0077233

From: <u>Derb Carter</u>

To: 'jkeene@franklineq.com'; Carla Boucher; Larry Hardham; Warren Judge; Mike Murray; Walker Golder; Destry

Jarvis; Jim Lyons; Robert Fisher; Pat Field; Ona Ferguson

Cc: Jim Keene
Subject: RE:

Date: 02/23/2009 11:01 AM

Jim.

I want to make sure I understand the revised proposal from the County and ORV groups (Proposal #3).

Regarding routes/areas: It is difficult to tell if your revised proposal's description of routes is comprehensive or specific to our proposal. In your initial proposal (Proposal #1) presented by Commissioner Judge, you proposed ORV routes as mapped in the "Discussion Draft Proposal" prepared by Patrick and Robert. We responded to this proposal. Comparing your initial and your revised proposal, by my estimation you now propose an additional 0.5 miles ORV route at Bodie Spit, an additional 2.0 miles between Salvo and Avon, an additional 0.3 miles at Buxton, an additional 1.5 miles at South Beach, and an additional 1.0 miles at Hatteras Inlet compared to your initial proposal. Your revised proposal proposes 1.25 miles less ORV routes on Ocracoke. In total, your revised proposal proposes approximately 3.5 miles more in ORV routes than your initial proposal. Is this correct or have I missed something?

Regarding natural resources: In your initial proposal, you proposed bird breeding management by "SM2 everywhere." This would not include reduced buffers for ORV passthrough. In your revised proposal, you now propose SM2 at all points and spits and SM1 elsewhere "as outlined in NPS draft Alt. E 11/05/08." Alt E 11/05/08 included passthroughs with reduced buffers; however, reduced buffers and passthroughs did not apply with SM1. Should we conclude the revised proposal now proposes passthroughs with reduced buffers?

Regarding motorcycles: In your initial proposal, you proposed motorcycles allowed in villages. In your revised proposal, you now propose motorcycles allowed with free special use permit that includes education. Is it correct that motorcycles would be allowed outside the villages with a permit?

Other: You have added a new request for Habitat Management Access described as "Same as Alt. E for Cape Point" that was not included in your initial proposal. What does this mean?

We are reviewing your proposal prior to our call this afternoon, so it would be helpful if you can respond at your earliest convenience.

Derb

From: keene9558 [mailto:keene9558@charter.net] Sent: Saturday, February 21, 2009 8:46 AM

To: Carla Boucher; Larry Hardham; 'Warren Judge'; 'Mike Murray'; Derb Carter; 'Walker Golder'; 'Destry

Jarvis'; 'Jim Lyons'; 'Robert Fisher'; 'Pat Field'; 'Ona Ferguson'

Cc: 'Jim Keene'

Subject:

ALL

Attached is "Proposal #3" (dtd 2/20/09) in response to "Proposal #2" presented to the Integration Group on Tuesday, 2/17/09.

Jim Keene