

From: [Bob Eakes](#)
To: [Patrick Field](#); [allend@coastalnet.com](#); [cahabusinessallies@embarqmail.com](#); [camerons@coastalnet.com](#); [ccboucher@cox.net](#); [Cyndy Holda](#); [Darrell Echols@nps.gov](#); [davandme@embarqmail.com](#); [david_rabon@fws.gov](#); [hardhead@embarqmail.com](#); [jrylander@defenders.org](#); [Karen & Dwight Rettie](#); [Mike Murray@nps.gov](#); [Ona Ferguson](#); [rcmheritage@mac.com](#); [Robert Fisher](#); [Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov](#); [Thayer_Broili@nps.gov](#); [wgolder@audubon.org](#)
Cc: [bobdavis](#)
Subject: Re: Nat Resource Subcommittee Call Wed, 11/26 9:30 AM
Date: 11/23/2008 12:28 PM

Hello Patrick,

A few quick thoughts on your notes:

1. You assume there is consensus on the fact of needing night time restrictions. So far, there has not been anything scientific showing the need.
2. The word "vigorous" should be deleted. Sandy McP could barely explain her thought processes much less entertain a concept of more or less vigorous.
3. False crawls are again so much finger pointing. So far I have not heard any scientific proof that false crawls have anything to do with ORV's nighttime.
4. How do you measure something like females not crawling up and onto the beach. It is again a non scientific assumption. Put this into some kind of adaptive management scheme where when and if biologist can tell how many are not going to the beach then and only then do we do something.
5. CHNSRA had one nest not documented last year which was not located.. The assumption that otherwise is out there is a slap in the face of the science and effort put forth.
6. I would like to see a graph of when turtles arrive and when they quit nesting for CHNSRA. Besides picking arbitrary dates I should think some science could be used.
7. If the concept of a parked vehicle is bothersome then the next step is to ban all seashore use at night and of course I assume that both Fish and NCW would be asking the county and then the state of north carolina to ban all night time use on all beaches.

I would like to see some real science instead of this baloney plan being pushed by the NCWRC. My community has always been conservation minded and taken care of the issues. The loss of thousands of eggs is a TAKE and is avoidable. But of course when it creates a job for one's friends why would we worry about the loss of eggs.

Anyway, my thoughts and good luck with the Dave and Pete dog and pony show.

Bob Eakes

----- Original Message -----
From: "Patrick Field" <pfield@cbuilding.org>
To: <allend@coastalnet.com>; <bobeakes@aginet.com>; <cahabusinessallies@embarqmail.com>; <camerons@coastalnet.com>; <ccboucher@cox.net>; "Cyndy Holda" <Cyndy_Holda@nps.gov>; <Darrell_Echols@nps.gov>; <davandme@embarqmail.com>; <david_rabon@fws.gov>; <hardhead@embarqmail.com>; <jrylander@defenders.org>; "Karen & Dwight Rettie" <tarwathie@clis.com>; <Mike_Murray@nps.gov>; "Ona Ferguson" <oferguson@cbuilding.org>; "Patrick Field" <pfield@cbuilding.org>; <rcmheritage@mac.com>; "Robert Fisher" <rcf@fisherics.com>; <Sandra_Hamilton@nps.gov>; <Thayer_Broili@nps.gov>; <wgolder@audubon.org>
Cc: "bobdavis" <davisrb@embarqmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2008 3:02 PM
Subject: Nat Resource Subcommittee Call Wed, 11/26 9:30 AM

> ALL
>
> A confirmation for our natural resources subcommittee call on Wednesday,
> November 26, 9:30 AM to 11 AM. The call in information is:
>
> Dial In #: 866-667-0068
>
> Participant Code #: 6457552#
>
> Please find attached two documents we'll review. One is related to night
> driving and is a draft we might build from on this issue. The comments in
> blue to the side are from a NPS biologist from the Southeast Region who is
> assisting the Park on this issue. The second document is a draft work
> plan
> for our subcommittee over the next 6 weeks or so. We'll need to review
> this
> for task and times.
>
> Look forward to the call.
>
> PAT
>
>
>

0076011