

From: [Darrell Echols](#)
To: [Mike Murray](#)
Subject: Re: Fw: law enforcement needs for alternatives C, D, E
Date: 11/21/2008 09:52 AM

Mike,

I totally agree. Having two rangers on in each district while RM staff are on seems appropriate. I have asked Jon to tell us if these estimates are based on present staffing levels or present plus those that we asked for in the longterm request. I need some further clarification on how these numbers were generated. I kind of get the feeling that the numbers are pie in the sky thinking. Should hear from jon soon.

Would you like to review the award nomination or just have me forward it with a copy to you?

D

▼ [Mike Murray](#)

----- Original Message -----

From: Mike Murray
Sent: 11/21/2008 09:10 AM EST
To: Darrell Echols
Subject: Re: Fw: law enforcement needs for alternatives C, D, E

Thanks. I'm off Th-Fr, but will be in the office Mon-Wed. If you are off all week, we can touch bases on this the next week.

I haven't had time to look at Norah's figures in detail. The idea of having two LE on duty in each district (2 LE x 3 districts = 6 LE on duty at a time) to support RM staff for the hours that RM staff are on duty sounds reasonable to me. The question I have is how does that add up to so many FTE (the estimate for LE FTE for Alt E is almost as high as the estimate for RM staffing, yet the latter will provide way more than 6 people on duty at a time). I also, wonder about the calculation excluding ALL of the current 14-15 FTE. I realize that staff has plenty to do off the beach too, but don't think it is realistic to think we will be able to DOUBLE our law enforcement FTE (and even if we could get the funding to do it, which we can't, we could not possibly hire and retain, house, etc. that many LE staff. On average, we can't even keep the current number of funded positions filled, much less hire twice as many.) We will need to consider beach patrol a priority for existing staffing and try to supplement that level of coverage to the extent that is realistic.

Mike Murray
Superintendent
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148
(c) 252-216-5520
fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information

0022211

that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.


▼ Darrell Echols/CAHA/NPS

**Darrell
Echols/CAHA/NPS**

To Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS

cc

11/20/2008 11:49 PM

Subject Re: Fw: law enforcement needs for alternatives C, D,
E 

Mike,

It sounded a little hi to me, but I didn't have the alternatives with me to groundtruth the estimates. I will try to get on PEPC tonight and see if I can download the alternatives.

I am finishing up the award nomination for FFF and you should have it first thing in morning.

Are you off next week? I thought you were off. I had leave scheduled as well.

D

▼ Mike Murray

----- Original Message -----

From: Mike Murray

Sent: 11/20/2008 05:03 PM EST

To: Darrell Echols

Subject: Re: Fw: law enforcement needs for alternatives C,
D, E

Thanks. If those are LE needed in addition to current LE staffing, it doesn't seem realistic to me. Let's discuss next week when you get back.

Mike Murray
Superintendent
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148
(c) 252-216-5520
fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

▼ Darrell Echols/CAHA/NPS

0022212

Darrell
Echols/CAHA/NPS

11/20/2008 04:20 PM

To "Mike Murray" <Mike_Murray@nps.gov>, "Jon Anglin" <Jon_Anglin@nps.gov>
cc "Norah Martinez" <Norah_Martinez@nps.gov>
Subject Fw: law enforcement needs for alternatives C, D, E

Mike,

Here are the LE FTE needs for each ORV alternative.

Darrell

From: Norah Martinez [nm32780@yahoo.com]
Sent: 11/20/2008 01:14 PM PST
To: Jon Anglin; Darrell Echols
Cc: Norah Martinez
Subject: law enforcement needs for alternatives C, D, E

The coverage needed for all of these alternatives is dependent on the resource staff and their hours of coverage. The FTE numbers below are additional to existing LEO staff. Alternatives C & D are based on if bio-techs begin their shift at 0600 in each district and if a second bio-tech begins at 1400 entailing two shifts, per district every day. The FTE identified would provide the coverage needed to have law enforcement staff provide effective coverage and support to focus on resource protection for ORV planning and management. Alternative E adds an additional workload with the earlier 1900 night driving closure, which would add a third shift to the operation and so the FTE numbers increase.

Alternative C

-9 LE FTE are needed

This would cover two shifts of 4 STF LEOs per district (2 per shift includes coverage on lieu days)

Alternative D

-9 LE FTE are needed

This would provide two shifts of 4 STF LEOs per district (2 LEO per shift,

includes coverage on lieu days)

Alternative E

-14.2 LE FTE are needed

This would provide coverage for three shifts per district

All alternatives C,D, E will also need additional mainten FTE

-6 Maintenance FTE per alternative

In addition to the LE FTE needed for these alternatives, a two person maintenance team, per district (6 FTE) will be needed for each of these alternatives to respond, put up signs, posts and fencing material.

CALL me if have questions 252-475-8314