From: Sandra Hamilton
To: Mike Murray

Cc: Waanders, Jason; Stevens, Mike; Thayer Broili
Subject: RE: Confirming timing of proposed rule

Date: 01/20/2010 08:27 AM

Attachments: NEPA Planning Schedule 08-10 Jan08[1].pdf

Good Morning, Mike.

I prefer releasing the proposed rule as soon as it's ready, whether it's during the comment period for the DEIS or after.

I don't think its necessary or productive to hold another set of public meetings on the proposed rule.

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton Environmental Protection Specialist National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place P.O. Box 25287 Denver CO 80225

PH: (303) 969-2068 FAX: (303) 987-6782 ▼ Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS

Mike

Murray/CAHA/NPS

To "Stevens, Mike" < Mike. Stevens@sol.doi.gov>

cc "Waanders, Jason" <JASON.WAANDERS@sol.doi.gov>, "Hamilton, Sandra" <Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>,

01/19/2010 10:02 AM Thayer Broili/CAHA/NPS

Subject RE: Confirming timing of proposed rule

All.

For what it is worth, attached is the planning schedule that we distributed to the RegNeg Committee at the first meeting in January 2008, which was used as a basis for determining the consent decree deadlines. The dates have obviously slipped some since January 2008, but the sequence/ timing indicated that the proposed rule would be issued at the same times as the DEIS. If there are no legal concerns about overlapping comment periods, I can live with publishing the proposed rule whenever it is ready, whether it overlaps the DEIS comment period or not. If there are concerns about overlapping public comment periods, then I can live with releasing the proposed rule as soon as the DEIS comment period closes. Either way, I just don't want to have two sets of public meetings (are we having meetings for the proposed rule?) in a short period, since that would be confusing.

Sandy, I notice from the planning schedule that it is about time to prepare the CAMA federal consistency determination, I assume that is something we would

submit to the State once the DEIS is released (i.e., so the plan is available for public review, etc.). Your thoughts on timing? Is that something the park should prepare (or something that LB will draft)?



NEPA_Planning_Schedule_08-10_Jan08[1].pdf

Thanks,

Mike Murray Superintendent Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148 (c) 252-216-5520 fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

▼ "Stevens, Mike" < Mike. Stevens@sol.doi.gov >

"Stevens, Mike" <Mike.Stevens@sol.doi.gov>

01/19/2010 11:06 AM

To "Murray, Mike" <Mike_Murray@nps.gov>,
"Waanders, Jason"
<JASON.WAANDERS@sol.doi.gov>, "Hamilton,
Sandra" <Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>

CC

Subject RE: Confirming timing of proposed rule

Michael Stevens Attorney-Adviser Office of the Regional Solicitor Southeast Region phone 404-331-4447, x238

This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail and its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

----Original Message----

From: Murray, Mike

Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 9:16 AM To: Waanders, Jason; Stevens, Mike; Hamilton, Sandra

Subject: Confirming timing of proposed rule

All.

I need to prepare (for the Court) a summary of the status of the EIS/plan and special regulation as part of the Consent Decree reporting requirements. Just checking to confirm what we decided about the timing of the publication of the proposed rule. Do we plan to wait until the comment period on the DEIS has closed before we release the propsoed rule for comment (which is okay with me, since some stakeholders seem to be concerned about us having both the DEIS and prospoed rule open for comment at the same time)? Sandy, would deciding to wait to publish the prospoed rule unitl after the DEIS comment period has closed work okay in terms of overall timing and sequence of tasks that need to be done? If there are no concerns about overlapping comment periods and/or we need to issue the proposed rule while the DEIS comment period is still open, what is you best estimate of ballpark timing for preparation of the proposed?

Sandy, would you please update the estimated timeline (below), so I can use the information in the status report and say it is the latest estimate? Here is what we said in October 2009:

Below is the current list of "milestones" for the ORV management plan and special regulation:

Fall 2009 -

the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is completed for internal NPS review and revised as needed

drafting begins for the proposed rule the DEIS is approved by the Department of the Interior (DOI) and released for 60-day public comment

the Notice of Availability for the DEIS is published in the Federal

NPS requests formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on the DEIS preferred alternative

Winter 2010 -

the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reviews benefit/cost and regulatory analyses for the proposed rule

The proposed rule is approved by the DOI and published in the Federal Register for 60-day public comment,

NPS holds public meetings on DEIS (about midway through the public comment period)

Spring 2010 -

analysis of public comment on the DEIS is completed

NPS receives the biological opinion from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

analysis of public comment on the proposed rule begins

preparation of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and responses to public comment begin

Summer 2010 -

the FEIS and responses to public comments are completed

the FEIS is approved by DOI and released to the public

the Notice of Availability for the FEIS is published in the Federal Register

Fall 2010 -

the Record of Decision (ROD) is drafted and signed the summary of the ROD is published in the Federal Register

the final regulatory analyses and final rule are prepared for OMB review

the final rule is approved by DOI and published in the Federal Register

<JASON.WAANDERS@s</pre> ol.doi.gov>

01/06/2010 05:43

"Murray, Mike" <Mike_Murray@nps.gov>

CC

To

"Hamilton, Sandra" <Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>, "Stevens, Mike" <Mike.Stevens@sol.doi.gov>

Subject

RE: call with Mike Tiernan on timing of issuing notice of proposed rulemaking and DEIS



Jason Waanders U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of the Solicitor 1849 C Street, NW, Room 3023 Washington, DC 20240 (202) 208-7957 (202) 208-3877 (fax) jason.waanders@sol.doi.gov

This e-mail is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

----Original Message----

From: Murray, Mike

Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2010 4:53 PM

To: Waanders, Jason Cc: Hamilton, Sandra; Stevens, Mike

Subject: Fw: call with Mike Tiernan on timing of issuing notice of proposed

rulemaking and DEIS

Jason,

See message below and advice from Mike Tiernan. Does this affect our plans to issue our proposed rule some time after the DEIS is issued, but well

before the FEIS and ROD are issued? To put it another way, given our consent decree deadlines, what is your advice on the timing of the proposed rule relative to the steps in the NEPA process?

Thanks,

Mike Murray Superintendent Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148 252-216-5520 (C) fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

---- Forwarded by Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS on 01/06/2010 04:50 PM ----

Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/N

To

01/06/2010 04:26

CC

Subject

Fw: call with Mike Tiernan on timing of issuing notice of proposed rulemaking and DEIS

Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS

FYI. Probably doesn't affect us, since we will likely have DEIS public comment in before proposed rule is published in the FR. I think this conversation was related to the bike rule which was proposed in the FR before any NEPA was done.

Sandy Hamilton

Environmental Protection Specialist

National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place P.O.

Box 25287 Denver CO 80225 (303) 969-2068 PH:

FAX: (303) 987-6782 ---- Forwarded by Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS on 01/06/2010 02:19 PM ----

> Michael B Edwards/WASO/NPS

To

01/06/2010 02:07 PM

Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS@NPS, Thomas Flanagan/DENVER/NPS@NPS, David Jacob/DENVER/NPS@NPS, Lindsay Gillham/DENVER/NPS@NPS, Patrick Walsh/DENVER/NPS@NPS, Melissa Stedeford/DENVER/NPS@NPS

Subject

call with Mike Tiernan on timing of issuing notice of proposed rulemaking and DEIS



(See attached file: Conversation with Mike Tiernan on issuance of a proposed rule $1.6.10.\mbox{docx})$

Michael B. Edwards Environmental Protection Specialist Environmental Quality Division, Planning & Compliance Branch WASO-NRPC 303.969.2694