

0075835

From: basicpatrick@aol.com
To: oferguson@cbuilding.org; jkeene@franklineq.com; derbc@selcnc.org; Topatneal@aol.com;
Paul_Stevens@nps.gov; Cahoonr@embarqmail.com; djoyner@beldar.com
Cc: pfield@cbuilding.org; rcf@fisherics.com; Cyndy_Holda@nps.gov; Mike_Murray@nps.gov
Subject: Safety closures
Date: 11/11/2008 07:53 PM

To all,

I apologize that I have not had time to review the Safety Closure Document today and will not have time on Wed either. I will make sure to review the document and gather my thoughts prior to the meeting (plane reading is always fun). I can let you all know that I had concerns on some of the language in the Safety Closure Document distributed at the last formal Reg Neg Meeting and have notes.

Some of my concerns were:

A need for automatic sunset language that mandates opening a safety closure once the actual situation that caused the closure was resolved.

I believe I remember a 1 week leeway given for reporting by the Ranger who establishes the safety closure. In an age of cell phones, blackberries and computers this would not be acceptable unless I am missing something. Any action that limits the public's ability to use an area of the park must be treated as a priority, however I will reserve my soapbox for our meeting.

I will be ready for the meeting
Patrick Paquette
RFA Shore Access Program
UMS Executive Director

Instant access to the latest & most popular FREE games while you browse with the Games Toolbar - [Download Now!](#)