0024033

From: <u>Timothy Pinion</u>
To: <u>Mike Murray</u>

Cc: Thayer Broili; cherry_green@nps.gov; Sherri_Fields@nps.gov

Subject: desired conditions for sea turtles and PIPL

Date: 09/03/2009 04:20 PM

Attachments: <u>DRAFT Desired conditions sea turtles 20090902.docx</u>

DRAFT Desired conditions piping plovers 20090902.docx

Hi, Mike and Thayer.

These tables are my effort to capture targets that I hope will be useful as desired conditions for sea turtles and piping plovers. I drew largely from information in the BO (thanks for providing pertinent excerpts, Mike) and the Recovery Plans for the species.

Similar tables for AMOY and colonial waterbirds will be more challenging since we do not have Recovery Plans or BOs to draw from.

Please let me know if these are targets that might be useful, or if you have any questions about the information presented here.

Thanks, --Tim





DRAFT_Desired_conditions_sea_turtles_20090902.docx_DRAFT_Desired_conditions_piping_plovers_20090902.docx

Tim Pinion
Wildlife Biologist and T & E Coordinator
National Park Service, Southeast Region
100 Alabama St., SW. 1924 Bldg.
Atlanta, GA 30303
404-507-5815
Timothy_Pinion@nps.gov

DRAFT DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Desired Future Conditions for Piping Plovers at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Variable	Short-term target	Long-term target	Source
Number of nesting	15	30	Short-term target adapted
pairs			from USFWS BO*; Long-
			term target from Piping
			Plover Recovery Plan
Fledge rate	1.0 chicks per pair	1.5 chicks per pair	Short-term target from
			USFWS BO; Long-term
			target from Piping Plover
			Recovery Plan
Percent of NC total	24%	Same as short-term	Adapted from USFWS
nesting pairs		target	BO**; (in 2008 CAHA had
			11 of NC's 64 nests, or
			17%)
Depredation rates	No eggs, chicks, or	Same as short-term	From Draft CAHA predator
	adults lost to predators	target	management plan
	until long-term fledge		
	rate targets are		
	achieved		

^{*}The information is in the BO under: Effects of the Action, A. Piping Plovers, Nature of the effect:

"The biologically appropriate measure of population impacts is not the size of the current remnant population, but rather the potential pairs and productivity foregone. The 15 pairs documented at CAHA in 1989 and comparison of current habitat with 1989 aerial photos furnish empirical evidence of potential for a population of at least five times the current number [which was 3] (i.e., 15 pairs). However, the demonstrated population growth elsewhere in the range provides evidence that the potential contributions at CAHA are two to four times that number (i.e., 30 to 60 pairs). The USFWS estimated carrying capacity for CAHA to be [sic] 30 pairs. (See USFWS, 1996a, appendix B. Actual population growth at many of the sites in other states has exceeded the projections made in this exercise.)"

"Using data from 1992 to 1999 (when surveys were consistent and a period that CAHA reports to be prior to an increase in disturbance), CAHA accounted for about 24 percent of the piping plover breeding activity in North Carolina. However, using data from 2000 to 2005, CAHA accounted for only 11 percent of the piping plover breeding activity in North Carolina."

^{**}Environmental Baseline, A, Piping Plover section (no page #) that says:

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

Desired Future Conditions for Sea Turtles at Cape Hatteras National Seashore.

Variable	Short-term target	Long-term target	Source
Number of Nests	10% of NC total	200 nests annually in	Short-term from USFWS
		50 years, with a 2%	BO; long-term adapted
		annual increase from	from FWS revised recovery
		current nest numbers	plan*
Emergence Rate	>50%	>75%	Uses CAHA 8-yr low of 52% to set minimum threshold of 50%; Minimum bar used to avoid conflict with "number of nests relocated" target; Longterm rate increases as other threats, such as
			depredation, are reduced
Ratio of false crawls to nests	1:1 or less	Same as short-term target	USFWS BO
Number of nests	<20%; Minimize	Same as short-term	<20% target from Sandy
relocated	number of nests relocated for reasons other than "risk of daily overwash or well- documented risk of erosion"	target	MacPherson based on work by Mark Dodd; Text in quotes from FWS recovery plan; (in 2008 CAHA relocated 17% of nests)
Depredation Rate	Annual rate of mammalian predation on nests is 10% or less	Same as short-term target	From FWS recovery plan
Disorientation from Artificial Lighting	Percentage of total nests with hatchlings disoriented by artificial lighting does not exceed 10%	Same as short-term target	From FWS recovery plan

^{*}National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2008. Recovery Plan for the Northwest Atlantic Population of the Loggerhead Sea Turtle (Caretta caretta), Second Revision. National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD.