

From: [Ona Ferguson](#)  
To: [Mike Murray@nps.gov](mailto:Mike_Murray@nps.gov)  
Cc: [Cyndy Holda](#)  
Subject: Re: Feb 3 meeting summary approval next steps  
Date: 04/24/2009 01:04 PM

---

Mike,

I just spoke with David Allen, who agrees that this particular bullet can accurately read:

"Dates: The loggerhead turtle recovery plan recommends no night driving during the nesting season,<sup>2</sup> which in NC has been defined by the NC Wildlife Resources Commission as May 1 - November 15. It was noted that these dates could be adjusted if other sufficient protections were in place."

Please tell me if, with this change to the February 3 meeting summary, you can formally approve it.

Thank you,

Ona

On 4/23/09 12:59 pm, "Mike\_Murray@nps.gov" <Mike\_Murray@nps.gov> wrote:

> Ona,  
>  
> Thanks for the reminder. I had lost track of this in the pile of emails  
> that were waiting for me when I returned from leave, so it is good you sent  
> the message.  
>  
> I understand the request to add the word "by some" to the referenced  
> section on page 2, but I'm not sure it is appropriate since it suggests  
> ambiguity about the dates exists when there is none. I'm 99% sure that NC  
> WRC has defined the turtle nesting season in NC as May 1- November 15, so  
> the correct edit would be to say: "...which in NC has been defined by NC  
> WRC as May 1 - November 15." (I recall Dave Allen saying it several times,  
> but don't reminder exactly when or where he said it.)  
>  
> Knowing how political the issue is for WRC, would you please run my  
> suggested edit ("...by NC WRC...") by Dave Allen to see if he is  
> comfortable with it. I defer to however WRC wants to characterize it  
> because they are the authority on the issue (e.g., it is okay with me if  
> they prefer to characterize it as "the nesting season in NC is generally  
> considered to be May 1 - November 15" (since I'm not sure it is officially  
> "defined").  
>  
> Thanks,  
>  
> Mike Murray  
> Superintendent  
> Cape Hatteras NS/ Wright Brothers NMem/ Ft. Raleigh NHS  
> (w) 252-473-2111, ext. 148  
> (c) 252-216-5520  
> fax 252-473-2595  
>  
> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE  
> This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which  
> it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is  
> proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from  
> disclosure.

>  
>  
> Ona Ferguson  
> <oferguson@cbuild  
> ing.org> To  
>  
> 04/23/2009 10:46 'Mike Murray'  
> AM <Mike\_Murray@nps.gov>, Cyndy Holda  
> <Cyndy\_Holda@nps.gov>  
> cc  
>  
> Subject  
> FW: Feb 3 meeting summary approval  
> next steps

>  
>  
> Mike and Cyndy,  
>  
> Just a quick reminder that this is, to my knowledge, still outstanding.  
>  
> Best,  
>  
> Ona  
>

> ----- Forwarded Message  
> From: Ona Ferguson <oferguson@cbuilding.org>  
> Date: Thu, 16 Apr 2009 10:39:30 -0400  
> To: 'Mike Murray' <Mike\_Murray@nps.gov>, Cyndy Holda <Cyndy\_Holda@nps.gov>  
> Cc: Robert Fisher <rcf@fisherics.com>, Pat Field <pfield@cbuilding.org>  
> Conversation: Feb 3 meeting summary approval next steps  
> Subject: Feb 3 meeting summary approval next steps  
>  
> Mike,  
>  
> As DFO, it falls to you to approve the two final meeting summaries. The  
> February 3 summary is in the final stages of review. We sent the draft out  
> for comments, due yesterday. We received one comment back from a Committee  
> member suggesting one change:  
>  
> Correction to page 2 under the heading of 'Dates': add 'by some,' so  
> the sentence reads: 'which in NC has been defined by some as May 1  
> November 15.' I made this change (see attached). The request was  
> further made, by the same Committee member, to add 'by some not  
> familiar with sea turtle activities at CHNS' with the comment that  
> such a change to the meeting summary likely would not be allowed.  
>  
> I've made only the first version of the change in the updated attached  
> draft.  
>  
> NEXT STEPS: Please tell me which version of the requested change you would  
> like to go with. If the first, you can review it in the attached  
> document. If the second, I'll revise the document so you can see it in  
> print. Then you need to tell us you approve the notes, and I'll finalize  
> the document with the approval date and removal of the word 'draft' and it  
> can be posted on PEPC and sent to the committee as the final, approved  
> summary.  
>  
> The February 26 meeting summary is in the final stages of review among our  
> team, and should go out to the Committee for review soon.  
>  
> Thanks,  
>  
> Ona  
>  
>  
> ----- End of Forwarded Message(See attached file:  
> CAHA\_RegNegMtg12\_Summary\_3Feb09\_v2.pdf)