

0075824

**From:** [Burnham Gould, Jr.](mailto:Burnham_Gould_Jr.)  
**To:** [jkeene@franklineq.com](mailto:jkeene@franklineq.com); [Robert Fisher](mailto:Robert_Fisher); [ffff1@mindspring.com](mailto:ffff1@mindspring.com); [dagwerksobx@yahoo.com](mailto:dagwerksobx@yahoo.com); [destryjarvis](mailto:destryjarvis); [Maddock Sidney](mailto:Maddock_Sidney); [davandme@embarqmail.com](mailto:davandme@embarqmail.com)  
**Cc:** [Pat Field](mailto:Pat_Field); [oferguson@cbuilding.org](mailto:oferguson@cbuilding.org); [jim.keene@ncbba.org](mailto:jim.keene@ncbba.org); [Michael Murray](mailto:Michael_Murray)  
**Subject:** RE: Routes & Areas-Revised Maps  
**Date:** 11/10/2008 09:00 PM

---

I concur with Jim's comments. Burnie

Burnham S. Gould, Jr. P.O. Box 54, Frisco, NC 27936-0054

---

From: keene9558@charter.net  
To: rcf@fisherccs.com; ffff1@mindspring.com; bsgould@msn.com; dagwerksobx@yahoo.com; destryjarvis@earthlink.net; smaddock@audubon.org; davandme@embarqmail.com  
CC: pfield@cbuilding.org; oferguson@cbuilding.org; jim.keene@ncbba.org; mike\_murray@nps.gov  
Subject: RE: Routes & Areas-Revised Maps  
Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2008 14:47:55 -0500

ALL

I agree that the maps are a reasonable (not detailed or exact) representation of the discussions last week.

- (1) Suggest a general note and including the information in your note 1.
- (2) Use the "note" you have constructed.
- (3) Statements concerning parking lots exist in both (Access & Ped) & since they cannot be built otherwise. I would not therefore add any additional notes.

My thoughts for today  
Jim Keene

---

**From:** Robert Fisher [mailto:[rcf@fisherccs.com](mailto:rcf@fisherccs.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 10, 2008 1:57 PM  
**To:** [ffff1@mindspring.com](mailto:ffff1@mindspring.com); [jkeene@franklineq.com](mailto:jkeene@franklineq.com); Burnham Gould, Jr.; [dagwerksobx@yahoo.com](mailto:dagwerksobx@yahoo.com); [destryjarvis@earthlink.net](mailto:destryjarvis@earthlink.net); [smaddock@audubon.org](mailto:smaddock@audubon.org); [davandme@embarqmail.com](mailto:davandme@embarqmail.com)  
**Cc:** Pat Field; Ona Ferguson; [jim.keene@ncbba.org](mailto:jim.keene@ncbba.org); Mike Murray  
**Subject:** Routes & Areas-Revised Maps

All,

Attached for review are the revised maps based on our discussion last week. Let me know if they look ok or if anything is missing or inaccurate. Three questions --

(1) Should we include a general note about including a boardwalk at ramps and parking areas where there will be a pedestrian only area, or should I add a note a Ramps 23, 49 (subject to NPS determination about moving the ramp or parking) and 59? As you will see, ramps 2 and 30 now include a note to add a boardwalk.

(2) Should we include a general note about determining the demarcation line or wait until we have a chance to discuss and finalize language. Possible language for the note --

The Subcommittee is developing a working definition for determining where ORV and Pedestrian-only areas begin and end, based on (1) the recognition that NPS will determine the exact locations of new ramps, boardwalks, and parking facilities; (2) the recommendation that each pedestrian-only area needs a boardwalk (or walkover) over the dunes for pedestrian and ORV safety; and (3) initially using the ramps as the dividing line until a walkover is constructed, and then the dividing line would be fixed along a line located halfway between the ramp and the walkover.

0075825

(3) Should we include a general note that new parking lots must be consistent with NPS policies and applicable environmental laws as we discussed last week?

Tomorrow is a federal holiday. If I hear back from everyone today about the questions and that the maps are ready to go public they will go today, otherwise we will have to wait until Wednesday.

Robert