

0030429

From: [Mike Murray](#)
To: [Doug Wetmore](#); [RTI Carol](#)
Subject: Re: Fw: benefit cost analysis
Date: 01/20/2011 12:25 PM

The inlet shorelines at BI Spit and South Point were designated as year-round vehicle free as a compromise between those who thought all inlets should be VF (e.g., USGS recommended all inlets and Cape Point be VF year-round) and those who wanted them all open to ORVs all the time. We identified those miles as VF, in part, to help balance the amount of VF and ORV miles, and to respond to the resource concerns about ORV use in those areas. In addition, at BI Spit a research project around 05-06 documented the importance of the Bait Pond mudflats and the inlet shoreline to migrating and nonbreeding PIPL. We designed the ORV routes at BI Spit (nonbreeding season only) and South Point (year-round) so that visitors could at least drive along the ocean beach to the inlet and then walk along the inlet shorelines, which are the most resource sensitive areas, to fish, etc..

Hope this helps.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

▼ [Doug Wetmore](#)

----- Original Message -----

From: Doug Wetmore
Sent: 01/20/2011 11:46 AM MST
To: "Mansfield, Carol A." <carolm@rti.org>
Cc: Mike Murray
Subject: RE: Fw: benefit cost analysis
Hi Carol.

I don't recall exactly why that 0.8 miles along the southern and inlet side of Bodie Island spit was specifically called out in the revised alternative F. I also don't know if that is a preferred area for fishing. Perhaps Mike has some insight.

Sorry about that.

~!Doug

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225-0287
Office: (303) 987-6955
Cell: (303) 968-5214

▼ ["Mansfield, Carol A." <carolm@rti.org>](#)

"Mansfield, Carol A."
<carolm@rti.org>

To <Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov>
cc

01/20/2011 11:07 AM

Subject RE: Fw: benefit cost analysis

Hi Doug,
Just to clarify, in table ES-2A (alternative F) 0.8 miles of bodie island are closed year round -- "Southeast corner of Bodie Island spit along inlet shoreline to southwest edge of Bait Pond (near bridge)". Is this not the part of bodie island spit that people want to fish from?

0030430

Thanks,
Carol

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D.
Senior Economist, RTI International
Social and Statistical Sciences Division
3040 Cornwallis Road | Durham, NC 27709
P 919-541-8053
F 919-541-6683
carolm@rti.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov [mailto:Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2011 3:50 PM
To: Mike_Murray@nps.gov
Cc: Mansfield, Carol A.
Subject: Re: Fw: benefit cost analysis

Hi Carol.

I've attached the latest version of the ben-cost analysis with my and Mike's comments. I'm still confused by what we are analyzing in table 3-5.

Are we trying to compare the number of days closed in 2009 (from temporary resources closures) to the level of expected ORV access that would occur under that action alternatives? For example, did you take the days closed under 2009 and add them to the required closures (seasonal/YR) under the alternative F or are we comparing historic days closed vs. required days closed to ORV use?

Thanks. Give me a call when you get a chance.

(See attached file: CAHA Draft Economic Analysis-Combined 1 17 11 clean.mbm_dw.docx)

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225-0287
Office: (303) 987-6955
Cell: (303) 968-5214

Mike

Murray/CAHA/NPS

To
01/18/2011 01:48 Doug
Wetmore/DENVER/NPS@NPS
PM
cc

Subject
analysis
Wetmore)
Re: Fw: benefit cost
(Document link: Doug

Doug,

My comments, mostly minor, are attached. I found Table 3-5 a bit

0030431

confusing
- there seemed to be some inaccuracies, which I tried to fix, but I'm not sure I caught them all. It would be good if you could take a close look at this table (and compare it to what was said in the FEIS or ROD).

[attachment "CAHA Draft Economic Analysis-Combined 1 17 11 clean.mbm.docx"
deleted by Doug Wetmore/DENVER/NPS]

Thanks,

Mike Murray
Superintendent
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148
(c) 252-216-5520
fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

Doug

Wetmore/DENVER/NP
S

To

Mike

Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS
01/18/2011 12:12

cc

PM

Subject

Re: Fw: benefit cost

analysis

(Document link: Mike

Murray)

Mike.

My apologies, as the version that Carol sent doesn't contain track changes. Essentially, the major changes were concentrated on table 3-5 which reflects the closures under the consent decree and a comparison to expected closures under the action alternatives.

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225-0287
Office: (303) 987-6955
Cell: (303) 968-5214

Doug

Wetmore/DENVER/NP
S

0030432

To
Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS
01/18/2011 08:37
cc
AM
Subject
analysis
Mike
Fw: benefit cost

Hi Mike.

I received the revised benefit-cost analysis from Carol. It's going to be sent to AJ with the proposed rule package as soon as it's finalized. I believe you reviewed the previous version, so feel free to just look at the areas that have been changed. Please let me know if you have any comments and I can get them to RTI for inclusion into the final draft.

Thanks.

~Doug

Doug Wetmore
Environmental Protection Specialist
National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division P.O. Box 25287
Denver, CO 80225-0287
Office: (303) 987-6955
Cell: (303) 968-5214

----- Forwarded by Doug Wetmore/DENVER/NPS on 01/18/2011 08:35 AM -----

Carol
A."
To
<Doug_Wetmore@nps.gov>
01/17/2011 11:54
cc
AM
A."
<carolm@rti.org>
Subject
analysis
"Mansfield,
<carolm@rti.org>
benefit cost

Hi Doug,
Here is the revised benefit-cost analysis. I left your comments in and a

few comments from me (questions for you), so that you could look at the places where you had questions. Hopefully table 3-5 is improved. I took out some of the rows for areas that we didn't have vehicle ramp crossing counts for. Since I was not quite sure how much of the beach was closed in 2009 in each area (for example, if "cape point" was closed, how much of the beach around it was closed), so I may not have the comparisons exactly right. The table is only meant to provide a rough estimate of the differences between the action alternatives and alt B and resource closures will change from year to year - so I don't think we need to make it too detailed. But let me know if you think it needs to be revised more.

When you are done, I'll have my editor make a pdf. I just sent it to her so that she can fix the formatting.

Thanks,
Carol

Carol Mansfield, Ph.D. | Senior Economist | RTI International Social and Statistical Sciences Division | Hobbs Building, 3040 Cornwallis Road | Durham, NC 27709 P 919-541-8053 | F 919-541-6683 | carolm@rti.org
[attachment "CAHA Draft Economic Analysis-Combined 1 17 11 clean.docx" deleted by Doug Wetmore/DENVER/NPS]