milligan	Yes
21639	9/15/2011	No	Hirsch, Andra	Yes
21640	9/15/2011	No	Green, Eli D	Yes
21641	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21642	9/15/2011	No	Tamasik, Catherine	Yes
21643	9/15/2011	No	Bolton-Joubert, Maria G	Yes
21644	9/15/2011	No	wecker, judith e	Yes
21645	9/15/2011	No	Walker, Martha L	Yes
21646	9/15/2011	No	Horn, Vernon	Yes
21647	9/15/2011	No	Canton, Cindy	Yes
21648	9/15/2011	No	Ruben, Yvonne K	Yes
21649	9/15/2011	No	Bufano, Eric	Yes
21650	9/15/2011	No	Wright, Rosie	Yes
21651	9/15/2011	No	Norman, Kara A	Yes
21652	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Zinn, Andrea	Yes
21653	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Wesley, F. R	Yes
21654	9/15/2011	No	Lundemo, Charlotte	Yes
21655	9/15/2011	No	Crawford, Wanda	Yes
21656	9/15/2011	No	Parisio, Richard	Yes
21657	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21658	9/15/2011	No	Pistolesi, Linda	Yes
21659	9/15/2011	No	Davidson, Anne R	Yes
21660	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Cloud, Michael J	Yes

## 0014031

21661	9/15/2011	No	Ottina, Martha J	Yes
21662	9/15/2011	No	alimen, erica	Yes
21663	9/15/2011	No	haslett, dora m	Yes
21664	9/15/2011	No	Dzindzeleta, Mercedes R	Yes
21665	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Pooler, Carole	Yes
21666	9/15/2011	No	P., Y.	Yes
21667	9/15/2011	No	cantu, dennise	Yes
21668	9/15/2011	No	Cox-Johnson, Deborah J	Yes
21669	9/15/2011	No	Gaegler, Jennifer	Yes
21670	9/15/2011	No	Shively, Daniel C	Yes
21671	9/15/2011	No	Butler, Linda M	Yes
21672	9/15/2011	No	Uhlman, Deborah	Yes
21673	9/15/2011	No	Dake, Elizabeth W	Yes
21674	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Mugglestone, Tom A	Yes
21675	9/15/2011	No	widman, lura l	Yes
21676	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21677	9/15/2011	No	graf, beverly r	Yes
21678	9/15/2011	No	Fleming, Nancy C	Yes
21679	9/15/2011	No	Waters, Susan M	Yes
21680	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21681	9/15/2011	No	Quigley, Barbara	Yes
21682	9/15/2011	No	Moyer, Leigh	Yes
21683	9/15/2011	No	Morris, Melissa	Yes
21684	9/15/2011	No	Slechta, Cheryl S	Yes
21685	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bok, Regina	Yes
21686	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Oppenheim, Jennifer	Yes
21687	9/15/2011	No	Miller, Linda j	Yes
21688	9/15/2011	No	Menkes, c	Yes
21689	9/15/2011	No	Crossman, Donna E	Yes
21690	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Qura, Rania M	Yes
21691	9/15/2011	No	belt, annie m	Yes
21692	9/15/2011	No	Placial, Melodee E	Yes
21693	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	cobb, robert c	Yes
21694	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21695	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Drwinga, Helen	Yes
21696	9/15/2011	No	Watson, Laurel	Yes
21697	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Charles	Yes
21698	9/15/2011	No	Monteiro, Mario	Yes
21699	9/15/2011	No	Hill, Amy	Yes
21700	9/15/2011	No	Back, Floyd W	Yes
21701	9/15/2011	No	Lind, M. G	Yes
21702	9/15/2011	No	Comer, Vickie	Yes
21703	9/15/2011	No	Yandell, Theresa	Yes
21704	9/15/2011	No	Eunice, Elissa L	Yes
21705	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Pirie, Cynthia J	Yes
21706	9/15/2011	No	griffiths, jane	Yes
21707	9/15/2011	No	Goltz, Romy	Yes
21708	9/15/2011	No	Moss, Glenn A	Yes
21709	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21710	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21711	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21712	9/15/2011	No	Lackey, Robin M	Yes
21713	9/15/2011	No	Tarvin, Larry E	Yes
21714	9/15/2011	No	Clarke, Candace L	Yes
21715	9/15/2011	No	Sutherland, Hugh	Yes



## 0014032

21716	9/15/2011	No	Whitsell, Marisa	Yes
21717	9/15/2011	No	farr, allison j	Yes
21718	9/15/2011	No	cherry, karen	Yes
21719	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21720	9/15/2011	No	Thompson, Rhiley C	Yes
21721	9/15/2011	No	Mayworm, Betty A	Yes
21722	9/15/2011	No	thompson, lewis p	Yes
21723	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21724	9/15/2011	No	Chance, Kim L	Yes
21725	9/15/2011	No	Glassoff, Pamela J	Yes
21726	9/15/2011	No	Kuehn, Richard M	Yes
21727	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Rivers, Michelle O	Yes
21728	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Henry, Mallika	Yes
21729	9/15/2011	No	Patchell, Nicole	Yes
21730	9/15/2011	No	hawtof, gwen	Yes
21731	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Wells, Nancy	Yes
21732	9/15/2011	No	Baker, Christine	Yes
21733	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21734	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Markus-Walczak, Kathleen M	Yes
21735	9/15/2011	No	Haynes, Lang D	Yes
21736	9/15/2011	No	Corry, Ronit	Yes
21737	9/15/2011	No	Winsemius, Thomas	Yes
21738	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Giese, Mark M	Yes
21739	9/15/2011	No	davis, s k	Yes
21740	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Cleef, April V	Yes
21741	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Martin, Pat	Yes
21742	9/15/2011	No	Clements, Rhonda	Yes
21743	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21744	9/15/2011	No	Brockway, Marie	Yes
21745	9/15/2011	No	Tagliaferro, Debra	Yes
21746	9/15/2011	No	CASSETTA, WENDY L	Yes
21747	9/15/2011	No	Morrison, Mary L	Yes
21748	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	watters, whitney l	Yes
21749	9/15/2011	No	zanoni, jan l	Yes
21750	9/15/2011	No	smith, mary	Yes
21751	9/15/2011	No	Beal, John	Yes
21752	9/15/2011	No	Denes, Sarah	Yes
21753	9/15/2011	No	dudan, claire	Yes
21754	9/15/2011	No	fairbanks, debbie	Yes
21755	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Astren, Johanna M	Yes
21756	9/15/2011	No	Walker, Carol A	Yes
21757	9/15/2011	No	Reprince, Beth D	Yes
21758	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Phillips, Janet	Yes
21759	9/15/2011	No	bronkema, don e	Yes
21760	9/15/2011	No	Driss, Irene	Yes
21761	9/15/2011	No	Rodriguez, Susan B	Yes
21762	9/15/2011	No	Prowell, Shannon	Yes
21763	9/15/2011	No	Zakaluk, Carol A	Yes
21764	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Rash, John	Yes
21765	9/15/2011	No	Roberts, Jennifer L	Yes
21766	9/15/2011	No	Foote, Wayne W	Yes
21767	9/15/2011	No	Gomolka, John	Yes
21768	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Firester, Megan	Yes
21769	9/15/2011	No	Hackett, Pat	Yes
21770	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ellsion, Jnae M	Yes

## 0014033

21771	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Homer, John E	Yes
21772	9/15/2011	No	dunn, kathy l	Yes
21773	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Tara A	Yes
21774	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Gawle, Chris P	Yes
21775	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Laskey, Brian	Yes
21776	9/15/2011	No	DiLillo, Christine M. Cameron	Yes
21777	9/15/2011	No	D., Liz	Yes
21778	9/15/2011	No	McKenna, Colleen	Yes
21779	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Logan, Marilyn K	Yes
21780	9/15/2011	No	walberg, george a	Yes
21781	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Tolski, Stefanie	Yes
21782	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Wheat, Lynn	Yes
21783	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21784	9/15/2011	No	Ruth, Christina	Yes
21785	9/15/2011	No	Mulcahy, Susan	Yes
21786	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21787	9/15/2011	No	Neff, Kim	Yes
21788	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Stephan, Dorothea	Yes
21789	9/15/2011	No	foltz, kaye f	Yes
21790	9/15/2011	No	Cunningham, Pamela	Yes
21791	9/15/2011	No	Paluch, Peter J	Yes
21792	9/15/2011	No	Lyle, Peggy N	Yes
21793	9/15/2011	No	Balfour, Michele	Yes
21794	9/15/2011	No	Pearson, Robert L	Yes
21795	9/15/2011	No	Ming, Eric	Yes
21796	9/15/2011	No	Lindemann, Jeremy R	Yes
21797	9/15/2011	No	Proett, Thomas	Yes
21798	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	D'Antonio, Lisa M	Yes
21799	9/15/2011	No	Smith, Natalie	Yes
21800	9/15/2011	No	Jacobs, Linda M	Yes
21801	9/15/2011	No	Thorsen, Jean	Yes
21802	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Sahl, Brad	Yes
21803	9/15/2011	No	wechter, rita s	Yes
21804	9/15/2011	No	Newbold, Ed	Yes
21805	9/15/2011	No	Malody, Kathleen	Yes
21806	9/15/2011	No	Lienau, Tim C	Yes
21807	9/15/2011	No	Woody, Diane R	Yes
21808	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Raeder, Dr. M	Yes
21809	9/15/2011	No	Cherzan, Deidra	Yes
21810	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Mize, Judith	Yes
21811	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Gray, Jessica A	Yes
21812	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Blumrich, Matthias	Yes
21813	9/15/2011	No	harris, selina	Yes
21814	9/15/2011	No	Huneke, Dorian E	Yes
21815	9/15/2011	No	Fehr, Richard P	Yes
21816	9/15/2011	No	Minix, Sheri m	Yes
21817	9/15/2011	No	Ziemak, Cheryl	Yes
21818	9/15/2011	No	Hall, Jonathan	Yes
21819	9/15/2011	No	Ziemak, Ann	Yes
21820	9/15/2011	No	Christ, M'Lou	Yes
21821	9/15/2011	No	giordano, marianne	Yes
21822	9/15/2011	No	Hall, Jarvis	Yes
21823	9/15/2011	No	Ziemak, Ginger	Yes
21824	9/15/2011	No	Holland, Brett	Yes
21825	9/15/2011	No	Aby, Sue	Yes

## 0014034

21826	9/15/2011	No	dreesman, rikke	Yes
21827	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lundy, Monica	Yes
21828	9/15/2011	No	Wood, Stephanie A	Yes
21829	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	laurie, annie	Yes
21830	9/15/2011	No	Soister, Nancy L	Yes
21831	9/15/2011	No	Krueger, Janet	Yes
21832	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Halvorson, Heather	Yes
21833	9/15/2011	No	Nothaft, Debby J	Yes
21834	9/15/2011	No	Russo, Meredith C	Yes
21835	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Alexander, Kathleen	Yes
21836	9/15/2011	No	Noire, Andrew	Yes
21837	9/15/2011	No	Hart, Carol G	Yes
21838	9/15/2011	No	Seaman, Gerda n	Yes
21839	9/15/2011	No	Ray, Robin	Yes
21840	9/15/2011	No	Colton, Steve	Yes
21841	9/15/2011	No	Dillon, A	Yes
21842	9/15/2011	No	Hargrove, Karen	Yes
21843	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21844	9/15/2011	No	Durzo, Judith L	Yes
21845	9/15/2011	No	Hellgren, Ann K	Yes
21846	9/15/2011	No	Busch, Allison M	Yes
21847	9/15/2011	No	whalen, shirley m	Yes
21848	9/15/2011	No	Switzer, Susan A	Yes
21849	9/15/2011	No	Hallett, Mark A	Yes
21850	9/15/2011	No	Liddy, Patricia C	Yes
21851	9/15/2011	No	jones, jim	Yes
21852	9/15/2011	No	Hofstad, Nathan A	Yes
21853	9/15/2011	No	Hamly, Marilyn J	Yes
21854	9/15/2011	No	Starr, Madonna K	Yes
21855	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21856	9/15/2011	No	Wright, Charlie	Yes
21857	9/15/2011	No	Clark, Nancy C	Yes
21858	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Szymanski, Deb	Yes
21859	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Galvanek, Janel	Yes
21860	9/15/2011	No	Stokes, Francis	Yes
21861	9/15/2011	No	WOLCOTT, JAMES L	Yes
21862	9/15/2011	No	Loss, Megan	Yes
21863	9/15/2011	No	Eggart, Elnor	Yes
21864	9/15/2011	No	King, Debbie P	Yes
21865	9/15/2011	No	marx, donna	Yes
21866	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21867	9/15/2011	No	Trump, Connie H	Yes
21868	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Gregory	Yes
21869	9/15/2011	No	Pomerleau, Catherine M	Yes
21870	9/15/2011	No	Owano, Rhiannon L	Yes
21871	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21872	9/15/2011	No	Wicht, Dan	Yes
21873	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21874	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Alabiso, Marie	Yes
21875	9/15/2011	No	LaDore, Lisa A	Yes
21876	9/15/2011	No	Moncada, Jessica	Yes
21877	9/15/2011	No	Kardos, Theresa	Yes
21878	9/15/2011	No	Lewis, Nita	Yes
21879	9/15/2011	No	Rokosz, Barbara	Yes
21880	9/15/2011	No	didi, monika	Yes

## 0014035

21881	9/15/2011	No	Zeamer, Warwick	Yes
21882	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hudnut, Fritz	Yes
21883	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Luttermoser, Tim	Yes
21884	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
21885	9/15/2011	No	Fellows, Suzanna D	Yes
21886	9/15/2011	No	Vella, Kent A	Yes
21887	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Smith, Stephanie M	Yes
21888	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Santone, Deborah	Yes
21889	9/15/2011	No	STARLING, CHRISTI A	Yes
21890	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21891	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Heide, Noel	Yes
21892	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21893	9/15/2011	No	Dimond, Linda S	Yes
21894	9/15/2011	No	Ilewicz, Cynthia L	Yes
21895	9/15/2011	No	Bialous, Carrie	Yes
21896	9/15/2011	No	Ra, Orchid C	Yes
21897	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bruckenstein, Alice	Yes
21898	9/15/2011	No	Joseph, Marcia W	Yes
21899	9/15/2011	No	Meehan, Mary e	Yes
21900	9/15/2011	No	Phillips, Robert & Brenda	Yes
21901	9/15/2011	No	Mozen, Harry	Yes
21902	9/15/2011	No	hunt, george h	Yes
21903	9/15/2011	No	Gehrig, Judy	Yes
21904	9/15/2011	No	Cope, Tracy	Yes
21905	9/15/2011	No	Bartlett, Mary B	Yes
21906	9/15/2011	No	noonen, janet	Yes
21907	9/15/2011	No	Timpone, Linda	Yes
21908	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hawthorne, Christina M	Yes
21909	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Kielich, Marna	Yes
21910	9/15/2011	No	Johnson, Doreen C	Yes
21911	9/15/2011	No	Gersuk-Byrd, Eileen M	Yes
21912	9/15/2011	No	Gansworth, kristi	Yes
21913	9/15/2011	No	Ferrier, R	Yes
21914	9/15/2011	No	Marshall, Linda F	Yes
21915	9/15/2011	No	Vardan, Sara C	Yes
21916	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lundquist, Charlotte	Yes
21917	9/15/2011	No	Scott, Kenna N	Yes
21918	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21919	9/15/2011	No	dubois, sybille m	Yes
21920	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21921	9/15/2011	No	Brego, Pauline	Yes
21922	9/15/2011	No	Fiore, Carol A	Yes
21923	9/15/2011	No	Koenig, Lisa	Yes
21924	9/15/2011	No	Pappajohn, Patricia A	Yes
21925	9/15/2011	No	Fehsinger, Robert	Yes
21926	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21927	9/15/2011	No	Vaccaro, Terry	Yes
21928	9/15/2011	No	Anderson, Kelley	Yes
21929	9/15/2011	No	farrell, heidi	Yes
21930	9/15/2011	No	Myers, Janice L	Yes
21931	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21932	9/15/2011	No	Relyea, Tezel	Yes
21933	9/15/2011	No	beck, sharon m	Yes
21934	9/15/2011	No	Biggers, El	Yes
21935	9/15/2011	No	NOGGLE, JON	Yes

## 0014036

21936	9/15/2011	No	Vaughn, Tom	Yes
21937	9/15/2011	No	Shumaker, Harold D	Yes
21938	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21939	9/15/2011	No	Welch, Barbara E	Yes
21940	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bosnos, Lorna106 L	Yes
21941	9/15/2011	No	Zahra, Raymond	Yes
21942	9/15/2011	No	tomlinson, kati	Yes
21943	9/15/2011	No	Kirby, Liane	Yes
21944	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
21945	9/15/2011	No	Burkleo, Joe B	Yes
21946	9/15/2011	No	Kern, Susan	Yes
21947	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Stelzenmuller, Bonnie	Yes
21948	9/15/2011	No	Steinwedel, Louis W	Yes
21949	9/15/2011	No	Morgenstern, Helga Freund &	Yes
21950	9/15/2011	No	Jackowiak, Noelle	Yes
21951	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Price, Carol	Yes
21952	9/15/2011	No	rauth, ike	Yes
21953	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Swett, Diana	Yes
21954	9/15/2011	No	Jordan, Yashoda	Yes
21955	9/15/2011	No	Fox-Douglas, Madilyn W	Yes
21956	9/15/2011	No	Zirkle, Deb	Yes
21957	9/15/2011	No	Embler, Kyle	Yes
21958	9/15/2011	No	McGroarty, Cynthia	Yes
21959	9/15/2011	No	boris, jenny e	Yes
21960	9/15/2011	No	Hutchinson, Kristine A	Yes
21961	9/15/2011	No	gonnoud, kathleen a	Yes
21962	9/15/2011	No	Middeke, Lisa	Yes
21963	9/15/2011	No	Griffin, Patrick M	Yes
21964	9/15/2011	No	Graves, Joel R	Yes
21965	9/15/2011	No	Boyle, Ian M	Yes
21966	9/15/2011	No	Hui, Becket	Yes
21967	9/15/2011	No	Ciamarella, Susan G	Yes
21968	9/15/2011	No	Hunt, Rodney J	Yes
21969	9/15/2011	No	Cherubin, Margaret A	Yes
21970	9/15/2011	No	Heinz, Candice N	Yes
21971	9/15/2011	No	Richard, Tyesha	Yes
21972	9/15/2011	No	scheuermann, karen c	Yes
21973	9/15/2011	No	Conger, Harmony L	Yes
21974	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Larrison, Elizabeth A	Yes
21975	9/15/2011	No	Whitehair`, Barbara A	Yes
21976	9/15/2011	No	reinhardt, p	Yes
21977	9/15/2011	No	Mainwaring, Constance W	Yes
21978	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hendershot, Diana	Yes
21979	9/15/2011	Potential (603687)	Orlando, Janine R	Yes
21980	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Strickland, Tracy A	Yes
21981	9/15/2011	No	Hamer, Suzanne A	Yes
21982	9/15/2011	No	STIMPSON, ARNOLA H	Yes
21983	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Capowich, Suzanne	Yes
21984	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Marks, Donna	Yes
21985	9/15/2011	Yes (617010)	hopkins, jeff	Yes
21986	9/15/2011	No	Jackson, Kirk	Yes
21987	9/15/2011	No	Kilbey, Starla E	Yes
21988	9/15/2011	No	Breeden, Ann	Yes
21989	9/15/2011	No	III, Robert Winters Rhodes, III	Yes

## 0014037

21990	9/15/2011	No	Dash, Amitav	Yes
21991	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Crandall, Gary	Yes
21992	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Rosenkotter, Barbara	Yes
21993	9/15/2011	No	Pender, Christina K	Yes
21994	9/15/2011	No	Crossen, John A	Yes
21995	9/15/2011	Yes (617010)	Doesserich, Diane	Yes
21996	9/15/2011	Yes (617010)	Doesserich, Diane	Yes
21997	9/15/2011	No	Martinez, Martha E	Yes
21998	9/15/2011	No	Strause, Dean P	Yes
21999	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22000	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Altar, Kristin A	Yes
22001	9/15/2011	No	Ross, B. A	Yes
22002	9/15/2011	No	osbakken, kristine k	Yes
22003	9/15/2011	No	Graham, Deanna M	Yes
22004	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Vazquez, Martha A	Yes
22005	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22006	9/15/2011	No	Lizer, Deja	Yes
22007	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Salzman, Steven M	Yes
22008	9/15/2011	No	Wilkas, Mary A	Yes
22009	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22010	9/15/2011	No	Peterson, Guy	Yes
22011	9/15/2011	No	Fox, Eleanor J	Yes
22012	9/15/2011	No	Flanagan, Judy A	Yes
22013	9/15/2011	No	Giantomasi, David	Yes
22014	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Philipson, Tricia J	Yes
22015	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Woltereck, Jane D	Yes
22016	9/15/2011	No	Houle, Janet K	Yes
22017	9/15/2011	No	Keen, David E	Yes
22018	9/15/2011	No	Swaim, Lauren S	Yes
22019	9/15/2011	No	Hagy, David	Yes
22020	9/15/2011	No	McInerny, Carol	Yes
22021	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	BUNESS, CYNTHIA W	Yes
22022	9/15/2011	No	Johns, Robert B	Yes
22023	9/15/2011	No	gastesi, estelle marie elizalde y	Yes
22024	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Wood, Elena H	Yes
22025	9/15/2011	No	Kline, Paula	Yes
22026	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22027	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22028	9/15/2011	No	Byerly, Harold D	Yes
22029	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	walker, fern b	Yes
22030	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hughes, Andrew	Yes
22031	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Haemmerle, Joseph L	Yes
22032	9/15/2011	No	Johnson, Patrice	Yes
22033	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Kapadia, Meenal	Yes
22034	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Ambrose, Darryn	Yes
22035	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoover, Jessica R	Yes
22036	9/15/2011	No	Zierikzee, R.	Yes
22037	9/15/2011	No	Moszyk, John	Yes
22038	9/15/2011	No	Abrams, Paige	Yes
22039	9/15/2011	No	Coleman, Karen	Yes
22040	9/15/2011	No	Secor, Nathanael D	Yes
22041	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22042	9/15/2011	No	Olsson, Amy	Yes
22043	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Turner, dawn E	Yes
22044	9/15/2011	No	Ethridge, Diane P	Yes

## 0014038

22045	9/15/2011	No	Jr., Bill H	Yes
22046	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22047	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22048	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22049	9/15/2011	No	wegweiser, art	Yes
22050	9/15/2011	No	Tully, Ian C	Yes
22051	9/15/2011	No	suzanne, dorinda	Yes
22052	9/15/2011	No	Grego, John M	Yes
22053	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22054	9/15/2011	No	Franklin, Sandra E	Yes
22055	9/15/2011	No	liddell-jones, susan d	Yes
22056	9/15/2011	No	hoffman, steven I	Yes
22057	9/15/2011	No	Lourie, Ann	Yes
22058	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	West, carrie	Yes
22059	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Mazzuckelli, Kathryn	Yes
22060	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Leming, Chad T	Yes
22061	9/15/2011	No	Roed, Ingrid M	Yes
22062	9/15/2011	No	Bogart, Jeanine B	Yes
22063	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Cave, Robin R	Yes
22064	9/15/2011	No	Jacobson, Clara M	Yes
22065	9/15/2011	No	Maisonneuve, Mark	Yes
22066	9/15/2011	No	Clark, Matthew	Yes
22067	9/15/2011	No	Galloway, Tamara E	Yes
22068	9/15/2011	No	Schmidt, Aimee	Yes
22069	9/15/2011	No	Huebner, Leila W	Yes
22070	9/15/2011	No	Andresen, Sharron E	Yes
22071	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Fitzgerald, R.	Yes
22072	9/15/2011	No	Strader, Dow	Yes
22073	9/15/2011	No	Spurr, Karen	Yes
22074	9/15/2011	Yes (617010)	O'Neal, Maureen	Yes
22075	9/15/2011	No	Mabe, Christina G	Yes
22076	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Lihou, Christopher M	Yes
22077	9/15/2011	No	Loveday, Richard	Yes
22078	9/15/2011	No	Marich, Janice M	Yes
22079	9/15/2011	No	Merrill, Jibralta J	Yes
22080	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	brooks, michele	Yes
22081	9/15/2011	No	bhusari, cindy I	Yes
22082	9/15/2011	No	Nelson, Milton L	Yes
22083	9/15/2011	No	Pancher, Jason	Yes
22084	9/15/2011	No	Jr., John R. Hertzler, Jr.	Yes
22085	9/15/2011	No	Fleming, Nancy T	Yes
22086	9/15/2011	No	Arndt, Bonnie K	Yes
22087	9/15/2011	No	Layton, Christine A	Yes
22088	9/15/2011	No	Misek, Jolie	Yes
22089	9/15/2011	No	Krashefski, Marissa	Yes
22090	9/15/2011	No	McNeill, Kathleen L	Yes
22091	9/15/2011	No	Gulbernath, Mary E	Yes
22092	9/15/2011	No	Schultz, David A	Yes
22093	9/15/2011	No	Hines, Shannon	Yes
22094	9/15/2011	No	Beving, Dirk	Yes
22095	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22096	9/15/2011	No	Matwichuk, Gail	Yes
22097	9/15/2011	No	McCredie, Diane	Yes
22098	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Danner, Jennifer	Yes
22099	9/15/2011	No	Benson, Barbara M	Yes

## 0014039

22100	9/15/2011	No	O'Connell, Kathryn Marie	Yes
22101	9/15/2011	No	zizzo, lynette m	Yes
22102	9/15/2011	No	Tremoulet, Jeanene	Yes
22103	9/15/2011	No	Kroetz-Aguirre, Tanya	Yes
22104	9/15/2011	No	hernandez, rafael	Yes
22105	9/15/2011	No	Ayres, Peter j	Yes
22106	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Catlin, Linda	Yes
22107	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Fishman, Janet M	Yes
22108	9/15/2011	No	Yates, Cindy	Yes
22109	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	carlson, c	Yes
22110	9/15/2011	No	Hildreth, Andrew R	Yes
22111	9/15/2011	No	li, lisa	Yes
22112	9/15/2011	No	Pellett, Ocean	Yes
22113	9/15/2011	No	Carr, Meridith	Yes
22114	9/15/2011	No	Crutchfield, Don N	Yes
22115	9/15/2011	No	Rodriguez, Abraham H	Yes
22116	9/15/2011	No	McCool, Elaine	Yes
22117	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22118	9/15/2011	No	Jorat, Zaida	Yes
22119	9/15/2011	No	Tove, Michael	Yes
22120	9/15/2011	No	Franke, Damon A	Yes
22121	9/15/2011	No	Tan, Hiedi	Yes
22122	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Levitt, Lacey	Yes
22123	9/15/2011	No	Nguyen, Phong	Yes
22124	9/15/2011	No	Vanderbeek, Fred T	Yes
22125	9/15/2011	No	Curtis, Chris	Yes
22126	9/15/2011	No	Edison, Nancy	Yes
22127	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Chao, Jennie	Yes
22128	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	french, amanda	Yes
22129	9/15/2011	No	Pease, Diane	Yes
22130	9/15/2011	No	Goldberg, Lynn	Yes
22131	9/15/2011	No	Dupree, Judith	Yes
22132	9/15/2011	No	Gearhart, Carol E	Yes
22133	9/15/2011	No	McDonald, Rita M	Yes
22134	9/15/2011	No	Raschke, Greg	Yes
22135	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Theden, Tiffany p	Yes
22136	9/15/2011	No	Gannon, Thomas	Yes
22137	9/15/2011	No	Champney, Pamela H	Yes
22138	9/15/2011	No	Davis, Phillip J	Yes
22139	9/15/2011	No	Trimarco, Valerie	Yes
22140	9/15/2011	No	Redding, Yardley	Yes
22141	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Richter, Catherine	Yes
22142	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Gowan, Mark	Yes
22143	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Aderhold, Steve	Yes
22144	9/15/2011	No	Messmer, Linda A	Yes
22145	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22146	9/15/2011	No	Todd, Perrin	Yes
22147	9/15/2011	No	Ross, Linda	Yes
22148	9/15/2011	No	Unger, Rosemary J	Yes
22149	9/15/2011	No	Gibboney, John M	Yes
22150	9/15/2011	No	selquist, donna j	Yes
22151	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Grabiell, Marlee	Yes
22152	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Weber, Ben	Yes
22153	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Graham, Michelle S	Yes
22154	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes



## 0014040

22155	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Hanzich, Dorian	Yes
22156	9/15/2011	No	Williams, Lynda J	Yes
22157	9/15/2011	No	G, Steven	Yes
22158	9/15/2011	No	Walden, Susan	Yes
22159	9/15/2011	No	Stevning-Roe, Ann E	Yes
22160	9/15/2011	No	Sherwood, Jay	Yes
22161	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Perez, Karen A	Yes
22162	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Meyer, Karen B	Yes
22163	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22164	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	barbato, alessandro	Yes
22165	9/15/2011	No	Vega, Marlana	Yes
22166	9/15/2011	No	Binderim, Gary E	Yes
22167	9/15/2011	No	Malick, Laura	Yes
22168	9/15/2011	No	Otero, Karina N	Yes
22169	9/15/2011	No	Bates, Margaret S	Yes
22170	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Zhu, Allen	Yes
22171	9/15/2011	No	Eiler, Louise A	Yes
22172	9/15/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22173	9/15/2011	No	Mikelsons, Ligita	Yes
22174	9/15/2011	No	Moser, Paul R	Yes
22175	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Kradwell, B B	Yes
22176	9/15/2011	No	Smith, Ryan	Yes
22177	9/15/2011	No	Scionti, cyn	Yes
22178	9/15/2011	No	McKee, Patti	Yes
22179	9/15/2011	No	Hebreard, Daniel P	Yes
22180	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Tucker, Emil	Yes
22181	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Stubert, Lisa	Yes
22182	9/15/2011	No	Pflug, Susan E	Yes
22183	9/15/2011	No	Case, Mary K.	Yes
22184	9/15/2011	No	Keisner, Cheri P	Yes
22185	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	Bailey, Elizabeth N	Yes
22186	9/15/2011	Yes (603687)	kramer, julie	Yes
22187	9/6/2011	No	Capozzelli, J	No
22188	9/8/2011	No	Weston, James W	No
22189	9/12/2011	No	Vines Jr., Sturat S	No
22190	9/2/2011	No	Travis, Ginger	No
22191	8/31/2011	No	Stampley, Charles D	No
22192	9/12/2011	No	Simkins, Freda	No
22193	8/31/2011	No	Schell, Eric	No
22194	9/9/2011	Yes (609013)	Riggs Lyons, Virginia	No
22195	9/6/2011	No	Newbold, John	No
22196	9/8/2011	No	Neale, Laura	No
22197	9/6/2011	No	Mowers, Carol	No
22198	9/6/2011	No	Mohn, Kenneth	No
22199	9/6/2011	No	McKinnon, Bill R	No
22200	9/7/2011	No	McCullough, Martha C	No
22201	9/2/2011	No	McGirl, Richard E	No
22202	9/7/2011	No	McCabe, Kevin	No
22203	9/6/2011	No	Lick, Robert E	No
22204	9/12/2011	No	Larson, Laura	No
22205	9/14/2011	No	Kohan, Mike	No
22206	9/6/2011	No	Lyons, Jim	No
22207	9/9/2011	No	Hogenson, Scott A	No
22208	8/31/2011	No	Arhtur, Steve	No
22209	9/1/2011	No	Browning, Lee	No

## 0014041

22210	9/6/2011	No	Butler, Carol	No
22211	9/1/2011	No	Carmosky, Leo	No
22212	9/8/2011	No	Dickinson, David	No
22213	8/31/2011	No	Dixon, Dan	No
22214	9/6/2011	No	Eakes, Bob	No
22215	9/6/2011	No	Fountain, Travis	No
22216	9/6/2011	No	Franklin, Brian	No
22217	8/24/2011	No	Gates, Jacquelyn L	No
22218	9/1/2011	No	Green, Carl	No
22219	9/12/2011	No	Chapman, Margaret R	No
22220	9/6/2011	No	Friedrich, Fariah	No
22221	9/6/2011	No	Lyons, Marcia	No
22222	9/6/2011	No	Judge, Warren	No
22223	9/16/2011	No	PARIS, CAROL L	Yes
22224	9/16/2011	No	Lichtenwalner, Pamela S	Yes
22225	9/16/2011	No	Mjos, Brita	Yes
22226	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruocco, Patti	Yes
22227	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Clark, Irina	Yes
22228	9/16/2011	No	Scott, Laurel A	Yes
22229	9/16/2011	No	Comegys, Karen	Yes
22230	9/16/2011	No	Graeb, Sheryl D	Yes
22231	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Rooney, Carmel	Yes
22232	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Baumhauer, Rick	Yes
22233	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Macdonald, angus m	Yes
22234	9/16/2011	No	Greene, Scott	Yes
22235	9/16/2011	No	Ball, Phyllis	Yes
22236	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Wooten, Nancy	Yes
22237	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Welty, Patricia	Yes
22238	9/16/2011	No	GILL, MEAGAN	Yes
22239	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	pardo, daniela e	Yes
22240	9/16/2011	No	Ashley, Cathy A	Yes
22241	9/16/2011	No	Barnhart, Patricia J	Yes
22242	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Arroyo, Luke	Yes
22243	9/16/2011	No	Kennell, Laura	Yes
22244	9/16/2011	No	Linn, Karen B	Yes
22245	9/16/2011	No	Spencer, Gayle M	Yes
22246	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22247	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Womack, Kristin	Yes
22248	9/16/2011	No	Weid, Magan	Yes
22249	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Larson, Brian H	Yes
22250	9/16/2011	No	Hall, Bruce M	Yes
22251	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Ring, Henriette	Yes
22252	9/16/2011	No	Brici, Mihaela D	Yes
22253	9/16/2011	No	Logan, Jennifer	Yes
22254	9/16/2011	No	Ordman, Jessica	Yes
22255	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Kris	Yes
22256	9/16/2011	No	Russick, Sharon	Yes
22257	9/16/2011	No	Grant, Gilbert S	Yes
22258	9/16/2011	No	Docherty, Ken S	Yes
22259	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22260	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22261	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Toplikar, Linda	Yes
22262	9/16/2011	No	Humphry-Rankin, Trudi	Yes
22263	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Szczech, Julie A	Yes
22264	9/16/2011	No	orr, david I	Yes

0014042

22265	9/16/2011	No	Tomkins, Diana	Yes
22266	9/16/2011	No	dutton, al	Yes
22267	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Linn, Karen	Yes
22268	9/16/2011	No	Batte, Leone	Yes
22269	9/16/2011	No	Nejsum, Carina	Yes
22270	9/16/2011	No	Kipling, Caroline	Yes
22271	9/16/2011	No	Brooker, Eric G	Yes
22272	9/16/2011	No	Simon, Cynthia L	Yes
22273	9/16/2011	No	Hayes, Amanda E	Yes
22274	9/16/2011	No	Kreiget, Eunice	Yes
22275	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Palmer, Kathleen	Yes
22276	9/16/2011	No	Pavlak, Bonnie J	Yes
22277	9/16/2011	No	Thompson, E	Yes
22278	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	WILLIAMS, MARIAN	Yes
22279	9/16/2011	No	Haresign, Andrea	Yes
22280	9/16/2011	No	Calise-Simmons, Loretta	Yes
22281	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22282	9/16/2011	No	Goodwin, Kathryn	Yes
22283	9/16/2011	No	ONeal, Joan	Yes
22284	9/16/2011	No	Keil, Maritha	Yes
22285	9/16/2011	No	soltis, carol	Yes
22286	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22287	9/16/2011	No	Miller, Ann G	Yes
22288	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22289	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	L, Vince	Yes
22290	9/16/2011	No	Arvio, Sarah	Yes
22291	9/16/2011	No	Hammer, Randy	Yes
22292	9/16/2011	No	Burson, Grace P	Yes
22293	9/16/2011	No	McDonald, Sandy K	Yes
22294	9/16/2011	No	Hertenstein, Rhonda	Yes
22295	9/16/2011	No	Taylor, Delain	Yes
22296	9/16/2011	No	Fox, Nancy	Yes
22297	9/16/2011	No	dommer, bob	Yes
22298	9/16/2011	No	Geng, Julie	Yes
22299	9/16/2011	No	kinnebrew, kevin s	Yes
22300	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	NEGRO, JENNIFER D	Yes
22301	9/16/2011	No	Suttie, Candice C	Yes
22302	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	gagliardi, laurie	Yes
22303	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22304	9/16/2011	No	Stenger, Lori	Yes
22305	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Weekes, Charles	Yes
22306	9/16/2011	No	Velasco, Aida T	Yes
22307	9/16/2011	No	Macias, Gina E Elizabeth	Yes
22308	9/16/2011	No	Carter, Terry	Yes
22309	9/16/2011	No	Abbott, Basil n	Yes
22310	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Wettrick, Brianne	Yes
22311	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22312	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Tilley, Kimberly J	Yes
22313	9/16/2011	No	Iulianelli, Anne	Yes
22314	9/16/2011	No	Richkus, John	Yes
22315	9/16/2011	No	Schumacher, Shelley	Yes
22316	9/16/2011	No	novak, christine	Yes
22317	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Henry, Michele L	Yes
22318	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Chavez, Kathy L	Yes
22319	9/16/2011	No	Simpson-Loizou, Rachel L	Yes

## 0014043

22320	9/16/2011	No	Conatser, Tasha	Yes
22321	9/16/2011	No	Bralek, Rebecca	Yes
22322	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Pluskowski, Nina	Yes
22323	9/16/2011	No	Hunter, Graham A	Yes
22324	9/16/2011	No	forbus, jamye	Yes
22325	9/16/2011	No	Carlson, Marie H	Yes
22326	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Vollmer, Terry	Yes
22327	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Wooden, John	Yes
22328	9/16/2011	No	Ostempowski, Frances R	Yes
22329	9/16/2011	No	Neal, Charyl N	Yes
22330	9/16/2011	No	Temelini, Audrey C	Yes
22331	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Yust, John	Yes
22332	9/16/2011	No	little, christina	Yes
22333	9/16/2011	No	Gearhart, Vadna S	Yes
22334	9/16/2011	No	kensinger, kim	Yes
22335	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Anderson, Judith S	Yes
22336	9/16/2011	No	Frank, Michael T	Yes
22337	9/16/2011	No	Phillip, GLoria G	Yes
22338	9/16/2011	No	Schneider, John D	Yes
22339	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22340	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22341	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Coling, Marcia L. C. Coling	Yes
22342	9/16/2011	No	Garcia, Karla	Yes
22343	9/16/2011	No	Kelly, Martin	Yes
22344	9/16/2011	No	Cruz, Nicole	Yes
22345	9/16/2011	No	Bushman, Sharon	Yes
22346	9/16/2011	No	Newton, Ann A	Yes
22347	9/16/2011	No	Pena, Nelson V	Yes
22348	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Bonnaffon, Christian A	Yes
22349	9/16/2011	No	quillin, michael j	Yes
22350	9/16/2011	No	Edmondson, Nancy W	Yes
22351	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22352	9/16/2011	No	Willett, Cynthia	Yes
22353	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Longworth, Carolyn	Yes
22354	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22355	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Stankrauff, Alison H	Yes
22356	9/16/2011	No	Whetten, Rachel	Yes
22357	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Whetten, Rachel	Yes
22358	9/16/2011	No	Barth, Kelly L	Yes
22359	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Noren, Cynthia	Yes
22360	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Myers, Nicole	Yes
22361	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Castro, Odalys	Yes
22362	9/16/2011	No	scholder, sheila	Yes
22363	9/16/2011	No	Brice, Martha	Yes
22364	9/16/2011	No	Hankins, Judith E	Yes
22365	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Langford, Joanne R	Yes
22366	9/16/2011	No	Lewis, Susan G	Yes
22367	9/16/2011	No	Brown, Jessica	Yes
22368	9/16/2011	No	LOWERY, CINDY R	Yes
22369	9/16/2011	No	Bruno, Joanna R	Yes
22370	9/16/2011	No	Randell, Gil	Yes
22371	9/16/2011	No	Otero, Christina M	Yes
22372	9/16/2011	No	Daniel, III, Louis B	Yes
22373	9/16/2011	No	Anthony, Paul R	Yes
22374	9/16/2011	No	Anderson, Kathleen S	Yes

## 0014044

22375	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Pierson, Sue	Yes
22376	9/16/2011	No	Pomeroy, Carolyn A	Yes
22377	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Restaino, Barbara Z	Yes
22378	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Marks, Loretta	Yes
22379	9/16/2011	No	Schnellenberger, Robyn K	Yes
22380	9/16/2011	No	Egan, Katharine	Yes
22381	9/16/2011	No	Eberle, Martha J	Yes
22382	9/16/2011	No	hall, krisztina	Yes
22383	9/16/2011	No	petrov, kim	Yes
22384	9/16/2011	No	Cunningham, Carol	Yes
22385	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Patterson, Pam	Yes
22386	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22387	9/16/2011	No	gilliland, ronald p	Yes
22388	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22389	9/16/2011	No	Aliberti, Julie L	Yes
22390	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	KASTEL, DIANE M	Yes
22391	9/16/2011	No	Miles, Barbara N	Yes
22392	9/16/2011	No	Knudson, Claudia A	Yes
22393	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	whitmore, robin faye reid	Yes
22394	9/16/2011	No	Wood, Barbara A	Yes
22395	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22396	9/16/2011	No	Redding, Judy	Yes
22397	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22398	9/16/2011	No	Matthew, Antonia	Yes
22399	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Dulgeroff, Mary E	Yes
22400	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Bennett, Virginia H	Yes
22401	9/16/2011	No	Morelli, Nanette	Yes
22402	9/16/2011	No	Maisano, Aimee	Yes
22403	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22404	9/16/2011	No	Farrand-Bernardin, Shannon	Yes
22405	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22406	9/16/2011	No	Wood, Chris	Yes
22407	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Newman, Beth	Yes
22408	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Loud, Jacqueline L	Yes
22409	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Bierce, Kaela L	Yes
22410	9/16/2011	No	brigman, judith I	Yes
22411	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	FARHOUD, AISHA	Yes
22412	9/16/2011	No	Weyl, Steve	Yes
22413	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Lovejoy, Patricia	Yes
22414	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Williams, Sunny r	Yes
22415	9/16/2011	No	Alexander, Elizabeth	Yes
22416	9/16/2011	No	Bisson, Theresa	Yes
22417	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Goldman, Sue M	Yes
22418	9/16/2011	No	Westaway, Dr. Katharine J.	Yes
22419	9/16/2011	No	Farmer, Bonnie	Yes
22420	9/16/2011	No	Scott, Ieuan David James Scott	Yes
22421	9/16/2011	No	Caduff, Aloka E	Yes
22422	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Scott, Jess	Yes
22423	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Douglass, Andronetta	Yes
22424	9/16/2011	No	Miegl, Marge	Yes
22425	9/16/2011	No	gray, kent	Yes
22426	9/16/2011	No	Myers, Victor C	Yes
22427	9/16/2011	No	Hosking, Daniel	Yes
22428	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Hohnbaum, Diane L	Yes
22429	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	List, Henrietta	Yes

## 0014045

22430	9/16/2011	No	Folken, Michelle	Yes
22431	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Gobely, Michelle	Yes
22432	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Wheeler, Jessica A	Yes
22433	9/16/2011	No	Christian, Karen A	Yes
22434	9/16/2011	No	Brown, Caroline B	Yes
22435	9/16/2011	No	Zoch, Margaret A	Yes
22436	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Hackenjoes, Walter L	Yes
22437	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Abdelnour, Margaret	Yes
22438	9/16/2011	No	Lund, Debra	Yes
22439	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Giencke, Jill	Yes
22440	9/16/2011	No	Lee, Brenda J	Yes
22441	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	henry, anne	Yes
22442	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Weber, Deborah	Yes
22443	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Carter, Marian M	Yes
22444	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Gonzalez, William G	Yes
22445	9/16/2011	No	brigman, judith I	Yes
22446	9/16/2011	No	Lugo, Nereida	Yes
22447	9/16/2011	No	Lambeth, Ron	Yes
22448	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Hirsch, Kathy	Yes
22449	9/16/2011	No	Reynolds, Jeanette K	Yes
22450	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Aydelott, Steve C	Yes
22451	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Glaser, Susan	Yes
22452	9/16/2011	No	Ferland, Linda	Yes
22453	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Gauthier, Dawn	Yes
22454	9/16/2011	No	Berger, Barbara T	Yes
22455	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Kerchevall, Charlene	Yes
22456	9/16/2011	No	NORRIS, LINDA P	Yes
22457	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22458	9/16/2011	No	Blagen, Jessica	Yes
22459	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Avila, Ron	Yes
22460	9/16/2011	No	Klingel, David M	Yes
22461	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22462	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22463	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Montanez-Salas, Alida	Yes
22464	9/16/2011	No	fay, nancy e	Yes
22465	9/16/2011	No	Gustafson, Donna	Yes
22466	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Zimmerman, Paulette	Yes
22467	9/16/2011	No	Edwards, Michael	Yes
22468	9/16/2011	No	Joseph, Wendy H	Yes
22469	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Bigler, John	Yes
22470	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Hammer, Signe -	Yes
22471	9/16/2011	No	Gray, Amy	Yes
22472	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22473	9/16/2011	No	BARRETT, CINDEE	Yes
22474	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Chin, Jason	Yes
22475	9/16/2011	No	Benkendorf, Reed C	Yes
22476	9/16/2011	No	Gearhart, Ann L	Yes
22477	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Bechmann, Elisabeth D	Yes
22478	9/16/2011	No	Heagy-Len, Linda I	Yes
22479	9/16/2011	No	Palmieri, Emily	Yes
22480	9/16/2011	No	Schaffer, Marijo	Yes
22481	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22482	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Shitama, Celeste	Yes
22483	9/16/2011	No	McKee, Barbara	Yes
22484	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Svenson, Joan E	Yes

## 0014046

22485	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Rutherford, Harry	Yes
22486	9/16/2011	No	thacker, Carol	Yes
22487	9/16/2011	No	Chittenden, Marcie H	Yes
22488	9/16/2011	No	Aizuss, Judy	Yes
22489	9/16/2011	No	ALMEIDA, CATHY F	Yes
22490	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	kekule, diana	Yes
22491	9/16/2011	No	Hines, Taren	Yes
22492	9/16/2011	No	Vincent, Laurel A	Yes
22493	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Bell, Denise	Yes
22494	9/16/2011	No	Marquardt, Michael R	Yes
22495	9/16/2011	No	Dallimore, Eric R	Yes
22496	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Dev, Glta	Yes
22497	9/16/2011	No	Feves, Angene	Yes
22498	9/16/2011	No	Vragovic, Andrea	Yes
22499	9/16/2011	No	Jesensky, Danielle E	Yes
22500	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Hurst, James M	Yes
22501	9/16/2011	No	Ottaviano, Sara R	Yes
22502	9/16/2011	No	Delay, Myra E	Yes
22503	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Croke, Tanya R	Yes
22504	9/16/2011	No	Blackwell, Bruce A	Yes
22505	9/16/2011	No	Bowdish, Callie	Yes
22506	9/16/2011	No	Angell, Bryony M	Yes
22507	9/16/2011	No	block, roma	Yes
22508	9/16/2011	No	Eilen, Nancy M	Yes
22509	9/16/2011	No	Uphold, Donald	Yes
22510	9/16/2011	No	J, Greg	Yes
22511	9/16/2011	No	Dunn, Julie	Yes
22512	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Nelson, Chris	Yes
22513	9/16/2011	No	Herrera, Teresa	Yes
22514	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22515	9/16/2011	No	Amlashi, Brenda J	Yes
22516	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Gonzalez, Richard	Yes
22517	9/16/2011	No	McLaughlin, Michael J	Yes
22518	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Prevost, Virginia	Yes
22519	9/16/2011	No	Welsl, Karena	Yes
22520	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22521	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Wontor, Debra	Yes
22522	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22523	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	scott, joan	Yes
22524	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22525	9/16/2011	No	MADDALENA, KAREN	Yes
22526	9/16/2011	No	Maddalena, Cinzia	Yes
22527	9/16/2011	No	Burnette, Amelia	Yes
22528	9/16/2011	No	Maimon, Sharon	Yes
22529	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Greene, Shannon	Yes
22530	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Kozakow, Andrew	Yes
22531	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Fuhrmann, Marjorie	Yes
22532	9/16/2011	No	Purdy, Matthew D	Yes
22533	9/16/2011	No	Robinson, Lisa	Yes
22534	9/16/2011	No	Markus, Mary M	Yes
22535	9/16/2011	No	foushee, nancy	Yes
22536	9/16/2011	No	schumacher, melissa	Yes
22537	9/16/2011	No	Fuqua, V	Yes
22538	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Smith, Laura	Yes
22539	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Costa, Elizabeth	Yes

0014047

22540	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22541	9/16/2011	No	Fay, Sharon	Yes
22542	9/16/2011	No	Bolwerk, Beth	Yes
22543	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Schuch, Elizabeth C	Yes
22544	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Carney, Rachel E	Yes
22545	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Campbell, Valerie P	Yes
22546	9/16/2011	No	Kellar, Joanne T	Yes
22547	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22548	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Lytle, Denise	Yes
22549	9/16/2011	No	Berkvist, Andy	Yes
22550	9/16/2011	No	steinke, andrea	Yes
22551	9/16/2011	No	fritz-debord, annette	Yes
22552	9/16/2011	No	Schroeder, Russell	Yes
22553	9/16/2011	No	Fleming, Mary A	Yes
22554	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	rhymer, keath a	Yes
22555	9/16/2011	No	Quintero, Alicia	Yes
22556	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Zimmerman, Mashawn	Yes
22557	9/16/2011	No	Nishioka, Joy	Yes
22558	9/16/2011	No	Tassell, Erica Ann Van Tassell	Yes
22559	9/16/2011	No	Bolles, Michael S	Yes
22560	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Kuyper, Kathy L	Yes
22561	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Poling, Dianna	Yes
22562	9/16/2011	No	Tager, Miles S	Yes
22563	9/16/2011	No	Williams, Connie	Yes
22564	9/16/2011	No	Ritacca, Jenniene	Yes
22565	9/16/2011	No	Kaplan, Joan C	Yes
22566	9/16/2011	No	Medina, Kathleen A	Yes
22567	9/16/2011	No	Bushnell, Martha W D	Yes
22568	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Frighetti, Paula	Yes
22569	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Beer, Julie	Yes
22570	9/16/2011	No	Byrum, Nancy B	Yes
22571	9/16/2011	No	Perkins, Sandra L	Yes
22572	9/16/2011	No	Cotter, Nancy L	Yes
22573	9/16/2011	No	Josephson, Cliff	Yes
22574	9/16/2011	No	Mannuzza, Vivi	Yes
22575	9/16/2011	No	BROWN, TERRENCE G	Yes
22576	9/16/2011	No	WARD, MARTIN J	Yes
22577	9/16/2011	No	e, Shirley Fricke Kan e	Yes
22578	9/16/2011	No	Lochner, jan	Yes
22579	9/16/2011	No	D.V.M., Marvin John Sheffield,	Yes
22580	9/16/2011	No	Richardson, Annick	Yes
22581	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22582	9/16/2011	No	Kemper, Kathleen E	Yes
22583	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Jamal, Kate	Yes
22584	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Bourgeois, Paula S	Yes
22585	9/16/2011	No	Zarrehparvar, Xenia	Yes
22586	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22587	9/16/2011	No	hestich, diane e	Yes
22588	9/16/2011	No	Graham, Holly G	Yes
22589	9/16/2011	No	Pratt, Sharyn E	Yes
22590	9/16/2011	No	Andrews, Geneva	Yes
22591	9/16/2011	No	murray, patricia h	Yes
22592	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Tarlton, Jason	Yes
22593	9/16/2011	No	Olson, Laurel L	Yes
22594	9/16/2011	No	Stepchuk, Ron	Yes



## 0014048

22595	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22596	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Welk, Michelle	Yes
22597	9/16/2011	No	Wilde, Stephen C	Yes
22598	9/16/2011	No	Gonzalez, Teresita	Yes
22599	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Shimer, Sue	Yes
22600	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Ritsky, Marilyn	Yes
22601	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22602	9/16/2011	No	Bergalis, Anna	Yes
22603	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Eckles, Sabrina	Yes
22604	9/16/2011	No	Klingel, Kaaren	Yes
22605	9/16/2011	No	McArtor, Robert	Yes
22606	9/16/2011	No	Bergalis, Anna	Yes
22607	9/16/2011	No	Klein, Laura	Yes
22608	9/16/2011	No	Fountain, Terry L	Yes
22609	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Lewandowski, Candace S	Yes
22610	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22611	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Bernstein, Karen L	Yes
22612	9/16/2011	No	platt, heather g	Yes
22613	9/16/2011	No	Laskey, Vicki E	Yes
22614	9/16/2011	No	burton, anita m	Yes
22615	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	DeHaven, Laura	Yes
22616	9/16/2011	No	winglifter, david	Yes
22617	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Weng, Carolyn	Yes
22618	9/16/2011	No	kullman, marie H	Yes
22619	9/16/2011	No	Frey, Kimberly	Yes
22620	9/16/2011	Yes (603687)	Taylor, Carol	Yes
22621	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Snegoski, Carolyn	Yes
22622	9/16/2011	No	Rasmussen, Angela	Yes
22623	9/16/2011	No	Brown, Anna K	Yes
22624	9/16/2011	No	kladke, robin a	Yes
22625	9/16/2011	No	Fenris, Fenrier U	Yes
22626	9/16/2011	No	Ertel, Grace	Yes
22627	9/16/2011	Yes (617010)	Siciliano, Ellen	Yes
22628	9/16/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22629	9/16/2011	No	Gillis, Virginia K	Yes
22630	9/16/2011	No	McMillan, Marty L	Yes
22631	9/16/2011	No	Alfieri, Paul A	Yes
22632	9/16/2011	No	Lanzon, Lynn	Yes
22633	9/16/2011	No	Bockholt, Jeanne M	Yes
22634	9/16/2011	No	Douglas, Dianne D	Yes
22635	9/16/2011	No	Chase, Linda	Yes
22636	9/16/2011	No	Baptista, Diane M	Yes
22637	9/16/2011	No	Eckart, Marylou	Yes
22638	9/16/2011	No	Grob, Diana L	Yes
22639	9/17/2011	No	Montgomery, Suzette	Yes
22640	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Bailey, Brenda S	Yes
22641	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22642	9/17/2011	No	Bolbol, Deniz	Yes
22643	9/17/2011	No	Stancliffe, Julia L	Yes
22644	9/17/2011	No	Patton, s.	Yes
22645	9/17/2011	No	Springer, Steven	Yes
22646	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Ambuel, patinya	Yes
22647	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Wakiyama, Shari	Yes
22648	9/17/2011	No	Cialini, Norman	Yes
22649	9/17/2011	No	Turner, Jolene	Yes

## 0014049

22650	9/17/2011	No	Turner, Heather	Yes
22651	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	deferrante, robert	Yes
22652	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Wise, Susie	Yes
22653	9/17/2011	No	Pikala, Christine A	Yes
22654	9/17/2011	No	Sanden, isabel	Yes
22655	9/17/2011	No	Comfort, David	Yes
22656	9/17/2011	No	Kearns, Ruth S	Yes
22657	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Heggli, Anita	Yes
22658	9/17/2011	No	Smallwood, Henry	Yes
22659	9/17/2011	No	CARVER, JULIE A	Yes
22660	9/17/2011	No	matheny, jessie k	Yes
22661	9/17/2011	No	Thomason, Jo C	Yes
22662	9/17/2011	No	P., M.	Yes
22663	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	law, tina	Yes
22664	9/17/2011	No	haines, jeff r	Yes
22665	9/17/2011	No	Lochner, jan	Yes
22666	9/17/2011	No	Doran-Perez, Sherrill	Yes
22667	9/17/2011	No	smith, fabian	Yes
22668	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	SMITH, RACHEL	Yes
22669	9/17/2011	No	Milthorpe, Patricia K	Yes
22670	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Meyer, Ari	Yes
22671	9/17/2011	No	popovici, paul p	Yes
22672	9/17/2011	No	Carsten, Rhonda	Yes
22673	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Burger, Bitsa	Yes
22674	9/17/2011	No	Hasbach, Corinna S	Yes
22675	9/17/2011	No	Weinberg, Steven	Yes
22676	9/17/2011	No	Elliott, Andy	Yes
22677	9/17/2011	No	Davis, Ina C	Yes
22678	9/17/2011	No	Kuznier, Janys	Yes
22679	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22680	9/17/2011	No	epps, pat	Yes
22681	9/17/2011	No	Deans, Dan	Yes
22682	9/17/2011	No	Kerr, Elizabeth A	Yes
22683	9/17/2011	No	Gillespie, James P	Yes
22684	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22685	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Berkheimer, Nicole	Yes
22686	9/17/2011	No	Reid, Sarah R	Yes
22687	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Buteau, Gail	Yes
22688	9/17/2011	No	C, Jackie	Yes
22689	9/17/2011	No	rivera, joe	Yes
22690	9/17/2011	No	Conley, Christine	Yes
22691	9/17/2011	No	pino, wylene d	Yes
22692	9/17/2011	No	Selander, Spencer	Yes
22693	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22694	9/17/2011	No	Brobst, Janine G	Yes
22695	9/17/2011	No	bohan, jeff m	Yes
22696	9/17/2011	No	Nepola, Rick	Yes
22697	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	McDonald, Mike	Yes
22698	9/17/2011	No	Estep, Linda	Yes
22699	9/17/2011	No	Konczal, Eddie	Yes
22700	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22701	9/17/2011	No	Maurer, Dorothy	Yes
22702	9/17/2011	No	Lade, C.M. A	Yes
22703	9/17/2011	No	Schoen, Chad	Yes
22704	9/17/2011	No	Tarbell, Barbara	Yes

## 0014050

22705	9/17/2011	No	Cannon, Janet	Yes
22706	9/17/2011	No	Oldread, Tim	Yes
22707	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Boone, Mary	Yes
22708	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Douglass, Barbara	Yes
22709	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Fulcher, Kaye	Yes
22710	9/17/2011	No	Moore, Jay	Yes
22711	9/17/2011	No	Walters, Candace N	Yes
22712	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Snitzer, Eileen	Yes
22713	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Neal, Gwen	Yes
22714	9/17/2011	No	D'Onofrio, Sue I	Yes
22715	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Scott, Penny	Yes
22716	9/17/2011	No	Pellegrino, Michael	Yes
22717	9/17/2011	No	arquilla, vance	Yes
22718	9/17/2011	No	rohrer, kathleen	Yes
22719	9/17/2011	No	George, James M	Yes
22720	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Ashmore, Tara L	Yes
22721	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22722	9/17/2011	No	Barton, Ellen E	Yes
22723	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Ambuel, David	Yes
22724	9/17/2011	No	Butler, Robert J	Yes
22725	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Mittan, Ron	Yes
22726	9/17/2011	No	Blumer, Elizabeth R	Yes
22727	9/17/2011	No	Yokem, Cindy L	Yes
22728	9/17/2011	No	Bacon, Pamel	Yes
22729	9/17/2011	No	moy, bob	Yes
22730	9/17/2011	No	Gonz&acute;lez, Oscar L	Yes
22731	9/17/2011	No	Perrin, Mimi	Yes
22732	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Williamson, Melissa	Yes
22733	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Lemke, Marie A	Yes
22734	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Sullivan, Gail	Yes
22735	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22736	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Peterson, Davin R	Yes
22737	9/17/2011	No	Griego, Thomas D	Yes
22738	9/17/2011	No	byn, lucy u	Yes
22739	9/17/2011	No	Byrne, Robert J	Yes
22740	9/17/2011	No	Spatz, Elizabeth A	Yes
22741	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Thompson, Martha	Yes
22742	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Havan, Artineh	Yes
22743	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Wersinger, Sarra B	Yes
22744	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22745	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Wersinger, Sara B	Yes
22746	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Stewart, Sarah B	Yes
22747	9/17/2011	No	Birch, Kathleen J	Yes
22748	9/17/2011	No	Brown, Peggy J	Yes
22749	9/17/2011	No	LeBlanc, Michele	Yes
22750	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Branch, Steve	Yes
22751	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Macki, Peggy	Yes
22752	9/17/2011	No	Babcock, Stephanie D	Yes
22753	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Armstrong, Frances T	Yes
22754	9/17/2011	No	Evans, Sharon	Yes
22755	9/17/2011	No	Patton, William	Yes
22756	9/17/2011	No	Norwood, Robert W	Yes
22757	9/17/2011	No	LINTNER, LAWRENCE W	Yes
22758	9/17/2011	No	Morello, Phyl	Yes
22759	9/17/2011	No	Fenske, Tammy C	Yes

## 0014051

22760	9/17/2011	No	Chenicek, Barbara	Yes
22761	9/17/2011	No	Michalek, Dorothy E	Yes
22762	9/17/2011	No	Argiro, Francesca A	Yes
22763	9/17/2011	No	Rivera, Andrea	Yes
22764	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Allen, Gary	Yes
22765	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22766	9/17/2011	No	Christianson, Chris	Yes
22767	9/17/2011	No	St., Jill Rosenbloom 503 E. 6th	Yes
22768	9/17/2011	No	Lynn, Stephanie	Yes
22769	9/17/2011	No	Swanson, Rebecca	Yes
22770	9/17/2011	No	McClure, Kim R	Yes
22771	9/17/2011	No	Ross, Rogard T	Yes
22772	9/17/2011	No	Glenn, Claudia R	Yes
22773	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	McKay, Megan	Yes
22774	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Sharkey, Mary C	Yes
22775	9/17/2011	No	barker, kathy M	Yes
22776	9/17/2011	No	weiske, lynne	Yes
22777	9/17/2011	No	Potter-Smith, M	Yes
22778	9/17/2011	No	Rodriguez, Sylvia	Yes
22779	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22780	9/17/2011	No	Jones, Michelle	Yes
22781	9/17/2011	No	Tait, Alese D	Yes
22782	9/17/2011	No	garofalo, angela	Yes
22783	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Kritzman, Philip	Yes
22784	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Milliren, Patricia A	Yes
22785	9/17/2011	No	H, M	Yes
22786	9/17/2011	No	Smith, Christopher	Yes
22787	9/17/2011	No	Smith, Alexandria L	Yes
22788	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	DeMairo, Pauline L	Yes
22789	9/17/2011	No	Palmisano, Gina M	Yes
22790	9/17/2011	No	Baldwin, Linda	Yes
22791	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Newman, Matthew C	Yes
22792	9/17/2011	No	Shifflet, Vickie	Yes
22793	9/17/2011	No	sandoval, ani	Yes
22794	9/17/2011	No	Massung, Hollie	Yes
22795	9/17/2011	No	blumenfeld, sandra	Yes
22796	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Cummins, Diana	Yes
22797	9/17/2011	No	White, Stephen T	Yes
22798	9/17/2011	No	Lauer, Mary F	Yes
22799	9/17/2011	No	Patterson, Cynthia	Yes
22800	9/17/2011	No	Madeco-Smith, Mary J	Yes
22801	9/17/2011	No	WILLIAMS, ANGIE L	Yes
22802	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22803	9/17/2011	No	Armknecht, Chad	Yes
22804	9/17/2011	No	Wilensky, Andrew L	Yes
22805	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Connors, Jennifer B	Yes
22806	9/17/2011	No	swiss, sue	Yes
22807	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Repass, John F	Yes
22808	9/17/2011	No	Tigar, Robert	Yes
22809	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Greaves, Denise D	Yes
22810	9/17/2011	No	Hoodwin, Marcia	Yes
22811	9/17/2011	No	Gannett, M. E	Yes
22812	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Forwood, Suzy	Yes
22813	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Zaso, Jennifer B	Yes
22814	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Bennett, Jennifer L	Yes

## 0014052

22815	9/17/2011	No	Wilkinson, Barbara	Yes
22816	9/17/2011	No	Thompson, Lawrence H	Yes
22817	9/17/2011	No	Jordan, Joseph	Yes
22818	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Furnish, Shearle	Yes
22819	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Cosgrove, Thomas A	Yes
22820	9/17/2011	No	Wood, Barbara	Yes
22821	9/17/2011	No	Nafziger, Nikki R	Yes
22822	9/17/2011	No	Principato, Elise	Yes
22823	9/17/2011	No	Redwood, Hannah A	Yes
22824	9/17/2011	No	Jordan, Errikka	Yes
22825	9/17/2011	No	lombardi, carolyn	Yes
22826	9/17/2011	No	Masters, Ken	Yes
22827	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22828	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	McPherson, Kay K	Yes
22829	9/17/2011	No	Reed, Donna	Yes
22830	9/17/2011	No	Datri, Betsy L	Yes
22831	9/17/2011	No	Gibson, Amy	Yes
22832	9/17/2011	No	Sanchez, Angie	Yes
22833	9/17/2011	No	Dastrup, Melinda	Yes
22834	9/17/2011	No	Kiec, Danny	Yes
22835	9/17/2011	No	Leven, Marie	Yes
22836	9/17/2011	No	Netzel, Forrest	Yes
22837	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	OREWYLER, WENDY	Yes
22838	9/17/2011	No	Kekic, Dn	Yes
22839	9/17/2011	No	Roberson, Mary H	Yes
22840	9/17/2011	No	Hanna, Jean	Yes
22841	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Creamean, Sarah A	Yes
22842	9/17/2011	No	Simon, Jane C	Yes
22843	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Schuberth, Rebecca	Yes
22844	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Lambert, Gwen	Yes
22845	9/17/2011	No	Franzen, Michelle	Yes
22846	9/17/2011	No	Kakis, Mariha	Yes
22847	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22848	9/17/2011	No	Williams, Susan D	Yes
22849	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	breier, rene	Yes
22850	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Stickel, Ann E	Yes
22851	9/17/2011	No	Campbell, Johnny	Yes
22852	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Treiber, Terry	Yes
22853	9/17/2011	No	Egan, Debbie	Yes
22854	9/17/2011	No	Stewart, Linda C	Yes
22855	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Olson, Judy	Yes
22856	9/17/2011	No	Jordan, Michael R	Yes
22857	9/17/2011	No	Fasullo, Jane	Yes
22858	9/17/2011	No	CLAYPOOL, ROBERTA A	Yes
22859	9/17/2011	No	Montoya, Cathy	Yes
22860	9/17/2011	Yes (617010)	Hamilton, Robert R	Yes
22861	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22862	9/17/2011	No	Kafka, Mo	Yes
22863	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22864	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Gignac, Rebecca	Yes
22865	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Nehrling-Adams, Sandy	Yes
22866	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Costa, Misty	Yes
22867	9/17/2011	No	Haefner, Judy A	Yes
22868	9/17/2011	No	Linarez, KJ	Yes
22869	9/17/2011	No	Spaur, Deanna D	Yes

## 0014053

22870	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynch, Michal C	Yes
22871	9/17/2011	Yes (603687)	Cohn, Barbara M	Yes
22872	9/17/2011	No	wade, john k	Yes
22873	9/17/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22874	9/17/2011	No	betz, Brian	Yes
22875	9/18/2011	No	Baker, Alison M	Yes
22876	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22877	9/18/2011	No	Powell, Raymond	Yes
22878	9/18/2011	No	RITTER, Maggie	Yes
22879	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Barnhart, DeAnn	Yes
22880	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Caldwell, M.E.	Yes
22881	9/18/2011	No	Arent, Rodney L	Yes
22882	9/18/2011	No	Bass, Patti W	Yes
22883	9/18/2011	No	Garvey, Lydia	Yes
22884	9/18/2011	No	Smerken, Tim	Yes
22885	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Clow, Angelique E	Yes
22886	9/18/2011	No	Lerman, M	Yes
22887	9/18/2011	No	Andrews, Larry	Yes
22888	9/18/2011	No	Bauer, Daniel M	Yes
22889	9/18/2011	No	Mercer, Michele	Yes
22890	9/18/2011	No	Clemens, Delphine T	Yes
22891	9/18/2011	No	LaChance, Denise	Yes
22892	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Benard, Tammy J	Yes
22893	9/18/2011	No	Kiec, E	Yes
22894	9/18/2011	No	williams, freddie	Yes
22895	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Learn, Gerald	Yes
22896	9/18/2011	No	shulman, rose	Yes
22897	9/18/2011	No	Berg, Douglas E	Yes
22898	9/18/2011	No	Ahearn, Dennis	Yes
22899	9/18/2011	No	Pemberton, Donna L	Yes
22900	9/18/2011	No	Jr., William Ayden Carroll, Jr.	Yes
22901	9/18/2011	No	Barbosa, Ron	Yes
22902	9/18/2011	No	Hamilton, Wesley F	Yes
22903	9/18/2011	No	Baeslack, Brent	Yes
22904	9/18/2011	No	Nelson, Brett	Yes
22905	9/18/2011	No	Donnelly, Russell S	Yes
22906	9/18/2011	No	Cucurello, Nancy	Yes
22907	9/18/2011	No	Stramiello, Andrea	Yes
22908	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22909	9/18/2011	No	Walter, Arthur	Yes
22910	9/18/2011	No	collins, sharon l	Yes
22911	9/18/2011	No	Macartney, Bill	Yes
22912	9/18/2011	No	roth, gary	Yes
22913	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Stalker, Megan	Yes
22914	9/18/2011	No	nystrom, jan j	Yes
22915	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22916	9/18/2011	No	Johnson, Michele	Yes
22917	9/18/2011	No	Booth, Boyce Edward Eugene	Yes
22918	9/18/2011	No	Krach, George	Yes
22919	9/18/2011	No	Roche, Francis D	Yes
22920	9/18/2011	No	Swartz, Debra G	Yes
22921	9/18/2011	No	Duran, Sheila Elaika Segura	Yes
22922	9/18/2011	No	devecka, cheryl	Yes
22923	9/18/2011	No	Stewmon, Lori	Yes
22924	9/18/2011	No	Anders, Rob	Yes

0014054

22925	9/18/2011	No	Nightingale, Sue J	Yes
22926	9/18/2011	No	Wemer, Kim S	Yes
22927	9/18/2011	No	Furness, Amber	Yes
22928	9/18/2011	No	Anders, Rob	Yes
22929	9/18/2011	No	Baldewicz, Lindsey	Yes
22930	9/18/2011	No	stanford, suzanne	Yes
22931	9/18/2011	No	nelson, lois e	Yes
22932	9/18/2011	No	Kovach, Louis	Yes
22933	9/18/2011	No	Long, Kathleen S	Yes
22934	9/18/2011	No	McKay, Claire	Yes
22935	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	goings, annette	Yes
22936	9/18/2011	No	Enyart, Jean	Yes
22937	9/18/2011	No	Fedorowicz, John O	Yes
22938	9/18/2011	No	Tate, Andrew	Yes
22939	9/18/2011	No	sanders, theresa y	Yes
22940	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22941	9/18/2011	No	Bullock, Sandra K	Yes
22942	9/18/2011	No	Rankin, Roxanne n	Yes
22943	9/18/2011	No	bergman, simona f	Yes
22944	9/18/2011	No	Downey, Josie	Yes
22945	9/18/2011	No	Gonzalez, Diane A	Yes
22946	9/18/2011	No	Sonne, Frances G	Yes
22947	9/18/2011	No	McHugh, Victoria W	Yes
22948	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22949	9/18/2011	No	NAIMAN, SHOSHANAH T	Yes
22950	9/18/2011	No	Ross, Misty D	Yes
22951	9/18/2011	No	Suveg, Jeffrey R	Yes
22952	9/18/2011	No	Prowant, Travis N	Yes
22953	9/18/2011	No	Atkins, Robyn L	Yes
22954	9/18/2011	No	Thorn, Danny	Yes
22955	9/18/2011	No	Terry, Linda J	Yes
22956	9/18/2011	No	Cheney, John S	Yes
22957	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Foose, Ellen	Yes
22958	9/18/2011	No	Herman, Robert M	Yes
22959	9/18/2011	No	Lenharth, Scott	Yes
22960	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
22961	9/18/2011	No	Eagle-Gibbs, Ashley	Yes
22962	9/18/2011	No	Largey, Tania	Yes
22963	9/18/2011	No	Richardson, William C	Yes
22964	9/18/2011	No	Morgan, Elaine R	Yes
22965	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Watts, Susan	Yes
22966	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22967	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22968	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
22969	9/18/2011	No	DUDZINSKI, STEVE	Yes
22970	9/18/2011	No	Perez, Michelle	Yes
22971	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Scimone, Paul A	Yes
22972	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Kennedy-Lopez, Sheila M	Yes
22973	9/18/2011	No	Lang, Lynn C	Yes
22974	9/18/2011	No	Brown, Laurence W	Yes
22975	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Schneider, Stephanie	Yes
22976	9/18/2011	No	Irvin, E. W	Yes
22977	9/18/2011	No	Bucciarelli, Nancy R	Yes
22978	9/18/2011	No	McKeon, Renae A	Yes
22979	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes

## 0014055

22980	9/18/2011	No	Patterson, Carol J	Yes
22981	9/18/2011	No	Rod, Jessica	Yes
22982	9/18/2011	No	Shervs, Art	Yes
22983	9/18/2011	No	bassett, martyn	Yes
22984	9/18/2011	No	DePriest, P S	Yes
22985	9/18/2011	No	Berry, Sandra K	Yes
22986	9/18/2011	No	Jedamski, Norbert	Yes
22987	9/18/2011	No	roberts, john m	Yes
22988	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Grabowski, Kathy	Yes
22989	9/18/2011	No	McFalls, Ruth J	Yes
22990	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Floyd, Debra S	Yes
22991	9/18/2011	No	Hendrix, Alice	Yes
22992	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	scott, joan	Yes
22993	9/18/2011	No	SBREGA, PATTY	Yes
22994	9/18/2011	No	Lewis, Erma	Yes
22995	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Wypychoski, Margaret	Yes
22996	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Ueda, Nao	Yes
22997	9/18/2011	No	Lavers, Cheryl	Yes
22998	9/18/2011	No	Workman, Loretta	Yes
22999	9/18/2011	No	Rusher, Marna M	Yes
23000	9/18/2011	No	Flisik, Arlene A	Yes
23001	9/18/2011	No	Barrell, Steward N	Yes
23002	9/18/2011	No	Mazur, David J	Yes
23003	9/18/2011	No	Krassenstein, Diane	Yes
23004	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Barnbaum, Bruce	Yes
23005	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	allgemein, mike	Yes
23006	9/18/2011	No	Reese, Franklin E	Yes
23007	9/18/2011	No	Harrod, Florence A	Yes
23008	9/18/2011	No	Angelo, Diana R	Yes
23009	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
23010	9/18/2011	No	Purcell, Carol M	Yes
23011	9/18/2011	No	morris, kathy a	Yes
23012	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23013	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Ruha, Laura	Yes
23014	9/18/2011	No	Reynolds, Joan C	Yes
23015	9/18/2011	No	Gladfelter, Barbara	Yes
23016	9/18/2011	No	Lameier, Daun	Yes
23017	9/18/2011	No	Chapin, Heather	Yes
23018	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Sweet, Justin	Yes
23019	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Hoyer, Eric	Yes
23020	9/18/2011	No	Morton, Eleanor L	Yes
23021	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Cummings, Kady	Yes
23022	9/18/2011	No	leszcynski, r m	Yes
23023	9/18/2011	No	hutter, michelle	Yes
23024	9/18/2011	No	Sturdevant, David S	Yes
23025	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23026	9/18/2011	No	Colter, Carolee F	Yes
23027	9/18/2011	No	leszcynski, rita a	Yes
23028	9/18/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23029	9/18/2011	No	sborneO, Sharon L	Yes
23030	9/18/2011	No	Badgett, Stacie M	Yes
23031	9/18/2011	No	Hart, Donna	Yes
23032	9/18/2011	Yes (617010)	Kagan, Rebecca	Yes
23033	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Brown, Chelsey N	Yes
23034	9/18/2011	No	Bogolub, Lawrence M	Yes



## 0014056

23035	9/18/2011	No	Bradner, Marion P	Yes
23036	9/18/2011	No	Taylor, Douglas	Yes
23037	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Baisinger-Criddle, Carol C	Yes
23038	9/18/2011	No	briggs, jean l	Yes
23039	9/18/2011	No	Taylor, Douglas	Yes
23040	9/18/2011	No	Taylor, Douglas	Yes
23041	9/18/2011	No	Taylor, Douglas	Yes
23042	9/18/2011	No	Taylor, Douglas	Yes
23043	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Boehme, Robert E	Yes
23044	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Huddleston, Laura	Yes
23045	9/18/2011	No	Gumina, Gregory D	Yes
23046	9/18/2011	No	Katz, Wilma M	Yes
23047	9/18/2011	No	Ridgeway, Lucy L	Yes
23048	9/18/2011	No	Hartnett, John	Yes
23049	9/18/2011	No	Brown, Renee	Yes
23050	9/18/2011	No	Meyers, Elizabeth A	Yes
23051	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Petsco, Sr., JOHN L	Yes
23052	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Haller-Wilson, Nicole M	Yes
23053	9/18/2011	No	Hall, Susan	Yes
23054	9/18/2011	No	Sellers, Meg	Yes
23055	9/18/2011	Yes (603687)	Christensen, Jane O	Yes
23056	9/18/2011	No	Colip, Carol E	Yes
23057	9/19/2011	No	stack, ken s	Yes
23058	9/19/2011	No	Blaisdell, Robyn M	Yes
23059	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Kuhn, Susan	Yes
23060	9/19/2011	No	Heath, Veronica L	Yes
23061	9/19/2011	No	Schneider, Gail S	Yes
23062	9/19/2011	Yes (617010)	Milne, Martha W	Yes
23063	9/19/2011	No	McNiff, Claudia	Yes
23064	9/19/2011	Yes (617010)	Williams, Carol	Yes
23065	9/19/2011	No	vantilborgh, tony	Yes
23066	9/19/2011	No	Cruse, Steve J	Yes
23067	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Darland, Kathleen L	Yes
23068	9/19/2011	No	Golding, Jeffrey	Yes
23069	9/19/2011	No	O'Donovan, Julie	Yes
23070	9/19/2011	No	Olsen, Lisa M	Yes
23071	9/19/2011	No	Charest, Karry L	Yes
23072	9/19/2011	No	Sullivan, Mary	Yes
23073	9/19/2011	No	Hunt, Julie A	Yes
23074	9/19/2011	No	McCarthy, Maureen	Yes
23075	9/19/2011	No	Wheeler, Elizabeth L	Yes
23076	9/19/2011	No	Fletcher, Carol E	Yes
23077	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Bedell, Rachel D	Yes
23078	9/19/2011	No	Della-Volpe, Tisa R	Yes
23079	9/19/2011	No	Jr., Joseph Richard Herkalo, Jr.	Yes
23080	9/19/2011	No	evans, ernest m	Yes
23081	9/19/2011	Yes (556464)	Matlick, Daniel	Yes
23082	9/19/2011	No	Sneed, Daniel W	Yes
23083	9/19/2011	No	Thomson, Sandra	Yes
23084	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23085	9/19/2011	No	Savas, Delores M	Yes
23086	9/19/2011	No	Rinderknecht, Todd	Yes
23087	9/19/2011	No	Kahn, Lauren	Yes
23088	9/19/2011	No	Conrady, Richard G	Yes
23089	9/19/2011	No	Cornett, Peggy L	Yes

0014057

23090	9/19/2011	No	Fox, Rudolph B	Yes
23091	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23092	9/19/2011	Yes (617010)	Webb, Maureen M	Yes
23093	9/19/2011	No	Winn, Laraine F	Yes
23094	9/19/2011	No	Eadie, Sally	Yes
23095	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23096	9/19/2011	No	MacQuarrie, Lynn B	Yes
23097	9/19/2011	No	Andrews, Cheryl	Yes
23098	9/19/2011	No	Griego, Thomas D	Yes
23099	9/19/2011	No	Elder, David	Yes
23100	9/19/2011	No	Kosovec, Marcia M	Yes
23101	9/19/2011	No	Jarosh, Paul	Yes
23102	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23103	9/19/2011	No	Leonard, Carol J	Yes
23104	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Alexander, V.	Yes
23105	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Adams, Brittany O	Yes
23106	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Kickham, Sarah	Yes
23107	9/19/2011	No	Wilkey, Pamela	Yes
23108	9/19/2011	No	Unger, Peggy L	Yes
23109	9/19/2011	No	Shorkey, Tim	Yes
23110	9/19/2011	No	Murphy, Suzan A	Yes
23111	9/19/2011	No	Rothenberg, Gilda	Yes
23112	9/19/2011	No	Davis, Ruth E	Yes
23113	9/19/2011	No	Kurcz-Easom, Susan	Yes
23114	9/19/2011	No	Cunniff, Mary R	Yes
23115	9/19/2011	No	Donovan, Sarah R	Yes
23116	9/19/2011	No	Terry, Rita	Yes
23117	9/19/2011	No	Peltier, Lisa M	Yes
23118	9/19/2011	No	cabaeoc, myra	Yes
23119	9/19/2011	No	Delahunt, Pierce C	Yes
23120	9/19/2011	No	Doyle, Patricia	Yes
23121	9/19/2011	No	Lusher, Guy	Yes
23122	9/19/2011	No	Politis, Lee	Yes
23123	9/19/2011	No	Spillane-Mueller, Carol	Yes
23124	9/19/2011	No	Rohlf, Gerard L	Yes
23125	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
23126	9/19/2011	No	Mann, Robin A	Yes
23127	9/19/2011	No	Loperena, Lindsey	Yes
23128	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	N/A, N/A	Yes
23129	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Dechert, Jared	Yes
23130	9/19/2011	No	Erickson, Leigh C	Yes
23131	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Westlund, Mel	Yes
23132	9/19/2011	Yes (617010)	Moffett, Allison D	Yes
23133	9/19/2011	No	Mayberry, April	Yes
23134	9/19/2011	No	Herr, Theresa L	Yes
23135	9/19/2011	Yes (617010)	Keferl, Eugene P	Yes
23136	9/19/2011	No	Marak, Valerie	Yes
23137	9/19/2011	No	Finley, Jeanne T	Yes
23138	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Lynch, Karen A	Yes
23139	9/19/2011	No	Jorlett, Joel	Yes
23140	9/19/2011	No	Lister, Sandra	Yes
23141	9/19/2011	No	Simon-Kissel, Alison V	Yes
23142	9/19/2011	No	Mack, B.A.	Yes
23143	9/19/2011	No	Bronson, Kali	Yes
23144	9/19/2011	No	Chapin, Suzanne	Yes

## 0014058

23145	9/19/2011	No	Larsen, Jo A	Yes
23146	9/19/2011	No	Dragstrem, James	Yes
23147	9/19/2011	No	Chady, Markus E	Yes
23148	9/19/2011	No	Johnson, Brian E	Yes
23149	9/19/2011	Yes (617010)	Caro, Barbara A	Yes
23150	9/19/2011	No	watson, claire	Yes
23151	9/19/2011	No	Augustine, Judith A	Yes
23152	9/19/2011	No	Connors, John I	Yes
23153	9/19/2011	No	moratz, yolani	Yes
23154	9/19/2011	No	McLeod, Douglas R	Yes
23155	9/19/2011	No	Pinchot, Dolores J	Yes
23156	9/19/2011	No	Webster, Sterling	Yes
23157	9/19/2011	No	Nagy, Marilee M	Yes
23158	9/19/2011	No	Nye, Sara	Yes
23159	9/19/2011	No	Jennings, Linda	Yes
23160	9/19/2011	No	Leonard, Mike	Yes
23161	9/19/2011	No	Neger, Elizabeth	Yes
23162	9/19/2011	No	Cody, Kathy	Yes
23163	9/19/2011	No	Margason, Carol	Yes
23164	9/19/2011	No	Waters, Gretta V	Yes
23165	9/19/2011	No	Hervert, Carla A	Yes
23166	9/19/2011	No	Lamz, Tami	Yes
23167	9/19/2011	No	Olea, Joy	Yes
23168	9/19/2011	No	carlson, m c	Yes
23169	9/19/2011	No	Rogers, S L	Yes
23170	9/19/2011	No	Zvosec, Norma J	Yes
23171	9/19/2011	No	Savona, Philip	Yes
23172	9/19/2011	No	Larson, Laura	Yes
23173	9/19/2011	No	Tugadi, Denise A	Yes
23174	9/19/2011	No	Woolwine, Dennis	Yes
23175	9/19/2011	No	Overton, Irene B	Yes
23176	9/19/2011	No	jones, charles I	Yes
23177	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23178	9/19/2011	No	Middletown, George	Yes
23179	9/19/2011	No	Gamiel, Jennifer	Yes
23180	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23181	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23182	9/19/2011	Yes (603687)	Cornelia, Jared	Yes
23183	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23184	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23185	9/19/2011	No	Baur, Saskia	Yes
23186	9/19/2011	No	Guidi, Rita	Yes
23187	9/19/2011	No	Knight, Edmund T	Yes
23188	9/19/2011	No	Hajost, Theresa	Yes
23189	9/19/2011	No	ventola, gigi	Yes
23190	9/19/2011	No	Costa, Demelza	Yes
23191	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23192	9/19/2011	No	Martin, Drew	Yes
23193	9/19/2011	No	Duffy, Hugh A	Yes
23194	9/19/2011	No	Rowen, Linda D	Yes
23195	9/19/2011	No	Johnson, Heidi M	Yes
23196	9/19/2011	No	Jr., James Ignatius Brown Jr.	Yes
23197	9/19/2011	No	Tracy, Steven L	Yes
23198	9/19/2011	No	Mathis, Wayne R	Yes
23199	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes

23200	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23201	9/19/2011	No	Porter, Jason R	Yes
23202	9/19/2011	No	Nugent, Al	Yes
23203	9/19/2011	No	Dubis, Gabriel S	Yes
23204	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23205	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23206	9/19/2011	No	Mercer, Thomas	Yes
23207	9/19/2011	No	Nugent, Al	Yes
23208	9/19/2011	No	G., Tristan	Yes
23209	9/19/2011	No	Almon, Bill	Yes
23210	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23211	9/19/2011	No	Nugent, Al	Yes
23212	9/19/2011	No	Voelcker, Elsa	Yes
23213	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23214	9/19/2011	No	D'Amato, Victor	Yes
23215	9/19/2011	No	Nugent, Al	Yes
23216	9/19/2011	No	D'Amato, Victor	Yes
23217	9/19/2011	No	D'Amato, Victor	Yes
23218	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23219	9/19/2011	No	N/A, N/A	Yes
23220	9/19/2011	No	Hemingway, George	No
23221	9/15/2011	No	Russo, Daniel	No
23222	9/16/2011	No	Seibert, Roy	No
23223	9/19/2011	No	Carson, Ph.D., Johnny L	No
23224	9/16/2011	No	Davis, John C	No
23225	9/15/2011	No	Setzer, Rick	No
23226	9/20/2011	No	Sprenkle, Richard G	No
23227	9/21/2011	No	Hartnett, John	No
23228	9/22/2011	No	Hill, Kenneth	No
23229	9/19/2011	No	Hoag, Eric	No
23230	9/20/2011	No	McCants, Bernie	No
23231	9/16/2011	No	Nash, Harry	No
23232	9/19/2011	No	Moore, Patricia J	No

**Correspondence ID:** 1000    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I do not feel that ORVs should be allowed because that eventually would degrade the resource as it is today. As a nation, we have already squandered precious resources. Let's not annihilate our future further.

**Correspondence ID:** 1001    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

**Correspondence ID:** 1002    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1003    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1004    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1005	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Animals and birds are the foundation of our beaches and parks. allowing vehicles to contaminate their environment is essentially contaminating our own. The pristine beaches need to stay that way.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1006	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1007	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1008    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

SIGNE CANN

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1009    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes ALL of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1010    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1011	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1012	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

As a resident of North Carolina, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I have visited Hatteras Island and Pea Island and am always struck by the vulnerability and fragility of the barrier islands. I am astonished at the beauty of the non-human habitats and the wildlife they support.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape



Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1013    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It is not that important to drive on the beach. Driving on the beach is not allowed in other states

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1014    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1015    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1016    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please don't let ORV destroy the natural beauty and tranquility of Cape Hatteras. There are many animals depending on the seashore to raise their young so they can be observed for generations to come!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1017    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I think that the entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nest, chicks and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1018    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1019	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1020	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1021	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1022    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1023    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be protected from the damage caused by off-road vehicles. As someone who has visited Hatteras, I feel that this unique place should be kept off-limits from off-road vehicles.

I enjoy riding off-road vehicles myself, but I also know that they can cause great damage to the terrain. Please keep Hatteras safe for future generations to enjoy. Ban off-road vehicles at Hatteras.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1024    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I believe that all vehicular traffic should be prohibited from using this part of the outer banks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1025    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1026    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1027    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1028    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1029    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1030    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I'll be realistic; I know you will not close the entire beach to recreational driving. But I strongly urge you to support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. It is extremely important that you include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I live in NC and greatly enjoy outdoor activity and firmly believe in protecting the land for everyone to be able to enjoy responsibly - without harming wildlife inhabitants - for years to come.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1031    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1032    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1033    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** come on people park the cars and walk the beach so we don't destory the wonders that are there. by walking you can feel the ocean and the breezes, taste the salty air. you can see GOD's creatures closer because they run from the cars. lets make an effort to save some of our planet for our grandkids.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1034    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Hi there, Please close the entire Seashore to vehicles.If vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Thank you. Kelly Byrnes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1035    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I have seen it in Florida - the east coast - Daytona, etc. - and the gulf coast, Grayton and Seagrove Beaches specifically. Driving on beaches, even minimal driving, mars them and kills wildlife in all forms. We have so few unsullied beaches remaining. Protect fiercely what you have.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please

add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1036    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please keep the beach free of vehicles. Safe environments for these birds are much more important than enabling the lazy use of gas powered vehicles. This should be a no-brainer!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1037    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1038    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1039    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1040    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1041    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1042    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am over 50 years old. I have been a life long hiker since starting with the Boy Scouts in school. While I have never ventured north of the Mason-Dixon line, I have seen what the opening of trails to ORV. The damage to the trails is immense. Don't do it. Do not cave into the pressure and allow these things on the trails. If you want to get outside, you need to walk or ride a horse!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1043    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.



---

**Correspondence ID:** 1044    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I love the Outer Banks of NC. I've been vacationing there since I was a child. I don't want to be run over by some yahoo on an ORV. Further, I want the wildlife that remains protected. From what I have seen, recreational ORV riders are generally not respectful of wildlife or the property of others, and in my opinion they have no place in public parks. Let them ride on private land with the permission of the owners, and if they have to pay to do it, so be it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1045    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** My family tries to visit the Otter Banks and specifically Cape Hatteras National Seashore at least once per year and have been doing so for over 40 years. What draws us to the area is the relatively pristine aspect of the shores and the unique wildlife and flora. As biologists, my wife and I over the years have seen a number of birds, turtles and snakes that really are not found anywhere else, or at least in sufficient numbers as to assure that one might actually see them more than once in a lifetime. It is imperative to maintain as much protection as possible to as much of the Seashore within your power to preserve that distinct refugia aspect of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1046    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1047    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1048    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1049    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1050    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1051    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comment into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1052    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Please choose to act on this issue now, and act with integrity.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1053    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1054    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1055    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1056    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1057	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1058	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	PLEASE BAN OR PROHIBIT ORV DRIVING ON THE BEACH.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1059	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am writing to comment on the NPS proposed regulations to manage ORV usage of Cape Harteras National Seashore. I believe that all seashore beaches should be closed to driving, so as to protect the beaches and wildlife for future generations. The proposed regs prohibits driving on only 26 miles of the total 67 miles. This is not enough. All beaches should be closed to driving. Why are you even considering this when there are so many places for drivers? when it will be at the expense of wildlife. Treating wildlife as an option is simply not acceptable. You must not allow this to happen. We need wildlife to be there in the future for our grandchildren. We have destroyed so much already.						

If the decision to open all the beaches does go ahead, then at least I urge you to include specific enforceable science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians. At least make sure that there are buffers around nesting birds, turtles nests etc. I do not understand why those who drive need to drive on beaches..... they have no understanding of how important wildlife is to our future. As an environmentalist and animal lover, I urge you to rethink this proposal. Thank you

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1060	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1061    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1062    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

-----

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1063    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1064    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1065    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1066    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

As someone who enjoys vacationing in the Outer Banks, I strongly support closing the seashore to off-road vehicles. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1067    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I'm writing about allowing driving on the beaches of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am against that proposal because I have hiked where there were people riding just dirt bikes, and part of the thrill for them seems to be the adrenalin rush of riding on the edge of being out of control. It was somewhat precarious to even walk where they were riding, and they knew the path was also a walking path.

Three wheelers or four wheelers can do even more damage, and when drivers are speeding around, they aren't going to see the wildlife in time to protect them, even if they are so inclined, which I think they are not.

There should be no driving on the beach, or if that cannot be managed, then there should be areas set apart for nesting and other protections of the wildlife in the area. Please protect the wildlife on the seashore because they are wonderful to observe.

Also please do not send me any snail mail. I want to save the trees.

Thanks for your efforts.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1068    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape



Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1069    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1070    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** People living both near the Seashore and all over the country -- are in favor of regulations that will close the beach to driving and protect this national treasure for future generations.

Please add specific protections for wildlife to the regulation. The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nest, chicks and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Please help to save this beautiful area for generations to come.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1071    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please do not open any more areas of the Cape Hatteras NS to ORVs. If anything please limit their access to less than what is allowed now.

Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1072    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Delicate shoreline areas are under stress from chaingDear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Delicate shorelines are already under stress from drifting pollution, changing weather patterns and rising water levels. They can NOT recover from destructive off road vehicle use!

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1073	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1074	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I spend a great deal of time in Daytona Beach - where cars use the beach. The beach sand is permeated with oil. It is highly unattractive. There is no point in having a National Park if it is to be confused with a parking lot. And off-road vehicles are a thousand times worse. Though I am a mountain biker, I do not believe that even mountain bikes, certainly the most innocuous of off-road vehicles, & fragile landscapes mix.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1075	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1076    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1077    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1078    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please make better regulations for less vehicals allowed on the beaches on cape hatteras. The endanger species need these areas to keep thiving and keep their species alive. It is our duty to maintain these animals and provide them with there own space to continue to be around for future generations. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1079    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1080	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1081	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1082	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1083    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** people can live and enjoy the beach WITHOUT driving on it, but the animals that nest on the beaches CANNOT reproduce when cars and orv destroy their nests and their young.

the entire cape hatteras seashore should be closed to vehicles.

specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation.

nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and and migrating and wintering shorebirds should be protected not the drivers of cars and orv.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1084    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** NO VEHICLES ON BEACH

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1085    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1086    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-

based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1087    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I come from a deeply conservationist family. When I was in my 20s I said "we are slowly destroying our planet." Now in my 60s I am terrified to hear myself say "we are rapidly destroying our planet." It is the mandate of our national park system to preserve the natural habitats and beauty that remains.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1088    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1089    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I would like to see no ORV use at Cape Hatteras. The environment is too fragile, and it disrupts sensitive and threatened wildlife. Please restrict ORV use to more durable lands elsewhere.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1090    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We should not even entertain the notion of Off-road Vehicles in such a delicate venue - there is no way in which our natural resources - turtles, shorebirds, dunes and even water - can sustain ORV who have no NEED to take their vehicles into this type of environment. It's only destructive, including oil/AC fluid leakage form these vehicles into sand and waters. Those who came before us put sound regulations into place to protect these areas for stupid and self-serving human activities - for good reason - so that generatoinis following them would have these pristine, protected places left for all of us. Let's continue that tradition and reject the selfish intentions of those with the 'need' to speed over these beautiful places. It's not necessary, nor desirable!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1091    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear NPS,

I know you are under tremendous pressure by those who have a narrow focus only on their own personal freedoms without consideration for the effects of their actions on the environment or the rights of others. As a citizen of North Carolina and of this country I have the right to demand that our National Parks be protected as intended for future generations to enjoy responsibly.

The new rules as proposed by the NPS do not go far enough to ensure that the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is protected from the damaging effects of ORV driving on the beaches.

Specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Please be strong and hold your ground as the protectors of these fragile and irreplaceable areas of our country, for the future of these species and for all Americans who wish to see them preserved for future generations. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1092    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1093    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please support conservation efforts in Cape Hatteras

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1094    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect the animals!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1095    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes ALL of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The ENTIRE area should be closed to beach driving.

Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1096    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future

generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1097	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:						

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1098	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---



**Correspondence ID:** 1099    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1100    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1101    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1102    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1103    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Keep vehicles OFF of Cape Hatteras. People have destroyed enough of this environment, can our wildlife have SOME areas to themselves?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1104    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** National Park Service, I am writing to ask you to help protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore From ORVs. I grew up on the southern Atlantic coast, and know first-hand how fragile that environment is. ORVs have NO BUSINESS on the beach or in the sand dunes! We lovers of the shore have worked for 50+ years to conserve the dunes, the nesting sea turtles, and other wildlife. This measure (allowing ORVs) is a giant step BACKWARD.

In my opinion, the entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory, science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

The Outer Banks have been closed or limited to development for many years, and it is this protection which helps maintain the wild nature of the coastline that ORV drivers want to enjoy. My opinion is, let them enjoy it ON FOOT!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1105    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the Cape Hatteras Seashore. It is incredibly precious.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1106    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1107	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,

Joe Franklin

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1108	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you, Jeffrey Bryan Sherry

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1109    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Re: Proposed regulation to manage off-road vehicle driving on the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore on North Carolina's Outer Banks.

I visit the outer banks frequently, and one of the biggest attractions for me is the relatively pristine nature of the area, with the exception of beaches that are overused by vehicles.

As I understand the current proposal regarding use of off road vehicles on the beaches, it does not provide for adequate protection for wildlife. My feeling is that the entire seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. I believe that such protections can be provided without seriously impairing access to beaches for fishing, though this may require additional parking facilities.

Thank you,

Ronald Shrieves

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1110    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1111    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There are so many areas on our planet that are being industrialize and commercialize in one way or another that we are losing our pristine areas and the wildlife that inhabited them long before we came along. The United States shorelines are becoming traffic hazards. We do not need to have any more invasion of our precious seashore and forests. Please do not allow any ORV or any other vehicular traffic on the beautiful Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These vehicles are in many other places.

Thank you for this opportunity to offer my comments on keeping our seashore park for the wildlife, marine life and the people who appreciate them

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1112    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1113    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1114    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I strongly oppose vehicles being able to drive on the beaches of the Hatteras National Seashore and firmly believe that behavior is not conducive to the safety of the wildlife that inhabits the area.

As a lifelong sailor I know there are areas that I am not allowed to use as an anchorage as damage to the environment can occur.

Perhaps the same thing can be said of most motorized vehicles driving on beaches?

Sincerely,

Capt. Apryl C. Preston

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1115    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please save our wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1116    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Why would driving ever be allowed on our beaches? Instead establish off-road areas for such drivers, but please not on beaches. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1117    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off-Road Vehicle use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I am in support of a regulation that protects this resource and the wildlife within it by closing all of the Seashore to beach driving. Currently the regulation only prohibits Off-Road Vehicles year round on 26 of the 67 total miles of Cape Hatteras National Seashore beach, and I support closing the entire area to Off-Road Vehicle use.

If the Park Service does not close the entire area to Off-Road Vehicle use, I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional rather than required. To protect this national treasure for future generations as well as the wildlife inhabitants and visiting migrants of Cape Hatteras National Seashore, we should be using the best science possible. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1118    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

As a past and hopefully future visitor to the Outer Banks, the things I most look forward in my time there is the natural terrain and wildlife. I know that most people's preferred activity is walking on the beach and enjoying the serenity of the ocean. Allowing driving on these beaches would make this much less enjoyable. The beaches are the main reason for tourism and need to be protected from unnecessary damage from trucks and ORVs.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1119    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely, Lilli Emily Ross

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1120	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1121	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.						

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I can not see where the willfull destruction of this area by a narcistic few who are only out for thier enjoyment can over ride the epic termination of species. Have we sank that low as a species ourselves.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1122	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
---------------------------	------	-----------------	-------	------------------	-------	-----------------	---

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1123	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I attended high school in Virginia Beach, and as a result, made frequent trips to surf at Cape Hatteras and the surrounding areas. The seashore is a national treasure, and I would love to see it and its sealife preserved for the future.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1124	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,



their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1125    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but if vehicles continue to be permitted, then specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nest, chicks and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1126    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1127    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1128    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Our coastline will now be more fragile and vulnerable after IRENE. It should be protected

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1129    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Allowing Off Road Vehicles (ORV) free reign on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is insane and must be stopped or severely restricted to only those few areas in which, through biological survey and historical data are free from wildlife or would not disturb wildlife if ORV's traversed through the area. It is a national seashore and as such the primary use is for people and animals and as such animal habitat MUST be preserved. It is your duty and as steward and custodian of this national treasure to protect it and allowing ORVs use and/or free or even restricted access to it does not meet that obligation. PLEASE RESCIND THE CURRENT ACCESS and DO NOT EXTEND IT even further

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1130    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1131    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1132    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1133    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1134    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1135    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There are too few places where we can get away from cars. This should be one of those places especially to protect all these birds and other animals we come there to enjoy. Who wants to be on the beach and have to dodge cars. DAYtona is not where I want to go !!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1136    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1137    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1138    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1139    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1140    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1141    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1142    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1143    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

John R. Cannon, Ph.D. Conservation Biologist

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1144    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please take. Vehicles off seashore completely. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1145    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1146	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1147	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1148    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1149    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore from ORVs. Include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Do your jobs and protect America's wildlife and land.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1150    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am writing today to ask for help for wildlife animals and for increased protection nationally and globally.

I am writing to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore specifically.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. There is no reason people need to drive on critical land - people need to stay on proposed roads.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. It is outrageous that these laws are not already in place so we must take action to request such protection for the animals who can not speak for themselves against harm.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1151    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00



**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1152    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thanks for letting me comment on the proposed regulations for managing ORVs at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I am against the equation you have presented and feel that it should be reversed, that is, 26 miles for ORV drivers and 67 miles for wildlife. If not that, then no ORV driving on the beach at all.

What is wrong with strong, healthy people thinking that they need to drive on the beach anyway? That is not the best way to have a real beach experience and to learn about the creatures that inhabit the beach or to enjoy the sounds of the ocean and the tranquility that it represents.

In parts of Florida people are allowed to drive on the beaches and it is very dangerous to the other beach goers. People have been run over by vehicles where the driver never saw them. They obviously will not see the wildlife either. The noise from the vehicles is disruptive to everyone and should not be allowed.

It's not handicapped people or those who cannot walk on the beach that create the problem. It's mostly people who really want to race their vehicles and create a scene. Not a good idea either way.

Thank you for listening. Please make the right decision for the majority of the people and not the minority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1153    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** You owe it to the vote's to protect all of our national parks and wildlife. It would cover what's on land and sea.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1154    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I request that no ORVs be allowed on the beautiful and natural Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I vacationed on the Outer Banks in June and enjoyed seeing the seashore as nature made it. Please do not allow ORVs in any part of the national seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1155    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Secretary Murray I am a long time visitor to Cape Hatteras and Ocracoke Island and have seen numerous ORV regulations broken during the years I have enjoyed the Park. Off Road vehicles repeatedly driving where they should not be driving. Marked off Turtle nests driven over because the driver was not paying attention. Beach Goers nearly hit by trucks driving where the

ORV should not have been, I - a child, camping with her parents, I later learned suffered a sprained arm when my husband grabbed her out of the path of a pickup and they'd rolled across the sand away from the path of the pickup that was driving where he had no business being. We learned the driver was later held by the Park Ranger's alerted by other campers, for the Sheriff to arrest on suspicion of drunk driving. The parents didn't blame my husband, they blamed the pickup driver for driving where he had no business driving. They wanted to buy us dinner for saving their little girl! That driver had skirted the barrier that was supposed to prevent him from driving where he was driving, because he was on a beer run!

Small children easily get away from their parents and try as a parent might, if the child has wandered 20 yards away - which is normally plenty of time to grab them back - but when an ORV is involved, it could have been tragic if my husband had not jumped to that little girl's rescue. It was really a close call & he got a little cut up as well.

The majority of Hatteras beaches have no place being opened to ORV's. Too many ignore the regulations and marked off areas are too often ignored by those using off road vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1156    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. I have personally seen what allowing motor vehicles on a beach can do to its pristine nature - Daytona Beach is now a highway!

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1157    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1158    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please do not allow Off Road Vehicles to drive on the beaches or dunes of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I lived for twenty years in St. Augustine Florida where driving on the beach was legal, including Anastasia State Park. I was a frequent visitor to Anastasia State Park where I witnessed the damage to the park and its visitors because of the hazards of motor vehicles on the beach. First hand I saw the destruction of sand dunes and the sea oats that protect them. First hand I saw black skimmer chicks killed in their nest. Less apparent was the stress on nesting birds imposed by vehicles roaring past. I was made aware of the damage inflicted on the buried nest eggs of the endangered Logger-head Turtles.

There were several people who died as a result of being run over. It was dangerous to let your children run free.

I could envision certain strictly enforced provisions to allow the handicapped to visit the seashore, but beyond that it is a shame to damage our national treasure and put our citizens at risk to allow driving on the beach there.

Thanks for your consideration...

Gregory Gilbert

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1159    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It is my opinion that no road vehicles should be allowed on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. (Document ID NPS-2011-0005-0001)

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1160    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am opposed to offroad vehicles. We were given feet for a reason and if people really want to see the area then they should use them rather than spoiling nature for everyone else. Please protect habitat and the public by keeping ORVs out, I mean OUT. Thank you, Dr Elizabeth Wallace

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1161    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1162    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future

generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1163	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1164	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

Having visited Hatteras I have seen entirely too many violations by ORV's during the years I have visited the Shore. In my opinion ORV's have no business being allowed on the beach unless they are on 4 feet and even then those 4 footed vehicles need to watch where they are going. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1165    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1166    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1167    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration, Stacey Cannon

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1168    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1169    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1170    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I vacation on the Outer Banks yearly with my extended family.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1171	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	before it is too late!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1172	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	As someone who spends time on the Outer Banks each winter and spring birding and watching sea mammals and other wildlife. I strongly urge you to protect the Outer Banks National Seashore from overuse by recreational vehicles. The National seashore should not be primarily a playground for people with off road vehicles. It should provide protected habitat for shorebirds, sea turtles, and other creatures that depend on it for their survival. It is too easy for people in vehicles to run over creatures and their nests without even realizing they are there. I urge you to protect the native creatures and plantlife of the National Seashore.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1173	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore From ORVs						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1174	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	<p>I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.</p> <p>I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.</p> <p>If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.</p> <p>As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.</p>						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1175	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Off Road Vehicles are a disaster on beaches. They destroy bird nests, turtle nests, and dune grasses. They are noisy and completely out of character for the many visitors who choose to walk the beaches and enjoy the peace and quiet.						
	<p>Only 26 miles of Cape Hatteras Seashore's 67 miles of beaches have any protection now; the idea that more of the beach needs to be opened is ridiculous. Considering that endangered sea turtles and shore birds utilize that beach the entire beach should be closed to ORVs. If that can not be done the second choice would be to increase the amount of beach that is off limits to ORVs. The absolute last choice would be to increase the regulations for use of ORVs including buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests and areas where hatchlings are present.</p>						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1176	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-						

based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1177    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing off the road vehicle use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes the Seashore to beach driving because it is the best way to protect this shore and its wildlife for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach, but I hope the entire area can be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1178    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a lover of our national seashores and all their wildlife and plantlife wonders, the dunes, the fresh air and general magical atmosphere, I want to urge that the special regulations for off road vehicles in areas overseen by the National Park Service System mandate maximum protections for wildlife and plantlife (nesting birds, chicks, turtles, etc.) in those areas. There should be no ORVs in these areas, but if they are, God forbid, allowed, they should be governed by strict rules as to where, how, and when they are driven.

This is important for those of us humans who cherish time at the seashore, as well, where we can get away from the noise, mess, and fumes of the automobile.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1179    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1180    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.



If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1181    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Keep vehicles off these critical wild life areas. There are enough areas where these vehicles can be driven.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1182    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1183    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** everything has to be done to protect the parks and the seashores...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1184    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Our environment is under attack by global warming. PLEASE do not allow off road vehicles to further endanger fragile wildlife in Cape Hatteras National Seashore! I am counting on you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1185    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am writing to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support the closure of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife including sea turtles and sea birds and to protect the beach for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

As an ecologist who has studied sea turtles and as a citizen that enjoys finding peace at the beach, I strongly urge you to not allow beach driving. It's bad enough that Daytona Beach is open to driving. National Parks are meant for the enjoyment of all and for the protection of our unique resources. Beach driving will degrade the beach, endanger people and wildlife, and destroy the peace that many people seek from visiting the beach.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regards, Amber L. Pitt, Ph.D. Ecologist

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1186    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and newborns, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1187    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1188    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1189    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1190    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

We support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. We support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. We urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking our comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1191    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1192	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I do not want to be visiting the beach and only see deep ruts in the sand where people have been destroying the landscape that I spent my time and money coming to see.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1193	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1194    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

As a marine scientist, I am aware of how damaging ORVs are to wildlife. Turtles need the near-dune areas to nest and hatchlings need to be able to move, unimpeded to the water. Shorebirds feed on all of our National Seashores, and disturbances such as ORVs or other vehicles cause them to take flight and lose valuable feeding time (as well as to expend calories that they will need to conserve for migrations). National Seashores should be among the places where wildlife has priority...not ORVs. I ask that you amend the regulation to cease all beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Renee Andrews

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1195    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need to protect all creatures! They are the innocent ones.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1196    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1197    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes ALL of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1198	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1199	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration. Lauren ElasiK

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1200    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1201    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1202    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1203    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I would like you to protect the wildlife along the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I would like the beach to be used only by people walking, not motorized vehicles. If you cannot close the whole beach then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Sincerely, Juliana Ericson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1204    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1205    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a vacational resident, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

I feel that we must be responsible stewards of the lands we use and consider the impact our activities have on future generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1206    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Re: Restriction of ORV on Cape Hatteras



Dear Superintendent Murray,

In many of my sociology, criminology, and law classes, we cover issues of social change, commons, and public health. In particular, when teaching Regulatory Law and Environmental Sociology, a major theme is that regulations are both complicated and necessary. They should not be invoked without due diligence in finding alternatives, yet they should not be opposed on principle alone. Regulations are indeed essential mechanisms in changing modern societies. We all know the harms of pollution and ecosystem disruption; no science disputes that. Regulations such as restricting the use of NPS land are inadequate to "save the planet," as some argue, but they are sensible steps that contribute to positive incremental change. It is a change the community can absorb without significant loss, change that will protect a rare ecosystem, and change that will move us in the right direction of balancing human activity with preservation of nature.

For this reason, I support a regulation that closes the entire Cape Hatteras Seashore area to beach driving.

Thanks for accepting my comments, Dr. Casey Welch

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1207	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Each year our family vacations on the Outer Banks of N.C. We love the natural shoreline and sincerely hope that ORV's will be prevented from disturbing the nesting places of sea life. Please ban all vehicles from these fragile shores.						

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1208	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Cape Hatteras National Seashore is just that: A national park meant for ALL Americans. Giving access to ORV's is a selective discriminative decision. It is not inclusive and removes the majority of our nations citizens from this financially available option that is only there for the wealthy.. For those of us wishing to enjoy the beauty at its closest to original intent, the ORV's are an insult, and a destructive one at that. The affect of ORV's on any habitat is clearly obvious and scientifically documented. Surely you are not ignoring the data. For the majority of American citizens, the presence of these polluting devices; destructive to air, soil, water and sound, will not only ruin the experience for the majority, but destroy natural habitat that this park was originally set aside to protect.						

The choice is obvious. Do not bend to the wealthy few who have lost their soul and give this gift to the devil(in whatever form he takes).

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1209	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

As an annual visitor to the Seashore, I cannot imagine the impact it would have on wildlife and those of us who want to enjoy the beaches for their natural beauty, without having to worry about injury to ourselves or our children from ORVs.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1210    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1211    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

There are plenty of other areas available for beach driving - Cape Hatteras is a unique area and should be completely protected by complete prohibition of ANY driving.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1212    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I am writing to express my strong support for a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-

based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1213    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for allowing me to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I used to live on the Jersey Shore, but that was before the advent of ORVs and the havoc they can create on the seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. Sadly, the proposed regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. The beaches are not there just for humans. Shore birds and other wildlife depend on those beaches for their very survival. In this age of increased population and increased pressure on wildlife, we must make sure that specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife AND pedestrians are included in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation is not acceptable as it treats wildlife protection as optional. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. PLEASE include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Again, thank you for taking my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1214    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I would support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1215    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1216    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1217    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need to have this regulation concerning Driving at Cape Hatteras to protect the wildlife and sanctuary there and protect the bio diversity. I lived in Lewes Delaware near Cape Henlopen and there was a great deal of respect and honoring of the nesting grounds and of nature. I do not think this regulation allowing drivers into this area is respecting or protecting the wildlife. Please consider the delicate balance there and protect the creatures who live in and share that area. Please re-work this regulation or create a new regulation that honors and protects wildlife.

Thank you. Sincerely, Carolyn Wolfe

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1218    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1219    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1220    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I personally believe private motorized vehicles without official business on the shore (i.e. safety and rescue) should NOT be allowed access to these fragile areas. The risk to wildlife and beach topography is too great. They should remain fully protected.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1221    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If you cannot do the right thing and close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

The proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation.

I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1222    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Because beaches provide crucial and unique habitat for shorebirds and other animals, I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. Being able to go zooming along a beach in a wheeled vehicle is not necessary to human life, but being able to safely nest and rear one's young on a beach is necessary to shorebirds and animals. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1223    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1224	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1225	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1226    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

As a professional biologist I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I strongly support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1227    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:**

Please accept these comments submitted on behalf of the Center for Biological Diversity on the proposed rule for the management of off-road vehicles at Cape Hatteras National Seashore pursuant to 36 CFR 4.10(b). We are writing to ask that specific, enforceable science-based protections for wildlife be added to the rule. We are also writing to ask that the entire area be closed to off-road driving in order to protect endangered species, pedestrians, wildlife and natural resources for future generations. The Center for Biological Diversity is a national non-profit conservation organization dedicated to the conservation of endangered species and the habitat they need to survive. The Center has 320,000 members and supporters nationwide and 37,000 supporters in the southeastern United States. Our members and staff have aesthetic, biological, educational, scientific, moral, spiritual, commercial and recreational interests in the endangered species at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and the interests of our members and staff would be adversely affected were the endangered species which occur at the Seashore to be harmed by the rule for the designation of off-road vehicle routes. The operation of motor vehicles off of roads within areas of the National Park System is prohibited unless otherwise provided for by special regulation. The proposed rule that NPS is developing that would authorize ORV use at the Seashore should instead prohibit the operation of motor vehicles on the beach at Cape Hatteras. Executive Orders 11644 and 11989 require that the designation of off-road vehicle routes by federal agencies minimize harassment of wildlife or significant disruption of wildlife habitats. The most effective manner by which to minimize harassment of wildlife and minimize significant disruption of wildlife habitat at Cape Hatteras would be to ban the use of off-road vehicles on the beach. There is no question that off-road beach driving harms and harasses wildlife and degrades wildlife habitat. We are particularly concerned [www.BiologicalDiversity.org](http://www.BiologicalDiversity.org) about the negative impacts of beach driving on the federally threatened piping plover and on endangered sea turtles. There has been photo documentation of dead piping plovers and other wildlife at Cape Hatteras which have been struck by vehicles on the beach. There has been a documented decline in most beach nesting bird populations at Cape Hatteras since the 1990s. Nesting wildlife at Cape Hatteras, including endangered species, are in need of more specific protections from the National Park Service. It is unacceptable to continue to allow beach driving to harm wildlife at the Seashore. The National Park Service should ban beach driving at Cape Hatteras. If the Service is unwilling to ban beach driving, then at the very least, specific, enforceable science-based wildlife protections should be added to the proposed rule including buffers for nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. The Service should give serious consideration to closing more of Cape Hatteras to vehicles; the proposed closure of only 26 of the seashore's 67 miles is not adequate for wildlife protection. Executive Orders 11644 and 11989 also require that off-road vehicle routes shall be located in areas of the National Park system only if the respective agency head determines that off-road vehicle use in such locations will not adversely affect their natural, aesthetic, or scenic values. Driving on the beach clearly adversely impacts the natural, aesthetic, and scenic value of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The first time I rented a house for a week at Cape Hatteras, I was shocked that vehicles were allowed on the beach. I couldn't believe that such a special place, a national treasure, was being degraded by vehicles driving on shore. I spent the week watching shorebirds, dolphins, rays and other wildlife, and having the peaceful view disrupted by vehicles. Allowing off-road vehicles adversely impacts the natural, aesthetic, and scenic value of the Seashore for photographers, naturalists, pedestrians and other users. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed regulation, and urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking our comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1228    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As someone who vacations in the Outer Banks, this protection is very important to me.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1229    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1230    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1231    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Beaches are not highways. We have already destroyed enough land by building our roads and highways. Let's leave something for the animals of our world. Please, leave coastal beaches alone. Thanks for listening.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1232    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,



I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration. Claudia Murphy

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1233	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1234	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1235    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration. Kerstin Green

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1236    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1237    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please add protections for wildlife regulation. The entire seashore should be closed to vehicles- if some do end up open, then what should be included are NO Driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings. Protection should be added for migrating birds and wintering shore birds.

Thank you for this consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1238    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regards,

Brian

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1239    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1240    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1241    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am from Northeast North Carolina. I have spent days and days on the National Seashore Park. It is a pprecious defense of the mainland and a home for a variety of animals and plants. Each of them is fragile. To let ORV run on even one mile of the beach is too much. Save the Outerbanks for future generations. I know that you willl. Jim Rogerson--Your district.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1242    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please don't sacrifice sea animals for the enjoyment of a few. We don't need to wipe out more animal species in our life time. We are leaving a very sad world for our grand children.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1243    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** having just spent a week at the outer banks, and just watched hurricane irene destroy so much, i would like to see the entire shoreline protected against orv. it's too easy to destroy, and almost impossible to repair. the wildlife need the protection more than the atv riders need a place to play.

thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1244    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Best regards, Alberto J. Rivera Delgado

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1245    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1246	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1247	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1248	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1249    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for the opportunity to speak out for the protection of our valuable NC seashore. I strongly support that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect the wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written the regulation offers year round protection from ORVs to only a very limited section of beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. Beach driving is not only harmful to the wildlife who make that area their home, but it is very annoying to people who just want to enjoy the beach with out being blasted with the noise pollution that comes with ORVs, Once destroyed it will take generations, if ever, to return the seashore to its natural state. I urge you to include science-based protection for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1250    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We are spiritually and morally obligated to be good stewards of this planet and that includes other species besides human. Please honor it. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1251    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need to save our coastal areas and your proposal is sadly lacking in defined specifics to protect the wonderful coast and its wildlife that we have. More is NOT better - ORV owners can use the transportation means they were freely given - their feet. I am unequivocally opposed to the current proposal. Its effects would be too far reaching and totally unnecessary. Thank you.  
Barbara SMith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1252    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1253	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1254	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore from ORVs!						

Endangered sea turtles, shorebirds and waterbirds need you. The National Park Service is accepting public comments on its proposed regulation to manage ORV driving on the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore on North Carolina's Outer Banks, where threatened and endangered species nest. But the current proposal only protects beach drivers -- not wildlife.

The unacceptable proposal does not mandate any specific measures to protect vulnerable animals from beach driving. It reserves only 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for wildlife and pedestrians year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. The proposed regulation will control what happens at Cape Hatteras National Seashore for decades and will set a precedent for other national parks.

ORV drivers are advocating for even more areas of the beach to be opened for driving. The National Park Service needs to know that people like you -- people living both near the Seashore and all over the country -- are in favor of regulations that will close the beach to driving and protect this national treasure for future generations.

Please urge the Park Service to add specific protections for wildlife to the regulation. Tell the Park Service that the entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nest, chicks and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1255	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.						

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1256    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1257    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a native North Carolinian and a lover of this state's beautiful shore, I beg you not to allow this destructive practice. This is totally inappropriate for our wonderful beaches and our main thought should be for their protection. Take the RVS elsewhere please!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1258    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** With the toxic state of our planet, I feel that we need to protect as many natural habitats as possible. We don't need people driving on our beaches.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1259    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1260    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00



**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1261    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1262    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1263    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1264    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1265    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. My mother and I enjoyed a birdwatching week last year along that area and were awed by its beauty.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1266	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I often go to the Outer Bank and Enjoy the Seabirds there. More people are bothered by the ORV's in Seashore National Park than ride them. This is just being considered because of the ORV Sales lobby using our national parks to make money.

These Shorebirds are enjoyed by many too. Many do not realise they nest on the beach but Audubon NC, to which I belong, is trying to change that. These shore birds need to be protected. Other wild life such as the Tiger beetles are also destroyed by ORV use.

Please close this beach to ORV's and most people will be happier. I personall want this beach to be protected as shorebirds all up and down the coast need this as a stop over. People in other countries also are counting on us to do the right thing as these birds reach Canada and South America.

Please close the beach to driving. If you can not do that impose science based restrictions that protect the shore birds. These birds can move with their young from day to day so they are difficult to protect without a moritorium.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1267	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	protect our natural resource ecosystems and the innocent lives involved!						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1268	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regulations.gov may also require you to enter two "reCaptcha" security words in order to submit your comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1269    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** No one needs to be driving on ANY Beach except Emergency Vehicles

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1270    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There must be no more driving on beaches & wilderness roads & across sacred wilderness areas. The brief pleasure of a few people would recklessly endanger wildlife & any pets & people in their paths. It is time for Americans & foreign visitors to our fabulous wild lands to respect the unique characters of those special places. If people wish to go to amusement parks they should go to them. That is not the purpose of our wild lands, to entertain with machines & noise & thoughtless moments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1271    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1272    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1273    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please conserve our national parks and seashores our children and grandchildren deserve to enjoy these places. I don't mean with all the noise of the cities. There plenty of places already for these noisy pieces of equipment! Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1274    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1275    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regulations.gov may also require you to enter two "reCaptcha" security words in order to submit your com

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1276    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1277    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** WE MUST STOP COMPROMIZING/DESTROYING OUR NATIONAL PUBLIC LANDS...

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1278    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the valuable resource that is our Outer Banks. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is an important part of the history and a valued site in North Carolina. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1279    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Beaches are not made for driving vehicles on them. All vehicles should be banned from the beach except in cases of emergency. There is no need for people to drive on beaches, crushing the animals that depend on it for survival (that also affects our own survival). People really need to find more productive ways to spend their time...like losing weight by walking on the beach instead of becoming increasingly obese by sitting in vehicles driving on the beach.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1280    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1281    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Jim Crutchfield

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1282	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1283	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1284    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1285    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1286    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The effects of Document ID NPS-2011-0005-0001 (Cape Hatteras National Seashore) is of utmost importance. Getting it right is absolutely essential. As is, omissions are conspicuous. Specific protections for wildlife are critical and must be added to this regulation. With the stress wildlife is now struggling to overcome just to survive, we must be responsible and do our part to protect and nurture that wildlife. Make it happen. It matters.

Put what is environmentally sound ahead of unnecessary conveniences. Our family will never choose to drive on a beach when doing so threatens already endangered wildlife. I urge you to add specific wildlife protections to this regulation.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1287    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I am totally opposed to allowing ORV's on the sensitive shores of Cape Hatteras; there are plenty of other sites where they can drive. Wildlife and noninvasive people will not harm the surroundings like these ORVs do. If these drivers can't park their vehicles and walk to enjoy the environment, they can't truly enjoy the shore anyway.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for considering my views.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1288	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1289	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1290    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** To: Superintendent Mike Murray Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Philip F Southall

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1291    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thanks for giving me the opportunity to share my views about Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I am amazed that such a small percentage of the seashore is protected from driving. I can't imagine why fisherman are allowed more of the beach than our shore birds and sea turtles. They simply can not co-exist, especially during their respective nesting seasons. Our wildlife depends on you for protection, please give a voice to them and give them a place to live. I love going to the beach to see our wildlife, not trucks driving on the beach. I see that every day! Going to the beach is a place to watch birds-not traffic.

Thanks, Claudia Thompson-Deahl

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1292    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1293    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1294    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am certain that the recent damage by Hurricane Irene have made our comments considerably more important.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1295    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

Thank you for your wise consideration of this situation.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1296    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The Cape Hattaras National Sea Shore is far too fragil and precious to allow driving vehicles on the beach. Why are you even considering this? It presents far too great a risk to the remaining wildlife and their habitat. For once, make a decision that's in the best interests of the people who love the Outer Banks and the flora and fauna found there!

**Correspondence ID:** 1297    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the oppotunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1298    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I LIVE FAR FROM THE BEACH IN ORANGE, VA, BIT STILL CONSIDER NO BEACH DRIVING VERY IMPORTANT PRESERVATION CONTROL DONALD OBER

**Correspondence ID:** 1299    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please consider regulations submitted to protect free-ranging wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thanks.

**Correspondence ID:** 1300    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1301    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Aloha Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1302    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Some places need silence over noise. Why does everywhere need motor vehicles

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1303    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

The Park Service should close the entire Seashore to beach driving. then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation Should you not close the shore to driving. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I would also urge you to get rid of Recaptcha and use some other service. I just refreshed the screen 20 times before it gave me letters I could read well enough to type.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1304    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1305    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It is important that we protect the wild life in that area. Please do not expand the driving areas. There are plenty of roads to drive on.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1306    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** With all the turmoil with the ecosystem, we need to do everything possible to save the environment and the wildlife that support that ecosystem.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1307    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please, no ORV's should be allowed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1308    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a year-round user of this particular seashore, I see no reason whatsoever for vehicle access on the beach in excess of the ADA requirements for accessibility. I believe that number is 5%, as applied to seating and parking lots. Thus no more than 5% of the beach should be open to vehicles; everybody else can walk the dunes or USPS access points.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1309    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There are plenty of roads to drive on. Please save the seashore for all creatures, human and otherwise, and keep the vehicles off!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1310    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1311    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1312    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1313    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1314	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Although not in total opposed to beach driving at Hatteras Natl. Seashore I urge a common sense approach to the matter. I have enjoyed the "luxury" of a beach drive there in the past to pursue my passion for surf fishing but I do see some of the destruction it can cause. I urge that environmental concerns be weighed in fairly in any decisions made in the matter. Nesting birds, turtles & dune/beach integrity(including ghost crabs)need to be considered. Thankyou for allowing me to comment, Jeff Hoffa						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1315	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1316	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I strongly recommend that all beaches be preserved in their pristine state, and all driving be prohibited. Nature itself and rising sea waters with global warming will reestablish beach areas and wilderness areas without the added wear and loss of safety o of the automobile and its distracted cellphone drivers. The human pedestrian traffic of all ages and native wildlife merit first consideration, not incidental consideration. Such additional carbon exhaust fumes and their warming contributions are thoughtless.						

In behave of nature, Stewart Roberts

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1317	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1318	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Why ruin a good thing? I am amazed that you would consider such a thing.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1319	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

We support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then we urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. We support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. We urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Any wildlife loving person can not see any driving on beaches!

Thank you for taking our comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1320	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1321	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	These are important regulations and shorebirds should be protected not harmed by them. Please include their welfare in these regulations. Actually a person was just run over and badly hurt by a security vehicle on Daytona Beach (where driving is allowed on the beach year round.) So if a security vehicle hit a person lying on the sand getting a tan. you can imagine what is going to happen to these poor birds. Please keep in mind their safety. Thank you.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1322	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1323	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am writing to urge that Cape Hatteras National Seashore be kept closed to driving in order to protect fragile wildlife habitat.						

If any part of it is opened to driving, then please at least create protection or buffers around nesting areas.

I understand that the current proposal does not have any protections for wildlife, and to me that is simply unacceptable.

I think that ORV drivers can find someplace else to practice their activity; animals don't always have that luxury.

Although I live in Florida; I am a US citizen who votes and is very much interested in what goes on in all areas of my country.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely

Deborah Dalrymple

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1324    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There should never be allowed unnecessary vehicular access to areas deemed necessary to the protection of native and migratory animals. There is no need for recreational vehicles in these areas but it is absolutely imperative that these areas remain fully protected for the continues reproductive needs of these animals whether endangered or not. Short sited persons would want unfettered access because they believe it is their right of ownership over these areas but that is not acceptable. We must protect and preserve these areas for both floral and fauna. Please do not accept any reduction or relaxation of regulations protecting these wildlife wetland or beach environs. Thanks you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1325    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1326    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1327    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for this opportunity to comment. Please prohibit on-beach driving in sensitive wildlife areas, if not the whole park.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1328    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1329    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1330    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. Dear Superintendant Murray

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1331    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Mr. Murray, Re: ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Please close the seashore to beach driving, please close all of the seashore. If you cannot do this please include enforceable protections for the wildlife. The proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional. 'Optional' should not be allowed.

I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

We have destroyed so much of the natural world. It is time that we protect it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1332    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1333    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1334    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Motorized Trails??

We have precious little space Where we can get away To some quiet and some solitude From our hectic everyday.

Our parks and forests, saved From the land developer Trying to keep part of this Earth Safe, pristine, and pure.

But, there are those who don't care Who seek, to motorize those trails And like so many other Feds Looks like the Forest Service fails.

The Rangers should be up in arms And fighting so hard to preserve The Nature and the History Of each and every Reserve.

We don't need the "noisy cycle" Of any more reduction Of more of our environment From those, hell-bent on destruction.

Del "Abe" Jones

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1335    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I think ORVs should be banned from ALL public property.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1336    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, and I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1337    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1338    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

It is part of your responsibility to make sure that wildlife protection is not optional. It is of the utmost importance that you understand this responsibility and act on behalf of wildlife that call Cape Hatteras National Seashore home.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1339	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a specific regulation to manage the use of ORVs within the Seashore. The regulation must include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state, and it should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will not protect the Seashore's natural resources if it does not mandate specific, science-based protections for the species that live and breed at the Seashore, such as non-driving buffers around nests on the beach. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation protects only the rights of ORV users to drive on large areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife, and it treats wildlife protection as optional. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1340	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1341	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1342    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1343    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

WE appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. THIS A SPECIAL PLACE WE ENJOY.

WE support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations.

BUT As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving !!!

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then WE urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I

WE support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

THIS IS ESSENTIAL TO MAINTAIN VALUABLE WILDLIFE.



As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable !!!!!

Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. WE urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking OUR comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1344    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1345    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely, Lessli Nielsen

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1346    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1347    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Lisa Norman Taxpayer/Voter

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1348    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Please protect this very important part of our environment. There would be no explanation as to why you would not. Thank you. Claire Brown, Smyrna, TN

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1349    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,

Donald Miller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1350    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-

based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1351    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1352    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1353    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The

ENTIRE AREA should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1354	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1355	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1356	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please include specific regulations to protect wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1357    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1358    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect Cape Hatteras as National Seashore by managing ORV!

Thank you.

L & B Robertson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1359    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1360    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore has always held a special place in my heart. Seeing the natural beaches be destroyed by OSV would be very sad! Chincoteague Island is another beautiful place, but only in the summer time when they have there OSV zone closed for shorebirds. They would have been better off if they never started allowing it because the controversy of when and

where the OSV zone is closed has led negative actions against shorebirds. Pristine beaches keep people coming back, wildlife keeps people coming back. Please do not allow any OSV on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1361    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1362    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The National Park Service should exist to protect our wild life, not endanger it. If those in charge are not committed to this mission, they should not be retained.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1363    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There is no conceivable reason to allow privately owned vehicles to drive on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore under any circumstances.. Vehicles are a danger to those who use the beaches, to wildlife, and to the integrity of the beaches themselves. Vehicles are also an unnecessary source of pollution. Existing roads and parking areas provide adequate access for those who wish to enjoy the seashore. The only responsible action would be a ban on driving private vehicles onto Hatteras beaches.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1364    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1365    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1366    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

There are already so many places for motorized vehicles in the U.S. and increasingly fewer quiet, peaceful places for people to enjoy and animals to exist that I believe the National Seashores should be off-limits to ORVs and the noise and damage they can cause.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1367    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Overpopulation is a condition where an organism's numbers exceed the carrying capacity of its habitat. Steve Jones, head of the biology department at University College London, has said, "Humans are 10,000 times more common than we should be, according to the rules of the animal kingdom, and we have agriculture to thank for that. Without farming, the world population would probably have reached half a million by now."

Enough already. We're outgrowing our space. But don't we pride ourselves over other species because we know how to think, plan, implement.....enough. Give this coastline and it's animals this oh so small amount of space.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1368    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1369	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1370	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes additional Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. I urge that the area closed to beach driving should be increased to 50% of the Seashore.

In addition, I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---



**Correspondence ID:** 1371    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations.

In consideration of rising ocean levels caused by such things as ORVs spewing carbon into the atmosphere, prohibiting ORVs from the place they perpetually wreck for future generations seems logical. At the very least, a lesson in science could be attached to the prohibition.

As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

Please utilize the best scientific data, including data on climate change and rising oceans to make the very best decisions to protect wildlife from our species.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1372    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1373    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1374    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1375	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	We need places for off road vehicles but not on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Protect our costal wildlife						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1376	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.						

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1377	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The environment and wildlife need to be protected especially Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thanks						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1378	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

As a long time visitor to the Outer Banks of North Carolina, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1379    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for giving me and others an opportunity to share our concerns on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It is the responsibility of everyone to do what is best for our Seashore and wildlife, not what satisfies the self interest of some.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for this and future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. This really is a no brainer.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration and for all your efforts on behalf of our Seashore and wildlife for your children and everyone's children.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1380    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I live in Florida and have experienced beach driving on Daytona Beach. I have not approved of beach driving at all. Beaches should be for pedestrians and the wildlife that chooses to walk, fly and nest there. Vehicles driving on the beach destroy the beauty of the environment.

Why the drivers can't walk like the other pedestrians, I cannot understand, but if you must feel pressured by the many allowable years of this pastime, at least limit it to whatever has been allowed until now, and PLEASE DO INCREASE the number of miles beyond that. Too much of wildlife habitat has been endangered all over this country (and the world), most of it unnecessarily so, because of man's careless desires.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1381    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1382    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I believe all of the Seashore should be closed to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. We are the stewards of the earth for our grandchildren and their grandchildren - the entire area should be closed to beach driving.

Wildlife needs to be protected. Non-driving buffers need to be placed around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional - this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to please include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1383    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thank you for accepting my comment.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations - not just 26 of the 67 total miles of beach. If the entire Seashore is not closed to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

It is not acceptable to treat wildlife protection as optional. Please include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for your attention and taking my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1384    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1385    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There are plenty of locations that have already been destroyed by all-terrain vehicles that could be used for recreation without destroying more wild life habitat. From my personal observation I have noticed a marked decline in wildlife in areas close to human activities. Our wildlife deserve our protection for the good of all life on earth.

Marvin Bennett Jr.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1386    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It is time we paid respect to the environment and do what is good for her, instead of what some may want or like to do. Please help to control or ban these vehicles from the areas in question.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1387    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** \*\*\*PLEASE DO NOT SHARE OR PUBLICIZE MY PERSONAL INFORMATION INCLUDING EMAIL ADDRESS.  
 THANK YOU.\*\*\*

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1388    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1389    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1390	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1391	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1392	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Thanks, peace and be well, Clay G. Colson Board Director and Water Issues Chair Citizens for Sanity.Com, Inc. Public need over corporate greed (813) 601-3391

State Contact, Tampa Bay Region for <http://october2011.org>

"He who dares not offend cannot be honest." Thomas Paine "All governments are run by liars: nothing they say should be believed." - I.F. Stone, professor, journalist.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1393    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1394    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There is no reason to drive any type of vehicle on the shore. We must protect our wild life!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1395    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1396	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I cannot understand why any agency professing to protect the environment and habitat would allow loud, obnoxious vehicles that spew nasty fumes and screaming people on a beach of all places. What is all this distraction all of a sudden of our parks? Yellowstone is another example with the stupid snowmobiles. I thought the whole idea was peace, quiet, hiking, etc.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1397	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. As someone who has visited Cape Hatteras several times and hopes to return again, this issue is very important to me.

During my visits I enjoy driving on the beaches, but this doesn't mean that everyone should be able to drive anywhere their wheels can take them.

I support a regulation that closes specific areas of the seashore to beach driving. I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Thanks, Stephanie

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1398	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	We go to the Cape Hatteras area for vacation often. We go because it is a beautiful place and wildlife seems to be protected there. Please do not allow motorized vehicles to wreck this beautiful area. Visitor like me and my family of six people will be very put off by loud vehicles on the beach. Plus it really makes the decision makers in this area look bad when you allow such trashy activities to happen on the beach. Protect the wildlife and the nice tourism opportunities there are at Cape Hatteras.						

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape



Hatteras National Seashore.

Sincerely, the Johnson-Scheff Family

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1399    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1400    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1401    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future

generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1402	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1403	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Sir,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1404	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1405    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1406    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. Endangered animals, babies of wildlife and all animals calling this area HOME NEED to be Protected! That is the Purpose of Reserves...not entertaining people...who have the majority of land at their disposal!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1407    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am writing regarding proposed regulations governing beach driving at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I believe the preferred course of action would be to prohibit all beach driving in order to best protect this national seashore.

However, if any beach driving is permitted, I urge you to take all necessary SCIENCE BASED actions for the protection of wildlife and for the preservation of the seashore itself.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1408    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1409    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a person who often travels to wild areas, the last thing I want is to hear or smell ORVs. They are a big threat to many species and pollute the ground with oil and gas spills.

People who want to rip around in ORVs are not interested in nature, let them go to a racetrack. ORVs are the ideal vehicle for smuggling contraband, taking hostages, and other crimes. Only law enforcement and park personnel should be allowed to use them on public lands.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1410    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** People who truly appreciate these surroundings will be delighted to walk rather than disturb them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1411    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1412    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1413    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1414    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1415    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1416	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1417	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1418	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1419    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1420    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1421    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1422    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1423    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service ultimately does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1424    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape



Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1425    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a tourist to the Hatteras area, one of the main attractions is the wildlife. If the wildlife cannot be protected, I have no reason to make the effort or spend my money on vacations to the area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1426    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1427    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a beach resident, cars can be a nuisance. They shouldn't be allowed on our beaches except for emergencies. Bird and turtle nests don't stand a chance with them. Please, LESS cars on our beaches. thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1428    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1429    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please, lessen the areas people can drive on our beautiful beaches! A huge part of what makes them beautiful is the wildlife and many species are endangered. What would people driving on the beaches do to that endangered wildlife. And it's not like driving on any beach is necessary, it's pure pleasure for man and that's ALL. I live in Wilmington, NC and you cannot drive on Wrightsville beach but about 20 miles up the road, you can drive on Carolina beach. Carolina beach is the trashiest beach around, the fact that you can drive on it for some reason, causes people to throw beer cans everywhere amongst all other kinds of litter. This destroys beaches as well as kills endangered wildlife! Please help protect the wildlife we have left, let them live and hopefully reproduce. Thank you for reading.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1430    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I see no reason why anyone besides park rangers should be allowed to drive on our seashores.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1431    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1432    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** To Whom it may concern;

I support the banning of traffic on Cape Hatteras

sea shore !! We are losing too many animal species

due to greed and uncaring !! Please Lets Preserve What We

Have Left !! Thank You !!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1433    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1434    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need to protect high risk birds on Cape Hatteras National Seashore as they try to breed on shorelines, but offroad vehicles are a highly destructive factor in the survival of the birds, like redknots, plovers, and several others! Thank you,

Bonnie and Samuel Avery

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1435    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The entire Cape Hatteras National Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but that if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1436    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please prevent all beach driving - there is no valid argument for driving on the beach!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1437    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please keep the motorized vehickles out of the wildlife breeding area..

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1438    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** End beach driving and all ORV use in the historic and environmentally significant Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The National Park Service must always protect the rights of nature, the preservation and natural state of the shore, and the rights of taxpaying citizens over that of joyriders. I support the new, proposed regulations concerning this and these rules must also adhere to the highest degree of protection as stated in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1439    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1440    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** This was not a good idea in Daytona Beach---allowing vehicles on the beach. The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1441    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Even though most of this letter is copied and pasted, I atrongly support the message. Thia comment expresses my concerns muc better than I could construct alone.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1442    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Our shores are fragile. Cape Hattaras is a fragile place & needs protection from the ravages of roaring ATV & ORV machines run by people who don't care what they destroy so long as they have fun.

Why protect them? Why not protect our fragile environment? Huh?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1443    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** They can drive their vehicles almost everywhere else, why can't they leave these poor creatures alone, to live in peace? If they want to see them that badly, they can just walk along the beach, like most of us do!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1444    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please advise as to the specific protections for wildlife you will be adding to the regulation to manage off-road vehicle driving on the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore on North Carolina's Outer Banks...my three grandchildren live in NC and this is a national treasure that should not be placed in harm's way...let the lazy (and probably obese) bastards park their vehicles and walk.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1445    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1446    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1447    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

With the direct hit of Hurricane Irene and its ongoing damages and cleanup, regulation and/or prohibition of beach driving and other hazards is crucial -- more so now than ever.

Please show great restraint in these matters, especially since hurricane season is still far from over.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1448    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1449    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1450    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** NO NO NO ORV'S IN THE CAPE HATTERAS AREA. THIS AREA IS SO FRAGILE & IT NEEDS TO BE PROTECTED BECAUSE OF THE OCEAN LIFE THERE.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1451    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1452    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Automobiles don't belong on the beach.

I urge you to close all vehicular access to NC beaches.

Automobile Beach accidents are on the rise.

Endangered Wildlife are also jeopardized by vehicular traffic.

If an outright ban on all vehicular traffic on NC beaches is not possible, I encourage you to strictly limit automobile access small areas with no through access to discourage "cruising."

Sincerely,

Peter Brezny NC Native

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1453    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Driving on our beautiful beaches is a bad idea. It doesn't take a scientist to prove that it is toxic to the nature most of us go there seeking. Exhaust fumes, boom boxes and oil leaks from vehicles present a whole new set of problems. Wait until people start getting run over as they have here at Daytona Beach. Do some research and check it out. Mark Fodor

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1454    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

I spent a week in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore area in July and I can't imagine doing that if beach driving was allowed. Besides the hazards of often reckless immature drivers, ORV are noisy and produce toxic fumes for people and wildlife. If the Park Service does not want to ONLY have ORVs at the Seashore sans wildlife, vegetation and quieter observers, it will not open itself to the ORVs.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1455	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Re: The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						

I support closing ALL of the national seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations.

If the Park Service does not close the entire beach to vehicles, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife in the regulation as is done in the 4x4 only areas above Corolla in relation to the wild horses. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Please include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1456	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please



add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1457    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1458    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1459    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1460    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regards, Richard Strowd, Attorney

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1461    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am commenting on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

As an avid "birder," I strongly support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1462    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1463    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It would appear that areas more than 0.3 miles west of the hook and those along the Hatteras inlet will not be accessible by ORVs. There is no basis given for excluding these areas. I have been in the National Seashore many times when these two areas are the only places to fish productively due to wind or other weather related conditions. At the least, these areas should be open after September. Also, there should be regulations allowing self-contained vehicles to stay overnight as in the Cape Cod National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1464    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park's decision to permit ORV use on a National Seashore. I oppose this proposal. Wildlife that live on seashores are unable to protect themselves from ORV's.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore

I oppose ORV use on seashores. species such as Piping Plovers will be killed by ORV's. Please do not permit ORV's onto the seashore. I walk and would not want to hit by an ORV. In Florida children have been run over and killed. Motorized vehicles have no place on the seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1465    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please

add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1466    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1467    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1468    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I feel the entire area of cape hattaras national seashore should be off limits to any and all vehicles, including those stupid, noisy and polluting ORV's!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1469    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1470	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please keep motor vehicles off of the beaches. I do not and will not go to a beach that has motor vehicles. North Carolina has the most beautiful beaches, and they should be a refuge for people and wildlife, not for machines.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1471	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I have enjoyed fishing in many places along the surf zone of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore for all of my adult life and I am almost 70 years old. Nothing is so annoying as hiking with all of my fishing gear out to the 'point' and having 4WDs honk their horns wanting me to get out of their way so they don't have to 'change ruts'. Anyone seriously wanting to fish at the point should walk and enjoy the sound of the surf.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Stanley Winborne

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1472	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1473	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please add specific protections for wildlife to the regulation. The entire Seashore should be closed to vehicles, but if vehicles continue to be permitted, then "specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in the regulation, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1474	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	ORVs have no business requesting further pristine areas of the OBX for their destructive hobby.						

As a former resident of Kill Devil Hills, I know first hand the behavior of the respectful off-roaders and those who have no idea of the enormous privilege they have been given. Even on a Sunday morning, the disrespectful and ungrateful among the off-roaders come close to hitting beach walkers. I've seen it happen and I've had it happen to me.

There's no reason to open further areas of this delicate ecosystem to this activity. There are other ways to enjoy this beautiful part of our east coast legacy, ways that do not endanger the fauna and flora, not to mention the other beach goers. Stewardship, as you are well-aware, sometimes means disappointing some folks who can yell loudly. Personally, I think it's more important to put the needs of those who have no voice at all over those who can scream like a banshee.

Thank you for the hard work you do, and for reading and considering my opinion.

Sincerely, Karen M Helton

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1475	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration. Michelle E. Pearson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1476    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,

Claire Jackson

**Correspondence ID:** 1477    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** To Whom It May Concern: The National Park Service is proposing regulation for ORV use on the beaches at Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore. I am against driving on the beach. If this ban does not go into effect, please, at minimum, insist on non-driving buffers around nesting birds, turtles & their offspring. Thank you.

**Correspondence ID:** 1478    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1479    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I want to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

I urge you to strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1480    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Thankyou for allowing me the opportunity to comment. I remember lying on a beach in Florida as a teenager, with my kitten covered in a towel. An OTV roared through, missed my kitten by an inch and almost got me. Recently a woman was killed by a beach driver. I don't think wildlife or the integrity of the beach and shore line anchoring plants can be protected when you open the door and let OTVs when you don't have the personnel to police. Sincerely, Sarah Hollenhorst

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1481    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1482    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am writing to comment on the proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support closing all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and the dunes.

If the regulation does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Please add wildlife buffers to the regulation and adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for considering my comments.



Sincerely, Mary Keim

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1483    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I would like to see no vehicles on the beach - none - ever - not one. They destroy the wildness, the remoteness of the area. Its already way over grown with hotels and condos. Lets try to keep some semblance of the wilderness that it once was. I can turn my back to the hotels. I can listen to the waves and the shore birds and pretend there aren't 1000 other people right there. But when a vehicle drives down the beach between me and the water the illusion is gone.

And then there's the wildlife habitat that is destroyed by driving on the beach. Lets protect them while we still can.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1484    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1485    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. There have been a few deaths on New Smyrna Beach and others nearby because driving on the beach is just not safe for sunbathers and children. It is a place meant for families and not for cars! Leave them on the road!

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1486    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** with hurricane irene we can see how terrible the destruction. down here in florida, turtle nests were in jeopardy. all our creatures given as gifts by god are in danger as pollution takes over and climate change. we must do our best to protect this planet, for our

own good and for our animal friends. please help us.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1487    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1488    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1489    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1490    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then please include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, which is not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. Please include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore

Thank you for your serious consideration of my concerns.

Sincerely, Sharon Morris

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1491	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regulations.gov may also require you to enter two "reCaptcha

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1492	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1493    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** LEAVE THE ANIMALS AND THEIR ENVIROMENTS ALONE!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1494    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1495    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I've never commented on a regulation in my life. I am compelled to in this case. As I watch how my government manages Bard Owls in the Pacific Northwest (kills them by the hundreds in favor of a local owl that won't eat what is available) with my tax dollars - I am sickened. How can you justify closing beaches for birds who adapt and live in other parts of this island as vegetation naturally changes? How can you justify killing natural predators and setting traps that do more harm than good? How can you continue to do these thing when those who you are restricting from these beaches care as much or more about preserving them than you ! Next time you are on one of these beaches (you plan on visiting and not just making these decisions blindly from behind a desk, correct?) take a look at how well the people use and leave the beaches when they leave. They leave them as well or better than the way they found them. These regulations are not needed and you are wasting my tax dollars. You are doing more harm than good - exactly the same as your "EXPERIMENT" with the Bard Owls in the Pacific Northwest. Leave these beaches open and let the people continue to use OUR national resources in a responsible way. In the Pacific Northwest my government and your wonderful world of regulations sided with environmentalists and murdered Bard Owls by the hundreds (perhaps thousands by now). You stopped logging for decades and even used taxpayer money to build spotted owls nests. What has all of this done for the spotted owl? Seriously - look into this if you aren't sure... Then tell me in good conscience that continuing to do what you are doing on Hatteras Island is good for taxpayers and even good for the birds (and more importantly the entire ecosystem) you claim to be protecting. This is a perfect example where government COULD do the right thing - look in the mirror and do the right thing... NOTHING.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1496    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

As a frequent visitor to the Outer Banks, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1497	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support closing all of the Cape Hatteras Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. .						

If beach driving is permitted, there should be specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians. There should be strictly enforced non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. These restrictions should adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1498	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:						

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1499	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please protect the birds and turtles that live and nest on the Cape Hatteras shore from being disturbed or even driven into extinction by off-road vehicles! There is no compelling reason that some people's desire to drive on the beach should outweigh the lives of local wildlife, including threatened and endangered species, and the enjoyment of the people who come to see that wildlife, especially in a national park. Requiring park visitors to get some exercise if they want to travel along the shore is perfectly reasonable and better for the health and safety of everyone involved, from the humans to the nesting birds. Please don't betray America's trust in its national parks; protect the wildlife and the land first and allow visitors to enjoy it as a healthy shore, not a roadway.						

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1500    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1501    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** PROTECT CAPE HATTARUS FROM ORV'S

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1502    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

"Highest Degree of Protection" really includes closing the entire beach to motorized vehicles.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1503    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Prohibit all orvs from destroying the habitat of wildlife. They were here first. We are the intruders.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1504    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the chance to express my opinions on the NPS proposed regulations for managing ORVs on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

As a grandmother in my late 70s who has walked hundreds of miles of beaches around the US in pursuit of solitude and nature and with the joy of observing ghost crabs, sandpipers and other shore life as well as black skimmers, terns, pelicans and even frigate birds over the ocean or flying just above the surf, I am unalterably opposed to ORVs being allowed on our beaches. The Cape Hatteras barrier beaches are unique and give a person the opportunity for a brief respite and escape from the stress of daily life. I feel ORVs would destroy this experience.

I am a member of many environmental groups, including the Sea Turtle Conservancy, the Center for Biological Diversity and others that try to protect our wildlife and wildlife habitat. My experience of ORVs on beaches where I have been walking is that they are extremely disruptive to the tranquility of the natural order of things, and for me personally they utterly destroy the entire experience. These machines are totally out of place in such places, so why not create tracks or areas where they can generate as much noise, speed, exhaust as they please, without disturbing the rest of us and our sense of the beach as a natural, recreative, restorative habitat.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Cori-Jones

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1505    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Personally, I feel there should be NO ORV's permitted to drive on the seashore. Only when necessary, for EMT's or the law should there be any driving on the beaches. If there's any "riding" it should be for horse-back-riding only, and even then should be in designated areas. Why would anyone feel that they just HAVE to ride their car on the beach? Why can they not be satisfied just walking on it and enjoy it the way it was meant to be; in it's natural state?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1506    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1507    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** With John Alexander I wrote the book Ribbon of Sand about the Outer Banks. A lot of my life as a biologist, ecologist, and conservation professional has been invested in this unique ecosystem. Please protect it from ORVs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1508    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Let the endangered species animals live . Block off the traffic of dangerous life threatoniog conditions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1509    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Is nothing sacred any more?? Why do we feel obligated to fulfil every thrill-seeker's selfish appetite for more places to desecrate with the noise and traffic their vehicles inevitably bring? Is it because we ourselves were spoiled by our parents, who never taught us the value of self-denial? There's absolutely NO NEED to allow ANY ORV's on the Cape Hatteras Seashore beaches. Let the drivers go to Florida.

We are fulltime RVers, who volunteer half of our time to National Wildlife Refuges, as well as other such sites. When we're in the travel mode, we expect to find unspoiled wilderness and seashores being lovingly preserved for future generations. It's up to you to stop this selfish gnawing away at irreplaceable national treasures. Do you have the guts to do that? I trust you will rise to your better natures and be faithful stewards, instead of caving in to greedy, shallow thrill-seekers.

Sincerely Margaret Zuber

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1510    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

As a resident of North Carolina, I support a regulation that closes all of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1511    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please consider voting for Document ID NPS-2011-0005-0001 and vote for special regulations.

Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1512    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections



for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1513    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1514    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As it is currently written, the proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific - and mandatory - wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I would support a regulation that closes ALL of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife, and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. In my opinion, the entire area should be closed to beach driving.

But, if the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration. Peace.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1515    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1516    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I think that noisy small recreational vehicles should be kept out of Hatteras Natl Seashore. You can hear them for a mile or more. People should be able to enjoy hearing the sea waves crash at the shore without being spoiled by the noisy rv's.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1517    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I was stationed at the Coast Guard Cpae Hatteras Loran A station in Buxton for 15 months and know what the Hatteras Seashore can be without driving on the beach. It is a place where nature's animals and low impact humans can coexist

Revisiting the island several years ago, I immediately noticed the destruction to the sand dunes and beaches from the overflowing numbers of beach buggies....hidden behind this human destruction, was habitat destruction for the seashore animals trying to exists there.

The NPS job is to protect all of nature, not see how many dune buggies they can allow to destroy nature. I support restriction any driving on the beach to extremely small, non environmentally sensitive areas (if there is any ) and charge a daily fee to completely pay for environmental monitoring.

There is absolutely no reason why a small number of dune buggies should destroy what is a public environmental wonder.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1518    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am an Environmental Scientist, and an avid birder, a "butterfly" enthusiast (and Entomology student). I have been on the beautiful shores of the Outer Banks, camping, sailing, hiking and enjoying the unadulterated landscape.

Humans have already destroyed so much of our natural environment. I urge you to leave the pristine shores of Cape Hatteras to the wildlife, to nesting birds and marine life. We are in serious danger of experiencing not just "colony collapse" in our bees and other pollinators, we are in serious risk of causing collapse of our entire planetary coherence. PLEASE leave this area untouched by vehicles.

I lived in south Florida for many years; the damage that off-road and other vehicles have done to Big Cypress is heart-breaking in the massive deep gouges cut through the trees. The sea bed is scarred with tracks from everything from motor boats to jet skis. I urge you to please leave these areas untouched by destructive human activities!!!

Thank you, Sandy Koi Environmental Scientist

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1519    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please

add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1520    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1521    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** WE DO NOT WANT YOU TO KILL INOCENT ANIMALS.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1522    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1523    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1524	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1525	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,29,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1526    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1527    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

There are 67 miles of Seashore that need protection for the amazing wildlife, such as sea turtles, and rare migratory birds. I live at the isle of Palms in SC, a barrier island several miles long. No motorized vehicles are permitted on the beach (except for police). We have an amazing group that tracks turtles nests and eggs and only a few survive after hatching out of 100.

These amazing creatures will be severely harmed if you allow ORV on any part of the beach. It also keeps it rustic, family oriented, and charming, unlike commercial places such as Daytona or Miami Beach.

I love Nags Head and have fond memories of how rustic it was when I went there with my family. It has already changed so much, and for the worst due to over building. It has lost it's appeal with tourists such as myself. The Sea Spray Motel is now gone, and I can't even imagine cars on these beaches. It was the nice ladies that owned that motel that taught me to always cut the circles rings of the plastic six packs before tossing them in the trash, as they have seen many birds drown and die getting tangled in them.

It may be too late to get the charming motels such as Sea Spray back, but it is not too late to ensure that the wildlife will be spared from ORVs and that families and couples could enjoy the beach as it was intended.

Thank you for your time and I hope you will chose the right option.

Sincerely,

Nadine Deif

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1528    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The entire sea shore should be closed to Vehciles They don't belong any where than on thre own land or the streets /they polute and destroy where eve they go, Ban then for ever. they are loud and polute the air we breathe.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1529    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Please Protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1530    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1531    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1532    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Furthermore, most people go to the beach to get away from automobiles and to view the pristine beaches. Tourists come here because we have such beautiful, clean beaches. To turn the shore into just another road would totally destroy the appeal of going to the beach.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1533    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1534    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The only 'driving' I'd permit would be emergency responders in hovercraft, equine and bicycle (nonpowered) traffic, and beach vehicles for use by handicapped beachgoers. The wildlife should be able to tolerate that much.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1535    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras is a great place but it needs to be protected from vehicles driving on the beaches and - even worse- on the dunes. Coastal wildlife is having a difficult time worldwide and the United States should take the lead on protecting wildlife in Cape Hatteras and other such areas. People walking cause damage and people driving vehicles cause degradation of the shore areas and the wildlife. For me, a big part of enjoying the beach areas is the wildlife- Please keep the vehicles off.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1536    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** No orvs.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1537    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1538    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thanks you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off-Road Vehicle (ORV) use within the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

In order to preserve wildlife and precious vanishing habitat for their intrinsic value and for future generations, I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to ORV beach driving.

The proposed regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

This sets a dangerous precedent that leaves the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and many other environmentally sensitive federal lands vulnerable to future ORV damage.

Wildlife protection should be a priority, not an optional as the proposed regulation is currently written. For many Americans, including those of us in the scientific and environmental communities this regulation is simply not acceptable.

If you must allow ORV driving, it should be within strict limits, with buffers and other specific mandatory wildlife protections included in the regulation.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I respectfully request that you include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I hope, along with other concerned citizens and scientists, to convince you to strongly enforce non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1539    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,29,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and \*this is simply not acceptable.\* Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based



protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1540    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1541    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1542    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Our beaches are critical to so many- not just people but animals that depend on the sand and water to continue to thrive. I have yet to see a responsible, careful ORV driver. People get hurt and killed all the time because of their carelessness. Do not make endangered species more so because of these vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1543    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1544	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	If nature didn't put it on the beach chances are that it shouldn't be there. That certainly includes recreational vehicles. If you must allow them on the beach at least adopt rules which protect wildlife, which was there long before we came along.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1545	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1546	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please no recreational vehicles on the seashore. It destroys it; it destroys the wildlife and vegetation. It is inappropriate to allow any destructive vehicles there. Please, save the shores.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1547	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I urge you to adopt a regulation closing the entire Seashore to beach driving, in order to protect wildlife and the Seashore for future generations. In contrast, the proposed regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach.

If the Park Service does not close the whole Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to at least include in the regulation specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians, including but not limited to non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional. This is not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. Please include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for your time. I hope you will give my comments serious consideration.

Yours truly, Roxanne Grossman

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1548	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Our costal wild life is extremely more important than people driving on the beach. Our natural resources need protection. Please insure their protection with enforceable laws.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1549	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1550	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. But, as I said, the entire area should be closed to beach driving. The proposed regulation treats wildlife

protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1551    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please keep the ORV out of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We have more than enough "play" areas for people but almost no protected areas for our wildlife to reproduce and flourish. They were here first and deserve a place to reproduce and have as their habitat. They are one of our national treasures, not people who just want another recreational area, regardless of the destruction of our wildlife and their habitat, people who have no regard for the natural order and the eco system that affects us all. Our wildlife must have a protected habitat. They have no where else to live and no voice in their own protection, their protection of their species. People can find another place to play!!! Our wildlife have no other options!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1552    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Sample comments to Superintendent Mike Murray, Cape Hatteras National Seashore:

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1553    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1554    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** If we are not going to take into consideration the biodiversity that we are crushing under the weight of these vehicles, then lets take into consideration the number of humans killed this year as a result of this activity.

When did driving on a beach become a right, and for what purpose was it designed for. The only thing that it would appear to accomplish is that it keeps the crazy drunken kids off the streets.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1555    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,

Martha Demettrion

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1556    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** For the protection of the land, water, air, and wildlife please prohibit driving on the beach.

Thank you.

Peace and blessings upon the Earth Peace and blessings upon all creatures Peace and blessings upon you and those you hold dear

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1557    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1558    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Only pedestrians should be allowed on beaches. Beaches are fragile, changing areas to begin with and ORV do much damage; there are paved roads elsewhere for traffic of all kinds. People come to beaches to get away from vehicles and traffic to enjoy nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1559    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1560    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1561    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1562    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1563    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** STOP THE DESTRUCTION OF OUR NATURAL LANDS!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1564    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** How can the Cape Hatteras beach now become an offroad playground? This is an area teeming with sensitive and endangered wildlife, there is absolutely no reason to open this area up to damage and destruction by humans who have no regard for the beautiful area they are in.

What's more, as it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Hello, is no one from the scientific community providing any advice to you, or are you just choosing to ignore them???

This place is a sanctuary for thousands of animals and must be kept that way!

BAN ORV's, not animals and mammals who have called this wonderous land their home for generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1565    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1566    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national and global treasure. It is magnificent in its beauty, in its service to the biodiversity of this planet, and in its FRAGILITY.

It belongs to us all - not to a select few. We are charged with the awesome responsibility to act as stewards of that land and all of its lifeforms. We carry that responsibility for this and for future generations.

I urge you to deny the requests for ORV use at this national natural sanctuary because of the proven deleterious effects on the animals, the plant life and the quality of life (air and noise pollution, disruptive activity) for the humans who enjoy the pristine beauty of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Sincerely, Alicia M. Todd

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1567    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1568    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire



area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1569    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1570    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1571    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I support a regulation that closes all of the CHN Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support a minimum, mile- long, non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their

nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1572    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1573    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1574    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1575	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1576	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1577	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am commenting on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1578	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1579	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.						

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1580	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I feel that although public access to all of the US's parks, mounment, and historic places must be encouraged and provided for; that in this case the amount of the setside for the wildlife is far too little. The space is to provide a place for the native widelife and people. 26 miles is far too little for the amount of life that calls Cape Hatteras home during the spring and summer breeding season. I feel a better better alternative would be an equal split 32 miles for for human access and 32 miles for the wildlife and buffer areas totaling 3 miles to lessen the impact of the human activity on the native wildlife.						

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1581    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

**Correspondence ID:** 1582    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1583    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1584    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** How long will it take us to learn that the way we take care of our wildlife is a direct reflection on how well we are willing to take care of ourselves. The ORV was something invented merely to divest people of their hard earned cash for no valuable reason. With the exception of farmers and wildlife managers (there's irony for you), they serve no useful purpose. Their sole result is the degradation of our environment and the obvious temptation to drive them wherever they can, even if that means trespassing or destroying irreplaceable resources. Stop it! or protect that which is so valuable and represents our attitude towards ourselves.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1585    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1586    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1587    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1588    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes at least 47 miles of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1589    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1590    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1591	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	PLEASE do not allow driving on the shores of Cape Hatteras. Please protect the endangered creatures and please protect our Earth. Please.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1592	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1593	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,



their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely, Nathalie Jorge

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1594    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1595    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I feel that beach driving has no significant adverse effects on native wildlife. For decades the Park service has been roping off areas of turtle nests and drivers have respected them. Drivers are actually helping the birds survive by forcing them to nest in the dune areas instead of the beach where there is a high likelihood of nests being destroyed by overwash. The recent attempts to outlaw beach driving are misguided. Please open all the beach areas possible for driving. Protect the turtle nests, continue to fine drivers who drive on the dune area. If you cave in to the misguided do-gooders, you will create generations of hostilities and the wildlife will see no benefit. Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1596    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Good Morning, As a citizen concerned about our natural resources, I urge you to not allow any more driving on Cape Hatteras. We, as a species, do more than enough damage to our beaches when we're merely pedestrians. Allowing off-road vehicles, whether gas or battery powered, or motor vehicles will only exacerbate our negative impacts on such a delicate ecosystem. Thank you for your time and consideration, Lydia Dawes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1597    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am writing with concern over the Cape Hatteras national Seashore. I understand that regulations being proposed do not specify protection of wildlife in this very sensitive area and I wish to ask that specific, mandatory science-based wildlife protections" should be included in any regulations, including no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

This is critical for wildlife...and for the benefit they bring to the world now and in the future, too....PLEASE PLEASE protect this very fragile area. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1598    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1599    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1600    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1601    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1602    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I have been enjoying the beauty that is found in Cape Hatteras since 1979 and it is quite unspoiled. Please do not allow off road vehicles on the beaches. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1603    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1604    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1605    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1606    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I support a regulation that closes all of Cape Hatteras National Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

Thanks you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1607    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future

generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1608	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore

I've been working with endangered Sea Turtles for more then 4 years and the last thing they ned is more disturbance on the beach. Not to mention the crashing populations of shorebirds, land crabs that also call the beach home. Vehicles have taken over just about every inch of the planet, they have more then enough room on the roads THEY DO NOT NEED TO BE DRIVING ON THE BEACH FOR ANY REASON. You know that! The NPS is required to PROTECT OUR PRECIOUS AND FRAGILE AREAS not sell them off to the highest or noisest bidders.

support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Staci-lee Sherwood Sea Turtle Oversight Protection Highland Beach Sea Turtle Program

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1609	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections

for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1610    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:**

If vehicles are allowed on beach areas, it will only add more pollution to the beach. I live in Florida and they allow vehicles on the beach. You have to deal with oil that leaks from vehicles and dirt in the sand. It is not a good sight to see your beaches like that. Then the state has to spend more money trying to clean up their beaches.

Also, you open yourself up to car accidents. You have heard on the news how people including children are hit by cars.

Please keep the environment safe and clean for both the animals and people.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1611    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1612    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. Beaches should not be for vehicles. We don't need the noise or the exhaust fumes, plus if you have ever been on a beach where vehicles are allowed, it can be quite dangerous to pedestrians. Beaches are supposed to be quite and serene, not noisy and busy with vehicles.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1613    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am frequent visitor to Cape Hatteras and an avid surf fisherman. The current regulations for beach access are difficult but necessary. There is room for compromise I think - limit access to the trucks that seem to think they own the beach and don't care what they run over but allow access to people. Of course there will be some people that will be as bad as a truck but the impact will not be nearly so bad. Being a surf fisherman and walking to the area I fish is simply part of the day for me. I think if people are really interested in being on the beach they won't mind a short walk to get there.

But at the end of the day the animals and birds need protecting because they cannot advocate for themselves. And if it were up to those driving the trucks they would, like in so many other areas, simply run them over.

Thank you for your time.

Darrell Morelock

**Correspondence ID:** 1614    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. It is simply not acceptable that wildlife protection is optional in the proposed regulations.

I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based mandatory protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. They should include non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

The new regulation needs science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1615    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1616    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

This comment is in regards to the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. I support regulations which would close the entire area to beach driving during certain parts of the year.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1617    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1618    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Don't allow off-road vehicle driving on or near your beautiful beaches! It will destroy crucial habitat for nesting birds and provide much less space for pedestrians to safely enjoy the wonders of nature. OFV driving is dangerous and it would be an unnecessary trouble & waste on behalf of Cape Hatteras to allow it. Please stop this today!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1619    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a frequent visitor to OBX, I am extremely concerned about the use of the beach as place to ride anything. I strongly support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. There are many species that depend on this to maintain thier existence. Opening this up to motorized traffic will spoil the beach. I have seen what these so called concerned drivers, have done to spoil other pristine areas. They all say they will take care of the envirmont, but it never happens. It only takes one careless kid or adult to destroy the whole area beyond repair. This would be much more than a bad decision, it would be disastorous. Ask yourself, what is the advantage to using this for a racetrack and what does the Outer Banks gain from it? NOT a hell of a lot!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1620    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov



**Correspondence:** I have visited the Cape Hatteras Seashore for years and reveled in it's isolation and solitude. National Parks and seashores are no place for ORV's. There are plenty of places with less impact for them to ride their toys. ORV's are passports to spreading trash, damaging sensitive vegetation. I have seen the damage they cause in Tennessee with total disregard for private property, the NPS is not properly staffed to monitor there use.. If there is an issue of handicapped persons not being able to access these area the NPS should provide transposition on strictly controlled vehicles preferably staffed by park people for qualified handicap person. Do not allow ORV's in any National Park, Seashore or Monument. Even in the Smokies motorcycle are so loud on paved roads you cannot talk to companions in some areas over their din. Apparently noise regulation are okay for factories but not the general public.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1621    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Concerning regulations to Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service finds it impossible to close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. However, I much prefer that the entire area be closed to beach driving.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1622    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1623    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am writing with regard to protection of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

This place belongs to all Americans and should be a refuge for human beings and animals. It should be a protected habitat, not a fun-house to be exploited by humans using ORVs. ORVs are noisy, polluting, and destructive to native habitat.

As the human populations reaches 7 BILLION within the next two months, we humans must use our big brains to plan for the future. Good planning would entail careful conservation of intact resources. It would entail preservation and restoration of

natural areas, maintaining bio-diversity, strictly curbing carbon emissions to protect our atmosphere and mitigate climate disturbance. ORVs represent the antithesis of such goals.

I hope you will see beyond the demands of a few yahoos who see it as their right to destroy and sully native American landscapes.

Sincerely,

Peggy Gilges

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1624    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am a tourist who loves Cape Hatteras. I think it is a terrible idea to allow Off Road Vehicles in Cape Hatteras National Park. They are destructive and disturbing to wildlife, and wildlife is one of the major draws to the park. They are loud and should have no place in such a sanctuary.

If vehicles are going to be permitted the I urge you to add science based specific protections for wildlife including no driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Ross

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1625    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Greg Singleton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1626    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire

area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Our wildlife habitats are quickly diminishing, threatening the extinction of many. Humans are intricately connected to interplay of all creatures on earth; the health of the earth is directly related to the survival of all species. WE are the stewards of the earth; it is our responsibility to protect and serve this earth. Please be wise in your decisions, for your mandate will affect the future of all of our parks and the well being of nature in the full beauty and bounty that was given to us. thank you for listening, As it is, the entertainment of a few bodes destruction of the enjoyment of many who wish to see the wildlife flourish in their natural habitat. Deborah Emery, a concerned citizen of the Earth

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1627    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into considerati

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1628    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1629    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Regulations.gov may also require you to enter two "reCaptcha" security words in order to submit your comments.

Please comment by Sept. 6 at midnight Eastern Daylight Time.

Piping plover photo (c) Sydney Maddock.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1630    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** These areas need to be protected for wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1631    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Humankind needs so desperately to wake up. The balance of all of nature is dependent on our using responsible judgement. Using the beaches for motorized vehicles is an atrocity. Maintaining it for the future of our wildlife and our very existence is the utmost priority.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1632    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As a former resident of North Carolina for 21 years, I cannot imagine anything worse for Cape Hatteras than allowing noisy, smelly ORVs to run amok on the island disturbing the fragile wildlife. Hasn't the island already suffered enough without implementing this stupid plan?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1633    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Thank you for taking comments on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Please close all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife now and for the for future. Currently, the regulation would prohibit ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. This is not enough. The whole area needs protecting.

Also, as a resident of North Carolina, I am aware of the growing ecotourism which would be hindered by opening the National

Seashore to ORVs.

If the Park Service allows some beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

The proposed regulation as it stands now treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Catherine Starkweather Durham, NC

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1634	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. This seashore is a magnificent and precious natural space that is an inspiration to all of us who visit it. Spaces like these have tremendous value, and must be protected for future generations.

I prefer a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. Motor vehicles roam all over this country, why can't we leave a few peaceful places undisturbed. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service will not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1635	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1636    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I have seen first hand the destruction that off road vehicles can bring. I have a trail in the woods near my home. At one point, some orv drivers found it and simply trashed it. I had to put up blocks for them every day for weeks to get them to finally leave our trail alone. They turned our packed, winding trail into a muddy mess. They ran over box turtles with out ever noticing they were there. They showed no respect whatsoever for the fact that we had established this trail for ourselves long before they came. And- they drove through and in the creek, making muddy banks that poured mud into the creek when it rained and leaking oily fluids into the water. Please don't let them destroy the beaches without any restrictions.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1637    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Someone needs to STOP the [erpetual raiding of turtles nesting sites and the poaching /stealing of their eggs. It is hard enough for them to find places nowadays to lay the eggs without them being stolen and sold

STOP THIS DISGUSTING ATROCITY NOW !!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1638    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Josh Stephens

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1639    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1640	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

Short of closing the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1641	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	It is our job to protect the animals						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1642	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please

add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

A concerned citizen, Lisa M Goldman

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1643    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1644    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It is incomprehensible to us why we allow ANY driving on Atlantic beaches. We have seen the damage done to the beaches at Daytona when vehicles are allowed out there, without mentioning the number of people injured by these cars.

We usually spend two weeks of every year on the beaches at Hilton Head and even though they are packed hard, no cars are allowed. It would be disastrous to the thousands who use those beaches to allow traffic there.

Please know that this family is vehemently opposed to any driving on the beaches, but if some is allow please, PLEASE restrict it to the smallest area possible.

Robert & Mary Lyle

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1645    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As someone who enjoys both four wheel drive excursions and remote pristine beaches I believe that we should protect whatever is still undisturbed and home to endangered species. I would much rather have my driving restricted than have someone roll by my head while I lay on the beach. Not only does driving on the beaches disrupt a natural experience for the beach goer but it also potentially crushes turtle eggs disturbs foraging and nesting birds and may also pollute the area with transmission and oil leaks from the vehicles. Save all of our beaches from reckless and disruptive driving practices, ORVs should not be allowed except in some small reserved area which have been thoughtfully chosen for their resiliency and lack of environmental sensitivity.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1646    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape



Hatteras National Seashore.

I grew up in NC and visited the Outer Banks every year. The pristine and natural environment free of manmade noise is one of the draws to area.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1647    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Letting ATVs and other vehicles on beaches is insane. Imagine them racing up and down the street in front of your house and in your yard. Please do not do this!!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1648    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** ALLOWING VEHICLES TO DRIVE ON THE NATIONAL SEASHORE WILL ONLY DESTROY THE BEACHES AND THE WILDLIFE THAT RESIDE THERE. ARE YOU WILLING TO ALLOW THAT? CAN YOU LIVE WITH YOURSELF IF YOU ARE THE ONE RESPONSIBLE? PLEASE KEEP IT SAFE FOR ALL CONCERNED.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1649    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please, Superintendent Murray, support a complete ban on ORV along the Outer Banks - by the very nature of the Outer Banks, the ecosystem there is so fragile (as demonstrated most recently by Irene's passing by) that seemingly innocuous actions can have devastating effects on many species of plants and animals. Some of those species are so retiring as to pass unnoticed by most who go by, but form integral parts of the system that must be protected for the health of the whole.

Off-road vehicles are simply not necessary. Enjoyment of the National Seashore is easily accomplished without.

If you will not support an outright exclusion of the ORV's, please consider establishing very restricted areas in which they may have access. Please accompany that by stiff penalties that WILL be enforced. No sound reason exists for the vehicles to be able to run wherever their drivers wish.

Thank you, sir, for taking the time to read my exhortation. I certainly hope you will agree.

Sincerely,

Bonnie J. Smith

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1650    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1651    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1652    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please take measures to completely protect wildlife, nesting birds and the environment on all of the beaches in Cape Hatteras. Your current regulations do not do enough to protect Cape Hatteras beaches from vehicle ORV damage, please ban all beach traffic.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1653    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support regulations to prohibit driving on the beach. Seabirds, turtles, and other wildlife are more important than is someone's wish to take a motor vehicle on to the sand. Save our shores and our wild creatures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1654    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-

based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1655    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1656    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** To Whom It May Concern:

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Amber Coverdale Sumrall

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1657    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect the sea birds! "Freedom" should not mean "freedom to kill off as much wildlife as possible."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1658    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the shore birds in the Cape Hatteras area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1659    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1660    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV

advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1661    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please ban offroad vehicles from our shorelines and all wildlife areas!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1662    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The harmful effects of beach driving have long been obvious: plummeting wildlife populations and decreased access for people wishing to enjoy vehicle-free beaches. Increased pollution of the air, water and soil. Not to mention compaction of sand and beach erosion. It makes no sense to allow driving on one of North Carolina's natural gems. I support specific regulation to manage the use of ORVs within the Seashore. The regulation must include specific, enforceable and science-based protections for wildlife and for beach goers who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. It should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation as currently written protects the rights of beach drivers to drive on large areas of the beach and treats wildlife protection as optional.

Adding buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation would prevent ORVs from dominating and degrading the Seashore as well as greatly improve dwindling wildlife populations.

Thank you for your consideration and time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1663    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** On the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. That means no beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1664    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please no motorized vehicles that will threaten wildlife in park areas. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1665    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need to prohibit at all times for now and forever off road vehicles on any beach. It is destructive the the habitat and kills the wildlife. It is noisy and totally unnecessary. They can walk like we used to many years ago.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1666    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Why would you allow this????

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1667    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1668	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Save the sea turtles.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1669	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please protect the birds of the Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore from of road vehicles and other threats to their habitat						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1670	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The proposed regulation of ORV driving in the Cap Hatteras National Seashore does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. Please do more to limit vehicle use in the CHNS.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1671	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1672	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support a ban on off road vehicles at Hatteras National Seashore.						

Thank you.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1673	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						

**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife. Please do the right thing!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1674    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I oppose unrestricted off-road vehicle use here because it threatens birds, turtles and other wildlife. Please support the effort in keeping wildlife safe. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1675    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1676    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the wildlife and prevent off road vehicles access to areas that should be protected.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1677    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1678    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1679    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Please do not permit ignorant humans to destroy wildlife. Humans are infringing on many delicate ecosystems enough as it is without permitting them to kill every living thing in sight with their totally unnecessary and useless vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1680    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** why are there off road vehicles on the cape. Fire Island Nat. Seashore has none.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1681    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1682    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

Instead that I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1683    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please ban the use of vehicles on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The use of these are dangerous to wildlife, must cause some soil erosion, and pollute the area. Let Cape Hatteras be a truly pristine sanctuary of which North Carolina can be proud.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1684    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1685    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** wtf? let's just kill everything.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1686    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1687    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** This is a national and local problem.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1688    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** This proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers.



And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1689    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1690    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please limit ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1691    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** In regards to Cape Hatteras seashore -

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Please do not allow beach driving on Cape Hatteras seashore .

thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1692    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I can't think of anything worse than a beautiful silent seashore with only the sound of the waves and the shore birds....and then a bunch of gas belching noisy off road vehicles loaded with raucous yahoos driving up and down the sand.

NO offroading on our shores which is disruptive to the birds and the people who want to enjoy nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1693    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Biodiversity is so important. Please protect all species of animals and plants.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1694    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

\*\* this form letter encompasses my thoughts completely, Tony Witlin

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1695    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1696    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with the remainder open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1697    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1698    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** These poor creatures are dwindling anyway, and to subject them to such living would be terrible. Please don't allow these vehicles to ruin this wonderful bird species.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1699    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please stop people from using ORVs indiscriminately and killing wildlife. This means stop people using ORVs completely!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1700    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. As Superintendent, you have an important decision to make that will affect the future. I appreciate the weight of that responsibility and urge you to please consider the impact, and help to ensure protection for wildlife.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1701	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Keep motorized vehicles off the beach. Protect the nesting, resting and feeding birds on the beach.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1702	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Mother Nature does enough damage to places like Cape Hatteras - it does not need motorized vehicles running up and down to help destroy it. With so many obese Americans let them walk this area to see it! This way the area stays undamaged, the wildlife and plants can survive and Americans may loose some weight!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1703	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Stop off roaring on beaches						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1704	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1705	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support specific enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle free areas for nesting.						
	Allowing the public to operate off road vehicles in these areas is not prudent, unnecessary, and will cause more harm than good -- good meaning the "enjoyment" of the driver. Other areas could be designated for such activity.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1706	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,

made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1707    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Off road vehicle drivers are a small portion of those who visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore each year, but they are among the most vocal. In order to speak up for all of the piping plovers, sea turtles, sea and shore birds and all of the other wildlife that relies on this region, please send your message now. Sincerely,

Alison Stankrauff

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1708    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I strongly encourage you to restrict beach driving to areas known not to be used by turtles for nesting or by ground nesting birds. National parks and seashores are supposed to be special places where people connect with nature. People driving on beaches and in the dunes miss most of what they could see. Beach driving is for recreation, not for connecting with nature. Amusement parks are designed for recreation.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1709    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There must be more protection for nesting shorebirds, turtles, and other wildlife from ORVs. The purpose of national parks is to protect natural landscapes, including plants and wildlife. Human activities should be as restrictive as necessary to protect wildlife and plantlife from damage and disturbance.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1710    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Off road vehicles kill and main birds along the Hatteras shore line every week. There is no reason to allow them on the beaches we all own -- let them ride on paths or roads to preserve the threatened species that are vulnerable to this threat.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1711    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1712    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Why is it necessary to have to have legal protection of creatures in order to keep them safe. And why is it that there are always loop holes in these laws and they are exploited. Please see that all creatures that could be endangered are protected. Eliminate the off road vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1713    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Proponents are proposing that the entire Cape Hatteras National Seashore be open to beach driving. Instead I support specific,

enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1714    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** A new moto for Mankind: "LIVE AND LET LIVE"

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1715    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Why must us HUMANS USE every piece of this planet for our own greed. Do people not realize that we share this earth with other living things?

I guess humans are way to into themselves to think or care. Wake up.....our earth is dying fast and so is everyone on it. You will not be able to turn a blind eye once things really start to happen. Good luck

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1716    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** If it's perfectly okay to drive anywhere we want, with zero regard for creatures that require "off-road" regions as their habitat, then I'm forced to assume that no one will mind if I drive my shovel tractor through their houses - crushing their children and obliterating their shelter. Same exact situation, so it must be okay.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1717    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please help the wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1718    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please ban the use of off road vehicles! You are killing wildlife and adding unneccesarily to global warming. All animal species must be protected!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1719    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1720    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Oppose off road vehicle use that threatens animal life.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1721    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please give the birds a break! Keep the cars off the shore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1722    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect all animals!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1723    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1724    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am strongly opposed to unregulated ORV use of any of our public lands and parks.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1725    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1726    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We should do everything possible to protect wildlife whenever possible.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1727    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1728    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Please halt ORV use!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1729    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does

wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Karl Fickling

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1730	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	HELP PLEASE, STOP KILLING THE BIRDS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1731	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	It is imperative for our environmental protection and for the protection of threatened species that we stop all off road vehicle access on these already endangered lands. The Cape Hatteras National Seashore park should be a shining beacon for all that is right with America, not another example of our abuse of our beautiful and bountiful land.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1732	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please reconsider off road access to our beaches. Thank you						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1733	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The wildlife that use these beaches as a nursery need protection from off road vehicles. Please set aside additional protected beaches and enforce the laws to protect the birds and turtles that nest there.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1734	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1735	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						



**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians to protect this vulnerable and unique area.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore for their existence.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1736	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1737	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						

Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1738    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. Please regulate and prevent ATVs and other recreational vehicles from using large portions of the shoreline.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1739    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration. Thomas Nelms

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1740    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1741    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the

Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1742    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please preserve our wildlife. It's important to everyone !!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1743    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1744    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** .I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1745    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am opposed to unregulated off road vehicles driving on the beach and nearby areas in Cape Hatteras.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1746    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1747    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We need to balance the usages so that wildlife is protected as people use the beaches for recreation.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1748    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am opposing unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife. Let's not allow the numbers to decline for these wild creatures simply because of our need to trek on their nesting areas. Let's more on being humane to the fairer creature on this planet.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1749    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am STRONGLY AGAINST opening the entire seashore to open beach driving and instead support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1750    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Karen Stephenson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1751    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1752    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Finally, the National Park Service is

poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1753    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Tessa Brunton

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1754    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1755    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** As an off-road vehicle enthusiast, I am writing to urge the National Park Service to further restrict ORV use in Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the protection of shorebirds and turtles. I enjoy using my vehicle to access remote locations, but there are some places where vehicles simply shouldn't be allowed or should be severely limited. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is one of those places. The proposed plan must be changed to strengthen protections for wildlife and further curtail ORV use, both in terms of areas of use and times of use.

Regards,

Brian Jones

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1756    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. In 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. piping plovers and other shorebirds are making a comeback. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, and if you expand ORV use across the Seashore, these numbers could easily decline and threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1757	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1758	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1759	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does

wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1760    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras wildlife needs protection from ORVs. Specific prohibitions need to be instituted and enforced. My grand children will not ever see the birds and turtles if these steps are not taken.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1761    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I STRONGLY oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Beach driving is totally inappropriate and destructive.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1762    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

As an environmental scientist and enthusiastic birdwatcher, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1763    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please save Cape Hatteras National Seashore and oppose off road vehicle use that threatens the lives of turtles, birds and other wildlife.. Thank you

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1764    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** This is a common sense ruling. If the people can't govern themselves, please govern them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1765    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the beautiful animals and beaches!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1766    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** ORV vehicles need to be restricted along the Cape Hatteras shoreline. This would protect nests of sea turtles and other birds and animals. Restrictions have been successful in the past and should continue.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1767    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please help endangered birds

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1768    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please prohibit all off road vehicles in the Cape Hatteras National seashore.

Mark Crane

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1769    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1770    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Off road vehicles impact on fragile environments far outweighs any reason for allowing them.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1771    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1772    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Just because we have off road vehicles doesn't mean you get to drive them anywhere you want. Personally speaking I don't even think snow mobiles should be allowed in the Nat'l park except by rangers and park personnell. Especially, now since Hurricane Irene has wrecked devastation on Cape Hatteras we certainly don't need the wildlife killed or injured anymore than has already



happened. It is just common sense. Nadine L. Vadasy

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1773    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please keep the parks preserved for the people who want to enjoy nature and wildlife. Let the motorized vehicles go to a race track! Thank you!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1774    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** i have seen many broken eggs and dead birds on the beach that it makes me nauseated. we need to keep the four wheelers and crv's off the beach. the beaach is for the bicyclers and walkers. KEEP the VEHICLES OFF the BEACH !!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1775    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1776    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1777    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1778    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Wildlife is a valuable asset and makes Hatteras a more attractive destination, so more protection for wildlife is a win-win.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1779    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Sirs:

please give greater protection to wildlife on the seashore.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

thank you in advance

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1780    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.

Also threatened are turtles, shorebirds, and other sea life.

These lands must be preserved for the use of all the public. Te few who possess off-road vehicles must not be granted exceptional advantage that destroys the enjoyment of the many.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1781    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I oppose off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1782    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

This is to express my deep concern about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration, Dr. Suzanne Estes

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1783    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1784    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect the wild places of Cape Hatteras. DO not allow off-road vehicles in the area. Protect the wildlife and the beach habitat.

Thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1785    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** why not restrict movement by recreational vehicles during the nesting season, it only makes sense and what is for the common good, that way everyone has their turn at using the beach for their needs!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1786    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I love to come to your beaches and the wildlife is 100% of the reason I do. If you allow 4 wheelers and 4x4 trucks or whatever else humans desire to drive along the coast it will put an end to my being in the area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1787    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Can anyone really see a point to allowing wildlife to be killed by off road vehicles? What does America gain by allowing some of its more ignorant, uncaring citizens to fulfill their urges at the expense of our ecosystem and the innocent creatures? Stop the unlimited use of off road vehicles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1788    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1789    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Why can't people see what is happens when we keep disturbing nature.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1790    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1791    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please STOP off roading as you're killing wildlife. Would you like your family ran over at your home?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1792    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please consider restricting the use of off road vehicles along the shorelines of Cape Hatteras. Many species are at risk from these fast maneuverable machines which can destroy habitat as well as the animals.

Thank you.

Delores Rubin Damascus, Pa

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1793    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1794    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand

ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration. Marni Bakanec

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1795	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	It is time to preserve nature!!!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1796	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Absolutely a shame if offroad vehicles are allowed to destroy habitat. They are as destructive as humans are alone much less together. Please do not allow this. Sincerely, Carleen Terrano						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1797	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please do not cause natural resource damage by permitting the use of off-road motorized vehicles in critical habitat areas of the National Park System, including the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The purpose of the National Park System is to preserve these areas and the wildlife that relies upon it to survive. Please resist the urging of a small number of visitors to place wildlife and wildlife habitat areas at risk.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1798	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.  Please make sure that these practices are curtailed. There is no reason to threaten the species that are on the shore.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1799	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I strenuously oppose UNLIMITED Off-Road Vehicle access to the Cape Hatteras National Sea Shore. Such unfettered access can only result in destroyed habit and increased loss of wild life. What it is that gives ORV owners priority over Nature?						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1800	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please be very thoughtful about the well being of the wildlife on this land before you make your decision. We will find that the places that wildlife can be safe is going to shrink more and more as the population increases. It is important to make decisions now that keep that in mind and make it safe and viable for the wildlife in our natural areas.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1801	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	In my opinion, off-road vehicles (ORVs) should not be permitted in public parks for use by visitors. More often than not, they damage the park, distube vistors, (afterall most go to the parks for peace and quiet, hiking, stress relief, not to hear ORV's driving around).  That said I disagree with allowing ORV's to drive on the sea shore!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1802	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. We must protect both shore birds and turtles in shore areas since this is where they nest and where their babies are born.						

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1803    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1804    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray:

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1805    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** protect beaches like cape hatteras from off road vehicles. we simply do not want off road vehicles killing piping plovers on our beaches,. keep the gd orvs off such vulnerable sites. orvs spew air pollution, soil pollution and noise pollution. most americans do not want these insane noisy polluting machines anywhere near them. it is clear that money from orv mfrs are letting our corrupt, skanky washington dc politicians try to put orvs all over and causing environmental devastation because of their money and greed. there are few orv owners because it is expensive to own and pay for gas for them so that they do not represent the majority of americans in any way, shape or form, therefore, there is no need to give them such broad use of sites to use. they need to pay for their own lands on hazmat sites to ride these polluting machines. we are all sick of these machines. idiots buy them who have nothing better to do with their time. i have seen some ride around and around in circles just to ride. they get obese and fat really quickly from always riding. we need to make people walk, it is better for their health.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1806    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Get the ATV's off the beach!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1807    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Off-road vehicles should NOT be permitted on Cape Hatteras National Seashore in ANY Areas under ANY circumstances. All it would take is one errant vehicle and a turtle's nest or a bird's nest could be destroyed.

Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1808    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

**Correspondence ID:** 1809    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Please do not expand ORV use. I have seen the damage they can do to the environment and wildlife in both Arizona and Canada.

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1810    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please pass special regulations for ORV on the shorelines. thank you

**Correspondence ID:** 1811    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov



**Correspondence:** I fervently oppose any unrestricted off road vehicle (ORV) use on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

The seashore and its flora and fauna must be protected for my children and we all know that ORV use destroys both.

I never understand why those who destroy our precious basically get a free ride to enjoy their noise and air polluting destructive pastime at the expense of the rest of us who appreciate the quiet, solitude and beauty of the natural beaches, lakes, forests, etc.

The land of the free has become the land of the freedom to live however one wants no matter how it affects others.

We can keep that from happening in the sensitive environment.

I urge you to drastically restrict ORV use on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1812	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Amazing. I live in California and my dog isn't even allowed at most beaches. I can't believe vehicles are allowed at these beaches.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1813	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1814	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Wildlife must be explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1815	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I'm all for protecting our precious wildlife, allowing them to have good habitat wherever they can find it. I don't have to reiterate in detail that wildlife of all kinds are severely endangered in our time and that a huge percentage of species are going extinct. This is primarily due to human encroachment, specifically loss of habitat. Off road vehicles do not belong on beaches or other coastal areas.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1816	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Once destroyed, the endangered species cannot return. Please don't ruin their habitat with roads. We should have more walking and less driving anyway.						

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1817    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The ORV stickered vehicles can have just as much fun in fully regulated are, where the natural wildlife are safe. Co-existence is a very real option, in these case...if done correctly.

Please take the time to save this precious area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1818    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please restrict the ORV on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Sad but people do not act responsibly and think only of themselves so restrictions must be set to ensure the future of the beach and wildlife. Thank you, Carol Spillane Mueller

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1819    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please regulate the use of off the road vehicles on beaches and other nesting areas. People who use these vehicles drive at such speeds that they do not see the nesting animals or egg deposits.

We are destroying valuable wildlife for a few minutes of mindless "fun".

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1820    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Wildlife's right to habitat FAR outweighs human want to ride destructive vehicles through Nature. If humans cannot be responsible to Nature then vehicles like these need to be prohibited.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1821    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We must protect, respect the turtles and their breeding grounds, and the quiet and conserve our gas and while we are at it: the quality of our air. Run if you want adventure, gingerly!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1822    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1823    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1824    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1825	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please don't let this happen,we shouldn't be allowed to kill every animal because of the things we want to do						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1826	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please protect shorebirds from off road vehicles gone amuck.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1827	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	We visit here and it's ridiculous off road vehicles are allowed to trash an ecosystem that is such an important nesting ground for birds						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1828	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Park Service -						

I understand ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Instead, please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Sincerely,

Ireene Deninno

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1829	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please limit or ban all terrain vehicle (ATV) use on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These vehicles are destructive to many things, but especially to the terrain, with too much weight and torque, which tears up the ground and allows severe run off and erosion. This will also affect wildlife and plant life along the sea shore, and unfortunately the operators of the ATVs have no idea how destructive this action is. Many have a very cavalier attitude about it and are only there to enjoy the speed, power, and to watch the debris thrown into the air. I have witnessed permanent damage to our local terrain with trails that used to be ATV-free, are now permanently ruined, even with some attempts at trail maintenance. No one thinks damage will be permanent, but it is!						

ATVs also ruin the environment with noise and air pollution, and many operators are careless with littering as well. THE only way they will start to become more conscientious is to have more areas closed to their traffic. And what better place to start than the sensitive area of Cape Hatteras.

Please consider these recommendations. Thank you, Gretchen Rowe

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1830    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1831    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I have concerns about the proposed regulations for Off-Road Vehicle (ORV) usage on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support regulation of ORV usage at the Seashore but, as I read it, the current plan leans in favor of ORV use and less in favor of wildlife protection. The rules seem to get the entire mission of the NPS backwards.

More land is set aside as "protected" for ORV use than for wildlife protection and the rules imply that wildlife protection is an optional goal of the Seashore.

Please consider revising the plan to add explicit protections for native wildlife that rely on the Seashore habit.

Thank you for your time, Scot Ryder

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1832    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I, as an environmental educator, am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration. David O'Grady

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1833    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1834    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1835    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. This is particularly unconscionable given that beach drivers have a far greater range than wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1836    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1837    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1838    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please do not allow off-road vehicles to disturb the wildlife at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1839    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** ssssssWhy sshould humans wishes become more importants than those of other animals?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1840    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It's my understanding that the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

Off-road vehicles need to be banned on the Cape Hatteras seashore. The impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicles has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

Please do not allow any vehicles on the seashore. It would be devastating for wildlife.

Thank you.

Debra & David Ashton - Hoboken, NJ

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1841    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

Off road vehicle drivers are a small portion of those who visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore each year, but they are some of the most destructive. Please don't let them continue destroying the habitat of the piping plovers, sea turtles, sea and shore birds and all of the other wildlife that relies on this region.

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lisa Goodrich

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1842    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Make and support vehicle free areas on beaches and all parks for wildlife to carry on their breeds, i. e. nesting or wherever they make their homes. Humans need to realize that wildlife too have homes and families and care about their own, like we do! It's all about balance of nature, if not we lose as humans and the world 's balance of nature is lost. It's quite simple, don't complicate nature's processes.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1843    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers, turtles, etc rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive.

outlaw off road vehicles on national shore lines...or at least have areas where off road vehicles are not permitted.

Something needs to be done.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1844    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, this is unacceptable. I urge you revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

With protection for the defenseless, will there be protection for us all.

Thank you for your consideration.

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1845	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation for managing off-road vehicle use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I strongly oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens the nesting areas of sea turtles, shorebirds, and other wildlife.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1846	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	As a lover of National Parks and all the animals that live in them I ask you to continue protecting them. Please enforce science-based protection for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1847	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I would like to urge you to prohibit off-road vehicles from continuing to drive on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. There really is no logical reason for allowing it. They are detrimental to the wildlife that calls the seashore home, and they are just loud, polluting, and disruptive to anyone there to enjoy the sounds of the beach and solitude. There are so many other off-road options and the Cape Hatteras National Seashore should not be one of them. Please, put an end to it. Thank you.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1848	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please prevent the destruction to wildlife!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1849	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	ORVs don't belong.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1850	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please do what you can to protect these birds.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1851	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please keep vehicles off the area so nesting birds and other wildlife are not disturbed or killed.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1852	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						



**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1853    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I live near Daytona Beach FL and I have seen what beach driving can do to a beach. It is horrific for wildlife and humans alike. It will decimate wildlife and ruin your dunes and vegetation. It is also unsafe for people who want to enjoy the beach. Children and sunbathers will be in danger and who can enjoy the fresh sea breezes when all you can smell is exhaust fumes. Believe me, when I go to the beach it is at Canaveral national Seashore. We need to preserve places like this for generations to come, so they will know what unspoiled nature is. Please keep our wild places wild. Thank you, Anita F. Braddock

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1854    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please help wildlife stay safe.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1855    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** There must be far less sensitive areas where ORVs can go free wheeling than Cape Hatteras. This is a precious resource that brings lots of tourist dollars, including mine in the past. Please preserve it in its pristine state.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1856    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We must protect all wildlife, nesting areas included. Once they are gone, they are gone.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1857    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Keep off trail vehicles out of parks!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1858    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1859    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1860    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Allowing unrestricted off road vehicle use is really irresponsible. It would threaten sea turtles, birds, plants, etc.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1861    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1862    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please stop the use of off road vehicles along the entire 67 mile stretch of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1863    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I urge you to restrict off- road vehicles at Cape Hatteras National Seashore to protect endangered and threatened shorebirds and sea turtles on those beaches. I have visited those shores and they are spectacular, and a national treasure. We have a big country, and there is room for off-road vehicles in some areas. Why allow them to mercilessly destroy these fragile ecosystems? It would be better to have off-road vehicles in china shops, literally, than to have them on these fragile shores. I urge you to keep the priorities straight. Destroying these habitats would cause nearly irreparable harm while temporarily inconveniencing some four-wheeler enthusiasts. Let them go to areas where there is less to lose than the beach wildlife. Thank you for your leadership in protecting our natural national treasures.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1864    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It is time to stop the killing of fellow creatures in the pursuit of fun at their expense.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1865    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. The current proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. This is a pristine stretch of beach and shoreline that deserves our protection. Both birds and sea turtles nest here and their populations will decline if specific protections are not put into place.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1866    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The impact of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. For that reason, I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1867    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving.

Park Service: I you support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1868    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Stop using OUR NATIONAL SHORELINES for off-road, polluting vehicles; they destroy our shoreline wildlife and cause toxic air, land and noise pollution!!!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1869    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The wildlife cannot always protect itself, in the face of people who are doing more damage than good in the habitats of these animals.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1870    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Since I live in the Florida Keys, this is very, very important. Endangered sea turtles nest on several of our beaches, not to mention a variety of shorebirds. If Cape Hatteras isn't protected, a precedent may set for other areas along our coasts. Please don't allow these destructive vehicles access; the majority of the people I've seen driving them are rude idiots who couldn't care less what they run over and kill.

What if it were your child?

Thankyou, Kathy Leslie Key West, FL

**Correspondence ID:** 1871    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

**Correspondence ID:** 1872    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** As a private citizen who is concerned with protecting and preserving wildlife all over the country, I urge you to put permanent restrictions in place to protect the nesting and beach areas of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

These parks are for people to enjoy and co-exist with nature not to tear around in noisy offroad vehicles. These vehicles should not be permitted to leave limited designated roadways at all. Recreational vehicles abuse far too many of our national parks, scarring the earth, creating excessive noise and pollution and killing and injuring countless animals.

The primary purpose of our national park system is to protect our environmental treasures and the wildlife that inhabits them. Period.

**Correspondence ID:** 1873    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y

**Name:** private

**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does

wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1874    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am strongly opposed to the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The current "interim" plan protects wildlife and thus wildlife has prospered. For example, sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010, and piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding.

If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside only 26 of the total 67 total miles of seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use. We need more vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is completely unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1875    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** the wildlife needs to be saved

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1876    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please restrict the use of off road vehicles on the shoreline that damages habitat for threatened shorebirds and sea turtles.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1877    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

Shana Mahaffey

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1878    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the seashore!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1879    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Can't people be content to WALK along the dunes and the shoreline? Must they chew them up with noisy, smoky ATV's? Go home and leave Nature to those who know how to appreciate her, without destroying her.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1880    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please save these precious lives. Offroad vehicles have no place on the shore. Please save what is sacred.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1881    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** PLEASE DONT ALLOW OFF-ROAD VEHICLES TO KILL SHORELINE WILDLIFE

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1882    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1883    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, PLEASE READ AND HELP WITH THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE. SEA TURTLES AND BIRDS CANT PROTECT THEMSELVES. I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing

ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1884    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please limit the area allocated to off road vehicles to protect nesting birds and other wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1885    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Hello,

OHV damage to coastal areas where T & E species live are just a recipe for disaster as this ecosystem (coastal barrier island) is in itself endangered due to massive development. Please stop this archaic practice as we owe it to future generations to preserve this and goes along with USPS mission. Thanks

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1886    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** please take the necessary steps to protect our shoreline wildlife.

thank you.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1887    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1888    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** ORVs have no place in our national parks. Please keep them out.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1889    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** e

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1890    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am opposed to unrestricted, off road vehicle use that threatens nature wild life and their habitats. The Park Service should be protecting these valuable and fragile resources for the AMERICAN public present and future even if it means curtailing present recreational "enjoyment" for a few.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1891    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** People with off-road vehicles invade woods around inland areas as well as on the shores of lakes and oceans. They seem to assume that it is their right to be there even if the property is privately owned or publicly owned and have a very casual, irresponsible relationship with any animals they might encounter. There definitely should be regulations on how many vehicles are permitted or even if they should be permitted. Vehicles can do a lot more damage to the flora and fauna in an area than individuals can.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1892    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The wildlife protections seem to be more of an afterthought, which I feel is completely unacceptable.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, and that's not right. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your time.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1893    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.



I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1894    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

My father lives in Nags Head, NC, and I visit Cape Hatteras once a year. I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1895    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please save the fragile seashore and the animals, fish, and birds that reside there. We visit the Outer Banks every summer and have for many years. Please protect it.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1896    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1897    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect piping plovers and other animals that on the cape hatteras seashore. Must we destroy everything alive to have fun.

Coral pink sand dunes state park in Utah is a case in point. Species that only lived in the dunes are destroyed. Noisy dirty gas and oil spillers roar all over this delicate landscape now ruined for anything but atv's.

Thr same haas occured in the Anza Borrega Desert. There after stripping the atv section to bare sand. The recreation groups

want more space to recreate.

It should be a crime.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1898    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Keep off road vehicles off the shores and out of the forests. They are killing everything and tormenting the wildlife!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1899    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** It has long been established that off-road vehicles have a major impact on the soils and wildlife of the area they cross, in many cases for no other purpose than recreational activity. Considering the huge strain under which wildland areas already are, off-road vehicles should be kept off them, and directed towards purpose-built off-road tracks. Those offer the advantage of a safer environment for the drivers, and potential for economic development, as opposed to the costs of allowing those vehicles in wild areas.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1900    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am highly concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

With existing interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. For instance, sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. Expanding ORV use across the Seashore will threaten and endanger this native wildlife.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use while open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. We need to get our priorities in order. Far more vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is completely unacceptable. I would like to see this plan revised to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. This is no time to be reckless with our precious natural wildlife.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Liz Macarilla

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1901    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the

Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Taenzer

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1902	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	There should be NO motorized vehicles on the beaches. There is enough degradation by natural forces without people compounding the problem!!!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1903	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	We need to protect out wildlife. There are many areas where ATVs are allowed that won't negatively impact the environment around us. This area is not one of them and needs to be protected.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1904	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1905	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please do not allow unrestricted ORV access & use in this environmentally sensitive area.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1906	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	As a NC resident and yearly beach visitor, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife are likely to be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1907	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	<p>I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.</p> <p>Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.</p> <p>I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.</p> <p>As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.</p>						

Thank you for your consideration.

-Michael Feran

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1908    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1909    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** These nesting sites have to be protected AT ALL COSTS and if it means that people's actions and activities are restricted, then so be it ! These sites are FAR more valuable than any person and his/her need to drive like an idiot across the places where these sites are. There are literally thousands of other places where people can drive their vehicles without causing any damage to wildlife and where they can carry out their "small man" syndrome.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1910    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Protect the Cape Hatteras Seashore non human inhabitants, so they can complete our environment. Do NOT allow vehicles Unrestricted off the road access!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1911    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** All off road vehicle use by the seashore must be permanently banned. It is time to start thinking with our heads and not our wallets. Take action now to prevent this wildlife destruction and preserve this beautiful area.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1912    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1913    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1914    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please help protect our wildlife. You don't know what you have until its gone. Let's not let it get to that point.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1915    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Each year, millions of people visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore to take in the natural beauty, wildlife diversity, and 67 miles of shoreline. Unrestricted off-road vehicle use is damaging wildlife and its habitat there - especially threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the CHNS beaches.

Therefore, I'm writing to oppose unrestricted off-road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shore birds, and other wildlife. Please adopt the measures that permanently protect nesting areas from beach drivers. Temporary measures in this vein have shown tremendous success, so please keep protecting wildlife by permanently implementing these measures that protect nesting areas from off-road drivers.

Sincerely, Sara Avery

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1916    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1917    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Wildlife and Nature have a right to themselves. While I understand that people want to enjoy nature, we have to balance that desire with the needs of that ecosystem. Off road vehicles should not be allowed to go everywhere. The wildlife belongs to everyone and a few people should not have the right to destroy it for the whole group. Please don't allow our wild spaces to be 'loved' to death.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1918    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please ban off road vehicle from Cape Hatteras National Seashore - these vehicles pose a hazard to those who prefer walking or sitting or lying, or camping along the shore as well as the birds and animals who have used the area for breeding, etc., for generations.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1919    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Cara Benson

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1920    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please do not expand the area where vehicles of any kind are allowed on the beach. There is wildlife and people that are put in danger when people are allowed to drive motorized vehicles on the beach. In addition, the noise and pollution created detract from the beach experience for those who go there to enjoy nature. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1921    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1922    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. OTVs must not be allowed to destroy our valuable and irreplaceable shoreline wildlife in the name of "recreation."

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1923    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore protects beach drivers more than it the animals' homes and nests it's invading. How does that happen??

The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional. THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1924    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Off road vehicles should be limited to areas where they will not impact turtles and shorebirds. These animals are having a difficult time as so much of our national seashore and wilderness areas become impacted by people. Please give these animals a chance to continue their survival.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1925    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I don't believe that unrestricted off-road vehicles should be allowed.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1926    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please help save animals

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1927    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Tell the Park Service instead that you support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose

traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Tell the Park Service instead that you support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free attraction now. ORV advocates want the

entire seashore open to beach driving. Tell the Park Service instead that you support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1928	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	ORVs are wonderful tools, but in the hands of the unthinking can lead to unspeakable devastation. Protect the wildlife of the shoreline habitat. Strictly prohibit use of ORVs on our national seashore. Freedom does not include the right to wantonly destroy.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1929	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	In my opinion, there should be NO off-road vehicle use on Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashore. Wildlife cannot compete with motorized vehicles. But primarily, wildlife should be afforded complete protection and safety given the constant reduction of quality habitat through development and population growth. It is ridiculous to combine the two (ORV's and wildlife). And why would I want to visit a shoreline and listen to ORV's?!						
	The presence of ORV's on this shoreline is simply inappropriate. They should be confined to track-like areas completely disengaged from any wildlife/nature areas.						
	Our world is overrun with vehicles. Enough is enough.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1930	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Prevent innocent lives from wanton cruel play by mean people.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1931	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	No off road vehicles !!						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1932	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. Please prohibit off road vehicle use in areas that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1933	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1934	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Off-road vehicles are a menace to nature in general, the landscape and the wildlife. Keep them out of ALL National Parks. Thank you.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1935	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						



**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1936    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people annually taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. However, the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle ("ORV") use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.

The proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. In addition, it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. In 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Instead, I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Thank you for considering my comments.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1937    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1938    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** We are supposed to be responsible stewards, not reckless consumers of our lands for nothing more than mindless, selfish entertainment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1939    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** The beaches need protection due to endangered species living and breeding there.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1940    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I'm writing to urge that NPS consider that people have two legs and don't need to destroy the wilderness and wildlife the NPS is responsible for (remember that?)with off-road vehicles. By allowing fun-seekers - who have more than enough off-road venues

to enjoy their noise and destructive "entertainment" - to disturb and destroy ANY wilderness areas or wildlife, the NPS is failing their mandate to protect the parks under their care.

I've seen dogs being allowed in state parks, scaring the wildlife away; off-road vehicles in major national parks disturbing and stressing out wildlife and scarring the earth to the detriment of native plant life - not to mention destroying the reason some of us actually visit and value the parks: as places of exquisite beauty and richness in wildlife and quiet.

Or is that outside of your understanding and caring?

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1941	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1942	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please include protection for wildlife from SUV use on Cape Hatteras Seashore.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1943	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Leon Gerald Vaughn

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1944    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Keep the outerbanks pristine - don't allow off road motoring.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1945    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1946    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1947    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1948    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

New nests could be at risk - off road vehicles should have access to much fewer miles of shoreline.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1949    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore are of great concern to me.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1950    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1951    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I believe the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing off-road/all-terrain vehicle use on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore is inadequate.

The current (interim) plan has resulted in big gains for protected wildlife, including sea turtles, Piping plovers and other

shorebirds. If you expand off-road vehicle use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife will suffer.

I strongly support regulation of off-road vehicles at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your time.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1952	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please limit vehicle use on the Cape Hatteras Seashore. We need to protect our nesting seabirds and Loggerhead Turtles. As a resident of NC I believe that these animal help make our state special, and these animals need to be protected.						

Make these nesting beaches vehicle-free areas; there are many other sites that folks can use to ride their ATVs and other vehicles.

Thanks Ed Mills

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1953	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	For Pete's sake do you really need to be told why driving in a wildlife area is bad ? The noise ruins it for people if you don't care about the animals besides pollution....use a bicycle if you need wheels.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1954	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am alarmed at the number of wildlife that live on seashores that are killed and/or eggs destroyed by off-road vehicles. There needs to be tough regulation of this destructive, mostly recreational, misuse of public seashore that harms sea turtles and other shore wildlife.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1955	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	there is no justifiable reason for allowing potential destruction of our natural resources. We can enjoy them without damage and that is how we should visit our parks - without causing harm to other living things.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1956	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1957	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug,30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	People are in bad shape. They need to exercise more;walk more. Off roading is a waste of gasoline. Please save our wildlife refuges for people who will respect them and presurve them						

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1958	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Instead please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1959	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Surely not EVERY square inch of America should be open to these monster machines. What about peace and quiet? What about other forms of life? We're not the only ones here, you know.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1960	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please regulate the harsh and destructive traffic by off-road vehicles. The damage they cause to the air, terrain and the creatures who live there is not acceptable! Their noise is invasive and harms the peace we all seek.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1961	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please regulate the off road vehicle use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore to protect the shoreline wildlife. They have no voice to speak up for themselves and people are reckless and don't seem to care who/what they hurt as long as they have a good time. Well, these people can have a good time without being able to drive all over the place and do irreparable harm. Please do the right thing and protect this wildlife. Sincere Thanks, Jennifer						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1962	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please stop letting the ORV drive on the beaches of Cape Hatteras as well as all national park beaches in the USA. This will prevent the killing of many birds and wildlife that roam here and have their babies here.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1963	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1964	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1965	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1966	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.						

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1967	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	The wildlife is one of the reasons people go to the parks. If they are diminished in numbers it will diminish the experience.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1968	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please restrict the use of Off Road Vehicles on the shoreline since will threaten the eco system especially endangered or threatened species of animals that use the shoreline for nest.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1969	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. Please prevent Vehicle Death of wildlife.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1970    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Please take measures to save our pristine beaches for the wildlife who depends on them for their survival.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1971    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1972    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the



Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1973    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Christina Hart Wildlife Advocate

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1974    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1975    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Hello Superintendent Murray,

I am writing as a native North Carolinian out of concern for the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use. I favor much more restricted access for ORVs.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the

Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1976    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1977    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect wildlife from being killed by this practice!

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1978    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I believe we are stewards of this earth and of everything created in it. I am doing everything I can to personally be a good steward. (Solar panels, driving hybrid cars, buying efficient appliances, etc.) The government has a responsibility to help us be good stewards. These animals are part of our national treasure.

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1979    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug,30,2011 00:00:00

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1980    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I think the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore is badly flawed, as the expanded ORV use along the shore that it proposes will threaten endangered wildlife.

The current interim plan has made a big difference. For example, sea turtles made 153 nests in 2010, vs. only 82 in 2007. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are also making great gains. Expanded ORV use along the shore will reverse all these gains.

The Park Service plan should focus more on protecting wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use. We need far more vehicle-free areas.

The proposed regulations, as currently written, are unacceptable in that they treat wildlife protection as optional. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1981    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please save more of the beach for the wildlife and pedestrians to enjoy. the off road vehicles are disturbing the natural environment.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1982    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I visit North Carolina every summer. Please protect this beautiful beach land and the wildlife that were the first inhabitants. I would like my children and their children to also enjoy the beauty and tranquility of wide open beach spaces, which are becoming rare.

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1983    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the wildlife. Why is this so complicated?

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1984    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** save the birds on cape hateras national seashore, no vehicles

---

**Correspondence ID:** 1985    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov

**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1986	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please honor the value of all life on our planet. Wildlife must be protected. We are all part of the fragile ecosystem that supports human life.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1987	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	I am opposed to unrestricted off vehicle use which has a negative impact on shorebirds, turtles and other wildlife.						

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

This is insufficient to protect the wildlife in the area

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1988	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please disallow vehicles on the beach.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1989	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Please do not allow off road vehicles access to this area.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1990	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	just terrible.....where do we stop to entertain ourselves? we hurt the innocent animals? what a disgrace. sad....						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1991	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						

**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please protect the shorebirds! Thank you

**Correspondence ID:** 1992    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Off-road vehicles seem particularly pointless in a park.

They are bad for the animals, but also bad for the driver and passengers in a vehicle.

Those people should get out and walk to improve their health.

And the animals need a place to nest for reproduction of the next generation.

It seems like a no-brainer to me to ask that off-road vehicles be restricted just as much as possible.

Thanks for all you can do.

**Correspondence ID:** 1993    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

**Correspondence ID:** 1994    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.

**Correspondence ID:** 1995    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Please extend protections, to the fullest extent possible, for vital nesting areas for shorebirds and turtles. The 'need for speed' should never take precedence over conservation, and it behooves those of us who have a care for the natural world and its wildlife inhabitants to protect against the careless use and disregard that predominate amongst the ORV crowd.

Sincerely,

Michele Koskinen

**Correspondence ID:** 1996    **Project:** 10641    **Document:** 41993    **Private:** Y  
**Name:** private  
**Received:** Aug.30,2011 00:00:00  
**Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov  
**Correspondence:** Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am troubled about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Some of the most gorgeous spots in this country have been utterly spoiled by excessive ORV use. This is detrimental to wildlife and humans!!!!

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1997	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Allowing vehicles on beaches is dangerous, stupid, and should be banned from all US beaches.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1998	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Why is it that we cannot control ourselves with our natural resources and wildlife? Some yahoo has to come along and trash, kill, and pillage. The only answer is legislation. If we are so stupid that we don't "know the rules," we have to make real rules - laws.						

---

<b>Correspondence ID:</b>	1999	<b>Project:</b>	10641	<b>Document:</b>	41993	<b>Private:</b>	Y
<b>Name:</b>	private						
<b>Received:</b>	Aug.30,2011 00:00:00						
<b>Correspondence Type:</b>	regulations.gov						
<b>Correspondence:</b>	Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

---