

From: [Cyndy Holda](#)
To: [Mike Murray](#)
Subject: Fw: Bob Davis Questions
Date: 09/18/2008 08:40 AM

Cyndy M. Holda
Assistant to the Superintendent &
Community Liaison

----- Forwarded by Cyndy Holda/CAHA/NPS on 09/18/2008 08:30 AM -----

"bobdavis"
<davisrb@embarqmail.com> To <Cyndy_Holda@nps.gov>
cc
09/17/2008 07:27 PM Subject Re: Bob Davis Questions

Dear Mike : Thanks for response.RE: Turtles ; I am quite aware of the recommendations from FWS , NCWRC , and Turtle Recovery Plan . My contention is that they are all based upon conjecture regardless which scientist or regulatory official dreamed them up . The best science here is CAHA data which shows that relocation works on our beaches . With trained personnel we

can safely relocate . So why not work with the villagers again ? The effort might take some edge off their anger . No , it wont be easy dealing with those two agencies but you wanted to think outside thebox . Here's a good place to start . This is definitely a fit topic for NEGREG . BIRDS : We can't continue to use monster buffers for our future . The process is too

costly for NPS and it prevents the the public from their lawful access to the waterline. Again how do you propose to handle rebellious birds who nest off the reservation ? Bob ----- Original Message -----

From: <Cyndy_Holda@nps.gov>
To: <davisrb@embarqmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 4:32 PM
Subject: Bob Davis Questions

>
> Good Afternoon Bob,
> Mike sends the following message below as a response to your email. I
> checked the NPS visitation website link and it works for me using the
> login
> and passcode (Guest) like Mike indicates. I trust that it will work for
> you. Also, see the attached document regarding hot spots for breeding
> birds at CAHA.
> Cyndy
>
>
> From Mike Murray:
>
> Hi Bob,
>
> Responses to your questions are as follows:
>
> 1) The Loggerhead Turtle Recovery Plan (FWS, 1991), Section 215, page 30,
> states" "Nest relocation is not an acceptable permanent solution to
> vehicular traffic." When, in the Interim Strategy, NPS considered
> relocating nests that impact recreational access, both FWS and NC WRC
> expressed strong concerns about it (the concerns were consistent with the
> recovery plan). I doubt that NPS can or will be able to seriously
> consider
> it in the long-term plan, unless the guidance changes; however, we
> certainly can discuss it with the FWS sea turtle recovery program

