

0025212

Sandra
Hamilton/DENVER/NPS
12/18/2009 04:21 PM

To "Mansfield, Carol A." <carolm@rti.org>
cc "Wetmore, Doug" <dwetmore@louisberger.com>, "Fox, Lori"
<lfox@louisberger.com>, "Loomis, Ross" <rloomis@rti.org>
bcc
Subject RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Seems like that would be helpful. I made a couple suggested edits directly (ha, ha) in your footnote language below. Is it still accurate?

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287
Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782
"Mansfield, Carol A." <carolm@rti.org>



"Mansfield, Carol A."
<carolm@rti.org>
12/18/2009 03:59 PM

To "Fox, Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>,
<Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>
cc "Wetmore, Doug" <dwetmore@louisberger.com>, "Loomis,
Ross" <rloomis@rti.org>
Subject RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

We have a description of the IMPLAN words, do we need a footnote that says explicitly "Note that the direct effects as defined by the IMPLAN model do not imply that the businesses **would be** directly regulated **or impacted** by the alternatives. The alternatives would directly regulate the activities of visitors and **would** indirectly affect businesses through **potential** changes in visitor behavior."?

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D. | Senior Economist | RTI International

Social and Statistical Sciences Division | Hobbs Building, 3040 Cornwallis
Road | Durham, NC 27709

P 919-541-8053 | F 919-541-6683 | carolm@rti.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Fox, Lori [mailto:lfox@louisberger.com]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 5:12 PM
To: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov; Mansfield, Carol A.
Cc: Mansfield, Carol A.; Wetmore, Doug; Loomis, Ross
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

The good news is we already have this language in chapter 4, under the

methodology section where we talk about IMPLAN.

Lori Fox
Deputy Director, Denver Operations/Senior Planner

Direct 303-985-6602
Main 303-985-6600
Mobile 301-461-8772

Fax 303-984-4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Street | Suite 201 | Lakewood,
CO 80228-2031 | www.louisberger.com

This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group, Inc., (Berger) the information and statements herein do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by Berger. Berger assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov [mailto:Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 3:10 PM
To: Mansfield, Carol A.
Cc: Mansfield, Carol A.; Wetmore, Doug; Fox, Lori; Loomis, Ross
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Hi Carol,

I don't think it'll be clear to the public what we mean unless we say so. Do you think think could be handled with a footnote in CH 4 and then in the glossary?

e.g. For purposes of economic impact analysis in this EIS "direct effects" is used within the meaning of the IMPLAN model and means effects on businesses in an industry when the original gain or loss in revenue from predicted changes in visitation is to that industry. These direct effects are the numbers entered into the IMPLAN model, which then estimates the ripple effects on the rest of the economy. For the purposes of economic impact analysis in this EIS "indirect effects" means the ripple effects on the rest of the economy estimated by the IMPLAN model.

I'm open to a better idea.

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287
Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782

"Mansfield, Carol
A."
<carolm@rti.org>
12/18/2009 01:12
PM

<Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>
"Wetmore, Doug"
<dwetmore@louisberger.com>, "Fox,
Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>,
"Loomis, Ross" <rloomis@rti.org>,
"Mansfield, Carol A."
<carolm@rti.org>

To
cc
Subject
RE: exec summary with my and Mike's
comments

Hi Sandy,

You are right to be confused. And we weren't thinking about the RFA and the difference between direct and indirect effects from the RFA point of view. In the EIS, we estimated the regional economic impacts using IMPLAN (an input-output model). In the language of economic impact analysis, an industry has a direct impact when the original gain or loss in revenue is to that industry. The direct effects are the numbers entered into the IMPLAN model, then the IMPLAN model estimates the indirect, ripple effects on the rest of the economy. So for the purposes of the economic impact analysis the businesses dealing with tourists receive direct impacts from changes in tourist spending.

The direct effect from the regulation that we were discussing for the RFA is a completely different context. I'm not sure what we need to do in the EIS. Ideally, it will be clear from the text in the EIS that when we use the term "direct effect" we are not talking about the regulation directly affecting the businesses, but that we are using the term in the economic impact analysis sense. But that may be a confusing distinction (but probably less confusing than calling the effects "direct effects in the IMPLAN model on businesses, which are indirectly affected by the regulation").

What do you all think about this? I am at home (919-493-4842) -- the schools decided at 9:20am (after the buses picked everyone up and drove them to school) that the chance of snow was enough and they would close 2 hours early.

Carol

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D. | Senior Economist | RTI International
Social and Statistical Sciences Division | Hobbs Building, 3040
Cornwallis Road | Durham, NC 27709
P 919-541-8053 | F 919-541-6683 | carolm@rti.org

0025215

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov [mailto:Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 2:51 PM
To: Mansfield, Carol A.
Cc: Wetmore, Doug; Fox, Lori; Loomis, Ross
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Hi Carol,

I'm confused because of the discussion we had over the absence of direct effects on commercial fishermen and other small entities from the regulation, ie. the direct effects of regulating ORV being on visitors, and the indirect effects being on business (if fewer people choose to visit and hence to patronize their business). But in CH 4 and the Exec Summary we are saying there are direct effects on businesses. Is there a distinction I'm missing?

Thanks.

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287
Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782

"Mansfield, Carol
A."
<carolm@rti.org>
To <Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>
12/18/2009 10:23
cc AM "Wetmore, Doug"
<dwetmore@louisberger.com>, "Fox,
Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>,
"Loomis, Ross" <rloomis@rti.org>
Subject
Mike's RE: exec summary with my and
comments

Sandy,

Thanks for catching the stray word. In terms of "direct impacts", in the chapter 4 text we distinguish between direct impacts (visitors spending less money so the businesses they visit makes less money) and indirect impacts (the ripple effects through the rest of the economy from a reduction in business revenue in one sector). So the direct impacts are going to be mostly in the Seashore villages because those businesses are the ones that will see the decline in revenue. The indirect effects will be more spread across the entire ROI. It is probably true that the seashore villages will see more of the indirect impacts as well, but I don't know and the model doesn't tell us.

If you think it will be confusing, we can just say "impacts". But in Chapter 4 we need to leave in the discussion of direct and indirect.

Carol

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D. | Senior Economist | RTI International
Social and Statistical Sciences Division | Hobbs Building, 3040
Cornwallis Road | Durham, NC 27709
P 919-541-8053 | F 919-541-6683 | carolm@rti.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov [mailto:Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2009 10:46 AM
To: Mansfield, Carol A.
Cc: Mansfield, Carol A.; Wetmore, Doug; Fox, Lori; Loomis, Ross
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Thanks, Carol.

see attached. I noted a stray word that I think needs to be deleted. I also noted several spots where it says direct impacts and am wondering if these are indirect impacts? Would it be better to simply call them "impacts" rather than saying "direct impacts" or "indirect impacts." Or do you think we need to specify which?

(See attached file: RTI 12.17.09.docx)

Sandy Hamilton

Environmental Protection Specialist

National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place

0025217

P.O. Box 25287 Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782

"Mansfield, Carol
A."
<carolm@rti.org>
To
12/18/2009 07:13
AM
cc
Subject
Mike's

"Wetmore, Doug"
<dwetmore@louisberger.com>,
"Loomis, Ross" <rloomis@rti.org>
<Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>, "Fox,
Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>,
"Mansfield, Carol A."
<carolm@rti.org>
RE: exec summary with my and
comments

Hi Doug,
I am so sorry we didn't get this to you yesterday. Here is our revised table for the executive summary. We changed the table enough that you should probably just replace all the text in the summary table in Chapter 4 with this. Hopefully this is what you all were looking for. I'll be in a meeting until 12:00 EST, but after that I should be back at my desk. We have a slight chance of snow here in Durham, which has sent everyone into a frenzy. I may be at home in the afternoon and my number at home is 919-493-4842 if you need to talk.

Thank you for your patience,
Carol

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D. | Senior Economist | RTI International Social and
Statistical Sciences Division | Hobbs Building, 3040 Cornwallis Road |
Durham, NC 27709 P 919-541-8053 | F 919-541-6683 | carolm@rti.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Wetmore, Doug [mailto:dwetmore@louisberger.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2009 8:52 PM
To: Loomis, Ross
Cc: Mansfield, Carol A.; Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov; Fox, Lori
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Hi Ross/Carol,

As Chapter 4 is due to the park tomorrow, could you please send your
revisions to me as soon as possible?

Thanks.

-Doug

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Planner

Direct 303-985-6611
Mobile 303-905-6128
Fax 303-984-4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Ave | Suite 201 |
Lakewood, CO 80228 | www.louisberger.com

This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged
and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention
and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended
addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message
or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy
this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply
mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The
Louis Berger Group, Inc., (Berger) the information and statements herein
do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by Berger. Berger
assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or
misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is
confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.

-----Original Message-----

From: Loomis, Ross [mailto:rloomis@rti.org]
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 1:02 PM
To: Wetmore, Doug
Cc: Mansfield, Carol A.
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Hey Doug,

We're not changing any content within chapter 4, just adapting it to the table format from the Executive Summary. I'm almost done, and can easily copy and paste it with track changes on to see if it's what you need.

Ross

-----Original Message-----

From: Wetmore, Doug [mailto:dwetmore@louisberger.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 2:58 PM
To: Mansfield, Carol A.; Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov
Cc: Fox, Lori; Loomis, Ross
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Hi Carol/Ross.

Could you be sure to track-changes on that, because the same changes will need to be made in chapter 4. As you probably realize, the executive summary tables are the same as those in Chapter 4. If the changes are going to be extensive and require text changes in Chapter 4, please let me know and I can send you the latest version of Socio.

Thanks.

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Planner

Direct 303-985-6611
Mobile 303-905-6128
Fax 303-984-4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Ave | Suite 201 |
Lakewood, CO 80228 | www.louisberger.com

This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group, Inc., (Berger) the information and statements herein do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by Berger. Berger assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.

-----Original Message-----

From: Mansfield, Carol A. [mailto:carolm@rti.org]
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 12:40 PM
To: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov
Cc: Fox, Lori; Wetmore, Doug; Loomis, Ross; Mansfield, Carol A.
Subject: RE: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

We can get it to you tomorrow. Ross is working on it right now. Just to confirm, we are going to revise the text in the table at the end of the section. One initial comment. Mike had commented that cumulative

0025220

impacts would probably be different for the ROI and for individual businesses. That is probably true, but we only discuss cumulative impacts at the ROI level in Chapter 4. We are just going to reorganize the text a little to match up with the sections we had in Chapter 4 -- impacts to the ROI, impacts to the Hatteras villages, impacts to small businesses, impacts to preservation values, cumulative impacts.

Please let me know if you were thinking about something different.

Thanks
Carol

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D. | Senior Economist | RTI International

Social and Statistical Sciences Division | Hobbs Building, 3040
Cornwallis Road | Durham, NC 27709

P 919-541-8053 | F 919-541-6683 | carolm@rti.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov [mailto:Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2009 2:06 PM
To: Mansfield, Carol A.
Cc: lfox@louisberger.com; dwetmore@louisberger.com
Subject: Fw: exec summary with my and Mike's comments

Hi Carol,

I forgot to ask if you can get this back to us tomorrow. Will that work?
Thanks.

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287 Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782

----- Forwarded by Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS on 12/16/2009 12:03 PM

Sandra

Hamilton/DENVER/N

PS

To

carolm@rti.org

12/16/2009 09:37

cc

AM

lfox@louisberger.com,

0025221

dwetmore@louisberger.com, Mike
Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS

Subject
Mike's

Fw: exec summary with my and
comments

Hi Carol,

Here is the exec summary (attached in my email below). If as we discussed on the phone this morning, you would take a look at the summary impact table and give us suggested language for the socio-economic section (p. ES-47), we surely appreciate it. Mike's comments are in bubbles to the right side of the text and well as in track changes in the text. Thanks!

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287 Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782
----- Forwarded by Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS on 12/16/2009 09:30 AM

Sandra
Hamilton/DENVER/N

PS

To

lfox@louisberger.com,

12/15/2009 05:44

dwetmore@louisberger.com

PM

cc

Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS

0025222

Subject

exec summary with my and Mike's
comments

Hi Lori / Doug.

I added my comments (in green track changes) to Mike's (in red track changes) so you have them all in one document. Additionally, I have concerns about whether the description of impacts for floodplains and wetlands in the impact summary table and in the issues/impact topics table is consistent with the impact analysis (e.g. the repeated use of "often"

in the exec summary).

[attachment "Executive Summary - CAHA ORV 121509.mbm sh edits.doc"

deleted

by Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS]

Sandy Hamilton

Environmental Protection Specialist

National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place

P.O. Box 25287 Denver CO 80225

PH: (303) 969-2068

FAX: (303) 987-6782

[attachment "Socio_ES_Table.docx" deleted by Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS]