## 0077560

From:

To:

Mike Murray

CBI Ona Ferguson x127

CBI Patrick Field; Sandra Hamilton; CBI Robert Fisher Subject: Re: Final report on REG-NEG Date: 03/16/2009 01:51 PM That sounds fine. I hope people will use the opportunity to submit recommendations since it is their last official chance for input before the DEIS comes out (rather than just use it as another opportunity to criticize, cast blame on others, etc.). Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld ---- Original Message -----From: Ona Ferguson [oferguson@cbuilding.org] Sent: 03/16/2009 01:40 PM AST To: Mike Murray
Cc: Pat Field <pfield@cbuilding.org>; Sandra Hamilton; Robert Fisher <rcf@fishercs.com>
Subject: Re: Final report on REG-NEG Mike, After reading Jim's email, Robert and I talked about not wanting to put any editorial info in our part of the report and that we'd tell Jim to submit an addendum with any info he believes is important. I think that would be a simple way to solve this for now, does that work for you? On 3/16/09 1:08 pm, "Mike\_Murray@nps.gov" <Mike\_Murray@nps.gov> wrote: > CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION to FACILITATORS Not trying to make things difficult for you, but I don't believe Jim was on the socioeconomic subcommittee (or at least he was not identified in the charge issued in Feb 08). Maybe he is speaking for someone else, who was on that group? I wasn't on it either, as Sandy Hamilton was the NPS representative on that subcommittee. See her comments below about whether > or not the subcommittee fulfilled its purpose. Key point being, maybe > there was a difference between what NPS wanted from the subcommittee (input > on the proposed approach, which was more or less fulfilled) versus what > some subcommittee members may have wanted it to be (not sure what that would be). > Mike Murray Superintendent
Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS
(w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148
(c) 252-216-5520 fax 252-473-2595 CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. ---- Forwarded by Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS on 03/16/2009 12:00 PM ----Hamilton/DENVER/N Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS@NPS 03/16/2009 11:34 ΔM Subject Re: Fw: Final report on REG-NEG (Document link: Mike Murray) > Hi Mike, The subcommittee offered input on several occasions to the studies as it > was charged to do and RTI considered it in the design of the studies.
> Seems to me it functioned as charged. That doesn't mean it did whatever
> Jim, who I don't believe was on it, wanted it to do. > To clarify, estimating potential economic impact of the respective > alternatives is NOT contingent upon completing traffic counts and small > business surveys. They will add information, which we expect to be within > the range of estimated impacts, but according to Carol they have enough

## 0077561

```
> existing information to do impact analysis. We are doing these to get more
> information and because local interests have asked for them, not because we
> have to to meet basic analysis requirements.
> Sandy
> Sandy Hamilton
> National Park Service - Environmental Quality Division
> Academy Place
> P.O. Box 25287
> Denver CO 80225
> PH: (303) 969-2068
> FAX: (303) 987-6782
                             Mike
                             Murray/CAHA/NPS
                              03/16/2009 09:20
                                                                               Sandra Hamilton/DENVER/NPS@NPS
                                                                                                                                      Subject
                                                                               Fw: Final report on REG-NEG
> FYI - See message below from Jim Keene and Ona's response. Obviously, the analysis has not been completed, because estimating the potential economic impact of the respective alternatives is contingent upon completing the traffic counts and small business surveys; bit I wasn't sure if Jim's assessment is correct. He states: "...this subcommittee was not presented with the needed information and therefore never had the opportunity to function as charged. (To date the needed information is still not available.)"?
> Do we need to respond to clarify anything for Ona to include in the report > about that subcommittee? if yes, what should we say?
> (See attached file: CAHA_EconSubCharge_2-26-08_Approved.doc)
> Mike Murray
> Mike Mulray

Superintendent

> Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS

> (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148

> (c) 252-216-5520
   fax 252-473-2595
    CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
   This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.
                Forwarded by Mike Murray/CAHA/NPS on 03/16/2009 09:12 AM ----
                              Ona Ferguson
                              <oferguson@cbuild
                              ing.org>
                                                                                                                                                То
                                                                                <ikeene@franklineg.com>
                              03/16/2009 09:07
                              AΜ
                                                                                'Mike Murray' <Mike_Murray@nps.gov>
                                                                                                                                      Subject
                                                                                Re: Final report on REG-NEG
 > Just want to confirm we received this input, thanks.
> Hope all is well,
> Ona
   On 3/14/09 4:16 pm, "Jim Keene" <keene9558@charter.net> wrote:
                The final report draft lists the subcommittees organized during negotiations. I believe that if you list the ^3Socio-Economic
                negotiations. I believe that if you list the 3Socio-Economic Analysis2 subcommittee you need to add or foot note the fact that this subcommittee was not
```

presented with the needed information and therefore never had the

opportunity to function as charged. (To date the needed information is still not available.)

This very important final report needs to be factual and there should be no chance that someone may read into this report that a subcommittee (Socio-Economic) in fact met & functioned.

Jim Keene

· > > > >