

Van Dyke, Nancy

From: Van Dyke, Nancy
Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 10:04 PM
To: Otto, Dana
Subject: RE: CAHA Recommendations

From: Otto, Dana
Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 9:39 PM
To: Van Dyke, Nancy; Fox, Lori; Wetmore, Doug
Subject: CAHA Recommendations

Did I get everything from the call?

RECOMMENDATIONS:**GENERAL**

Revise alternative names to reflect what the main focus of the alternative – after reexamining all the notes from the meeting .

Provide justifications for access restriction dates. The dates vary among the alternatives for seasonal night driving restrictions. Consider consistency in these closures dates or substantiation of the variation. Consider revising action alternatives vehicle requirements to be consistent with each other and move to Common to All Action Alternatives. Presently slight variations occur in required tire pressure, for example.

Consider moving the category of enforcement to Common to All Alternatives. Specific elements of enforcement such as staffing and man hours will be addressed under the Cost of Implementation category. Fines could become a stand alone element, if determined necessary (see specifics below).

Confirm that the category of education and outreach is Common to All Alternatives. Determine if there are any new requirements, and whether those would be Common to All *Action* Alternatives.

Alternative B provides sub-alternatives for hours of operation, ORV route and area determination criteria, and temporary resource closures. Can any of the sub-alternatives be considered under another alternative, or should these be separate alternatives?

SPECIFICS

Permits. All action alternatives currently have a permit requirement with slight variations in certain elements (e.g., permit distribution, permit types, the form the permit would take, etc.). Recommend determining what aspects of the permit requirements are “drivers” versus details and what should go forward at this point in time in alternatives development. Some details of the permit may not be necessary to address at this point (e.g., permit sticker affixed to front window or bumper).

Species Protection.

- Survey methodology. Determine whether the survey methodology currently listed under alternative A, the no action alternative, is common to all or if changes to the methodology will be proposed in the action alternatives.

Parking. All action alternatives currently propose additional parking; however, no suggestions regarding the number of necessary spaces are provided. An estimate of needed spaces will be necessary for the impact analysis.

Can you think of anything else?

Dana Otto, AICP
Director, Denver Operations

tel 303.985.6601
cell 202.550.4595
fax 303.984.4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Ave | Suite 201 | Lakewood, CO 80228 | www.louisberger.com
| 2009 Lynix Drive | Fort Pierce, FL 34949