

0029266

CAHA # 2873

Clay/Sheel



Sandra
Hamilton/DENVER/NPS
10/13/2010 01:58 PM

To "Fox, Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>
cc Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov
bcc
Subject RE: CH 4

Thanks, Lori.

Here's what I know at the moment, inserted below in caps. Talk to you soon.

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287
Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782
"Fox, Lori" <lfox@louisberger.com>



"Fox, Lori"
<lfox@louisberger.com>
10/13/2010 01:14 PM

To <Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>
cc <Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov>
Subject RE: CH 4

Thanks Sandy,

Here is where I stand with chapter 4

1. I checked all of the mileages in the document and made corrections where necessary. There were some inconsistencies that should now be fixed. THANKS.
2. Per Mike's email, I am making sure it is wrack, not wrack line, when we are talking about driving on CAHA (where we are talking about studies and their findings, I left it wrack line). RIGHT. THANKS.
3. For Mike's response to your comment #3, I made the suggested change THANKS.

Outstanding questions on chapter 4:

1. Waiting on comments from EQD for socioeconomic section and need to discuss visitor use section SEE 3 BELOW
2. For your #2 question to Mike, based on his response, here is my suggested edit, please let me know what you think (additions in caps) SEE RESOLUTION OF THIS ONE IN EMAIL FROM ME TO YOU JUST SENT

For nest relocation, NPS staff would follow guidance from the NCWRC handbook and FWS Loggerhead Sea Turtle Recovery Plan, which is to allow nests to

incubate at their original location if there is any reasonable likelihood of survival. Relocation of a nest would be considered only as an option of last resort. When relocation is necessary, nest would be moved toward the dunes immediately behind the original nest location (when possible). Narrow beaches or beaches without nearby dunes (i.e. points and spits) may necessitate relocations to adjacent areas, AND THE CLOSURE OF THAT AREA TO ORV USE, above the high tide line that are free of vegetation, REGARDLESS IF THIS AREA IS BEING USED FOR ORV RECREATION.

The rest of the sentence "The NPS shall not relocate turtle nests solely to accommodate ORV access" would be deleted.

could

3. Alt F, ORV and other recreational use, you have a note to call and discuss the following sentence "Even though the ability to have beach fires would require a non-fee educational permit, allowing these beach fires would cause impacts (misorientation, disorientation, injury, and death) to nesting turtles and hatchlings, resulting in long-term minor to moderate adverse impacts" I'LL CALL WHEN I'M DONE CHECKING THE 150 METER/ 164 FEET ISSUE.

✓

*at
for
pk
ok*

4. Mike noted that where we state distances we are not consistent. For example: "50-meter (164-foot) increments" In some places we are exact with the conversion 164 feet, some we say 150, what approach would you like to take? I HAVE TO CHECK THE COMMENT. 50 METERS IS NOT 164 FEET, SO SOMETHING'S WRONG HERE.

✓

5. For the sentence: From September 16 to November 15, ORV routes with no turtle nests remaining would reopen for night driving subject to the terms and conditions of the standard ORV permit. - you pointed out that sometimes we say "ORV routes" and sometimes we say "selected ORV routes" you noted that you wanted to ask the park their preference, did they get back to you on that? The tables just say ORV routes (not selected) THANKS FOR REMINDING ME ABOUT THIS ONE. I'LL EMAIL PARK NOW.

Thanks,
Lori

Lori Fox
Deputy Director, Denver Operations/Senior Planner

Direct 303-985-6602
Main 303-985-6600
Mobile 301-461-8772

Fax 303-984-4942

The Louis Berger Group, Inc. | 12596 West Bayaud Street | Suite 201 | Lakewood, CO 80228-2031 | www.louisberger.com

This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group, Inc., (Berger) the information and statements herein do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by Berger. Berger assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov [mailto:Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 8:03 AM
To: Fox, Lori
Subject: CH 4

Hi Lori,

Here is the 2nd internal review draft of CH 4 with Mike's comments and mine inserted (except I still need to insert socio). I don't think the alt F visitor experience impacts section is ready for prime time yet. Can we talk about it this afternoon around 1:00 or later?

Many of my comments are on one item that's repeated multiple times in the text. Those that need to be checked with the park, I'll follow up on with Mike.

RE the mileages, in scanning through them on my p. 414 it looks like one place on the page the year round mileage is inconsistent between lines 40 and 30? Did we just not change the 41 in line 30 to 40? Or should they be different. Also on p. 376 we have 32, 20 and 16 which add up to 68, and we've been using approx 67, is that OK? You and Doug are the mileage experts. (See attached file: 04_Chapter-4_2nd_Draft_FEIS_100410[1].mbm sh.doc) Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division Academy Place P.O. Box
25287 Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782

From: [Sandra Hamilton](#)
To: lfox@louisberger.com
Subject: CH 4
Date: 10/13/2010 08:02 AM
Attachments: [04_Chapter-4_2nd_Draft_FEIS_100410\[1\].mbm_sh.doc](#)

Hi Lori,

Here is the 2nd internal review draft of CH 4 with Mike's comments and mine inserted (except I still need to insert socio). I don't think the alt F visitor experience impacts section is ready for prime time yet. Can we talk about it this afternoon around 1:00 or later?

Many of my comments are on one item that's repeated multiple times in the text. Those that need to be checked with the park, I'll follow up on with Mike.

RE the mileages, in scanning through them on my p. 414 it looks like one place on the page the year round mileage is inconsistent between lines 40 and 30? Did we just not change the 41 in line 30 to 40? Or should they be different. Also on p. 376 we have 32, 20 and 16 which add up to 68, and we've been using approx 67, is that OK? You and Doug are the mileage experts.



04_Chapter-4_2nd_Draft_FEIS_100410[1].mbm_sh.doc

Sandy

Sandy Hamilton
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
Academy Place
P.O. Box 25287
Denver CO 80225
PH: (303) 969-2068
FAX: (303) 987-6782