0028530

 From:
 Fox, Lori

 To:
 Herron, Amanda

 Cc:
 Blue-Sky, Megan

 Subject:
 FW: beach fires

Date: Thursday, September 23, 2010 2:23:00 PM

Amanda,

Please add to the CAHA admin record, thanks!

Lori Fox

Deputy Director, Denver Operations/Senior Planner

Direct 303-985-6602 Main 303-985-6600 Mobile 301-461-8772

Fax 303-984-4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Street | Suite 201 | Lakewood, CO 80228-2031 | www.louisberger.com

This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group,Inc., (Berger) the information and statements herein do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by Berger. Berger assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike_Murray@nps.gov [mailto:Mike_Murray@nps.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2010 7:47 AM

To: Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov

Cc: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov; Fox, Lori; Britta_Muiznieks@nps.gov; Paul_Stevens@nps.gov

Subject: Fw: beach fires

Doug,

After some internal discussion, the wording we suggest to be added to the Chapter 2 narrative and Table 8 (at least for Alternative F, if not for the Action Alternatives) is as follows:

Fires are prohibited within 100 meters of any turtle nest closure.

We do not believe this wording is needed in table 10 or 10-1 (which currently state that "Beach fires would be allowed/restricted as described in the respective alternatives.") By this wording, we intend that if the small 10mx10m nest closure is in effect, fires would be prohibited within 100 m of the closure fencing (i.e., the perimeter fencing). When there is an expanded closure in place after the nest reaches its hatch window, fires would be prohibited within 100 m of the closure fencing (perimeter). The required fire permit would provide this information to the permittee, rather than having additional signs installed 100 m from each nest closure. The fire restriction language would be added to the Compendium, so it is

enforceable.

Mike Murray Superintendent Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148 (c) 252-216-5520 fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure

---- Forwarded by Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS on 09/23/2010 09:36 AM -----

Paul

Stevens/CAHA/NPS

To

09/23/2010 09:22 Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS AM cc

Britta Muiznieks/CAHA/NPS@NPS, Jon

Anglin/CAHA/NPS@NPS Subject

Re: Fw: beach fires(Document link:

Mike Murray)

Jon and I can live with this.

Paul K. Stevens Chief Ranger National Park Service Outer Banks Group 1401 National Park Drive Manteo, NC 27954

(252) 473-2111 ext. 119 (office) (252) 475-8307 (cellular)

Mike

Murray/CAHA/NPS

To

09/23/2010 08:47 Britta Muiznieks/CAHA/NPS@NPS, Paul Stevens/CAHA/NPS@NPS, Jon

Anglin/CAHA/NPS@NPS

CC

Subject

Re: Fw: beach fires(Document link:

Paul Stevens)

Britta,

Under the FEIS Preferred Alternative, we would require a free "fire permit", which is essentially a brochure (with the rules listed) that must be in the possession of the permittee having a fire, so we can say whatever we need to say there and not rely on extra signing at each nest. I can live with the wording: Fires are prohibited within 100 meters of any expanded turtle nest." We just need to be sure we get the wording in the FEIS (Chapter 2, table 8, etc.), then add it to the Compendium when the time comes.

Paul or Jon, can you live with that?

Mike Murray Superintendent Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148 (c) 252-216-5520 fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

Britta
Muiznieks/CAHA/NP
S To
Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS
09/23/2010 08:33 cc
AM Paul Stevens/CAHA/NPS@NPS
Subject
Re: Fw: beach fires(Document link:
Mike Murray)

I would leave the wording in there but change it to say "prohibit fires within 100 meters of any expanded turtle nest" at it would be especially important for nests in front of the villages. We will need to change the wording on our turtle signs to include the prohibition (maybe put another

0028533

sign below the existing sign on the same stake so we wouldn't have to have different sign made). For example, nests in the villages will have buffers of 50 meters (25 meters each side of nest) so the signs around the nest could say "no campfires within 75 meters". The signs around nests on ORV beaches would have to say "no campfires within 50 meters" since the buffers are larger for these nests. I do not think it is a good idea to have signs posted that would say "no campfires beyond this point" as these random signs (i.e. not directly tied in with a closure) would most likely get pulled up/out by the public and would constantly need to be replaced.

Britta Muiznieks Wildlife Biologist Cape Hatteras National Seashore

252-995-3740-Office 252-475-8348-Cell 252-995-6998-FAX

Mike

Murray/CAHA/NPS

To

09/22/2010 05:40 PM Britta Muiznieks/CAHA/NPS@NPS, Paul

Stevens/CAHA/NPS@NPS

CC

Subject

Fw: beach fires

See Doug's question below. Do we need to use the language he mentions, which was not currently in the Compendium or included in the narrative description or Table 8 for the FEIS? Does the standard buffer expansion provide adequate buffer (which varies based on type of use in the area) around nests that have reached their hatch window? Or, do we need to explicitly prohibit fires within 100 yds of a turtle nest?

Mike Murray Superintendent Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148 (c) 252-216-5520 fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

---- Forwarded by Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS on 09/22/2010 05:32 PM -----

Doug

Wetmore/DENVER/NP

S

To

Ifox@louisberger.com, Sandra

09/22/2010 05:17

Hamilton/DENVER/NPS@NPS

PM

CC

Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS Subject

beach fires

The following text is under "common to all action" in the FEIS.

"Where fires are permitted, they would be prohibited within 100 yards of turtle nest protection areas."

I don't see it in chapter 2 and I don't see it in table 7 (just indicates that fires are prohibited within resource closures) or table 10-1. It was probably a remnant of the 2007 compendium. Is this something that should be deleted from the FEIS?

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225-0287
Office: (303) 987-6955

Office: (303) 987-6955 Cell: (303) 968-5214