

**From:** [Jim Keene](mailto:Jim.Keene@nps.gov)  
**To:** [Mike Murray@nps.gov](mailto:Mike_Murray@nps.gov)  
**Subject:** RE: Closures  
**Date:** 04/24/2008 08:15 PM

---

Thank You!  
Jim Keene

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike\_Murray@nps.gov [mailto:Mike\_Murray@nps.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 12:26 PM  
To: Jim Keene  
Subject: Re: Closures

Hi Jim,

Thank you for raising your concern and for your patience in waiting for a reply. I understand that some of the signs are in the water at the site in question and have asked District Ranger Jon Anglin to evaluate the situation and provide me with an explanation of the park boundary and jurisdiction along the shoreline next to the Bonner Bridge.

The NPS soundside boundary at Bodie Island is a traditional metes and bounds boundary in the sound. At the location in question, the NPS boundary is well to the west of the Bonner Bridge. The mean low tide National Park Service boundary at CAHA applies ONLY on the ocean front. NPS has jurisdiction on all navigable waters within our boundaries and all NPS regulations are applicable on these waters. The Resources Management staff will continue to monitor bird activity within the closure and will make adjustments if the situation changes.

Mike Murray  
Superintendent  
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS  
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148  
(c) 252-216-5520  
fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

"Jim Keene"  
<jkeene@frankline  
q.com> To  
<Mike\_Murray@nps.gov> cc  
04/22/2008 07:25 PM Subject  
Closures

Mike

First I will compliment you on the reply to Judge Boyle's 7 point questionnaire. It was obviously well thought out (not in DC).

However, since your rewrite of Superintendents Order #7 we no longer have access to the pond @ Bodie Spit. In fairness, you have attempted to maintain access along the west side (under Bridge). We have complied with restrictions when justly applied and will always do so to the best of our abilities. The closure applied this past weekend appears excessive if not down right unlawful. Navigateable waters are being blocked by stakes and ropes installed by resource/enforcement rangers. I understand that an oyster catcher is scraping on what has been an ORV trail and triggered the enclosure but extending this closure beyond the low tide line requires a response.

I recognize your busy schedule but I can no longer hold off the repeated questions I am fielding.

Thanks  
Jim Keene