0077563

From: keene9558

Reply To: jkeene@franklineg.com

'Mike Murray'; Obxblondie@aol.com; cahabusinessallies@embargmail.com To:

oferguson@cbuilding.org; pfield@cbuilding.org; rcf@fishercs.com Cc:

Subject: FW: Final report on REG-NEG Date: 03/20/2009 03:41 PM

Mike

Thank you for your input. I thought this was to be a facilitators' document rather than a NPS document.

I am asking Judy Swartwood and/or Pat Weston, members of the Socio - Economic subcommittee to add to the addendum.

Jim Keene

-Original Message----

-Original Message----From: Mike_Murray@nps.gov [mailto:Mike_Murray@nps.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 3:02 PM
To: jkeene@franklineq.com
Cc: oferguson@cbuilding.org; pfield@cbuilding.org; rcf@fishercs.com
Subject: Re: Final report on REG-NEG

Jim,

The Solicitors, Sandy Hamilton, and I have reviewed the draft language about the subcommittees and think it is fine as is. It is simple, factual, and makes no judgments about the functionality of any of the subcommittees. It would not be appropriate for the facilitators to make editorial comments or footnotes about the functionality of any of the subcommittees in the summary report, so as DFO I've directed the facilitators to stick with their original language. If it is important to you, feel free to comment about it in your addendum submission. While the addendum is, among other things, an opportunity for committee members to comment on process issues, what would be most helpful to NPS and me would be for the members to take advantage of this last formal RegNeg committee input opportunity to summarize their respective final ORV management recommendations for NPS to consider. The next formal opportunity for input will be under the NEPA process during the public comment period after the DEIS is released in the fall.

Thank you,

Mike Murray Superintendent

Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148 (c) 252-216-5520

fax 252-473-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure.

"keene9558"

<keene9558@charte</pre>

<oferguson@cbuilding.org>

To

Subject

03/14/2009 03:16 "'Mike Murray'"

<Mike_Murray@nps.gov>

Please respond to <jkeene@frankline q.com>

Final report on REG-NEG

Ona

The final report draft lists the subcommittees organized during negotiations. I believe that if you list the "Socio-Economic Analysis" subcommittee

you need to add or foot note the fact that this subcommittee was not presented with the needed information and therefore never had the opportunity to function as charged. (To date the needed information is still not available.)

This very important final report needs to be factual and there should be no chance that someone may read into this report that a subcommittee (Socio-Economic) in fact met & functioned.

Jim Keene