

0025387

From: [Mike Murray](#)
To: irenen@mindspring.com
Bcc: [Cyndy Holda](#)
Subject: Re: traditional cultural properties
Date: 02/12/2010 12:27 PM
Attachments: [TCP.SHPOresponse.012909.pdf](#)
[TCP.SHPOltr.060209.pdf](#)

Hi Irene,

The meeting with Jim Keene and other NCBBA representatives was helpful in improving our understanding of their claim that certain beaches may qualify as traditional cultural properties (TCPs). There seems to be continued misunderstanding, or perhaps disagreement, in the distinct differences in the process requirements of Section 106 and Section 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act. We are actively reviewing the information and consulting with NPS cultural resource specialists and traditional cultural property experts about the issue, and then plan to provide a response to the October 29 letter.

Note: As additional background on the issue and potential eligibility, attached are two letters from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).



TCP.SHPOresponse.012909.pdf



TCP.SHPOltr.060209.pdf

Mike Murray
Superintendent
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148
(c) 252-216-5520
fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

▼ ["IRENE C NOLAN" <irenen@mindspring.com>](mailto:irenen@mindspring.com)

"IRENE C NOLAN"
<irenen@mindspring.com>

To "mike_murray" <mike_murray@nps.gov>
cc

02/11/2010 11:31 PM

Subject traditional cultural properties

Please respond to
irenen@mindspring.com

Mike,

The NCBBA today issued a media release and correspondence with you about the group's position that five inlet and spit areas

constitute traditional cultural properties and are potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Places -- and that the Park Service is legally obligated to conduct an eligibility determination under sections 106 and 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act. NCBBA says that without such a determination, that the DEIS on ORV regulation will not be complete.

I, of course, have your written responses to them, but NCBBA says it has not received a response to their last letter to you, dated Oct. 29, 2009.

My question to you is -- do you want to respond to the Oct. 29 letter and the NCBBA meeting with you last week or do you want to let your written responses to NCBBA from earlier in the fall be your response.

It's my goal to publish by late afternoon.

I will probably call you tomorrow mid-day to see if you want to add anything to your response in the letters.

Sure would like to talk to you about this.

Thanks.

Irene

IRENE NOLAN
Editor
The Island Free Press
www.islandfreepress.org
252-995-5323
Post Office Box 414
Buxton, N.C. 27920
editor@islandfreepress.org
irenen@mindspring.com