```
From:
                                                    David Goodwin
                                                    Mike Murray@nps.gov
  To:
  Subject:
                                                    Re: Routes & Areas Subcommittee
  Date:
                                                    08/01/2008 01:58 AM
Thanks, Mike...

I'm sure you been one busy fellow these days & I really appreciate you taking the time to answer me.

I found several bird report summaries on the CAHA website that have answered most of my bird questions. I will add what you have sent to me to
that file.

On the question of pedestrian-only visitors, it's too bad that NPS does not apparently track this user group. If there were actual numbers, it would be a simple matter to plug in the percentage of ped-only visitors & come up with a percentage figure for pedestrian-only beach use. While I am totally against single-use beaches (particularly in this dynamic environment), I can understand that you are under pressure to provide for these users. It has always been my opinion that these beaches should remain multi-use, for many reasons. However, it is something you wish for us to consider so it will be considered.

I don't know if a permanent pedestrian beach can be sold to the majority of the representatives. Have you considered including pedestrian-only areas as a temporary thing, like village seasonal closures? I think something along those lines will be an easier sell rather that full-time permanent pedestrian closure(s).
 pedestrian closure(s).

Thanks for your reply when you have time.
 David Goodwin
dagwerksobx@yahoo.com
---- Original Message ----
From: <Mike_Murray@nps.gov>
To: "David Goodwin" <dagwerksobx@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 12:30 PM
Subject: Re: Routes & Areas Subcommittee
 > Dave,
 > Sorry for the delay in responding. Been busy.
 > Attached is a summary of the areas typically used by breeding birds in > recent years. We do not have good long-term location data for most > species. Much of the long-term nest count data is summarized in the > Interim Strategy EA (available on PEPC website). Also, at the back of
                                                                                                                                                              Also, at the back of
 > annual Piping Plover report (attached) there are maps showing recent PIPL > nesting locations for 1998 - 2007.
 > (See attached file: Hot Spots for Breeding Birds at CAHA-rev.doc)
> (See attached file: CAHA Piping Plover Report (2007).pdf)
       We do not have accurate counts of the number of pedestrian users. There
      is indirect information to suggest that visitors who do not have ORVs make up a significant portion, possibly the majority, but there are no firm
       numbers
       that we can hang our hat on (just like we do not have firm numbers on ORV
      use).
      Things we do know:
> The Seashore received 2.3 million recreational visits in 2007 (see > attached). If we knew accurately what percentage of visitors used ORVs or > not, we could do the math and determine an estimated number of ORV users > vs. non-ORV users. A 2002 Visitor Study (attached) by the University of > Idaho provides some information about visitor preferences, but no firm > numbers for pedestrians or ORVs. (You know about the Vogelsong and Neal > reports. Not sure either helps figure out actual numbers of users.) We > also know that in 2007, 950,696 visitors attended park facilitated > interpretive programs at the Seashore, such as the lighthouse, NPS visitor > centers and scheduled interpretive programs, but we have no data on how > many or what percentage of those visitors were ORV owners or not.
       (See attached file: CAHA Visitation.doc) (See attached file: 2002 Visitor
       Use Study.pdf)
      I hope this helps!
      Mike Murray
       Superintendent
       Super Intermedia
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148
(c) 252-216-5520
       fax 252-473-2595
        CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
      This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.
```