

0031074

**From:** [Philip Selleck](#)  
**To:** [Doug Wetmore](#); [Matt Hunnell](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: CAHA  
**Date:** 09/02/2011 06:46 AM  
**Attachments:** [NPS-2011-0005-0722.html](#)  
[NPS-2011-0005-DRAFT-0722.html](#)

---


Matt, Doug,

We pulled draft comments 620, 722, 723, 725, 728, 729 because they were unsuitable for publication. So, there are 6 fewer comments that were posted, so the numbers of duplicates will be off. Any two comments with DRAFT and identical numbers will be exact duplicates. Duplicates for the few posted and draft comments will have different digits. I did not realize that the export would pull from two sources when I exported them. Sorry for the confusion. That is why I am exporting comments from the previous day; there is no overlap. Matt, you can call me if you want me to help identify the duplicates.

Phil

Philip A. Selleck  
Associate Regional Director, Operations and Education  
National Capital Region  
National Park Service  
202-619-7142

▼ [Doug Wetmore---09/01/2011 06:20:49 PM---Hi Matt.](#)

**Doug Wetmore/DENVER/NPS** To: Matt Hunnell/Contractor/NPS@NPS  
cc: Philip Selleck/NCR/NPS@NPS  
09/01/2011 06:20 PM Subject: Re: Fw: CAHA 

Hi Matt.

It's my understanding that the DRAFT notation means that it hasn't been posted on regs.gov and that the number (722) will change once it's posted to the regs.gov website. So, the only constant number that can identify a specific comment is the tracking number (80ee8b3f).

**Phil**, can you review the email below and provide any clarification?

Thanks.

Doug Wetmore  
National Park Service  
Natural Resource Stewardship & Science  
Environmental Quality Division  
303.987.6955 (office)