Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10000Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011Aug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govCape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.							
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.							
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach driv And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for round and seasonal beach driving.							
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wild							
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that nur rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.							
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.							
	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10001 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act. To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.							
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-re on only 26 of the of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10002Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govNo beach driviing in restricted areas. NO. NO. Just do it, this is insane to let people drive all over Cape Hatteras Ntl.Seashore as though is is a side street in their own neighborhood. Just say no, like you would to any wild child!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10003 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect our wildlifewe humans sometimes don't realize how and what we do affects our wildlife habitat. Please platerestrictions enforcing the protection of our wildlife. Thank YouLinda Hopper							

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.										
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.										
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.										
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.										
	Thank you for your consideration.										
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10005 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,										
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.										
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.										
	While I understand that people want an area to use the recreational vehicles, allowing the use of these vehicles at the expense of wildlife that is struggling to survive is unconscionable.										
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.										
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is absolutely UNACCEPTABLE. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.										
	Thank you for your consideration.										
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10006 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,										
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.										
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.										
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and										



pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10007 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
		plovers and	l other shorebirds			Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200 ^o and ORV use across the Seashore, threatene				
	wildlife. The proposed pla	in sets aside	currently only 20	5 of the 67 to	otal miles of the	rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and				
						onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise th g plovers and sea turtles that rely on the				
	Thank you for your consid	leration.								
	Phil James									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10008 Project: private Aug,31,2011 Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted off r enforceable,science-based					Y Vational Seashore. I support specific, s for nesting .				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10009 Project: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please begin to institute pu Thank you for your consid	-	Document:	41993 shoreline peo	Private: destrians and en	Y ndangered wildlife by eliminating off-roadin				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National Seashore. The se beach on the eastern seace threatened, and endangere evoke the experiences of or It is well-documented that for pedestrian visitors, alo protections work. For the buffers around nesting bir shorebirds. Under that ten	ven nationa bast of the U d wildlife, t bur predeces ORV use in ng with incr past several ds and turtle uporary plar	I seashores on the Inited States. The out represent the l ssors who encoun mpairs park resou reased wildlife m years, the Park S yes, their nests, chi n, protected specie	e Atlantic Oc se nationally last best plac tered the vas urces. Examp ortality and o ervice has er cks, and hate es have been	eean represent t y significant pla es where Amer st wild coasts o bles include din dwindling popu nforced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w	Y on for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras he last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped ices not only protect habitats for rare, icans can visit wild beach environments tha f North America. hinished access and increased safety hazards ilations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. Fo B nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in				

2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10011 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10012 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov i aggree with this. unspoiled ecology is priceless. why sacrific it fo "fun', ecology-destroyin activities? when it is gone, it is gone forever. and replaced by what?							
	please, please, please preserve the hatteras area. keep it for future generations. sincerely, peg daly							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10013 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is senseless to allow vehicles in an area that contains sensitive wildlife. If we do not exercise some degree of restraint to our overwhelming impulsive need to entertain ourselves recklessly and destructively, there will be very few other species left. Humans do not need to drive on beaches.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10014 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am distressed to learn about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off Road Vehicle (ORV) use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise th plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the							

Thank you.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10015 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. The impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. I support specific, enforceable, science based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. I urge your support for strick enforcement of protecting this seashort and all it's inhabitants.								
	"When one tugs at a single thing in nature, he finds it attached to the rest of the world" -John Muir								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10016 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.								
	Speak out for wildlife. Visit regulations.gov to oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.								
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.								
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.								
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.								
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.								
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.								
	We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Tell the Park Service instead that you support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10017Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen enormous gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife will be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are a must for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is abcolutely unacceptable. Pleas revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely								

on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your time.

Connection of Di	10018 Project: 1064	1 Decuments	41002	Duivata	Y				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10018 Project: 1064 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	1 Document:	41993	Private:	1				
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov		c 11 11 11 10						
Correspondence:	should be absolutely vehicle-free	e. Off-road vehicles n	ot only endanger		Hatteras National Seashore. Nesting areas but ruin the experience of people like me,				
	who would go there to take in th	e natural beauty of the	e area.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10019 Project: 1064	1 Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I am writing in support of more of habitat.	off road vehicle restrie	ctions. They erod	le the land qu	uality, cause pollution, and damage wildlife				
	Please work to support more pro	tections for wildlife a	nd the planet. Ple	ease eliminat	e off road vehicles in nature areas.				
Correspondence ID:	10020 Project: 1064	1 Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Taking care of the environment :	and its wildlife is the	first sten toward	taking care o	f the human race. What happens to them wi				
correspondence.	happen to us!		linst step toward	taking care o	n the number race. What happens to them wi				
Correspondence ID: Name:	10021 Project: 1064 private	1 Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov protection is critical to the survival of threatened and endangered species. Among them are the Turtles and birds and snowy								
correspondences	plover and steel head trout and thistles and Gobies. The chain that is the ecosystem of the shore line will at some one lead tp								
	man and food chain The death of	t the sea is the death of	of humans.						
Correspondence ID:	10022 Project: 1064	1 Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideratio	n.							
Correspondence ID:	10023 Project: 1064	1 Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov	t the fragile wildlife a	ind shores at Can	e Hatteras fr	om damage and destruction by off-road				
	vehicles. I am an avid kitesurfer	and know Cape Hatte	eras and its natura	al beauty and	I am dismayed that a small group of reckle dlife of the area through their reckless off-				

loading behavior. A few people do NOT have the right to do whatever they please: they are sharing the place with others, both humans and animals. Please help us protect the ecosystem!

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10024 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entir area should be closed to beach driving.							
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10025 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I strongly oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10026 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, As a conservationist and bird watcher, am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. And, as such, people will exploit what they are uneducated about or allowed to exploit! The continued recovery of this ecosystem will be halted as the proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10027 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about theNational Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on CapeHatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that onlycreated 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirdsare rebounding. If you expand ORV useacross the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-roundor seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians							

plan to include current buffers and other explicit/rotections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on theHatteras Seashore.

Thank you for yourconsideration.

Correspondence ID:	10028 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	The majority of my vacations are spent at the beach. And although I believe in balanced access for recreational users of Cape Hatteras beach, I feel strongly that it should not be at the expense of the sanctuary to wildlife and attraction to vacationers such as myself who wish to walk on rather than drive on the beach.								
	Thank you for your time and consideration of my thoughts. Susi Hall								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10029 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10030Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govSave our wildlife from off-road vehicles. Our wildlife is our American history and tradition.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	10031 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to preserve our coast's for the creatures that live there, and so we and our children can enjoy it.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10032 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, As a person who cares about wildlife, I ask you to make specific and tough regulations to protect shore fauna at Cape Hatteras. There is no inherent need for people to drive off road vehicles here. Why take the risk of killing innocent animals so someone can have the "fun" of mindlessly driving a vehicle around. Rather, encourage these people to enjoy the area in a less destructive manner. Thank you, Leslie Cunningham								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10033Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011no:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened								
	and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10034 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please outlaw offroad vehicles on the National Park System: Cape Hatteras National Seashore. They are killing the seabirds. I don't understand why in the world these vehicles are continuing to be permitted to ride on these sands where these precious birds habitats are. These vehicles have no regard for these birds. It's just an act of cruelty. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10035Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govIt is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act 'to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.'' To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation. Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. It seems that we as a species have d								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10036 private Aug.31.20	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.									
		ts of unrestrict nest on the se			e has taken i	ts toll on the th	reatened and endangered shorebirds and sea			
	I oppose ur	prestricted off	road vehicle	e use that threaten	s sea turtles,	shorebirds and	other wildlife.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	0	10641 icles off the	Document: beaches at Cape F	41993 Hatteras.	Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations please reco encouraged oil just bec	gnize that peo l to remain are ause they can	eas where th afford to.As	e wildlife can live	. There are n ng other cult	nany other way ures to save the	Y e areas are a natural resource that should be is that people can have fun burning up gas and eir natural areas for the good of the planet it			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations I appreciate National Se beach on th threatened, evoke the e									
	for pedestri protections buffers aro shorebirds. instance, un	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	0	10641 /ildlife by k	Document: eeping all vehicles	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	0	10641 re responsit	Document:	41993 our environn	Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov intendent Mut	10641 rray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10043 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	for pedestrian visitors, ale protections work. For the buffers around nesting bin shorebirds. Under that ter	ong with in past severa ds and turt nporary pla orary plan,	creased wildlife m al years, the Park S les, their nests, chi an, protected specie threatened and end	ortality and of ervice has en icks, and hate es have been langered sea	dwindling popu nforced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	ninished access and increased safety hazards alations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering with some reproducing in record numbers. Fo 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in				
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.									
	on only 26 of the 67 total	miles of se	ashore beach. This	s does not re	present a fair b	tten, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-rou alance for other users and wildlife. If ORV υ miles, should be available year round for no				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10044 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect plovers, sea turtles, sea and shore birds and all of the other wildlife that relies on Cape Hatteras Nat'l Seashor Off road vehicle drivers are a small portion of those who visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore each year, but they are and the most vocal. These vehicles do not belong in such a special place.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10045 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Wildlife asks nothing of a cannot speak for themselv			· ·		Y s to please help give a voice to those who to protect.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10046Project:privateAug,31,2011ou:00:00regulations.govStop smashing our childred	10641 ens future v	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:						Y n for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped				

beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and speci

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	0	10641 e areas a pla	Document: ce where you can	41993 not build an	Private: ything. KEEP 1	Y IT WILD !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 e on Cape F	Document: Iatteras Seashore!	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10050 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 : regulations.gov The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from be And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the round and seasonal beach driving.						
	rose to 15	3. If wildlife is	not explicit	ly protected under	the Park Se	rvice's plan, the	r 3 years of temporary protections, that number ese numbers could easily decline. and it could be run over.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulatior "I appreci National S beach on threatened evoke the It is well- for pedest protectior buffers ar shorebird instance, i 2007, the successfu and speci helping to	ate this opportu Seashore. The s the eastern sead 4, and endanger experiences of documented tha rian visitors, al is work. For the ound nesting bi s. Under that temp last season pric l wildlife protection	even nation coast of the led wildlife, our predece at ORV use ong with ind past severa rds and turt mporary plan orary plan, orary plan, science-ba the Atlantic	al seashores on the United States. The but represent the issors who encoun impairs park resources and wildlife m l years, the Park S les, their nests, chi n, protected specie threatened and encounce lementation of the proposed regulations for	e Atlantic Od se nationally last best plac itered the vas urces. Examp ortality and d service has er icks, and hat es have been dangered sea e plan. Howe n. To remed r wildlife and	eean represent for significant pla es where America st wild coasts or bles include din dwindling popu nforced specific chlings, and pri- rebounding, w turtles laid 157 ver, the Park S by this flaw, the d for pedestriar	Y on for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped aces not only protect habitats for rare, ricans can visit wild beach environments that of North America. ninished access and increased safety hazards alations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering vith some reproducing in record numbers. For 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in tervice has omitted these buffers and other e Park Service must designate both ORV routes as in the regulation. Thank you very much for orebirds, and future generations of Americans

Correspondence ID:	10052	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
--------------------	-------	----------	-------	-----------	-------	----------	---

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.					
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.					
	Thank you for your time and consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10053 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep the beaches of Cape Hatteras free of recreational vehicles so the nesting plovers and turtles can attain and maintain a healthy population. There must be another location that ORV's can recreate without disturbing the wildlife populations.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10054 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Seashore.					
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.					
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10055 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Y There must be strict rules and regulations governing the use of offroad vehicles. Otherwise the damage to flora, fauna and the environment can be extensive in the present and into the future. Y					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10056Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPlease support specific, enforceable, science-based protection for					

	wildlife and additional car	-free areas fo	or nesting.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10057 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov People have many places t	10641	Document:	41993 n't need to ta	Private: ke up space wł	Y nere endangered animals call home.	
	Please set up and actively	enforce rules	s to protect the be	eaches in Cap	e Hatteras from	m off-road vehicles.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10058 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I urge regulations to stop v	10641 vehicles from	Document: a killing shore bin	41993 rds.	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10059 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr	10641 ay,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I am very concerned about Seashore.	t the Nationa	l Park Service's I	proposed reg	ulation for mar	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National	
		plovers and	other shorebirds			Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened	
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife an pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife an pedestrians.					Seashore for year-round wildlife and	
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revis plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:						Y ere are only a few that birds driven by instinct ashore. They are simply far too destructive to	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10061 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov This is careless and sensel	10641 ess. We show	Document:	41993 living things	Private:	Y e only ones here.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:						Y ras National Seashore. Our shorebirds and areas are off limits during thier nesting	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10063 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.						
	Thank you.	intestricted (in-road vehicles	on this and o		easures indeminery and permanentry.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10064 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There has to be a clear clear	10641 ose stating th	Document: at those who kill	41993 birds should	Private: be charged and	Y I face justice.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10065 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mur	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I appreciate the opportuni Hatteras National Seashor		nt on the Nationa	ıl Park Servio	ce's proposed re	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape	
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.						
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Thank you for taking my	comments in	to consideration.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10066 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please don't make rules th hurricane Irene will have					Y nited ORV use on our shorelines. The recent uld do better. Thank you.	
	Margaret S. Bates						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10067 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do NOT allow off-	10641 road vehicle	Document:	41993 ines and wild	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras Nationa turtles that only created 8 ORV use across the Seash the Seashore, but the Park only 26 of the 67 total mi beach driving. More vehic regulations treat wildlife	I Seashore. U 2 nests in 200 nore, threater c Service plan les of the Sea cle-free areas protection as	Under the current 07, made 153 in 2 and and endanger a protects beach ashore for year-ro are needed for y optional, which	interim plan 2010. Piping ed wildlife co drivers more pund wildlife vildlife and p is unacceptab	, protected wild plovers and oth ould be impact than it does wi and pedestrian edestrians. As ole. Please revis	Y S's proposed regulation for managing ORV use flife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea her shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ed. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at ldlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently use with open to year-round or seasonal it is currently written, the proposed se this plan to include current buffers and in the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your	

consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10069 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."							
	I appreciate your help with this important issue.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10070 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov please choose wildlife over vehicles and place severe restrictions on off-road vehicle use in these - and all - key wildlife region thankyou.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10071Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10072 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that							

evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations."

Correspondence ID: Name:	10073 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,20 regulation It is well- for pedest protection buffers ar shorebird instance,	documented that trian visitors, all as work. For the round nesting bi s. Under that te under that temp	long with in e past severa irds and turt mporary pla porary plan,	creased wildlife m al years, the Park S les, their nests, chi an, protected specie	ortality and bervice has e icks, and hat es have been dangered sea	dwindling popu nforced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	alations. On the or c science-based a otections for mig vith some reprodu	and increased safety hazards other hand, wildlife measures like no-driving grating and wintering ucing in record numbers. For compared to only 82 nests in
	doing so, Act "to co enjoymen generation	and specifying onserve the scen at of the same ir ns." To remedy	only those nery (of the n such mann this flaw, th	areas set aside for seashore) and the er and by such me	ORV use, th natural and h cans as will h ust designate	e Park Service historic objects eave them unin	falls short in its and the wild life apaired for the en	s proposed regulation. By obligations under the Organic therein and to provide for the njoyment of future enforceable, science-based
	on only 2 is to be al ORV user	6 of the 67 tota lowed within th rs and wildlife.	l miles of se ne seashore,	ashore beach. Thi then a minimum o	s does not re	present a fair b	alance for other	on prohibits ORVs year-round users and wildlife. If ORV use available year round for non-
	Thank yo	u for considerin	ng these con	nments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Dear Sup on Cape I turtles tha ORV use the Seash only 26 o beach driv regulation	erintendent Mu Hatteras Nation at only created 8 across the Seas ore, but the Par f the 67 total m ving. More veh as treat wildlife licit protections	al Seashore 32 nests in 2 shore, threat k Service pl iles of the S icle-free are protection a	Under the current 007, made 153 in ened and endanger an protects beach eashore for year-ro as are needed for as optional, which	t interim plan 2010. Piping red wildlife of drivers more ound wildliff wildlife and is unaccepta	n, protected wil g plovers and of could be impace e than it does w e and pedestrian pedestrians. As ble. Please revi	dlife has seen hu ther shorebirds a ted. I strongly su ildlife. The prop n use with open i it is currently w ise this plan to in	ulation for managing ORV use age gains in the area. Sea re rebounding. If you expand pport regulation of ORVs at osed plan sets aside currently to year-round or seasonal ritten, the proposed iclude current buffers and eashore. Thank you for your
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10075 private Aug,31,20 regulatior Protect al		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation This is a c values and	disgusting state d priority's this	behavior re		tment of ani	mal rights is in	defensible! The J	urvival. What a terrible set of people in charge desperately
Correspondence ID: Name:	10077 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10078 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10079 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please consider designating an area of protection for the wildlife, especially in the nesting areas. Protecting these areas helps to increase the survival of the species. They need our help. Thanks.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10080 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There is no measure to protect wildlife from beach drivers!! This measure also only reserves about 1/3 of the beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round. Sea turtles and ground nesting sea birds must be protectedunder this plan the numbers of nests could easily decline precipitously! Off road vehicles should NOT be permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10081 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please stop the use of powered vehicles on our shorelines. They ruin critical habitats let alone squashing eggs and killing wildlife such as birds and turtles.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10082Project:10641Document:41993Private:YPrivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10083Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govYou let fuckers drive on the beach and run over birds of there? Fucking weird!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10084 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10085 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please consider the wildlife such as sea turtles and piping plovers when setting aside areas for offroad vehicles. These animals need protected land for nesting and it would be a shame to see the progress they've made in recent years destroyed. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10086 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am strongly opposed to the use of off-road vehicles at Cape Hattaras National Seashore. ORVs disrupt the natural peacefulness and are a serious threat to the environment and the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that must nest on the beaches for survival. There must be very specific, science-based, and easily enforcable protections for our wildlife and their nesting areas. Once our shorebirds and turtles are lost, they are gone forever. For what? The pleasure of a relative few who care only about themselves? Please protect our precious birds and turtles from self-serving and destructive people. We have to be the voice of the innocent.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10087Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.,31,2011Aug.,31,201100:00:00regulations.govPlease ban ATVs and all off road vehicles, any vehicles, from the shoreline!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10088 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov When will the nonchalant and selfish killing of innocent wildlife end? Is killing a newborn or a new mother piping plovers worth a carefree (sarcastic) ride on an OTV? You make me sick to be the
	same species you are. As a species we deserve to die for all the careless, selfish and with no compassion acts we commit without a single thought. We are the only species on the planet to plunder and rape it's own home, planet Earth.
	Every second of every day another species becomes closer to extinction because of our selfishness and greed. Polar Bears are one of my my favorites species, they are already threatened it should be endangered.
	Every endangered species has become so because of human beings. We are supposed guardians of nature and wildlife. Since when did guardians become the most dire threat to them all and our very planet.
	Human beings are a disgrace and I am sad to be a member of the species. The only way to save planet earth is to eradicate mankind.
	It will happen one day at our own doing when we have made our once garden of eden home uninhabitable.
Correspondence ID:	10089 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted off r of the Seashore.	oad vehicle	e use that threatens	sea turtles,	shorebirds and	other wildlife. Please restrict it for the good
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10090 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov PROTECT WILDLIFE A	10641 ND THEIF	Document: R ENVIRONMEN	41993 T NOW	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10091 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protect our Birds keep ve	10641 hicles off o	Document: ur beaches ,its thei	41993 r home! Sine	Private:	Y cie J Newman-Perskin
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	to be protected. this is also	o a funtion facing exti	of a park to set asi nction even before	de and prese	rve an area in a	Y shore. the wildlife that inhabits the dunes need a prisitine way for generations to come. to s. please limit off road recreational vechiles as
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	what would become of ou world. If we share this knowledg	r wildlife a e with the tion is key	nd the balance of n world and become and we and especi	nautre that is roll models ally people	so crucially in for all than I be who have the av	Y p preserving our wild life I would wonder apprant to the well being of our nation and our elieve more people would stop acting in an uthority and position should work on relating
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10094 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect our shorelin	10641 ne wildlife,	Document: especially in the O	41993 Cape Hattera	Private: s National Seas	Y shore. You can make a difference.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	activity. Please consider t	he followin	g facts: "In 2007,	protected sea	a turtles created	Y shouldn't be put at risk because of this just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after rith protections and found that they work. So
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10096 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Stop kiiling animals!	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10097 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please stop this madness.	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10098 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	I have never been to Cape Hatteras, but I hope to visit some day. While I enjoy riding ORVs myself, I recognize that there are places they are not appropriate. In this case, it seems that more protections could be given to the shorebirds. I know that the birds and turtles can't express their thoughts on this matter, so it is up to citizens to speak for them. I suspect that ORV users will be speaking loudly. I hope that you will consider more restrictions for ORV riders, despite thier protests.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10099 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW OFF-ROAD VEHICLES IN CAPE HATTERAS NATIONAL SEASHORE. IT IS FAR MORE IMPORTANT TO PROTECT THE BIRDS, TURTLES AND OTHER WILDLIFE THAN TO ALLOW "OFF-ROADERS" TO DESPOIL NATURAL AREAS. WILDLIFE CAN'T GO SOMEWHERE ELSETHEY CAN. PEOPLE WHO WANT TO TO DO THIS NEED TO BE EDUCATED ABOUT THE SEVERE IMPACT THEY HAVE ON WILDLIFE AND THEIR HABITAT. WHY NOT CREATE A PLAIN DIRT AREA WHERE RIDERS CAN BE ALLOWED DURING DESIGNATED TIMES OF THE DAY? THANK YOU.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10100 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10101 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep the natural wildlife safe!								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10102Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDo not allow vehicles on the beach.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10103 Proj private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov Dear Superintend	00:00	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very concern Seashore.	ned about the Nation	al Park Service's	proposed reg	ulation for ma	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National			
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	Going to the beach should not be about driving vehicles on the sand, it should be about walking and taking the time to appreciate your surroundings; to appreciate nature rather than destroy it.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for yo	ur consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10104 Proj private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I am very concern Seashore.	00:00	Document: al Park Service's	41993 proposed reg	Private:	Y naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National			
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Even if you do not wish to save these species, it is necessary. With just one species gone, entire ecosystems can fall apart. This reduced biodiversity not only hurts the parks, but it also leads to trouble for humans. We simply cannot survive in a world that has been destroyed. Clearly, the costs of not protecting the parks and their wildlife are much higher than the costs of allowing the destruction to continue.								
	Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10105 Proj private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov		Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10106 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do not allow off-road vehicles on beaches at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Many birds and other wildlife will be adversely affected. Visitors go to the beach to enjoy the beauty and quiet the ocean provides. For me, wildlife is a very important part of my interest in travel and I would not visit an ocean site where off-road vehicles are allowed.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10107 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Wildlife must be protected as the top priority. Off road vehicle driving is frivolous and kills wildlife. They lived on the beach for millions of years before people came along with noisy, deadly, polluting vehicles. The beach should be pristine. We need explicit plans to protect turtles, birds, and other beach inhabitants - NOT cars.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10108 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov National parks and protectable areas to be protected as a life of god and his creations on the globe finally. Image: State of the state of							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10109 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the east coast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in							
	 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. The Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation. Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife. 							

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10110 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 V								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10111Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,								
	I grew up in eastern North Carolina, and enjoyed countless vacations and day trips to the Outer Banks. My family liked to drive on the beach from time to time, and I have fond memories of those experiences. However, I still support stronger regulation of ORVs on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Overall protection of the coast and marine animals is more important than the interests of a small group of beach goers. ORVs have their place, even on national seashores, but they should be limited to small areas. Plus, habitat and wildlife issues aside, vehicle-gutted beaches are just plain ugly, and detrimental to other visitors' enjoyment of the coast.								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
	Anna Parker								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10112 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Our wildlife needs protection, please do not allow off-road vehicles and their operators the only freedom. Thank you.								
-									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10113 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I own a 4wd SUV and love to go off-roading but NOT where it endangers the environment and especially wildlife! I own a 4wd SUV and love to go off-roading but NOT where it endangers the environment and especially wildlife!								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10114 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov V V V No off road vehicles should be allowed to travel anywhere other than on designated roads in our National Parks and National Monuments. They are noisy destructive to the terrain and wildlife. V V								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10115 private Aug 31 201	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please allow me to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	It is well-documented that ORV use causes diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors to parks, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	doing so, ar Act "to cons enjoyment o	nd specifying serve the scen of the same in	only those a ery (of the such mann	reas set aside for seashore) and the	ORV use, the natural and h ans as will le	e Park Service istoric objects eave them unim	protections in its proposed regulation falls short in its obligations under the and the wild life therein and to provi- upaired for the enjoyment of future tes and specific,	e Organi	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10116 private Aug,31,201 regulations. Some of ou	gov	10641 e or are fror	Document: In this area and all	41993 of them with	Private:	Y are praying for protection of this area	ı, as are	
	we. We hav	e this area on	our list of J	places to visit to se	e relatives a	nd to gift our se	enses. Please protect this precious res	source.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	visited by n	gov ne last vestige nillions of An along beaches	nericans. It i	s also home to nes	sting shore b	irds and sea tur	Y c coast, Cape Hatteras is a national tu tles who are threatened by off-road v -road vehicles to allow these species	vehicle	
	Plummeting populations of protected wildlife species at Cape Hatteras are starting to recover thanks to increased management protections from the National Park Service (NPS). However, these protections are temporary while NPS prepares a final ORV management regulation. The regulation is nearly completed, but the current draft lacks the critical wildlife protections that have helped species like the piping plover and loggerhead sea turtle.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10118 private Aug,31,201 regulations. Please prote	gov	10641 als from off	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10119 private Aug,31,201 regulations. Save the sea	gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	with increase years, the P their nests, of species have	gov npairs park re sed wildlife m ark Service ha chicks, and ha e been rebour	ortality and as enforced atchlings, ar iding, with s	dwindling popula specific science-b ad protections for some reproducing	tions. On the ased measure migrating and in record num	e other hand, w es like no-drivi d wintering sho mbers. For inst	Y ed safety hazards for pedestrian visit ildlife protections work. For the past ng buffers around nesting birds and t rebirds. Under that temporary plan, 1 ance, under that temporary plan, thre 07, the last season prior to the impler	several turtles, protected atened	

My personal preference would to be to not allow all terraine and off road vehicles in any national park, monument or forest.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10121 private Aug,31,20	Project: 011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I would like to ask the NPS to add specific protections for wildlife and pedestrian visitors into the ORV regulation to ensure wildlife recovery continues and that the safety of all visitors is protected.National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.								
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	doing so,	and specifying	only those a		ORV use, th	e Park Service	falls short in its	ts proposed regulation. By obligations under the Organic e therein.	
	Sincerely,	, Kristin Woma	ıck						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10122 private Aug,31,20 regulation Please tak		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I oppose u in winning open to be	unrestricted off g important pro	otections for ell the Park	Hatteras' wildlife Service instead the	, and we can	't lose traction	now. ORV adv	We have made great progress ocates want the entire seashore based protections for wildlife	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I am OPP survival.	POSED to off ro Wildlife must b	e specifical	ly protected in the	Park Service	s plan, and 26	miles is NOT	o birds and turtles for their enough. Pedestrians are and water by throwing trash	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 ns.gov op the access on	10641 n wildlife pr	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please work harder to save ecosystems and wildlife. If you don't have strict, specific and enforceable rules then the Wild Life will severely damage or destroy wildlife. Thanks.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10127 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with the rest open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10128Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011Aug,31,2011negulations.govPlease protect our seashores from all vehicles.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10129Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govWe need to keep our hands off the ocean and beaches unless we're willing to respect the habitats of those live there.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10130Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI used to visit Ocracoke Is. as a child and the best parts are the unspoilt and undeveloped beaches.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.
	Additionally, the regulation doesn't set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 miles of beach. This is not a fair balance for other users or wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10131 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras

National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10132 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Υ			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10133 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportun National Seashore.	10641	Document:	41993 ervice's prop	Private: osed regulation	Y n for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras			
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act.								
	To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.								
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	road vehicle use. Ideally t	here would ife and its u	be no vehicles all	owed in this	area, but at a n	Y his wildlife natural habitat for unrestricted off- ninimum there must be provisions for to be the agency who had the "watch" when			
	Current restrictions on off the time to reverse those g		le use in this area	have been v	ery effective in	restoring wildlife and habitat. Now is NOT			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10135 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Correspondence:	Re: Cape Hatteras National Seashore (NPS-2011-0005-0001) I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. I definitely OPPOSE use of these beaches by all-terrain-pleasure-riding vehicles. Thank you for your attention.
	Laura Sternberg
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10136 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do not open the Cape to beach driving. Instead, support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10137 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov please
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10138 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use in Cape Hatteras that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlifeand so shou you and your department.Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to surviv But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks will be disturbed. Prevent vehicle deaths to wildlife in Cape Hatteras. Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting habitats in Cape Hatteras. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10139Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govPlease pass protection for Hatteras and other NC shore wildlife and nesting area to protect from CRV's
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 10140 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organia Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future gener
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10141 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	0	10641 y have TOO	Document: MUCH access to	41993 our beaches	Private: and other "Pro	Y tected" areas	. Please curtail these destructive
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	e as well as pe	10641 ople it is im	Document: portant to have qu	41993 iet, noiseless	Private:	Y space to be.	orvs should be banned from all
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Cape Hatte wildlife div	eras National S versity and 67	miles of she		pacts of unre	estricted off-roa	ad vehicle us	taking in the natural beauty, the e has taken its toll on the
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations I ride ATV	's and there an entities on this						e we think we're the most n to share the world with all it's
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations It is vital to drive their think only Stronger ru	o the continue SUVs in hunc of their own f	lreds of othe un should no must be put	er places wshere th	ey do not en estroy some o	danger innocei of relatively fe	nt and helple w species lef	ound Cape Hatteras. Humans can ss wildlife. Selfish people who t on our plundered earth.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10147 private Aug,31,20 regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov	10641	Document:	41993 off-road veh	Private:	Y ape Hatteras	National Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations		10641 portive regu	Document:	41993 g off-road bea	Private: ach driving, to	Y protect the w	vildlife there. Thank you

Sincerely

	PierceD							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10149 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Living in a community that depends on its beaches for a large part of its economy gives a special insight into proper beach management.							
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.							
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10150 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.							
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10151 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By

doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10152 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,201 regulations.	gov							
Correspondence:	Please prote								
Correspondence ID: Name:	10153 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,201								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please protect shoreline wildlife by putting restrictions on offroad vehicles. Offroading is a luxury; our earth's								
Correspondence ID: Name:	10154 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,201	1 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.								
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.								
	ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. In place of that plan, I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.								
	I was at the shore this summer and the small number of plovers was striking. Please, help preserve the wild areas for our kids and their kids.								
	Many Than	ks.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10155 private Aug,31,201		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
Correspondence:	I am very co	oncerned abo		lai Faik Seivices	proposed reg	ulation for ma	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National		
Correspondence:	I am very co Seashore. Under the c made 153 ir	urrent interin	ı plan, prote g plovers an	cted wildlife has s d other shorebirds	een huge gai	ns in the area.	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened		
Correspondence:	I am very co Seashore. Under the c made 153 ir and endange I strongly su wildlife. Th	urrent interin n 2010. Pipin ered wildlife upport regula te proposed pi use with open	n plan, prote g plovers an could be im tion of ORV lan sets asid	cted wildlife has s d other shorebirds pacted. s at the Seashore, e currently only 20	een huge gai are rebound but the Park 5 of the 67 to	ns in the area. ing. If you exp Service plan p tal miles of the	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,		
Correspondence:	I am very cc Seashore. Under the c made 153 ir and endange I strongly su wildlife. Th pedestrian u pedestrians. As it is curr	urrent interin n 2010. Pipin; ered wildlife upport regula te proposed p use with open rently written, ude current bu	n plan, prote g plovers an could be im tion of ORV lan sets asid to year-roun , the propose	cted wildlife has s d other shorebirds pacted. s at the Seashore, e currently only 26 nd or seasonal bea ed regulations treat	een huge gai are rebound but the Park 5 of the 67 to ch driving. N t wildlife pro	ns in the area. ing. If you exp Service plan p tal miles of the fore vehicle-fr tection as optic	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and		
Correspondence:	I am very cc Seashore. Under the c made 153 ir and endange I strongly st wildlife. Th pedestrian u pedestrians. As it is curr plan to inch Hatteras Sea	urrent interin n 2010. Pipin; ered wildlife upport regula te proposed p use with open rently written, ude current bu	n plan, prote g plovers an could be im tion of ORV lan sets asid to year-roun , the propose uffers and of	cted wildlife has s d other shorebirds pacted. s at the Seashore, e currently only 26 nd or seasonal bea ed regulations treat	een huge gai are rebound but the Park 5 of the 67 to ch driving. N t wildlife pro	ns in the area. ing. If you exp Service plan p tal miles of the fore vehicle-fr tection as optic	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this		

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	State, such treasured areas	s as Cape H es, but a sea	atteras National S shore area with de	eashore is no elicate wildli	place for offre fe and plants de	t state, and now being a resident of a Gulf oad vehicles. There are abundant areas in eserve to be preserved for all to enjoy. Please		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10157 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Mechanized recreation sh living things, it's time to g		Document: allowed where it	41993 destroys wild	Private:	Y at. If one person's "fun" requires killing other		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10158Project:privateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govPlease do not let any vehi	10641 cles be on t	Document: he beach. Save ou	41993 ır wildlife.	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	and dangerous to others, h	numan and i	flora and fauna. If	you can't wa	lk or ski to it,	Y k, forest or reserve. They are dirty, polluting you don't get to go. I feel the same about snow al to nature. Thank you. Robin		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10160 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mur	-	Document:	41993	Private:	Y naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National		
	 Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and 							
	pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consid	deration. La	uren Nunez					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10161 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov save !!!!!	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10162 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Good day	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	Please would you relook a we refer to it in SA, 4 X 4	· ·	ection laws. My h	usband & my	yself do enjoy t	the outdoors and we often go "off road" or as		

Our country has a total ban on beach driving!

This does not hinder us in any way and in fact, we do applaud this law.

I think you should impliment this to protect your wildlife and not just a small portion of your beautiful beaches. We do not have a problem with this law as there are many other places to drive without affecting more animals trying to breed.

Thank you for taking the time to read this message. Regards.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10163 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Stop destroying the en dearly if we are not al	vironment of		41993 ch will ultima	Private:	Y ne ecological system	n for which we shall all pay		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10164 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent M		Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very concerned a Seashore.	bout the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	naging ORV use o	on Cape Hatteras National		
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your co	nsideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10165 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent M		Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very concerned a Seashore.	bout the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	naging ORV use o	on Cape Hatteras National		
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently writte plan to include current Hatteras Seashore.						cceptable. Please revise this turtles that rely on the		
	Thank you for your co	nsideration.							

Danica Reno

~									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10166 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	even in GERMANY we are very concerned concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Concerning nature, there are no borders!								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration. Monika Thaller								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10167 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.								
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10168 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife in and pedestrian use this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10169 Pr private Aug,31,2011 0	oject:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10170 P 1 private Aug,31,2011 0	oject: 0:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Stop off roaded.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 0		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov As a constituent concerned about the health of our nation's natural treasures, I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	As you know, the seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, an endangered wildlife, but represent the best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-known that ORV use detrimentally impacts park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. Fortunately wildlife							
	protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers.							
	Yet the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. I urge the Park Service to remedy this flaw by designating both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.							
	Thank you for considering my feedback and for your efforts to preserve America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10172 Pr private Aug,31,2011 0 regulations.gov Dear Superinte	/	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I am terribly concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the present interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	proposed plan	sets aside c	urrently on	y 26 of the 67 tot	al miles of th	e Seashore for	ildlife more than it protects beach drive year-round wildlife and pedestrian use for wildlife and pedestrians.	
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the							

Hatteras Seashore.

Respectfully,

Susanne Madden

Name: Received:	10173 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov It makes no sense to open the entire seashore, or in fact even a small part, to beach driving.
	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
	"Rarely do we find men who willingly engage in hard, solid thinking. There is an almost universal quest for easy answers and half-baked solutions." Martin Luther King Jr.
	"Teaching a child not to step on a caterpillar is as valuable to the child as it is to the caterpillar." Bradley Miller.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10174 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Are we just totally about self in this country? People are killing species' habitat for motor sports, for Christ's sake. It's behavior like this, and perhaps even worse the acceptance of it, that makes me want to leave this country. I am disgusted, really disgusted, right now. Claire Brown, Smyrna, TN
Correspondence ID:	10175 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10176 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, While it is probably fun to drive on the beach, it is certainly not necessary. I am bothered when a vehicle drives by any beach I am on. It seems like the beach is a place one should be able to go to get away from traffic and not worry about their kids, grandkids, pets, etc. injured by a vehicle of some kind. Oobviously treating the beach like it is a highway is dangerous for

generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, sciencebased protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you in advance for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely, Lynne Davis

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10177 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10178 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10179 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Our nation wild life is under attack from all of man kind and it times we made bounderies. Our beaches and and sand doons are already suffering. If avote came today i would and hope all you would vote to keep all vechicles off our beaches world wide. as humans we have taken every bit of land we can get . We have pushed the animals into our neighborhoods and city streets. There are so many animals that have to migrate a certin way every year or go to a special place to mate or lay there eggs to help continue their species. We have no right to push them out. Actully kill them for our vacation or recreational use. This is 2011 and the world is not getting better. YOU hold the answers in your hand. Will you step up and tell the children of he world that you had a hand in the death of so many innocent lifes??? PLease do all that you can to help, and save these and all animals.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10180 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It's about time vehicles -of all types- learned and understood their boundaries on this planet! It's planet
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10181 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am opposed to off road vehicles being permitted in the Cape Hatteras National Seashores. They are nothing more than habitat destroying wildlife killling machines in all of National Parks. They should be restricted to emergency situations only and then only under the strictess supervision. The vast majority of National Park users do NOT want them in the parks. We go to the parks to see and hear Nature not people being idiots on sooped up toys.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10182Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govBan vehicles from beaches
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10183 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National
	 Frain very concented about the Franchist Fait Service's proposed regulation for managing OKV use on Cape Franchist's readonal Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10184 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

Sadly, the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of

beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

Please, protect all precious wildlife and please don't allow vehicle accessible roads in this location.

Thank you.

	Thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10185 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I'm asking if you can support only specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. This area is inhabited by many animals that need to be protected. One of these being sea turtles whose populations have slightly increased due to the temporary protections that National Parks have enforced. It is our responsibility to maintain and protect wildlife populations whose numbers have decreased to alarming low numbers. Please consider that recreational vehicles are not necessary and can be used in specific areas that will not endanger wildlife and also the natural terrain of the area that includes plant life.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10186 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Human beings have access to plenty of non-wild areas in which to engage in noisy, gasoline-wasting recreational activities. There is no need at all for them to be allowed to use sensitive wildlife areas for such activities. Sea turtles, shore birds, and other wildlife are already battling for survival because of limited habitat and pollution, as well as loss or destruction of their sensitive nesting areas. They should not have human recreational activities added to the things which							
	are already endangering their very survival. Please vote to strictly regulate such areas to keep off-road vehicles far away from them. I support specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife. I also strongly support specific vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you for considering my statement.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10187 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please save wildlife from off roads							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10188 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10189 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10190 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10191Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the							

stands currently.Protect the wildlife Doctor Susan Lesmond
--

Correspondence III	10102 D : /	10641 1		41002	B • 4	V			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10192 Project: private	10641 D	ocument:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov	CC 1 1.	1 (0010)		. 11 . 4 . 4				
Correspondence:	turtles that nest on the Cape				toll on the three	eatened and endangered shorebirds and sea			
	······································								
	I am speaking our for wildlife in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.								
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Yet the proposed regulation	n does little to	protect wildlife	e nesting area	as.				
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.								
	In recent years, a temporary	y plan that lim	its ORV use ne	ar protected	wildlife nestin	g areas has been working to protect wildlife.			
		In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.							
	All it takes is one wrong sto	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.							
	The progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife must continue and the entire seashore SHOULD NOT be open to beach driving. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.								
	Thank you for taking the ne	ecessary steps	to further prote	ect wildlife.					
Correspondence ID:	10193 Project: private	10641 D	ocument:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov								
Correspondence:						e critically endangerd. It is not good for the should NOT be one of them!			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10194 Project: private	10641 D	ocument:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov please protect the wildlife a	und also allow	for some oby u	160					
correspondence.	picase protect the windhite a	and also allow	ior some onve	130.					
Correspondence ID:	10195 Project:	10641 D	ocument:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private								
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private	ding, they sho	ould use only th	eir feet.					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	ding, they sho	ould use only th	eir feet.					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project:	0. 7	ould use only th	eir feet. 41993	Private:	Ŷ			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project: private	0. 7			Private:	Y			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	0. 7			Private:	Y			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I used two times and injoye	10641 D	Ocument: nd fishing ridir	41993 ag my ATV I	did not see the	Y e needed proticton that is in this u are wasting our tax Money thanks Robert			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I used two times and injoye Report.thanks for looking a Simmons	10641 D ed the cabins a t the other sid	Ocument: nd fishing ridir	41993 ag my ATV I	did not see the	e needed proticton that is in this			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I used two times and injoye Report.thanks for looking a Simmons 10197 Project: private	10641 D the cabins a t the other sid	ocument: nd fishing ridir e. A park needs	41993 ag my ATV I s people If w	did not see the cant use it yo	e needed proticton that is in this u are wasting our tax Money thanks Robert			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If people wish to go off-roa 10196 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I used two times and injoye Report.thanks for looking a Simmons 10197 Project:	10641 D the cabins a t the other sid	ocument: nd fishing ridir e. A park needs	41993 ag my ATV I s people If w	did not see the cant use it yo	e needed proticton that is in this u are wasting our tax Money thanks Robert			

Correspondence:	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10198 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Superintendent Murray,						
	I am concerned that the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing off-road vehicle use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore does not adequately protect wildlife in the area.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be negatively impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan currently sets aside only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use while allowing year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is not acceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10199 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Animals have the short end of the stick lately. This is a regulation that will have little or no fiscal impact, yet can actually save						

animal lives, which is a benefit to us all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10200 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10201 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off-road vehicles endanger nesting birds. Please restrict their entry into this unique environment so future generations can enjoy these and other wildlife harmed by off-roaders.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10202 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.								
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10203 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov please support and , enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation off road ve	chicles must no Idlife and their					Y sting on these beaches. Mankind just has to ast for the sake of a futile thing like
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Our seash	ore wildlife is t					Y eir habitats. Just like these same vehicles are es that need our protection.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 ng or limiting	Document: g the use of vehicl	41993 es on the area	Private:	Y vildlife could be affected
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Off roadin areas is no	g needs to be r t only detrimer	ntal to wildli		a for future e	njoyment and j	Y wing off road vehicles on sensitive shoreline prevents use by those without motorized nce.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	U	10641 h driving. It	Document:	41993 esary. Please	Private:	Y les & shore birds.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10209 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Someone has to care for the animals that are living in their natural habitat, especially when we allow vehicles into those areas where these animals can easily become victims. If an offroad vehicle does damage to another offroad vehicle, con't they have to take responsibility? If an offroad vehicle harms a person while driving offroad, aren't they held responsible? The same should be held true for offroad vehicles that harm or kill animals. Just because they're smaller than us doesn't make them less important than us.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov rintendent Mur	10641 тау,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
	Seashore. Under the made 153 and endan I strongly than it doe and pedest pedestrian As it is cu UNACCE	I think that the current interim in 2010. Piping gered wildlife support bannin s wildlife. The trian use with o s. rrently written, PTABLE!!! Plo	y should be i plan, protect plovers and WILL BE IN g the use of proposed pl pen to year- the propose ease revise th	BANNED. sted wildlife has s d other shorebirds MPACTED. ORVs at the Seas an sets aside currer round or seasonal d regulations treat	een huge gair are reboundi hore, but righ ently only 26 beach drivin wildlife prot	ns in the area. S ng. If you expand the now, the Par of the 67 total g. More vehicl exection as optice ers and other e	hanaging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened k Service plan protects beach drivers more miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife e-free areas are needed for wildlife and mal, which is ABSOLUTELY xplicit protections as well as BANNING hore.
	Thank you	for your consi	deration.				

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov rintendent Mu	10641 rray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
	I am very o Seashore.	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.										
	wildlife. T	he proposed p use with open	lan sets asid	le currently only 2	6 of the 67 to	otal miles of the		ers more than it does r-round wildlife and d for wildlife and			
		lude current b						cceptable. Please revise this turtles that rely on the			
	Thank you	for your cons	ideration.								
	Mary Weg	elin RN									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	0	10641 not be allow	Document: wed on any beach!	41993 Please save	Private:	Y ers!				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	IO213 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov V V Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.										
				ce-based protection fe that inhabits it!	ns for wildli	fe and addition	al vehicle-free are	as for nesting. Please protec			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	-	10641 aral seashore	Document:	41993 I feel this are	Private: a should be co	Y mpletely free from	n ORVs.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Re the Pari that ORV to along with several yea turtles, the protected s threatened implement	k Service's pro- use impairs pa- increased wil- urs, the Park S ir nests, chick- pecies have b- and endanger ation of the pl	rk resources dlife mortal ervice has e s, and hatch een rebound ed sea turtle an.	s. Examples includ- ity and dwindling nforced specific so lings, and protection ing, with some rep s laid 153 nests in	e diminished populations. cience-based ons for migra roducing in 2010, compa	access and inc On the other h measures like ting and winte record number ared to only 82	creased safety haze and, wildlife prote no-driving buffers ring shorebirds. U s. For instance, un nests in 2007, the	re, it is well-documented ards for pedestrian visitors, ctions work. For the past around nesting birds and nder that temporary plan, der that temporary plan, last season prior to the			
	set aside for natural and	or ORV use, th l historic objec	ne Park Serv ets and the v	rice falls short in it vild life therein an	s obligations d to provide	under the Org for the enjoym	anic Act "to conse ent of the same."	d specifying only those area orve the scenery and the Fo remedy this flaw, the or wildlife and for			

pedestrians in the regulation.

The regulation also does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Correspondence ID:	10216 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. I oppose unrestricted off road veh use that threaten the area's wildlife.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10217 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10218 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors and increased wildlife mortality.For the past several years the Park Service has enforced specific science based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, checks and hatchlings.Protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in records numbers. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. I would ask that these protections be added to the plan. I also ask that more miles be set aside for vehicle free areas. Thank you for considering these comments and please continue to preserve america's natural and cultural heritage fo all our future generations.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10219 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and							

pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Bonnell

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras Natio turtles that only created ORV use across the Se the Seashore, but the P only 26 of the 67 total beach driving. More ve regulations treat wildli	onal Seashore 1 82 nests in 2 ashore, threat ark Service p miles of the S chicle-free are fe protection	. Under the current 2007, made 153 in ened and endanger lan protects beach deashore for year-ro- as are needed for y as optional, which	interim plar 2010. Piping red wildlife c drivers more pund wildlife wildlife and j is unaccepta	n, protected will plovers and or could be impace than it does we and pedestria pedestrians. As ble. Please rev	Y e's proposed regulation for managing ORV Idlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea ther shorebirds are rebounding. If you expa ted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs ildlife. The proposed plan sets aside curren n use with open to year-round or seasonal is it is currently written, the proposed ise this plan to include current buffers and on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for you
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10221 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enfo guidelines do not fully	protect these	endangered anima	ls. I oppose a	allowing vehic	Y aal vehicle-free areas for nesting. The propo- les to drive through areas that threatened fragile nature and take our vehicles elsewhe
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		ny and cliche' nank you.				Y h of seacoast. ORVs need to respect nature ve on the most beautiful planet in the galax
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		ESE FRAGI	LE ECOSYSTEM	S IS JUST T	OO HIGH A P	Y RCES, WE NEED TO PROTECT THEM. PRICE TO PAY FOR THE TEMPORARY ON LOS OSOS CA
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10224 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatters National and throw away plastic		•	41993 o does all oth	Private:	Y with over population of humans. polutio
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10225 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enfo nesting.	10641 rceable, scier	Document:	41993 ns for wildli	Private:	Y and vehicle-free areas for bird and turtle

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,

made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand off-road vehicle use across the Seashore, sea turtles and other threatened and endangered wildlife could be negatively impacted.

The proposed Park Service plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use, with the rest open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National Seashore. T beach on the eastern threatened, and enda evoke the experience ORV use impairs pa with increased wildl years, the Park Servi their nests, chicks, a species have been re and endangered sea of the plan. Howeve regulation. By doing	ortunity to comr The seven nation seacoast of the ingered wildlife, es of our predect rk resources. Ex ife mortality and ice has enforced nd hatchlings, ar bounding, with turtles laid 153 r r, the Park Servi s os, and specifyi ct "to conserve t e enjoyment of	al seashores on the United States. The but represent the essors who encoun amples include dii d dwindling popula specific science-b nd protections for some reproducing nests in 2010, com ce has omitted the ing only those aree the scenery (of the	e Atlantic Oc se nationally last best plac tered the vas minished acc titons. On the ased measure migrating and in record nur pared to only se buffers an is set aside for seashore) an	cean represent to vignificant plates where American where American where American where American where and increase estand increase other hand, we set like no-drivid wintering show the slike no-drivid wintering show the slike no-drivid do ther success or ORV use, the dot hen atural a	the last vestiges of d aces not only protec ricans can visit wild of North America. It sed safety hazards f vildlife protections v ing buffers around n orebirds. Under that tance, under that ter 007, the last season sful wildlife protect he Park Service falls and historic objects a	I beach environments that is well-documented that or pedestrian visitors, along work. For the past several nesting birds and turtles, t temporary plan, protected nporary plan, threatened prior to the implementation ions in its proposed short in its obligations and the wild life therein
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10227 Project private Aug,31,2011 00:00: regulations.gov Threatened species I are permitted to use	00 ike piping plove					e. But if off road vehicles
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10228 Project private Aug,31,2011 00:00: regulations.gov Threatened species I are permitted to use I support specific, er Thanks	00 ike piping plove huge portions of	f the Seashore, the	ir nests and c	hicks could be	e disturbed.	e. But if off road vehicles is for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10229 Project private Aug,31,2011 00:00: regulations.gov Dear Superintendent	00	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I am very concerned Seashore.	about the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	anaging ORV use or	n Cape Hatteras National
		piping plovers an	d other shorebirds				y created 82 nests in 2007, s the Seashore, threatened
	I strongly support re wildlife. The propos pedestrian use with o	ed plan sets asid	e currently only 2	6 of the 67 to	otal miles of the	e Seashore for year-	round wildlife and

pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10230 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Prevent Vehicle Death	10641 in Cape Hatte	Document: eras	41993	Private:	Y	
	Threatened species like are permitted to use hu		•	÷ .			ive. But if off road vehicles
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10231 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent M	10641 Turray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I am very concerned ab Seashore.	out the Natio	onal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	naging ORV use	on Cape Hatteras National
		ng plovers ar	nd other shorebirds				aly created 82 nests in 2007, oss the Seashore, threatened
	I strongly support regui wildlife. The proposed pedestrian use with ope pedestrians.	plan sets asic	le currently only 2	6 of the 67 to	otal miles of the	e Seashore for yea	r-round wildlife and
	As it is currently writte plan to include current Hatteras Seashore.		-	-	· ·		acceptable. Please revise this turtles that rely on the
	Thank you for your cor	sideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10232 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned ab Seashore.	10641 out the Natio	Document:	41993 proposed reg	Private: gulation for ma	Y naging ORV use	on Cape Hatteras National
		ng plovers ar	nd other shorebirds				aly created 82 nests in 2007, oss the Seashore, threatened
	I strongly support regui wildlife. The proposed pedestrian use with ope pedestrians.	plan sets asic	le currently only 2	6 of the 67 to	otal miles of the	e Seashore for yea	r-round wildlife and
	As it is currently writte plan to include current Hatteras Seashore.						acceptable. Please revise this turtles that rely on the
	Salud.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10233 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Free acess for all, perserve not prohibit.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10234 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The protection of endangered species is not included in this regulation. Personally, I think off-road vehicles should be kpt out of these areas altogether. Protect the turtles and birds and other wildlife in these areas!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10235 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10236 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov All terrain vehicles tearing around these creatures that require calm, peaceful environments to successfully mate & procreate sounds like a set up to have more animals endangered. And that's not even taking into account animals outright killed by being run over. Dirt Bikes & ATVs need a separate, less sensitive area, back near the city where they can race around & not kill, pollute, or otherwise disturb the native animals. Please seriously consider keeping these loud, fast vehicles out of sensitive areas like our National Pars, to help keep them pristine & enjoyable for all species.
Correspondence ID: Name:	10237 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10238 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	Please protect these birds. They deserve to live and are God's creatures.
Correspondence ID: Name:	10239 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.
	We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving.
Correspondence ID: Name:	10240 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10241 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	Prevent Vehicle Death in Cape Hatteras I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Please oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and

pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

	10242 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10243 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As an avid beach and bird watcher, I implore you to keep the beaches protected from vehicles. It would be horrible to not protect the beauty here. We visit family about an hour away from you and just love the beaches there. We visit every other year Keep cars out!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10244 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
a	
Correspondence:	After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
Correspondence:	After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt
Correspondence:	After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
Correspondence:	After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for off road vehicles (ORVs) and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting
Correspondence:	After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for off road vehicles (ORVs) and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.
Correspondence:	 After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for off road vehicles (ORVs) and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number
Correspondence:	After years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of wildlife and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for off road vehicles (ORVs) and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov To my way of thinking, ORVs do not belong in national parks, but if you allow then to operate in parks, then you should protect the park and the wildlife by inserting the buffer zones around nesting areas. Do you even stop to consider the noise pollution or the environmental damage of ORVs? Parks should be natural places, places or peace and quiet. Please reinsert the buffer zones in your plans. Follow the mission of national parks: preserve and protect.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10246 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protect wildlife
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10247 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Stop off road vehicles on Cape Hatteras Seashore and other animal habitats, thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10248 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Get off their land
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10249 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect the sea shores for nesting animals and limit the use of 4 wheel drive vehicles to small areas.
	Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10250 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10251 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National
	Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
	Dorothea Stephan

		_			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10252 Project: 10641 private	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Re: OFF ROAD VEHICLES - May I s	suggest to get "th	e off road ve	hicle" off our	peaches (waterfronts), and national parks!!
•	Whenever I go back to the U.S., (I am	a U.S. citizen, b	ut currently l	iving in Europ	e) I' am totally disgusted with these brainless
	people who are maniacs on those thing behavior of a few, to spoil the enjoyme		0 1	A .	or nature! Why do we permit such idiotic ountry?
	I suggest "designated trails", (and hear	vy fines) where th	ney can kill t	hemselves and	leave the rest of us alone !!
	Thank you				
Correspondence ID:	10253 Project: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov				
Correspondence:	Please limit off-road vehicles in our se	eashore areas.			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10254 Project: 10641 private	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov	the chore line or	d the fregile	acology not a	rush it under vahiola tires and pollute it with
Correspondence:	exhaust!	i the shore line a	iu ille fragrie	ecology, not c	rush it under vehicle tires and pollute it with
Correspondence ID:	10255 Project: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov				
Correspondence:					on for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras he last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped
	beach on the eastern seacoast of the U				
	threatened, and endangered wildlife, b evoke the experiences of our predeces				icans can visit wild beach environments that
	evoke the experiences of our predeces	sors who cheoun	tered the vas	t white coasts o	Norui America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use ir	npairs park resou	rces. Examp	les include din	inished access and increased safety hazards
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr	eased wildlife m	ortality and c	lwindling popu	
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several	eased wildlife me years, the Park S	ortality and c ervice has er	lwindling popu forced specifie	lations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan	eased wildlife m years, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc es have been	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie areatened and end	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc es have been langered sea	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and ence ementation of the	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc es have been langered sea plan.	lwindling popu aforced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving stections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those ar	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and encementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for 0	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato s have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife e Park Service	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omittee doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se	eased wildlife m years, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and ence ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (eashore) and the f	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato s have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h	lwindling popu forced specific thlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving stections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those ar	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (ashore) and the r r and by such me	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea plan. do other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pre rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects wave them unim	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future
	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (ashore) and the r r and by such me	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea plan. do other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pre rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects wave them unim	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future
Name:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private	eased wildlife m years, the Park S s, their nests, chi reatened and ence ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (eashore) and the r r and by such me Park Service mu	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le ist designate	lwindling popu forced specific hlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects ave them unim both ORV rou	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes a
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie areatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for eashore) and the f r and by such me Park Service mu Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato s have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le tst designate 41993	Iwindling popu iforced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim both ORV rou Private:	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the ppaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi reatened and ence ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for 0 eashore) and the r r and by such me Park Service mu Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hat es have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le ist designate 41993	Iwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects ave them unin both ORV rou Private: tteras National	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers are permitted to use huge portions of t	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi reatened and ence ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for 0 eashore) and the r r and by such me Park Service mu Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hat es have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le ist designate 41993	Iwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects ave them unin both ORV rou Private: tteras National	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers are permitted to use huge portions of t	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie areatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (eashore) and the f r and by such me Park Service mu Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc s have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le ist designate 41993 ong Cape Ha r nests and c	Iwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unin both ORV rou Private: tteras National hicks could be	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the epaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles disturbed.
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Threatened species like piping plovers are permitted to use huge portions of t	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie areatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (eashore) and the f r and by such me Park Service mu Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc s have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le ist designate 41993 ong Cape Ha r nests and c	Iwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unin both ORV rou Private: tteras National hicks could be	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the epaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles disturbed.
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers are permitted to use huge portions of t	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (ashore) and the r r and by such me Park Service mu Document: s rely on lands alo he Seashore, thei Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea plan. dd other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le tst designate 41993 ong Cape Ha r nests and c 41993	Iwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pre rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects eave them unim both ORV rou Private: tteras National hicks could be Private:	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles disturbed. Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers are permitted to use huge portions of the 10257 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If we do not become more mindful of 10258 Project: 10641	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie reatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (ashore) and the r r and by such me Park Service mu Document: s rely on lands alo he Seashore, thei Document:	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea plan. dd other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le tst designate 41993 ong Cape Ha r nests and c 41993	Iwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pre rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects eave them unim both ORV rou Private: tteras National hicks could be Private:	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles disturbed. Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	for pedestrian visitors, along with incr protections work. For the past several buffers around nesting birds and turtle shorebirds. Under that temporary plan instance, under that temporary plan, th 2007, the last season prior to the imple However, the Park Service has omitted doing so, and specifying only those an Act "to conserve the scenery (of the se enjoyment of the same in such manner generations." To remedy this flaw, the 10256 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Threatened species like piping plovers are permitted to use huge portions of t 10257 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If we do not become more mindful of	eased wildlife myears, the Park S s, their nests, chi reatened and end ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for (eashore) and the r r and by such me Park Service mu Document: s rely on lands ald he Seashore, thei Document: our actions, then	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea plan. dd other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le tst designate 41993 ong Cape Ha r nests and c 41993 we cannot b	Iwindling popu forced specific hlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 15: essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects eave them unin both ORV rou Private: tteras National hicks could be Private: lame anyone e	lations. On the other hand, wildlife e science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 8 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes a Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles disturbed. Y ese for the consequences.

Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10259 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Human destruction in the case of bringing motorized vehicles onto the beaches has to be stopped. In addition to the deaths of our co-inhabitants, the animals, the fumes and gasolene spillage added to permanent hard of a fragile environment.
	Also, these ugly fume-spewing, eardrum-blasting machines destroy the peace of the beachesand harm the souls of humanity and the soul of the world.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10260Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govblessed are the merciful for they shall receive mercy~
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10261 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10262 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am writing to express my concern about the way unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use on Cape Hatteras has caused significant harm to the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. I am requesting that the National Park Service adopt much more stringent regulations for beach driving on the whole Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The currently proposed regulation does far too little to protect wildlife nesting areas since it only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. In addition, the currently proposed regulation will only reserve 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. The value of more stringent regulations has already been proven. The temporary plan that limited ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been effective for protecting wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the

Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

Please revise the current proposal in order to support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Thank you for your consideration.

Dr. Lark Lands

Correspondence ID: Name:	10263 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	-	he parks, ar	nd not have ATV's	and other ve	chicles destroyi	ng the area!!!
Correspondence ID: Name:	10264 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations It is well-d for pedestr protections buffers arc shorebirds instance, u	locumented that rian visitors, all s work. For the bund nesting bi . Under that te under that temp	ong with in e past severa irds and turt mporary pla porary plan,	creased wildlife m I years, the Park S les, their nests, chi In, protected speci-	ortality and ortality and ortality and of ervice has end icks, and hate es have been langered sea	dwindling popu nforced specifi chlings, and pro- rebounding, w	ninished access and increased safety haz ulations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving stections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nes
	doing so, a Act "to con enjoyment generation	and specifying nserve the scen of the same ir s." To remedy	only those a nery (of the n such mann this flaw, th	areas set aside for seashore) and the er and by such me ne Park Service Kl	ORV use, the natural and h ans as will le EEP ORVs C	e Park Service historic objects eave them unin DFF THE BEA	protections in its proposed regulation. B falls short in its obligations under the Or and the wild life therein and to provide f spaired for the enjoyment of future CHES AND OUT OF THE SEASHORE ans in the regulation.
	on only 26 is to be all	of the 67 tota	l miles of se	ashore beach. Thi	s does not re	present a fair b	ten, the regulation prohibits ORVs year alance for other users and wildlife. If OI miles, should be available year round for
	Thank you	for considerin	ng these con	nments			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations These nations best places	onally signific	cans can vis	it wild beach envi			Y nd endangered wildlife, but represent the periences of our predecessors who encou
	It is well-d	locumented the	at ORV use	impairs park resou	irces.		
	doing so, a Act "to con enjoyment generation	and specifying nserve the scen of the same ir s." To remedy	only those a nery (of the n such mann this flaw, th	areas set aside for seashore) and the er and by such me	ORV use, the natural and h ans as will le ust designate	e Park Service istoric objects eave them unin	protections in its proposed regulation. B falls short in its obligations under the Or and the wild life therein and to provide f apaired for the enjoyment of future tes and specific, enforceable, science-ba
		lly, the regulat	ion does not	set aside adequate	e vehicle-free	e areas. As wri	tten, the regulation prohibits ORVs year
	is to be all	of the 67 tota		ashore beach. Thi	s does not re		alance for other users and wildlife. If OI miles, should be available year round fo

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov tect and preser	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov National Park	10641 s more!	Document: +n	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	-	10641 hicles killin	Document: g Shoreline Wildli	41993 fe	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations PROTECT	WILDLIFE a					Y ne ATV traffic. Advances have been made that fore REGULATIONS needed!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations I realize th just suppose to watch of LA and sit wonders au other place Sweet Mot down the so on them or believe that put up a sig	at the Nationa sed to be amus ther people on beside the intu- nd places of be es to do so. Ca ther of God, pri- seashore? It is a an ORV wou tt you could ge gn forbidding	sement park their ORV erstate to wa eauty and th pe Hatteras rotect the se the unique, and be fun, b et them to ju jumping due	s for people with judriving around des driving around des atch the traffic jam e wildlife that exiss National Seashore a turtles and shore NATURE part of o ut it's impossible t st drive at a 5mph nes (to protect nest	et skis and C stoying all th is? I think no ts within. If does not ne birds like yo our natural p o get people pace so they ing sites, for	RV's and ATV e wildlife and t. It is your res people want to ed to be one of pu are supposed arks that draw to even stop le v wouldn't kill to example), idio	Y e use of our National Parks. But are they really l's? Why would anyone visit Cape Hatteras just natural beauty that is there? do people flock to sponsibility to protect and defend these national drive their ORVs around there are plenty of them. They are too noisy and destructive. d to! What's next, racing monster trucks up and so many people to them. Sure, driving around eaving garbage on the beach. Surely you don't turtles and birds, do you? as soon as you would ots would have to drive right over every dang erved for the humans
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Why is it t	hat people thir					Y vant? As a species we have destroyed so many s before we destroy and annihilate them too.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations I am very of Seashore. Under the made 153 and endang I strongly s wildlife. T pedestrian pedestrian	current interin in 2010. Pipin gered wildlife support regula he proposed p use with open s.	n plan, prote g plovers an could be im tion of ORV lan sets asid to year-rou	ected wildlife has s ad other shorebirds pacted. /s at the Seashore, le currently only 20 nd or seasonal bea	een huge ga are rebound but the Park 5 of the 67 to ch driving. 1	ins in the area. ing. If you exp Service plan p tal miles of the More vehicle-fr	Y anaging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, oand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened protects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and ree areas are needed for wildlife and onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this

Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID:	
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10273 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	Please defend the wolves of the Northern Rockies and stop the planned hunts.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10274 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicl are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. I strongly urge you to support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10275 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The native Americans lived here for hundreds of years and never left a mark on the environment. It should be our goal to do same.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10276 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The en area should be closed to beach driving.
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, scien based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Plea add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protection for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10278 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Received:	Aug,31,201										
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please help protect the sea turtles and other wildlife that depend on the seashore as their home. They need us!										
Correspondence ID: Name:	10279 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Received:	Aug,31,201										
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations. If we do no wild life for	t protect these	e birds and t	curtles they will be	come extinct	. Who all need	s to ride the beaches	at leisure and destroy			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10280 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,201 regulations.		rray,								
	I am very co Seashore.	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.										
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.										
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.										
	Thank you for your consideration.										
	steve long										
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10281 private Aug,31,201 regulations		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Is that what belching ve live there? ' sound and f Could it be	regulations.gov Is that what it's come to? We can't even enjoy a simple day at the shore without tearing around in some loud, obnoxious smoke belching vehicle, tearing up the dunes, scaring/and/or killing the unfortunate animals who, through no fault of their own, have to live there? What is it about quiet and stillness that terrifies us so? Why do we feel this need to constantly be 'stimulated' by sound and fury? Why do we revile stillness and contemplation? Is it because it may point out how empty and soulless we are? Could it be that the very silence rebukes us? And, if it shows us that we are as we are, not as we imagine ourselves to be, does that not present to us a choice?									
	the greatest		natural wor	ld that, if left alon				nd defending what is truly ut, in so doing, remain the			
								nat when you take out a emed smaller and less			

strand here and a strand there, it effects the integrity of the whole. If we cut out the web for species deemed smaller and less significant than us, it will come back to us as a series of cascading failures that will, in time, engulf all of us. Remember the poem that went 'for lack of a nail, the shoe was lost, for lack of a shoe, the horse, then the rider, then the battle? Well, for lack of

the lower species, there's a cascading failure that will reach upward until, it effects us and by the time it affects us, we won't be able to stop it. Like the ice caps melting. It continues long enough and can't be stopped, goodbye east and western US coastline cities. And all because we didn't realize that if we cut out the lower rungs of the ladder, eventually we'd be pitched off the same ladder.

We are, literally, all in this together.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10282 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,											
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.											
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.											
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.											
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.											
	Thank you for your consideration.											
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10283 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,											
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.											
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.											
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.											
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.											
	The earth does not belong to us, we belong to the earth. We are biblicaly charged with being it's caretakers. Protect those who cannot protect themselves											
	Thank you for your consideration.											
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10284 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Y "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare,											

threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10285 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10286 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,									
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.									
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.									
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.									
	Thank you for your consideration.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10287 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles are not consistent with natural habitat and are destructive to the flora and fauna that live there.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10288 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There has to be some kind of restrictions and limitations for offshore usage. Wildlife should be protected. It is our job to keep what God has created to live its puspose. Humans should not roll all over landscapes that really belong to the wild. Be									

Correspondence ID:	10289	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,20	11 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		l species like j		ers rely on lands al f the Seashore, the			Seashore to survive. Is disturbed.	But if off road vehicles		
	Help save	Birds and Wil	derness and	the ecosystem of	the earth.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10290 private Aug,31,20 regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence:		rintendent Mu	rray,							
	I am very o Seashore.	concerned abo	ut the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed re	gulation for ma	naging ORV use on C	ape Hatteras National		
	made 153 i		g plovers an	d other shorebirds	00		•	eated 82 nests in 2007, te Seashore, threatened		
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.									
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.									
	Thank you	for your cons	ideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Genesis 2:	15 tells us, in				Private:	Y to be good stewards. H	ere again we are faced		
	withan exc	ellent oportun	ity to be go	od earth stewards.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10292 private Aug,31,20 regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 5.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence:	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.									
	wildlife. The pedestrian	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
		lude current b	· ·	-	· ·	-	onal, which is unaccep g plovers and sea turtl	table. Please revise this es that rely on the		
	Thank you	for your cons	ideration.							

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do what you can to protect the wildlife on a permanent basis. Thankyou
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10294Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govPlease keep the wildlife safe, and the off road vehicles out. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10295Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPLease rectify this and protect these areas.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10296 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Respective Park Service - in regards to ORV at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10297 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Although parks are for recreation, they are not only for people to go and relax and feel safe. Our wildlife are defenseless against the harm that we often subject them to. It demands that we look out for them therefore off road vehicles should be strictly prohibited from areas such as these. I appreciate your opening your email to read my comment. It is a heartfelt plea that I ask that you consider strongly objecting to ruling in favor of any mechanical device being allowed in parks especially off road vehicles. Sincerely, Ms. Gonzales
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10298 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I I really am very woried about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration. Andrew Patterson
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10299 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00

Correspondence ID: Name:	10300 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		tricted off r	ood vehicle use th	at threatene e	en turtles shor	ebirds and other wildlifePle	ase protect our		
correspondence.		rom beach drive		oad veniele use th	at uncatens s	sea turties, silor		ase protect our		
Correspondence ID:	10301	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2	011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulation					analanad Daama				
Correspondence:			-		-		ational use of vehicles on beach is should be a no brainer!	ies with so		
Correspondence ID:	10302	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
lame: Received:	private Aug 31 2	011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulation									
Correspondence:	Please ke	ep the vehicles	that also are	e poluters and gase	oline guzzlers	s away from ou	r National Wildlife Treasures.			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10303 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:		011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore from beach driving. Wildlife are in danger from beach driving and would'nt it									
Loi respondence.	be nice if		place to vis	it? There should b			re quiet and saftey for wildife			
Correspondence ID:	10304	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
lame: Received:	private Aug.31.2	011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		rray,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.									
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.									
	add buffe for all nat	ers and other spe tural resources t	ecific, mand hat strictly	latory wildlife prot	ections to the hest Degree	e regulation. I u of Protection" a	onal, and this is simply not acc urge you to include science-bas us outlined in the USGS Protoc 1.	ed protections		
Correspondence ID: Name:	10305 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:	Aug,31,2	011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		pe Hatteras Nat				•	y species, it needs to be fully p es should be driving on any pa			
Correspondence ID:	10306	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received:	private	011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulation									
Correspondence:							rotects beach drivers more than Seeshore for year round wild			
	wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.									

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	man has trooden of):00 ned by how many ver. Why is it alw v question how ou	ays so difficult to r government/soci	do the righ th ety/the world	ning? This is so	Y izations championing some poor animal that simple - protect this wildlife. My 7th grade ractices that hurt or neglect the welfare of
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regards for the livi):00 irresponsible abo ng creatures arou ut. You need to d	nd them. If there w	vere humans	in there way, th	Y by driving off road vehicles but they have no rey would not do it, but any type of animals ting to think that people act like this.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10309 Proje private Aug.31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov this needs to be fix	ct: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10310 Proje ct private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Please don't push v):00	Document: er from their natura	41993 al or newly ad	Private:	Y Enough is enough.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10311 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Responsible speed):00	Document: a reasonable and g	41993 ood idea. Tha	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	dissatisfaction for Hatteras National S allow vehicles to p	0:00 he National Park the pending appro Seashore. I have b ut in peril the live hitting of running	oval of the use of o been traveling and es of seabirds, turth	off road vehic camping at the les and other	les in the areas he campground creatures that c	Y rks I would like to express my deep where our wildlife habitate on the Cape is along the seashore and see no reason to all the beaches their homes. Please don't let ed equipment. Thank you for your time and
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10313 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintende):00	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
	Seashore. Under the current i	interim plan, prot	ected wildlife has	seen huge ga	ins in the area.	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10314 private Aug,31,201 regulations. Dear Superi		10641 тау,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.										
	made 153 ir		g plovers and	d other shorebirds				ly created 82 nests in 2007, oss the Seashore, threatened			
	wildlife. Th	e proposed pl use with open	an sets aside	e currently only 20	5 of the 67 to	otal miles of the	e Seashore for yea	ers more than it does ar-round wildlife and ed for wildlife and			
		ide current bu		ç				acceptable. Please revise this turtles that rely on the			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10315 private Aug,31,201 regulations. Our wildlife Please be re	gov e deserves to b	10641 be protected	Document: and respected. Th	41993 nis is your res	Private:	Y see to our wildlife	's well being and safety.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		gov		Document:	41993 inhabitors.	Private: What good is t	Y he NPS if they fai	l to protect the ones who			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10317 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.										
	for pedestria protections buffers arou shorebirds. instance, un	an visitors, alo work. For the and nesting bin Under that ter der that temp	ong with inc past severa rds and turtl nporary pla orary plan, t	reased wildlife m l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc es have been langered sea	lwindling popu forced specifi- chlings, and pro- rebounding, w	alations. On the ot c science-based m otections for migr with some reproduce	id increased safety hazards her hand, wildlife leasures like no-driving ating and wintering ring in record numbers. For ompared to only 82 nests in			

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec

Correspondence ID: Name:	10318 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The proposed regulation is a good start, but it does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. Please add specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. Reserving only 26 miles of the seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round is not enough. Our precious wildlifesea turtles and piping plovers especiallyis much more important than the fun to be had be ORV drivers. Why are they being given such extensive rights to the use of the beach in this proposal? Please amend this disparity and protect our wildlife instead.										
	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. I hope you will, too.										
	Marlis McCollum										
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10319 Project: private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y					
Correspondence:	Seashore. Thank you.	ted areas fr	om Off Road Veni	cies in order	to neip preserv	ve the wildlife on the Cape Hatteras National					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	visited by millions of An	nericans. It	is also home to nes	sting shore b	irds and sea tur	Y ic coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure tles who are threatened by off-road vehicle -road vehicles to allow these species the space					
	Plummeting populations of protected wildlife species at Cape Hatteras are starting to recover thanks to increased management protections from the National Park Service (NPS). However, these protections are temporary while NPS prepares a final ORV management regulation. The regulation is nearly completed, but the current draft lacks the critical wildlife protections that have helped species like the piping plover and loggerhead sea turtle. PLEASE- make this happen!										
	Thank you										
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10321 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Let us not destroy wild li	10641 fe	Document:	41993	Private:	Y					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		y need for o	discipline and stew		•	Y Like small children, pleasure seeking adults r the stewardship of the National Parks					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10323 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I fully support the NPCA	10641 's position	Document:	41993 ns, as follow	Private:	Ŷ					
	These nationally signific places where Americans				ned, and endan	gered wildlife, and represent the last best					

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." The Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Correspondence ID:	10324 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y									
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the									
	wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. The impact of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.									
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders of Wildlife and its partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Unfortunately, the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.									
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.									
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.									
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.									
	ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. This is wrong. I implore the Park Service to support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10325Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011o0:00:00regulations.govPlease give the cape animals a chance to regroup.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10326 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementatior of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed									

regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

Correspondence ID: Name:	10327 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hi, I am a Canadian who have visited Cape Hatteras during my holidays. I just love these long beaches where you can walk peaceful and observe wildlife.										
	I just want to remind you taking in the natural beaut (ORV) use has taken its to	ty, the wild	life diversity and	57 miles of s	horeline. But tl	ne impacts of unrest	ricted off-road vehicle				
	I oppose unrestricted off r regulations to protect wild			s sea turtles,	shorebirds and	other wildlife. That	nk you for voting proper				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10328 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Unrestricted off-road vehi threat to the delicate natur										
	nesting areas. I support sp	ecific, enfo	rceable, science-b	based protect	ions for wildlif	e and additional vel	nicle-free areas for nesting				
Correspondence ID: lame: leceived: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10329 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y					
	I am extremely concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.										
	Expanding ORV use across the Seashore will threaten and endanger wildlife that has just started to rebound from major losses due to humans over using their breeding grounds and habitat.										
	More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians as well as to cut down on pollution, both noise and chemical, in that area.										
	Wildlife protection is not optional, and this set of rules seems to make that so.										
	Please help protect our fragile environment and bump up the protection for those who cannot speak for themselves. After all whumans are not the only creatures who inhabit this planet.										
	Thank you for your consid	deration.									
Correspondence ID: Vame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10330 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov You know what the RIGH	10641 IT thing to	Document: do is, now please	41993 do it!	Private:	Y					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10331 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y					

I'm particularly concerned that proposed regulations do little to nothing to protect wildlife. The new regulations should at least make permanent the temporary protections that were in place from 2007-1010. These regulations clearly worked and were very

effective. Make them permanent.

When I visit Hatteras, I come to experience peace and quiet, and to experience the outer banks in their natural state -- complete with turtles and shore birds. If Hatteras National Seashore becomes nothing but noise, tire ruts, and dead wildlife, what's the point?

Please preserve and protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore. If you can't eliminate ORVs completely from the National Seashore, at least limit and regulate ORV use in such a way that wildlife flourishes and the vast majority of visitors are afforded some peace and quiet.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	-	10641 trict these t	Document: ypes of vehicles! M	41993 NOW!!!	Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 and living.	Document: Not for driving. Sa	41993 ave fuel, wild	Private:	Y pritage.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10334 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.									
	for pedest protection buffers are shorebirds instance, u 2007, the However, doing so, a Act "to co enjoymen	rian visitors, al s work. For the ound nesting bi s. Under that tee inder that temp last season price the Park Servia and specifying nserve the scer t of the same in	ong with in e past severa irds and turt mporary pla orary plan, or to the imp ce has omiti only those hery (of the a such manr	creased wildlife m al years, the Park S les, their nests, ch an, protected speci threatened and en plementation of the ted these buffers a areas set aside for seashore) and the	ortality and service has ex- icks, and hat es have been dangered sea e plan. nd other succo ORV use, th natural and h eans as will lo	dwindling popu nforced specifi chlings, and pr rebounding, w turtles laid 15: cessful wildlife e Park Service tistoric objects eave them unin	ninished access and increased safety hazards alations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering <i>v</i> ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the npaired for the enjoyment of future ge, & life.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation "I apprecia National S beach on t threatened evoke the It is well-t for pedest protection	ate this opportu Geashore. The s he eastern seace l, and endanger experiences of documented tha rian visitors, al s work. For the	even nation coast of the red wildlife, our predect at ORV use ong with in past severa	al seashores on th United States. The but represent the essors who encour impairs park reso creased wildlife m al years, the Park S	e Atlantic Oc ese nationally last best plac atered the vas urces. Examp ortality and of Service has en	eean represent of significant pla es where Amer at wild coasts of ples include dir dwindling popu nforced specifi	Y on for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped aces not only protect habitats for rare, ricans can visit wild beach environments that f North America. ninished access and increased safety hazards alations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering			
	shorebirds instance, u 2007, the However, doing so, a Act "to co	5. Under that temp inder that temp last season price the Park Service and specifying nserve the scer	mporary pla porary plan, or to the imp ce has omitt only those hery (of the	an, protected speci threatened and en plementation of the ted these buffers a areas set aside for seashore) and the	es have been dangered sea e plan. nd other succ ORV use, th natural and h	rebounding, w turtles laid 15. eessful wildlife e Park Service iistoric objects	otections for migrating and wintering with some reproducing in record numbers. For 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the npaired for the enjoyment of future			

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10336 private Aug.31.20	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y									
Correspondence:	 Tregulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year. It is an area with wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. The proposed National Park Service regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. It only sets aside areas for ORVs ar does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 mill of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. Data has proven that protected sea turtles create more nests. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan these numbers could easily decline. 															
									Great progress has been made in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now.							
									Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.							
	Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov rintendent Mu	10641 тау,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y								
		I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.														
Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200' made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatene and endangered wildlife could be impacted.																
I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.																
As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise th plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.																
I have visited the Outer Banks in the past and know if it a wonderful place for animals to be able to be able to feel free from people destroying their habitat. Also I believe you have natural wildlife reservations and wild pony crossings. so why wouldn't you have rules about people driving their vechiles where animals and their young are nesting. It just doesn't make any sense to me. Someone has to be their voice. That has to be you!																
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 ehicles fror	Document: n destroying our e	41993 nvironment.	Private:	Y									
Correspondence ID:	10339	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y									
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Keep the wildlife of the seashore safe and thriving for generations to come.															
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 bad vehicle	Document:	41993 sea turtles s	Private:	Y athor wildlife									

generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10341 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protect our cherished Am	10641 erican wild	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10342 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am submitting this form a former resident of North					Y ons for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am oon on Ocracoke.
						heir eggs, sand fleas, plovers, you name them I d vehicles/etc. not weakened rules as are
	Thank you.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	6	e birds and	sea turtles who ar	e threatened	by off-road vel	Y coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure. It is nicle use along beaches. During these critical o grow and fledge.
	I ask the NPS to add spec and that the safety of all v			nd pedestrian	visitors into th	e ORV regulation to ensure wildlife recovery
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10344Project:privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govFirst the animals go and t	10641 hen we are	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10345 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mur	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
	I am very concerned abou Seashore.	it the Nation	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	ulation for ma	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National
		plovers and	d other shorebirds			Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened
	wildlife. The proposed pl	an sets aside	e currently only 20	5 of the 67 to	tal miles of the	rotects beach drivers more than it does Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and
						onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this g plovers and sea turtles that rely on the
	Thank you for your consi	deration.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10346 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect our wild life	10641 fe from stup	Document:	41993 ve no respect	Private:	Y

Correspondence ID:	10347	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,201	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov People should be less selfish and give local wildlife some thought and respect.							
correspondence.						nu respect.		
Correspondence ID:	10348	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,201	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulations	.gov	CD 1 V.1.		N	1.0		
Correspondence:	The proposed rule for Off Road Vehicles at Cape Hatteras Nastional Seashore is not sufficient to protect wildlife. It only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26							
	of the Seas beach drivi		s of beach fo	or pedestrians and	wildlife year	r-round, setting	g aside the rest for year-round and seas	onal
	In recent ye	ears, a tempora	ary plan that	limits ORV use r	near protected	l wildlife nesti	ng areas has been working to protect w	vildlife.
							3 years of temporary protections, that ese numbers could easily decline.	number
	All it takes	is one wrong	step by a pij	ping plover into a	n area that is i	not protected,	and it could be run over.	
Correspondence ID:	10349	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private Aug 31 201	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulations	.gov						
Correspondence:	I ask you to please protect all animals make their home in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore area. You need to let live and not kill. You need to rescue and save whatever animal needs the help. We are on this planet to live peacefully with all God's creatures.							
Correspondence ID:	10350	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private Aug.31.201	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations		тау,					
		e the opportun ational Seasho		ent on the Nation	al Park Servic	ce's proposed r	regulation for managing ORV use of Ca	ape
	generations		he regulatio	n prohibits ORVs			ldlife and to protect this resource for fu he 67 total miles of Seashore beach. Th	
	based prote	ections for wild	dlife and peo		gulation. I sup	pport non-drivi	you to include specific, enforceable, so ing buffers around nesting birds and tu ring shorebirds.	
	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu	rently written, and other spe ral resources the	the propose cific, manda hat strictly a	destrians in the read d specific protection and regulation treats atory wildlife prot	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree of	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optic regulation. I to of Protection" a	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu ering shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C	Please ections
	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351	rently written, and other spe ral resources the	the propose cific, manda hat strictly a	destrians in the read d specific protection and regulation treats atory wildlife prot adhere to the "Higi	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree of	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optic regulation. I to of Protection" a	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu ering shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C	Please ections
Name:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources ti ational Seasho Project:	llife and pee tchlings, and the propose cific, manda hat strictly a re. Thank y	destrians in the reg d specific protection ed regulation treats atory wildlife prot idhere to the "Hig ou for taking my c	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prof tections to the hest Degree of comments into	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as option regulation. I to of Protection" a o consideration	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu rring shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n.	Please ections
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private Aug,31,201 regulations	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources ti ational Seasho Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov	flife and peet tchlings, and the propose cific, manda nat strictly a re. Thank ye 10641	destrians in the reg d specific protection ed regulation treats atory wildlife prot idhere to the "Hig ou for taking my c	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree c comments into 41993	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optio regulation. I u of Protection" a o consideration Private:	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu rring shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n.	Please ections
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private Aug,31,201 regulations Keep the bu	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources ti ational Seasho Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov	flife and peet tchlings, and the propose cific, manda nat strictly a re. Thank ye 10641	destrians in the read d specific protection at regulation treats atory wildlife prot dhere to the "Hig ou for taking my c Document:	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree c comments into 41993	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optio regulation. I u of Protection" a o consideration Private:	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu rring shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n.	Please ections
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private Aug,31,201 regulations Keep the bu 10352 private	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources ti ational Seasho Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov eaches safe for Project:	flife and peo- techlings, and the propose cific, manda hat strictly a re. Thank yo 10641	destrians in the read d specific protection and regulation treats atory wildlife prot idhere to the "Hig ou for taking my contraction Document: e. Give them a bread	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree c comments into 41993 eak. People ca	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optic regulation. I u of Protection" a o consideration Private: an walk.	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu ering shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n. Y	Please ections
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private Aug,31,201 regulations Keep the bu 10352 private Aug,31,200 regulations	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources thational Seasho Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov eaches safe for Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov	flife and peo- tchlings, and the propose cific, manda hat strictly a re. Thank you 10641	destrians in the read of specific protection at regulation treats atory wildlife prot idhere to the "Hig ou for taking my contraction Document: e. Give them a bree Document:	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree of comments into 41993 eak. People ca 41993	pport non-driviting and winte tection as optice regulation. I to of Protection" a o consideration Private: an walk. Private:	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu rring shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n. Y	Please ections Cape
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private Aug,31,201 regulations Keep the bu 10352 private Aug,31,201 regulations I appreciato	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources the ational Seasho Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov eaches safe for Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov e this opportun	llife and peo- tchlings, and the propose cific, manda hat strictly a re. Thank you 10641	destrians in the read d specific protection and regulation treats atory wildlife protection dhere to the "Higiou for taking my contraction Document: e. Give them a bree Document: nent on the Park S	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife prot tections to the hest Degree c comments into 41993 eak. People ca 41993	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optic regulation. I to of Protection" a o consideration Private: an walk. Private: osed regulation	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu rring shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. arge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n. Y Y n for managing ORV use at Cape Hatte	Please ections Cape
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	based prote their nests, As it is cur add buffers for all natu Hatteras Na 10351 private Aug,31,201 regulations Keep the bu 10352 private Aug,31,201 regulations I appreciate National Se pedestrian	ections for wild chicks and ha rently written, and other spe ral resources the ational Seasho Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov eaches safe for Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov e this opportune asshore. ORV visitors, along	flife and peet tchlings, and the propose cific, manda hat strictly a re. Thank ye 10641 • the wildlife 10641 ity to commuse impairs with increa	destrians in the read d specific protection and regulation treats atory wildlife protection dhere to the "Higi ou for taking my contraction Document: e. Give them a bree Document: nent on the Park S park resources. E sed wildlife morta	gulation. I sup ons for migra s wildlife protections to the hest Degree of comments inte 41993 eak. People ca 41993 iervice's prope ixamples inclu- ality and dwin	pport non-drivi ting and winte tection as optio regulation. I u of Protection" a o consideration Private: an walk. Private: osed regulation ude diminished adling populati	ing buffers around nesting birds and tu rring shorebirds. onal, and this is simply not acceptable. urge you to include science-based prote as outlined in the USGS Protocols for C n. Y	Please ections Cape

protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that plan, protected species have been rebounding. For instance, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

The Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians.

The regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10353 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	Seabirds in Cape Hatteras need our help.							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10354 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID:	10355 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep off road vehicles away from Cape Hatteras National Seashore and protect the wildlife.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10356 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10357 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its
	ORV users and wildlife. Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations. Thank you very much for helping to protect one of the Atlantic's last wild seashores! Sea turtles, nesting shorebirds, and future generations of Americans will be grateful for your action.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10358 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Y We must protect the wildlife on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Y Y
Correspondence ID: Name:	10359 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep the trucks off the beach! Our National Parks shouldn't be abused. The trucks leak oil and other car chemicals and there are just to many of them in the summer now that the outer banks is such a popular destination. The prettiest sight i have seen lately was an empty Oregon Inlet!!! The seashore ecology is vital to what the outer banks is, not tourist dollars!						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10360 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.						
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.						
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year- round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.						
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.						
	In your position you have a duty to protect our wildlife who aren't in a position to protect themselves!						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10361 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 10361 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 10362.100:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011 Document: 41993 Private: Y Dear Sir/Madam, Dear Sir/Madam, Dear Sir/Madam, Dear Sir/Madam, Dear Sir/Madam,						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 10362. Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011 Dear Sir/Madam, This letter is in response to the new laws that are trying to be passed to keep vehicles off the beaches at Hatteras. Please DO NOT LET THIS PASS. We come to Hatteras every year, sometimes more than once a year. We love that we are able						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 10361 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011 Dear Sir/Madam, This letter is in response to the new laws that are trying to be passed to keep vehicles off the beaches at Hatteras. Please DO NOT LET THIS PASS. We come to Hatteras every year, sometimes more than once a year. We love that we are able to drive right down to the ocean and park to fish, swim and enjoy the ocean. This is the reason that we come to Hatteras.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011 Dear Sir/Madam, This letter is in response to the new laws that are trying to be passed to keep vehicles off the beaches at Hatteras. Please DO NOT LET THIS PASS. We come to Hatteras every year, sometimes more than once a year. We love that we are able to drive right down to the ocean and park to fish, swim and enjoy the ocean. This is the reason that we come to Hatteras. We have never destroyed any wildlife. We have seen turtle nestings, and we do not bother them. We respect the animals.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011 Dear Sir/Madam, This letter is in response to the new laws that are trying to be passed to keep vehicles off the beaches at Hatteras. Please DO NOT LET THIS PASS. We come to Hatteras every year, sometimes more than once a year. We love that we are able to drive right down to the ocean and park to fish, swim and enjoy the ocean. This is the reason that we come to Hatteras. We have never destroyed any wildlife. We have seen turtle nestings, and we do not bother them. We respect the animals. We do not tear up or destroy the beaches. This is the best part of our vacation in Hatteras.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I strongly support regulations to limit and/or exclude off road vehicles from being allowed to travel over dues and beaches that are known to house breeding sites for all types of shore birds. 10362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov August 31, 2011 Decument: 41993 Private: Y Dear Sir/Madam, This letter is in response to the new laws that are trying to be passed to keep vehicles off the beaches at Hatteras. Please DO NOT LET THIS PASS. We come to Hatteras every year, sometimes more than once a year. We love that we are able to drive right down to the ocean and park to fish, swim and enjoy the ocean. This is the reason that we come to Hatteras. We have never destroyed any wildlife. We have seen turtle nestings, and we do not bother them. We respect the animals. We do not tear up or destroy the beaches. This is the best part of our vacation in Hatteras. PLEASE DONT LET THIS CHANGE.!!!!						

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Some things are sacrosanct, the shores of cape Hatteras are just that. There should be no vehicle traffic of any kind allowed on this beautiful and vital area.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10365 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10366 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please STOP offshore transport and save the birds' nest on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It IS a protected area!						
	Sincerely, Sylvia Zade-Routier Film maker and journalist						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10367 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) 1024-AD85,						
	Dear Sirs/Madam:						
	Please continue to protect the beaches and wildlife on Cape Hatteras through protective regulation.						
	Not only does driving a vehicle on beaches endanger wildlife but humans as well due to recklessness. Also the environmental impact of having transmission fluid, oil and gas leaked on beaches which is unavoidable.						
	Sincerely,						
	Lisa A. Gohn Chapin, SC 29036						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10368 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am writing about Special Regulations for Cape Hatteras National Seashore to say that more area needs to be set aside, protected from Off Road Vehicles. We need to co-exist with nature, to give them time to have their eggs, nests and babies without danger of being run over. We as humans tend to think in terms of having to have it all. But the beauty of our parks is that we can leave some of it alone. Nature is counting on it.						
	Where I disagree with the proposed rule is that we have not set enough aside. I am sure, as much as I don't like it, that many people come to Cape Hatteras specifically to ride their off road vehicles. But other people come specifically to enjoy the view, have peace and quiet and talk a relaxing walk.						
	We can have both which your regulations are proposing but I don't think enough is specifically allocated to wild areas. I feel we have the responsibility to the next generation to leave wild areas wild. We simply don't have to use everything up in pursuit of today's brief pleasures at the expense of tomorrow.						
	Please consider making the regulations wider to add more areas to the restrictions against the rampaging vehicles.						
	Thanks for considering my comments. These parks belong to all of us and I feel it is my responsibility to speak out.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10369 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

0016410

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10370 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I think it is a travesty that fossil fuel-reliant recreational vehicles would be given any degree of priority over the fragile lives of the shore dwelling animals, who have little chance of survival if we continue to compromise their habitats in water and air, and now on land as well. Idle entertainment is a luxury. Survival is paramount for all of us. There are many other places where these folks can get there thrills.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10371 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do not allow off road vehicles in Cape Hatteras. It is unfathomable to think another precious natural resource would be decimated to make a few bucks. In truth, by destroying wildlife and this natural habitat, the government will definitely run the risk of paying more for its upkeep in the near future.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10372 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Proposed rule does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. Wildlife and specifically nesting shorebirds require special protections. Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. Piping plovers, a declining species are just one example of shorebirds in need of specific protections. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. Special consideration for wildlife and those interested in wildlife and nature conservation need toa be explicitedly included in the rules.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10373 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks are disturbed. The proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

After several years of temporary protections, numbers of nesting birds rose. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline again.

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Thank you. Correspondence ID: 10374 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Received: Correspondence Type: regulations.gov **Correspondence:** National Park Service, I support explicit protections for wildlife under the Park Service's plan to protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I would prefer that the the Seashore's 67 miles of beach is set aside for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, rather than setting aside most of it for year-round and seasonal beach driving. My understanding is that temporary protections restricting ORV use near nesting areas was instrumental in increasing turtle nests almost twofold over the period of three years. If these efforts are disbanded, I'm concerned the results will be reversed. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you, 10375 10641 41993 Private: Y **Correspondence ID: Project:** Document: Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Received: Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration. 10376 41993 **Correspondence ID: Project:** 10641 Document: Private: Y private Name: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Received: **Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov Correspondence: I oppose off road vehicle use in our nations parks. **Correspondence ID:** 10377 41993 Private: Y **Project:** 10641 **Document:** Name: private **Received:** Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov Correspondence: It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based

protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go	v	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence:	Unfortunately, there are some people who do not respect the shore and our birds, please pass the Propose Rule: Special Regulations, Areas of National Park System: Cape Hatteras National Seashore (Doc. ID NPS-2011-0005-0001)								
	We must have	a voice for	all God's cre	eatures.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10379 Pr private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Put wildlife fin	v	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 C regulations.go Dear Superinte on Cape Hattee turtles that onl ORV use acros the Seashore, I only 26 of the beach driving. regulations tre	v endent Muri ras Nationa y created 82 ss the Seash out the Park 67 total mil More vehic at wildlife p	1 Seashore. U 2 nests in 200 hore, threater 5 Service plan les of the Sea cle-free areas protection as	Under the current 07, made 153 in and and endanger n protects beach ashore for year-ro are needed for y optional, which	interim plan, 2010. Piping ed wildlife co drivers more pund wildlife wildlife and p is unacceptab	protected wild plovers and oth ould be impact than it does wi and pedestrian edestrians. As le. Please revis	Y e's proposed regulation for managing ORV dlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea her shorebirds are rebounding. If you expar ed. I strongly support regulation of ORVs a ldlife. The proposed plan sets aside current use with open to year-round or seasonal it is currently written, the proposed se this plan to include current buffers and n the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for you		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Please do not l Offroad vehicl	v let the off-ro les should b r a place to	e restricted t raise their yo	o far smaller area	as, and this sh	ould be strictly	Y s of plovers, sea turtles and other wildlife. y enforced. The wildlife lives there, it is the eaches to enjoy nature, peace and quiet and		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go The use of off-	v -road vehicl rive these th	nings around				Y tear up the environment. If hillbillies find it use. There is no good reason to drive them		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go When we com havoc they lea shorelines. I se We share this	v e to the sho ve behind. ' ee them as n earth, we do	There is abso nothing more o not run it. I	blutely no reason that a nuisance a	what so ever and the riders 1 make every	that these vehi as bullys. Nati effort to prohi	Y be disturbed by off road vehicles or to see the icles need to be allowed to run wild on thesure was here first, it needs to be respected. bit off road vehicles from the shorelines-		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10384 Pr private Aug,31,2011 0 regulations.go		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		

Correspondence:	Dear Park Service: I've been on a roadtrip around the US for 3 months now and am planning to visit the Hatteras Seashore. I am astounded to learn that you can just drive about anywhere along that coast, as in my home state of California such recreating is quite limited as it should be for the protection of the beach and the animals that live there. I have offroad vehicles myself (3 motorcycles) and go camping frequently in the deserts and mountains in CA. I've seen the destruction in our deserts and well understand the necessity for restricting access to prevent the utter ruination of some places. In areas like Hatteras where sea turtles nest (and in California coastal areas where various bird species have needed protected nesting areas) it is appropriate to restrict / eliminate access to provide sufficient protection. I understand the currently proposed regulations for Hatteras only restrict about 1/3 of the Seashore (26 of 67 miles). I think it should be the opposite, i.e., restrict 2/3 and only make 26 miles available for unrestricted recreation. Also, areas and species that have been identified as in particular need of protection should be designated and mandated to have such protections. Thank you for considering my comments. Yours truly, Frederick E. Akers						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10385 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10386 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
	Best Regards,						
	Mihaela Carstei						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10387 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Sir or Madame,
	Many years ago I drove along Daytona Beach and now I am old enough to regret it. Had I realized the cost to the sea turtles and shore birds I would instead walk and look and be amazed.
	Cape Hatteras National Seashore has the same problem. Lots of vocal people with expensive equipment who have been doing this for years. They consider it a right. It was not and they have abused it.
	Please preserve this amazing piece of America. If those people wanna" "play" they can buy land like every other sport must do and ruin their little plot instead of despoiling this country's treasure, the delicate dunes which preserve the shore and the nesting area for many creatures which we have already placed in enough peril.
	No more off road vehicles on the dunes at Cape Hatteras National Seashore please. I speak for many who like myself have seen and appreciated those dunes but have not the time nor realize where they can make a stand.
	Thank you for your attention and your service to the many citizens and the grateful wildlife.
	Lucky Beckett
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10388 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As someone who likes to help Defenders of Wildlife advocate for animal rights, I am writing to ask that you extend the wildlife protected areas of Cape Hatteras seashore beyond the 27 miles of the proposal. As the temporary restrictions have encouraged increased numbers of nesting sites for birds and turtles it would be a real loss to see those numbers drop again by allowing more ORV areas.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10389 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted ORV access in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. We must protect shore birds and nesting turtles it is totally unnecessary to permit this access when there are many other recreational options in the area. Keep the beach for walking and save the wildlife. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10390 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please pass whatever needs to be passed in order to protect wildlife from idiots like these - they can find other places to ride those sort of vehicles.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10391 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the

Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration. Ian Ehrlich

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10392 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I VACATION IN THIS BEAUTIFUL PLACE. IT IS A NATIONAL TREASURE AND VERY IMPORTANT TO PRESERVE IN ITS NATURAL BEAUTY. WE TREASURE THE WILDLIFE WHOSE LIVE DEPEND ON THE SAFE HARBOR OF THIS BEAUTIFUL PLACE.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10393 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect wildlife, there are lots of other places off road vehicles could use instead. Image: State Sta
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10394 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please stop this cruelty to these animals!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10395 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in
	2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10396 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and speci
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10397 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10398 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10399 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We humans have taken the Imperialist mindset to far, much to the detriment of all other life on this planet. As our popu exceeds 7 billion, it is time for humanity to look to sustainability, rather than focusing solely on activities we deem "fur wheel vehicles are destructive and dangerous. They are harmful to humans and to wildlife. Shouldn't some areas be free the assault of these monstrosities ?	n." Four
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10400 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Stop unrestricted off-road vehicle on a beach	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10401Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPlease keep unrstricted off-road vehicles off of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10402 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off Road Vehicles have no place in a national treasure like Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The majority of Americans go to there to enjoy it's scenic beauty. We do not want to listen to the roar of ORVs and sm stink of their polluting engines.	nell the
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 10403 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Ha National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, under beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environme evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety I for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driv buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numb instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provienjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this f	eloped s, ents that hazards ving g bers. For nests in n. By e Organic

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10404 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,	
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.	
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. Let's not stand idly by and let that happen!	
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.	
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.	;
	Sincerely, Amy Breakwell	
Correspondence ID: Name:	10405 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private	-
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.	
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.	
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10406Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,	-
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.	
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.	
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.	
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this	;

plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10407 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The Animals of the world live on this earth	l need the ca	are of all humans	upon the eart	h. Humanity st	tarts with the way we treat all creatures that
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	of the destruction of natural natural environment for v enjoyed by millions of pe	ral habitat fo isitors to the ople seeking	or precious wildling e area. The Nation g nourishing respi	fe that will re nal Seashore te from over	sult, as well as lands are the cu -development i	Y tional Seashore lands of Cape Hattaras becaus s because of the disruption of a peaceful, rowning jewels of the Eastern seaboard, in our cities, towns and suburbs. Please ensur ons to come can enjoy their unique beauty.
	Nancy Hall Brooks					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10409 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I was last at Cape Hattera man made damage.	10641 s in 2008. I	Document: t was a very speci	41993 al place. The	Private: damage from	Y Hurricane Irene was bad enough. Stop the
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National Seashore. The se beach on the eastern seac	even nationa oast of the U ed wildlife,	al seashores on the United States. The but represent the	e Atlantic Oc se nationally last best plac	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer	Y n for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped aces not only protect habitats for rare, ricans can visit wild beach environments that f North America.
	for pedestrian visitors, ale protections work. For the buffers around nesting bir shorebirds. Under that ten	ong with inc past severa ds and turtl nporary plat orary plan, t	reased wildlife m l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and end	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate es have been langered sea	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	ninished access and increased safety hazards ulations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering vith some reproducing in record numbers. For 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in
	doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scen	only those a ery (of the s such manne	reas set aside for seashore) and the seashore) and the seashore by such me	ORV use, the natural and h ans as will le	e Park Service istoric objects eave them unin	protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organi and the wild life therein and to provide for the npaired for the enjoyment of future ites and spec
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10411Project:privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Mun	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
	I am very concerned abou Seashore.	it the Nation	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	anaging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National
						Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go	00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence:	Unrestricted A	ATV use on th	ese beaches	will be devastati	ng to wildlife	e and must be c	controlled.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10413 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc Dear Superint	00:00:00	10641 y,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
		*		es. I am very cond National Seashore.		the National P	ark Service's proposed regulation for
		2010. Piping p	olovers and o	other shorebirds a			ea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, ad ORV use across the Seashore, threatened
	protects beach	n drivers more year-round wi	e than it does ldlife and pe	s wildlife. The pro edestrian use with	posed plan s	ets aside curre	he Seashore, but the Park Service plan ntly only 26 of the 67 total miles of the onal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas
		e current buff					al, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plovers and sea turtles that rely on the
	Thank you for	r your conside	eration.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go A new law sh	00:00:00 ov ould be introc					Y un in their SUVs no doubt but come on and they have no choice.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go	00:00:00	10641 un over wild	Document: Ilife just for the fu	41993 in of it. It has	Private: s to stop	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go Please apply 1	00:00:00 ov restrictions to and destroy it	. Make sure	that the turtles an			Y not protected, the people who do not respect vildlife is being assaulted on all fronts, so
Correspondence ID: Name:	10417 P private	roject:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	*	, somethin	g that is needed in	our lives tod	ay. We have to	into lives. They add wonder and mystery and precognize that we have to share this planet e build around them.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		t be in? Ho	w long can they go			Y bidding little uneducated boys of whatever age ?? On beaches, in London or in Holland?
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10419 Project: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mur	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
	I am very concerned abou Seashore.	t the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for man	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National
		plovers an	d other shorebirds	00		Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened
	wildlife. The proposed pla	an sets asid	e currently only 26	5 of the 67 to	tal miles of the	rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and
	-	· ·	-	-	-	onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this g plovers and sea turtles that rely on the
	Thank you for your consid	deration.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	specifying only those area conserve the scenery (of t enjoyment of the same in	ts set aside he seashore such mann his flaw, th	for ORV use, the e) and the natural a er and by such me he Park Service mu	Park Service and historic of ans as will le 1st designate	falls short in it bjects and the ave them unim	Y hs in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and is obligations under the Organic Act "to wild life therein and to provide for the upaired for the enjoyment of future tes and specific, enforceable, science-based
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10421 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov ROADLESS PROTECTION	10641 ON NO RC	Document:	41993 LY	Private:	Y
	EMERGENCY ACCESS	POLICE .	FIRE & MILITAI	RY		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10422Project:privateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govThis should be left untouc	10641 thed as a sa	Document:	41993 life.	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10423 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y

Correspondence: I

Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Animals need all the help we can give them! Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID:	10424	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name:	private							
Received:	Aug,31,2	011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulation	ns.gov						
Correspondence:	Dear Sup	erintendent Mu	rray,					
						0	anaging ORV use on Cape Hatteras Nationa	ıl

protect them.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. Or at least more vehicle free time during sensitive nesting or breeding periods.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 iched as a sa	Document:	41993 Ilife.	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 n go off roa	Document: d, doesn't mean it	41993 should. wild	Private: life habitat and	Y pedestrians should be protected from off-roa
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Driving o	n the beach is e		Document: tally a potential me s, swimmers and a			Y other wildlife. Also it just makes the beach a
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	U	10641 f Motorized	Document: vehicles on beach	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 is.gov erintendent Mu	10641 rray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife populations will likely decline.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

The proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is short-sighted and unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore for their survival.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10430 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and speci
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10431 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please don't allow further expansion of Off road vehicles in any National Park or forest. Law inforcement and research are really the only bonafide reasons to use these vehicles in National Parks and Forests. These vehicles are destructive to the soil and wildlife that lives on or close to the ground.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10432 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.
	Please end this.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10433 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

0016424

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	found on the outer ban Please do not weaken	r proposed reg ks. We visit I these existing	Atteras frequently protections. Pleas	and are very e limit the us	impressed with e of off road v	th how some of the ehicles. I have fou	ect the precious wildlife e species are rebounding. nd them to be very annoying please do the RIGHT thing
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	*	e piping plove ige portions o	•	0 1			ive. But if off road vehicles think of the future and not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	place and the opportur without whom the stat the ensuing traffic. Mo beach. So, please take	a resident and iities it offers e would be di ost have no ide effort to prote 'hey are there	as a great lliving a minished. It is alar ea what they are tra- ect the wildlife. Set first and we, the p	nd vacation j ming how fa ampling on, o t aside vehicl eople are me	place. The main st the number of or what they make free areas, po	n attraction is the l of the population a ay destroy while d ost signs about the	bre I value the beauty of the beauty and the wildlife there, und visitors are growing and triving up and down the presence of wildlife and your children to play in
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	but tear up our fragile	riving in the C coastline and feeling of natu	endanger our NC vire all around me a	wildlife. I've	lived here 36 y	years and been to t	onal activity does nothing he beach many times. Most ease help us protect that!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10438 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov xxx	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10439 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Correspondence:	offroad vel	hicles on or ne	ar endanger				therwise go to state lege. and get prohibition of the the the the the the the the the the
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Roads are	meant for driv					Y and vehicles at Cape Hatteras National on of the environment.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations We vacation			Document: Banks of NC - plea	41993 ase restrict of	Private:	Y shere and around the country where they are
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Since I live just a hand barely han during nes	e in Michigan, ful of piping p ging on. Now, ting season). T	lovers that we do have he greatest	nest on a few of ou some protected a	reas (and ma g plover (and	igan shores; in ny volunteers v	Y reasons as to why it's endangered. We have the 1980's, those numbers of plovers were who give their time to protect the beaches nore birds, and definitely the sea turtles' nests
	protect the Please try t long biolog Sincerely,	ir nesting area to educate the	s with the st public on th been able to	rongest measures is serious concern nest, and migrate	ossible.	s will not be th	of extinction if we do not step in now and the same without the birds that have (in their r.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations My family from the N protection birds. Why	has enojyed v ational seasho for native wild can't people v	re. Barring llife. ORVs valkas ou	that possibility, it	is critically in the environm us to do?	mportant that reneated the theory of the second sec	Y d prefer that all off road vehicles be banned egulations for ORV use provide maximum y nesting sites for sea turtles and migratory
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations		10641 ould be off	Document:	41993 d vehicles.	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Off road ve	ehicles should		Document: ved on beaches. So shod" over these f			Y eed to have a habitat to live in. Who do
Correspondence ID: Name:	10446 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Roadless areas and offroad vehicle restriction are vital to the environmental health of several species.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10447 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov SPECIAL REGULATIONS FOR WHOM?
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10448 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10449 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Motorized vehicles should be severely restricted in national parks and banned altogether in national seashore parks. The vehicles are destructive.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10450 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must ban ORV use on the National Seashore. It is the only way to meet the obligations of protecting the seashore for future generation and also to protect the wildlife in the area.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10451 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Please pro		and all of it	s inhabitants, than	k you.					
Correspondence ID:	10452	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.									
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.									
	doing so, Act "to co enjoymen	and specifying onserve the scer t of the same ir	only those a nery (of the s such mann	reas set aside for seashore) and the	ORV use, the natural and h ans as will le	e Park Service istoric objects eave them unin	protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organia and the wild life therein and to provide for the apaired for the enjoyment of future tes and specific,			
Correspondence ID:	10453	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation It is horrif & sensele	fic that these pr ss purposes. Pl	ease let thes	e creatures live in	peace, & not	be stressed by	or survival are threatened by such rediculous, these vehicles that absolutely do not belong they way God created it, & intended it to be.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 is.gov erintendent Mu	10641 rray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very Seashore.	concerned abo	ut the Nation	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National			
	made 153		g plovers an	d other shorebirds			Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened			
	wildlife. 7	The proposed particular the proposed particular the proposed particular terms of ter	lan sets asid	e currently only 2	6 of the 67 to	tal miles of the	rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and			
		clude current be	· ·	-		-	onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this g plovers and sea turtles that rely on the			
	plan to ind Hatteras S	clude current be	affers and of	-		-	*			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	plan to ind Hatteras S Thank you 10455 private Aug,31,20 regulation Please set	u for your cons Project: 011 00:00:00 Is.gov aside more lan	d for protec	her explicit protect Document: ting wildlife, hum	41993 ans have more	dlife like pipin Private: re than enough	*			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	plan to ind Hatteras S Thank you 10455 private Aug,31,20 regulation Please set	u for your cons Project: 011 00:00:00 Is.gov aside more lan	d for protec	her explicit protect	41993 ans have more	dlife like pipin Private: re than enough	ng plovers and sea turtles that rely on the			

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I will keep this comment simple. I am writing to oppose any off-road vehicles permitted at Cape Hatteras. Thank you for considering my comment.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10457 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving							
	America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10458 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Unregulated driving on Cape Hatteras beaches is not a necessary part of the recreational experience there. Too much damage occurs to wildlifeespecially nesting shore birdsto allow this to continue. We have an obligation to try to protect nature from the ever-increasing hordes of humans who unwittingly destroy what they love.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10459 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.							
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.							
	Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural heritage.							
Correspondence ID: Name:	10460 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10461Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govSAVE SHORE								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10462Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govYOff the road vehicles, do not belong on beaches, anywhere.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10463Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPlease save our natural resources so that others may have the pleasure of enjoying them in the future.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10464 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to protect our wildlife . We are so short sited and only a care about the penny we gain now. Not the destruction.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10465 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep off road vehicles off the sensitive beaches at Cape Hatteras. A general ban would reduce costs of enforcing a partial ban, as ORV drivers are often driving too fast to read or heed signs. Please keep them away from sensitive habitats and nesting sites.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10466 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Wildlife has enough difficulty surviving. It doesnt help them by make it harder to survive by destroying their environment.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10467 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	U	10641 iderstand no	Document: t to kill our own w	41993 vorld?	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	ee restrictions	10641 put into ope	Document: eration. Unfortuna	41993 tely, people o	Private:	Y be able to police themselves when it comes to
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 oad vehicles	Document:	41993 Natural Seas	Private:	Y protection of wildlife habitiats
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation We are kil	ling off our pl		Document: fe at a remarkable il system that is av			Y event it (due to someone having fun on a
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 our world. th	Document: is is the only one v	41993 we have	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I have visi	ted Hatteras a					Y nicles are allowed access to that area, it will nat visit and make their home near Hatteras.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation All the wi happen to for these a	ldlife in this and and or visit a nimals to raise	n area of the e their babie	shore that is not d	lrive-free, the afe for wildl	ey stand a great	Y re they nest and raise their babies and if they t risk of being run over. That is not a safe way ry so much about people's "FUN" in driving i
Correspondence ID: Name:	10475 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There are enough ORV areas without sacrificing critical wildlife areas. The ORV's can choose other locations, these animals can not.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10476 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10477 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I lived in Florida for years when turtle nests were endangered by cars on Daytona Beach. Sometimes nests were vandalized. Sometimes turtle hatchlings were lured away from the ocean by lights on shore. Sometimes baby turtles were run over by cars.							
	These were cars on the beach, not ORVs on the beach and dunes and all over the place. Not only sea turtle nests but nesting birds will be endangered by ORVs, and other beach dwelling animals will be chased and terrorized. The sandy dunes will be torn up. People on ORVs evidently have no computcion about destroying wild animals, much less plants, much less the natural setting. They only seem to care about speeding around off-road.							
	Please do not allow unlimited ORV use on our Cape Hattaras National Seashore. If limited use is permitted, funding must also be made available to enforce the rules. Thank you for reading my comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10478 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am writing to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	ORV use impairs park resources by diminishing access and increasing safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	The Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation. Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.							

Thank you,

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10479 private Aug 31 20	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Put as much of the are off limits to ORVs and vehicles as possible. My daughter was nearly hit by passing vehicles when sands shift. No vehicles should be allowed unless the occupants are handicapped. Ou family supports enforceable, science protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	10480 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Why woul				usage of off-	road vehicles?	We are compelled to protect natural areas, r		
	It is time found	or right choice	esalways h	as been sobut sh	ort-sightedne	ess (and comme	ercial interests) leaves damage that cannot be		
							l use of vehicles on beaches and other too fe vith nature's pricelessness.		
	Thank you	for any and e	very sound	decision you make	e to safeguard	l the area of Ca	pe Hatteras (and elsewhere).		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	0	10641 estruction o	Document: f helpless creature	41993 s that share t	Private:	Y et with us.		
Correspondence ID:	10482	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov imple fix that	10641 would do so	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.								
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.								
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.								
	-			•			3 years of temporary protections, that numbers numbers could easily decline.		
	All it takes	s is one wrong	step by a pi	ping plover into a	n area that is	not protected,	and it could be run over.		
	advocates	want the entire	e seashore o	* * *	ng. Tell the I	Park Service in	ife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV stead that you support specific, enforceable,		

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10484 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I am aware that the seven	which exist to	protect habitats	for rare, threa	•	÷ .	pen, wild, undeveloped beach fe, and are also the last best
	increased wildlife mortal specific science-based mo	ity and dwind easures like r and winterin ord numbers	dling populations no-driving buffers of shorebirds. Und we have heard th	We know th around nesti der that tempo at threatened	at wildlife pro ng birds and t orary plan, pro and endanger	otections work urtles, their nest otected species h red sea turtles la	rian visitors, accompanied by the Park Service has enforced s, chicks, and hatchlings, and have been rebounding, with id 153 nests in 2010,
	therein and to provide for enjoyment of future gene endorceable, scientific-ba	nem and spec ganic Act "to the enjoyme rations." To ased protection as to allow w	tifying only those conserve the scerent of the same in remedy this flaw, ons for wildlife ar vildlife and other	areas set asic nery (of the se such manner the Park Serv ad pedestrians users sufficient	de for ORV us eashore) and the and by such a vice must desi is in the regula nt access to the	se, the Park Serv he natural and h neans as will le gnate both ORV tion. The regula	tice falls short in its istoric objects and the wild life ave them unimpaired for the 7 routes and specific,
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10485 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mun	10641 тау,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seasho		ent on the Nationa	ıl Park Servic	e's proposed r	egulation for m	anaging ORV use of Cape
	generations. As written, t area should be closed to b include specific, enforcea	he regulation beach driving ble, science-	prohibits ORVs If the Park Serv based protections	year round of ice does not of for wildlife	n only 26 of the close the entire and pedestriar	ne 67 total miles e Seashore to be as in the regulation	tect this resource for future of Seashore beach. The entire ach driving, then I urge you to on. I support non-driving s for migrating and wintering
	add buffers and other spe	cific, mandat hat strictly ac	tory wildlife prote	ections to the	regulation. I u	arge you to inclu	simply not acceptable. Please ide science-based protections e USGS Protocols for Cape
	Thank you for taking my	comments ir	nto consideration.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National Seashore. The se beach on the eastern seac	even national oast of the U ed wildlife, b	l seashores on the nited States. The put represent the 1	Atlantic Oce se nationally ast best place	ean represent t significant pla s where Amer	he last vestiges ices not only pro- ricans can visit v	vild beach environments that
	for pedestrian visitors, ale protections work. For the buffers around nesting bi shorebirds. Under that ten	ong with incr past several rds and turtle mporary plan orary plan, th	eased wildlife mo years, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie areatened and end	ortality and d ervice has ent cks, and hatcl s have been r langered sea t	windling popu forced specific hlings, and pro- rebounding, w	lations. On the c science-based ptections for mig ith some reprod	measures like no-driving
	doing so, and specifying	only those ar	eas set aside for O	ORV use, the	Park Service	falls short in its	s proposed regulation. By obligations under the Organic therein and to provide for the

enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10487 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10488 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protect North Carolina's Cape Hatteras National Seashore now, and always!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10489 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10490 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There is plenty of open land everywhere for people to ride their ATV's they don't need to ride on the beach and chance killing and destroying a natural habitat. This is NOT "OUR PLANET" it also belongs to the wildlife here too-people are so sellfish when it comes to animals, I have no respect for human beings that act in this manner.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10491 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Based on experience with and observation of bird, turtle, horseshoe crab, and wildlife protection of threaten or endanger species in Delaware amd Indiana (Nat; Lake Shore), much more needs to be done to than proposed in these ORV regulations, both in areas restricted from ORV access, but also in mandating specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers within and around the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10492 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.							

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round

on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations."

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10493 Projec private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov):00	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Please PROTECT 10494 Projet private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintende	et: 10641	e Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	I am very concerne Seashore.	ed about the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed reg	gulation for ma	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National		
		Piping plovers an	nd other shorebirds			Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened		
	wildlife. The propo	osed plan sets asic	le currently only 2	5 of the 67 to	tal miles of the	rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and		
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for you	r consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10495 Projec private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Please eliminate al Cape Hatteras Nati):00 l vehicle traffic e			Private: roads in the Na	Y ational Park system, especially on beaches or		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:):00 V from driving or				Y beaches and shoreline that are home to nestin RV do not belong on the beaches.		
	Thank you for you time and consideration on this urgent matter.							
	Sincerely, Karen A. Haskett							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10497 Projet private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Please stop sensele trash.):00	Document:	41993 hose creature	Private:	Y nature doesn't deserve to be treated like		
	We are stewards of	f this home Earth	-					
	Stand Up and do w	that is the right th	ing to do for our u		1	the tide of destruction inflicted in the past and		

STOP THE GREED and selfishness that is the downfall of OUR species. Think beyond the present----!!!!!

Thank	you

	Thank you						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10498 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please close the Cape Hatteras National Seashore to beach driving. if you absolutely MUST allow destructive vehicles on this national treasure, make sure there are specific, enforceable, scientific protections to the animals that depend on that beach for survival.						
	Thank you, Greta Ratliff						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10499 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10500 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose off road vehicle use that is unrestricted. Endangered and threatened sea turtles and shorebirds as well as other wildlife need permanent and effective protection.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10501 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.						
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.						
	Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10502 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Anything/any measures than can be taken to protect the wildlife on Cape Hatteras is necessary. We are losing thousands of species every day. When they are gone, they are gone forever. With the population now at 7 billion, it is critical that our wild areas be protected for the future, or man will develop every inch of space, build high rises, destroy the land, water, animals. For those few of us who battle to preserve the planet, there are millions who are hell-bent on destroying everything on the planet. Progressive action to protect our national parks, state parks, land, water, animals is vital to our existence.						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10503 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.							
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10504 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10505 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.							
	One small example that speaks volumes for what NEEDS to be done. A no-brainer: ecosystem healthy.? We all survive. Anything less is suicide.!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10506 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov No off road vehicles please. Defend wildlife.							

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	down a beach, how about that why there ARE parks when ONE person on a 4 plan. Many of us with mo rights, but I don't?	giving them ? Not for goi wheeler start ney to spend	their own SMAL ing really fast & r ed buzzing back . Also has made r	L area to do naking alot o & forth. It dr ne leery of g	it in and leave of noise. I Was ove all the rest oing back there	Y omeone wants to do is go really fast up & the rest for us quiet, nature loving folk? Isn't enjoying a quiet day on the beach recently of us off the beach. Which I think was the e. All for 1 teen? Why did he have all the I vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10508 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please be considerate of t	10641 he wild life a	Document: nd take action to	41993 help.	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Seashore. Under the curre endangered wildlife woul the proposed regulations	ent interim pl d be impacte rreat wildlife	an wildlife has se d. More vehicle-f protection as an o	en huge gain ree areas are option which	s in the area. In needed to prot is not acceptal	Y aging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National f you expand ORV use threatened and lect wildlife and people. As it is now written ble. Please change this plan to include current of the Hatteras Seashore
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10510Project:privateAug,31,2011ou:00:00regulations.govIt is insane that animals d	10641 ie because of	Document: this. People will	41993 not suffer if	Private:	Y e on the beach. Let's not be so selfish!!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10511 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mur As a bird watcher and fre		Document:	41993 Banks, Lam	Private:	Y d about the National Park Service's proposed
	regulation for managing (Under the current interim made 153 in 2010. Piping the Seashore could greatly I strongly support regulat wildlife. The proposed pla	DRV use on (plan, protect plovers and y affect threa ion of ORVs an sets aside	Cape Hatteras Nat ed wildlife has se other shorebirds a tened and endang at the Seashore, t currently only 26	tional Seasho een huge gain are reboundin gered wildlife out the Park S of the 67 tot	ore. Is in the area. S Ing. I am conce Service plan pr al miles of the	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, rned that the expansion of ORV use across otects beach drivers more than it does Seashore for year-round wildlife and e areas are needed for wildlife and
	regulation for managing of Under the current interim made 153 in 2010. Piping the Seashore could greatly I strongly support regulat wildlife. The proposed pl- pedestrian use with open pedestrians. As it is currently written,	DRV use on 0 plan, protect plovers and y affect threa ion of ORVs an sets aside to year-round the proposed ffers and oth	Cape Hatteras Nat ed wildlife has se other shorebirds a tened and endang at the Seashore, t currently only 26 l or seasonal beac regulations treat	tional Seashc een huge gain are reboundin ered wildlife out the Park S of the 67 tot th driving. M wildlife prot	ore. as in the area. S ng. I am conce Service plan pr al miles of the ore vehicle-fre ection as optio	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, rned that the expansion of ORV use across otects beach drivers more than it does Seashore for year-round wildlife and

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10513 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service	10641 is poised to	Document:	41993 as for beach o	Private: driving on Cap	Y e Hatteras National Seashore.
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.					
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year round and seasonal beach driving.					
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, all recent successes could easily decline.					
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.					
	We citizens support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.					
	Thank you.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10514 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please see the attachments	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	*					Y ant natural habitat areas need to be set aside to protect such areas in proximity to human
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10516 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please see the attachments	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10517 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr	10641 ay,	Document:	41993	Private:	Υ
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.					

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

~									
Correspondence ID: Name:	10518 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00)							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov								
Correspondence:		bout the effect	t of driving on the	Cape Hattera	as National Sea	ashore. While some want unlimited driving of			
	all the seashore, I strongly support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and vehicle-free areas for nesting.								
						nore. This policy does nothing to protect vita fic protections for wildlife nesting areas.			
Correspondence ID:	10519 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private	_							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00)							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov	e of using off-r	ood vehicles on n	istina haacha	e dunes etc. h	as to stop. It is is primitive form of selfish			
correspondence.	pleasure intelligent hu			istine beach	es, unles etc. In	as to stop. It is is primitive form of series			
Correspondence ID:	10520 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private	n							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov)							
Correspondence:	Not respecting other 1	ife forms in ou	r planet is crimina	llv negligent	and obscene!				
					and obsectio.				
Correspondence ID:	10521 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private	0							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov)							
Correspondence:	Please see the attachm	ients							
Correspondence ID:	10522 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private	n							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00)							
Correspondence:	regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure and as such all 67 miles should be controlled. No vehicles should be								
F	allowed on it. Vehicles result in air pollution, ground damage, death to flora and fauna, and noise pollution. Additionally, off-								
	road vehicles are typically the highest source of some criteria air pollutants. Humans who prefer vehicles over hiking and biking								
	are generally less conscientious about polluting and about nature. I come from a family who has utilized most recreational types								
	of vehicles and while we are unusually conscientious about nature and we usually pick up other people's garbage I still see that vehicles, especially 2 stroke and 4 stroke, are VERY damaging to the environment and quite annoying to others who are								
	that vehicles, especial trying to enjoy nature			KY damaging	g to the environ	iment and quite annoying to others who are			
			1.						
Correspondence ID:	10523 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private	2							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00)							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov	Annor							
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent I	viuitay,							
	I am very concerned a Seashore.	bout the Natio	onal Park Service's	proposed rea	gulation for ma	naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National			
	Under the current inte	rim plan prote	ected wildlife bas	seen huge go	ins in the area	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007			

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,

made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10524 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10525 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There will always be people pushing limits. So it is with people who want to drive vehicles without any restriction on beaches, in this case Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	This National Seashore is, and should be, many things. It's a vacation spot to people who would drive vehicles on it and to people who would walk and leave no trace behind. It's a wild ecosystem and habitat for many, many species of animals and plants. And it's the fragile edge of the NC coast, subject to change by both natural and human forces.							
	The problem with unlimited access for driving is that it would substantially harm the seashore and its ecosystem, leaving it unable to support many of the species that depend on itsome of them already in decline due to loss of coastal habitat from human encroachment. They are part of, intrinsic to, and necessary in this place. While some might look upon the seashore and see only sand, this is a living biosphereand must be preserved as such.							
	Being a National Seashore, it MUST be protected as the wild, crucial place it is. In recent time humans have developed vehicles that can be driven on sand; without thoughtful and stringent protection to natural coastal ecosystems, they will be destroyed.							
	There is no national park or resource where human activity is allowed to damage the resource itselfCape Hatteras can be no exception. Seashores are perhaps the most fragile of all such places, and must be carefully preserved despite pressure from those who are short-sighted and self-centered in their demands.							
	The damage from beach-driving is already evident. Birds, nests, eggs, newborn turtles, etc. are no match for multi-ton vehicles.							
	There should be a FRACTION of the area of this National Seashore available for driving, and the VAST MAJORITY of it preserved for non-destructive human activities and wildlife.							
	Future generations will thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10526Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govThis proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.							

The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-

round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10527 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received:	*	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulation							
Correspondence:	Please do not allow off road vehicles to destroy natural areas for wildlife.							
Correspondence ID:	10528	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name:	private	11.00.00.00						
Received: Correspondence Type:	regulation	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence:		rintendent Mu	rray,					
	the Hattera other wild wildlife an Please stre	as National Sea life in recent y ad pedestrian u angthen the pro	ashore. Allo ears. The pr se with the p otections to y	wing expanded us oposed plan would rest open to beach wildlife and pedes	e of ORVs w d only protec driving. Mor trians in the j	vould jeopardiz et 26 of the 67 t re vehicle-free plan by includin	forth in the proposed regulations of OR ¹ e the gains made by sea turtles, shorebird otal miles of the Seashore for year-round areas are needed for wildlife and pedestr ng mandatory protective measures for wi populations and their nesting ground.	ds and 1 rians.
	Thank you	for your cons	ideration.					
Correspondence ID:	10529	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name:	private	11 00 00 00						
Received:		11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov There is no reason that people can't enjoy the seashore and still have wildlife and their nests and habitat be safe. If the current guidelines are working to protect the birds and turtles, then make them the rule rather than the exception.							
	guidennes			bilds and turtles,			ner man me exception.	
Correspondence ID: Name:	10530	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received:	private Aug 31 20	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation The Nation help our w Pennicamp bad enoug	s.gov nal Park Servio rildlife survive o in Key Largo h we allow dri	, reproduce), FL. Do we lling (and sp	and prosper. We a have to let alll-te	lready have of rrain vehicle no real safeg	destroyed every s destroy our sl	v places left in the mainland United State y coral reef with the exception of John horelines next? I don't think we should. It let the NPS do what is was intended to o	t is
Correspondence ID:	10531	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulation							
Correspondence:	Haven't w	e done enough	-	vildlife? Stop the o oward living thing			less ones on the shores where they shoul	d be
Correspondence ID:	10532	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private Aug 31 20	11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulation							
Correspondence:	Regarding Motorized esthetic va	the drafting o vehicles being lue of the natu	g driven on l Iral landscap	ands critical to the bes, and endangers	e survival of the survival	nesting birds a of not only the	ide of habitat protections for wildlife. nd turtles serves no purpose, detracts from se species but diminishes the economic inable eco-tourism.	m the
							eatures who depend on these landscapes f rotections of this unique ecosystem and	for

prohibit use of ORV's on Cape Hatteras.

Thank you for your consideration!

Name: Received:	10533 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type:	regulation								
Correspondence:	No off roa	d vehicles eve		allowed to go will c. all the time. I'd s			We have these jerks going all over the farm !		
Correspondence ID: Name:	10534 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,20 regulation	011 00:00:00							
Correspondence:	Disturbing	g habit of anim					itout going into wildlife areas and dis -rruptins for the riders as well.		
Correspondence ID: Name:	10535 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:		011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation we desper		ave our wild	ilife. Please help u	s to help tho	se who can get	this done!		
Correspondence ID: Name:	10536 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,20	011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Dear Mr. 1								
	species will most certainly be adversely affected if unregulated human interference (especially vehicles) is allowed. Sharing is something we are all taught as children, does it not make sense that sharing ought to include animals who make there home there? Certainly it is possible to strike a balance between man and nature, and you are in a prime position to show yourself to be an excellent example! Thank you for your conseideration,								
	Thank you	1 for your cons	eideration,						
	Thank you Lynn Schl		eideration,						
	Lynn Schl		eideration, 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,20 regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov	10641				Y al vehicle-free areas for nesting.		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,2(regulation I support s 10538	Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov	10641						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,20 regulation I support s 10538 private	Project: Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov specific, enforc Project:	10641 eable, scier	nce-based protection	ons for wildli	fe and addition	al vehicle-free areas for nesting.		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,20 regulation I support s 10538 private Aug,31,20 regulation	Project: Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov specific, enforc Project: 011 00:00:00	10641 eable, scier 10641	nce-based protection	ons for wildli	fe and addition	al vehicle-free areas for nesting.		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,20 regulation I support s 10538 private Aug,31,20 regulation	Project: Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov specific, enforce Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov	10641 eable, scier 10641	nce-based protection	ons for wildli	fe and addition	al vehicle-free areas for nesting.		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,20 regulation I support s 10538 private Aug,31,20 regulation Save the v 10539 private Aug,31,20	Project:)11 00:00:00 s.gov specific, enforce Project:)11 00:00:00 s.gov vaterfront habite Project:)11 00:00:00	10641 eable, scien 10641 :at.	Dece-based protection	ns for wildli 41993	fe and addition Private:	al vehicle-free areas for nesting. Y		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,2(regulation I support s 10538 private Aug,31,2(regulation Save the v 10539 private Aug,31,2(regulation Save the v	Project:)11 00:00:00 s.gov specific, enforc Project:)11 00:00:00 s.gov vaterfront habit Project:)11 00:00:00 s.gov	10641 eable, scien 10641 at. 10641	Document:	41993 41993 41993	fe and addition Private: Private:	al vehicle-free areas for nesting. Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence ID: Name: Correspondence Type: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name:	Lynn Schl 10537 private Aug,31,2(regulation I support s 10538 private Aug,31,2(regulation Save the v 10539 private Aug,31,2(regulation Save the v	Project: Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov specific, enforc Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov vaterfront habit Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov tect the Nation	10641 eable, scien 10641 at. 10641	Document:	41993 41993 41993	fe and addition Private: Private:	al vehicle-free areas for nesting.		

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am a scientist retired from treats I have seen is off road in the national park system	l vehicles trashing these s	or the last 13 year		Y ng native ecosystems. One of the greatest reat to wildlife. I believe they have no place				
	Thank you for considering I	my concerns.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov			Private: rebirds and ot	Y her wildlife. It is integral that any new				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10543 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murra	10641 Document: y,	41993 I	Private:	Y				
	I am very concerned about t Seashore.	the National Park Service	s proposed regula	tion for mana	ging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National				
	-	lovers and other shorebird			a turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, d ORV use across the Seashore, threatened				
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
					al, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plovers and sea turtles that rely on the				
	Thank you for your conside	ration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10544 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov please protect the birds and	10641 Document: nature	41993 I	Private:	Y				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641 Document:		Private: les - they are (Y destroying land and animals while polluting				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov		prebirds, sea turtle	Private:	Y vildlife. This is a terrible stewardship of our				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please think of all the amaz		nly at the complete		Y humans, but also cannot speak for horebirds and other wildlife.				

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10548 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov The purpose of this message is to voice my support for specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use sho not be allowed because of the tremendous toll it takes on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest of the seashore's beaches.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10549 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Why would this be allowed. Only stupidity would let this happen.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10550 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As one of the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach habitat on the Atlantic coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure visited by millions of Americans. It is also home to nesting shore birds and sea turtles who are threatened by off-road vehicle (ORV) use along beaches. During these critical seasons, it is important to curb off-road vehicles to allow these species the space to grow and fledge.							
	Plummeting populations of protected wildlife species at Cape Hatteras are starting to recover thanks to increased management protections from the National Park Service (NPS). However, these protections are temporary while NPS prepares a final ORV management regulation.							
	Please make a permanent protection for these wild life species.							
	Thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10551 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened							
	 Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and 							
	 Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the 							

Please do NOT allow off road vehicles to damage our treasured shorlines.

	Thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10553 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I want to tell the Park Service that you need to support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Wildlife that live and breed on the shoreline areas of our country must be protected. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Please do the right thing humans go to these areas to have 'fun', to the wildlife it's their lives and futures. Thank you, Sue Chard							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10554 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.							
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10555 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "1 appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave th						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10557 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Failure to regulate vehicles driving on the Cape Hatteras beaches is a death knell for wildlife. Please make good strong regulations based on science to protect nesting plovers and sea turtles, among others, and help them survive. It is vitally important for their survival and for all of us who want a more ecologically-balanced world that they be allowed to breed in peace. The tourism generated by a healthy beach ecosytem is also important to North Carolinians. The needs of wildlife should override the desires of a few to use the beach as their personal road. The pollution and beach destruction from vehicles cannot be allowed, especially during breeding season. Please strengthen the rules for beach driving and enlarge the protected areas. After all, this is supposed to be a national seashore reserve, so let's protect what makes it unique.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Concerning ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore: The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. The Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." The Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation. 						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10559 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I find it critical to maintain and protect National Seashores and Parks for vegetation, wildlife and the land and water for current and future generations. As one of the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach habitat on the Atlantic coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure visited by millions of Americans. It is also home to nesting shore birds and sea turtles who are threatened by off-road vehicle						

(ORV) use along beaches. During these critical seasons, it is important to curb off-road vehicles to allow these species the space to grow.

Correspondence ID:	10560 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
Correspondence:	Please work as hard as you can to keep the wonderful wildlife at Cape Hatteras. It is a beautiful area any time of the year. I live in the mountains so do not get all the way to the coast as often as I would like, but the wildlife there is magnificent.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10561 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.	al							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threater and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10562 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments the evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.	d							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Orga Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.	anic							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10563Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govIt is a refuse for birds & other animals & plantlife enforce respect of natural species								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10564 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		se areas pos	es obvious and re	al threats to	the the lives of	wildlife who nest	ations and permits, , feed and live in them. The that means the wildlife who
	This sort of recreational " the expense of our most p						lized access, especially at
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10565 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I see no justifiable reason should, in my view, be pr vehicles, especially when you for providing this opp	imarily reser used in the u	ved for the use of isual heedless or	f permanentl	y or seasonally	indigenous flora	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras Nationa turtles that only created 8: ORV use across the Seash the Seashore, but the Park only 26 of the 67 total mi beach driving. More vehic regulations treat wildlife	1 Seashore. U 2 nests in 200 hore, threater 5 Service plan les of the Sea cle-free areas protection as	Under the current 07, made 153 in 2 and and endanger in protects beach of ashore for year-ro s are needed for y optional, which	interim plan 2010. Piping ed wildlife c drivers more pund wildlife vildlife and p is unacceptal	, protected wild plovers and ot ould be impact than it does wi and pedestriar bedestrians. As ble. Please revi	dlife has seen hug her shorebirds are ed. I strongly sup Idlife. The propose use with open to it is currently wri se this plan to inc	rebounding. If you expand port regulation of ORVs at sed plan sets aside currently year-round or seasonal tten, the proposed
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10567 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please stop ALL offroad	10641 vehicles exce	Document:	41993 are human p	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10568 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There should be a way to	10641 allow (respo	Document:	41993 s without des	Private:	Y Idlife that lives in	these areas.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	evoke the experiences of a It is well-documented that for pedestrian visitors, ald protections work. For the buffers around nesting bir shorebirds. Under that temp 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servic doing so, and specifying of	even national bast of the U d wildlife, b bur predeces t ORV use ir ng with incr past several ds and turtle aporary plan prary plan, th t to the imple e has omittee only those ar ery (of the se	seashores on the nited States. The ut represent the l sors who encoun npairs park resou eased wildlife m years, the Park S s, their nests, chi , protected specie createned and encount ementation of the d these buffers ar eas set aside for G eashore) and the r	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc se have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h	ean represent ti significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include dim windling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife Park Service fi istoric objects a	he last vestiges of ces not only prote- icans can visit will North America. An inished access an lations. On the ot- e science-based mo- tections for migra th some reproduce a nests in 2010, co- protections in its p falls short in its of and the wild life th	open, wild, undeveloped ct habitats for rare, ld beach environments that d increased safety hazards her hand, wildlife easures like no-driving ating and wintering ing in record numbers. For impared to only 82 nests in proposed regulation. By bligations under the Organic herein and to provide for the

Connection de la	10570	Ducioati	10641	Decuments	41002	Drivoto	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10570 private Aug,31,2 regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 15.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	I	
Correspondence:	The impact of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Dear Sup on Cape I	erintendent Mu Hatteras Nation	al Seashore	. Please revise this	plan to inclu	ide current buf	Y e's proposed regulation for managing O fers and other explicit protections for w longs to these animals too.	
	are permi	tted to use huge	e portions o		ir nests and c	hicks could be	Seashore to survive. But if off road vel disturbed or killed. All it takes is one w	
	plan prote Seashore	ects beach drive	ers more that wildlife and	n it does wildlife. d pedestrian use wi	The proposed	d plan sets asid	ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Serve e currently only 26 of the 67 total miles asonal beach driving. More vehicle-free	s of the
	that nest of						d and endangered shorebirds and sea tur ions treat wildlife protection as optional	
	We can live in harmony with wildlife if only more people would respect it. Regulations set forth by government agencies should be the first to set that example for everyone.							
	Thank yo	u for your time	and consid	eration.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Cape Hat wildlife d	teras National S iversity and 67	miles of sh		pacts of unre	estricted off-ro	Y le each year taking in the natural beauty ad vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll o aches.	
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.							
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.							
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.							
	We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving.							
	Thank yo	u for attention	to this matte	er.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10573 private Aug,31,2 regulatior	Project: 011 00:00:00 ns.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec

Correspondence:	Save our shorelines for wildlife. Vehicles do not belong on beaches. Keep them on the highways.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10574 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10575Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govI support a rule/s to limit on/off road vehicle use at the Cape Hatteras National seashore area.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10576 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration. K. Michelle Semaan							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10577Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govGet vehicles OFF the beaches!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10578 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Turtles are terribly vulnerable to the actions of human beings. We must protect them, and it can be done at little cost. Thank you, Gawaine Ross							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10579 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife							
	protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10580 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Regarding off-road vehicles on Cape Hatteras, please add specific protections for wildlife and pedestrian visitors into the ORV regulation to ensure that wildlife recovery continues and that the safety of all visitors is protected. As one of the last vestiges of open, natural, undeveloped beach habitat on the Atlantic coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure. It is also home to nesting shore birds and sea turtles who are threatened by off-road vehicle (ORV) usage along beaches. During these critical seasons, it important to curb off-road vehicles to allow these species the space to grow and thrive.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10581Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
	Sincerely, Kristen Rivard							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10582 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Image: State of the							

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I oppose the use of ORV on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10583Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10584 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Recreational driving should not take precedence over safe pedestrian passage and wildlife habitat at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	The current proposed regulation sets aside areas for off-road vehicles but does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. It also reserves only 26 of the seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife, reserving the rest for year-round beach driving.
	I strongly encourage the National Park Service to establish specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10585 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10586 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please implemen restrictions to prevent offroad vehicle driving that threatens wildlife. Threatened species on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore need protections in order to nest. Please don't let their habitat be destroyed. The future of American wildlife shouldn't be sacrificed for offroad driving. Thank you. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10587 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Regarding off-road vehicles on Cape Hatteras, please add specific protections for wildlife and pedestrian visitors into the ORV regulation to ensure that wildlife recovery continues and that the safety of all visitors is protected. As one of the last vestiges of

open, natural, undeveloped beach habitat on the Atlantic coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure. It is also home to nesting shore birds and sea turtles who are threatened by off-road vehicle (ORV) usage along beaches. During these critical seasons, it is important to curb off-road vehicles to allow these species the space to grow and thrive.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10588 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am apprehensive concerning the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Currently, under the plan in place, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles are creating more nests and piping plovers and other shorebirds are multiplying. If expanded ORV use across the Seashore is allowed, wildlife would be impacted.
	I support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the proposed plan protects beach drivers more than wildlife. More vehicle-fr areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional. This is totally unacceptable to me. I ask that you revi this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10589Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPlease help protect turtles, birds and other wildlife along the coast from off road vehicles. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10590Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govOff-road vehicles have plenty of places to play without damaging sensitive environments that we need in order to preserve habitat for species that are important to preserving the earth's balance.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10591Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govLimit offroad vehicles to protect shoreline animals and plants.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10592 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that numbrose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over. We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10593 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov fix it please
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10594Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govAs one of the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach habitat on the Atlantic coast, Cape Hatteras is a national treasure

visited by millions of Americans. It is also home to nesting shore birds and sea turtles who are threatened by off-road vehicle (ORV) use along beaches. During these critical seasons, it is important to curb off-road vehicles to allow these species the space to grow and fledge.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10595 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:									
Correspondence ID:	10596	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame: Received:	private Aug,31,201	1 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.	.gov							
Correspondence:	For once, let's think about earth's future. These vehicles, and usually it is not just one at a time, but groups of vehicles, going 50+ miles per hour, put ruts in the sand, causing erosion, compacting the sand, disrupting our fragile ecosystem. Some of these								
							n our oceans and streams. Many of our birds		
							stant by a group of off-road vehicles "having		
							an area of devastation behind. Maybe you ca treets and away from our precious ecosystem		
orrespondence ID:	10597	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame: Received:	private Aug,31,201	1.00.00.00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.								
Correspondence:	these off ro	ad vehicles sl	hould not be	allowed on this v	ery delicate s	ite			
Correspondence ID:	10598	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame:	private	1.00.00.00							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,201 regulations								
Correspondence:			Cape Hatter	as National Seash	ore needs to	be protected fro	om off-road vehilcles.		
orrespondence ID:	10599	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
ame: acceived:	private Aug,31,201	1.00.00.00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.								
Correspondence:	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting on Cape Hatteras, NC. PLEASE PROTECT THE WILDLIFE!								
	Hatteras, N	C. PLEASE I	PROTECT	HE WILDLIFE!					
Correspondence ID:	10600	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Vame: Received:	private Aug.31.201	1 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Please restrict off-road vehicle use on the beaches of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Restrictions need to protect wildlife nesting areas, especially.								
annan an dan as ID.	10601	Duciente	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name:	10601 private	Project:	10041	Document:	41995	r nvate:	1		
Received:	Aug,31,201								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.	.gov ect cape hatte	ras seashore	wildlife					
on respondence.	i lease prot	cet cape natie	rus seusitore	whame.					
Correspondence ID:	10602	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame: Received:	private Aug,31,201	1 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.	.gov							
Correspondence:				nly seashore. It's s modity sought in t		vildlife and wo	uld make the visit much more tranquil. Peac		
orrespondence ID:	10603	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame:	private	-							
Received:	Aug,31,201								
Termore and a second second	regulations.gov								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Ha	atteras Nation	al Seashore.	Under the current	interim plan	, protected wil	dlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea		
	on Cape Ha turtles that	atteras Nation only created 8	al Seashore. 82 nests in 2	Under the current 007, made 153 in	interim plan 2010. Piping	, protected wil plovers and ot			

only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10604Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10605 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov recreational sports and entertainment is NOT a higher priority than the lives of seabirds and other coastal wildlife. people can find some other place to race around in their sports vehicles. its not worth killing animals just for the sake of someone's entertainment and "having a jolly good time."
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10606 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Human's have taken over enough of the natural world leaving little behind for the wild. To allow ORV's unlimited or even limited access is unacceptable. I believe there no good enough reason, particularly for entertainment purposes, which I see this to be. Our shore line's should be sacred and protected from any type of machinery that would hurt wildlife. Wildlife has been abused enough and is struggling to survive. Particularly the sea turtles which have so many odds against them. Let the ORV people find another way to entertain themselves that is not at the expense of our shoreline and wildlife.
	Thank you Jennifer Baron
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10607 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Nave an ATV and enjoy riding it very much, however I feel that people who have these vehicles are to follow some simple rules. SLOW DOWN FOR ANIMALS !!! There is no need to tear up the trails or roads running as fast as you can. Enjoy the scenery!! There are others who would love to ride the trails and the beach and don't hurt or kill anything. I don't think it is too much to just follow simple rules when riding.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10608 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov This proposal to allow ORV's practically unlimited access to wildlife areas is an environmental disaster and is completely unnecessary. Protection of wildlife and protection of our public lands is far more important than the people who want to drive ORV's in off limits areas sheerly for recreational enjoyment. I am dumbfounded that this would even be considered.

I have been to Cape Hatteras and have enjoyed the beauty and serenity. My husband have ridden our bikes all over the area and plan to return. We are tax payers and we enjoy our national parks - we do not want this area marred by loud, polluting, destructive vehicles that have no place there. Our parks were created to protect, not destroy. This is a reckless policy and it must not be allowed.

Please vote this proposal down. We have done enough damage to our environment and it must stop.

Sincerely.

Majorie Fritz=Birch

Correspondence ID:	10609	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a U.S. citizen, I am writing to express my opposition to unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other American wildlife.									
	The National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore - yet, the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off-road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.									
	And it reserv		the Seashor				easures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. dlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-			
	For example protections,	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. For example, in 2007 protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.								
	While ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving regardless of the consequences, instead I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.									
	Thank you for considering my comments.									
	Sincerely,									
	Steven Barnes									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g	gov	10641 nment and it	Document: s inhabitants. We	41993 need them, e	Private: especially since	Y e there are few of them left.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g	gov	10641 e for for cap	Document: be Hatteras to ban	41993 off road veh	Private: icles. The sea t	Y urtles and birds are defenseless against these			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations. I oppose unr well as a rec	gov restricted off i reational acti	vity, there n		nat protect th	e animals that i	Y chicle use can be used an educational tool as inhabit those areas. Protecting the creatures			
Correspondence ID: Name:	10613 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. ORVs do not belong on such delicate lands at national seashores. These areas are the last vestiges of natural homes for too many endangered or threatened species, regardless if they are so designated (or not designated) by our government. It is the responsibility of NPS to be stalwart guardians of the natural world and, incidentally, let people observe the natural world without endangering it. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.						
	Thank you for considering my comments, presented to you in the name of wildlife and natural areas, which you are instructed to protect from harm, especially harm by people.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10614 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I'm just a "regular" citizen trying to protect our environment. Please include protection from off-road vehicles for our wonderful National Parks Systems like Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10615 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I believe it is important to provide extra protection for wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and am in favor of this special regulations proposal						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10616 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles should not be allowed on beaches. It is so sad to see the wildlife destroyed due to mans continued carelessness.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10617Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govOff-road driving is destructive. Please protect the wildlife.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10618 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and						

pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10619 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov								
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I ask that in creating guidelines for Off Road Vehicles in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, you keep foremost in your thoughts the preservation of the beach and its wildlife. Please use science-based information to make decisions that will protect the integrity of the beach itself as well as the many animals that use the beaches. Nesting beaches for endangered sea turtles are just one example of what it is very important to save. These amazing, ancient creatures face many threats to their survival as it is, and we should do everything humanly possible to protect their nesting habitat so that they can continue to survive. There are many other species equally dependent upon the beaches and our good stewardship of them. Please keep them in mind as you form regulations for ORV use in the national park. Thank you.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10620Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPlease take a stand for wildlife!								
	The National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.								
	The proposal only sets aside areas for Off Road Vehicles and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.								
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.								
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.								
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.								
	Thank you for your consideration!								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10621Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govPlease help save our wildlife!!								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10622 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I I don't see the point in allowing vehicles to drive on our beaches. With the exception of emergency vehicles or beach patrols, no other vehicles should be allowed on our beaches. Beach wildlife, people, and motorized vehicles do not mix. I go to the beach to enjoy the peace of the ocean, not to listen to motors and breath noxious fumes. Keep vehicles off the beaches and away from wildlife nesting areas.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10623Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,								

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	U	10641 Animal advo	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Threatene	d species like j		Document: rs rely on lands al the Seashore, the	0 1		Y Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles disturbed.	
	I strong oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens shorebirds, sea turtles and other wildlife. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. I ask the National Park Service to adopt to adopt the strongest possible regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
		al spaces are p 1, Cynthia Hill			in their best	interest which	ch is truly in all of our best interest.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 s.gov erintendent Mu	10641 urray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you	1 for your cons	ideration.					

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10627 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr	10641 ay,	Document:	41993	Private:	Υ		
	I am very concerned about Seashore.	the Nationa	ll Park Service's J	proposed regu	ulation for man	aging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National		
		plovers and	other shorebirds			ea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, nd ORV use across the Seashore, threatened		
	wildlife. The proposed pla	n sets aside o	currently only 26	of the 67 tot	al miles of the	otects beach drivers more than it does Seashore for year-round wildlife and e areas are needed for wildlife and		
	-	· ·	-	-	· ·	nal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plovers and sea turtles that rely on the		
	Thank you for your consid	eration.						
	Christine Tapparo							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10628 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr	10641 ay,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	As a former coastal resident, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consid	eration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10629 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Less driving is always a ge	10641 bood thing. Ve	Document:	41993 long on the b	Private: each with nesti	Y ng and foraging wildlife.		
Correspondence ID: Name:	10630 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please ban all-terrain vehic	cles to save v	wildlife at this in	periled seash	ore park. Than	k you.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10631 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please support science based protection for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10632 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I think it best to provide restricted areas for ORV recreation, and prohibit ORV use in other areas. Obviously the selection of these areas should be weighted in favor of preserving wildlife habitat. ORV's can play just about anywhere, and typically folks are very rough on the areas they choose to ride. I also think it wise to provide substantial buffer zones between areas to that wildlife is not scared off by the noise and human presence.					
	Thank you.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10633 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Keep off road vehicles off of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. they already have plenty of places to play.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10634 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Just because someone spends thousands of dollars on an ATV they think they should have the right to ride it anywhere they please with no consideration for others. If we destroy everything in our paths until there is nothing of nature left but a wasteland then we are no better than COCKROACHES! COCKROACHES!					
	Jane F. Miller					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10635 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please be considerate of the wildlife - they are only doing what nature intended and do not deserve to have their natural habitat disrupted by the reckless behavior of humans.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10636Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPlease save our widelife. It is one of the most precious gifts in the world.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10637 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Boy you must not care about the future generation of children or you would stop killing and distroying thier future with animals and fowls of all kind. What a shame!!!!! With all you can do something about to make a difference you choose to distroy Mother Nature. How incrediablely vain of you. I'll definetly remember this at election time! Sincerely: Mary M. King					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10638 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park ServCice's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over. We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife , and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Please support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.					

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10639 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	The right to life extends to all life.							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
	Craig Hamann							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10640 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is essential that the critical nesting habitat of the animals in Cape Hatteras be protected from ORVs so that the areas natural beauty may be preserved for generations to come.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10641Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about theNational Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on CapeHatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that onlycreated 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirdsare rebounding. If you expand ORV useacross the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-roundor seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, theproposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which isunacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit/protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on theHatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for yourconsideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10642 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Inope the lady who chose to stay behind with her dog because she had no family & didn't know of any emergency shelters that accept pets got through Hurricane Irene ok. I hope she and her dog are fine, along with the other residents of the Outer Banks.							

Correspondence ID:	10643 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	As a regular visitor to Hatteras Island over the last 40 years I have rejoiced in the fact that we have preserved such beautiful pristine beaches where wildlife may flourish. This letter is to raise my voice in protest against regulations which would increase the use of ORVs in some sections. This park is an irreplaceable resource and I am dismayed at the prospect of diminishing the area for sea turtles and birds and other wildlife.							
	Please register this letter as a strong protest against these proposed new (de)regulations.							
	Sincerely,							
	Walter Coppedge Professor Emeritus, Virginia Commonwealth University							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10644 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please use your judgment & regulate this sort of traffic on our National Seashores. If you lack judgment, you should be able to obtain it by renting a room in your house to someone looking for a place to live.							
Correspondence ID:	10645 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov please take into consideration the wildlife that life on your shores and stop vehicles from killing them. Cars belong on the road, not on the shore where people go to relax and many species live and nest.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10646 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10647 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10648 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10649 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10650 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						

Received:Aug,31,2011 00:00:00Correspondence Type:regulations.govCorrespondence:Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10651Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govI urge the National Park Service to reconsider the omission of buffers and other successful wildlife protections in proposedregulation for the Cape Hatteras Seashore. Cape Hatteras is a beautiful place. I was lucky to have spent several summers at the National Seashore in the 1950s and have enjoyed repeat visits over the years.									
	seacoast o wildlife, l	of the United St out represent the	ates. These e last best p	nationally signific	ant places no icans can vis	ot only protect i it wild beach e	open, wild, undeveloped beach on the easter habitats for rare, threatened, and endangere nvironments that evoke the experiences of			
	designate measures migrating in record	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs leads to the destruction of natural beauty, that the National Park Service is designated to protect. Wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	regulation areas set	n for the Cape H aside for ORV u	latteras Sea use, the Parl	shore. By excludin	ng these buffe rt in its oblig	ers and wildlife ations under th	ful wildlife protections in its proposed e protections and by specifying only those le Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of ide for the enjoy			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	d the environm	10641 ent need all	Document: the help they can	41993 get. Perhaps	Private: it's time, as a c	Y country, to consider toys and games that do			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 ns.gov lp this beautiful	10641 place	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 will protect	Document: sensitive areas by	41993 restricting th	Private:	Y rized vehicles!			
Correspondence ID:	10655	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10656 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10657Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govPrivate:YDear Madam / Sir:Sir:Sir:Sir:Sir:						
	Please ensure that the proposed beach driving regulations for the Hatteras Seashore include strong provisions to protect nesting shore birds and turtles.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10658 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted use of ORV's. Your tresure of a seashore should be protected for the sea turtles, shorebirds, and other wildlife that depend on this habitat. Human traffic on foot is even damaging, but ORV's are a recipe for disaster. Visitors can enjoy their stay without impacting wildlife. It is possible. Please consider reserving more of the 67 miles of beach for pedestrains and wildlife. A habitat is a terrible thing to waste. Thank you.						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 0 regulations.gov Please stop the	, use of off road vehic	· ·			Y there. Were using eve eproduce. Help give w			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10660 Pr private Aug,31,2011 0 regulations.gov Dear Superinte	,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very conc Seashore.	erned about the Natio	nal Park Service's	proposed regi	ulation for mai	naging ORV use on C	ape Hatteras National		
	made 153 in 20		nd other shorebirds				reated 82 nests in 2007, he Seashore, threatened		
	wildlife. The p	roposed plan sets asic	le currently only 26	5 of the 67 tot	al miles of the	rotects beach drivers a Seashore for year-rou ee areas are needed fo	und wildlife and		
	plan to include	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for	Thank you for your consideration.							
	Lisa C. Park								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10661 Pr private Aug,31,2011 0 regulations.gov Dear Superinte	,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	As someone who cares about protecting our wildlife and especially endangered species like shorebirds and sea turtles, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for	your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 0 regulations.gov		Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to protect this great area of our country as much as possible.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10664 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife. Please please please keep them safe. Thank you. ~Jean McEvoy								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10665 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "PLEASE PROTECT THESE CREATURES FROM HARM AND MAKE ALL OF IT RESTRICTED AREAS!! BE THEIR GUARDIANS!!"	R							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10666 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threaten and endangered wildlife could be impacted.	07,							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. I look around and I see a very different environment then what I had when I was a little girl. I dont want my daughter to resent me for any further destruction to our environment.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10667Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govWe need to protect what species are left. Please enact legislation that will curtail these deaths.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10668 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to protect the areas of nesting shore birds while also doing what we can to prevent further erosion of our great beach ATVs and other vehicles that destroy the fragile ecosystem of those shorelines should not be permitted.	hes.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10669 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is important that humans not destroy the planet. Apparently they can only be stopped from doing this with regulations. Not enough humans actually care about the planet they live on to protect it for teir successors. Please make sure that there are regulations in place to prevent off road vehicles from tearing up everything that isn't a road.	t							
Correspondence ID:	10670 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Under current proposals only 26 miles of dunes and shoreline at Cape Hatteras National Seashore are protected from dune buggy hotrodders. This is a disgrace! Wildlife (sea turtles and sea birds) need protected undisturbed areas to nest. They have no other. These areas should also restrict hikers. Hikers should have their own quiet places to walk undisturbed by idiots on noisy dune buggies. The National Park Service is giving in to the lowest common denominator. Dune Buggies pollute, destroy dunes, and are a menace to the safety of everyone and everything. They should not have the lion's share of the seashore. They should be banned!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10671Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011Aug,31,2011negulations.govPlease outlaw off road vehicles on the shorelines.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10672 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Why is it that the rule in libraries is "No Talking"? Certainly restricting library patrons from talking, ruins their fun and is an inconvenience to some of them. Allowing patrons to talk is an inconvenience to others who are there to work, read, and research. Since the primary purpose of a library precludes a lot of talking, the decision is made to restrict noise. Not opening up more of the seashore to the use of Off Road Vehicles, may inconvenience and spoil the fun of the beach users
	who own an orv and want to use it. However, the activities that they participate in are not conducive to the preservation of the beautiful, rare and fragile landscape of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. ORVs do extensive damage to sand dunes, as well as the local and sometimes endangered flora and fauna enjoyed by so many and deserving of protection. Therefore the decision should be to minimize the use of ORVs to the current limits and no further.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10673 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act. To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation. Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in pre
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10674 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By

doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10675 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Off roads vehicles should NOT be allowed on the beaches. They destroy wildlife habitat, sand dunes and the peace and quiet that tourists come to these places for, thus hurting the local economy.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10676 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore should limit all ORV traffic on this national treasure that is visited by millions of people ead year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. The impact of unrestricted off-road vehicles (ORV) use is creates an unacceptable, unnecessary impact on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that no on the seashore's beaches.							
	Defenders of Wildlife and its partners support the National Park Service in their move to adopt restrictive regulations for bear driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Unfortunately, the proposed regulation does NOT go far enough. It does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.							
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drive And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for ye round and seasonal beach driving.							
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildli This needs to be extended and expanded. I support restriction on all ORV travel at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10677 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10678Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDo not weaken regulations protecting wildlife on North Carolina beaches.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10679 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please include rules protecting wildlife and wildlife habitat and nesting areas in any decisions made about ORVs in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10680 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,					
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.	1				
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.					
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.					
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise the plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.	nis				
	Thank you for your consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10681 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There's nothing like the quiet enjoyment of the sea. Unfortunately the sound of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) shatters the quiet. More importantly, allowing ORV's endangers wildlife nesting on the seashore's beaches. Please do what you can to restrict as much of the vehicle traffic on the beaches. For the sake of our critters and people who we to enjoy mother nature in a quiet and pristine state.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10682 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please restrict driving on beaches where birds nest. Unlimited driving priledges will greatly endanger many shorebirds and reduce their numbers in the future. Please protect them.	_				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10683Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug.31,201100:00:00regulations.govPlease prohibit off road vehicles from our beaches! The animals need our protection.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10684 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles should not be permitted in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. These people have other places to ride their vehicles. Please protect this seashore and the endangered animals that live there and do not allow off road vehicles. Thank you					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10685Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govPlease protect our precious wildlife	_				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10686 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	_				

Correspondence Type: regul Correspondence: Pleas

regulations.gov Please stop all ORV traffic from shorelines where innocent wildlife nest, seek refuge. There are plenty of authorized area's for people to enjoy this activity safely where nothing is harmed in the process. Our shorelines have been the habitat for many creatures such as sea turtles, birds since the beginning of time. To allow this activity I consider, cruelty to animals on all levels. In addition to ruining the scenic landscape where millions of people visit to enjoy its breath takingbeauty. Please think twice before allowing ORV's access to our shorelines.

Thank You, Andrea Crawford

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10687 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The fact is that off road vehicles should not be allowed in areas such as this one. These places with wildlife senstive to such activies should just be off limits - no question. It's a beautiful place that needs total protection from this type of thing. It is just too sensitive an area.
	We read about this off and on - it happens in the desert areas as well. People forget all about all the wildlife out there. I'm sure the off road folks can find another place where habitat destruction and disruption to wildlife is not as critical an issue. It's not hard to sacrifice a bit for the greater good!
	Thank you!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea
	turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10690 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Hatteras National Seashore. I vacation in this area often and would prefer that vehicles be banned totally. However, I understand that a balanced approach that allows all users to enjoy the National Seashore is important. To that end, I support a specific regulation to manage the use of ORVs within the Seashore. The regulation must include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state, and it should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation as proposed will not protect the Seashore's natural resources if it does not mandate specific, science-based protections for the species that live and breed at the Seashore. Such protections include non-driving buffers around nesting areas on the beach. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation protects only the rights of ORV users to drive on large areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife, and it treats wildlife protection as optional. This is unacceptable to me. Please add buffers, increase areas or seasonal times that are off limits to ORVs and institute other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. An ORV-centric approach does not reflect my values and it does not reflect the balanced approach that must prevail, and it certainly will not help the wildlife that uses the Seashore to survive and thrive.

	Thank you to	or the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10691 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles simply have no place on our National Seashores. What a ridiculous and wasteful bit of foolishnes: more need to drive on a seashore than there is to plant a tent on the L.A. Freeway. Time for a reality check and stop insanity as driving about everywhere "because we can" or "because we are lazy".								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please get off-road vehicles away from wildlife nesting areas! This ecosystem is too fragile for ORVs to be driving throu							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10693 I private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g Dear Superin	ov	10641 тау,	Document:	41993	Private:	Υ		
	made 153 in and endanger I strongly sup wildlife. The	2010. Piping ed wildlife o pport regulat proposed pl	g plovers and could be imp ion of ORV an sets aside	d other shorebirds bacted. s at the Seashore, e currently only 20	are rebound but the Park 5 of the 67 to	ing. If you expansion of the service plan protection of the service of the servic	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened rotects beach drivers more than it does Seashore for year-round wildlife and ee areas are needed for wildlife and		
		le current bu shore.	iffers and ot	ç		*	onal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this g plovers and sea turtles that rely on the		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10694 I private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g We need to p	ov	10641 that are defe	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g	ov	10641 тау, I am ve	Document: ery concerned abo	41993 ut the Nation	Private: al Park Service	Y e's proposed regulation for managing ORV us		

on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10696 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Y						
	regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence:	10697 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.						
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.						
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV us is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non ORV users and wildlife.						
	Thank you for considering these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10698 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, sciencebased protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Aug,31,2011 00:00:00

Received:

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10699 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented the for pedestrian visitors, a protections work. For the buffers around nesting le shorebirds. Under that tem instance, under that tem 2007, the last season pr	long with ind the past severa birds and turt emporary pla porary plan,	creased wildlife m Il years, the Park S les, their nests, chi In, protected speci- threatened and end	ortality and bervice has en icks, and hat es have been dangered sea	dwindling popu nforced specifi chlings, and pro- rebounding, w	alations. On the other c science-based meas otections for migratin ith some reproducing	hand, wildlife ures like no-driving g and wintering g in record numbers. Fo	
Correspondence ID: Name:	10700 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10701 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent M	10641 urray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. ORVs have no place in our national parks, especially in protected wildilfe areas.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your con	sideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10702 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I continue to be amazed by the insensitivity, ignorance the results in problems such as natural wildlife breeding grounds being used as a race trace for motored vehicles. Let then find some other area where they do not threaten life. Yes, life - even if it is not humans. This should be a simple solution - Protect the whole 67 miles as long as people inhabit the area. We do not go to quiet, wild places to hear noise and didge vehicles - or even just hear their noise. Hurricanesdo enough damage to outer banks - face it - it's a fragile area. Please, common sense - this is nature's domain. Thank you						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10703Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI feel shocked that such silliy things are allowed and life is no longer respected!						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10704 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am strongly in favor of intensifying, or at least continuing, regulations on beach driving on national seashores where wildlife are at risk, such as Cape Hatteras. Protecting endangered species like sea turtles and birds should be a priority. Thank you.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10705 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov drive on roads only						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10706 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.						
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.						
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year- bound and seasonal beach driving.						
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.						
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.						
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.						
	As we have seen recently, we must protect our wetlands, seashores from erosion and destruction.						
	The amount of noise and fumes produced by off-road vehicles ruins the outdoor pleasure and experience for most other visitors.						
	Thank you for your efforts to protect our wildlife.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10707 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,

made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	*	00:00	•	•	Private:	Y numan selfishness. Lets leave somet	hing for
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National Seashor beach on the east threatened, and e	00:00 opportunity to com re. The seven nation tern seacoast of the	nal seashores on the United States. The but represent the	e Atlantic Oc ese nationally last best plac	cean represent significant places where Ame	Y n for managing ORV use at Cape H the last vestiges of open, wild, unde aces not only protect habitats for rar ricans can visit wild beach environn f North America.	veloped e,
	for pedestrian vis protections work buffers around n shorebirds. Unde instance, under th 2007, the last sea However, the Pa doing so, and spe Act "to conserve enjoyment of the	sitors, along with ir . For the past sever esting birds and tur or that temporary plan to the temporary plan isson prior to the im rk Service has omit cifying only those the scenery (of the	ncreased wildlife m al years, the Park S tles, their nests, ch an, protected speci , threatened and en plementation of th tted these buffers a areas set aside for seashore) and the ner and by such mo	nortality and Service has e icks, and hat es have been dangered sea e plan. nd other succ ORV use, th natural and f eans as will b	dwindling pop nforced specifi chlings, and pr rebounding, w turtles laid 15 cessful wildlife e Park Service historic objects eave them unir	ninished access and increased safety alations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-dr otections for migrating and winterin ith some reproducing in record nun 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 8 protections in its proposed regulated falls short in its obligations under the and the wild life therein and to prov npaired for the enjoyment of future use and specific.	riving ng nbers. For 2 nests in on. By ne Organic
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10710 Proj private Aug,31,2011 00: regulations.gov	ject: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras turtles that only of ORV use across the Seashore, but only 26 of the 67 beach driving. M regulations treat	00:00 dent Murray, I am y s National Seashore created 82 nests in the Seashore, threa the Seashore, threa the Park Service p total miles of the s fore vehicle-free ar wildlife protection	e. Under the curren 2007, made 153 in tened and endange plan protects beach Seashore for year-r eas are needed for as optional, which	t interim plan 2010. Piping red wildlife of drivers more ound wildlife wildlife and is unaccepta	n, protected wi g plovers and o could be impace e than it does w e and pedestria pedestrians. As ble. Please rev	Y e's proposed regulation for managin Idlife has seen huge gains in the area ther shorebirds are rebounding. If yo ted. I strongly support regulation of ildlife. The proposed plan sets aside n use with open to year-round or sea is it is currently written, the proposed ise this plan to include current buffe on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you	a. Sea ou expand ORVs at e currently asonal t ers and
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10712 Pro private Aug,31,2011 00:		Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov **Correspondence:**

Dear Superintendent Murray,

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

NO ORV's on beaches with the exception for emergency vehicles and park rangers.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10713 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatte Seashore.	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
		Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10714Project:10641Document:41993Private:YPrivateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govPlease regulate off-road vehicles so that they pose less threat to birds and wildlife. Perhaps some areas should be or even closed.	more restricte							
	It is recreation for us, but life or death for them.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10715 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

The proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas. It only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.

In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Respectfully,

Adriana Rojas

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	advocates want the entire	seashore o	pen to beach drivi	ng. I support	specific, enfor	Y ife, and we can't lose traction now. ORV ceable, science-based protections for wildlife ture and this is has to include our wildlife and
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:						Y all wildlife this kind of ignorance needs to e so because they are not destroying the earth
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:						Y rbs the natural tranquility. As a nation, we sion of human activities to the few remaining
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10719 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Plese protect wildlife nes	10641 ting areas f	Document:	41993 at the Cape	Private: Hatteras Natior	Y nal Seashore.
		miles of she	oreline. But the im	pacts of unre	estricted off-roa	le each year taking in the natural beauty, the ad vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the eaches.
		the Seasho				easures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. dlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year
	In recent years, a tempor	ary plan tha	t limits ORV use 1	near protecte	d wildlife nesti	ng areas has been working to protect wildlife.

In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.

I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting wildlife.

Thank you for your consideration of wildlife.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10720 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please stop all off-roa Thank you	d vehicles on l	beaches - if nothin	g else we nee	ed to protect the	ese animals in the National Park Syste	em.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	wildlife diversity and	al Seashore is a 67 miles of sh	oreline. But the in ls and sea turtles the	pacts of unre nat nest on th	estricted off-roa ne seashore's be	Y e each year taking in the natural beau d vehicle (ORV) use has taken its tol aches. I support specific, enforceable	ll on the	
	not mandate any speci	fic measures t	o protect wildlife	rom beach d	rivers. And it r	will only set aside areas for ORVs an eserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 m and seasonal beach driving.		
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline!							
	All it takes is one wro	ng step by a p	ping plover or a s	ea turtle into	an area that is	not protected, and it could be run ove	r!	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10722 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent P		Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your co	onsideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National Seashore. The beach on the eastern s	ortunity to con e seven natior eacoast of the gered wildlife	al seashores on th United States. The but represent the	e Atlantic Oo se nationally last best plac	cean represent t significant pla es where Amer	Y on for managing ORV use at Cape Ha he last vestiges of open, wild, undeve ces not only protect habitats for rare, icans can visit wild beach environme f North America	eloped	

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10724 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov V Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.									
		In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.								
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over									
	We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, and we can't lose tra advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. Tell the Park Service instead that you support sp science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10725 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00:regulations.govORVs do not belong on the beach. Close beaches during nesting and fledging season.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10726Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00:regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,									
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.									
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.									
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.									
	Thank you for your consideration.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10727 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									

Received: Aug,31,2011 00:00 Correspondence Type: regulations.gov

Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10728 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10729 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles can be devastating to natural ecosystems, destroying habitat and breeding grounds. Please ban off road vehicles in national park systems.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10730 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do not allow regulations to be adopted for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Please help leave wildlife and natural beauty for our kids. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a beautiful area to protect.							
	Thank you, Tricia Stephens							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10731 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep the beaches and shorelines for the vulnerable animals and people on their feet. Vehicles belong on the streets not in							

the midst of nature and people who are going to the shores for peace and quiet. Stop this injustice and take care of nature now, before it is too late.

"I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras Nation turtles that only created ORV use across the Sea the Seashore, but the Pa only 26 of the 67 total n beach driving. More vel regulations treat wildlife	al Seashore 82 nests in 2 shore, threat rk Service pl niles of the S nicle-free are protection a	. Under the current 2007, made 153 in tened and endanges lan protects beach beashore for year-meas are needed for as optional, which	t interim plar 2010. Piping red wildlife c drivers more ound wildlife wildlife and j is unaccepta	h, protected will plovers and ot could be impact than it does w e and pedestrian pedestrians. As ble. Please revi	dlife has seen hug ther shorebirds are ted. I strongly sup ildlife. The propo n use with open to s it is currently wr ise this plan to inc	e rebounding. If you expand port regulation of ORVs at used plan sets aside currently by year-round or seasonal
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10733 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I have a deep passion fo preserve the unique hab		0.	41993	Private:	Y / for future genera	ations and its beauty but to
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10734 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles contin personaly, and I'm conv. the demads of well-fund	inced that th	e integrity of our r				s of off road vehicles ted from use regardless of
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	call it home and we are beacon of hope. The Los	pround that i st Colony all hen out ance	it has preserved the lows others in this	e history of a country, who	young Americ seems to be lo	ca. The Light hous posing the values	ved. Many families like mine se is and always has been a this country was built on, to housands of years and we
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Seashore. The behavior damage they cause and s had to contend with such	of the people simply don't h people mai	e who use such vel care. I have travel ny times. ATV use	hicles must b ed to many N ers are among	e regulated bec Vational Parks a g the most selfi	cause they usually and Forests, inclu sh people I have 6	s at Cape Hatteras National 7 have no sense of the ding Cape Hatteras, and have ever met. Please do not allow 18hore. They should only be

allowed to use a small portion of the beach. Not only are they endangering our precious wildlife; they ruin the experience of that particular wild place for everyone else who goes there, with noise and pollution. The vast majority of Americans care about protecting out nation's precious wildlife, and I am one of them. Please pass the rule. Thank you.

a 1 m	10505 D 1 /	10.544		(1000						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10737 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov									
correspondence.	Please stop the unnecessary pain and death of the birds. Human being simply do not need to be "plowing" thru every bit of nature. We need to become much less intrusive. Please help.									
Correspondence ID:	10738 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name:	private									
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Please review current a					tection for delicate wildlife on our beaches. environment and or the unecessary deaths of				
	Regards, Greg Loban									
Correspondence ID:	10739 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name:	private									
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									
Correspondence:		regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.									
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.									
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.									
	Thank you for your con	sideration.								
Correspondence ID:	10740 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name:	private									
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	I want to see targeted, enforceable regulatory protections for wildlife, based on science not the wishes of recreational users or ORV merchants. ORV recreation is not a compatible use of national parklands, especially lands that constitute limited habitat types and critical nesting ground.									
	There need to be more vehicle-free areas for nesting as shorebirds and sea turtles are in jeopardy worldwidewe can not create									
	more shoreline and it is being destroyed rapidly by development and severe weatherit should be an NPS priority to protect and preserve what is left. ORVs have other play grounds that don't overlay critical habitat and more of these low/no impact areas car be developed, but please keep them off the shoreline and wetland areas.									
	Thank you for keeping	NPS's origina	al mission fully in	mind and we	ll in practice.					
Correspondence ID:	10741 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Please put restrictions of	on where off 1	oad vehicles can g	go, in order to	preserve wild	life habitat. Thank you.				

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10742 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.
	Finally, after years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners, the National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Yet the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10743 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Humans have the ability to entertain themselves anywhere, they can adapt and move freely in all environments. Animals and birds cannot. It is really not acceptable that humans continue to take more and more from the other animals that share this planet. Please do the right thing and ensure protection of this essential area.The world is watching, waiting for you to do the right thing.If someone from the UK like me can be bothered to write then it must be important.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I need to see stronger provisions for wildlife protection for the Hatteras National Seashore. Some congressmen are calling for all hurricane aid to be off-set with unspecified spending cuts, which I find incomprehensible, we need additional efforts, and jobs to be focused there.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10745 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future
	generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.
	area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles,

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10746 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Please keep our wildlife protected and our national park system, public. There should be no question that wildlife should be protected at all costs and national parks be kept for public use.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10747 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please leave Cape Hatteras wild as it can be. My Dad first found this barrier island back in the 70's. It's one thing to see what th general population does just by trashing the area from thneir own selfish uses but to give them even more room to devastate the little bit of land that the wild animals need is an ATROCITY!!!! STOP THE ABUSE NOW. You do not need off-road vehicles Walk or don't use the island. NO TO OFF ROAD AND ATV's!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10748 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Protect wildlife. No motorized vehicles.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10749 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug. 51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Though I understand one's needs for recreation and relaxation, and while it is imperative that we as Americans are free to choose the types of recreation that we enjoy, we must all consider the impact that our choices make on the environment. Selfish misuse and careless practices can damage wildlife habitat or destroy it completely.							
	Even if one has the best intentions, we all know that it only takes one or two individuals not doing the right things to mess it up for everyone else. It would be great if we could take everyone's word for it that they will safeguard restricted areas, not litter, no park where they are not supposed to, not drive in fragile areas, etc. Sometimes it is truly because seomeone does not know better. And in other cases, it is because they are careless, do not consider the consequences of their careless practices, and in some instances, they just don't care.							
	I hope you will take the steps necessary to protect these fragile widelife areas and restrict vehicular traffic.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10750 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10751 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras Nationa turtles that only created 8 ORV use across the Seasis the Seashore, but the Parl only 26 of the 67 total mi beach driving. More vehi regulations treat wildlife	I Seashore. 1 2 nests in 20 nore, threater c Service pla les of the Se cle-free area protection as	Under the current 107, made 153 in 2 ned and endanger n protects beach of ashore for year-ro s are needed for v s optional, which is	interim plan 2010. Piping ed wildlife co drivers more ound wildlife vildlife and p is unacceptab	, protected will plovers and ot buld be impact than it does wi and pedestriar edestrians. As le. Please revi	e's proposed regulation for managing ORV use dlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea ther shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at ildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently n use with open to year-round or seasonal it is currently written, the proposed ise this plan to include current buffers and n the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10752 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov BAN THE USE OF OFF DRIVING WILD LIFE A					Y RK LANDS AND SHOULD NOT BE				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10753 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enforc unrestricted off-road vehi					Y al vehicle-free areas for nesting. I oppose ildlife.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10754 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Strongly oppose allowing access for ATV's or any other motorized vehicles (excepting cases of emergencies) to drive close to shorelines anywhere in the country for reasons including but not limited to: - destruction of fragile shoreline eco-system, - further destruction of habitats for endangered species, migrating birds, etc, whose habitats have already been seriously shrinking and altered as a result of shoreline development worldwide - further pollution of the marine ecosystem, which is already severely burdened by pollution secondary to fossil fuel burning, and lack of natural buffer zones, which clean up, absorb and detoxify most pollutants - destroying recreational areas important to millions of people The latter is often neglected, as well as the relationship between the decreasing access to natural environment and the alarming increase of emotional and medical disturbances and disorders eg. stress related medical illnesses, anxiety, depression, etc. All these disorders, as well as many types of cancer can be improved if not eliminated by undisturbed CLEAN AND NATURAL environments and regular access to their calming effects. At last, our children and grandchildren are entiled to something more beautiful than the deadened, lifeless dirt scattered with rubbish. I and my patients have visited many areas, where ATV's were allowed: none of them are clean. Show us the DATA AND FACTS, which could convince us otherwise. We kindly and hopefully ask you to be responsible when you make your deliberations. Sincerely, Kleopatra Ormos, M.D. in the name of the many patients I feel responsible for									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10755 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protect & defend our wild	10641 Ilife, at all co	Document:	41993 dominion ov	Private: Per wildlife to j	Y protect and defend, not destroy				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 Protect & defend our wildlife, at all costs. God gave us dominion over wildlife to protect and defend, not destroy 10756 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the 									

Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10757 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.									
	I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.									
	Thank you for your consideration of my comments.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10758 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Please stop on beach driving. Their is an imperative need to save the ocean and coastal environments.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10759 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,									
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.									
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.									
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.									
	Thank you for your consideration. Joanna									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10760 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV u on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10761 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please stop the destruction of our planet! This continual rape of the environment in the name of Capitalism has to stop. Save or wildlife, planet and your selves; vote your conscience, not your wallet. Say NO to the oil lobbyist and yes to save our world.									

Edward J. Files

Correspondence ID: Name:	10762 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.									
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.									
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specif									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g	ov nt to ban driv	10641 ving our nati	Document:	41993 ith off rood v	Private:	Y estruction to wildlife and plants is			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g It's time we a	ov all concern o eeds to be ad					Y disturbing birds and their babies. This is a s. Many thanks for this consideration. Very			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g	ov the destructi			41993 wildlife for t	Private:	Y ishness and material gain! We must protect			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g Recreational	ov vehicles are		•		•	Y uld be allowed anywhere where is threat to re deticated for these activities.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g Off-road veh benefit from	ov icles do mor the profits tl	ney make ra	ther than caring al	oout the state	of the planet a	Y ical, greedy businesspeople who want to ind the nonhuman animals on it. Can't we ev is planet and we have to stop acting as if the			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10768 private Aug,31,2011 regulations.g		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Correspondence:	To whom it may concern.								
	If it is indeed quantifiable that the beach set aside for turtles and plovers, the higher the success rate of each, then I would hope we could err on the side of intelligent, wise compassion, and set additional beach aside during nesting season.								
	thanks.								
	Shawn Clotworthy								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10769 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please consider wildlife befpre Over the road vehicles. I am losing hope for our wildlife, our planet, and if it comes to it, our country. We have chosen selfishlly in the past. Our wild places can't be replaced. We can do without man's destruction of quiet, beauty, animals and plants. Please consider this problem carefully.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10770 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov keep nature in mind when you consider the amount of deaths vehicles make to wild like. The noise alone bothers many vistors								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10771 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10772 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The proposed regulations on off-road vehicles are a step in the right direction but only a step. Please help our country move toward more protection of wildlife and a reigning in of destructive human activity.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10773Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govWe must be responsible.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10774 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that will threaten sea turtles, shorebirds and other vulnerable wildlife. The threatened species of piping plover which rely on the habit along the Hatteras National Seashore for nesting and raising their young could be decimated by the introduction of off-road vehicles. Save the Hatteras National Seashore from environmental destruction! Thank you.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10775 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								

Correspondence:	Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a precious national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if ORVs are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.								
	PLEASE OPPOSE THE ORVs								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10776 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Save the sea turtles its a no brainer!								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10777 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov This pristine area needs to be protected for our children's use in the future. Rules need to be stipulated, such as ORV access. Protect our wildlife in the Cape Hatteras region. I hope to be there soon for a visit.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10778 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving. In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline. All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.								
	We have made great pr								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10779 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10780 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.								

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10781 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration. Dr. Luisa Cappuccio								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10782 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As one of the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach habitat on the Atlantic coast, Cape Hatteras is a very important national treasure visited by millions of Americans. It is also home to nesting shore birds and sea turtles who are threatened by off-road vehicle (ORV) use along beaches. During these critical seasons, it is important to curb off-road vehicles to allow these species the space to grow and fledge.								
	Plummeting populations of protected wildlife species at Cape Hatteras are starting to recover thanks to increased management protections from the National Park Service (NPS). However, these protections are temporary while NPS prepares a final ORV management regulation. The regulation is nearly completed, but the current draft lacks the critical wildlife protections that have helped species like the piping plover and loggerhead sea turtle.								
	PLEASE OPPOSE THE ORVs.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10783 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Superintendent Murray,								
	I believe more vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. National Parks should be a place to enjoy nature and not have to deal with the noise, pollution and destructive nature of Off Road Vehicles.								
	I support regulation of ORVs, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The currently proposed plan sets aside only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrians. The proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which in my view is totally unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that are such an important part on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	Thank you.								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10784 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	The National Park Service's needs to do more to protect wildlife in its proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10785 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov please do the rigth thing								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10786 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.								
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organia Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10787 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened								

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration. Dr. Luisa Cappuccio

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10788 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,									
	I am very c Seashore.	concerned abou	t the Nation	al Park Service's	proposed reg	ulation for ma	naging ORV us	se on Cape Hatteras National		
	made 153 i		plovers and	l other shorebirds				only created 82 nests in 2007, cross the Seashore, threatened		
	wildlife. Th	ne proposed pla use with open	an sets aside	currently only 2	6 of the 67 to	tal miles of the	e Seashore for y	rivers more than it does vear-round wildlife and eded for wildlife and		
	plan to incl	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you	for your consid	deration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations Since man	seems to be raj		. 0	0,0		•	eed to have ORV on pristine a can fill your wallets with more		
	You sicken me!									
	Ejl									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 .gov to protect.	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations									
	I am very c Seashore.	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,									

made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10792 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov With so many humans already impacting wildlife the last thing we need is beach driving. Habitat protection for these shorebirds								
	and turtles is far more important than our entertainment. We have plenty of places to drive. Please protect these coastal areas for the future.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10793 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I'm a native North Carolinian living in South Carolina, and I take great pride in knowing that my children and grandchildren can and do take time to visit and enjoy the North Carolina coast that i continues to be fairly natural. In fact they spent a glorious week there this summer. My 8-year-old grandson was amazed at the sights and sounds, free of the busyness of traffic and commercial activities that he has always associated with "going to the beach" (in South Carolina). Please don't allow the Cape Hatteras National Seashore to become just another "going to the beach" place where nature has been abused and pushed out by human development exploitation.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10794 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.								
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.								
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.								
	Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the Na								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10795 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore.

I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.

If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, sciencebased protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10796 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
	Sincerely, Robert E. Hatfield II 8787 Hammerly Boulevard Apt. 1224 Houston, Texas 77080-6621								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10797 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States.								
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work.								
	For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers.								
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protection in it's proposed regulation. Please uphold the Parks' obligation under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." Thank you.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10798 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov

Correspondence:

Please, let's do the right thing and protect the most vital seashore habitats for wildlife! We don't need more vehicle traffic wrecking our planet and innocent species!

Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity, and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.

Look at the facts: in recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife. In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153.

If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers will decline again.

All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it will be run over. All it takes is one ORV driving through plover nesting areas and this species (and many others) could be devastated and sent into decline to rapid extinction.

We have made great progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, and we can't lose traction now. ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. That's insane. They have enough spaces to take their loud, polluting vehicles, that aren't fragile, critical wildlife habitat. They cannot ruin the entire seashore (they don't need that space!) and I won't let them kill vital innocent species and wreck our planet!

Please! Tell the Park Service instead that you support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Thank you.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10799 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mu	10641 urray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	the place and kindly requeres represent the last vestige significant places not on	uest that eve es of open, w ly protect ha l beach envi	ery effort be made wild, undeveloped l abitats for rare, thr	to maintain t beach on the eatened, and	hat. The seven eastern seacoar endangered wi	Y y times. I fully appreciate the "wildness" of national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean st of the United States. These nationally Idlife, but represent the last best places where edecessors who encountered the vast wild			

I am very tired of having to watch out for vehicles while I am at the beach. I sincerely hope that when I go to the beach I only have other people to look out for. ORV's are a strain on the park and the people who visit there, not to mention the wildlife.

What would be the harm to ending ORV use on the National Seashore? I only see an improved environment for visitor and wildlife and a far more pleasurable experience for all. Thanks you!

Correspondence ID: Name:	10801 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov To whom it may concern: I am writing to express my very strong objection to the proposal to allow off more off road vehicles onto Cape Hatteras National Seashore. This proposal should be of concern to anyone who cares about the fragile environment and unique appeal of Hatteras.									
	wonderful more easily New Jersey	place. If we w y. We go for th y, New York a	ant to see je ne peace and and Ohio. A	eps on the beach, l tranquility which ll these families tra	there are a th is so abundativel great dis	nousand differe ant on Hatteras stances to visit	Kingdom to enjoy the individua nt seaside resorts to which we o Over the years, we have made for the same reasons we do. The h often accompany them.	ould go far friends from		
	Hatteras, es America fr (pre 1972) allowed. D	specially on the com the nuisan regarding veh	ne endanger ce of traffic icles led to	ed species such as ? As the consultat the degradation of	sea turtles w ion documen wildlife as t	hich nest on th t says, history l raffic proliferat	have on the unique and diverse e beach. Surely we can preserve as shown that lack of prohibiti ed. Walking on the dunes is (ri e pristine beaches scattered wit	e this tiny bit o ve legislation ghtly) not		
	will be nee progress? I	eded to police t	the ORV's to tteras to be l	o make sure they h ike Virginia Beac	ave permits	? Or can it reall	nder this proposal. How many y be policed properly at all? Re his is a great way to do it. Inste-	ally, is this		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov tect our precio	10641 us wild life.	Document:	41993	Private:	Υ			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10803 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. Although I have not been to Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I do vacation on the east coast and visit many different coastal areas. I believe a balance can be identified that protects wildlife yet allows those who want to drive ORVs recreationally. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov rintendent Mu	10641 rray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.									
	made 153 i		g plovers an	d other shorebirds			Sea turtles that only created 82 and ORV use across the Seasho			
	wildlife. Th	he proposed pl	lan sets asid	e currently only 2	5 of the 67 to	otal miles of the	rotects beach drivers more than Seashore for year-round wildl ee areas are needed for wildlife	ife and		

pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10805 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	Please don't let reckless ORV drivers run over precious wildlife!! How cruel! All because of some big dummies trying to have a thrill?							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10806 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I have been interested in ecology for over 40 years. I remember from Intro to Ecology being surprised at how important the study and assessment of populations were. We need to be able to pick out the priorities from all of the things swirling around our processes and decisions mandating areas of protected animals and plants. So many times these are tourist areas or ripe for economic development. Making them a national park will set them apart from development interests. It is time to set things aside for the future generations, and quit going for the economical ticket.							
Correspondence ID:	I have been interested in ecology for over 40 years. I remember from Intro to Ecology being surprised at how important the study and assessment of populations were. We need to be able to pick out the priorities from all of the things swirling around our processes and decisions mandating areas of protected animals and plants. So many times these are tourist areas or ripe for economic development. Making them a national park will set them apart from development interests. It is time to set things aside for the future generations, and quit going for the economical ticket.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I have been interested in ecology for over 40 years. I remember from Intro to Ecology being surprised at how important the study and assessment of populations were. We need to be able to pick out the priorities from all of the things swirling around our processes and decisions mandating areas of protected animals and plants. So many times these are tourist areas or ripe for economic development. Making them a national park will set them apart from development interests. It is time to set things aside for the future generations, and quit going for the economical ticket.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I have been interested in ecology for over 40 years. I remember from Intro to Ecology being surprised at how important the study and assessment of populations were. We need to be able to pick out the priorities from all of the things swirling around our processes and decisions mandating areas of protected animals and plants. So many times these are tourist areas or ripe for economic development. Making them a national park will set them apart from development interests. It is time to set things aside for the future generations, and quit going for the economical ticket. 10807 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug, 31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I have lived in North Carolina for 30 years and still own a home there. As a frequent visitor to the shore, including the Outer Banks and Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I would like to see all vehicles banned from the shores. Knowing this will not							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I have been interested in ecology for over 40 years. I remember from Intro to Ecology being surprised at how important the study and assessment of populations were. We need to be able to pick out the priorities from all of the things swirling around our processes and decisions mandating areas of protected animals and plants. So many times these are tourist areas or ripe for economic development. Making them a national park will set them apart from development interests. It is time to set things aside for the future generations, and quit going for the economical ticket. 10807 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I have lived in North Carolina for 30 years and still own a home there. As a frequent visitor to the shore, including the Outer Banks and Cape Hatteras National Seashore, I would like to see all vehicles banned from the shores. Knowing this will not happen, I urge you to remedy to omitted portions of the new regulations. The Park Service has omitted buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based							

Correspondence ID: Name:	10808 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,20 regulations I am writir am all in fa	ng to urge that avor for beache	es to be pres	served as places w	here nature c	an thrive with	hibitedexcept for emergency responders. I but the intrusion of activities or entertainment to those created by voices, not motors.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations "I apprecia National S beach on the threatened evoke the o	te this opportu eashore. The some eastern seac , and endanger experiences of	even nation oast of the ed wildlife, our predece	al seashores on the United States. The but represent the l essors who encoun	e Atlantic Oc se nationally last best plac tered the vas	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of	Y on for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras he last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped ices not only protect habitats for rare, ricans can visit wild beach environments that f North America.			
	for pedestr protections buffers aro shorebirds, instance, u 2007, the I However, 1 doing so, a	ian visitors, alo s work. For the und nesting bi . Under that ten nder that temp ast season prio the Park Servic nd specifying	ong with ine past severa rds and turt nporary pla orary plan, r to the imp ce has omitt only those a	creased wildlife m I years, the Park S les, their nests, chi n, protected specie threatened and encourse elementation of the ed these buffers ar ureas set aside for the	ortality and c bervice has en icks, and hate es have been dangered sea p plan. and other succ ORV use, the	dwindling popu nforced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w turtles laid 15? ressful wildlife e Park Service	Infinited access and increased safety infants lations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organia and the wild life therein and to provide for th			
				er and by such me he Park Service mu			paired for the enjoyment of future tes an			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations It is well-d	ocumented that		Document: impairs park resou creased wildlife m			Y ninished access and increased safety hazards llations.			
	By the Park Service omitting successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation									
	on only 26 is to be all	of the 67 total	miles of se	ashore beach. This	s does not rej	present a fair ba	ten, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-roun alance for other users and wildlife. If ORV us miles, should be available year round for nor			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations		10641 d vehicals c	Document:	41993 r breatiful co	Private:	Y ng a beating from nature as it is!			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations We need to	take care of t		•			Y ich on the seashore is beyond me. I think it nd raise their young.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov rintendent Mur	10641 тау,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

I respectfully urge your support for specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.

Thank you for your consideration.

Roanne Rosenblum Saint Paul, MN

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10814 Project: privateAug,31,201100:000regulations.govWe are not the only specieit (we) may not be here lo		Document: and we need to ta	41993 ke care of ev	Private:	Y ives. Be mindful and res	spect everything here,
	Please care! Regards; Kat	=^^=					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10815 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportun National Seashore. The set beach on the eastern seace threatened, and endangere evoke the experiences of of It is well-documented that for pedestrian visitors, aldo protections work. For the buffers around nesting bir shorebirds. Under that ten	even nationa past of the U d wildlife, pur predece t ORV use i ong with inc past several ds and turtl	I seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the ssors who encour mpairs park resou reased wildlife m l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi	e Atlantic Oc ese nationally last best plac itered the vas urces. Examp ortality and of service has en icks, and hato	eean represent significant pla es where Ame t wild coasts o bles include dir dwindling pop nforced specifi chlings, and pr	the last vestiges of open aces not only protect hal ricans can visit wild bea f North America. ninished access and incr alations. On the other ha c science-based measur otections for migrating	, wild, undeveloped bitats for rare, ch environments that reased safety hazards and, wildlife es like no-driving and wintering
	instance, under that tempo 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servic doing so, and specifying of Act "to conserve the scene enjoyment of the same in generations." To remedy to	e has omitte only those a ery (of the s such manne	lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for eashore) and the er and by such me	e plan. nd other succ ORV use, the natural and h cans as will le	essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects eave them unin	protections in its propo falls short in its obligati and the wild life therein apaired for the enjoyme	sed regulation. By ons under the Organic a and to provide for the
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10816 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murr on Cape Hatteras Nationa turtles that only created 8: ORV use across the Seash the Seashore, but the Park only 26 of the 67 total mil	1 Seashore. 2 nests in 20 nore, threate 2 Service pla	Under the current 007, made 153 in med and endanger an protects beach	t interim plar 2010. Piping red wildlife o drivers more	n, protected will plovers and o could be impac than it does w	dlife has seen huge gain ther shorebirds are rebo ted. I strongly support r ildlife. The proposed pl	as in the area. Sea unding. If you expand egulation of ORVs at an sets aside currently

beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10817Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011regulations.govIt is time to do everything we can to save the environment and our ecosystem!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10818 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10819 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Istrongly oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife. The proposed plan currently sets aside only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. Please revise the plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles tha rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	Thank you. 10820 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 V V V V							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10821 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov ORV's should NOT be allowed in this area. This is completely absurd. People go to this pristine area for peace and quiet and now they have to contend with more noise. What is to become of the wildlife and their nesting grounds? How are you going to							

Name:privReceived:AugCorrespondence Type:CapCorrespondence:CapwildthreeI amaddiCorrespondence ID:108Name:privReceived:AugCorrespondence Type:reguCorrespondence ID:108Name:privReceived:AugCorrespondence ID:108Name:privReceived:AugCorrespondence Type:reguCorrespondence Type:reguCorrespondence Type:reguCorrespondence Type:reguCorrespondence Type:reguCorrespondence Type:regufor jprotbuffshoiinsta200HowdoirAddon cis toORName:privReceived:108Name:privReceived:AugCorrespondence ID:108	vildlife diversity and 67 hreatened and endangerd am against opening the dditional vehicle-free an 0823 Project: rrivate uug.31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov am a U.S. citizen and re This makes no sense at a vasted to drive on the sh 0824 Project: rrivate Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov t is well-documented tha or pedestrian visitors, al ordections work. For the uuffers around nesting bi horebirds. Under that tem ptoor, the last season prio	miles of shore ed shorebirds e shore to beau reas for nestin 10641 epresent myse all. Vehicles s hore. 10641 at ORV use in long with inci irds and turtle imporary plar porary plan, th	reline. But the in s and sea turtles t ch driving, and I ng. Document: elf. should not be allo Document: mpairs park reso reased wildlife m years, the Park S es, their nests, ch	npacts of unre hat nest on th support speci 41993 owed on seash 41993 urces. Examp nortality and c Service has er nicks, and hatc	stricted off-roa e seashore's be fic, enforceabl Private: ore. With the e Private: les include din lwindling popu	Y e each year taking in the natural beauty, the dd vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the aches. e, science-based protections for wildlife and Y energy crisis we are facing, fuel should not be Y ninished access and increased safety hazards ilations. On the other hand, wildlife
Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence Type: regu Correspondence: I an This was Correspondence ID: 108 Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence: I 108 Correspondence Type: regu Correspondence: I 108 How doir Act enjc gen prot Same: priv Rodi and	vivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov am a U.S. citizen and re This makes no sense at a vasted to drive on the sh 0824 Project: vivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov t is well-documented tha or pedestrian visitors, al vortections work. For the puffers around nesting bi horebirds. Under that tem stance, under that temp 2007, the last season price	epresent myse all. Vehicles s hore. 10641 at ORV use in long with incu e past several irds and turtle irds and turtle irds ang turtle	elf. should not be allo Document: mpairs park reso reased wildlife n years, the Park S es, their nests, ch n, protected speci	wed on seash 41993 urces. Examp nortality and c Service has er nicks, and hatc	Private: les include din lwindling popu	energy crisis we are facing, fuel should not be Y
Correspondence ID: 108 Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence: Type: reg Correspondence: It is for p prot buff show inst 200 How doir Act enjo genu prot Correspondence ID: 108 Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence Type: regu	vasted to drive on the sh 0824 Project: vrivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov t is well-documented tha or pedestrian visitors, al orotections work. For the uuffers around nesting bi horebirds. Under that tem stance, under that temp 2007, the last season prio	at ORV use in long with inc: e past several irds and turtle mporary plar porary plan, th	Document: mpairs park reso reased wildlife m years, the Park S es, their nests, ch n, protected speci	41993 urces. Examp nortality and c Service has er nicks, and hato	Private: les include din lwindling popu	Y ninished access and increased safety hazards
Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence Type: Correspondence: It is for j prot buff shori inst: 200 How doir Act enjc genu prot Sorrespondence ID: 108 Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence Type: regu	rivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov t is well-documented the or pedestrian visitors, al rotections work. For the uuffers around nesting bi horebirds. Under that te nstance, under that temp 007, the last season prio	at ORV use in long with incr e past several irds and turtle emporary plar porary plan, th	mpairs park reso reased wildlife m years, the Park S es, their nests, ch n, protected speci	urces. Examp nortality and c Service has er nicks, and hatc	les include din lwindling popu	ninished access and increased safety hazards
Name:privReceived:AugCorrespondence Type:regular	loing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scer njoyment of the same ir enerations." To remedy rotections for wildlife a Additionally, the regulation only 26 of the 67 tota	only those an nery (of the so n such manner v this flaw, the and for pedest ion does not so al miles of sea he seashore, t	ed these buffers a reas set aside for eashore) and the er and by such me e Park Service m trians in the regu set aside adequat tshore beach. Thi	dangered sea e plan. and other succ ORV use, the natural and h eans as will le uust designate lation. te vehicle-free is does not rej	chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim both ORV rou	tern, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-roun alance for other users and wildlife. If ORV us miles, should be available year round for nor
	0825 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov Care for mother Earth	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name: priv Received: Aug Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Dea	0826 Project: rrivate Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 egulations.gov Dear Superintendent Mu am very concerned abo seashore.	-	Document:	41993 s proposed reg	Private:	Y naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National
mad and I str	Inder the current interin		l other shorebirds	s are rebound	ing. If you exp	Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, and ORV use across the Seashore, threatened rotects beach drivers more than it does e Seashore for year-round wildlife and

pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov A road going through the wilderness is bad enough. The vehicles should stick to the road or find another place to ride. we cannot allow any motor vehicle to plow through the nesting grounds & habitat of wild animals. This is their only home. Those motor vehicles can ride elsewhere.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go "I appreciate t National Sease beach on the e threatened, an	v his opportur hore. The se castern seacc d endangere	even nationa past of the U ed wildlife,	al seashores on the United States. The	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer	Y on for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras he last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped uses not only protect habitats for rare, ricans can visit wild beach environments that f North America.			
	for pedestrian protections we buffers around shorebirds. Un instance, under	visitors, alo ork. For the l nesting bir ider that ten or that tempo	ng with inc past severa ds and turtl porary pla prary plan, t	reased wildlife mo l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie	ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc s have been langered sea	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	ninished access and increased safety hazards llations. On the other hand, wildlife c science-based measures like no-driving otections for migrating and wintering ith some reproducing in record numbers. For 3 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in			
	doing so, and Act "to conser enjoyment of	specifying or ve the scene the same in	only those a ery (of the s such manne	reas set aside for (seashore) and the r	ORV use, the natural and h ans as will le	e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim	protections in its proposed regulation. By falls short in its obligations under the Organic and the wild life therein and to provide for the apaired for the enjoyment of future tes and specific			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go PLEASE KEE	V EP THE CAI					Y TIFUL AND THE VISITORS LOVE IT'S E AWAY SOMTHING BEAUTIFUL.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go The current re restrictive. Th and gulf coast going on on H wildlife being birds or turtles both Hatteras	v gulations fo e beaches to that have vo fatteras Islar killed is nat s. Actually ti Island and ti er places ins	be reopend ery SMALI ad. Also and ive to the i he beaches he northern stead. These	ed to people to be closure that actu- other issues is that slands and has just are in worse shape beaches. Howeve	able to use the ally do a much they are trap t as much of by not allow r, the closure	nem. There are ch better job in pping and KILI a right to be th wing the visito es are making i	Y e nests on Hatteras Island are way to plenty of areas up and down the east coast helping the birds and turtles than what is LING other wildlife to protect these birds. The tere. The off road vehicles are NOT killing the rs on them. I do vacation at the Outer Banks me reconsider even bothering to go there and ted to be loosened up for the welfare of the			
	Thank you for	your time.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	10831 P private	roject:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10832 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10833 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:000 regulations.gov Let nature be enjoyed simply and peacefully without being trampled on by machines. Image: State								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10834 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.								
	Thank you for your consideration.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10835 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,								
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								

Wildlife protection should be a priority and should not be optional! Wildlife should never suffer for our selfish recreational

needs. Please protect the entire area.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10836 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov re 2011-0005-0001 It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the othe hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.									
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.									
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-roun on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV us is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for nor ORV users and wildlife.									
	Thank you for considering these comments.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10837 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov ORV's are destroying coastal and desert dunes all over the U.S.A., there is no need for these vehicles to constantly be allowed to destroy more dune areas because there are more than enough areas open to them now. Regions of concern, like critical beaches and desert dunes protecting endangered organisms should be closed to this destruction. It is sad when pristine areas are opened to these machines of destruction simply to allow uncaring people to play with their toys. These areas belong to everyone not to just the off-roaders. Thank you, Charles Leavell Professor emeritus, Fullerton College									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10838 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I totally oppose opening of Cape Hatteras National Seashore to Unrestricted Off Road Vehicle use and instead you should implement specific,enforceable,science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle free areas for nesting!									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10839 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the									

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 nsion of hu	Document: man activities. ple	41993 ase restrict d	Private:	Y this area as much	ı as possible.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10841 private Aug,31,20 regulation Sample		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	Dear Supe	rintendent Mur	тау,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	made 153		plovers an	d other shorebirds				nly created 82 nests in 2007, oss the Seashore, threatened	
	wildlife. T	he proposed pl use with open	an sets asid	e currently only 2	5 of the 67 to	tal miles of th	e Seashore for yea	vers more than it does ar-round wildlife and ed for wildlife and	
		lude current bu						acceptable. Please revise this turtles that rely on the	
	Thank you	ı for your consi	deration						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I appreciat			Document:	41993 al Park Servi	Private:	Y regulation for mar	naging ORV use of Cape	
	The reason these areas draw people is because of their pristine condition. ORV's create the opposite as well as disrupts wildlife.								
	beaches, a I support r wildlife ar	nd favors the ri regulations to m	ghts of OR nanage OR ns who wis	V users to drive on Vs within the Seast h to visit the Natio	n extensive an hore that incl	reas of the bea ude specific, e	ch to the exclusio enforceable, sciend	to safely enjoy vehicle-free n of pedestrians and wildlife. ze-based protections for The management plan should	
	as non-dri		ound nests.	Please add buffers				ence-based protections, such on so that ORVs will not	
	Thank you	for the opport	unity to pro	wide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name:	10843 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov My understanding is that "Off Road Vehicles" pose a risk to the the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It is the responsible duty of the Park service to protect the seashore from the breeding grounds of birds and turtles that use this seashore. Cape Hatteras Seashore is the natural habitat for these animals and it is well known how these ORV can interrupt the breeding cycle of these animals. Please do the right thing in protecting the natural wildlife of this area by imposing strict regulations restricting the use of ORV's in this ecologically delicate area.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10844 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10845 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov In addition to your plan to reserve limited areas of Cape Hatteras beach front from off road vehicles (ORVs) completely, it is vital that you indefinitely extend the current 'temporary' plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas. This one regulation has nearly doubled the number of sea turtle beach nesting sites alone, in the three years it has been in place. If we allow vital nesting sites such as these to be disturbed during critical times of the year, the numbers of these creatures will rapidly decline once again. So please add to your proposal a continuation of the nesting site protections during the times of year that is vital for the various beach nesting animals that depend on these beaches for survival. A few additional weeks of not being able to drive an ORV in certain specific areas of beach front each year is a small price to pay for species survival.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10846 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov In appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10847 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I<

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10848 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such								
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10849 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10850 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:000 regulations.gov H appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Beaches are for people and marine animals, not for noisy, dangerous, annoying ORVs usually driven by drunken idiots who don't care who or what they injure or kill as long as they can make lots of noise and leave destruction behind them. Those don't belong on a beach. I don't think they belong ANYWHERE.								
	We need much more space for families, and much less space for ORVs.								

	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10851 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10852 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10853 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10854 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10855 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 10856 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	People on ORVs have many options for recreation areas. Sensitive birds and turtles do not get to choose from multiple appropriate breeding and foraging habitats. Their life cycles require use of the beaches and waters of Cape Hatteras. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.						
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such						
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10857 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10858 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10859 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10860 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10861 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	10862 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10863 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made					
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10864 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10865 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a					

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	10866 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10867 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
~ \ m	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10868 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10869 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape					

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10870 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10871 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Please protect our wildlife and environment.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10872 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10873Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govNo on off road vehicles on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.
	The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.
	Off road vehicle drivers are a small portion of those who visit Cape Hatteras National Seashore each year, but they are among the most vocal. In order to speak up for all of the piping plovers, sea turtles, sea and shore birds and all of the other wildlife that relies on this region.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10874 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10875 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10876 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Name: Received:	10877	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Received:	private									
		11 00:00:00								
correspondence Type: correspondence:	regulations	-	ity to comm	ent on the Nation	al Park Servi	ce's proposed r	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape			
or respondence.							ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made			
				Ų	*		vildlife protection as optional, provides few			
	areas for fa	amilies to safel	y enjoy veh	icle-free beaches,	and favors th	ne rights of OR	V users to drive on extensive areas of the			
							ORVs within the Seashore that include			
							no wish to visit the National Seashore in a			
	naturai, vei	mele-mee state	. The manag	gement plan shou	u aiso set asi		reas for those uses.			
	as non-driv	ving buffers are	ound nests.	Please add buffers			ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
	continue to	o dominate and	degrade the	e Seashore.						
	Thank you	for the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID:	10878	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Name:	private									
Received:		11 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulations	-			1 D. 1 C	!				
Correspondence:							egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made			
							vildlife protection as optional, provides few			
							V users to drive on extensive areas of the			
						0	ORVs within the Seashore that include			
	specific, er	nforceable, scie	ence-based j	protections for wi	dlife and for	pedestrians wi	no wish to visit the National Seashore in a			
	natural, vel	hicle-free state	. The manag	gement plan shoul	d also set asi	de additional a	reas for those uses.			
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									
	Thank you	for the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID:	10879	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
lame: Received:	private Aug 31 20	11 00:00:00								
	regulations									
Correspondence:			ity to comm	ent on the Nation	al Park Servi	ce's proposed 1	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape			
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made									
			•				vildlife protection as optional, provides few			
						-	V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include			
	beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a									
	* ·					*	reas for those uses.			
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such									
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not									
		continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
		o dominate and		Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
	continue to		unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.					
orrespondence ID:	continue to		unity to pro	vide these comme	nts. 41993	Private:	Y			
	continue to	for the opport				Private:	Y			
lame: Received:	continue to Thank you 10880 private	for the opport				Private:	Y			
Correspondence ID: Vame: Received: Correspondence Type:	Thank you 10880 private Aug,31,20 regulations	for the opporter Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov	10641	Document:	41993					
lame: Received:	Thank you 10880 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciato	For the opporter Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportuni	10641	Document: ent on the Nation	41993 al Park Servi	ce's proposed 1	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made			

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10881 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for "managing" ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
	Derek Lovitch						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10882 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10883 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	10884 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Karen Stephenson
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10885 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10886 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10887 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10888 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10889 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10890 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

I grew up on the Texas coast that has been ruined by vehicle traffic. Please don't let that happen at Cape Hattaras!

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10891 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10892 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10893 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10894 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a					



natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10895 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10896 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.
	I STRONGLY support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The fauna and flora of our coastlines deserve as much protection as we can provide them, to see them lose out to those who'd rather ride ORVs than enjoy the natural beauty of the area would be an absolute disgrace.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10897 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Please add these protections to safeguard one of the Atlantic's last wild seashores!

Correspondence ID: Name:	10898 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10899 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear NPS:
	With regard to the use of off road vehicles (ORV) at the Cape Hatteras National Park System, the temporary plan that has been in place utilized specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Those measures were very successful in protecting wild life, but have been left out of the current draft plan.
	I request that the draft plan be modified to include the provisions for measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.
	Sincerely,
	Donald H Phillips
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10900 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10901 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10902 private Aug 31 20	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f beach to tl specific, e natural, vo The regula	s.gov te the opportun Vational Seasho ous comeback. amilies to safel he exclusion of nforceable, sci- chicle-free state ation will only	ore. Under th I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based j e. The mana	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wi gement plan shoul species that dependent	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat dife and for d also set as d on the Seas	the Seashore, s gulation treats whe rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mano	regulation for managing ORV use of C sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have vildlife protection as optional, provides V users to drive on extensive areas of c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore is ureas for those uses.	e made s few the de in a ns, such
		o dominate and			and other w	fidifie protection	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	l not
	Thank you	1 for the opport	tunity to pro	vide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f beach to tl specific, e natural, ve The regula as non-dri continue t	te the opportun Vational Seash ous comeback. amilies to safe he exclusion of nforceable, sci chicle-free state ation will only ving buffers ar o dominate and	ore. Under the I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based j e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade the	e current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wi gement plan shoul species that dependence Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat idlife and for d also set as d on the Seas s and other w	the Seashore, s gulation treats whe rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of C sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have wildlife protection as optional, provides V users to drive on extensive areas of o ORVs within the Seashore that includ ho wish to visit the National Seashore is treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protection ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	e made s few the de in a ns, such
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Dear Supe on Cape H turtles tha ORV use the Seash only 26 of beach driv regulation	rintendent Mu Iatteras Nation t only created 8 across the Seas ore, but the Par t the 67 total m ving. More vehi s treat wildlife icit protections	al Seashore. 32 nests in 2 hore, threato k Service pl iles of the S icle-free are protection a	Under the current 007, made 153 in ened and endanges an protects beach eashore for year-r as are needed for is optional, which	t interim plan 2010. Piping red wildlife of drivers more ound wildlife wildlife and is unaccepta	h, protected will plovers and or could be impace than it does we and pedestriar pedestrians. As ble. Please revi	Y e's proposed regulation for managing O dlife has seen huge gains in the area. S ther shorebirds are rebounding. If you o ted. I strongly support regulation of OF ildlife. The proposed plan sets aside cc n use with open to year-round or seaso it is currently written, the proposed ise this plan to include current buffers i n the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for	Sea expand RVs at urrently onal and
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation Thank you	U	•	Document:	41993 onal Park Se	Private: rvice's propose	Y d regulation for managing ORV use of	f Cape

My family and I enjoy vacationing at the Seashore and enjoy peaceful recreation there. We value watching wildlife in a quiet, undisturbed environment.

Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.

I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration and for accepting these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10906 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10907 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Se
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10908 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10909 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10910 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is my understanding that the regulations that are soon to be adopted regarding beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore do not fully protect wildlife and that the plan that has protected nesting areas from ORV use may not be continued.
	I urge you to give first priority to species protection. It is a much more serious purpose than motor sports recreation. We have vast amounts of land given over to vehicles and too little for wildlife Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10911Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govEverything must be in place to protect the seabirds and turtles on our shores. If we don't who will?
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10912 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10913Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011regulations.govThank you for providing the opportunity to comment.
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Again, thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10914 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10915 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	thank you for your consideration~
	most sincerely,
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10916 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10917 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10918Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govplease protect the wildlife that depends upon Cape Hatteras National Seashore
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10919 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Jan L. Boyd
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10920 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10921 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private	
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	nesting birds have made optional, provides few xtensive areas of the ashore that include
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments	-based protections, such
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10922 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managin Hatteras National Seashore. It is a special place that I have visited on family vacations. Although I live reside in North Carolina and who have a house nearby also care about this area and its future very much	out of state, family who
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tre am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few area enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach	s for families to safely
	pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specifi based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, v management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s	-based protections, such
	pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specifi based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, v management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science	-based protections, such
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specifi based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, v management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10923 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 90 90 90 90 90	ehicle-free state. The -based protections, such so that ORVs will not
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specifi based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, v management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science: as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10923 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off Road Vehicle (ORV) use on Cape H Seashore needs revision and leaves me quite concerned. This is because ORVs are known to be responsed	ehicle-free state. The -based protections, such so that ORVs will not latteras National sible for killing shorelin
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	 pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, v management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science: as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10923 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug, 31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off Road Vehicle (ORV) use on Cape H Seashore needs revision and leaves me quite concerned. This is because ORVs are known to be respons wildlife. In contrast, under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles 	ehicle-free state. The -based protections, such so that ORVs will not latteras National sible for killing shorelin s that only created 82 more than it does bund wildlife and
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specifi based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, v management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10923 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off Road Vehicle (ORV) use on Cape H Seashore needs revision and leaves me quite concerned. This is because ORVs are known to be respons wildlife. In contrast, under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-roop edestrian use with open to year-room or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for the seashore for year-room pedestrian use with open to year-room or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for the seashore for year-room of the seashore for year-room pedestrian use with open to year-room or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for the seashore for year-room of the seashore for year-room of the seashore for year-room pedestrian use with open to year-room of the seashore for year-room of the seashore for year-room of the seashore for year-room pedestrian use with open to year-room of the seashore for	ehicle-free state. The -based protections, such so that ORVs will not latteras National ible for killing shorelin is that only created 82 more than it does und wildlife and or wildlife and etely unacceptable. Plea
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	 pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, we management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation s continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 10923 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing Off Road Vehicle (ORV) use on Cape H Seashore needs revision and leaves me quite concerned. This is because ORVs are known to be respons wildlife. In contrast, under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-rop pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is compler evise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and the protection as optional, which is complex wild if a seashore for year-rop pedestrians. 	ehicle-free state. The -based protections, such so that ORVs will not latteras National ible for killing shorelin is that only created 82 more than it does und wildlife and or wildlife and etely unacceptable. Plea

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10925 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10926 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10927 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off Road Vehicles don't need access to the entire beach. They should have a strictly enforced designated area for safety of beach goers and wildlife. Off limits nesting areas for shorebirds and sea turtles should be designated also. That is what we have done here and it works. Many of us go to the beach for the beauty and peaceful sound of the waves anyway and don't want to be subjected to ORV noise and exhaust!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10928 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10929 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I wholeheartedly support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. Please protect this IRREPLACEABLE piece of nature for animals and people to enjoy without the damages done by ORVs.
	With sincere thanks, Hugh Ashcraft
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10930 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10931 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10932 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	10933 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10934 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name:	10935 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10936 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.

I support strong regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Sincerely, Helen Tosch

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10937 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. Correct me if I'm wrong, please, but isn't it the duty and responsibility of the National Park Service to protect the wildlife rather than allow actions that would essentially destroy it or its habitat?
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan shoul also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10938 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10939 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:000 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10940 Pr private Aug,31,2011 (roject:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.go I appreciate th Hatteras Natio a tremendous c areas for famil beach to the ey specific, enfor natural, vehicl The regulation	v e opportunit onal Seashor comeback. I lies to safely xclusion of p ceable, scien e-free state.	e. Under th am very cc enjoy veh pedestrians nce-based p The manag rotect the s und nests. 1	e current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors ti pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asis	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians w ide additional a shore if it mand	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have m- vildlife protection as optional, provides fev V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, sions to the regulation so that ORVs will no
	Thank you for	the opportu	nity to pro	vide these comme	ents.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go I appreciate th Hatteras Natio a tremendous areas for famil beach to the ex specific, enfor	v e opportunit onal Seashor comeback. I lies to safely xclusion of j ceable, scien	e. Under th am very co enjoy veh bedestrians nce-based p	e current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have m vildlife protection as optional, provides fev V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
	The regulation						
	as non-driving continue to do	buffers aro minate and	und nests. I degrade the	Please add buffers	s and other w		ates specific, science-based protections, so ons to the regulation so that ORVs will no
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	as non-driving continue to do Thank you for 10942 Pr private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Growing up no	the opportu roject: 00:00:00 v ear the Texa est and feed	und nests. I degrade the nity to pro- 10641 s gulf coase	Please add buffers Seashore. vide these comme Document:	ents. 41993 he beach mar	Private:	
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	as non-driving continue to do Thank you for 10942 Pr private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Growing up nd animals that nd directly on the 10943 Pr private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go I appreciate th Hatteras Natio a tremendous a areas for famil beach to the er specific, enfor natural, vehicl The regulation as non-driving continue to do	y buffers aro minate and the opportu- roject: 00:00:00 v ear the Texa est and feed beach! roject: 00:00:00 v e opportunit mal Seashor v e opportunities to safely xclusion of p ceable, science- e-free state.	und nests. I degrade the nity to pro- 10641 s gulf coass there, I jus 10641 ivy to comm e. Under th am very ca or enjoy veh- bedestrians nce-based p The manag rotect the s und nests. I degrade the	Dease add buffers Seashore. vide these comme Document: t, I've driven on th t cannot drive on Document: ent on the Nation e current manage poncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that dependences add buffers	and other weeks and other weeks and other weeks.	Private: ny, many times ymore. And this Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s gulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will no Y It can be fun, but when I think of all the

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID:	10945 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10946 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID:	10947 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10948 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10949 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov ORVs are destructive and unnecessary for enjoyment of a national seashore. They need to be very strictly regulated.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10950 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10951 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Under the current management plan at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. ORV users should not be allowed to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrian and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10952Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govI am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National						

Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID:	10953 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10954 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov To Whom It May Concern:					
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Elizabeth Colwell					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10955 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10956 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10957 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not					
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10958 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10959 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					

	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10960 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name:	10961 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10962 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10963 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	10964 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	10965 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10966 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
	Was not the NPS established for the people and their enjoyment of what the Park has to offer in the way of nature? How can the proposed action favoring teh OHV's possibly serve that purpose. Please do NOT accept this proposal.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10967 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov

Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10968 Project: 106- private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	1 Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I am a docent who protects endangered shorebirds. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	0 1	ests. Please add buffer			ates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you for the opportunity t	o provide these comm	ents.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Un a tremendous comeback. I am v areas for families to safely enjo beach to the exclusion of pedes specific, enforceable, science-b natural, vehicle-free state. The The regulation will only protect as non-driving buffers around m	comment on the Nation der the current manage ery concerned that the y vehicle-free beaches trians and wildlife. I su ased protections for w nanagement plan shou the species that deper ests. Please add buffer	ement plan at t proposed regu- , and favors th apport regulati- ildlife and for ald also set asic and on the Seash	he Seashore, s ilation treats w e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh le additional ar nore if it mand	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10970 Project: 106 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to o Hatteras National Seashore. Un a tremendous comeback. I am v areas for families to safely enjo beach to the exclusion of pedes	41 Document: comment on the Nation der the current manage ery concerned that the y vehicle-free beaches trians and wildlife. I su ased protections for w	41993 nal Park Servic ement plan at t proposed regu, , and favors th upport regulati- ildlife and for	he Seashore, s ilation treats w e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
		ests. Please add buffer			ates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name:	10971 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10972 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10973 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10974 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10975 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Greetings.						
	First, thank you for allowing public comments to be consider as you move towards revising policies. At present, the Seashore management plan has had a very positive effect on sea turtles and beach-nesting birds which have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10976 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Image: Second						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10977 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a						
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10978 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made						

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10979 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	As a North Carolinian and frequent visitor to the OBX, I appreciate conserving the natural beauty most of all!						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10980 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10981 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						

Correspondence ID:	10982 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
lame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 1 appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10983 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Our protected seashores provide a safe haven for both wildlife and people and that is not possible with the continual stream of off road vehicles. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Best regards, Brian McGonigle
Correspondence ID: Vame: teceived: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10984 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10985 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10986 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We have vacationed on the totally unnecessary and signature			41993 70's and fra	Private: nkly, any but p	Y police or rescue vehicles on the beach are
	Wildlife need this fringe prevent the degradation of			intrusion an	d it is your job	to protect them. Write regulations that
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10987 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have may a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, su as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID:	Thank you for the opport 10988 Project:	unity to pro	vide these comme Document:	ents. 41993	Private:	Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect the wildlife	e that depen	ds upon Cape Hat	teras Nation	al Seashore.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10989 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect all nesting	10641 birds and tu	Document:	41993 nd abuse and	Private:	Y 1.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Šeasho a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, scie natural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only p	re. Under th I am very c y enjoy veh pedestrians nice-based j . The mana protect the s bound nests.	e current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat dlife and for d also set asi d on the Seas	the Seashore, s gulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10991Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of CapeHatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have madea tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides fewa tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides fewa tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that includespecific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in anatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suchas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10992 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # As a citizen of the US and someone who is deeply concerned about the health of our earth, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10993Project:10641Document:41993Private:YAug.31,201100:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of CapeHatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have madea tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides fewareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of thebeach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in anatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suchas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will notcontinue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10994 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Ivould like to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

As a person who regularly has the opportunity to take advantage of the wildlife areas of Lake Michigan, I am grateful for the opportunity to provide these comments about another of our country's natural treasures!

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	10995 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	10996 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mar a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10997 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Our precious wildlife needs to be protected by the federal gov't. love watching birds they are a miracle from God.
	I want my grandchildren to see all of these birds in their life time.
	Please keep protect all animals for the next generation, any longer.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10998 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is important that nesting seabirds and turtles continue to be protected. Walking on the sandsa of our shores is a positive activity for our people and should be encouraged. Using powered vehicles on the sands is detrimental and should be
	discouraged.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	10999 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Dennis Schepman

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11000 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11001 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11002 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11003 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11004 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include
	specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11005 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID:	11006 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received:	rivete Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11007 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

ORV's have become a blight on the landscape, turning once pristine wildlife refuges into rutted, muddy, trashed landscapes. ORV use on Federal lands should be tightly controlled to ensure that wildlife populations are not negatively affected.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11008 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11009 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11010 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11011 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11012 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to have better regulations at our National Parks. Cape Hatteras National Seashore is in dire need of wildlife protection Particularily the Birds and the Turtles.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11013 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11014 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Right now my husband and I are fighting the building of a electrical substation right in front of our house. We are a flaglot and if a fire should happen at this substation we would never get out of our home. Our driveway is way to close to the proposed substation to get out safely. Our state DEP approved the power company application. This will be in an historic district in a residential neighborhood. Our website: Stopthesubstation.com shows the situation quite clearly. This brings up my point, IS NOTHING SACRED? Our environment is precious and can never be replaced once ruined. If you continue to allow these vehicles to use these beaches with regulation, someday soon there will be no wildlife there. Is this what you want?								
	Our children and grandchildren will forgive debt because that can be fixed, they will never forgive our willful destruction of or precious environment, that can't be fixed.								
	Please for all our sakes, do not allow these vehicles to destroy one more environment. All life is precious not just ours.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11015 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11016 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11017 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11018 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11019 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11020 P private Aug,31,2011	Ū	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.go I appreciate th Seashore. Und However, I ar to safely enjoy	egulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the NPS proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National eashore. Under the current management plan, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I owever, I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families o safely enjoy vehicle- and noise-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to he exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.							
	science-based that ORVs wi	l protections s 11 not continu	uch as non e to domina	-driving buffers a	around nests. he Seashore.	Please add ad	ashore, the regulation must mandate specific equate wildlife protections to the regulation nimize the areas where ORVs are allowed a		
Correspondence ID: Name:		Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc I appreciate th Hatteras Natio a tremendous areas for fami beach to the e specific, enfor	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	U	g buffers arou	nd nests. P	lease add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Sincerely, Ro	bert E. Hatfie	ld II Thank	you for the opp	ortunity to pr	ovide these co	mments		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11022 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
		g buffers arou	nd nests. P	lease add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you for	r the opportun	ity to prov	ide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11023 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc please protect	00:00:00	10641 as first prio	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go We appreciate Hatteras Natio	00:00:00 ov e the opportun onal Seashore	. Under the	current manage	ment plan at	the Seashore, s	Y ed regulation for managing ORV use of Cap sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mac wildlife protection as optional, provides few		

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. We support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11025 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov National Park Service regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore needs to prioritize nature protection over driving on the beach and everything else which does not support flora, fauna and habitat.
	Period.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11026 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11027 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov T appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and spec
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11028 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:		Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name: Received:	private	00.00.00							
Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 regulations.g								
Correspondence:	Dear Superir on Cape Hatt turtles that of ORV use acr the Seashore only 26 of th beach driving regulations to	tendent Mur teras Nationa nly created 8 oss the Seast , but the Parl e 67 total mi g. More vehi reat wildlife t protections	al Seashore. 2 nests in 20 hore, threate & Service pla les of the Se cle-free area protection a	Under the current 007, made 153 in oned and endanger an protects beach eashore for year-ro as are needed for y s optional, which	interim plan 2010. Piping red wildlife c drivers more ound wildlife wildlife and p is unacceptal	, protected will plovers and of ould be impact than it does we and pedestrian bedestrians. As ble. Please revi	e's proposed regulation for managing ORV u dlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea ther shorebirds are rebounding. If you expan ted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs a ildlife. The proposed plan sets aside current n use with open to year-round or seasonal it is currently written, the proposed ise this plan to include current buffers and n the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your		
Correspondence ID:	11030	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name:	private								
Received:	Aug,31,2011	00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.g						e's proposed regulation for managing ORV u		
	turtles that of ORV use acr the Seashore only 26 of th beach driving regulations th	nly created 8 oss the Seast , but the Parl e 67 total mi g. More vehi reat wildlife t protections	2 nests in 20 hore, threate & Service pla les of the Se cle-free area protection a	007, made 153 in and and endanger an protects beach eashore for year-ro as are needed for y s optional, which	2010. Piping red wildlife c drivers more ound wildlife wildlife and p is unacceptal	plovers and ot ould be impact than it does we and pedestrian bedestrians. As ble. Please revi	dlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea ther shorebirds are rebounding. If you expan ted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs a ildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currentl n use with open to year-round or seasonal it is currently written, the proposed ise this plan to include current buffers and n the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your		
Correspondence ID:	11031	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name:	private	Ū							
Received:	Aug,31,2011								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.g			ant on the Notion.	1 Daule Cauri		nonlation for monoping ODV was of Con-		
Correspondence:							regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad		
							vildlife protection as optional, provides few		
							V users to drive on extensive areas of the		
	beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								
	specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a								
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	Ų	g buffers are	ound nests. I	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you fo	or the opport	unity to prov	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID:	11032	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name:	private						-		
Received:	Aug,31,2011								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.g						<u>.</u>		
Correspondence:	I have seen t	he effect of s	horeline dri	ving and support	the regulation	ns to keep cars	of he beaches.		
Correspondence ID:	11033	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name:	private	0							
Received:	Aug,31,2011	00:00:00							



Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Please help these defenseless animals they need our attention.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11034 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Y
Correspondence:	regulations.gov The regulations will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11035 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov PLEASE STOP THE DRIVING ON THE BEACH SO THE BIRDS CAN NEST IN PIECE AND TAKE CARE OF THERE YOUNG. THESE ARE GOD CREATURES AND WE SHOULD TAKE CARE OF THEM. GOD BLESS
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11036 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov In appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Terry Karjalainen PhD
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11037 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I<

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:									
Name:	11038 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov								
correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape								
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few								
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the								
	beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								
	specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a								
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not								
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Susan Krol David Corliss Nathaniel KrolCorliss Todd KrolCorliss								
orrespondence ID:	11039 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
lame:	private								
leceived:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov								
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad								
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few								
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the								
	beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								
	specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such								
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not								
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	11040 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								
Received:									
	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00								
	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
Correspondence ID: Correspondence ID: Came:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Correspondence : Correspondence ID: Vame: Received:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Vame: Received: Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
Correspondence ID: lame: Received: Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape								
Correspondence ID: lame: Received: Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few								
Correspondence ID: lame: Received: Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11041 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few								

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11042	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name:	private	-							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,201 regulations								
Correspondence:			eable, scienc	e-based protectio	ns for wildli	fe and addition	al vehicle-free areas for nesting.		
	Please save	wildlife!							
	Thank you.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	11043 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,201 regulations								
Correspondence:	0	U	iping plover	s rely on lands alo	ong Cape Ha	tteras National	Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles		
	are permitte	ed to use huge	portions of	the Seashore, thei	r nests and c	chicks could be disturbed.			
Correspondence ID:	11044	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,201	11 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations	U			1 D. 1 C.				
Correspondence:							egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made		
	a tremendo	us comeback.	I am very co	oncerned that the	proposed reg	ulation treats w	vildlife protection as optional, provides few		
							V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include		
	specific, en	forceable, scie	ence-based p	rotections for wil	dlife and for	pedestrians wh	no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
	natural, veł	nicle-free state	. The manag	ement plan shoul	d also set asi	de additional a	reas for those uses.		
	as non-driv		ound nests. I	Please add buffers			ates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you	for the opport	unity to prov	vide these comme	nts. We can	never get back	what we give away>		
Correspondence ID: Name:	11045 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	*	1 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations	0	ity to comm	ont on the Nation	1 Dorle Corri	aa'a proposad r	equilation for managing OPV use of Cone		
Correspondence:							egulation for managing ORV use of Cape		
	Tratteras 14				nem pian at	the Seashore, s	ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made		
	a tremendo			oncerned that the	proposed reg	ulation treats w	vildlife protection as optional, provides few		
	a tremendo areas for fa	milies to safel	y enjoy vehi	oncerned that the place beaches,	proposed reg and favors th	ulation treats where rights of OR	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p	oncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	proposed reg and favors the port regulated dlife and for	ulation treats w ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p	oncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	proposed reg and favors the port regulated dlife and for	ulation treats w ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, veh	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie nicle-free state	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p . The manag	oncerned that the j cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil gement plan shoul	proposed reg and favors the port regulated dlife and for d also set asi	ulation treats w ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, veh The regulat as non-driv	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie nicle-free state	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p . The manag protect the sp ound nests. I	ncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers	proposed reg and favors th oport regulati dlife and for d also set asi l on the Seas	ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, vel The regulat as non-driv continue to	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie nicle-free state tion will only p ing buffers ard dominate and	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p . The manag protect the sp bund nests. F degrade the	oncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers Seashore.	proposed reg and favors the port regulation diffe and for d also set asian d on the Seass and other w	ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, vel The regulat as non-driv continue to	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie nicle-free state tion will only p ing buffers ard dominate and	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p . The manag protect the sp bund nests. F degrade the	ncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers	proposed reg and favors the port regulation diffe and for d also set asian d on the Seass and other w	ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
Correspondence ID:	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, veh The regulat as non-driv continue to Thank you 11046	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie nicle-free state tion will only p ing buffers ard dominate and	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p . The manag protect the sp bund nests. F degrade the	oncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers Seashore.	proposed reg and favors the port regulation diffe and for d also set asian d on the Seass and other w	ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
Name:	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, vel The regulat as non-driv continue to Thank you 11046 private	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, science nicle-free state tion will only p ing buffers are dominate and for the opport Project:	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p The manage protect the sp ound nests. F degrade the unity to prov	oncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers Seashore.	proposed reg and favors the port regulation diffe and for d also set asi and other seas and other we nts.	ulation treats w ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protectio	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
	a tremendo areas for fa beach to the specific, en natural, veh The regulat as non-driv continue to Thank you 11046 private Aug.31,201 regulations	milies to safel e exclusion of forceable, scie nicle-free state tion will only p ing buffers are dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00	y enjoy vehi pedestrians ence-based p . The manag protect the sp ound nests. F degrade the unity to prov	oncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I suy protections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers Seashore. vide these comme Document:	proposed reg and favors the port regulation diffe and for d also set asi and other we nts. 41993	ulation treats w ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private:	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11047 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Inave visited the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and it is a valuable gem to be treated with respect. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am concerned that the proposed regulations do not adequately protect seabirds, turtles and other wildlife and allow							
	 excessive use of off road vehicles that are destructive to the animals and the peace of those who visit looking for the experience of nature. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. 							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
	G.S.S. Khalsa							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11048 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect our wildlife forever.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11049 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11050 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.								
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.								
	When did the mission of the National Park Service change from protecting the natural resources to protecting fossil fuel propelled vehicles? I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Shouldn't the National Park Service be promoting sustainable practices among all of their visitors. I would require any vehicles to be powered by human power or alternative fuels such as biofuels from fry oil or human waste. Be innovative, lead the way. Your mission is to protect the natural resources.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11052 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11053 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								



specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11054 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11055 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11056 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11057 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing off-road vehicle use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtle and beach-nesting bird populations have made a tremendous comeback after being severely depleted by off-road and other human activity.									
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of off-road vehicle users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage off-road vehicles within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for off-road vehicle uses.									
	As it stands, the regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that off-road vehicles will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11058 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.									
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11059 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.									
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11060 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I believe vehicles should be greatly limited at Cape Hatteras National Seashore for the safety of beachgoers, was well as the protection of wildlife. Also, this vehicles prevent peaceful enjoyment of the beach because of their noise.									
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.									
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11061 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Thank you for the opportunity to comment on NPS's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	As a volunteer for NPS, I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.							
	I strongly support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protection for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID:	11062 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Stewards of our National Parks and Seashores,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am a frequent visitor to the Cape Cod National Seashore, and have witnessed how that unit of the NPS protects sensitive wildlife, like piping plover, while permitting designated routes and seasons for ORV use on the beach. Those seeking wilderness solitude are also able to find it on the Cape Cod Seashore.							
	I am very concerned that the Hatteras Seashore plan and regulations may not place sufficient emphasis on sensitive birds and other wildlife - and on finding solitude for the human visitor, all of which are fundamental to the mission of the National Parks							
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.							
	Yet, I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.							
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11063 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is really time that we put the concerns of our wildlife ahead of our own selfish desires. I have been to North Carolina for							
Correspondence:	birding, I am just as important a tourist as a person who wants to roar with two stroke engines and thick treaded tires across habitats where nesting and feeding birds have no defense. Actually, I am more important because my enjoyment does not degrade the environment.							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11064 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11065 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
	-Newell Nussbaumer							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11066 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID:						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p specific, enforceable, scier natural, vehicle-free state. The regulation will only pr	to comment o e. Under the c am very conc enjoy vehicle edestrians and ice-based prot The manager rotect the spec ind nests. Plea	urrent managen erned that the p -free beaches, a d wildlife. I sup ections for wild ent plan should ies that depend ase add buffers	nent plan at t proposed regu and favors th port regulati llife and for d also set asic on the Seash	he Seashore, se ilation treats w e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh he additional ar nore if it manda	Y ulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad- ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include o wish to visit the National Seashore in a eas for those uses. thes specific, science-based protections, suc- ns to the regulation so that ORVs will not
		-				
	Thank you for the opportu	nity to provide	e these commer	its.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	animals and people can enj comment on the National I the current management pl concerned that the propose vehicle-free beaches, and f and wildlife. I support regy protections for wildlife and management plan should a The regulation will only pr	oy its beauty Park Service's an at the Seas d regulation t avors the righ lations to ma l for pedestria lso set aside a rotect the spec ind nests. Plea	and know that proposed regul hore, sea turtle reats wildlife p ts of ORV user nage ORVs wit ns who wish to dditional areas	man made po ation for ma s and beach- rotection as o s to drive on hin the Seasl visit the Nat for those use on the Seasl	billution will no naging ORV us nesting birds ha optional, provice extensive area nore that incluce ional Seashore is.	se keep the natural environment so that t endanger it. I appreciate the opportunity to se of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Und ave made a tremendous comeback. I am ver les few areas for families to safely enjoy s of the beach to the exclusion of pedestriar le specific, enforceable, science-based in a natural, vehicle-free state. The attes specific, science-based protections, such ns to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	continue to dominate and e					
	Thank you for the opportun	nity to provide	e these commer	nts.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportune 11069 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for the opportune Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p	10641 1 nity to comme 2. Under the c am very conc enjoy vehicle edestrians and	Document: ent on the Natic urrent managen erned that the p -free beaches, a d wildlife. Use	41993 anal Park Ser nent plan at t proposed regr and favors th which is dest	he Seashore, se ilation treats w e rights of OR ructive must ne	Y I regulation for managing ORV use of Cape a turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad- ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ot be given equal weight to uses which favo ses may continue in perpetuity.
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Thank you for the opportune 11069 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for the opportune Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p conservation. Destructive a Regulations to manage OR	10641 1 nity to comme e. Under the c am very conc enjoy vehicle edestrians and and dangerous Vs within the ish to visit the	Document: ent on the Natic urrent managen erned that the p -free beaches, a d wildlife. Use s uses must be l Seashore shou	41993 onal Park Ser nent plan at t roposed regu and favors th which is dest imited so tha ld include sp	vice's proposed he Seashore, se ilation treats w e rights of OR ructive must no t ALL public u ecific, enforcea	I regulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ot be given equal weight to uses which favo
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Thank you for the opportune 11069 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for the opportune Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p conservation. Destructive a Regulations to manage OR and for pedestrians who we aside additional areas for the The regulation will only pr	10641 I nity to comme e. Under the c am very conc enjoy vehicle edestrians and and dangerous .Vs within the ish to visit the hose uses. votect the spec ind nests. Plea	Document: ent on the Natic urrent managen erned that the p -free beaches, a d wildlife. Use s uses must be l Seashore shou National Seash ies that depend ase add buffers	41993 anal Park Ser nent plan at t roposed regu and favors th which is dest imited so that ld include sp nore in a natu	vice's proposed he Seashore, se ilation treats w e rights of OR ¹ ructive must no t ALL public u ecific, enforcea ral, vehicle-fre	I regulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ot be given equal weight to uses which favo uses may continue in perpetuity. able, science-based protections for wildlife
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Thank you for the opportune 11069 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for the opportune Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p conservation. Destructive a Regulations to manage OR and for pedestrians who will aside additional areas for the The regulation will only pr as non-driving buffers arout	10641 I nity to comme e. Under the c am very conc enjoy vehicle edestrians and and dangerous Vs within the ish to visit the hose uses. otect the spec ind nests. Plea legrade the Se	Document: ent on the Natic urrent managen erned that the p -free beaches, a d wildlife. Use s uses must be l Seashore shou National Seash ies that depend ase add buffers ashore.	41993 anal Park Ser nent plan at t roposed regu and favors th which is dest imited so tha ld include sp nore in a natu on the Seash and other wi	vice's proposed he Seashore, se ilation treats w e rights of OR ¹ ructive must no t ALL public u ecific, enforcea ral, vehicle-fre	I regulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ot be given equal weight to uses which favo ises may continue in perpetuity. able, science-based protections for wildlife se state. The management plan should also s

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of C Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds haw a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protection as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11071 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11072 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.							
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.							
	Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations.							

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov In the grand scheme of things, ORVs will come and go. Let's not say the same for our wonderful wildlife, at least not on our watch.							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11074 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration. Jessica Stabler							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11075 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							
Correspondence.	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11076 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11077 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11077 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Please help provide protected area's for our wildlife and birds of all species. So much destruction of forest, lagoons, area's were they need to nest. WHY ou you so greedy for all this land. Before we can blink our eyes, we will have lost something so precious that God has GIVEN US TO TAKE CARE OF. WHY IS OUR GOVENMENT SO WASTEFUL AND SELFISH TO THINK THEY OUR GOD'S TO TAKE FROM US AND FROM OUR WILDLIFE. Please take a deep breath and close yoour eyes ands see our world in the future with nothing except for concect, polution and waste. No more forest, the artic, no wildlife no birds, but noise from machinery. Stop this insane thought that we humans need all this crape, lets us have our oceans, our forest, out mountain are's and live to hear and see the wildlife as is was meant to be.							
Correspondence ID:	11078 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11079 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11080 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few							

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seash a tremendous comeback areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion o specific, enforceable, sc natural, vehicle-free stat The regulation will only as non-driving buffers at	ore. Under the I am very control of the second se	ne current manage oncerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat dlife and for d also set asi	the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	Y egulation for managing ORV use of ea turtles and beach-nesting birds vildlife protection as optional, prov V users to drive on extensive areas ORVs within the Seashore that in o wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protect ons to the regulation so that ORVs	have made vides few s of the clude ore in a tions, such
	Continue to dominate and Thank you for the oppor	0		nts.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	on Cape Hatteras Nation turtles that only created ORV use across the Sea: the Seashore, but the Pai only 26 of the 67 total m beach driving. More veh regulations treat wildlife	al Seashore. 82 nests in 2 shore, threat rk Service pl niles of the S nicle-free are protection a	Under the current 007, made 153 in ened and endanger an protects beach eashore for year-ro as are needed for as optional, which	interim plar 2010. Piping red wildlife c drivers more ound wildlife wildlife and j is unaccepta	a, protected will plovers and of could be impact than it does we and pedestrian bedestrians. As ble. Please revi	Y e's proposed regulation for managi dlife has seen huge gains in the are her shorebirds are rebounding. If y ed. I strongly support regulation o ildlife. The proposed plan sets asid n use with open to year-round or se it is currently written, the propose se this plan to include current buff n the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you	ea. Sea you expand f ORVs at le currently easonal d ers and
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	plan which totally ignore creatures some of them a	es the safety, already 'threa	/protection of bird atened' are at territ	s, turtles and ble risk becau	other wildlife iseof the c	Y body or civic organization would c on our beaches. The lives of thousa rucial and dire necessity of recreati Huh? There is something Very W	ands of ion-ers
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11084 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please keep ATVs off w	10641 ildlife areas.	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seash a tremendous comeback areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion o	ore. Under the I am very centric the I y enjoy vehe f pedestrians ience-based	ne current manage oncerned that the iicle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y egulation for managing ORV use of ea turtles and beach-nesting birds vildlife protection as optional, prov V users to drive on extensive areas ORVs within the Seashore that in to wish to visit the National Seashore reas for those uses.	have made vides few s of the clude

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11086 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11087 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11088 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11089 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made						

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11090 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11091 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Indext project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hello, Y Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11092 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11092 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hello, I'm a former visitor to the beautiful wild beaches of Cape Hatteras, and have learned of pending regulations affecting this						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11092 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hello, I'm a former visitor to the beautiful wild beaches of Cape Hatteras, and have learned of pending regulations affecting this beautiful wildlife-rich area. I visited the area specifically to see birds and other wildlife. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made						

wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Best regards, Josh Stoneman

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11093 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence:						laws are still enfroced but it used to be illega me that should cover this.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashor a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p	e. Under th am very c enjoy veh pedestrians ace-based	the current manager oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.	
	as non-driving buffers aro continue to dominate and Allowing OTVs on the bea	and nests. legrade the	Please add buffers e Seashore. no sense to me. T	and other w	ildlife protection	lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not y and I can't imagine why beachgoers who are greatly dimich my enjoyment of a day at the	
	trying to relax and enjoy the beach and the water would want them there. It would greatly dimish my enjoyment of a day at the beach. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashor a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p	e. Under th am very c enjoy veh pedestrians ace-based	the current manager oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportu	nity to pro	vide these comme	nts.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashor a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely	e. Under th am very c enjoy veh	ne current manager oncerned that the juicle-free beaches,	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl	the Seashore, s ulation treats v re rights of OR	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include	

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11097 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug., 51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11098 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I am grateful for the chance to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore, or whatever fragments of it survived Hurricane Irene. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a remarkable recovery. I am worried that the proposed regulation treat wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage if not ban, ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11099 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11100 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov KEEPING IT SHORT. WILDLIFE PROTECTED AREAS ARE NOT NEGOTIABLE. THEY ARE NECESSARY FOR THE SURVIVAL OF OUR NATURAL RESOURCES. THERE ARE PLENTY OF PLACES FOR THRILL SEEKERS TO EXERCISE THEIR HEDONISTIC EXERCISES WITHOUT INVADING THE HABITATS OF OUR BIRDS AND OTHER WILDLIFE. DO NOT COMPROMISE ON THIS ISSUE. KEEP SOME AREAS GREEN, CLEAN AND AS NATURE INTENDED. THANK YOU.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11101 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11102 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current
	management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Correspondence ID: Name:	11103 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11104 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

I am lucky in that I live near a national park (Sitka National Park). Quiet contemplation of wild, healthy, nature is what it is all about for all who live here. Keep our park wildlife safe and healthy.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11105 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 11106 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I was fortunate to have been introduced to the wonders of the Hatteras area from the time I was about 7 years old and many many times after and I am now 59. The wildlife there in the 60s was so abundant. The birds were plentiful and beautiful. But every time we have gone back to the Cape Hatteras seashore, we see less and less birds in their natural habitat. There were neve off-road vehicles in the birding areas. That is something that was allowed much later in years. Please don't let recreational vehicles spoil this beautiful and necessary wildlife habitat area. We walked for miles along undistrubed beach and any more, people don't care that they litter, trample and disturb wildlife areas. Please don't let this continue. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11107 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11108 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11109 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11110 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.					
	However, thanks to business and political pressures, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed final regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Servic falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic object and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." Please ensure that the final regulation specifically designates all necessary protections for wildlife, such as speed limits and buffer zones to protect vulnerable nesting and roosting areas.					

Please remember that these national park areas are the property of the US and foreign peoples as a whole; they are not the

private property of greedy businesses and corrupt politicians interested only in short term profits. Y **Correspondence ID:** 11111 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 **Private:** Name: private **Received:** Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov Correspondence: Dear Superintendent Murray, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving. If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, sciencebased protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration Correspondence ID: 11112 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 **Private:** Y Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Received:** Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. 11113 Y **Correspondence ID: Project:** 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Name: private Received: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Correspondence: Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11114 **Project:** 10641 41993 **Private:** Y **Correspondence ID: Document:** Name: private **Received:** Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

i believe that humans and animals can live in harmony as long as we really consider our actions, and how they affect the future of our planet and not just our temporary enjoyment.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11115 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov My grandson went as an ornithologist on Halloween when he was five - so my interest is for him and all my family. And I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.						
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11116 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11117 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It seems to me that there are enough roads to drive on and there are special places that should require one to walk or look from a distance! It seems to me that there are enough roads to drive on and there are special places that should require one to walk or look from a distance!						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11118 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a						

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11119 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am commenting on the proposed relaxation of the rules regarding use of vehicles on the beaches Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am very concerned that the National Sea Shore will become a haven for off road vehicles at the expense of wildlif and the peace and quiet that most beach goers seek. The current regulations are already too lax. Don't destroy our beaches any further.
Correspondence ID: Name:	11120 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11121 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11122 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11123 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov My family has vacationed at Cape Hatteras a previously, and certain developments have made me question going back as much as we enjoyed our time there.
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11124 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 V					
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11125 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape					
correspondence	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11126 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11127 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov

Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11128 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect this lovely	10641 v environme	Document:	41993 All Terrain V	Private: /ehicles OFF!	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seasho a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, sci natural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only	pre. Under the I am very compared by the pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the sound nests. I degrade the degrade the degrade the protect the sound nests.	he current manages concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	ment plan at proposed reg and favors ti pport regulat dlife and for d also set asi d on the Seas and other w	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh ide additional a shore if it mand	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11130 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportur Hatteras National Seashe a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, sci natural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only	10641 hity to comm re. Under the I am very of pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the sound nests.	Document: nent on the Nationa he current manager concerned that the incle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors ti oport regulat dlife and for d also set asi d on the Seas	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh ide additional a shore if it mand	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
	Thank you for the oppor	-		nts.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seasho a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion of	ore. Under the I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based	the current manager concerned that the nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	ment plan at proposed reg and favors the port regulated dlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few .V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11132 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11133 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	As a frequent visitor to our National Seashores, I am concerned that proposed regulations of Cape Hatteras National Seashore do not set aside enough areas for people who wish to visit a natural, vehicle-free area. Such use was clearly an intention of Congress.					
	Further, insufficient protection is given to nesting areas of birds and turtles, and the present proposal treats protection as optional. Not only should specific, enforceable, mandatory protections be included, but where vehicles are allowed it should be mandatory to have buffers around nests and for their to be strict penalties for violators.					
	Outlaws and their vehicles should not be able to seize our seashores by forcing a change in policy when the majority of the public wants these areas to be friendly to citizens who are seeking natural, peaceful areas and for wildlife. Thank you.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11134 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11135 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include					

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11136 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov KPlease allow the birds the right of way on our seashores. They were here long before the vehicles that threaten them.							
Correspondence ID:	11137 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence ID: Name:	private	10041	Document.	41993	I IIvate.	1		
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov	ity to comm	ont on the Nation	1 Dorle Comri	aala proposad r	agulation for monoging O	W use of Cone	
Correspondence:						egulation for managing OF ea turtles and beach-nestin		
	a tremendous comeback	I am very co	oncerned that the	proposed reg	ulation treats v	vildlife protection as option	al, provides few	
					U	V users to drive on extension of the season		
						to wish to visit the Nationa		
	natural, vehicle-free state	e. The manag	gement plan shoul	d also set asi	de additional a	reas for those uses.		
						ates specific, science-base		
	as non-driving buffers and continue to dominate and			and other w	ildlife protectio	ons to the regulation so tha	t ORVs will not	
	Thank you for the oppor	tunity to pro	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name:	11138 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov							
	0 0							
	I appreciate this opportu	•			U	n for managing ORV use a	*	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s	seven nationa	al seashores on the	Atlantic Oc	ean represent t	n for managing ORV use a he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita	ild, undeveloped	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endanger	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife,	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l	e Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach	ild, undeveloped ts for rare,	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife,	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l	e Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach	ild, undeveloped ts for rare,	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun	e Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endanger evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, a	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resource reased wildlife mo	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rcces. Examp ortality and c	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts or les include din lwindling popu	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endanger evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, a protections work. For the	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou creased wildlife me l years, the Park S	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures 1	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou reased wildlife me l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, al protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that te	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl imporary pla	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife me l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and encounter	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc ss have been langered sea	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increase lations. On the other hand c science-based measures 1 btections for migrating and	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tee instance, under that tem 2007, the last season prior	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turt emporary plan, t por to the imp	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the I ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife me I years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and encou- lementation of the	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best plac- tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hat es have been langered sea plan.	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w turtles laid 153	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures I stections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re 8 nests in 2010, compared to	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, al protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa past severa irds and turt imporary plan, t por to the imp ce has omitte	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife mul years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and encou- lementation of the ed these buffers ar	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato s have been langered sea plan.	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific shlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand c science-based measures I stections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By	
Correspondence:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl imporary plan, t por to the imp ce has omitte only those a	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife me l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and encou- lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for O	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato s have been langered sea plan.	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife e Park Service	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures I stections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re 8 nests in 2010, compared to	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By s under the Organic	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, a protections work. For the buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scer	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl mporary plan, t or to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife mu l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and enc lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for G seashore) and the r	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc se have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures 1 stections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By under the Organid d to provide for th	
Correspondence:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scer- enjoyment of the same in generations.".	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl imporary plan, t or to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encount impairs park resour- reased wildlife mul- l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and end lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for G seashore) and the r er and by such me	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato se have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures 1 thections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re 8 nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an upaired for the enjoyment of	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By under the Organi d to provide for th	
Correspondence:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the see enjoyment of the same in generations.".	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl mporary plan, t or to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife mu l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and end lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for G seashore) and the r	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hatc se have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand c science-based measures 1 otections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By under the Organi d to provide for th	
	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scer- enjoyment of the same in generations.".	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl imporary plan, t or to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encount impairs park resour- reased wildlife mul- l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and end lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for G seashore) and the re- er and by such me	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato se have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures 1 thections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re 8 nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an upaired for the enjoyment of	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By under the Organi d to provide for th	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, al protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prio However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scei enjoyment of the same in generations.". 11139 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa porary plan, t por to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne 10641	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife mul l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and end lementation of the ed these buffers an reas set aside for G seashore) and the r er and by such me	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er ervice has er cks, and hatt es have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific shings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife Park Service 1 istoric objects eave them unim	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures I totections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an upaired for the enjoyment of Y	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By s under the Organi d to provide for th f future	
Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, al protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the see enjoyment of the same in generations.". 11139 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa porary plan, t por to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne 10641	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife mul- l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi- hreatened and enc- lementation of the ed these buffers and reas set aside for G seashore) and the r er and by such me Document: ent on the National	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato s have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le 41993	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific rebounding, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 152 essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects ave them unim Private: ce's proposed r	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures 1 tections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an paired for the enjoyment of Y egulation for managing OI	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By under the Organi d to provide for th f future	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prior However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scer enjoyment of the same in generations.". 11139 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seasho	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl imporary plan, t or to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne 10641 hity to comm	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the l ssors who encount impairs park resour- reased wildlife me l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie threatened and encount lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for O seashore) and the r er and by such me Document: ent on the National	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hato se have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le 41993 al Park Servin nent plan at	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures I totections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an upaired for the enjoyment of Y	ild, undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By a under the Organi d to provide for th f future RV use of Cape g birds have made	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sear threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season priv However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scer- enjoyment of the same in generations.". 11139 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seash a tremendous comeback areas for families to safe	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl imporary plan, t por to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne 10641 hity to comm pre. Under th I am very ce	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the I ssors who encoun impairs park resou- reased wildlife me I years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and encou- lementation of the ed these buffers ar reas set aside for G seashore) and the r er and by such me Document: ent on the Nationa e current manager picle-free beaches,	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best plac tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hat es have been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the hatural and h ans as will le 41993	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife e Park Service istoric objects ave them unim Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures I bections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an ippaired for the enjoyment of Y egulation for managing OI ea turtles and beach-nestin vildlife protection as option V users to drive on extensi	A undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By under the Organi d to provide for the f future AV use of Cape g birds have made tal, provides few ve areas of the	
Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	I appreciate this opportu National Seashore. The s beach on the eastern sea threatened, and endange evoke the experiences of It is well-documented th for pedestrian visitors, ai protections work. For th buffers around nesting b shorebirds. Under that tem 2007, the last season prive However, the Park Servi doing so, and specifying Act "to conserve the scere enjoyment of the same in generations.". 11139 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashd a tremendous comeback areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion or	seven nationa coast of the U red wildlife, f our predece at ORV use i long with inc e past severa irds and turtl emporary plan, t por to the imp ce has omitte only those a nery (of the s n such manne 10641 hity to comm pre. Under th I am very cc	al seashores on the Jnited States. The but represent the I ssors who encoun impairs park resou- creased wildlife me I years, the Park S es, their nests, chi I years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie hreatened and end lementation of the ed these buffers are reas set aside for G seashore) and the r er and by such me Document: ent on the Nationa e current manager procerned that the p icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup	Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place tered the vas rces. Examp ortality and c ervice has er cks, and hate shave been langered sea plan. d other succ DRV use, the natural and h ans as will le 41993 al Park Servit popor regulation	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer t wild coasts of les include din lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro- rebounding, w turtles laid 153 essful wildlife Park Service istoric objects ave them unim Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ions to manage	he last vestiges of open, w ces not only protect habita icans can visit wild beach f North America. hinished access and increas lations. On the other hand e science-based measures I thections for migrating and ith some reproducing in re B nests in 2010, compared to protections in its proposed falls short in its obligations and the wild life therein an upaired for the enjoyment of Y egulation for managing OI ea turtles and beach-nestin vildlife protection as option	A undeveloped ts for rare, environments that ed safety hazards wildlife ike no-driving wintering cord numbers. For o only 82 nests in regulation. By s under the Organi d to provide for the f future RV use of Cape g birds have made tal, provides few ve areas of the e that include	

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11140 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	: Y					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it n as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife prot continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.	· ·					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11141 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 100:00:00	:: Ү					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's propose Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seasho a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation trea areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to man specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrian natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside addition	re, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ats wildlife protection as optional, provides few FORV users to drive on extensive areas of the nage ORVs within the Seashore that include is who wish to visit the National Seashore in a					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it n as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife prot continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11142 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's propose Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashor a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treat areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to mat specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrian natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside addition	sed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape ore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ats wildlife protection as optional, provides few f ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the nage ORVs within the Seashore that include is who wish to visit the National Seashore in a					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it n as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife prot continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11143Project:10641Document:41993PrivateprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposHatteras National Seashore.Under the current management plan at the Seashore	sed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape					

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11144 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence:	regulations.gov NPS, please improve your proposed restrictions on ORV driving on Cape Hatteras. I am not a member of a radical enviro- special interest interfering with local autonomy; I just believe we need real, permanent protection for Hatteras's endangered/threatened birds/turtles, which, after all, are valuable natural resources. Wildlife visitors (like myself, a regular vacationer to Hatteras) bring just as many tourist dollars as fishing and ORV recreation visitors do, and recreation and wildlife can still coexist just fine when beaches are closed during the nesting season. Beach closures in the past couple of years have been extremely effective in protecting endangered/threatened birds/turtles, and the rules have not harmed the local economy in any way. Listen to science, not politics, please.							
Correspondence ID:	11145 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name:	11146 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	My family has enjoyed several beautiful and peaceful vacations near national parks. In recent years we have vacationed near th Cape Cod National Seashore and the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore. We were very thankful to not be disturbed by obnoxious off road vehicles.							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11147 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	mank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11148 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
	I am gravely concerned that the will of people like me, people who want a natural place to enjoy without traffic, or competition for access, people who WANT to see wildlife thrive and want a way to enjoy the natural beauty and wildlife of Cape Hatteras are being so blatantly disregarded in the rules as they stand. Take the LONG view please! My voice matters and I would like to see the NPS respond to the mandate to PROTECT the seashore for the natural elements in a much better way than represented in the rules. Thank you!					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11149 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov To Whom It May Concern,					
·	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
	Sincerely,					
	Fran Hoef-Bouchard					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11150 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape					

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11151 Proj private Aug,31,2011 00:		Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	as non-driving bu		Please add buffers				ence-based protections, such ion so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for th	e opportunity to pr	ovide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		00:00					asis. Keeping all of our park
	Hatteras Nationa a tremendous con areas for families beach to the excl specific, enforced	I Seashore. Under t neback. I am very to safely enjoy ve usion of pedestrian	he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wi	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, gulation treats he rights of OF ions to manage pedestrians w	sea turtles and bea wildlife protection RV users to drive of e ORVs within the ho wish to visit th	hanaging ORV use of Cape ach-nesting birds have made a as optional, provides few on extensive areas of the e Seashore that include he National Seashore in a es.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for th	e opportunity to pr	ovide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	wildlife diversity	00:00 ational Seashore is	oreline. But the in	pacts of unr	estricted off-ro	ad vehicle (ORV)	g in the natural beauty, the use has taken its toll on the
	Please help prote	ct this area with sp	ecial regulations.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11154 Proj private Aug,31,2011 00: regulations.gov I appreciate the o	00:00	Document:	41993 al Park Servi	Private:	Y regulation for mar	naging ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11155 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11156 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11157 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11158 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11159 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. Fo instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organ Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11160 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I11161 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. We have spent many happy moments along the National Seashore and it is imperative that we do our utmost to preserve it now and for future generations with more specific protections. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Sincerely, Pamela King-Rediger

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11162 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11163 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protect all of our national seashore and its habitat for birds and sealife, cape hatteras needs your help as well as all national seashores. document id nps-2011-0005-0001						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11164 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11165 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Why the obsession with the rights of drivers of off road vehicles? They can drive anywhere but instead there is this strange emphasis on their right to destroy the peace of those who wish to experience the outdoors on foot, and to complete their destruction of the nesting sites of rare birds and other wildlife. I thought the National Park Service was supposed to to hold site such as the Cape Hatteras National Seashore in trust for future generations, not cater to today's spoiled brats with expensive toys.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11166 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am crazy about shorebirds. Especially plovers, extraordinary little birds that nest on beaches.						
	I have lived near beaches all my life, so understand the value of access and use of beaches. I feel it is imperative that we share						

our natural spaces with wildlife.

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you very much.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free b beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildl specific, enforceable, science-based protections natural, vehicle-free state. The management place The regulation will only protect the species that	National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape nanagement plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made at the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few aches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the e. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a n should also set aside additional areas for those uses. depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11168 Project: 10641 Docum private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current ta tremendous comeback. I am very concerned tareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildl specific, enforceable, science-based protections	
	0 1 1	depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free b beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildl specific, enforceable, science-based protections	ent: 41993 Private: Y National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape nanagement plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made at the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few aches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the e. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a n should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00	ject: 10641 :00:00	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Compromising the nesting area of birds and turtles with motor vehicles will only drive them away. They are endangered, so why do you want to accelerate their demise? Leave the shoreline in its natural state.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00 regulations.gov I appreciate the Hatteras Nationa a tremendous co areas for familie beach to the exc specific, enforce natural, vehicle-	opportunity to comm al Seashore. Under the meback. I am very season s to safely enjoy ve lusion of pedestrian vable, science-based free state. The mana vill only protect the	he current manages concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil agement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at a proposed reg and favors th poprt regulati dlife and for d also set asis	the Seashore, s ulation treats w the rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	ea turtles and beac vildlife protection a V users to drive on ORVs within the no wish to visit the reas for those uses.	iging ORV use of Cape h-nesting birds have made is optional, provides few a extensive areas of the Seashore that include National Seashore in a ce-based protections, such n so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you for th	ne opportunity to pr	ovide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00 regulations.gov I do my part by p Fish and Wildlli I appreciate the Hatteras Nationa a tremendous co areas for familie beach to the exci specific, enforce natural, vehicle-	putting temporary b fe Commission . opportunity to commission . al Seashore. Under t meback. I am very of s to safely enjoy ve lusion of pedestrian vable, science-based free state. The mani- vill only protect the uffers around nests.	nent on the Nationa he current manages concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for will agement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	al Park Servia ment plan at 1 proposed reg and favors th oport regulati dlife and for d also set asia d on the Seasi	ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats w te rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wf de additional a hore if it mand	egulation for mana ea turtles and beac vildlife protection a V users to drive on ORVs within the no wish to visit the reas for those uses.	s as a volunteer for the FL iging ORV use of Cape h-nesting birds have made is optional, provides few a extensive areas of the Seashore that include National Seashore in a ce-based protections, such n so that ORVs will not		
	Continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00 regulations.gov It is very import	ant for the nesting b					off the road vehicles. Pleas Thank you for considering		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00 regulations.gov I appreciate the	opportunity to com					ging ORV use of Cape h-nesting birds have made		

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11175 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11176 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	I live near the seashore in Florida and plan on visiting the Cape Hatteras area in the fall. I would like to see the sea turtles and beach-nesting birds better protected in the Cape Hatteras area.								
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11177 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific science-based protections such								

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11178 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gay								
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I grew up roaming the Hatteras area with my brothers and take my grandchildren there at least once a year so I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11179 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11180 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11181 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include								

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Kim Montgomery

Correspondence ID:	11182 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11183 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug, 31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
Correspondence:	I am submitting this comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I understand that the sea turtle and beach-nesting bird populations have been increasing under the current management plan. I am concerned and disturbed that the proposed regulation offers wildlife protection as an optional aspect of the management of this area. Off Road Vehicle use cannot be granted more importance that the preservation of our natural resources. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife as well as for citizens who want to enjoy the National Seashore in its natural, vehicle-free condition. It seems that the proposed plan favors ORV use over the needs and rights of the natural environment and those who wish to visit the Cape Hatteras National Seashore to walk and otherwise participate in that natural environment. The management plan can and should set aside areas for ORV use, but do so with adequate regulations protecting, as a necessity - not an option - the natural environment, wildlife, and free access for pedestrians.								
	To the best of my knowledge the regulations must include mandated specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests, in order to adequately protect the species that depend on the Seashore. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not damage and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you considering my comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11184 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov								
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								

Correspondence ID: Name:	11185 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11186 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov After another summer of taking advantage of our country's remarkable national parks (in the great mountain west, this time) I value them more than ever. From the numbers of people in the more popular spots, I know that others - particularly people wit children - value and use our national parks also.								
	Please do everything you can to preserve the wildlife on our shorelines, mountains, prairies, and elsewhere! Our country need these to keep our spirit of freedom and community alive. People can drive motor vehicles almost everywhere. I adamantly believe that we need to keep some places free of motor vehicles, for our own species' health, as well as others.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11187 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11188 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, succas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11189 Projec private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov As a North Carolina and damage the nes	:00 1 Native, and visit		41993 beaches with	Private: their wildlife,	Y I urge the regulation of vehicles which kill
	** **	eashore. Under th				egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made
		eaches, and favor				nal, provides few areas for families to safely ve areas of the beach to the exclusion of
		pedestrians who	wish to visit the N			ecific, enforceable, science-based protections l, vehicle-free state. The management plan
	U	ers around nests. I	Please add buffers			ates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for the c	pportunity to pro-	vide these comme	nts.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National S a tremendous come areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceabl natural, vehicle-free The regulation will as non-driving buffic continue to domina	00 ortunity to comm eashore. Under th back. I am very cc safely enjoy veh on of pedestrians e, science-based p state. The manag only protect the s ers around nests. I er and degrade the	e current manager oncerned that the p icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup orotections for wil gement plan should pecies that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	nent plan at the proposed regu- and favors the port regulation dlife and for p d also set asid l on the Seash and other wil	ne Seashore, so lation treats we e rights of OR ons to manage bedestrians wh e additional and ore if it manda	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, such ns to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for the c				D • 4	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	the amazing life on on wildlife, especia	00 we vacationed in C the beach. We are lly bird and turtle	e deeply concerned nesting grounds.	l about the us Γwenty-six m	e of Off Road iles out of sixt	Y o there is to walk long distances and observe Vehicles and the negative impact they have ty-seven is not enough to protect these tions for wildlife and additional vehicle-free
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National S a tremendous come areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceabl natural, vehicle-free The regulation will	00 ortunity to comm eashore. Under th back. I am very cc safely enjoy veh on of pedestrians e, science-based p state. The manag only protect the s	e current manager oncerned that the p icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup orotections for wil gement plan should pecies that depend	nent plan at the proposed regu- and favors the port regulation dlife and for p d also set asid	ne Seashore, so lation treats we e rights of OR ons to manage bedestrians wh e additional and ore if it manda	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
					r	

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11193 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence:	Please limit off road vehic	les in this fi	agile environmen	nt.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11194 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunit Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p	e. Under the am very co enjoy vehi edestrians ace-based p	e current manager ncerned that the cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil	nent plan at proposed reg and favors th port regulati dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v le rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.			
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportu-	nity to prov	ide these comme	nts.					
	Michele Vaillancourt								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashord a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p	e. Under the am very co enjoy vehi edestrians ace-based p	e current manager ncerned that the cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil	nent plan at proposed reg and favors th port regulati dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v le rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.			
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportu-	nity to prov	ide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11196 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	as non-driving buffers arou	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportu-	nity to prov	ide these comme	nts.					

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									
Correspondence ID:	11198 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y									
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00									
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.									
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	In 1199 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.									
Correspondence ID:	11200 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y									
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11200 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.									

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11201 P private Aug,31,2011 (0	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug. 1,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	U	buffers arou	nd nests. F	Please add buffers			ates specific, science-based prote ons to the regulation so that ORV		
	Thank you for	the opportun	iity to prov	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go I appreciate th	00:00:00 v e opportunity					Y egulation for managing ORV use tea turtles and beach-nesting birds		
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	as non-driving continue to do	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Tame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go	00:00:00 v and preserve		Document:	41993 unteer severa	Private:	Y y at Tri-State Bird Rescue in New	vark, DE ar	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11204 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
		buffers arou	nd nests. F	Please add buffers			ates specific, science-based prote ons to the regulation so that ORV		
							the mid-Atlantic region, and I ha		

Currently, I live In Oregon, in the seaside town of Newport. Originally, I am from the mid-Atlantic region, and I have visited and enjoyed the National Seashore at Cape Hatteras. My sister and her young family vacation there every year. I love the coast, and I am keen to see coastal resources and wildlife protected from overuse.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11205 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I've participated in multi-year scientific research projects called a Bird Atlas. Ground-nesting birds need protections and are declining everywhere man makes his presence known. From beach and off-road driving, to our domestic cats and dogs, these birds suffer huge population declines. That is why most State and National Parks require leash laws. Please protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore from the unnecessary ravages of beach driving and dune buggies. They are NOT suitable uses for such limited habitat. Thank you for keeping our parks pristine and natural.								
	Sincerely, Jack Schlotte								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11206 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I'm writing on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I'w had the pleasure to visit Cape Hatteras in the past five years, and was pleased on two levels; one, to see that much of the beach area was accessible to the public for varied uses. But more importantly to me was seeing a portion of the seashore being roped off from the public to allow the safe nesting of ocean birds. The main reason I visit Hatteras is to observe these birds, and by								
	protecting sections of the coast, other areas were able to also host the birds I had come to view. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	As a hard-working executive and loyal American, I tend to plan my vacations around domestic travel related to ecotourism; typically I make several trips per year to this end. Protecting the Hatteras National Seashore will allow me to continue to not only prioritize Hatteras as a personal destination, but to also recommend it to my many friends and colleagues who enjoy simila travel.								
	Thank you for considering my comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11207 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Officials:								
	Please find the resources and political will to protect endangered species.								
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11208 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov #								

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Protecting wildlife adds enjoyment to more people than RV users. Please protect the lives of these wonderful creatures that are part of our world. Act carefully.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11209 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641 0	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
		s around nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
	Thank you for the opp	portunity to pro	ovide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11210 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641 0	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opp	portunity to pro	ovide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Seashore. Under the c in 2007, made 153 in threatened and endam, Service plan protects miles of the Seashore vehicle-free areas are protection as optional	about the Natio current interim 2010. Piping p gered wildlife beach drivers a for year-round needed for wil , which is unad	plan, protected wil plovers and other sl could be impacted. more than it does w l wildlife and pede ldlife and pedestria cceptable. Please re	dlife has see norebirds are I strongly su vildlife. The strian use wi ns. As it is c evise this pla	n huge gains ir rebounding. If ipport regulation proposed plans th open to year urrently written n to include cu	Y naging ORV use on Cape Hatteras National the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests you expand ORV use across the Seashore, on of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total -round or seasonal beach driving. More h, the proposed regulations treat wildlife rrent buffers and other explicit protections for the you for your consideration.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11212 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641 0	Document:	41993	Private:	Y			

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11213 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not								
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11214 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such								
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11215 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	-	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	as non-dri		ound nests. I	Please add buffers			· ·	ice-based protections, such n so that ORVs will not	
	Thank you	1 for the opport	unity to prov	vide these comme	nts.				
	Sincerely,	Chris Van Vor	ous						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	0.	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you	1 for the opport	unity to prov	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name:	11218 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation This shoul by shore li and down nesting. W be allowed Another re- they're tota	Id be a regulation ine but on roads ditches and road de as citizen's ca to do this? It is eason at least in	side as well. d sides total annot race o s all in the r my area tha didn't want t	I cannot tell you lly ignoring signs ur Cars down a pu ame of selfish lav at people drive rec	how many 4- asking peopl ublic street on vbreaking en vreational veh	wheeler's, Dir e not to do tha r country road, tertainment an nicles in ditche	t Bikes, Golf Carts t or even mow due highway, freeway d the lawbreaking s, roadsides, shore	s should be stopped, not jus , etc. are always racing up to species habitats and , etc. so, why should they is with no consequences. lines, etc. Is because n good consequences	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11219 private Aug,31,20	Project: 011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	National S beach on t threatened	te this opportun Seashore. The se he eastern seac l, and endangere	even nationa oast of the U ed wildlife,	ll seashores on the Jnited States. The	e Atlantic Oc se nationally ast best place	ean represent t significant pla es where Amer	he last vestiges of aces not only prote- ricans can visit will	V use at Cape Hatteras open, wild, undeveloped t habitats for rare, d beach environments that	
	for pedestr protection buffers are shorebirds	rian visitors, alo s work. For the bund nesting bind. Under that ter	ong with inc past several rds and turth nporary pla	reased wildlife m l years, the Park S es, their nests, chi n, protected specie	ortality and c ervice has en cks, and hatc es have been	lwindling popu forced specific chlings, and pro rebounding, w	alations. On the oth c science-based me otections for migra ith some reproduct	asures like no-driving	

2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,

Correspondence ID:	11220 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
kame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11221 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: lame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11222 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov If we don't protector the wildlife, they will vanish from the face of the earth. Wildlife is important to our survival. It is a balancing act. Each creature service a purpose, in God's grand plan for the earth.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11223 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I do not support the use of ORV's at beaches at all. I go to beaches for quiet and relaxation, and to look at the wildlife, nesting birds, etc. The sound of the engines is disturbing not only to me but to the habitat in general. Please do not change the restrictions at all. It will be like losing our sanctuaries where we as humans and also animals need to retreat to for peace and quiet, and to enjoy nature as it is intended to be. PLEASE!
	SIncerely, Laurie Eisler
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11224 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan show

also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you,

Peggy Gheta

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11225 private Aug.31.2	Project: 011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-dr		ound nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-base ons to the regulation so tha	
	life wil		, as well as	human. ORVs are			to nature, for the open and e; ORV-drivers are loud, ca	
	Thank yo	ou for the opport	unity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 ositive actio	Document: n to preserve this a	41993 area for futur	Private:	Y o enjoy.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I apprecia Hatteras I a tremend areas for beach to b specific, o natural, v The regul as non-dr continue	ate the opportun National Seash Jous comeback. families to safe the exclusion of enforceable, sci- rehicle-free state lation will only riving buffers ar to dominate and	ore. Under ti I am very c ly enjoy vel pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the ound nests. I degrade th	he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat idlife and for d also set asi d on the Seas and other w	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	Y regulation for managing OI sea turtles and beach-nestin vildlife protection as option V users to drive on extensi o ORVs within the Seashor ho wish to visit the Nationa treas for those uses. lates specific, science-base ons to the regulation so that	g birds have made hal, provides few ive areas of the e that include al Seashore in a d protections, such
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11228 private Aug,31,2 regulation Our natur opportun	Project: 011 00:00:00 ns.gov ral resources need ity to comment	10641 ed to be pro on the Natio	Document: tected at any cost. onal Park Service's	41993 Our future g	gulation for ma	Y erve a healthy environment anaging ORV use of Cape ch-nesting birds have made	Hatteras National

vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11229 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11230 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape					
·	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11231 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Wildlife is a gem of our nation and we should protect it every bit as strongly as our desire for amusement. Image: Strongly as our desire for amusement.					
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11232 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Image: Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National I am writing to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National					

Seashore. I strongly urge you to continue the current management plan at the Seashore, so that the progress made in supporting the existence of sea turtles and beach-nesting birds may be sustained. I am extremely concerned and alarmed to hear of the proposed regulation which is completley inadequate to protect our unique wildlife. To allow ORVs and other vehicles to drive on the beach is outrageous!! It is clear that this would be a huge mistake, causing distress and death to precious marine life and sea birds, as well as degrading this natural area. The peaceful enjoyment of other visitors to the area, such as families or anyone wanting to rejuvenate their energy in a peaceful setting, would also be disrupted. Such visitors would then be forced to find other areas to fulfill their needs, or suffer under unpleasant and possibly dangerous (eg. for children) conditions in this area. Regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore must include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The species that depend on the Seashore sould be a priority for any planning! Specific, enforcable, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests are essential. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore, to the great loss of everyone else!

Thank you for protecting our national heritage, including our precious wildlife!

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11233 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hello. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11234 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11235 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is imperative that we protect these shoreline environments. I've lived in NC and in FL. I enjoyed and appreciated the birds and animals that call the shores home. For many shore birds, barrier islands and shorelines are the ONLY places they can nest. We need to protect and take care of them.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11236 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11237 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11238 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There is absolutely no reason for people to be driving on the beach. If they want to use the beach, they should walk - perhaps they will then learn to appreciate nature and themselves.
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11239 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protecting wildlife is NOT optional.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11240 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for letting me send my comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11241 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	I am a birder, have vacationed on the Outer Banks, and would really like to see the birds prosper. Please do not cause the wild life to suffer from excessive driving on the beach.
	Thank you again for this opportunity to comment on these regulations.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11242 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11243 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11244 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11245Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govMy husband an I are long time birders and support regulation to support these beautiful creatures.
	We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. We are very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.
	We support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for protecting birds.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11246 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Pplease prohibit ROV access to shorelines. there are so many vulnerable species living there. I live in the San Francisco Bay area. Theses poor animals only hope of species survival is protection. Have you heard of the salt marsh harvest mouse? This unique animal is a mouse that can process and live on salt water. Please help protect other animal from careless use of our lands. Thank you, Sincerely, Cindy Bhusari
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11247 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11248 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11249 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,					
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.					
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200° made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatene and endangered wildlife could be impacted.					
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.					
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise the plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.					
	Thank you for your consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11250 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I just want to comment as a private citizen. I know people love to drive their off-road vehicles everywhere, they love to drive them fast and they don't care what is under their wheels or in the sound of their motors. I understand the thrill. I am a motorcyclist myself, and used to love to go fast and make noise (in my youth).					
	But even then I recognized that other people have the right to enjoy their leisure time in different ways, and I tried not to inflic my noise and speed on others. That was awhile ago. People now seem less willing to consider the right of others to peaceful enjoyment of nature, when that is EXACTLY what people today need. If it requires government regulations to make sure EVERYONE has an equal chance to enjoy nature in their own way, then that is what regulation is for.					
	Enjoyment of nature also includes allowing nature to exist! Many species of animals and birds now rely almost totally on mankind to allow them to continue to exist. The world will lose more than we can afford to lose if we let these species die off. Our children and grandchildren deserve to have a natural world to enjoy.					
	THERE ARE WAYS TO SHARE THE WORLD WITH OTHER PEOPLE AND WITH OTHER ANIMALS. I look to my government to make this goal a reality. Without an overriding authority to protect the weaker species only the bullies will survive and I for one don't think they will like the world they eventually create, but it will be TOO LATE.					
Correspondence ID: Name:	11251 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private					

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches.				
	I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife.				
	Years of advocacy and litigation by Defenders and our partners have lead the National Park Service to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. But, the proposed regulation does little to protect wildlife nesting areas.				
	This proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.				
	In recent years, a temporary plan that limits ORV use near protected wildlife nesting areas has been working to protect wildlife.				
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.				
	All it takes is one wrong step by a piping plover into an area that is not protected, and it could be run over.				
	Great progress in protections for Hatteras' wildlife has been achieved but in addition it is very necessary to put into place specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11252 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such				
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11253 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support protection for birds, sea turtles, and other natural resources.				
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name:	11254 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private				

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11255 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Protection of wildlife is essential to our ecosystem and the health of the planet and our species. Protection
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11256 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11257 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11258 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Regarding the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. It is clear that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive willy-nilly all over the beach and nesting grounds.

I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation can only protect the nesting species that if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests.

Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11259 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11260 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hatteras is one of my favorite places to visit because of it's beauty as well as the fact that so much wildlife calls the island home To allow riding on the entire beach will devastate Hatteras. Because of my love of Hatteras, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11261 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11262 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence:	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11263 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for taking the time to read my comments on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. As a lover of wildlife and a new resident of Virginia and the east coast overall, I am very excited to have the opportunity to experience the beautiful National Park's of the eastern seaboard. However, I am specifically concerned about allowing ORV's onto these very fragile ecosystems. I understand that there is a large constituency who enjoy motorized recreation, and I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians. I can tell you that if there is widespread use of ORV along shorelines, I will not visit those areas. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the
	Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11264 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	We are not the only ones on this planet and without "the others", we will be the ones endangered.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11265 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The regulation will protect the species that depend on the Seashore only if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11266 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11267 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a popular destination for human beings who perceive it as an enormous playground and sandbox to spend their leisure time. Not satisfied with simply walking along beaches, many are compelled to drive their vehicle
	on these grounds, presumably in some delusion that they are conquering nature. Nature is not being conquered by these actions, it is being destroyed. While humans frolic with their machinery, other species are losing sacred breeding and nesting grounds. Play has no place where lives are at stake; indeed, the very survival of some species is jeopardized because we, once again, have placed non-essential recreation ahead of the needs of others. As a wildlife rehabilitator and owner of a wildlife rescue organization, I beg you to stop the use of this seashore as an
	entertainment park for humans and recognize the needs of terns, turtles and others who need this special habitat to lay their eggs and raise their young. Ban vehicles from Cape Hatteras National Seashore!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11268 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11269 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Y Y Y Y Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11270 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I<

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Please do not allow offroading on any more beach property. please allow wildlife some uninterrupted beach - already invaded by happy beach goers. Let people figure out how to enjoy the beach without the need ro access it via destructive motorized vehicles. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11271 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11272 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Patricia Sedor- Patricia Sedor-M.L.S. Librarian Bryant & Stratton College Southtowns Campus Sterling Park, 200 Redtail Orchard Park, New York 14127 Phone: 716.677.9500 X172
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 11273 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Although I live far from Cape Hatteras, as a vacationer I value the opportunity to see wildlife at the beach, and that is one of the primary reasons I visit seashores on any coast. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11274 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Also look at Datona Beach in Florida where two four year olds were run over in separate incidents this past year. Also adult such a base of the transmission of transm						
	bathers have been run over, one women by a lifeguard vehical. A number of law suits are being submitted and Datona is considering stopping driving on the beach. There is no reason people and wildlife have to be put in such danger !!! Also please don't forget the noise forced on those people that wanted a relaxing day at the beach.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11275 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11276 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, succession of the seashore in a natural vehicle free state.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore in it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Correspondence ID:							
Name:	11277 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11278 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The "right of the ORV users to recreate is no greater than my right to witness the miracle of nature in peace. There are plenty of area for the ORV users to ride on they do not need to destroy nature and the environment just because "it is there".
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11279 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I would like to offer a few comments on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. It is abundantly clear that, under the current management plan, sea turtles numbers have increased and beach-nesting shorebirds have enjoyed much greater reproductive success.
	I am perplexed and astonished by the proposed regulation, which treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for people to enjoy vehicle-free beaches and, indeed, favors ORVs over people and wildlife.
	I support regulations to manage ORVs at the Seashore that include enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Respectfully submitted,
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11280 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov No wildlife means no life. Focus needs to be on saving what we have not distroying it. Please do not neglect this most important aspect of our Country.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11281 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31.2011.00:00:00

Aug,31,2011 00:00:00

Received:

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov

Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11282 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Devin
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11283 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11284 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11285 Proje private	ect: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:0	00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please, enough lo	ss of beauty and n	ature because of ou	ir need to ent	ertain ourselve	s.		
Correspondence ID: Name:	11286 Proje private	ect: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:0	00:00						
Correspondence:	I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	as non-driving bu		Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, su ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you for the	e opportunity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.				
Correspondence ID:	11287 Proje	ect: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:0	00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov					ed regulation for managing ORV use of Ca		
	areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea	to safely enjoy ve usion of pedestrian ble, science-based	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil	and favors the pport regulate Idlife and for	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	vildlife protection as optional, provides few. V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
	I understand that ORV use is important to some; at the same time, it would be a shame to destroy our natural heritage for the short-term amusement of a single group of users.							
		00						
	short-term amuser The regulation wi as non-driving bu	ll only protect the	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, su ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	short-term amuser The regulation wi as non-driving bu continue to domir	ll only protect the ffers around nests. hate and degrade th	Please add buffers	s and other w				
Name: Received:	short-term amuser The regulation wi as non-driving bu continue to domir	Il only protect the ffers around nests. hate and degrade the copportunity to pro- ect: 10641	Please add buffers he Seashore.	s and other w				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	short-term amuser The regulation wi as non-driving bu continue to domir Thank you for the 11288 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea	Il only protect the ffers around nests. hate and degrade the copportunity to pro- ect: 10641 00:00 pportunity to comm Seashore. Under the heback. I am very to to safely enjoy vel ision of pedestrian ble, science-based	Please add buffers te Seashore. ovide these comme Document: nent on the Nation. he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil	al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for	Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s julation treats v ie rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	short-term amuser The regulation wi as non-driving bu continue to domir Thank you for the 11288 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous con areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving bu	Il only protect the ffers around nests. hate and degrade the copportunity to pro- ect: 10641 00:00 pportunity to comm Seashore. Under the heback. I am very of to safely enjoy vel usion of pedestrian ble, science-based ee state. The mana- ll only protect the	Please add buffers te Seashore. ovide these comme Document: nent on the Nation. he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas	Private: Ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v e rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape isea turtles and beach-nesting birds have man vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	short-term amuser The regulation wi as non-driving bu continue to domir Thank you for the 11288 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous con areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving bu continue to domir	Il only protect the ffers around nests. hate and degrade the copportunity to pro- ect: 10641 00:00 pportunity to comm Seashore. Under the heback. I am very of to safely enjoy vel usion of pedestrian ble, science-based ee state. The mana- ll only protect the ffers around nests, hate and degrade the	Please add buffers te Seashore. ovide these comme Document: nent on the Nation. he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w	Private: Ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v e rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, su		

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11289 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov From 1960 to the early 21st century Ocracoke had been our vacation of choice and we spent at least two weeks of every summer there driving out to the beaches up the island and walking every morning picking up shells and just sitting and loving our closeness with the ocean and the peace and quiet. Often we passed sections carefully fenced off where nests and eggs and baby birds were all visible at certain times of the year.	
	Then one year suddenly we climbed the dunes to our favorite beach and discovered it was impossible to get to the water becaus the beach had been so badly scored by deep trenches made by ORVs. We never went back again.	e
	In the earlier time only part of the two ends of the island had been subject to this kind of destruction. I don't know what changes took place in the management of the park but whatever it was, it needs to be reversed. Wildlife used to be a dominant consideration but apparently that is no longer considered important. WE have several friends who used to be regularsknown a summer people who have also moved on to more pleasant sites.	
	I hope the courts will do something to correct this sad and unnecessary situation.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11290 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please ban all motorized vehicles from this valuable nesting area!	-
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11291 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Sincerely Craig Althage	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11292 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Please let's not lose the gains made so far, piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife will be impacted. More vehicle-free areas are necessary for both pedestrians and wildlife. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your time and attention.	5

a 1 m	11000 D I /	10.511		41000	D I I	•
Correspondence ID: Name:	11293 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Ŷ
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please ban all motorized v	ehicles from	n this valuable ne	sting area!		
correspondences	i lease ban an motorized v	emeres non	in this valuable he	sting area.		
Correspondence ID:	11294 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name:	private					
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	The National Park Service					Hatteras National Seashore makes a mockery
						rican people have a right to the splendors of g to the beach. With specific regulations that
			•			be conserved for us and for our grandchildren.
	In a crowded and urbanize absurd.	d nation, th	e idea of giving (ORV drivers r	nore considera	tion than the pedestrians & the creatures is
	Useful specific, science-ba protections to the regulation					nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife de the Seashore.
	This is a vital step in the v	ital effort to	keep land and w	ater healthy a	and protected. I	Please act.
Correspondence ID:	11295 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	Please ban all motorized v	ehicles fron	n this valuable ne	sting area!		
Correspondence ID:	11296 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name:	private	10041	Document.	41775	I IIvate.	1
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	Please ban all motorized v	ehicles fron	n this valuable ne	sting area!		
Correspondence ID: Name:	11297 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please protect the wildlife	that depend	ls upon Cape Hat	teras Nationa	Seashore The	ank you! ~I McEyoy
correspondence.	r lease protect die whalle	una depend	is upon cupe that	terus r tutionu	i beasilore. The	
Correspondence ID:	11298 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name: Received:	private					
Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov					
Correspondence:	** **	•			· ·	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape
			-	· ·		ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ildlife protection as optional, provides few
	-				-	V users to drive on extensive areas of the
	-		-		-	ORVs within the Seashore that include o wish to visit the National Seashore in a
	natural, vehicle-free state.	The manage	ement plan shoul	d also set asic	le additional ar	eas for those uses.
	÷ • • •	-	-			ates specific, science-based protections, such ns to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	continue to dominate and				· · · ·	
		10.515	~	11000		
Correspondence ID: Name:	11299 Project: private	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov	v to comm	ont on the Notice	al Dark Comi	a's proposed	mulation for managing OPV use of Conc
Correspondence:	** **	•			· ·	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape a turtles and beach-nesting birds have made
	a tremendous comeback. I	am very co	ncerned that the	proposed regu	ilation treats w	ildlife protection as optional, provides few
	-				-	V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include
	-		-		-	o wish to visit the National Seashore in a

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11300 Proje	ect: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:0	0:00								
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Please ban all mot	orized vehicles f	rom this valuable no	esting area!						
Correspondence ID:	11301 Proje	et: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private Aug 31 2011 00:0	0.00								
Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 e: regulations.gov									
Correspondence:	Please ban all motorized vehicles from this valuable nesting area!									
Correspondence ID:	11302 Proje	ect: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name: Received:	private	0.00								
Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov	0.00								
Correspondence:		orized vehicles f	rom this valuable not	esting area!						
Correspondence ID:	11303 Proje	ect: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y				
Name:	private	0.00								
Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov	0:00								
Correspondence:		portunity to com	ment on the Nation	al Park Servi	ce's proposed 1	regulation for managing ORV use of Cape				
						sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made				
						vildlife protection as optional, provides few				
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include									
		sion of pedestria	ns and wildlife. I su	specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a						
	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal	ole, science-base	d protections for wi	Idlife and for	pedestrians wh	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a				
	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal	ole, science-base	d protections for wi	Idlife and for	pedestrians wh					
	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr	ble, science-based ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas	pedestrians where additional a	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a				
	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin	ole, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w	pedestrians where additional a	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such				
Correspondence ID:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the	oble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect tha ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents.	pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protectio	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not				
Correspondence ID: Name:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin	oble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect tha ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w	pedestrians where additional a	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such				
Name: Received:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade opportunity to p et: 10641	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents.	pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protectio	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade opportunity to p ct: 10641 0:00	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these comme Document:	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protectio Private:	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not				
Name: Received:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op	ble, science-base ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p cct: 10641 0:00 opportunity to com	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer he Seashore. rovide these comme Document: ment on the Nation	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protectio Private: ce's proposed 1	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com	ble, science-base ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ct: 10641 0:00 portunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these common Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats w	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com	ble, science-base ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641 0:00 opportunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these comment Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the ehicle-free beaches,	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641 0:00 poprtunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these comment Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the chicle-free beaches, as and wildlife. I su	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats w ne rights of OR ions to manage	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ct: 10641 0:00 portunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria ble, science-base	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer he Seashore. rovide these comment Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the shicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v e rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr	ble, science-base ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p et: 10641 0:00 poprtunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria sle, science-base ee state. The mar	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these comment Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the ehicle-free beaches, as and wildlife. I su d protections for wi agement plan shou	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few the users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a areas for those uses.				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ct: 10641 0:00 portunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer he Seashore. rovide these comme Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the shicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi tagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer	Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi d on the Seas	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few villely users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641 0:00 poprtunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these commen- ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the chicle-free beaches, as and wildlife. I su d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi a on the Seas s and other w	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641 0:00 poprtunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to	d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer he Seashore. rovide these comme Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the shicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi tagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi a on the Seas s and other w	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the	ble, science-base ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641 0:00 opportunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria sle, science-base ee state. The mar ll only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these commen- ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the chicle-free beaches, as and wildlife. I su d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffers he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi a on the Seas s and other w	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a treas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11305 Proje private	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ct: 10641 0:00 portunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ct: 10641	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen 5. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these comme Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the ehicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen 5. Please add buffers he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi a on the Seas s and other w ents.	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few tV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the 11304 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the op Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforceal natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi as non-driving but continue to domin Thank you for the	ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641 0:00 portunity to com Seashore. Under eback. I am very to safely enjoy v sion of pedestria ble, science-base ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests ate and degrade to opportunity to p ect: 10641	d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen 5. Please add buffers he Seashore. rovide these comme Document: ment on the Nation the current manage concerned that the ehicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi lagement plan shou e species that depen 5. Please add buffers he Seashore.	Idlife and for Id also set asi al on the Seas and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi a on the Seas s and other w ents.	pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection	ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few tV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not				

Hatteras National Seashore.

Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11306 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashor a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of	re. Under th I am very c y enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based j	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats whe rights of OF ions to manage pedestrians whe	regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ireas for those uses.	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportu	unity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashor a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, scie natural, vehicle-free state. The regulation will only p	re. Under the I am very c y enjoy veh pedestrians nice-based j . The mana	ne current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wi gement plan shoul species that dependent	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians w ide additional a shore if it mano	lates specific, science-based protections, such	
	continue to dominate and			s and other w	ildlife protecti	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not	
	Thank you for the opportu	unity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashor a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of	re. Under th I am very c y enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based j	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats whe rights of OF ions to manage pedestrians whether the season of th	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few XV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a areas for those uses.	
						lates specific, science-based protections, such	

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

While I did copy and paste the comments above from National Audubon, they fully reflect my feelings about allowing increased ORV on the Islands.

As an avid bird watcher, I don't understand why the NPS seems to be favoring ORV owners who damage the resource over other citizens who do not.

Please let me know what you decided to do.

Thanks!

Jason Berry

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. The Seas turtles and beach-nesting birds, which that the proposed regulation treats wile enjoy vehicle-free beaches. The rights manage ORVs within the Seashore th who want to be able to visit the Natio for these uses. To protect the species that rely on the	hore is a vitally im have made a substi- ldlife protection as s and needs of pede at include specific, nal Seashore in a n Seashore for repro- n-driving buffers an	portant nes antial com a primary of strians and enforceabl atural, vehi ductive hal ound nests	ting & breedin, eback under cu bjective, and p wildlife ought e, science-base cle-free state. 1 bitat, it is essen . Please add bu	Y d regulation for managing ORV use of Cape g area for wildlife, including, especially, sea urrent management. It is important to ensure provides enough areas for visitors to safely it obe paramount. I support regulations to de protections for wildlife, and for pedestrian Ideally, additional areas would be designated thial that the regulation mandate specific, affers and other wildlife protections to the
	Thank you again for the opportunity t	o provide these co	nments.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	proposed regulation for managing OR Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nestin regulation treats wildlife protection as the rights of ORV users to drive on ex- regulations to manage ORVs within the for pedestrians who wish to visit the M aside additional areas for those uses.	W use of Cape Hat ag birds have made s optional, provides stensive areas of th he Seashore that in National Seashore i pecies that depend Please add buffers a Seashore.	teras Natio a tremendo few areas e beach to to clude speci n a natural, on the Seas nd other w	nal Seashore. U ous comeback. for families to the exclusion o fic, enforceable vehicle-free su hore if it mand	Y o comment on the National Park Service's Under the current management plan at the I am very concerned that the proposed safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors of pedestrians and wildlife. I support e, science-based protections for wildlife and tate. The management plan should also set lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the a tremendous comeback. I am very co areas for families to safely enjoy vehi beach to the exclusion of pedestrians	e current managem oncerned that the pr cle-free beaches, a and wildlife. I supp protections for wild	ent plan at oposed reg nd favors th ort regulat ife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11312 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11313 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The primarily reason for the National Park Service to even exist is to preserve and protect all endemic species and land within the National Park and monument system. I understand there needs to be a balance between preservation and recreation, but recreation show always come second and show never be considered if that recreation does damage to the native flora and faun- Hiking into an area one thing, driving an ORV in a national park is just wrong. I go to National Parks to enjoy the beauty and wonder of nature not to hear and breath exhaust from Yahoos.
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11314 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11315 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation does not prioritize wildlife protection. And I do not agree at all with the use of ORVs on the beach--they should be banned entirely!

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11316 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please continue to support existing regulations that protect Cape Hatteras National Seashore nesting habitat. Last year I visited the area for the sole purprose of wildlife viewing and in turn supported the local businesses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	It appeared to me that there was already more than sufficient area for off road vehicles! Thank you							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11317 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Save the turtles, please!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11318 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11319 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11320 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few							

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Hatteras National S a tremendous comel areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceabl natural, vehicle-free	00 ortunity to comm eashore. Under th back. I am very c safely enjoy veh on of pedestrians e, science-based	the current manage oncerned that the sicle-free beaches, and wildlife. I supprotections for will	ment plan at t proposed regu and favors th pport regulati Idlife and for	he Seashore, s ilation treats w e rights of OR ons to manage	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have maw vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include		
The regulation will		gement plan shou	4.55 501 451	•	no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
private Aug, 31, 2011 00:00: regulations.gov I appreciate the opp Hatteras National S a tremendous comed areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceabl	00 ortunity to comm eashore. Under th back. I am very c safely enjoy ver on of pedestrians e, science-based	the current manage oncerned that the sicle-free beaches, and wildlife. I supprotections for will	ment plan at t proposed regu and favors th pport regulati Idlife and for	he Seashore, s ilation treats w e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have maa vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
private Aug, 31, 2011 00:00: regulations.gov I appreciate the opp Hatteras National S a tremendous comel areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceabl	00 ortunity to comm eashore. Under th back. I am very c safely enjoy veh on of pedestrians e, science-based	ne current manage oncerned that the sicle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil	ment plan at t proposed regu and favors th pport regulati Idlife and for	he Seashore, s ilation treats w e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
	as non-driving buffe continue to dominat Fhank you for the o 11323 Project orivate Aug,31,2011 00:00: regulations.gov (appreciate the opp Hatteras National St a tremendous comel areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceable natural, vehicle-free The regulation will as non-driving buffe continue to dominat Fhank you for the o 11324 Project orivate Aug,31,2011 00:00: regulations.gov (appreciate the opp Hatteras National St a tremendous comel areas for families to beach to the exclusi specific, enforceable natural, vehicle-free The regulation will	as non-driving buffers around nests. continue to dominate and degrade th Thank you for the opportunity to pro- 11323 Project: 10641 orivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov (appreciate the opportunity to comm Hatteras National Seashore. Under th thatreas National Seashore. Under the Hatteras National Seashore. Under the taremendous comeback. I am very cor areas for families to safely enjoy vel- beach to the exclusion of pedestrians specific, enforceable, science-based hatural, vehicle-free state. The mana The regulation will only protect the start and degrade th Thank you for the opportunity to pro- trivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov (appreciate the opportunity to comm Hatteras National Seashore. Under the ta tremendous comeback. I am very com- reas for families to safely enjoy vel- beach to the exclusion of pedestrians specific, enforceable, science-based hatural, vehicle-free state. The mana the regulation sill only protect the start and the axelusion of pedestrians specific, enforceable, science-based hatural, vehicle-free state. The mana	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comment 11323 Project: 10641 Document: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov (appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Nation Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current manage a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, peach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I su specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wi natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan shoul The regulation will only protect the species that depend as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comment 11324 Project: 10641 Document: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov (appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Nation Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current manage a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, peach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I su specific, enforceable, science-based protections for will appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Nation Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current manage a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, peach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I su specific, enforceable, science-based protections for will antural, vehicle-free state. The management plan shoul The regulation will only protect the species that dependent the regulation w	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wi continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11323 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov (appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Servic Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regu- ureas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulation patternal, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seash as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other will continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11324 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 1 appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at to a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regu- greas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the seach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulation the tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regu- greas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the seach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulation specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for hatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seash the regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seash the regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seash the regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seash the regulation will only	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protection continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11323 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: rivate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed r Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, s a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats w reas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of OR seach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians wh natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mand as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protection continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11324 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00		

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11325 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I understand that Cape Hatteras National Seashore has proposed regulations that include the use of ORVs along a large portion. I go to places like this to get away from the noise and encounters of vehicles. I plan vacations based on the availability of locations where I do not have to compete with ORV. There is no such thing as sharing- a pedestrian/hiker is always on the losing side of an encounter and even when there are none bearing down on me, the beauty and wildness of the land is gone. There are tracks and amusement parks and plenty of other places available for this form of destructive "fun". Please do not let this seashore and all its unique flora and fauna fall victim to a quick buck and cheap thrill.						
	Thank you for your time,						
	Sincerely, Julia Bright						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11326 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11327 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11328 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11329 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11330 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please help to protect the endangered Turtles and shorebirds nests and babies							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11331 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Let's not drop the ball now on the Cape Hatteras National Seashore!							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11332 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is a no brainer not to allow vehicles on our beaches in terms of preserving wildlife. Please remove all vehicles in our national sea parks not only for wildlife preservation, but also for the serenity of the hikers. Dune buggies jumping across the dunes, destroying dunes is also not optimum since as we are seeing with the change in weather and the increased power of storms, cities are going to need the dunes to keep them safe from the sea. Thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11333 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Hello, I want to ask that you work to preserve habitat for endangered species in the Cape Hattaras national seashore. I don't war to say that ORV usage should be banned, but a reasonable balance including no driving buffers in wildlife habitat areas and a balance of land available for ORV use and not available for ORV use is iimportant to the future of the park, the wildlife, and to							

those who want to enjoy the natural beauty apart from ORV usage. Thanks, Robert Hicks

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11334 private Aug 31 20	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must design							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11336 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11337 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-driv		ound nests.	Please add buffers				nce-based protections, such on so that ORVs will not
	Thank you	for the opport	unity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11338 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11339 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11340 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11341 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Image: Second Sec

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11342 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11343 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Correspondence ID:	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11344 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11345 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:							
Name:	11346 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11347 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Youwegnondonee IDe							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Inak you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11348 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11348 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11348 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11348 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 200' made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatene						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 11348 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatene and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and 						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11349 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please give top priority to areas of nesting birds at Cape Hatteras National Park. Control of ORV's is necessary to allow this ecosystem to continue to be a valuable asset
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11350 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11351 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov While I am MOST interested in helping with this cause - unfortunately I am permanently Disabled and doing 'My Best" to 'Try and Exist" on Governement "Disability" - alone - making it impossible for me to donate anything to this cause, while I am unable to not only pay all of my bills, in additon to even trying to just keep my Utilities on - SO while it is impossible for me to donate to any of these causes - I AM and WILL lend my name to any & all letters & such in order to try and make 'some' kind of a difference of helping with your causes. Thank you for allowing me to write this note - and taking the time to read it. "If" I may be of any further help - you may contact me by E-Mail, as I cannot even answer my telephone as all the calls I ever get are almost always strictly from Bill Collecotrs - Lorene Kersey Lundy
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11352 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11353 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11354 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, su as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	11355 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have may a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have may a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. Th						
Correspondence ID: Name:	11356 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, su as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore						

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you	for the opr	ortunity to	provide these	comments.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11357 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11358 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominat and degrade the Seashore and my life's joy of walking the shore and listening to the beautiful, natural shore sounds and breathing fresh clean air. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11359 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11360 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11361 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug., 51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11362 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gay						
Correspondence:	regulations.gov As an American citizen and strong supported of our National Parks, I am very concerned about any proposed changes in regulations regarding off-road vehicles at the Cape Hatteras National Seashore, and I strongly oppose any changes that favor ORVs over wildlife and walking visitors. In fact, I would ban all motorized vehicles from most if not all the area under consideration. The current management plan has worked well and the proposed changes will adversely affect both wildlife and non-motorized visitors to the area. I strongly support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	I believe that it is the mandated purpose and mission of the Parks Service to protect America's Natural areas rather than opening them up to abuse and degradation. The parks should be for people and wildlife, not loud, dangerous and environmentally unfriendly off-road vehicles.						
	Thank you.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11363 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Kim Petrov						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11364 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made						

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11365 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11366 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11367 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID:	11368 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11369 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11370 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There are very FEW places left that are NOT motorized. PLEASE keep this one of those places! The animals living at Cape Hatteras deserve peace and quiet, and LIFE! And people hoping to get away from noise and just enjoy the sea and wildlife deserve that also. If people are SO lazy that they have to drive the beaches, let them drive somewhere where it's already allowed, where there not WILDLIFE to be driver over! This is a CRAZY bill! PLEASE don't let it pass!							
Correspondence ID:	11371 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.							
	ORVs have taken over so many beaches in America. We need places where people can enjoy the beauty of nature free from these destructive machines. I support regulations to exclude ORVs within the Seashore so that wildlife safety is assured and pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state can do so where the sound of waves isn't overpowered by the noise of machines.							
	For some reason ORV users, a recent phenomenon in America, feel they should be able to drive anywhere they want, regardless on the impacts on others as well as wildlife. You have the opportunity to show them otherwise.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID:	11372 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11373 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11374 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11375 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not							

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Mike Wolski

Correspondence ID: Name:	11376 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov My husband and I support the regulation of the use of Off Road Vehicles (ORV) on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. But we are afraid that sea turtles and beach-nesting birds will be harmed if the proposed regulations are just optional. The regulations should be enforceable and based on scientific and specific facts. It is the only way to protect the wild life and allow pedestrians to walk on a vehicle-free environment. The management plan should set aside other areas for vehicles to use.						
	Thanks for the opportunity to express our opinion.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11377 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Sir Please restrict the use of ORV's to areas that are otherwise unused. Thank You R.Hall						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11378 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Common and an as ID:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments 11379 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11379 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. ORVs can be dangerous and terrifying for young families. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11380 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a						

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11381 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11382 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11383 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11384 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov AS AN EMERITUS PROFESSOR OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES AFTER 38 YEARS OF TEACHING ECOLOGY AT						

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11385 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11386 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I support the need for more OHV riding areas. This would decrease the number of illegal riders and allow better enforcement of current regulations. What I DO NOT support is widespread destruction of critical wildlife habitat in order to allow for more OHV use. Please use a science based approach and allow OHV use only in a very limited amount of sensitive habitat.							
	Thank you.							
	Sincerely, R. Heath Wakelee Rocklin, California (near Sacramento)							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11387 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11388 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

	main you for the opportunity to provide deale comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11389 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I wish to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I much appreciate that under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.						
	I am much concerned, however, that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I have seen the unfortunate results of permitting ORV access to public lands within habitats, including dunes and sensitive watersheds, in the southwest. ORV users have options to use private lands. Our nation must continue to hold the bird and wildlife habitat on our public lands without degradation for future generations. These animals have no such options on private lands.						
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Please assure that the policies you have put in place and your new regulations continue to preserve habitat in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Thank you for your work on behalf of our citizens and their resources.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11390 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11391 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few						

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11392 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	11393 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11394 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00								
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name:	11395 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private								

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	I support a regulation that closes all of the Seashore to beach driving to protect wildlife and to protect this resource for future generations. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of Seashore beach. The entire area should be closed to beach driving.							
	If the Park Service does not close the entire Seashore to beach driving, then I urge you to include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and pedestrians in the regulation. I support non-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks and hatchlings, and specific protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, and this is simply not acceptable. Please add buffers and other specific, mandatory wildlife protections to the regulation. I urge you to include science-based protections for all natural resources that strictly adhere to the "Highest Degree of Protection" as outlined in the USGS Protocols for Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11396 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 .							
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for your consideration and your strong commitment.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11397 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11398 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include							

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11399 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11400 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11401 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please consider the high potential for serious harm to endangered and threatened species if off-road vehicles are allowed unrestricted access to certain areas!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11402 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 a.gov rintendent Mur	10641 ray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.								
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.								
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
		lude current bu					nal, which is unacceptable. Please revise this g plovers and sea turtles that rely on the		
	Thank you	for your consid	deration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence Type:	11404 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11405 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Y Y								
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protection as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs with continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations I appreciate Hatteras N	e the opportuni ational Seashor	e. Under the	current manager	nent plan at t	he Seashore, se	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ildlife protection as optional, provides few		

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11407 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID:	11408 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.
	Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife.
	Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11409 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, the add and angered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in

instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11410 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect these precious shore birds by not allowing driving on the beach.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11411 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,					
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.					
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.					
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.					
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise th plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.					
	Thank you for your consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11412 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, succession of the second sease of the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, succession					
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11413 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. As a resident of Rhode Island, the ocean state, I understand the importance of preserving the coastline for habitat conservation as well as economic success.					

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11414 Project private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov Pls consider regulat habitat.	:00	Document: drive on beaches.	41993 The activity	Private:	Y o nesting shore birds and disturbing to their
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11415 Projec private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opp Hatteras National S	:00 ortunity to comm	Document:	41993 al Park Servi	Private: ce's proposed r	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.					
		estrians who wis	h to visit the Natio			nforceable, science-based protections for ehicle-free state. The management plan shoul
		ers around nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for the o	pportunity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National S a tremendous come areas for families to beach to the exclusion	00 ortunity to comr eashore. Under ti back. I am very o safely enjoy vel on of pedestriam e, science-based	he current manage concerned that the nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
		ers around nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for the o	pportunity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National S a tremendous come areas for families to beach to the exclusion	00 ortunity to comm eashore. Under ti back. I am very o safely enjoy vel on of pedestriam e, science-based	he current manage concerned that the nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11418 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11419 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11420 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11420 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	 natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11420 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	 natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, suc as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11420 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. I am an occasional visitor to Fire Island National Seashore, and would not want to hear recreational visitors' vehicles there. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, succas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not the seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set as a ded buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulations of the optice of the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protect

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I wish to object to the use of any ORV at the Cape Hattereras National Seashore. I very much enjoy the area as a natural haven for vacation or shorter visit. There are so few undisturbed areas for quiet visiting, prisitine environment, and uninterrputed walking. Also, I know very well that the area is home, and I do mean home, to species whose nesting habits require isolation and clean air and water. Your agency is our annointed steward of such natural resources and I urge you to fulfill that mission.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11422 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov To whom it may concern:				
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.				
	Given what we now know about the environmental "circle of life", to consider or label certain wildlife "optional" is outrageously ill-informed and must be blinded by a materialist greed influenced by the irresponsible corporate plutocracy running the USA. Such a view is the environmental equivalent to the racism and classism that has made certain of our citizens socially and economically "optional" so the ruling class can reign supreme. It is a kind of genocide.				
	I want you to know that I fully support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Let us do everything possible to prevent extinction by foolish design.				
	Sincerely, David Dobosz				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11423 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11424 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11425 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11426 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Protect the rights of the people who wish to quietly use the beaches on foot and see wildlife.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11427 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11428 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11429 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11430 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11431 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11432 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Image: Comparison of the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the comparison of the comparison of the beach to the comparison of the beach to the comparison of the comparison of the beach to the comparison of the comparison of the beach to the comparison of the beach to the comparison of the comparison o

exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11433 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11434 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 V
Correspondence:	regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11435 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. This is GREAT!
	I am VERY concerned that the NEW proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional. It provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches. There really is NO REASON Americans need to drive everywhere! We need to walk more- and drive less! If it means the fishermen have to leave their coolers in the parking lot - that would be fine. The new regulation favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion/expense of pedestrians and wildlife.
	1. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife. 2. Pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore should have it in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	Regulations will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore IF they mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. I think its AWFUL, letting them drive and park basically everywhere.

Correspondence ID:	11436 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11437 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID:	11438 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11439 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov MY view certainly is not shared by an owner of an ORV because it appears that those owners believe it is their right to take their "vehicle" anywhere terra firma is, without consideration for what they are destroying in the name of "fun". Please do not allow further access to this or any other national seashore
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11440 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence: I apprec

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11441 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Interview of Construction for managing OBV use of Construction for managing OBV use of Construction
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11442 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11443 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.



		0.644 D	44000	D • •	
Correspondence ID: Name:	11444 Project: 1 private	0641 Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. a tremendous comeback. I ar areas for families to safely er beach to the exclusion of peo	Under the current manage n very concerned that the njoy vehicle-free beaches lestrians and wildlife. I su p-based protections for wi	ement plan at t proposed regu , and favors th pport regulati ldlife and for	he Seashore, s ilation treats v e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
		e Seashore. Please add bu			iving buffers around nests, in order to protect ections to the regulation so that ORVs will no
	Thank you for the opportunit	ty to provide these commo	ents.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov				Y love with the beautiful beaches and creatures is that were here first.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity of Hatteras National Seashore. a tremendous comeback. I ar areas for families to safely en beach to the exclusion of pec specific, enforceable, science natural, vehicle-free state. The The regulation will only prot	Under the current manage n very concerned that the njoy vehicle-free beaches lestrians and wildlife. I su -based protections for wi he management plan shou ect the species that depen	ement plan at t proposed regr , and favors th poport regulati ldlife and for ld also set asid	he Seashore, s ilation treats v e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh le additional a nore if it mand	lates specific, science-based protections, such
	continue to dominate and deg Thank you for the opportunit	grade the Seashore.		idille protectio	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID:	11447 Project: 1	0641 Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity Hatteras National Seashore. a tremendous comeback. I ar areas for families to safely ei beach to the exclusion of peo	Under the current manage n very concerned that the njoy vehicle-free beaches. lestrians and wildlife. I su p-based protections for wi	ement plan at t proposed reg , and favors th pport regulati ldlife and for	he Seashore, s ilation treats v e rights of OR ons to manage pedestrians wh	regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunit	ty to provide these commo	ents.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity t				Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11449 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11450 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape					
	Hatteras National Seashore. I live in Arkansas now but I am from Long Island and have seen first-hand the bounty of bird breeding where they are protected but also the wanton destruction where people are allowed to recreate without consideration for the birds.					
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11451 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific science-based protections such					

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11452 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11453 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11454 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11455 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11456 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug 31 2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The new proposed Special Regulations regarding ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore needs to guard the nesting birds on the beach as well as allow quiet places for beach walkers to enjoy. Personally, I find ORV use on public beaches offensive to the fullest degree. They only add problems for nesting birds who already have been crowded out of their habitat by too many humans. By law you are directed protect nesting species and not allow harassment of them. I urge you to do what is correct. Sincerely, Eleanor Wootten							
Correspondence ID:	11457 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11458 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11459 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such							

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
		g buffers aro	ound nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not	
	Thank you for	r the opportu	unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.			
							otected so the animal and plant life in these Seashore. Jennifer Robins	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go I appreciate th Hatteras Natio a tremendous	ov ne opportuni onal Seashor comeback.	re. Under th I am very c	ne current manager oncerned that the	ment plan at proposed reg	the Seashore, s ulation treats v	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few	
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-driving	g buffers aro	ound nests.	Please add buffers				
	as non-driving continue to do	g buffers arcominate and	ound nests. degrade the	Please add buffers	and other w			
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	as non-driving continue to do Thank you for 11462 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go	g buffers arc ominate and r the opportu Project: 00:00:00	und nests. degrade the unity to pro	Please add buffers e Seashore. vide these comme Document:	and other wants.	ildlife protectio		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	as non-driving continue to do Thank you for 11462 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc I am against of 11463 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc I appreciate th Hatteras Natic a tremendous areas for fami beach to the e specific, enfor	g buffers arc ominate and r the opportu Project: 00:00:00 ov pening the 0 Project: 00:00:00 ov e opportuni onal Seashoi comeback. 1 lies to safely xclusion of rcceable, scie	und nests. degrade the unity to pro 10641 Cape Hatter 10641 ty to comm re. Under th I am very c y enjoy veh pedestrians nce-based	Please add buffers e Seashore. vide these comme Document: ras National Seash Document: ent on the National e current manager oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil	and other w nts. 41993 ore to off-ro 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors ti poprt regulat dlife and for	Private: ad vehicles. Ple Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats w ions to manage pedestrians wl	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	as non-driving continue to do Thank you for 11462 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go I am against of 11463 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go I appreciate th Hatteras Natio a tremendous areas for fami beach to the e specific, enfor natural, vehic The regulation as non-driving continue to do	g buffers arc ominate and r the opportu Project: 00:00:00 w pening the 0 Project: 00:00:00 w e opportuni onal Seashor comeback. I lies to safely xclusion of rceable, scie le-free state. n will only p g buffers arc ominate and	und nests. degrade the unity to pro 10641 Cape Hatter 10641 ty to comm re. Under th I am very c y enjoy veh pedestrians nce-based j . The mana protect the s und nests. degrade the	Please add buffers e Seashore. vide these comme Document: ras National Seash Document: and vide the National e current manager oncerned that the p icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul	and other w nts. 41993 ore to off-ro 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors th port regulat dife and for d also set asi a don the Seas and other w mily goes to	Private: ad vehicles. Ple Private: ce's proposed r the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR jons to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand ildlife protection the seashore q	Y ease protect seabird and sea turtle habitats. Y eagulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not uite often on vacation and appreciates the	

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11465 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11466 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11467 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback from declining numbers. I am extremely concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as an option and will allow only a few areas for families to enjoy vehicle-free beaches. I visited Hatteras National Seashore back in the early nineties and was able to stroll long stretches of beach, observe birds and collect shells, without any noisy interference. It was a wonderful experience. To me the birds and turtles, waves and sand are what is vital about Hatteras. In many parks ORVs have been proven again and again to damage wildlife habitat. They crush eggs and frighten wildlife. They tear up both the sand and grasses that grow on the dunes. They pollute with noise and exhaust.						

Favoring ORV use excludes not only the wildlife who are unable to comment on their own behalf but also those of us who value

quiet time with nature. I support regulations based on specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife, such as buffers around nests and some areas designated "off-limits" to ORVs entirely.

Correspondence ID:	11468 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose the use of motorized vehicles anywhere but on paved roads, and urge you to establish legal regulations to restrict off road vehicle use. Life on the shores and in the water are being sickened, crowded out, and killed by unrestricted use of off road vehicles. Thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11469 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few							
	a reinendous controlact. Fail very concerned that the proposed regulation reads when the protection as optional, provides rew areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	Protecting wildlife is not optional. It is necessary and is being done too little now!							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11470 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. However, I am extremely concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and totally favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.							
	This is unacceptable and completely contrary to what a National Seashore is for, and it boggles my mind that such a regulation would even be proposed by the National Park Service.							
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11471 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11472 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug., 51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11473 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
	Motorized vehicles do not belong on the beach. The beach is for people and wildlife to enjoy in peace.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11474 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11475 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	I wish to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. The proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for anyone to safely enjoy vehicle free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protection for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. You should not govern in favor of air pollution, noise pollution, water pollution and all the other negatives that are associated with ORVs. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Correspondence ID:	11476 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received:	private						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11477 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov conservation of the few remaining wild creatures on this planet is NOT an option. please do everything you can to preserve all living things.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11478 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11479 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include						



specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11480 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11481 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov							
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11482 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to offer this comment. I am a long time regular visitor to the seashore, bringing my family for extended visits. I appreciate the desire for access to the beach for all of the variety of activities, including surf fishing, which may be engaged in there EXCEPT for the perceived need to drive on said beach. This perceived need is a constructed entitlement by individuals without a view of the greater good. As a natualist, I have been pleased with the results of the current restrictions, viewing them as quite modest. An expansion of non-driving buffers would seem to be useful at and around nesting site. Regulations to manage off road vehicles should be very specific and ENFORCED. They should be based on current science, providing protection to wildlife first and foremost but also foot traffic, much of which is family oriented, desiring to experience the wonders of the banks in a vehicle-free state.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11483 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a							

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. Correspondence ID: 11484 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 Private: Y private Name: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Received: Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov I would like to voice my support for the maximum amount of true wild area protection possible in the Cape Hatteras National **Correspondence:** seashore. I have spent many hours there and truly appreciate the beauty and serenity of the wild space. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan. However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life there. Howard Worzel **Correspondence ID:** 11485 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 **Private:** Y Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Received: Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: 11486 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 **Private:** Y Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Received: Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov I strongly urge that the main focus of these new regulations be science-based ecosystem and wildlife protection. Without this **Correspondence:** focus, efforts to protect what matters most will ultimately fail. That would be very sad indeed. Best of luck to you. **Correspondence ID:** 11487 **Project:** 10641 **Document:** 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Received: **Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov Correspondence: The beach is home to many creatures...driving over them is not an option ...Drive on dirt roads or paved roads. **Correspondence ID:** 11488 **Project:** 10641 41993 Private: Y **Document:** Name: private **Received:** Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 **Correspondence Type:** regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape **Correspondence:** Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11489 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11490 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11491 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
	doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organ Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the

enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and speci

Correspondence ID: Name:	11492 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am writing to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The current management plan at the Seashore has allowed sea turtles and beach-nesting birds to make a tremendous comeback.							
	I am very worried that because the wildlife protection is "optional" and ORV users are allowed to drive on extensive areas of the beach, these wildlife species will not be able to continue their comeback and, in fact, will decline. I support regulations that specifically confine ORVs to areas that do not endanger wildlife, and allow areas for visitors who wish to experience the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates science-based protections, such as extensive non-driving buffers around nests. Please add these buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. There are many other areas ORVs can ride without endangering the environment and its creatures!							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11493 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. So much waste and destruction of national resources and wildlife. Can we please protect that which remains!!							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11494 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11495 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11496 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect our beaches and the life that depends on that land from the destructive off road vehicles. People also need to be able to stroll these beaches without fear of being run over by these vehicles. Once a natural resource is destroyed it is gone forever and future generations will not be able to marvel at the wonders of nature. Please don't allow these vehicles to destroy this natural resource. Thank you for considering these comments and trusting a wise decision will be made.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11497 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Sincerely, Dawn Gwinn 11498 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 11498 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few							
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11499 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include							

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11500 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-dri		round nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	
	Thank you	for the oppor	tunity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	regulation	U	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Vame: Jecceived: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	-	10641 Hatteras Sea	Document:	41993 es. Thank yo	Private: u.	Y	
Correspondence ID: Vame: Received:		Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras N a tremende areas for f beach to th specific, e	e the opportur lational Seash ous comeback amilies to safe ne exclusion of nforceable, sci	ore. Under the I am very control of the I y enjoy veh f pedestrians ience-based	he current manage concerned that the nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wi	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OF ions to manage pedestrians w	regulation for managing ORV use of Ca sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have wildlife protection as optional, provides RV users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in treas for those uses.	e mad few the e
	as non-dri	•	round nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	

Correspondence ID: Name:	11504 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11505 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting, take the necessary actions.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11506 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, su as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11507 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, succession of the second							
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
a	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11508 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov regulations.gov Tappreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that							

evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11509 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made						
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11510 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very interested in protecting animals and I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11511 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect wildlife on the beach by prohibiting vehicles. Thank you!						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11512 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I fully support National Audubon position on Cape Hatteras National Seashore regulations. Protecting our native environment as God made it is very important to me.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11513 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Audubon								
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments								
	Sincerely, Christina Poggio 2025 Yorkshire Pl Alameda, CA 94501-6039 United States								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11514 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such								
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11515 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am writing to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras. We all know that vehicle-free beaches helps sea turtles and beach-nesting birds. Vehicle-free beaches are also much better for human visitors. People go to the beach to get away from vehicles. We need to reduce the amount of ORVs on the beaches, and provide more vehicle-free beaches, for humans and for wildlife. Let's set aside more vehicle-free areas.								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11516 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11517 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a professional in the conservation field, I want to state my comments on the ORV use at Cape Hatterus National Seashore. More emphasis needs to be placed on wildlife pertection.						
	As one of many, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11518 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
	ORV users are a small percentage of all users. Their uncontrolled use of sensitive beach areas is putting at risk an entire ecosystem of birds and sea life who require the beach areas they have been using for eons to be at risk for the sake of a few human fun seekers. This is the wrong priority.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11519 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:000 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	11520 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11521 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
Correspondence ID:	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11522 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not					
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11523 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not					

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11524 Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use in the Cape Hatteras National Seashore. In my experience, encounters with off road vehicles, in any setting, have always been negative. The noise, fumes, and behaviors of most ORV users makes an area completely undesirable for any other purpose, not to mention its impact on wildlife and plants. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the							
	beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, sci- in a natural, vehicle-free Thank you for the opport	ence-based p state. The m	protections for wi aanagement plan s	dlife and pro hould also se	vides for pede	strians who wish to	visit the National Seashor	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11525 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashc a tremendous comeback.	re. Under th	e current manage	ment plan at	the Seashore, s	sea turtles and beach	n-nesting birds have made	
	areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, scienatural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only as non-driving buffers ar	pedestrians ence-based p . The manage protect the s	and wildlife. I su protections for wi gement plan shou pecies that depen	pport regulat dlife and for d also set asi d on the Seas	ions to manage pedestrians wi de additional a hore if it mand	e ORVs within the S ho wish to visit the l reas for those uses. lates specific, science	eashore that include National Seashore in a ce-based protections, such	
	continue to dominate and Thank you for the opport	0		entsJacob F	Ichman			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11526 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Can my government plea Cape Hatteras!!!	10641 se do somet	Document:	41993 I actually W	Private: ANT it to do?	Y I'm asking it to plea	se protect these birds of	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11527 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashe a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safe	re. Under th I am very co	e current manage oncerned that the	ment plan at proposed reg	the Seashore, s ulation treats v	sea turtles and beach vildlife protection a	n-nesting birds have made s optional, provides few	
	beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, sci- natural, vehicle-free state	pedestrians ence-based p	and wildlife. I su protections for wi	pport regulat dlife and for	ions to manage pedestrians wi	e ORVs within the S ho wish to visit the I	eashore that include	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	ents				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11528 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashd a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of	re. Under th I am very co y enjoy veh	e current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches,	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl	the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR	sea turtles and beach vildlife protection a V users to drive on	n-nesting birds have made s optional, provides few extensive areas of the	

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11529 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov You are making progress in winning important protections for Hatteras' wildlife, but you must adopt complete regulations for the complete protection of all the wildlife nesting areas.							
	ORV advocates want the entire seashore open to beach driving. This is not acceptable shared use!							
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created just 82 nests on the shore. And in 2010, after 3 years of temporary protections, that number rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicitly protected under the Park Service's plan, these numbers could easily decline.							
	I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Please make wildlife recovery and protection the first most goal, it is the functioning purpose of the National Park Service. To preserve and protect. It is not the service or purpose of the national Park Service to satisfy the needs of hapless humans with their gas powered greenhouse gas ignorance agendas of personalized destruction. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name:	11530 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Drive on paved roads or established dirt roads to protect wildlife							
Correspondence ID: Name:	11531 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please go ahead & restrict Off road vehicles to specific areas to protect wildlife!!!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11532 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11533 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do what you can to ensure the protection of vital bird and turtle habitat. Off-road vehicles must be kept away from							
	nesting grounds. Thank you!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11534 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11535 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name:	private							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	I live in Oregon, and my favorite place for relaxation and solitude is the Oregon Coast. Walking on quiet, uncluttered beaches, hunting for shells and observing native wildlife are seashore pastimes that need protection from unregulated Offroad Vehicle Usage. I believe that seashore users in the Hatteras area share these thoughts.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11536 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11537 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11538 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11539 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Regarding the use of motorised vehicles on the Hatteras Seashore: we, the members of the Pelican Island Audubon Society implore you to include in your new regulations enforceable protection for wildlife and people who want to enjoy this wild place in peace. We need buffers and other protections from ORV's in order to continue the comeback in a number of species, under current NPS management.
	Respectfully,
	Peter Sutherland Director
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11540 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11541 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a NC native, I grew up spending a great deal of time at the Outer Banks and the Cape Hatteras Natl. Seashore. I have participated in the Ranger-led programs and have long been an active supporter of the National Park Service. It is for this reason that I find the NPS proposed regs regarding motorized vehicle access inconsistent with ecological preservation goals. These regs move too far in the direction of access provision by continuing to allow damaging levels of motorized traffic. While I understand the need to balance preservation and public access, this is truly an unwise move by the NPS, and one that cannot avoid jeopardizing not just the habitat but the endangered / threatened species that rely on its integrity. Please make the necessary ammendments to protect this vital and valuable natural resource from avoidable anthropogenic degradation. It is your duty to do so.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please make Cape Hattaras National Seashore off limits to ATV and other motorized vehicles during nesting season for shore birds. It isn't an impossible concept to share parts of the planet with other species so they can raise their young. We can go anywhere to pursue recreational activities They are limited in places to nest.



Correspondence ID: Name:	11543 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11544 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11545 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11546 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11547 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11548 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Some of my dearest memories as a child are driving to the beach down the coast from our home to see the nesting least terns in the early summer. This was in the 50's, and they were under attack from development at that time, but gradually have been protected and made a wonderful comeback in the last decade or so.						
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11549 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Ecological tourism could bring hundreds of thousands of dollars to the local area, and by protecting these amazing animals, we're protecting the future of our environment.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,201		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-drivi		ound nests. P	lease add buffers			ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not	
	Thank you f	or the opport	unity to prov	ide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,201		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.g Keep off-roa		f the beach!	It's an issue of h	uman and wil	dlife safety.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations. <u>5</u> Thank you f use of Cape have made a provides few areas of the include spec	gov or allowing n Hatteras Nati tremendous v areas for far beach to the e ific, enforcea	onal Seasho comeback. I nilies to safe exclusion of ble, science-	re. Under the cur am very concern ely enjoy vehicle- pedestrians and v based protection	rent manager led that the pro- free beaches wildlife. I sup s for wildlife	nent plan at the coposed regula , and favors the port regulation and for pedest	Y ice's proposed regulation for managing OR e Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting bin tion treats wildlife protection as optional, e rights of ORV users to drive on extensive us to manage ORVs within the Seashore tha rians who wish to visit the National Seasho nal areas for those uses.	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you f	or the opport	unity to prov	ide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations. I believe tha in peace and	gov t we are the c harmony, it	is their birth	right. The turtles	and birds wh	no nest here, th	Y te animals that live here should be able to liv is is their home, they were here first and the that way. It seems to me that people make a	

the wrong choices, for we only seem to see and want what we think makes us feel good, whether it be buying something or taking a joy ride. Yet life is wonderful and it is up to us, for without their lives, with their destruction, we fail to see that we will be the cause of our own demise.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11555 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gav				
Correspondence:	regulations.gov YOU were assigned the task of RESOURCE PROTECTION! I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11556 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11557 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.				
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely				

enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11559 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov WE ARE THEIR VOICE:
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11560 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11561 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The managem

Correspondence ID:	11562 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am appalled at the lack of protection of our coastal birds and turtles, and the proposed further jeopardy you are poised to place these creatures in.				
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11563 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11564 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As an concerned citizen of the United States, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, seashore.				
	turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, sucl as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11566 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing off-road vehicle use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I think that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of off-road vehicles users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage off-road vehicles within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.			
	I request to keep those vehicles completely out of the Seashore areas. Find some other area, not national forest or park either, for them to go to. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11567 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.			
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.			
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11568 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such			
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11569 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00			

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.				
	The current management plan at the Seashore was implemented after seabird and turtle numbers had declined. A benefit of the current policy has been a remarkable comeback in numbers of sea turtles and beach-nesting birds.				
	Unfortunately this progress may be reversed, because the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional. In addition, there are few areas set aside for families to enjoy vehicle-free beaches. The new plan is tilted far too strongly to allow ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach, with resulting jeopardy to pedestrians and wildlife.				
	I strongly favor regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that strike a better balance. It is critical to prioritize specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11570 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such				
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11571 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11572 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a				

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

will kedall

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11573 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11574 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11575 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11576 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				

Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Thank you for the opportunity to comment of the NPS proposal for regulations for managing off road vehicle use in Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The current management plan of the Seashore has enabled the recovery of populations of beach- nesting birds as well as sea turtles. Unfortunately to proposed new regulations make wildlife protection optional and provides very few areas for vehicle-free beaches. They also open the seashore to extensive ORV use to the exclusion of wildlife and non- vehicle recreation. Any new regulations should have specific and enforceable, science-bassed protections for wildlife and for people that wish to visit the National Seashore on foot. Any new regulations should also reserve even more areas for these ORV free uses.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11577 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11578 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11579 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to presrve our land. Too many chemicals and roads through the animal's habitant is like taking away their homes! hink how everyone enjoys this world! Let's keep it that way!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11580 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Reb Babcock

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11581 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comm Hatteras National Seashore. Under the a tremendous comeback. I am very c areas for families to safely enjoy veh beach to the exclusion of pedestrians	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I supprotections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a greas for those uses.
		Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections, such ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11582 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The proposed regulation for beach du	Document:	41993	Private:	Y wes little to protect wildlife nesting areas.
correspondence.	The proposal only sets aside areas fo	r ORVs and does	not mandate	any specific m	easures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. dlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-
	In recent years, a temporary plan that	t limits ORV use 1	near protecte	d wildlife nesti	ng areas has been working to protect wildlife.
	In 2007, protected sea turtles created rose to 153. If wildlife is not explicit				3 years of temporary protections, that number ese numbers could easily decline.
	All it takes is one wrong step by a pi	ping plover into a	n area that is	not protected,	and it could be run over.
	ORV advocates want the entire seash based protections for wildlife and ad		-		appen. I support specific, enforceable, science-
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		tiny creatures nee	d our care an	d protection, ti	Y that this is such a big national issue it should ry to educate people to be sensitive to these
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11584 Project: 10641 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The wild nesting birds & turtles have us humans will have to look at is and	-			Y Let's halt the assult on wildlife or very soon all vell together
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the a tremendous comeback. I am very c areas for families to safely enjoy veh beach to the exclusion of pedestrians	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I supprotections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few to users to drive on extensive areas of the cORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11586 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641 Document:	41993 Priva	te: Y		
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of pu	. Under the current manage am very concerned that the enjoy vehicle-free beaches edestrians and wildlife. I su ce-based protections for w	ement plan at the Seas proposed regulation t , and favors the rights poport regulations to n ildlife and for pedestri	osed regulation for managing ORV use of nore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds ha eats wildlife protection as optional, provid of ORV users to drive on extensive areas (anage ORVs within the Seashore that incl ans who wish to visit the National Seashor onal areas for those uses.	ave made des few of the lude	
		nd nests. Please add buffer		mandates specific, science-based protecti otections to the regulation so that ORVs w		
	Thank you for the opportur	ity to provide these comm	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name:	private	10641 Document:	41993 Priva	te: Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	bankers and Wall Street de worthwhile to our country,	nizens. and it's about time but unfortunately can't spe	we gave equal attentio ak for themselves or h	done far too much to protect crooked, rag n to creatures that are far more important a ire pricey, unprincipled lobbyists to bring e than our native American greedheads.	and	
Correspondence ID: Name:	11588 Project: private	10641 Document:	41993 Priva	te: Y		
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	seashore. When I travel to a morons riding around on O ESCAPE FROM the madd ORVs at the Cape Hatteras	a national park of any kind RV's today." Citizens choo ening unnatural elements o National Seashore will spo	I do not travel there wasse to support and visit f modern life like OR pil that which is most p	o way are ORVs part of the natural ecosyst ith the thought "Gee, I sure hope I get to s national parks because they wish to be ab /s, if even for only a few hours. The plan precious about the seashore to the vast maj combustion engine in every phase of their	ee some le TO to allow ority of	
	Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I areas for families to safely beach to the exclusion of p	. Under the current manage am very concerned that the enjoy vehicle-free beaches edestrians and wildlife. I su ce-based protections for w	ement plan at the Seas proposed regulation t , and favors the rights poport regulations to n ildlife and for pedestri	osed regulation for managing ORV use of hore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds ha reats wildlife protection as optional, provid of ORV users to drive on extensive areas (anage ORVs within the Seashore that incl ans who wish to visit the National Seashor onal areas for those uses.	ave made des few of the lude	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11589 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murra	10641 Document:	41993 Priva	te: Y		

I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National

Seashore.

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11590 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11591 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Sincerely, William T Dwyer
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11592 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides limite areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. When I visit our National Seashore areas, I want to enjoy what is offered without the noise of ORV users. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11593 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y				
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov				
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11594 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11595 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00				
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.				
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.				
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11596 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made				

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Sincerely,

Brian J. Hiller, Ph.D.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11597 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11598 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. There are fewer and fewer places to enjoy the beauty and quiet of nature free from gasoline powered noise and exhaust fumes. Shouldn't a National Seashore be one of these? There is no way to protect nesting shorebirds and egg-laying sea turtles if vehicles are allowed in the same areas. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11599 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

If you want to see a hot mess, go to Panama City Beach Florida. I used to see sea turtles there when I vacationed in the summer. It's all destroyed now

Correspondence ID:	11600 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made					
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11601 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11602 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made					
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11603 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11604 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I live in North Carolina and am a surf fisherman. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and fave the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife an for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. As a surf fisherman, I have no complaints about current limitations.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11605 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11606 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear National Park Service,						
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, su						

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

David Haake

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11607 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Inpreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11608 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11609 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11610 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments

Sincerely,

Marian M. Carter

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11611 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,					
	As an educator for high school students, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I like to share my love of the environment and build sensitivity and appreciation for the delicate environmental balance needed for wildlife to flourish in pristine areas like Cape Hatteras.					
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles are building more nests. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are coming back. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, the endangered wildlife will likely be impacted.					
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan seems geared toward protecting beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan currently sets aside less than a third of the total miles of the Seashore for year- round wildlife and pedestrian use while providing for year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.					
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.					
	Thank you for your consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11612 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11613 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the					

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Laura McGaughey

Correspondence ID: Name:	11614 Proje private	et: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
		fers around nests.	Please add buffers			dates specific, science-based protection ons to the regulation so that ORVs with	
	Thank you for the	opportunity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11615 Projec private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov):00	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11616 Projec private Aug,31,2011 00:00 regulations.gov		Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence:	I appreciate the op Hatteras National S a tremendous come areas for families t beach to the exclus specific, enforceab	Seashore. Under the back. I am very co o safely enjoy vel ion of pedestrians le, science-based	ne current manage oncerned that the sicle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wi	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, gulation treats he rights of OF ions to manage pedestrians w	regulation for managing ORV use of 6 sea turtles and beach-nesting birds ha wildlife protection as optional, provid RV users to drive on extensive areas o e ORVs within the Seashore that inclu- ho wish to visit the National Seashore areas for those uses.	ive made les few of the ude
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the	opportunity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID:	11617 Proje	et: 10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. I would like my grandchildren to be able to see sea turtles. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11618 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11619 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Inpreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11620 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Name:	11621 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am writing to express my concerns about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your time and consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11622 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such						
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. We travel to national parks frequently and want them to remain what they are: National treasures.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11623 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11625 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11626 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11627 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Alejandra Vega

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11628 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11629 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11630 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan shoul also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11631 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Why in Heaven's name must vehicles have yet MORE places to ruin ? Can we not as a nation save something - the Cape Hatteras seashore does NOT need "beach drivers" - there are quite enough yahoos out on the main roads already. What is wrong with protecting what little wildlife and environment we have left
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11632 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11633 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such						
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11634 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.						
	Please protect the wildlife.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11635 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11636 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore						

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID:	11637 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name:	private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:000 regulations.gov Over the last 25 years on a relatively unknown beach in Nova Scotia, Canada, I have watched ATV traffic be a major contribution to dune erosion and cause Piping Plovers to no longer nest at this beach. These vehicles were "just" relatively smal ATV's not four wheel drive SUV's and trucks. THe proposed regulations will control what happens at Cape Hatteras for decade and most likely will set a precedent for other national parks. A balanced plan should be implemented that would guarantee adequate space and protections for wildlife, while still allowing responsible on the beach driving in some designated areas thus allowing all visitors to fully enjoy this natural area.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulation fails to mandate specific, science-based protections for the wildlife that lives on the CHNS. In fact, it only insures the rights of off-road vehicle users to drive on large areas of the beach without consideration of the wildlife, it treats wildlife protection as optional, and it provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches. I strongly support management at national parks that safeguards wildlife from off-road driving and balances the needs of all user groups.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11638 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11639 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11640 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11641 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11642 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov V Our family spent some time this summer on Cape Hatteras National Seashore where we noticed roped off areas to protect sea turtle nests and saw many families walking on the beach. We enjoyed our time there immensely.						
	We live near Cuyahoga Valley National Park and enjoy peaceful recreation there and at many of our National Parks						
	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please continue a management plan such as the current plan at the Seashore, under which sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for allowing me to offer my comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11643 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not construct to during the fourtee the Seashore.
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11645 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
	Leslie Ege
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11646 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Prevent Vehicle Death in Cape Hatteras
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11647 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11648 Project: 10641 private	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Seashore. ORV usage will increase birds and other animals that nest of government is planning on protect	e the likelihood that on the beaches will b ting part of the area a yen't we destroyed er	bird and sea t e in danger of from ORV's, b nough of the p	turtle nesting a being run ove but I feel this is blanet and it's it	DRV) use at the Cape Hatteras National reas will be disturbed and/or destroyed. r and killed by ORV's. I am pleased tha s insufficient and that the entire 67 mile nhabitants? I think protecting a tiny are y feasible.	l. Many at the e
	Thanks for reading my comment.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Unde a tremendous comeback. I am ver areas for families to safely enjoy beach to the exclusion of pedestri specific, enforceable, science-bass natural, vehicle-free state. The ma	r the current manage y concerned that the vehicle-free beaches, ans and wildlife. I su ed protections for wi magement plan shou	ement plan at t proposed regr , and favors th upport regulati Idlife and for Id also set asic	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR tons to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Ca sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have vildlife protection as optional, provides V users to drive on extensive areas of t c ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in reas for those uses.	e made s few the e n a
		ts. Please add buffers the Seashore.	s and other wi		ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	well as the turtles laying eggs on alternative will change the future	beachs. For the Natio	onal Park Serv		Y birds like this Least Tern and its chick rotection is not an option. Please heed th	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:					Y es have no reason to drive on our beauti of other appropriate locations for this ty	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Unde a tremendous comeback. I am ver areas for families to safely enjoy beach to the exclusion of pedestri specific, enforceable, science-bass natural, vehicle-free state. The ma	r the current manage y concerned that the vehicle-free beaches, ans and wildlife. I su ed protections for wi magement plan shou he species that depen ts. Please add buffer: the Seashore.	ement plan at 6 proposed regg , and favors th upport regulati Idlife and for Id also set asi and on the Seasi s and other wi	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Ca sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have vildlife protection as optional, provides V users to drive on extensive areas of t o ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	e made s few the e n a s, such
	mank you for the opportunity to	novide mese commit				

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is bad enough for humans to trample on seashore breeding beaches. But to also have vehicles compress the sandy soil, increase the bulk density of soils (thus harming root proliferation of stabilizing grasses and animal food), and finally promoting the erosion of beach structure, that is a travesty. We have so little of our natural environment left! Protect it.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11654 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Lisa Frey
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11655 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We have a responsibility to safegaurd our life-support system. Since ours is connected to everybody else's, it's readily apparent that damaging the ecosystem to the point that it can no longer support migratory animals is not in the best interests of humans. The only reason to not protect these areas is to pander to high-stakes political operatives. The shame will tarnish our nation perpetually. You have the responsibility to circumvent this self-destructive lunacy. Please do your jobs. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11656 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please do not permit off road vehicles to use huge portions of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore; piping plovers nests and chicks could be disturbed, it threatens sea turtles and other wildlife. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.
Correspondence ID: Name:	11657 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. The current one worked!
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for your attention.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11658 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence: The Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure. It should be preserved for years to come. ORV use in this special natural area could destroy the seashore environment for habitat and nature lovers. Please save the Cape Hatteras National Seashore and the creatures that live there for our children and grandchildren in the years to come.

Thank you, Virginia Holmes Menlo Park, CA

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11659 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Regulators,
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Sincerely,
	Susanna S. Clason, Ph.D.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11660 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11661 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	I know there are many other beaches where vehicles are not allowed in certain areas or times of the year when the wildlife will be affected. The Cape Hatteras National Seashore should also be one of those beaches. There are too many species that are becoming extinct because of the disregard of habitat by man. Please don't allow this to happen at Cape Hatteras.
	Thank you for your time.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11662 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few pareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11663 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the
	 beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11664 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Wildlife and the environment have suffered oil spills, hurricanes & human traffic for too long. Please allow areas to recover unmolested by Orv, and others free of phuman intrusion of any kind!
	Decades of unregulated beach driving have pushed the birds at Cape Hatteras National Seashore - a globally significant Important Bird Area - to the brink. Populations of waterbirds that nest on the Seashore's beaches, like Least Terns and Black Skimmers, plummeted 84% in just ten years from 1997 to 2007. Rare sea turtles were also suffering alarming declines. Audubon and other conservation groups successfully pushed for a temporary science-based management plan, which, in just four years, has allowed these birds and turtles to make a comeback.
	The proposed regulations will control what happens at Cape Hatteras for decades and set a precedent for other national parks. A balanced plan would guarantee adequate space and protections for wildlife, while still allowing responsible beach driving in some areas so that all visitors can fully enjoy this national treasure. As written, the proposed regulation does not mandate specific, science-based protections for the wildlife that depends on the Seashore. In fact, it protects ONLY the rights of off-road vehicle users to drive on large areas of the beach to the exclusion of wildlife, it treats wildlife protection as optional, and it provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches. The National Park Service needs to know that people like you support management at your national parks that safeguards wildlife from off-road driving and balances the needs of all user groups.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11665 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11666 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11667 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11668 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11669 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov #

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11670 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the proposed regulation.
	I am an 86-year old American citizen and veteran. I was raised on the New Jersey shore, on Long Beach Island. In addition, I have spent recent years in Florida, where I have been active in wildlife rescue. I am writing from personal experience.
	In New Jersey, off road vehicles beach buggies nearly destroyed Long Beach Island, by driving across the dune line, and killing the sea-oats, beach grape, and other living plants that held the dunes in place. Without these rooted grasses, the dunes were quickly washed away.
	I have seen the same results along the Atlantic coast of Florida. Without strict laws, ORVs will destroy our beaches.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11671 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 11672 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I live tight by a Bird Sanctuary right here in Crown Point across from Sea World in Californiaand I LOVE the opportunity to see the birds nesting right here and along the beaches in Bird Rock (over by La Jolla) and also down in Imperial Beach Of course I want to be a part of a petition to protect our birds and their nesting spots so I am submitting this petition that was sent to me by the National Park Service They seem to be asking for so LITTLE in regards to how it can help so MUCHThank you I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made
	a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11673 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11674 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11675 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As someone who has had the opportunity to enjoy the solitude offered by the Outer Banks island environment, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11676 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Get motorized traffic off the beaches and preserve the natural habitat for nesting birds, turtles and other wildlife. Thank you.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11677 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence:	I strongly oppose unrestricted offroad vehicle use. This damages NATURE. Please use common sense and respect our earth. W as a people have a history of destruction and this is one more blow to the fragile earth we live in. Please use common sense.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11678 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11679 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11680 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11681 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I m very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11682 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641 Document	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Stop wiping out this planet	of more of our species!			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity Hatteras National Seashore a tremendous comeback. I a areas for families to safely of beach to the exclusion of pe specific, enforceable, scient natural, vehicle-free state. T	. Under the current man am very concerned that enjoy vehicle-free beach edestrians and wildlife. ce-based protections for The management plan sh	ional Park Servi agement plan at he proposed reg es, and favors th support regulat wildlife and for ould also set asi	the Seashore, s ulation treats v ne rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses.
		nd nests. Please add buf egrade the Seashore.	fers and other w		ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity Hatteras National Seashore: a tremendous comeback. I a areas for families to safely o beach to the exclusion of pe	. Under the current man am very concerned that enjoy vehicle-free beach edestrians and wildlife ce-based protections for	ional Park Servi agement plan at he proposed reg es, and favors th support regulat wildlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad wildlife protection as optional, provides few RV users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a rreas for those uses.
		nd nests. Please add buf egrade the Seashore.	fers and other w		lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity Hatteras National Seashore: a tremendous comeback. I a areas for families to safely o beach to the exclusion of pe	. Under the current man am very concerned that enjoy vehicle-free beacl edestrians and wildlife. ce-based protections for	ional Park Servi agement plan at he proposed reg es, and favors th support regulat wildlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wl	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad wildlife protection as optional, provides few tV users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ureas for those uses.
		nd nests. Please add buf			lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for the opportun	nity to provide these con	iments.		

Correspondence: Impreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatterns National Seasons. Such an area attracts both viiibile and people-people who enjoy a variety of pursuits ranging from finding a serenc equict location to birdwaching, to running motorized vehicles across the send. In a place of such beauty and fragility it would be vise to consider the negative import that ORV use can have. As someone who would travel to this region. I would come to scape the insanity of the NJ shore and look for quiet and for wildle – NOT in rife recreational vehicles (or have to listen to listen). Under the current management plan at head-nessing birds have made a tremendus combuck. I and very concend that the proposed regulation near whilling protection as optional, provide a wrans of thankies is oddily enjoy vehicle free backies, and flows the right of ORV terms to drive on extensive areas of the beach to be exclusion of provide the proposed regulation near who wills by protections as optional, provides areas of the motion of an error point for will dill and for polarity may who will be interestion as optional. Provides areas of the available as on driving biffers around near Sheen and birther and other will file protections to the regulation so that ORVs will no continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Correspondence ID: Instance Type: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Correspondence: Provide: Corre	Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11686 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 H private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	Private: Y
In a place of such beauty and fragility it would be vise to consider the regulation inpact that ORV use can have. As someone who would true to this region, I would come to excape the instanity of the NI shore and look for quiet and for wildlife – NOT to ride recreational vchicles (or have to listen to them). Under the current management plan at the Scaahore, sear multise and beach-nearing blick have made a frammedian conselved. In an very concerned that the proposed regulations remains wildlife protections a optional provide for an excitation of pedestrians and wildlife. Japane ends that the multise scatter is an experimental that the proposed regulation to manage ORVs within the Scaahore in a natural, vehicle-free backs, and favors the right of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. Japane ends the Scaahore, science-based protections to wildlife and for polestrians areas for those use. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Scaahore if transduces specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around ness. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulations on that ORVs will not continue to definite the Scaahore. Correspondence TD: 11687 Project: 10611 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: The regulation spot requisations and wildlife. Lapponet regulations to the proposed regulation for the proposed regulation results wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to addity or spot results and based. Name: Name Name: That syste for the opportunity to provide these acomments. Seconore that inclu	Correspondence:		proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape
 wildlife – NOT to ride recreational vehicles (or have to listen to them). Under the current management plan at the Stabhors, san turtles and beach-nesting high have made a tremendous comback. I may exprovement of hat are the species proposed regulations traiss wildlife species in chronical beach specific. Science-based protections, specific, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wild in a specific, science-based protections, specific, sc		location or birdwatching, to running motorized vehicles across the sand	
an very concerned that the projosed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides the areas for families to safely encipy vehicle-free backs, and favors the rights of OKV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife instite National Sesshore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, escience-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nets. Please and dubtrfars and other wildlife protections to the regulations on that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: 11687 Project: 1061 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Received: Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Received: Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: Teaphotic abs of private: Name: Name: Name: Name: Name: Name: Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Received: Name:		•	•
as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence D: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: regulations gov Lappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hutters National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a termendous comeback. Jam very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides free areas for families to staffy enjoy which effect beaches, and divors the rights of ORV uses to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan at the Seashore sea turtles are are of the periodic, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan stath Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence Type: private Received: Correspondence Type: private Received: Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrarn Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrarn Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrarn Correspondence Type: Please protect: Name: Private Correspondence Type: Please protect: Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrarn Please protect: Please protect: Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrarn Please protect: Please protect: Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrarn Please protect: Please protect: Many Thanks,		am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the	n as optional, provides few areas for families to safely on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-
Correspondence ID: Name: 11687 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Tappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Servic's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hattersa National Seashore. Under the current management plan at hese Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation tents wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensing birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation tents will wish to vish to vish the vational provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and drovs the rights of ORV users to drive on extensing birds have made specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to vish to vish the vational Seashore in a matural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set saide additional areas for these uses. Correspondence ID: 11688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Frequencies Y Private: Y Name: Correspondence Type: Teame to this country as a young child and thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources		as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildli	
Name: private Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: Tappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatterns National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I an very concerned that the proposed regulation trents violating provides few areas for families to safely enjoy verificie-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV uses to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections for violating buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: 11688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations, gov Dear Gentlepersons: I <th></th> <th>Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.</th> <th></th>		Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.	
Received: Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: Tappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatterns National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. Support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: 11688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Received: Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Correspondence or user using provide dual thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resoures in every respect, teaching my family the	-	···· ··· ··· ··· ···	Private: Y
Correspondence: I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatters National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, see untries and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore in the include specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving putfiers around ness. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: 11688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: Y Name: Northies and thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purset form. Now I an a great-grandmother and have continue of this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my family the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conservey, and many other organiza	Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	
a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Dear Gentlepersons: I came to this country as a young child and thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my family the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conservacy, and many other organizations to ensure our prosperity without impeading the world of nature. Please take the higher road with actioning the the proper laws and regulations to ensure our future on this earth. Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrara Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: Please protect wildlife. Do not allow new rules to be influenced by those who do not appreciate that all are God's creatures.		I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's	
as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Correspondence ID: 11688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: Type: regulations.gov Dear Gentlepersons: I came to this country as a young child and thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my family the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conservacy, and many other organizations to ensure our prosperity without impeding the world of nature. Please take the higher road with actioning the the proper laws and regulations to ensure our future on this earth. Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrara Correspondence ID: 11689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y mame: private Received: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: Please protect wildlife. Do not allow new rules to be influenced by those who do not appreciate that all are God's creatures.		a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulat areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the ri beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for ped	ion treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few ghts of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include estrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a
Correspondence ID: 11688 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: Dear Gentlepersons: I came to this country as a young child and thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my family the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conservacy, and many other organizations to ensure our prosperity without impeading the world of nature. Please take the higher road with actioning the the proper laws and regulations to ensure our future on this earth. Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrara Correspondence ID: 11689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: Y Y Correspondence: Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 Y Y Y Correspondence: Plase protect wildlife. Do not allow new rules to be influenced by tho		as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildli	
Name: private Received: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Dear Gentlepersons: Dear Gentlepersons: I came to this country as a young child and thanks to my parents I began my jouney through life with the utmost appreciation for our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my family the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conservacy, and many other organizations to ensure our prosperity without impeading the world of nature. Please take the higher road with actioning the the proper laws and regulations to ensure our future on this earth. Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrara Correspondence ID: 11689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private: Y private: Y private: Y private: Y private: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence ID: 11689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Priva		Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.	
our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-grandmother and have continued this tradition with my family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my family the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conservacy, and many other organizations to ensure our prosperity without impeading the world of nature. Please take the higher road with actioning the the proper laws and regulations to ensure our future on this earth. Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrara Correspondence ID: 11689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Correspondence: Please protect wildlife. Do not allow new rules to be influenced by those who do not appreciate that all are God's creatures.	Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	Private: Y
Correspondence ID: 11689 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Name: private Received: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: regulations.gov Correspondence: Please protect wildlife. Do not allow new rules to be influenced by those who do not appreciate that all are God's creatures.		our National Parks and Nature in its purest form. Now I am a great-gran family, supporting our natural resources in every respect, teaching my f continue to support the Audubon Society, National Parks, Ocean Conse prosperity without impeading the world of nature. Please take the higher	ndmother and have continued this tradition with my amily the importance of our surroundings. Financially, I rvacy, and many other organizations to ensure our
Name: private Received: Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Correspondence: regulations.gov Please protect wildlife. Do not allow new rules to be influenced by those who do not appreciate that all are God's creatures.		Many Thanks, Danielle E. Cossu-Ferrara	
Correspondence ID: 11690 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y	Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	
	Correspondence ID:	11690 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 H	Private: Y

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I'm in favor of stopping the off road use of vehicles to protect the wildlife.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am a big fan of the National Park Service and it's role in protecting various natural areas as national treasures. The presence of the bison of the shield of the Service is an emblem of the Services duty to protect wildlife.
	That is why I feel compelled to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.
	The proposed regulation seems to treat wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle- free beaches, and excessively favors ORV users to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.
	I favor regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11692 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11693 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID:	11694 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name:	private

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11695 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I I want to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a very good recovery but I'm unhappy that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID:	11696 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Shorebirds and sea turtles and other wildlife need all possible protection in fragile shore areas such as those on Cape Hatteras. Please close the Cape Hatteras National Seashore to off road vehicles in order to offer protections for nesting and safety to the birds and wildlife.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11697 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a biologist with many thousands of miles of ORV driving experience, I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the NPS's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.							
	I am frankly appalled that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the "rights" of a small minority of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion - and disturbance - of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore, as they have done in so many other natural habitats. Why must cars dominate every part of our lives?							
	Thank you for reading these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

We need to do a better job at protecting all birds.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11699 private Aug,31,20	Project: 11 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-driv		ound nests.	Please add buffer				-based protections, such so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations	Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov rintendent Mu	10641 rray,	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er	e the opportun ational Seasho ous comeback. milies to safe e exclusion of forceable, sci	ore. Under the I am very control of ly enjoy veh f pedestrians ence-based	he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches s and wildlife. I su protections for wi	ement plan at proposed reg , and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, gulation treats he rights of OI tions to manag r pedestrians w		nesting birds have made optional, provides few xtensive areas of the ashore that include
					1 1 6	1	1	

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

	11702	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received:	private	11.00.00.00						
Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
orrespondence:	0	U	ity to comn	nent on the Nation	al Park Servi	ce's proposed i	egulation for managing	ORV use of Cape
•							sea turtles and beach-nes	
	a tremendo	ous comeback.	I am very c	concerned that the	proposed reg	gulation treats v	vildlife protection as op-	tional, provides few
							V users to drive on exte	
							ORVs within the Seash	
							no wish to visit the Nation of the test of tes	onal Seasnore III a
	natural, ver	mere nee state	. The mana	gement plan shou	la also set as		reas for mose uses.	
	The regula	tion will only	protect the	species that depen	d on the Sea	hore if it mand	lates specific, science-ba	and protections such
							ons to the regulation so	
	continue to	o dominate and	l degrade th	e Seashore.		-	-	
	These largest	for the own ort						
	Thank you	for the opport	tunity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name:	11703 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received:		11 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type:	regulations	s.gov						
Correspondence:							ound us. It is for this reas	son, among others, th
	I support re	egulations of c	off-road veh	icles along shoreli	nes and othe	r damaged area	IS.	
Correspondence ID:	11704	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
ame:	private	11 00 00 00						
eceived: orrespondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							
·····								
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few							
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the							
	areas for fa	amilies to safe	ly enjoy veh	nicle-free beaches,	and favors t	he rights of OR	V users to drive on exte	ensive areas of the
	areas for fa beach to th	amilies to safe an exclusion of	ly enjoy veh pedestrians	icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su	and favors t pport regulat	he rights of OR ions to manage	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash	ensive areas of the nore that include
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er	amilies to safe e exclusion of nforceable, sci	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based	nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild	and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi	V users to drive on extension of the seast o	ensive areas of the nore that include
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er	amilies to safe e exclusion of nforceable, sci	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based	nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild	and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash	ensive areas of the nore that include
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel	amilies to safe the exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana	nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi de additional a	V users to drive on externation of the sease	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel	amilies to safe the exclusion of nforceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s	nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it man	V users to drive on extension of the seast o	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv	amilies to safe the exclusion of nforceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based b. The mana protect the sound nests.	s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it man	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-ba	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to	amilies to safel the exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers are o dominate and	ly enjoy vel pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th	s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it man	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-ba	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you	amilies to safel e exclusion of nforceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents.	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection	V users to drive on exter ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-bases to the regulation so	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
-	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705	amilies to safel the exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers are o dominate and	ly enjoy vel pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th	s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it man	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-ba	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
Name:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private	amilies to safel the exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers are o dominate and for the opport Project:	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents.	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection	V users to drive on exter ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-bases to the regulation so	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
Name: Received:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20	amilies to safel the exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers are o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents.	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection	V users to drive on exter ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-bases to the regulation so	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations	amilies to safel an exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document:	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private:	V users to drive on exter ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-bases to the regulation so	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, suc that ORVs will not
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N	amilies to safel a exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportun ational Seasho	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to commore. Under th	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation.	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed in the Seashore, s	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash to wish to visit the National Seash lates specific, science-bactering seast the regulation so Y	ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, suc that ORVs will not ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad
lame: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo	amilies to safel an exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportun ational Seash ous comeback.	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very c	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation the current manage	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mano ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed 1 the Seashore, s gulation treats w	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash to wish to visit the National Seash the Seash of the Seash of Se	ore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, suc that ORVs will not ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few
ame: ecceived: correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa	amilies to safel e exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportun ational Seash ous comeback.	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the sound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very c ly enjoy veh	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these commen- bound these commen- Document: ment on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches,	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mano ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed in the Seashore, s ulation treats whe rights of OR	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash oo wish to visit the National Seash oo wish to visit the National Seash ons to the regulation so the regulation for managing sea turtles and beach-need wildlife protection as op V users to drive on external season of the season of the regulation for managing the season of th	ORV use of Cape sting birds have maditional, provides few manual search of the search
ame: ecceived: correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th	amilies to safel e exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 S.gov e the opportun ational Seasho ous comeback. amilies to safel e exclusion of	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based 2. The mana protect the sound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro- 10641 ity to commore. Under the I am very composite the source of the pedestrians	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed in the Seashore, s ulation treats whe rights of OR ions to manage	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the National Seash to wish to visit the National Seash the Seash of the Seash of Se	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few manual search of the search of the search of the order of the search of the search of the order of the search of
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th	amilies to safel an exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 3.gov e the opportun ational Seasho ous comeback. amilies to safel e exclusion of nforceable, sci-	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very ce pedestrians ence-based	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: nent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild protections for wild	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed 1 the Seashore, s gulation treats w ions to manage pedestrians wi	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash oo wish to visit the National Seash oo wish to visit the National Seash ons to the regulation so the regulation for managing sea turtles and beach-need wildlife protection as op V users to drive on external season of the season of the regulation for managing the season of th	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few many constraints of the ore that include
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel	amilies to safel e exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportun ational Seash aus comeback. amilies to safel e exclusion of forceable, sci-	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these commen- bound these commen- Document: nent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mano ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed in the Seashore, s ulation treats whe rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash oo wish to visit the National Seash oo wish to visit the National Seash on the regulation so the regulation for managing sea turtles and beach-need wildlife protection as op V users to drive on extent of V users to drive on extent of V users to drive on extent of V within the Seash on wish to visit the National Seash of V users.	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few ensive areas of the nore that include onal Seashore in a
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel	amilies to safel an exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 3.gov e the opportun ational Seasho ous comeback. umilies to safe. inforceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very ce pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: nent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that dependent species that species that species species that species species that species species that species species species that species	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed I the Seashore, s gulation treats while ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-brooms to the regulation so and the regulation so Y Y egulation for managing the turtles and beach-ner wildlife protection as op V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati-	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, you will not
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciate Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel	amilies to safel an exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 3.gov e the opportun ational Seasho ous comeback. umilies to safe. inforceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th J am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests.	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed I the Seashore, s gulation treats while ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses.	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few maive areas of the onal Seashore in a
Vame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciate Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula	amilies to safel an exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 S.gov e the opportun ational Seash ous comeback. amilies to safel the exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th J am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the s ound nests.	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed I the Seashore, s gulation treats while ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mand	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses.	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few maive areas of the onal Seashore in a
Vame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to	amilies to safel e exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportun ational Seasho us comeback, amilies to safel us comeback, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and Project:	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very ce pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed in the Seashore, s gulation treats whi ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-brons to the regulation so Y Y egulation for managing sea turtles and beach-nes vildlife protection as op V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses.	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, provides few maive areas of the onal Seashore in a
Vame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Vame: Received:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to 11706 private Aug,31,20	amilies to safel e exclusion of forceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov e the opportun ational Seash ous comeback. amilies to safel e exclusion of florceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and Project: 11 00:00:00	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to comm ore. Under th I am very ce pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the so ound nests. I degrade th	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I supprotections for wild gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed in the Seashore, s gulation treats whi ions to manage pedestrians whi ide additional a shore if it mand ildlife protection	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-brons to the regulation so Y Y egulation for managing sea turtles and beach-nes vildlife protection as op V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses.	ORV use of Cape sting birds have mad tional, you will not
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11705 private Aug,31,20 regulations I appreciat Hatteras N a tremendo areas for fa beach to th specific, er natural, vel The regula as non-driv continue to 11706 private Aug,31,20 regulations	amilies to safel e exclusion of florceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 S.gov e the opportun ational Seash ous comeback. amilies to safel e exclusion of florceable, sci- hicle-free state tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and Project: 11 00:00:00 S.gov	ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the sound nests. I degrade th tunity to pro- 10641 ity to commore. Under th I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based e. The mana protect the sound nests. I degrade th 10641	hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: hent on the Nation. he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore Document:	and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w ents. 41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w 41993	he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mano ildlife protection Private: ce's proposed for the Seashore, s quation treats while ions to manage pedestrians while additional a shore if it mano ildlife protection	V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses. Nates specific, science-brons to the regulation so Y Y egulation for managing sea turtles and beach-nes vildlife protection as op V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seash to wish to visit the Nati- reas for those uses.	ORV use of Cape sting birds have made tional, provides few may easily a such that or the time of the ore that include on the time of the time of the ore that include on al Seashore in a ased protections, such that ORVs will not

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. It truly is imperative to protect these pristine areas and imperiled wildlife for future generations!

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11707 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore is unfairly inbalanced in favor of vehicular use over the needs of passive recreation and the protection of wildlife. Beach-nesting birds and sea turtles have made a tremendous comeback under the current plan and could suffer tremendous setbacks under the new proposals. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I recognize that ORV users have rights as well, and I support manageable regulations that enable shared use for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11708 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11709 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. ORV's have proven to be destructive to all habitat types. Only the disabled and elderly disabled should be allowed to use ORV's on any public lands and their use should be strictly limited as to where, when and how the ORV is to be used. Where these rules are not enforceable ORV use should be illegal. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.



Comognondance Dr	11710 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Correspondence ID: Name:	11710 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape						
correspondences	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few						
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the						
	beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11711 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few						
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Correspondence ID: Name:	11712 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11713 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11714 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11715 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11716 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am extremely concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of th beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. It is more important, in my opinion to protect the breeding habitiat as first priority than to satisfy the desires of the ORV users, who can easily cause lasting, ecological damage to the resource and also spoil the nature expeirience for the rest of us!							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11717 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards							

shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation.

Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11718 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11719 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV uses to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11720 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a California licensed veterinary technician and wildlife biologist concerned with beach habitat conservation, I am alarmed and greatly opposed to the proposed regulations that will increase Off Road Vehicle (ORV) use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. ORVs constitute a destructive recreational use of pristine beach habitat that sets an ominous precedent for other seashore parklands and even desert parks where ORV enthusiasts constantly push for more access to fragile ecosystems. ORV special interests are well organized and very vocal; however, their destructive, non-pastoral recreation on fragile beach ecosystems should not be approved. There is no sufficient mitigation for noisy, damaging ORVs driving across fragile beaches destroying nests and scattering birds, compacting sand (thereby killing small invertebrate and crustacean species living under the sand) and adversely impacting sea turtles. In addition, ORVs greatly disturb human visitors who enjoy the park for its beauty, for bird-watching, solitude and so forth.

The current National Park Service Management Plan for ORV access to Cape Hatteras National Seashore has enabled vulnerable, beach-nesting sea bird species, such as Least Terns and Black Skimmers, to recover from decimation to nests, eggs,

and nestlings caused by vehicles and other human intrusions. Sea turtles are also protected under the current plan. Please extend and enhance, the existing protections.

Cape Hatteras National Seashore belongs to all citizens, and to the creatures that depend upon its resources for their survival, not to ORV enthusiasts. The Park Service should act to appease ORV users. There are other, already damaged lands, where those who wish to operate ORVs can go to recreate. Please keep strong protective regulations in place to preserve the wildlife as well as the peace and beauty of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11721 privato	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-dr	•	round nests.	Please add buffer			lates specific, science-based protec ons to the regulation so that ORVs	
	Thank yo	u for the oppor	tunity to pro	ovide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11722 private Aug,31,2 regulation	Project: 011 00:00:00 ns.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. I own 5 4WD vehicles and understand the desire to have off-road places to ride/drive, however, I also will not drive them even on permitted areas along Lake Erie by which I live very close. I chose not to drive on such areas as to not disturb migrating birds using the beaches and dunes for foraging.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
	Marc Hai	neman						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11723 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-dr		round nests.	Please add buffers			dates specific, science-based protec ons to the regulation so that ORVs	

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11724 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug, 51,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Why does every inch of this country have to be some type of roadway? So that a few individuals on vehicles can have "fun", to hell with what their behavior does to the environment, right?!							
	People in this country - starting with our elected officials and govt. groups - have got to start looking beyond their immediate gratification, at a much bigger picture.							
	Bigger than what I want right now? You bet!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11725 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11726 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. But the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. Please protect wildlife from these ORV.							
	Regards, Lindsay Nerad							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11727 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am commenting on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific,							
	enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only succeed in protecting the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as nondriving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that							
	 vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only succeed in protecting the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as nondriving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Piping Plovers on Plum island were restored from the brink of extinction by closing beachesincluding ORVsduring nesting 							

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11728 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not commute to dominate and degrade the Seashore.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11729 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am one of I hope millions of Americans who greatly value our natural world, its beauty and its inhabitants, be they plant or animal. I have also valued the idea that we have a National Park System that protects and maintains these values. PLEASE amend your current plans to extend greater protectionI would say NO DRIVING on the beaches or extended boundaries is no unreasonable. Cars can go almost anywhere, so why must they be allowed to infringe on life in these tiny areas?? It isn't depriving the drivers of the beach. They are free to get out and walk. What is not fair is for you to allow a minority of car driver to destroy a natural environment for animals, birds especially, that can never be replaced. Please keep the faith!!	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11730 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a nature and bird lover, I beg you to make stricter laws for the use of our beaches in the U.S. We do enough damage to sanctuaries as it is because of human errors. Lets have more respect for what the Lord has blessed us with. Sincerely, Sue Turne	er
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11731 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please PROTECT OUR ENVIRONMENT!!!!!!!!	=
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11732 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11733 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.	=

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11734 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11735 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov						
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11736 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov As a concerned citizen, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11737 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Shoaf, Richard C Aug,25,2011 00:00:00 Letter My family and I began coming to Hatteras Island in 1969. My daughter was three years old and my son was only eighteen						
	months. We vacationed on Hatteras Island every year since 1969 except for one year. My children have grown up there and now my grandchildren are enjoying the same pleasures and experiences that their Mother and Father did as children. My wife and I became property owners in 1972 and built our home in Salvo on Hatteras Island in 1992. My wife and I retired in 2000 and now spend much of our time at our home in Salvo. My wife is handicapped and the only way she can get to enjoy the beaches is by ORV. We have seen many changes in the last forty-four (42) years. Some good, some bad. The closing of access to popular						

areas of the park and restrictions to ORV access to the beaches by the National Park Service, in my opinion is a bad change. The original owners, with the understanding that the beaches would remain free and open to the public, donated the land to the Park Service. This understanding should be honored.

I would like to submit the following comments and suggestions for your consideration on the above proposal (RIN 1024-AD85) Part 7 - Special Regulations, Areas of the National Park System: 7.58 Cape Hatteras National Seashore

7.58 (2) OVR Permits: Fees charged for ORV permits should only be used to recover NPS administrative cost of implementing the ORV plan and maintaining the ORV routes, construction of new and maintenance of existing ramps for ORV access to the beaches. This fee should not finance construction of new parking areas because they are of no use to ORV users. No moneys from these fees should go into any general fund for other park services or maintenance.

7.58 (2) (iv) Annual ORV Permits: The permit fee should be kept at an affordable cost that the general public can afford. Annual permits should be available on a pro-rated basis from month to month (ex: Jan. - full rate: July - 1/2; Oct 1/4 etc). Perhaps this could be set up on a quarterly bases. Residents and property owners, with proof of ownership (ex. county tax receipt), should be given a reduced rate on annual permits.

7.58 (2) (v) Obtaining a Permit: Permits should be obtainable on line, similar to the salt water fishing licenses. The permit holder could watch a video and answer test questions on a web site before applying for a permit. This would eliminate park service man power and weekend confusion of the applicant appearing in person.

7.58 (2) (v) Obtaining a Permit: Continued: Residents or property owners could apply by including their county tax customer number and parcel number. They could also apply in person, with proof of ownership, if so desired. Once a permit is issued, a person should not have to go through the complete process in following years. They should only need to submit their permit number from the previous year.

7.58 (7) (iii) Transportation of Impaired Individuals: ORVs transporting impaired individuals should be permitted to stay with those individuals. This is a safety issue. In the event an impaired person would need immediate transportation from the beach, the ORV needs to be in close vicinity. This could be an issue of life or death. The NPS could provide a form, which the impaired person has filled out by their doctor stating the person's condition. After review of the form by the NPS, a special permit could be issued.

7.58 (9) ORV Routes: Hatteras Island - Designated Routes: Year Round 1.5 miles south of ramp 23 to ramp 27. Ramp 23 should be kept open both south to ramp 30 and north based on seasonal closures. The 1.5 miles south of ramp 23 is a prime beach area for CHNSS visitors. The beach at 1.5 to 2 miles south of ramp 23 is usually very narrow and becomes impassable at high tide. The sand in this area is also very soft and deep and the dune very steep. ORV Routes... access to ocean beaches: indicates a ramp at 25.5 miles. At the present time, a ramp at 25.5 miles does not exist. A ramp at 25.5 will enter the beach area where the beach is narrow and soft. This area will be a problem for ORVs. In any event, ramp 23 should not be closed until ramp 25.5 is constructed, maintained and open to ORVs.

I would also like to suggest that the NPS provide a ramp in the Rodanthe area to service the north end of the tri-village beaches during the off-season.

I would like to thank the National Park Service and Superintendent Murry for the opportunity to submit my comments and suggestions and hope that you consider them in your final decisions. Richard C. Shoaf 608 DeBaldo Dr. West Mifflin, Pa. 15122 Ph. # 412-466-3405 Cell # 412-527-2326 E-mail hatteras22@verizon.net

Correspondence ID:	11738	Project:	10641	Document:	41993
Name:	Fisher, Ca	rl M			
Received:	Aug,30,20	011 00:00:00			
Correspondence Type:	Letter				
Correspondence:	Gentlema	n,			

I have been visiting Outer Banks Seashore since the early 70's.

I am not in favor of restricting beach driving. I have always enjoyed surf fishing and the free access to the beaches.

I feel the environmental groups are using wildlife protection as an excuse to close the beaches. Most sportsmen that use the beaches are not against protecting all forms of wild life.

Closing the point is sure sad for the view and the fishing. Is it true you can't even walk on this beach when closed?

It seems our rights (Nat. Park owners) are disappearing. Many times minority groups seem to make the rules. Hope you can keep our rights alive for us and future generations.

Sincerely, Carl M. Fisher

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11739 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Price, Janet F Aug,30,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence:	Letter Please accept my comments as a United States citizen, avid fisherman and nature lover: (c) (2) ORV Permits, While I do not support permits/fees, we all have to pay for everything these days, I would limit their cost to a minimal amount that would be affordable by the average citizen. A visitor to this Seashore should not have to consider the high cost of a permit when budgeting his/her family vacation. The \$100-\$200 permit costs is excessive for this Recreational area. A permit should be readily available on via the Internet; the NPS could not possibly process the volume of visitors arriving in mid-summer. (c) (9) ORV Routes The table of DESIGNATED ROUTES will limit access to my favorite areas whether it is fishing, swimming or just plain old family time. Why would the National Park Service want to do this? Hatteras islander's livelihood's are at stake with these decisions. I do not understand the chart referencing ramps 2.5, 32.5, 47.5, 59.5 and several interior roads, there is no mention is made of how or when these routes will be constructed. Nothing should be closed unless or until these infrastructures are in place and usable. Vehicle Free Areas should be permanently designated areas. Any rule imposed should give the Superintendent to adapt and/or alter designated routes, including the designation of new routes, to recognize the ever changing landscape of the beaches due to natural events and visitor use patterns. Please, please do not fix the dates for winter season. It should be determined annually by the Superintendent, the North Carolina DOT, Dare County officials and Hyde County officials. (c) (12) Night Driving Restrictions. Best fishing time ever, the only reported incident of a turtle death, was when night driving restrictions already in place. Please consider my comments in making your final decision. More decision making by the Superintendent would eliminate many bad decisionS years later. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.							
	Janet F. Price 11630 Winterpock Rd Chesterfield VA 23838							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11740 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Hagy, Rhonda Aug, 30, 2011 00:00:00 Letter Reference: ORV Proposed Rule (RIN 1024-AD85) Superintendent, National Park Service ORV RULE (36 CFR Part 7 - SPECIAL REGULATIONS, AREAS OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM, paragraph 7.58 Cape Hatteras National Seashore).							
	Please accept my comments as a United States citizen, avid fisherman and nature lover:							
	(cl (2) ORV Permits, While I do not support permits/fees, we all have to pay for everything these days, I would limit their cost to a minimal amount that would be affordable by the average citizen. A visitor to this Seashore should not have to consider the high cost of a permit when budgeting his/her family vacation. The \$100-\$200 permit costs is excessive for this Recreational area and I think this amount is absurd. A permit should be readily available on via the Internet. How is the NPS going to be able to possibly process the volume of visitors arriving in mid-summer? You are not!							
	(c) (9) ORV Routes The table of DESIGNATED ROUTES will limit access to my favorite areas whether it be fishing, swimming or just plain old family time. Why would the National Park Service want to do this? Hatteras Islanders livelihoods are at stake with these decisions. I do not understand the chart referencing ramps 2.5, 32.5, 47.5, 59.5 and several interior roads, there is no mention~ made of how or when these routes will be constructed. Nothing should be closed unless or until these infrastructures are in place and usable. Vehicle Free Areas should be permanently designated areas. Any rule imposed should give the Superintendent to adapt and/or alter designated routes, including the designation of new routes, to recognize the ever changing landscape of the beaches due to natural events and visitor use patterns. Please, please do not fix the dates for winter season. It should be determined annually by the Superintendent, the North Carolina DOT, Dare County officials and Hyde County officials.							
	(c) (12) Night Driving Restrictions. Are you kidding me this is the best fishing time ever, the only reported incident of a turtle death, was when night driving restrictions already in place. Please consider my comments in making your final decision. More decision making by the Superintendent would eliminate many bad decision years later.							
	Thank you for your consideration in this matter.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11741 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Hagy, Rhonda Aug, 30,2011 00:00:00 Letter n/a							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11742Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug.,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govregulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

0.		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
as non-dri	ving buffers ar	ound nests.	Please add buffers					
11744	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Aug,31,20 regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f beach to tl specific, e natural, va The regula as non-dri continue t	s.gov te the opportun Vational Seasho ous comeback. 'amilies to safel he exclusion of nforceable, sci- chicle-free state ation will only ving buffers ar o dominate and sonally visited é of peo	re. Under the I am very co y enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based p . The manage protect the s ound nests. I d degrade the these sites co ople abusing	e current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat dilife and for d also set as: d on the Seas and other w continue to o	the Seashore, ulation treats v he rights of OF podestrians w ide additional a shore if it mane ildlife protecti do so. I constant and without v	sea turtles and beach-nesting birds ha wildlife protection as optional, provid V users to drive on extensive areas of e ORVs within the Seashore that inclu- ho wish to visit the National Seashore areas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protection ons to the regulation so that ORVs with atty witness and shake my head in fru ehicles, even to the point of not fillin.	ve made les few of the ude e in a ons, such ill not stration a	
Thank you	1 for the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	ents.				
11745 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	Aug,31,20 regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f beach to tl specific, e natural, ve The regula as non-dri continue t 11744 private Aug,31,20 regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f beach to tl specific, e natural, ve The regula as non-dri continue t I the regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f beach to tl specific, e natural, ve The regula as non-dri continue t I have per the naivet holes crea Thank you	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashd a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, scienatural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only as non-driving buffers ar continue to dominate and 11744 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Augia1,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashd hatteras National Seashc areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, scienatural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only as non-driving buffers ar continue to dominate and I have personally visited the naiveté of personacute the	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to commHatteras National Seashore. Under thea tremendous comeback. I am very coareas for families to safely enjoy vehbeach to the exclusion of pedestriansspecific, enforceable, science-based pnatural, vehicle-free state. The managThe regulation will only protect the sas non-driving buffers around nests. Icontinue to dominate and degrade the11744 Project: 10641privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to commHatteras National Seashore. Under thea tremendous comeback. I am very caareas for families to safely enjoy vehbeach to the exclusion of pedestriansspecific, enforceable, science-based pnatural, vehicle-free state. The managThe regulation will only protect the sas non-driving buffers around nests. Icontinue to dominate and degrade theI have personally visited these sites othe naiveté of people abusingholes created by "sand castles", in wfThank you for the opportunity to pro11745Project:10641	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Nation.Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current managea tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that theareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches,beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I suspecific, enforceable, science-based protections for wilnatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan shoulThe regulation will only protect the species that dependas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add bufferscontinue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.11744 Project: 10641 Document: privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the NationHatteras National Seashore. Under the current managea tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that theareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches,beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I suspecific, enforceable, science-based protections for wilnatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan shoulThe regulation will only protect the species that dependas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add bufferscontinue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.I have personally visited these sites over the years and the naiveté of people abusing this natural envir holes created by "sand castles", in which turtles can falThank you for the opportunity to provide these comment11745 Project: 10641 Document: </td <td>Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Servi Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed reg areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors th beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulat specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set asi The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seas as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other w continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Servi Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed reg areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulat specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set asi The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seas as non-driving buffe</td> <td>Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed relations.gov Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, i a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats of areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of OR beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians win natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a so non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protectic continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's monage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians with natural seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, a treas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of OR beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians with natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a treas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protectic continue to dominate and degrade the seashore. The regul</td> <td>Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 1 appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds ha a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provid areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that incluses the National Seashor natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs we continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Norte: Y</td>	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Servi Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed reg areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors th beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulat specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set asi The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seas as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other w continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Servi Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed reg areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulat specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set asi The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seas as non-driving buffe	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed relations.gov Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, i a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats of areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of OR beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians win natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a so non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protectic continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's monage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians with natural seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, a treas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of OR beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians with natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a treas non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protectic continue to dominate and degrade the seashore. The regul	Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 1 appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds ha a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provid areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that incluses the National Seashor natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs we continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document 41993 Private: Y 11744 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Norte: Y	

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11746 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Though my permanent address is in Illinois, I have recently moved to the East Coast for grad school. I am studying marine policy, and I have a great appreciation for all measures to protect coastal wildlife.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11747 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use							
correspondence.	on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11748 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I do not like those noise polluting vehicles. They cause enviornmental destruction and pollution. 20 acres out of 60 is not fair to the enviornment or people like me who want to enjoy nature. I don't want to take a walk to see tire tracks and dead wild life. Protect what is most precious.							
Correspondence ID:	11749 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11750 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11751 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence:		uders on ou	ır seashores. They	are noisy, sn	nelly and do gr	eat damage. They must be banned.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	that cherishes both our N	ational Par	ks and wildlife I ar	n very much	against the use	Y both a sense of intimacy and wildness of motorized vehicles on such delica bout these vehicles. Nor do they tread	ate	
	I am also disabled with N work at these locations!!		emical Sensitivity.	Anywhere the	nat allows ORV	I's would exclude me from being to w	valk and	
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
		ound nests. I degrade th	Please add buffers e Seashore.	and other w		ates specific, science-based protection ons to the regulation so that ORVs with		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11753 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashd a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of	10641 ity to comr re. Under t I am very o ly enjoy vel pedestrian ence-based	Document: nent on the Nation he current manage concerned that the nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil	41993 al Park Servi ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat ddlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wl	Y egulation for managing ORV use of O ea turtles and beach-nesting birds hav vildlife protection as optional, provide V users to drive on extensive areas of ORVs within the Seashore that inclu to wish to visit the National Seashore reas for those uses.	ve made es few f the ide	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seasho a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safel beach to the exclusion of	The second secon	he current manage concerned that the nicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil	ment plan at proposed reg and favors tl pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wl	Y egulation for managing ORV use of O ea turtles and beach-nesting birds hav vildlife protection as optional, provide V users to drive on extensive areas of ORVs within the Seashore that inclu to wish to visit the National Seashore reas for those uses.	ve made es few f the ide	
	The regulation will only	protect the	spacies that depen	d on the Saa	horo if it mand	atas spacific, science based protectio	ma anah	

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11755 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, su as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11756 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Save the native habitat and wildlife. Go drive your vehicles over your momma.							
Correspondence ID:	11757 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.							
	I am extremely concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.							
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	There are other places for ORV'S. They don't need to be anywhere near the wildlife that need the beaches to continue breeding and thriving. We've done enough damage and losing many different wildlife. They are needed in our lives and I want to see these lives continue for my grandchildren and on. Please, do not make this an option. This needs to be mandatory.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
	God Bless, Rev. Elizabeth S. Arsenault							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11758 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Greetings Please do the right thing and do not expand the areas for ORV use. Our wildlife needs these areas. Thank you							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11759 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma							

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 (10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please take into account protections for wildlife & pedestrians here at this beautiful coastline!								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Please keep ca pollution from	v pe hatteras vehicles or out worry.	n it's virgin	soils. People shou	ld most defin	nitely be able t	Y of all kinds. That includes no o walk along God's earth and deserve to have it. And walki	revel in nature's	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Because of the	v disturbanc		Document: g cars upon birds a future is dim and			Y National Park Service of Cape	Hatteras to ban	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go Cape Hatteras wildlife divers	v National S ity and 67 i	miles of sho		pacts of unre	estricted off-roa	Y e each year taking in the natu ad vehicle (ORV) use has take aches.		
		you to prote	ect wildlife.			-	and it could be run over. protections for wildlife and a	dditional	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 (regulations.go appreciate the Hatteras Natio a tremendous c areas for famil beach to the ex specific, enfor- natural, vehicle The regulation	v opportunity nal Seashor comeback. ies to safely cclusion of ceable, scie e-free state will only p buffers are	re. Under th I am very co y enjoy veh pedestrians noce-based p . The manage protect the s bund nests. I	e current manages oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup protections for wil gement plan shoul pecies that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat dlife and for d also set asi	the Seashore, s gulation treats whe rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a	Y gulation for managing ORV u ea turtles and beach-nesting t vildlife protection as optional. V users to drive on extensive ORVs within the Seashore th no wish to visit the National S reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based p ons to the regulation so that O	birds have made provides few areas of the nat include eashore in a rotections, such	

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11765 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I respectfully request that you please limit vehicle transportation along the shoreline of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Our sea birds need to be protected from the thoughtless actions of drivers. Thank you very much. Linda M. Estep
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11766 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and deards the Seashore.
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11767 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11768 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I an very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11769 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off road vehicles should not be allowed at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Turtles and birds have enough problems from the oil spill and other environmental problems without this additional assault on their habitat. These vehicles also prevent the quiet enjoyment of the seashore and just annoying.
Correspondence ID: Name:	11770 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
Correspondence ID: Name:	11771 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11772 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	 11773 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. 					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11774 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a					

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Sincerely,

Howard Friedman

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11775Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011O0:00:00regulations.govMy name is Abraham Hafiz Rodriguez, and I am a third year medical student at the University of Illinois College of Medicine.							
	I am very concerned that this proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests.							
	Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for listening,							
	-Abe							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11776 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11777 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I live in Carolina Beach NC< where both ends of our island have had negative impact due to off road vehicles. As a seakayaker, I routinely see the trash, the oil, the huge ruts in the road that deter nesting turtles and birds in these areas. I hate to think that Cape Hatteras is poised to turn in to the next Carolina Beach.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007,							

Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened

and endangered wildlife could be impacted.

I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration. Virginia Holman

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11778 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportun Hatteras National Seashd a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, sci natural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only as non-driving buffers ar continue to dominate and	ore. Under the I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based j e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade the	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians w ide additional a shore if it man	sea turtles and beach-ne wildlife protection as op V users to drive on exte ORVs within the Seas ho wish to visit the Nati ureas for those uses.	sting birds have made tional, provides few ensive areas of the hore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
	Thank you for the opport	tunity to pro	vide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11779 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunit Hatteras National Seash a tremendous comeback. areas for families to safe beach to the exclusion of specific, enforceable, sci natural, vehicle-free state The regulation will only as non-driving buffers ar continue to dominate and	re. Under th I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based j e. The mana protect the s ound nests. I degrade the	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas s and other w	the Seashore, s gulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians w ide additional a shore if it man	sea turtles and beach-ne wildlife protection as op V users to drive on extre ORVs within the Seas ho wish to visit the Nati ureas for those uses.	sting birds have made tional, provides few ensive areas of the hore that include onal Seashore in a ased protections, such
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11780 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted off	10641 road vehicle	Document:	41993 s sea turtles,	Private:	Y other wildlife.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11781 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you allowing me to Wildlife is amazing in the free beaches to walk in p optional, provides few ar the Seashore to the exclud done to the seashore and would do them good :-). Seashore that include spen National Seashore in a new	at given pro eace and en eas for fami sion of pede in all hones Although th ecific, enford	tections, they can joy nature. I am co lies to safely enjo estrians and wildli ty, if some folks h is is observational ceable, science-ba	cope, and even oncerned that y vehicle-free fe. I have wi had to carry t I data, I do k sed protection	ven recover, wir t the proposed e beaches, and tnessed first ha heir gear/food/ now that I supp ons for wildlife	th an ever changing wor regulation treats wildlif favors the rights of off nd the destruction these bevearages a few more port regulations to mana and for pedestrians who	rld. I value the vehicle- e protection as road vehicles within off road vehicles have yards, the exercise ge ORVs within the o wish to visit the

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11782 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name:	11783 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
	Corey Parker							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11784 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11785 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11786 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There is not enough protection for wildlife, e.g. turtles, and quiet recreation. There is too much beach open for ORVs, which are both noisey and damaging to wildlife.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11787 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11788 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11789 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID:	11790 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11791 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11792 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few
	areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name:	11793 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private

Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:		ov ve that these		yahoos are allow ome respect for th			p and down a beach and kill wildlife. Chan a part of.	ıge
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc We would lik National Seas tremendous cc areas for fami beach to the e the Seashore t National Seas	ov e to commen shore. Under omeback. I a ilies to safely exclusion of p that include s shore in a nat	the current i m very conc enjoy vehic pedestrians a specific, enfo ural, vehicle	nanagement plar erned that the pr cle-free beaches, and wildlife. We prceable, science e-free state. The r	at the Seash oposed regul and favors th believe it to l -based protect nanagement	nore, sea turtles lation treats wil he rights of OR be essential to be ctions for wildl plan should als	Y managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras and beach-nesting birds have made a ldlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the formulate regulations to manage ORVs with ife and for pedestrians who wish to visit th so set aside additional areas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, su	he
	as non-driving continue to do	-			and other wi	ildlife protectio	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not	:
	Thank you for w	r the opportu	nity to prov	ide these comme	nts.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc I appreciate th Hatteras Natid a tremendous areas for fami beach to the e specific, enfor natural, vehic	ov ne opportunit onal Seashor comeback. I liles to safely exclusion of p rceable, scier le-free state. n will only p g buffers arou	e. Under the am very con enjoy vehic bedestrians a nce-based pr The manage rotect the sp und nests. P	current manager ncerned that the p :le-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil ement plan shoul- ecies that depend lease add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulati dlife and for d also set asi	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, su ns to the regulation so that ORVs will not	ade w uch
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11796 P private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go	Project: 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993 natural reso	Private:	Y s the beauty of our beautiful places.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug.31,2011 regulations.gc I appreciate th Hatteras Natid a tremendous areas for fami beach to the e specific, enfor natural, vehic The regulation as non-driving continue to do	ov ne opportunit onal Seashor comeback. I liles to safely exclusion of p rceable, scien le-free state. n will only pp g buffers aroo ominate and o	e. Under the am very con- enjoy vehic sedestrians a nce-based pr The manage rotect the sp und nests. P degrade the	current manager ncerned that the p cle-free beaches, and wildlife. I sup rotections for wil ement plan shoul- eccies that depend lease add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th port regulati dlife and for d also set asi l on the Seas and other wi	the Seashore, s ulation treats w he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it mand	Y egulation for managing ORV use of Cape ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, su ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not	ade w uch
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11798 P private Aug,31,2011	Project: 00:00:00	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	

Correspondence Type: regulations.gov Correspondence: I appreciate the

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

11799 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,									
I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I was a resident of North Carolina for 9 years, and spent many vacations there. Frankly, I see little need for recreational beach access, but recognizing that this world accommodates diversity, there are sensible science-based guidelines for determining appropriate access.									
made 153 in 2010. Pipin	g plovers a	nd other shorebirds							
wildlife. The proposed p	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.								
As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.									
I urge you to implement specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting.									
Thank you for your cons	ideration.								
11800 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am requesting that stron Seashore.	10641 ng consider	Document: ation be given to sa	41993 aving the wil	Private: dlife and envir	Y ronment of the Ca	ape Hatteras National			
Hatteras National Seash a tremendous comeback.	ore. Under t Finally bir sed regulat	he current manage ds and wildlife got ion treats wildlife J	ment plan at a reprieve fi protection as	the Seashore, rom the constant optional, prov	sea turtles and be nt aggressive rov ides few areas fo	ach-nesting birds have made ing ORVs. I am very			
	privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent MuI am very concerned aboSeashore. I was a residerrecreational beach accessfor determining appropriUnder the current interimmade 153 in 2010. Pipinand endangered wildlifeI strongly support regulatwildlife. The proposed ppedestrian use with openpedestrian use with openpedestrians.As it is currently writtenplan to include current bHatteras Seashore.I urge you to implementnesting.Thank you for your cons11800Project:privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI am requesting that stroSeashore.11801Project:privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI am requesting that stroSeashore.11801Project:privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opporturHatteras National Seasha tremendous comeback.	privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govDear Superintendent Murray,I am very concerned about the NatioSeashore. I was a resident of Northrecreational beach access, but recogfor determining appropriate access.Under the current interim plan, protemade 153 in 2010. Piping plovers atand endangered wildlife will surelyI strongly support regulation of ORVwildlife. The proposed plan sets asicpedestrian use with open to year-rootpedestrians.As it is currently written, the proposeplan to include current buffers and ofHatteras Seashore.I urge you to implement specific, ennesting.Thank you for your consideration.11800Project:10641privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI am requesting that strong considerSeashore.11801Project:10641privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comrHatteras National Seashore. Under ta tremendous comeback. Finally bir	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's Seashore. I was a resident of North Carolina for 9 year recreational beach access, but recognizing that this wo for determining appropriate access. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has smade 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds and endangered wildlife will surely be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 2 pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal bear pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat plan to include current buffers and other explicit protect Hatteras Seashore. I urge you to implement specific, enforceable, sciencenesting. Thank you for your consideration. 11800 Project: 10641 Document: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 1 am requesting that strong consideration be given to se Seashore. 11801 Project: 10641 Document: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 1 am requesting that strong consideration be given to se Seashore. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Nation Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current manage a tremendous comeback. Finally birds and wildlife got	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regeashore. I was a resident of North Carolina for 9 years, and spent recreational beach access, but recognizing that this world accommon for determining appropriate access. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gai made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebound and endangered wildlife will surely be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 to pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. Needestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife proplan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for will Hatteras Seashore. I urge you to implement specific, enforceable, science-based protect nesting. Thank you for your consideration. 11800 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 11801 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 11801 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 11801 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov 11801 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for ma Seashore. I was a resident of North Carolina for 9 years, and spent many vacation recreational beach access, but recognizing that this world accommodates diversity for determining appropriate access. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you exp and endangered wildlife will surely be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan p wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of th pedestrian. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as opti plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like pipit Hatteras Seashore. I urge you to implement specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlinesting. Thank you for your consideration. 11800 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Private: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am requesting that strong consideration be given to saving the wildlife and envir Seashore. 11801 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed i Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seas	private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use Seashore. I was a resident of North Carolina for 9 years, and spent many vacations there. Frankly, recreational beach access, but recognizing that this world accommodates diversity, there are sensite for determining appropriate access. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that of made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use ac and endangered wildlife will surely be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach dri wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for ye pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are need pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is un plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and se Hatteras Seashore. I urge you to implement specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additiona nesting. Thank you for your consideration. 11800 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am requesting that strong consideration be given to saving the wildlife and environment of the Ca Seashore. 11801 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00			

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to agressively dominate and degrade the Seashore.

I

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11802 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11803 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Since sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback under the current management plan, I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11804 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural state, free of vehicles. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11805 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It would seem one would learn that Off Road Vehicles, four wheelers, possibly motorcycles and wildlife are not compatible. I believe that was demonstrated quite sufficiently and yet you are considering it again? Are you trying to finish them off? Please, there are plenty of places that can be used for ORVs and 4 wheelers. Are the 4 wheeler crowd the only ones you cater to? I am a

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11806 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	10641 Document:	41993 I	Private:	Y			
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug. 1,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
		nd nests. Please add buffers			tes specific, science-based protections, such s to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
	Thank you for the opportun	ity to provide these comme	nts.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity Hatteras National Seashore. a tremendous comeback. I a areas for families to safely o beach to the exclusion of pe	Under the current manager in very concerned that the enjoy vehicle-free beaches, edestrians and wildlife. I sup- ce-based protections for will	al Park Service's ment plan at the proposed regulat and favors the ri aport regulations dlife and for ped	Seashore, se ion treats wi ghts of ORV to manage (estrians who	Y gulation for managing ORV use of Cape a turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad ldlife protection as optional, provides few 'users to drive on extensive areas of the DRVs within the Seashore that include o wish to visit the National Seashore in a eas for those uses.			
		nd nests. Please add buffers egrade the Seashore.	and other wildli		tes specific, science-based protections, such s to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11808 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Parks should be used to see	10641 Document: and hear nature. Recreation		Private:	Y o do that. Curtail em!			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am quite concerned that the protection as optional, prov to drive on extensive areas of within the Seashore that inco	ides few areas for families to of the beach to the exclusio lude specific, enforceable,	nanaging ORV u to safely enjoy v n of pedestrians science-based pr	ehicle-free b and wildlife. otections for	Y Hatteras National Seashore treats wildlife eaches, and favors the rights of ORV users I support regulations to manage ORVs wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to ould also set aside additional areas for thos			
		nd nests. Please add buffers egrade the Seashore.	and other wildli		tes specific, science-based protections, such s to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov			Private:	Y ashore, but use of off-road vehicles should			

birder and I would prefer to see a live creatures than only have my recordings and photographs to remind me of what we let go.



Correspondence ID:	11811 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11812 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11813 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11814 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Our parks are so beautiful and for most people, the only place that we can go to and see different wildlife and spectacular views. Why ruin this for ORVs? Why ruin things on such a large scale for a few?

Please consider my thoughts, Sincerely Deb Schick

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11815 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11816 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov lets provide a safe haven for these shore birds to reproduce and hunt for food. There are other places to drive these off road vehicles other than an area that provides sanctuary for for them
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	please take into consideration the safety for them 11817 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. Sincerely, Mary MacKenzie
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11818 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11819 F private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:	Aug,31,2011	00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.go		mool wildlife	from the destruct	ion of off ro	ad vahialas. It	is a basutiful area and we shoul	d ha proud to	
Correspondence:	protect and pr	eserve it. E	ndangered a		cies nest and		is a beautiful area and we shoul ea and what would this area be v	· ·	
Correspondence ID:		Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011	00.00.00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.go								
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	as non-driving	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for	r the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11821 F private Aug,31,2011 regulations.go		10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.								
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.								
	Thank you for	r the opport	unity to pro	vide these comme	nts.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 regulations.gc As an educate ORV use of C birds have ma provides few areas of the b	ov or, I thank ye Cape Hattera ade a tremen areas for fan each to the e	s National S dous comet nilies to saf exclusion of	Seashore. Under the back. I am very concern the back of a set of the back of t	ne current ma incerned that free beaches vildlife. I sup	anagement pla the proposed i , and favors th port regulation	Y Service's proposed regulation for n at the Seashore, sea turtles and regulation treats wildlife protect e rights of ORV users to drive of ns to manage ORVs within the S trians who wish to visit the Nati	l beach-nesting ion as optional on extensive Seashore that	

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11823 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11824 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please protect the wildlife at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Off-roaders have pleanty of other areas where they can ride, and they should not be able to ruin a pristine area that protects wildlife. Please, please do the right thing! Thank you.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11825 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							

natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. My children and future grand children should have the natural resources to enjoy, love and learn from as I did.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11827 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11828 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gay							
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11829 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31.2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for your concern and help on these important issues!!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11830 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							

Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11831 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current management plan at the seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.
	I am very concerned, however, that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11832 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11833 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Off Road vehicles are fun but not a ncessity. They should be used exclusively in safe nonharmful areas. Keep them out of habitats and on designated roads only for safety of people and wildlife.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11834 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11835 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comme
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11836 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov
Correspondence:	Recreational vehicles compact the ground, limiting the range and food of plants and animals. Recreational vehicles disrupt the mating seasons of many animals. Recreational vehicles kill fauna and flora by accident and for "sport." Recreational vehicles spread pollution, including noise pollution, beer cans, and other wastes which may favor certain animals and contribute to species imbalance in the local ecosystem.
	Recreational vehicles DO NOT BELONG IN THESE AREAS. That is my opinion and I am stuck with it!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11837 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I certainly appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I live near St. George Island, Florida, and I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
	Dee Wilder
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11838 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11839 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11840 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11841 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Vehicles other than Park vehicles should not be allowed on any National Seashore. Period!							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11842 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Save the wildlife by banning off road vehicles! Image: Save the wildlife by banning off road vehicles Image: Save the wildlife by banning off road vehicles							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11843Project:10641Document:41993Private:YprivateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govALL life must be trreasured, or we will all fail, and die, together.							



Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11844 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11845 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape						
Correspondence:	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11846 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11847 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11848 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov The National Park Service is poised to adopt regulations for beach driving on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehicles are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed. The proposal only sets aside areas for ORVs and does not mandate any specific measures to protect wildlife from beach drivers. And it reserves just 26 of the Seashore's 67 miles of beach for pedestrians and wildlife year-round, setting aside the rest for year-round and seasonal beach driving.Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife.						
	Thank you for your time.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11849 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11850 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11851 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not						

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11852 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 V						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	To me ORV's and wildlife do not mix. Let there be areas for wildlife and people who want and need peace and quiet and other areas of ORV's.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID:	11853 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11854 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Lappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape						
Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a						

Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11856 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11857 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11858 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.
	I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science- based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not



continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you.

Correspondence ID:	11859	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	On an almost daily basis, we are learning more and more how the loss of any insect, plant, bird or animal has a lasting, NEGATIVE, impact on the food chain (if that is the only interest).							
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you	1 for the opport	tunity to pro	wide these comme	nts.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation		10641 dlife. They a	Document:	41993 for being on	Private:	Y	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I apprecia Hatteras M a tremend areas for f beach to th specific, e	te the opportun Vational Seasho ous comeback. amilies to safel he exclusion of nforceable, scio	ore. Under the I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based	the current manage oncerned that the sicle-free beaches, and wildlife. I supprotections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat dlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a greas for those uses.	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you	1 for the opport	tunity to pro	wide these comme	nts.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation	-	10641 o make this	Document: area protected for	41993 wildlife and	Private: pedestrian use.	Y Please limit motorized vehicle and high spe	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulation I apprecia Hatteras N a tremend areas for f	te the opportun National Seasho ous comeback. amilies to safe	ore. Under th I am very c ly enjoy veh	ne current manage oncerned that the nicle-free beaches,	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the e ORVs within the Seashore that include	

specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11864 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11865 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11866 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11867 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov "I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this, the Park Service needs to designate science-based protections.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11868 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. GRV						
	My family and I have enjoyed and vacationed on the shore many times.						
	Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife.						
	I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests.						
	Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thanks for your time and consideration of this matter.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11869 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11870 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I oppose unrestricted off road vehicle use that threatens sea turtles, shorebirds and other wildlife. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11871 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Stop killing birds unnecessarily					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11872 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, sucl as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11873 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11874 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I would like to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11875 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few					

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11876 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 membering acu							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11877 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you Robert Thompson							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11878 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORM on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you exp ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currer only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for yoc consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11879 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made							

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11880 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11881 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:0 regulations.gov Please protect the wil	0	Document: nd on Cape Hattera	41993 as and prohib	Private:	Y icles in the National Park.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Ų	0 nly protect the s around nests. and degrade th	Please add buffers e Seashore.	s and other w		Y lates specific, science-based pro ons to the regulation so that OR	
	Our National Parks are important as continuing natural resources. These resources are disappearing in many parts of our country. They must be saved for our grandchildren not used as entertainment for this generation.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Sea a tremendous comeba areas for families to s beach to the exclusion specific, enforceable, natural, vehicle-free s	0 rtunity to comm ishore. Under ti ick. I am very c afely enjoy vel n of pedestrians science-based itate. The mana hly protect the s around nests.	he current manage concerned that the hicle-free beaches, s and wildlife. I su protections for wil gement plan shoul species that depend Please add buffers	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	the Seashore, i gulation treats whe rights of OF ions to manage pedestrians w ide additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV u sea turtles and beach-nesting bin wildlife protection as optional, p to users to drive on extensive as o ORVs within the Seashore tha ho wish to visit the National Sea ureas for those uses. lates specific, science-based pro- ons to the regulation so that OR	rds have made provides few reas of the t include ashore in a stections, such

I would add that while I currently live in CA I am a native of NC and know the incredible beauty of this area personally. Please do the right thing for nature, the creatures are dependent upon us for their protection

Correspondence ID: Name:	11884 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private						
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Threatened species like piping plovers rely on lands along Cape Hatteras National Seashore to survive. But if off road vehic are permitted to use huge portions of the Seashore, their nests and chicks could be disturbed.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11885 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11886 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, so as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11887 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I think we as a nation have to stop catering to the lowest common denominators. That includes turning the national parks and seashores into the playgrounds of the brainless citizens who have expensive toys they want to play with no matter what dam it does to the public areas and no matter how unpleasant it is for other people who don't share their hobbies. Let them use parking lots instead of despoiling nature. They are wasting a lot of fuel for pointless activity.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11888 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have ma a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

	mank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11889 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov ORV use must be banned from sensitive areas if we are serious about protecting nesting!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11890 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007 made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise thi plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
	Thank you for your consideration.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11891 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11892 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Please review rules related to off-road usage of coastline habitats. Nesting birds and shore species deserve to be protected from vehicles that impact their environment. Forcing off-road vehicles into the delicate balance of nature and its inhabitants will be a wrong decision.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11893 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Sir/Madam:
	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few

areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11894 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11895 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Do we need more noise in the parks?
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11896 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11897 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov There is plenty of land area for us to play with our machines. Why do we have to trample the very edge of our country where species that are already in precipitous declines due to our many other impacts are completely vulnerable? Please use this as an opportunity to educate the public that not only do these special status species warrant a little space, but that it does our own selves good for there not to be traffic on every inch of our beautiful coastlines!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11898 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have management plan at the Seashore. Seashore.

a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11899 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y	
Received:	Aug,31,201							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	as non-drivi		ound nests.	Please add buffers			lates specific, science-based protections ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	
	Thank you f	for the opport	unity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras Na We apprecia turtles and b wildlife pro- ORV users	gov the opportun tional Seasho ate the natura beach-nesting tection as opt to drive on ex	ore. Anastas l setting and birds have ional, provi atensive area	ia State Park in Flo l what it means to made a tremendou des few areas for f as of the beach to t	orida is full e the ecosyste is comeback families to sa the exclusion	every weekend m. Under the c . I am very con afely enjoy veh n of pedestrians	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Ca because people love the vehicle free be urrent management plan at the Seashor cerned that the proposed regulation treat icle-free beaches, and favors the rights and wildlife. I support regulations to n ctions for wildlife and for pedestrians w	each. re, sea ats of nanage
	for those use The regulati as non-drivi	es.	protect the sound nests.	species that dependent	d on the Seas	shore if it man	nt plan should also set aside additional lates specific, science-based protections ons to the regulation so that ORVs will	s, such
	Thank you f	for the opport	unity to pro	wide these comme	ents.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11901 private Aug,31,201 regulations. Enough wild	gov	10641 n lost alread	Document: y. Simple, sensible	41993 e laws can pr	Private:	Y rom going extinct.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,201 regulations., I appreciate Hatteras Na a tremendou areas for far beach to the specific, enf	gov the opportun tional Seasho is comeback. nilies to safel exclusion of forceable, scie	ore. Under the I am very c ly enjoy veh pedestrians ence-based	ne current manage oncerned that the iccle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su	ment plan at proposed reg and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for	the Seashore, gulation treats he rights of OF tions to manage pedestrians w	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Ca sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have wildlife protection as optional, provides RV users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that includ ho wish to visit the National Seashore i	e made s few the le

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11903 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11904 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.						
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.						
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11905 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov						
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.						
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11906 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11907 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who vish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. 11908 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration. 11909 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Ioften imagine what it would feel like having a truck drive into my bedroom, killing my family and continuing on with no thought to the lives lost. These animals are trying just as hard as we are to survive. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on

management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11910 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,						
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.						
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.						
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.						
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.						
	Thank you for your consideration.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11911 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Park Service,						
	I don't feel off-road vehicles should be allowed near beaches that are vital to the breeding and recovery of endagered or threatened species. I don't believe they should be allowed near any nesting grounds where vulnerable babies and eggs are trying to grow. These babies and eggs can't get out of the way of clumbsy vehicles and can be run over if the driver is not bothering to pay attention to what is in front of them.						
	Since humans are the visitors and animals the residents shouldn't rules be made to the benefit of the residents? I don't see why people need to drive into these areas. It should be pedestrian only. Pedestrians can take care of where they step and avoid tragedy.						
	If people are visiting it is to see the beautiful land and the wonderful animals. Off-road vehicles chase animals away and damage the land with tire marks and smashed plant life and unfortunate animals. For those who hike it, the vehicles are an offense to what they want to see. So please regulate off-road vehicles for the sake of the animals, the plants, the land and the people who came to get away from the modern world and to see the park's beauty.						
	Thank you! Erika Higley						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11912 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It's terrible there is no regulations surrounding the use of ORVs. Our world should be RESPECTED, and protected for all to enjoy it's delicate balance.						

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11913 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov This area where the Terns (Least Terns) that have there nest on the beach should have protection from TRAFFIC of all kinds but what has to be done here keep the CARS & TRUCKS OFF THE BEACH.							
	Out here in California (my home State) NO CARS or TRUCKS are allowed on the Beach .							
	Sincerley							
	Gary S Meredith							
	(949) 218 - 7575							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11914 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape							
	Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. It is especially important after the damage of Hurricane Irene that the sea turtles and beach-nesting birds are protected.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11915 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 V							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11916 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such							

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not

continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID:	11917 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received:	private							
Correspondence Type:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a							
	natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11918 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 Image: Constraint of the second							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID:	11919 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Name: Received:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations.gov							
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11920 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Intervention of the Park Service's proposed regulation for proposing OBV use at Case Hattares National Seechers							
Correspondence:	I would like to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of ou predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife							

protections work. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act. Additionally, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife. If ORV use is to be allowed within the seashore, then a minimum of half of the beach, or 33.5 miles, should be available year round for non-ORV users and wildlife.

Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication of the National Park Service in preserving America's natural and cultural heritage for future generations.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,201 regulations. I appreciate Hatteras Nai a tremendou vehicle-free of pedestrian science-base The manage The regulati specific, sci the regulation	gov the opportuni tional Seashon is comeback or obsed regulati- beaches, and as and wildlifi ed protections ment plan sho on will only p ence-based pr n so that OR ⁵	re. Under the only because on treats wild favors the ri e. I support r for wildlife build also set protect the sp otections, su Vs will not c	e current managen of some protectio dlife protection as ghts of ORV user egulations to mar and for pedestriar aside additional a eccies, such as sho	nent plan at the ns for them to optional, pro- s to drive on age ORVs w his who wish to reas for those prebirds and s buffers arou atteand degra	he Seashore, se hat have been i ovides few areas extensive areas ithin the Seash to visit the Nati e uses. sea turtles, that nd nests. Please	Y gulation for managing ORV use of Cape a turtles and beach-nesting birds have made in place for a few years. I am very concerned is for families to safely enjoy peaceful s of the beach to the exclusion and detriment ore that include specific, enforceable, onal Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. depend on the Seashore if it mandates e add buffers and other wildlife protections to e.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,201 regulations, I appreciate Hatteras Nai a tremendou areas for far beach to the specific, enf natural, vehi The regulati as non-drivi continue to o	gov the opportuni tional Seashon is comeback. I nilies to safely exclusion of orceable, scie icle-free state. on will only p ng buffers arc dominate and	re. Under the I am very co y enjoy vehic pedestrians a nce-based pr . The manage protect the sp pund nests. P degrade the	e current managen ncerned that the p cle-free beaches, a und wildlife. I sup rotections for wilk ement plan should recies that depend lease add buffers	nent plan at ti roposed regu and favors the port regulatic llife and for p l also set asid on the Seash and other wil	he Seashore, se ilation treats wi e rights of ORV ons to manage (bedestrians who le additional are hore if it manda	Y gulation for managing ORV use of Cape a turtles and beach-nesting birds have made ldlife protection as optional, provides few / users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include o wish to visit the National Seashore in a eas for those uses. tes specific, science-based protections, such as to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,201 regulations.	gov	10641 les from Cap	Document: e Hatteras Nation	41993 al Seashore	Private:	Y
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,201 regulations. I appreciate Hatteras Nat a tremendou areas for far beach to the specific, enf	gov the opportuni tional Seashor is comeback. I nilies to safely exclusion of orceable, scie	re. Under the I am very co y enjoy vehic pedestrians a nce-based p	current managen ncerned that the p cle-free beaches, a and wildlife. I sup rotections for wild	nent plan at the roposed regu- and favors the port regulation llife and for p	he Seashore, se lation treats wi e rights of ORV ons to manage (bedestrians who	Y gulation for managing ORV use of Cape a turtles and beach-nesting birds have made Idlife protection as optional, provides few / users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include o wish to visit the National Seashore in a eas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. As a animal advocate and volunteer, I sincerely appreciate your efforts.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11925 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11926 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Figure 100:00:00 Figure 100:00:0							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11927 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11928 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I am glad for this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras							

National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.

It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." To remedy this flaw, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific,

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11929 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov # I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11930 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,							
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.							
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.							
	As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.							
	Thank you for your consideration.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11931 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear People,							

You will no doubt notice that I already submitted a comment since another organization that I belong to had notified me that you were open to comments on the use plan for Cape Hatteras.

I don't believe that protecting wildlife is optional. I believe that we have a responsibility to protect the creatures who rely on the beaches of Cape Hatteras from the depredations of people on all terrain vehicles.

Please, please forbid the use of these vehicles in this place.

Thank you.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11932 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 V							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Off road vehicles have absolutely no beneficial recreational use. They hurt the environment and the animals and plants that live in that environment. Except for emergency use by government officials, off road vehicles should be outlawed from use by the general public.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11933 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. I have worked with birds and sea turtles for many years. Since the implementation of the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback.							
	However, it is not clear that they will be permitted to see continued success, as the proposed regulation fails to provide adequte attention the the needs of the Seashore's natural resources, as well as the needs of visitors who are not ORV users. Absent from the proposal are any specific, science-based protections for wildlife or for visitors who wish to visit a natural beach without ORVs.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests and define the habitat needs of nesting birds and sea turtles (birds will not intitate nesting in areas recieving regular disturbance, and it seems from the proposal ORVs would be allowed on the beach until nesting begins, a Catch-22 for beach-nesting birds). Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Please also include targets for nesting success and measurements of the plan's success so that management shortcomings or failures could be addressed clearly and promptly.							
	Finally, my father visited the Seashore as a boy in the 1950s. His family walked to where they wanted to fish and enjoyed themselves so much they returned when I was ten. I have seen countless families enjoy nesting birds and sea turtles in my time on barrier islands, and families are robbed of this opportunity when only one lobby is heeded.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11934 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear National Park Service,							
	I appreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments.							
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers.							
	However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein" Therefore, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in							

the regulation.

Also, the regulation does not set aside adequate vehicle-free areas. As written, the regulation prohibits ORVs year-round on only 26 of the 67 total miles of seashore beach. This does not represent a fair balance for other users and wildlife.

Thank you for considering these comments and for the hard work and dedication.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11935 private	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Received:		11 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Please protect the Cape Hattaras Seashore. The special regulations needed to protect the nesting birds is already in place. I u								
correspondence:	-	s it and save w							
Correspondence ID:	11936	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame: Acceived:	private	11 00:00:00							
orrespondence Type:	regulations								
orrespondence:			ity to comm	nent on the Nationa	al Park Servi	ice's proposed r	egulation for managing ORV use of Cape		
							ea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad		
			•			~	vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the		
							ORVs within the Seashore that include		
							no wish to visit the National Seashore in a		
	natural, ve	hicle-free state	e. The mana	gement plan shoul	d also set as	ide additional a	reas for those uses.		
							ates specific, science-based protections, suc		
		ving buffers ar o dominate and			and other w	vildlife protection	ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
	Thank you	for the opport	tunity to pro	wide these comme	ents.				
Correspondence ID:	11937	Project:	10641	Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
lame:	private	110jeet.	10041	Document.	41775	I livate.	1		
Received:		11 00:00:00							
Correspondence Type:	regulations		NT						
Correspondence:					U U	Ų	ng ORV use of Cape Hatteras National ch-nesting birds have made a tremendous		
							tion as optional, provides few areas for		
	comedack.			me proposeu regu	lation treats	whunte protect			
	families to	safely enjoy v	ehicle-free	beaches, and favor	rs the rights	of ORV users to	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the		
	families to exclusion of	safely enjoy v of pedestrians	vehicle-free and wildlife	beaches, and favor . I support regulat	rs the rights ions to mana	of ORV users to age ORVs withi	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to th n the Seashore that include specific,		
	families to exclusion of enforceabl	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base	vehicle-free and wildlife ed protection	beaches, and favor . I support regulat	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri	of ORV users to age ORVs within ans who wish to	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to th n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural,		
	families to exclusion of enforceable vehicle-free The regula	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m	vehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement j protect the s	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri- et aside addit d on the Seas	of ORV users to age ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses.		
	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m	vehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement j protect the s ound nests.	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri- et aside addit d on the Seas	of ORV users to age ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses.		
	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m ation will only ving buffers ar o dominate and	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestri- et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to age ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses.		
	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m ation will only ving buffers ar o dominate and	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestri- et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to age ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses.		
Correspondence ID:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m ation will only ving buffers ar o dominate and	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore.	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestri- et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to age ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to th n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses.		
Name:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project:	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand rildlife protection	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to th n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
lame: Received:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00	vehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro	beaches, and favor . I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand rildlife protection	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to th n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
ame: acceived: correspondence Type:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20 regulations	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro	beaches, and favor. I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document:	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand rildlife protection	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to th n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
Vame: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20 regulations Please kee 11939	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-bass e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro	beaches, and favor. I support regulat ns for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document:	rs the rights ions to mana for pedestri et aside addit d on the Seas and other w	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand rildlife protection	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not		
Varme: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Varme:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug.31,20 regulations Please kee 11939 private	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-bass e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov p off road veh Project:	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement j protect the s ound nests. d degrade the tunity to pro 10641 icles off our	beaches, and favor . I support regulat is for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: beaches.	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestrict aside addited on the Seases and other we ents.	of ORV users to ge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand vildlife protection Private:	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	families to exclusion of enforceably vehicle-free The regulat as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20 regulations Please kee 11939 private Aug,31,20	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov p off road veh Project: 11 00:00:00	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement j protect the s ound nests. d degrade the tunity to pro 10641 icles off our	beaches, and favor . I support regulat is for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: beaches.	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestrict aside addited on the Seases and other we ents.	of ORV users to ge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand vildlife protection Private:	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y		
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Name:	families to exclusion of enforceably vehicle-free The regulat as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20 regulations Please keep 11939 private Aug,31,20 regulations	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m attion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov p off road veh Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro 10641 icles off our 10641	beaches, and favor. I support regulat as for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: beaches. Document:	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestrict aside addited on the Seases and other we ents.	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand rildlife protection Private:	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y		
Vame: Correspondence Type: Correspondence: Correspondence ID: Vame: Received: Correspondence Type:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20 regulations Please kee 11939 private Aug,31,20 regulations Under the	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-base e state. The m ation will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov p off road veh Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov current manag	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro 10641 icles off our 10641 ement plan	beaches, and favor . I support regulat as for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: beaches. Document: at the Seashore, se	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestrict aside addited on the Seases and other we ents.	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand dildlife protection Private: beach-nesting	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y		
ame: correspondence Type: correspondence: correspondence ID: fame: teceived: correspondence Type:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug,31,20 regulations Please kee 11939 private Aug,31,20 regulations Under the This, after regulation	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-bass e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov p off road veh Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov current manag a decline of o treats wildlife	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro 10641 icles off our 10641 ement plan a ver 80% am- protection a	beaches, and favor. I support regulat as for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: beaches. Document: at the Seashore, se ong beach nesting ts secondary, prov	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestri- et aside addited on the Seas and other we onts.	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to citional areas for shore if it mand vildlife protection Private: beach-nesting 1997 to 2007. I as for families to	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, succons to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y birds have made a remarkable comeback. am very concerned that the proposed o safely enjoy the beaches, and favors the		
ame: correspondence Type: correspondence: correspondence ID: fame: teceived: correspondence Type:	families to exclusion of enforceabl vehicle-free The regula as non-driv continue to Thank you 11938 private Aug.31,20 regulations Please kee 11939 private Aug.31,20 regulations Under the This, after regulation rights of O	safely enjoy v of pedestrians e, science-bass e state. The m tion will only ving buffers ar o dominate and for the opport Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov p off road veh Project: 11 00:00:00 s.gov current manag a decline of or treats wildlife PRV users to d	rehicle-free and wildlife ed protection anagement p protect the s ound nests. I degrade the tunity to pro 10641 icles off our 10641 ement plan ver 80% am- protection a rive on exter	beaches, and favor. I support regulat as for wildlife and plan should also se species that depend Please add buffers e Seashore. wide these comme Document: beaches. Document: at the Seashore, se ong beach nesting ts secondary, prov nsive areas of the l	rs the rights of ions to mana for pedestri- ter aside addited on the Sease and other we onts.	of ORV users to tge ORVs within ans who wish to tional areas for shore if it mand rildlife protection Private: beach-nesting 1997 to 2007. I as for families to exclusion of pe	o drive on extensive areas of the beach to the n the Seashore that include specific, o visit the National Seashore in a natural, those uses. ates specific, science-based protections, success to the regulation so that ORVs will not Y Y birds have made a remarkable comeback. am very concerned that the proposed		

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not degrade the Seashore. For many of us, the entire point of going to a place like the National Seashore is to get away from vehicles and see the beauty of nature. I hope that you will take these comments into consideration. Thank you.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11940 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11941 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras is a rare and unusual environment. Allowing ATVs or other vehicles on such an area is at the least irresponsible. The action is most likely to endanger many species and does not provide a necessary recreation. The costs to habitat far outweigh the temporary pleasure of a ride on a beach. Please construct the regulations to prohibit all vehicles from the beaches. There are plenty of other places for vehicles without spoiling territory for both humans and animals. thank youf or your consideration of this request.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11942 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I an very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11943 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

I enjoy vacationing at the Seashore and love the peaceful recreation found at all our National Parks. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11944 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private					
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11945 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11946 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank you for your consideration.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11947 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,					
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.					
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened					

wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Shannon Van Wert

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11948 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11949 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00					
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Pristine seashore habitat is being lost to development. Please provide wildlife with a refuge. To wait until a species is gone to do anything is immoral.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11950 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.					
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.					
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.					

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11951 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug, 31, 2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11952 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Cape Hatteras National Seashore is a national treasure visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. WHen I go for a walk on the beach I don't want to see tire tracks or hear off-road vehicles roaring over dunes and through the water. All protected wildlife nesting areas should absolutely be restricted from off-road use. Please help preserve these anim,als for our grandchildren to see them in their natural habitat.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11953 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is visited by millions of people each year taking in the natural beauty, the wildlife diversity and 67 miles of shoreline. However, the impacts of unrestricted off-road vehicle (ORV) use has taken its toll on the threatened and endangered shorebirds and sea turtles that nest on the seashore's beaches. I am writing to say that I am opposed to all ORV on the entire seashore open to beach driving. I support specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and additional vehicle-free areas for nesting. Thank you for your attention to my comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11954 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11955 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such

as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11956 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00	Y						
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:								
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11957Project:10641Document:41993Private:privateAug,31,2011 00:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed rHatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sa tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats vareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORbeach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to managespecific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians wnatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a	sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a						
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mand as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protection continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11958 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov regulations.gov Temporary protections have helped nesting birds like this Least Tern and its chick Context	Y s. Wildlife protection is not optional.						
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11959 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations, gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Ca Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of t beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11960Project:10641Document:41993Private:privateAug,31,201100:00:00regulations.govI appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed rHatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sa tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats vareas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORbeach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to managespecific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians willnatural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional a	the truthes and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a						

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name:	11961 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.							
	The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean are the last open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened and endangered wildlife but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America. ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.							
Correspondence ID: Name:	11962 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y							
Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I believe that there is no valid reason that vehicles need to be on a beach. People can walk. Let's save the birds from this horrib event.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11963 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations gov							
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.							
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11964 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV us on Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore of year-round wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Wildlife protection IS NEVER OPTIONAL. These bird and animals live there. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping							

plovers and sea turtles that rely of	on the Hatteras Seashore. Thank	you for your consideration.
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------

Correspondence ID:	11965 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y
Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11966 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11967 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11968 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I Appreciate the opportunity, as a professionla biologist, to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore, especially after Hurracane Irene has changed the landscape so.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11969 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:0 regulations.gov		Document:	41993	Private:	Y
Correspondence:	Beaches are for walk	ing and swimm	ing and nature, no	t for off road	vehicles	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Sea a tremendous comeba areas for families to s beach to the exclusion	0 rtunity to comn ishore. Under th ick. I am very c afely enjoy veh n of pedestrians science-based	the current manage oncerned that the icle-free beaches, and wildlife. I su protections for will	ment plan at proposed reg and favors th pport regulat Idlife and for	the Seashore, s ulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include no wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.
	as non-driving buffer continue to dominate	s around nests. and degrade th	Please add buffers e Seashore.	s and other w		lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
	Thank you for the op	portunity to pre	vide these comme	ents.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National Sea a tremendous comeba few areas for families beach to the exclusion specific, enforceable, natural, vehicle-free s The regulation will on	0 me to comment ishore. Under th tock. I am extrer to safely enjoy n of pedestrians science-based tate. The mana any protect the s s around nests. and degrade th	he current manage hely concerned that vehicle-free beact and wildlife. I su protections for will gement plan shoul species that dependent Please add buffers e Seashore.	ment plan at at the propose ches, and favo pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set asi	the Seashore, s ed regulation tr ors the rights o ions to manage pedestrians wh de additional a hore if it manage	Y lation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have mad eats wildlife protection as optional, provides f ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the ORVs within the Seashore that include to wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses. lates specific, science-based protections, suc ons to the regulation so that ORVs will not
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11972 Project: private Aug,31,2011 00:00:0 regulations.gov I appreciate the oppo	0	Document:	41993 al Park Servi	Private:	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11973 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private: Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11974 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray, I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted. I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and pedestrians. As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11975 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Iappreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11976 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Whereas I believe that people have aright to the recreation of their choice, I do not believe that those rights should be at the expense of our wildlife. Beaches that are used for the turtles to reproduce, and for other wildlife to exist should not be open for such activity as off road vehicles or ATVs. The vehicles are definitely a menace to these animals and birds.

This dangerous activity must be stopped. The wildlife are not the only thing at risk. People who go to see the wildlife are also at risk of being hit by these vehicles.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11977 Proje private Aug,31,2011 00:0		Document:	41993	Private:	Y		
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov Why are we letting people ruin ecological niches and shoreline wildlife? For the sake of fun? So people can ride their wherever they please? This is unacceptable, I love visiting Cape Hatteras and seeing the piping plover, I am an avid b watcher and visit each year. If this continues to happen I will no longer visit and dump my money into the local econd supported by Cape Hatteras visitors.							
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea natural, vehicle-fr The regulation wi	0:00 poortunity to com Seashore. Under neback. I am very to safely enjoy vu sion of pedestria ble, science-based ee state. The mar Il only protect the ffers around nests	the current manage concerned that the ehicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi agement plan shou e species that depen s. Please add buffer	ement plan at proposed reg , and favors t pport regulat Idlife and for Id also set as d on the Seas	the Seashore, s gulation treats whe rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians while additional a	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made wildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a ireas for those uses.		
	Thank you for the	opportunity to p	rovide these comme	ents.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National a tremendous com areas for families beach to the exclu specific, enforcea	0:00 poortunity to com Seashore. Under neback. I am very to safely enjoy vu sion of pedestria ble, science-based	the current manage concerned that the ehicle-free beaches, ns and wildlife. I su d protections for wi	ement plan at proposed reg , and favors t pport regulat ldlife and for	the Seashore, s gulation treats v he rights of OR ions to manage pedestrians wh	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the c ORVs within the Seashore that include ho wish to visit the National Seashore in a reas for those uses.		
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.							
	Thank you for the	opportunity to p	rovide these comme	ents.				
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	it is also a breedin	0:00 submitting comm 1g ground and nu	rturing place for ma	ny sea birds	and sea turtles.	Y s. Though the beach is definitely recreational Please provide buffering around the nesting ar world and part of what brings people to the		
	Thank you for rea	ding my commer	nts.					
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	Hatteras National a tremendous com	0:00 pportunity to com Seashore. Under neback. I am very	the current manage concerned that the	ment plan at proposed reg	the Seashore, s gulation treats v	Y regulation for managing ORV use of Cape sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made vildlife protection as optional, provides few V users to drive on extensive areas of the		

beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11982 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00		
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.		
	as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.		
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11983 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov		
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.		
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.		
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11984 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.,31,2011 00:00:00		
Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.		
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.		
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.		
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11985 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 V		



Correspondence	Type:	regulations.go
----------------	-------	----------------

Correspondence:

This is very important to me so please take this into consideration! I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.

The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.

	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11986 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov We need to protect the birds that nest a Cape Hatteras National Seashore! If we do not they will be "driven" into extinction! Please keep the beaches safe for birds and turtles by implementing a balanced plan that allows safe areas for wildlife and allow for responsible beach driving. This is the moral thing to do! I personally don't understand why someone would go to a beach to drive on it; we go to sunbath and enjoy a calm day at the beach. It would not be calm and relaxing if people we driving recreational vehicles around me and my grandchildren! And there are safety issues involved for both humans and wildlife!!
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11987 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov " " Tappreciate this opportunity to comment on the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean represent the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. These nationally significant places not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountered the vast wild coasts of North America.
	It is well-documented that ORV use impairs park resources. Examples include diminished access and increased safety hazards for pedestrian visitors, along with increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. On the other hand, wildlife protections work. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11988 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y 11988.gviate Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov My comment is regarding the Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use at Cape Hatteras National Seashore. The seven national seashores on the Atlantic Ocean are the last vestiges of open, wild, undeveloped beach on the eastern seacoast of the United States. They not only protect habitats for rare, threatened, and endangered wildlife, but represent the last best places where Americans can visit wild beach environments that evoke the experiences of our predecessors who encountere the vast wild coasts of North America.
	I am very concerned that ORV use causes increased wildlife mortality and dwindling populations. For the past several years, the Park Service has enforced specific science-based measures like no-driving buffers around nesting birds and turtles, their nests, chicks, and hatchlings, and protections for migrating and wintering shorebirds. Under that temporary plan, protected species have been rebounding, with some reproducing in record numbers. For instance, under that temporary plan, threatened and endangered sea turtles laid 153 nests in 2010, compared to only 82 nests in 2007, the last season prior to the implementation of the plan.

However, the Park Service has omitted these buffers and other successful wildlife protections in its proposed regulation. By doing so, and specifying only those areas set aside for ORV use, the Park Service falls short in its obligations under the Organic Act "to conserve the scenery (of the seashore) and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations."

To remedy this, the Park Service must designate both ORV routes and specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians in the regulation. Also, adequate vehicle-free areas should be designated.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11989 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11990 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov As a supporter of Audubon, I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those
	uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11991 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11992 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov

Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11993 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.	
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.	
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	nce Type: regulations.gov	
	In light of the damage from Hurricane Irene, it is even more imperative that protection in the Seashore be pushed for birds, turtles, wildlife, and for people to enjoy without having ORVs ruining their visit with noise, pollution, and even kicking up sand all over people. If I had my way, ORVs would only be allowed on private property or be banned altogether, as I see no sensible use for them at all.	
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.	
	I	
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11995 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov Dear Superintendent Murray,	
	I am very concerned about the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use on Cape Hatteras National Seashore.	
	Under the current interim plan, protected wildlife has seen huge gains in the area. Sea turtles that only created 82 nests in 2007, made 153 in 2010. Piping plovers and other shorebirds are rebounding. If you expand ORV use across the Seashore, threatened and endangered wildlife could be impacted.	
	I strongly support regulation of ORVs at the Seashore, but the Park Service plan protects beach drivers more than it does wildlife. The proposed plan sets aside currently only 26 of the 67 total miles of the Seashore for year-round wildlife and pedestrian use with open to year-round or seasonal beach driving. More vehicle-free areas are needed for wildlife and	

pedestrians.

As it is currently written, the proposed regulations treat wildlife protection as optional, which is unacceptable. Please revise this plan to include current buffers and other explicit protections for wildlife like piping plovers and sea turtles that rely on the Hatteras Seashore.

Thank you for your consideration.

Don Cianelli

Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type:	11996 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov			
Correspondence:	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses.			
	The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.			
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.			
	Sincerely, Michelle Nevers			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received:	11997 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00			
Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	regulations.gov I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the National Park Service's proposed regulation for managing ORV use of Cape Hatteras National Seashore. Under the current management plan at the Seashore, sea turtles and beach-nesting birds have made a tremendous comeback. I am very concerned that the proposed regulation treats wildlife protection as optional, provides few areas for families to safely enjoy vehicle-free beaches, and favors the rights of ORV users to drive on extensive areas of the beach to the exclusion of pedestrians and wildlife. I support regulations to manage ORVs within the Seashore that include specific, enforceable, science-based protections for wildlife and for pedestrians who wish to visit the National Seashore in a natural, vehicle-free state. The management plan should also set aside additional areas for those uses. The regulation will only protect the species that depend on the Seashore if it mandates specific, science-based protections, such as non-driving buffers around nests. Please add buffers and other wildlife protections to the regulation so that ORVs will not			
	continue to dominate and degrade the Seashore.			
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11998 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug.31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov It is my understanding that the current rules on off-road vehicles is inadequate to protect the wildlife of Cape Hatteras National Seashore.			
	Please take steps to ensure that all wildlife is protected for its own sake, and for those who wish to enjoy Nature as it should be, on foot.			
	Thank you.			
Correspondence ID: Name: Received: Correspondence Type: Correspondence:	11999 Project: 10641 Document: 41993 Private: Y private Aug,31,2011 00:00:00 regulations.gov WILDLIFE IS NOT AN OPTION PLEASE BE RESPONSABLE WITH FUTURE GENERATIONS OF PEOPLE AND WILDLIFE WHICH THEIR DESTINY IS IN YOUR HANDSG-OD IS WATCHING			