

From: Patrick Field  
To: Mike Murray@nps.gov; Robert Fisher Robert Fisher; Ona Ferguson  
Cc: Cyndy Holda@nps.gov  
Subject: Re: Post Office Flier  
Date: 04/08/2008 10:55 AM

MIKE

Totally understood. When we meet in a few weeks, we can take some day that day just to plan the following week's meeting to make sure it is productive. We will use existing maps and maybe just need plenty of smaller hard copies to draw on.

PAT

On 4/8/08 9:00 am, "Mike\_Murray@nps.gov" <Mike\_Murray@nps.gov> wrote:

> At the moment we are consumed by dealing with the lawsuit and interim  
> strategy implementation and getting ready for settlement implementation.  
> Can't imagine developing more maps right now (while we are still developing  
> multiple sets for the lawsuit and trying to map all the bird closures as  
> they occur). Will need to figure out how to use existing maps as a  
> starting point. Maybe can discuss at our next call.

>  
> Mike Murray  
> Superintendent  
> Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS  
> (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148  
> (c) 252-216-5520  
> fax 252-473-2595

> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE  
> This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which  
> it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is  
> proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from  
> disclosure.

>  
> Patrick Field  
> <pfield@cbuilding  
> .org>  
> <Mike\_Murray@nps.gov> To  
> 04/07/2008 02:44 cc  
> PM Robert Fisher Robert Fisher  
> <rcf@fisherccs.com>, Patrick Field  
> <pfield@cbuilding.org>, Ona  
> Ferguson <oferguson@cbuilding.org>  
> Subject  
> Re: Post Office Flier

> MIKE

> Robert and I are doing a bit more thinking about the routes and areas  
> meeting 1 of May. We are thinking the following. Maybe you can give me a  
> call or let me know who among your staff we should talk to about preparing  
> for that meeting in terms of maps. Below are a few ideas.

> It would be good to have a map we can project via powerpoint/GIS we can  
> project on the wall if it's easy so the group can focus on one map. The  
> maps we used last meeting may not be high enough in resolution/scale to  
> focus on the level of detail we'll probably need to do in that meeting.  
> We're thinking we'll want to talk about ramps, parking, alternative routes,  
> if any. An alternative choice is to have some bigger maps we can lay down  
> on a table and write on in detail, and maybe have a few copies of each.  
> Individual maps for everyone probably just make it hard to keep everyone  
> focused on one thing, so we don't think we'll need individual copies.

> We thought we would go through each section of the Park and try to begin to  
> develop an underlying "base map" of routes and areas designated for  
> driving,  
> even if some of these areas are closed due to Village, ORV Safety, or  
> Natural Resource closures. We thought we would try and identify where most  
> or all agree a route should be designated, any areas where everyone agrees  
> there shouldn't be areas designated, and the areas of contention. We will  
> also need to focus on the issue of changing geography on the ground due to  
> the dynamic nature of the place and how to account for that in  
> maps/designations. Since Steve Kayota can't likely make this meeting,  
> we'll  
> probably need to set the driving in front of the villages aside until a  
> later time under either Village Closure as a topic or at the May meeting.

> Any thoughts on the above?

