

0075229

From: ffff1@mindspring.com
Reply To: ffff1@mindspring.com
To: [Robert Fisher](mailto:Robert.Fisher@franklineq.com)
Cc: [David Goodwin](mailto:David.Goodwin@franklineq.com); jkeene@franklineq.com
Bcc: [Mike Murray](mailto:Mike.Murray@franklineq.com)
Subject: Re: Routes & Areas subcommittee list of principles & criteria
Date: 08/26/2008 11:07 AM

Robert:

Mike Murray has to step up to the plate and give us what NEPA and NPS see as far as any permanent closures. Unless the environmental side is willing to reduce from the consent decree or NPS states that in fact there will not be closures year round on the surf line I can see where we are unable to give from our present position. I can see no basis for year round closures and their science we are strapped with now is not science but only opinions. When the consent decree was approved it was not done on the science of the environmental groups but was done due to the Judges Position that the seashore had no plan and he was ready to close it all down. You as facilitators and the DFO must now take the lead and say what plans are seen from the NPS point of view. We have floundered with the whole process with NEPA not being completed before be began and before an accurate economic studt was completed.

Frank Folb

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Fisher
Sent: Aug 26, 2008 10:01 AM
To: ffff1@mindspring.com
Cc: David Goodwin , jkeene@franklineq.com
Subject: Re: Routes & Areas subcommittee list of principles & criteria

Frank,

I'm unsure of the impact of your message and where this leaves us. If we are far away from consensus and you're not willing to negotiate from you present positions, what do you suggest the subcommittee or Committee do?

Robert

On 8/25/08 7:37 AM, "ffff1@mindspring.com" <ffff1@mindspring.com> wrote:

To: Routes and Areas Committee
From: Frank Folb

Preliminary statement of "Principles & Criteria" for designation of "Routes and Areas"

I would like to thank Jim Keene and Sidney Maddock for compiling and distributing the written views of the areas. As we can all see these two documents are far apart from any consensus required for approval by Neg, Reg.

I must ask the DFO, Mike Murray for direction from NPS as to what amount if any of the requested year round resource closures listed in the Environmental document NPS, NEPA or DOI is considering. I, in no way will negotiate from my present position as written in the document presented for our first subcommittee conference call meeting with any year round resource closures on the table. These are nothing more than added refuges within the seashore and of the 73 miles from South Nags Head to Ocracoke Inlet we have the 13 mile Pea Island Refuge which is 18 percent of the total millage. This is enough resource closure as it is and more than enough pedestrian only year round area as well.

Frank Folb