Fox, Lori

CAHA# 2537

From:

Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov

Sent:

Wednesday, September 22, 2010 3:19 PM

To:

Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov

Cc: Subject: Fox, Lori RE: alt F PIPL

Sounds good to me.

Doug Wetmore

Environmental Protection Specialist

National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division P.O. Box 25287 Denver, CO 80225-0287

Office: (303) 987-6955 Cell: (303) 968-5214

Sandra

Hamilton/DENVER/N

PS

To "Fox, Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>

09/22/2010 03:13

PM

Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov

Subject

RE: alt F PIPL(Document link: Doug

Wetmore)

We should compare it to alts A/B, no?

Sandy Hamilton

Environmental Protection Specialist

National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place P.O. Box 25287 Denver CO 80225

PH:

(303) 969-2068 FAX: (303) 987-6782

"Fox, Lori"

<lfox@louisberger

.com>

To

09/22/2010 03:09

<Doug Wetmore@nps.gov>, <Sandra Hamilton@nps.gov>

PM

CC

Subject

RE: alt F PIPL

Doug,

That is likely somewhat of a holdover from when we had floating closures. I think it would be accurate to edit the sentence to discuss the year-round VFAs and the less disturbed areas provided, but not compare it to alternative D. Does that sound like an accurate edit to make here?

Lori

Lori Fox

Deputy Director, Denver Operations/Senior Planner Direct 303-985-6602 Main 303-985-6600 Mobile 301-461-8772

Fax 303-984-4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Street | Suite 201 | Lakewood, CO 80228-2031 | www.louisberger.com This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group,Inc., (Berger) the information and statements herein do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by Berger. Berger assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.

----Original Message----

From: Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov [mailto:Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2010 2:58 PM To: Fox, Lori; Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov

Subject: alt F PIPL

This is from the FEIS 1st internal draft:

Management of Wintering/Nonbreeding Populations. Management of wintering/nonbreeding populations of piping plover under alternative F would be similar to the measures described under alternative C, except nonbreeding shorebird SMAs would not be established. Under alternative F the numerous VFAs, shown on the maps in chapter 2, would provide for additional areas for nonbreeding species to utilize. Also, an annual habitat assessment would be conducted at the points and spits after all birds have fledged from the area. Prior to removing the prenesting closures, resource closures would be established in the most sensitive portions of nonbreeding shorebird habitat in these areas, based on habitat used by winter piping plovers in more than one (i.e., two or more) of the past five years. People and pets would be prohibited within these closures.

0028514

These measures would result in long-term moderate beneficial impacts to nonbreeding piping plover that would be GREATER THAN THOSE UNDER THE OTHER ACTION ALTERNATIVES as MORE AREA would be protected for nonbreeding piping plovers.

As I read it, the capitalized text indicates that there is more protection of wintering birds under alternative F than under alternative D, because there is more protected area. I don't think that's true...

Doug Wetmore

Environmental Protection Specialist

National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division P.O. Box 25287 Denver, CO 80225-0287

Office: (303) 987-6955 Cell: (303) 968-5214